WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:55:59.5730000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.478 Thank you Andreas for that levely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:02.478 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.560$ introduction to the introduction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.756$ for inviting me to introduce Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:06.760 --> 00:00:08.545 It's actually very special 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:08.545 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.439$ Michael's given me a lot of introductions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:11.440 --> 00:00:14.328 and so it's cool that I'm getting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}14.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.290$ return the favor for this grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.782$ So I think most of you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:19.782 --> 00:00:21.580 probably familiar with Michaels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.746$ You know basic baseball stats here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:23.746 --> 00:00:26.655 that he got his bachelors in biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:26.655 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.503$ from University of Pennsylvania

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:28.503 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.909$ and then he came to Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.395$ So he did his medical training here

 $00{:}00{:}33.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.229$ at Yale and then decided to stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:36.229 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.767$ around to join the inaugural class

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:38.845 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.490$ of the Solemate Integrated Program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:41.490 --> 00:00:43.386 I had to include this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.280$ which is one of my favourites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.080$ I think Anna Stevens sent this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:47.080 --> 00:00:49.629 out on a chat program and I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:49.629 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.936$ grabbed it right up 'cause I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:51.936 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.440$ this is the first four years of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.656$ Soul Net program in a very faded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:00:56.660 --> 00:00:57.707 appropriately faded photo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}00{:}57.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.452$ So you can probably recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:00:59.452 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.400$ a lot of the folks on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}01.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.784$ A lot of successful people and a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:03.784 --> 00:01:06.137 of really kind people in this photo,

 $00:01:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.740$ and you can see a sort of self satisfied

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}01{:}09.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.388$ Michael Block right in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.700$ So he finished the program in 2010

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.628$ and along the way got a Masters in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:17.628 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.884$ Epidemiology which has served him very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:19.884 --> 00:01:22.476 well and probably is part of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:22.476 --> 00:01:25.626 he's going to present to you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:25.630 --> 00:01:27.905 And after all this time at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:27.910 --> 00:01:30.736 he thought I still have more work to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:30.736 --> 00:01:33.423 here and so he joined the faculty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:33.423 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.057$ has been here for the last 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.405$ He's touched a lot of different programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:38.405 --> 00:01:40.629 and impacted this center in many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.919$ but one of them is really transforming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:42.919 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.177$ his own little corner of the 2nd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:45.177 --> 00:01:46.989 floor in the Child Study Center

 $00:01:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.100$ into the shrine to Mets baseball.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:01:49.100 --> 00:01:49.722 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:49.722 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.588$ I hope someday that the Mets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:51.588 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.670$ return the favor for his loyalty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.770$ but I don't know if this will be the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:01:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.245$ And this is the part where I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:01.245 --> 00:02:04.053 know we highlight some of the wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}04.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.402$ accomplishments and it's hard to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:06.402 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.642$ because Michael has done so very many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}08.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.670$ things at the Child study center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.022$ So he's the Co director of the Tick

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}13.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.767$ in OC D program with Tom Fernandez.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:15.770 --> 00:02:18.661 He's my Co director with the pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:18.661 --> 00:02:19.900 treatment Resistant Depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:19.963 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.175$ program that we started in late 2019.

 $00:02:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.980$ He's the Co director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.740$ T32 program with Mike Crowley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.196$ You can see he's a Co director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:28.196 --> 00:02:30.273 many things which I think highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:30.273 --> 00:02:32.841 how well he works with the faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:32.841 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.477$ and the trainees here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.955$ He's also the associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

00:02:35.955 --> 00:02:37.920 of the Albert J Solnit program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}37.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.948$ so really coming full circle from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:39.948 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.410$ being a member of the first class

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}42.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.150$ to now shaping the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.268$ He was also the inpatient Chiefs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}46.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.680$ of the Clinical Neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}47.739 --> 00{:}02{:}49.359$ Research Unit up until 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.670$ so you can see these are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00{:}02{:}51.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.086$ prestigious programs both within

00:02:53.086 --> 00:02:55.246 and outside the Child Study Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.204$ and I think it's not a coincidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8482662

 $00:02:58.204 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.470$ that Michael's fingerprints

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:02:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.622$ are on these programs and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:01.622 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.830$ they've been so very successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.780$ Of course, what we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.108$ here today is some of his research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}03{:}08.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.750$ and he's been very impactful

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.062$ with his clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:11.070 --> 00:03:12.258 An meta analytic work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:12.258 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.426$ I think he's one of the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}03{:}14.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.954$ speakers where I had to ask him what

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}03{:}16.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.959$ exactly are you presenting today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}03{:}18.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.172$ I mean, most people I know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:21.172 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.910$ they're going to talk about,

 $00:03:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.534$ but he's an expert in so many areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.860$ Publishing really important work

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:26.860 --> 00:03:28.176 and depression, anxiety, OCD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:28.176 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.160$ trichotillomania tic disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:29.160 --> 00:03:32.112 80 HD and then not only in child psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.048$ but also publishing across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:35.050 --> 00:03:37.168 I think it's hard to overstate

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:37.168 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.250$ how important his work has been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:39.250 --> 00:03:41.000 not just to child psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.750$ but to psychiatry at large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:42.750 --> 00:03:44.850 If you like to put numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.250$ to stuff like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.350$ he's got an h-index of 62.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.506$ This is a graph looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:50.506 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.286$ h-index of Nobel Prize winners

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:52.286 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.644$ after they've won the Nobel Prize,

 $00:03:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.827$ so presumably have done some very impactful

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:03:56.827 --> 00:03:58.849 work that's been widely disseminated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:03:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.650$ and you can see each index of 62.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:01.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.138$ It's pretty darn good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.950$ Very influential in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:05.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.122$ He's on the editorial board of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:08.122 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.570$ all these important journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}09.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.711$ so I think it's fair to say that he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:12.711 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.016$ really a modern Renaissance person

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}15.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.824$ here at the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:17.830 --> 00:04:18.464 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:18.464 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.366$ you might worry that having you

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}20.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.328$ know all of these titles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:22.328 --> 00:04:24.230 doing all of this important work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:24.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.156$ you know that that might go

00:04:26.156 --> 00:04:28.390 to his head that he would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:28.390 --> 00:04:29.004 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:29.004 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.846$ not approachable or too busy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:30.846 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.549$ or any of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:32.550 --> 00:04:35.110 And I think you know my favorite thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}35.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.024$ Working with Michael both as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:37.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.486$ mentee and now as a partner is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:39.486 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.830$ how caring he is for his patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.390$ And for trainees that they always come first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:44.390 --> 00:04:45.380 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}45.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.690$ it's nice to publish papers and get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

00:04:47.690 --> 00:04:47.993 Prizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:47.993 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.508$ He's got plenty of papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}04{:}49.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.720$ and plenty of prizes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.701$ but I don't think he ever loses

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:52.701 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.530$ sight of the fact that the

 $00:04:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.348$ purpose of this work is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:56.348 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.570$ to impact the kids and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:04:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.400$ families that we see every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:05:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.465$ So I'm really excited to hear what

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}05{:}02.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.359$ he's going to talk about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00{:}05{:}04.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.831$ which is using meta analysis to guide

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:05:06.831 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.099$ the assessment and treatment of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878571

 $00:05:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.860$ Go Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:13.530 --> 00:05:14.638 Unmute myself, thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:14.638 --> 00:05:15.746 for the kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:15.750 --> 00:05:17.696 I'm gonna do my own introduction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00{:}05{:}17.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.918$ myself and I may need to borrow your

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00{:}05{:}19.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.588$ slides for my introduction next time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:21.590 --> 00:05:23.324 'cause I think you did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00:05:23.324 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.929$ better job then I'll do it.

 $00:05:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.070$ I'm introducing myself. I guess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

 $00:05:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.192$ First thing to say is I need to get the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87167853

00:05:33.192 --> 00:05:36.060 Sorry, let's get it working OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

 $00:05:39.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.368$ OK, can people see the slides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

 $00:05:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.472$ Someone says not yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

 $00:05:44.472 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.131$ but while you do that, Michael,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85240835

00:05:46.131 --> 00:05:50.267 I just want to add one word to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:05:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.168$ the introduction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:05:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.270$ the introduction and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:05:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.429$ is that your partner in crime is part of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:05:53.430 --> 00:05:56.265 He's certainly worth giving a thumbs up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:05:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.100$ so Angie in the House so anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:05:59.100 --> 00:06:02.750 Back to you Michael, can you see the slides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.936$ Yep OK good OK let me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.100$ OK, so yes, the first thing we get through

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.308$ his disclosures and we run a bunch of

 $00:06:12.308 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.890$ clinical trials that are partially funded by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.718$ Industry, none of these really involve ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:18.720 --> 00:06:20.735 I haven't really done any

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:20.735 --> 00:06:22.347 clinical trials in ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.402$ so I don't think any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:25.402 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.520$ particular disclosures are relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:27.520 --> 00:06:29.879 I think Jenny gave a really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:29.879 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.777$ kind of introduction on what I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.764$ I think the first thing to say is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:06:33.764 --> 00:06:35.719 what I do with the Child study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}35.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.294$ Center is that I have a fairly busy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:38.294 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.154$ outpatient practice and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}40.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.440$ disorders that you talked about earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.370$ in the in the Child study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.750$ I involved in the only training

 $00{:}06{:}47.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.333$ program in the T 32 and then just run

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:50.333 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.987$ a lab involved in clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}06{:}52.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.778$ and meta analysis research and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:55.778 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.346$ these things really intersect in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:06:58.346 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.737$ the research and care of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}00.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.772$ and I guess the real thing I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:03.772 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.355$ people to get out of this lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:06.355 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.907$ more so than any particulars about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:07:08.907 --> 00:07:11.903 80 HD pharmacology or 80 HD treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:11.903 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.261$ is just that the experiences with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}14.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.360$ the patients and the trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.360$ Really affects the research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.781$ then the research also affects the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:07:20.781 --> 00:07:22.911 care of the patients and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:22.911 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.985$ the education of the trainees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:24.985 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.875$ that it's sort of a circle.

 $00{:}07{:}26.880 \to 00{:}07{:}29.499$ I guess I would also say that I'm a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}29.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.189$ father of three kids and and I picked up

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:07:32.189 --> 00:07:35.195 two dogs in the family during the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.592$ so I apologize if they make any noise

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}37.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.635$ and I I guess I also should think Angie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00{:}07{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.088$ for if it's quiet you should think energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

00:07:43.088 --> 00:07:45.119 could she'll be responsible for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556

 $00:07:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.380$ will hope it continues along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87949556 00:07:47.380 --> 00:07:47.740 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:07:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.585$ then just again, the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:07:49.585 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.660$ purpose of this talk is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:07:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.742$ discuss that utility of clinical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:07:53.742 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.767$ and meta analysis and improving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}07{:}55.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.063$ care of patients and then also to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:07:58.119 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.244$ demonstrate how clinical exposure and

 $00:08:00.244 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.369$ teaching actually informs the research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.765$ And I'll be talking through that today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.078$ Really, where we're going?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:07.078 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.372$ I guess there are three main points

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:09.372 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.829$ and I'm going to kind of have 3A2 cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:11.893 --> 00:08:14.065 that involve really three aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:14.065 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.309$ research that we've done in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:16.309 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.630$ The block lab over the last few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}18.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.720$ really to demonstrate three things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}20.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.715$ The first one I want to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}22.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.271$ to people is that your risk of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}25.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.335$ diagnosed and treated with 80HD is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.170$ related to your astrological form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.120$ That's the first thing I intend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.420$ to prove to people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.498$ The second one is just to talk about common.

 $00{:}08{:}35.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.415$ Understanding of the treatments of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}37.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.724$ the efficacy of common treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:39.724 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.048$ ADHD and also examine the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:42.048 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.486$ particularly doses of psychostimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:43.486 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.616$ on the efficacy of medications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:45.616 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.130$ ADHD and the last thing I really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:48.130 --> 00:08:50.821 to talk about is just the important of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}08{:}50.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.843$ race and racism and racial bias in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:53.843 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.327$ treatment of ADHD and other psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:08:56.327 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.712$ conditions that we've also been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:08:58.712 --> 00:09:01.040 Research in the training program in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:09:01.113 \dashrightarrow 00:09:03.521$ the lab on this and I think doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}09{:}03.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.669$ an evidence based presentation on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:09:05.670 --> 00:09:08.088 80 HD ADHD pharmacology and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:09:08.088 --> 00:09:10.360 trying to psychiatry in general.

00:09:10.360 --> 00:09:12.485 It's also important to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:09:12.485 --> 00:09:13.335 these findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8807501

 $00:09:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.444$ So the first part of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8807501

 $00:09:16.444 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.900$ talk will just be about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.868$ The risk of ADHD and its Association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.996$ birth date and this is research

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:25.996 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.289$ that's done primarily by a couple of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:29.290 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.850$ Trainees in lab. Jose Flores, who's now

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}31.850 \to 00{:}09{:}34.405$ in his addiction fellowship here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.606$ soon hopefully to be involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}36.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.070$ a child Psychiatry fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.840$ And Victor, who's visiting scholar

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.100$ here in the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}42.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.718$ Ann, if you're looking at 80 HD

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:09:44.718 --> 00:09:46.860 as hopefully all of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.415$ being involved in the Child study center,

 $00:09:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.210$ ADHD is really associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}51.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.000$ three core symptoms in extension

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:53.066 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.534$ and then hyperactivity impulsive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:09:54.534 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.369$ ITI to get the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}09{:}56.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.110$ You have to have an age of onset prior to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.444$ Well and you have to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:02.444 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.000$ symptoms in multiple settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:04.074 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.408$ and it needs to cause impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.538$ Other things that you may or may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:09.538 --> 00:10:12.616 know about 80 HD is that it's if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:12.616 --> 00:10:15.479 look at twin and molecular studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.445$ it's as heritable or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:17.445 --> 00:10:19.017 heritable than any psyche.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.596$ And then other psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:20.596 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.566$ conditions that are currently around.

 $00:10:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.540$ It's has a similar heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:24.540 --> 00:10:26.510 in twin studies to autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:26.510 --> 00:10:26.903 schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:26.903 --> 00:10:27.689 bipolar disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:27.689 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.462$ and in both twin and molecular studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:30.462 --> 00:10:32.934 It has a much greater heritability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:32.934 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.560$ estimate than things like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.644$ Depression and anxiety disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:36.644 --> 00:10:39.770 It also really has a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

00:10:39.857 --> 00:10:41.479 clear neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.370$ Neural biological mark marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.324$ 8080 where it's really delayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:47.324 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.384$ development of the prefrontal cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}10{:}50.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.072$ that's important in modulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:53.072 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.108$ cognitive control processes like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:10:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.886$ Attention and motor planning.

 $00{:}10{:}57.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.182$ So it's a disorder that has clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00{:}11{:}01.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.458$ heritability and also has a clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.86678773

 $00:11:04.458 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.800$ neurological signal associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:09.460 --> 00:11:11.620 I'm now going to convince you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.060$ that it's associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:13.060 --> 00:11:14.864 astrological sign and birth date,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:14.864 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.438$ so I'm generally using my kids as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:17.438 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.569$ examples of these things rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:19.569 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.514$ the patients I'm singing clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:21.514 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.701$ just 'cause it's easier for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:23.701 --> 00:11:25.654 to keep track of their names and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:25.654 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.817$ not commit any HIPAA violations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}27.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.785$ So this patient I'm actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.429$ going to talk about would fit well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:32.429 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.468$ with one of my sons, Paul,

 $00:11:34.468 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.164$ but also is actually very germane to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:38.170 --> 00:11:40.624 Patient Amalia was seeing a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:40.624 --> 00:11:43.266 recently in the clinic that I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:43.266 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.429$ took over when she left for Brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.326$ So Paul is in now eight years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.722$ He's in second grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}49.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.626$ His plans are to have his own YouTube

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:11:52.626 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.586$ channel where he's going to be a star.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}11{:}55.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.582$ Hasn't quite figured out what he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:11:57.582 --> 00:12:00.309 gonna do on his YouTube channel yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}00.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.285$ He likes legos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}01.285 \to 00{:}12{:}02.910$ He likes racing Matchbox cars

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.029$ watching and playing Minecraft videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.862$ He likes cooking that can be really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:07.862 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.080$ of disastrous thing if unsupervised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:10.080 --> 00:12:11.680 And he likes unboxing present,

00:12:11.680 --> 00:12:14.240 so I think if he had his say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:14.240 --> 00:12:17.032 and what is YouTube channel would be he

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:17.032 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.990$ would unbox presents that someone gave them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:19.990 --> 00:12:23.483 Another thing to say about Sam and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:23.483 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.009$ Paul is that they're Twins and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:12:27.010 --> 00:12:33.460 And. And they were actually born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.687$ December 13th, 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:34.687 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.550$ And this is actually a picture of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.360$ when the boys were in Phyllis Bodel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.719$ so when they were in kindergarten here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:42.719 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.640$ and had a wonderful experience here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}45.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.715$ But Paul's experience in kindergarten

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}12{:}47.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.246$ at Bodel was at least initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:50.246 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.538$ quite rocky for him when he,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.976$ when he started out kindergarten here,

 $00:12:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.292$ he kind of not really stay on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:12:58.292 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.979$ rug in class and he and he not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:01.979 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.856$ happy to go in every day and he said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.121$ You know the this is much harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:08.121 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.268$ for me than the other kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:10.270 --> 00:13:12.974 The other kids are are smarter than me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00{:}13{:}12.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.172$ They are able to do things I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:15.172 --> 00:13:18.319 and he said this when he was starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:18.319 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.740$ kindergarten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:18.740 --> 00:13:21.120 Ann and I think this was probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.673$ an accurate perception of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

00:13:22.673 --> 00:13:25.160 So, uh, this initial kindergarten experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8591748

 $00:13:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.310$ If Odell, that he was behind the other kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.056$ So one important thing to know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:33.056 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.280$ kindergarten in school in Connecticut is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}13{:}35.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.038$ that it has a January 1st cut off date.

 $00:13:39.038 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.730$ So all the kids that are born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.752$ Set the cutoff for going into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:13:44.752 --> 00:13:47.059 the next rate is January 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.590$ so we actually did a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}13{:}49.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.319$ Looking at whether this sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:13:53.320 --> 00:13:54.704 Being behind in kindergarten,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:54.704 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.780$ I was very interested in how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:13:56.841 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.749$ this affected kids academically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:13:58.750 --> 00:14:02.233 'cause I was very interested for my own kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}02.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05.350$ but also that just the effect was so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.835$ obviously large in in the Twins lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}14{:}07.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.168$ and so we actually did a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.660$ Jose, Victor and I and Adam and a bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:14.660 --> 00:14:17.432 of other people looking at 14 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:17.432 --> 00:14:19.970 that looked at the Association between

 $00:14:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.450$ birth date and and diagnosis of 80 HD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:23.450 --> 00:14:25.796 The studies involved over 3,000,000 children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:25.796 --> 00:14:27.360 involving nine different countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.624$ and we stratified the studies based on when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:30.624 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.004$ the cut off for school was in the area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.232$ So this is a graph looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:37.232 --> 00:14:39.776 odds of being diagnosed or treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:39.776 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.100$ for 80 HD as a function of when your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:43.100 --> 00:14:45.753 birth month was and this was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:45.753 --> 00:14:48.454 studies that had a January 1st cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:48.454 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.237$ off like Connecticut and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:51.237 --> 00:14:53.942 that the lowest odds ratio occurs in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

00:14:53.942 --> 00:14:56.493 For the kids born in January and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:56.493 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.104$ there's a fairly steady increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:14:58.104 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.267$ up until the end of the year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.370$ And with the largest odds ratio being

 $00:15:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.479$ in October, November and December.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00{:}15{:}04.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.497$ If you look separately at schools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.344$ that locations which had a September

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.297$ 1st cut off for an end of August cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:14.297 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.540$ off for going into kindergarten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.406$ You saw a different relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576402

 $00:15:20.406 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.320$ with birthday that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00:15:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.660$ highest the highest rate of diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00:15:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.010$ diagnosis and treatment for ADHD was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

00:15:29.010 --> 00:15:31.400 July and August and lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00:15:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.268$ was right after the school cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8446563

 $00{:}15{:}34.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.900$ off in September and October. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}37.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.340$ if you overlay the two time periods and put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:15:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.158$ the cut off in a common place, you get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:15:45.160 --> 00:15:47.085 fairly similar trends where kids

 $00:15:47.085 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.742$ are at much lower risk when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}49.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.950$ are relatively old for their grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}15{:}51.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.670$ and are at a much higher risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:15:54.754 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.385$ getting diagnosed for ADHD if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:15:57.385 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.673$ young for their their school age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:15:59.673 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.978$ And this is in another way of looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:02.978 --> 00:16:06.250 at a comparing the odds of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}16{:}06.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.725$ diagnosed or treated for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.418$ In the 120 days before the school

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:11.418 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.125$ cut off versus 120 days after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:14.125 --> 00:16:16.832 school cut off and at least your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:16.832 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.328$ odds of being diagnosed or treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:19.328 --> 00:16:21.708 for ADHD was about 40% higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:16:21.708 --> 00:16:24.930 If you were born right before the school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.346$ cut off as opposed to afterwards an you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.386$ can actually take this data and look

 $00:16:31.386 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.211$ at changing when the actual cut off

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:34.211 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.206$ time is and you see that if you only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:37.206 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.614$ look at the 30 days before and after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.830$ So the school cut off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.437$ The kids are at about a 50% increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:46.437 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.056$ risk of being diagnosed and or treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:50.056 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.166$ for ADHD if they are born in the month

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:54.166 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.389$ before the school cut off as opposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:16:57.389 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.161$ to the month after this welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.914$ So it has a pretty profound effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.688$ Anne Anne this really?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.545$ Has a really profound implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:07.545 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.400$ for a number of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.619$ So the first thing is the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:11.619 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.586$ line is that the month of birth is

00:17:14.586 --> 00:17:17.000 strongly associated with the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}17.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.418$ being diagnosed and treated for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}19.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.520$ It's related to the school entrance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.869$ cut off date for the location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.994$ It seems like the effect decreases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:25.994 --> 00:17:28.257 with increasing age and the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:28.257 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.430$ is quite substantial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.831$ Really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:29.831 --> 00:17:32.237 A 50% increased risk of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:32.237 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.283$ born in December in Connecticut

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}34.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.569$ as of four supposed to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:36.570 --> 00:17:39.447 Being born in January and this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:39.447 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.050$ probably has pretty important impacts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}42.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.780$ especially for studies in early childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}17{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.226$ that look at ADHD risk that it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:48.226 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.726$ only your risk of ADHD compared to your

00:17:51.726 --> 00:17:53.938 actual Chronicle chronological age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:17:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.790$ but it's probably equally or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:56.790 --> 00:17:59.633 important the your risk of ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:17:59.633 --> 00:18:02.615 compared to what your expected age is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.148$ what your grade in school is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.106$ It also has implications for both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:08.106 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.060$ public policy in early education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:10.060 --> 00:18:11.476 I mean with Paul,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:11.476 --> 00:18:12.538 he's doing great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.735$ He's now eight years old in the second grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.510$ which probably gave away what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.926$ we did with Paul,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.754$ which is we had him repeat kid in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:21.754 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.259$ kindergarten when he went into spring Glen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.390$ but but this has a significant

 $00:18:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.100$ financial implications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.128$ and we're we're we're quite privileged

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}29.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.284$ to have the economic ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:31.284 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.129$ have our kids repeat kindergarten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:33.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.776$ My estimate when we were doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:35.776 --> 00:18:37.657 finances for making this decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

00:18:37.657 --> 00:18:40.345 was it was going to cost us about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.695$ \$32,000 for the year to hold the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00{:}18{:}42.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.380$ Twins back a year in school for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.889$ both of them so that most families

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:47.889 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.787$ don't have \$32,000 to spend on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.812$ And I think that really made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8643382

 $00:18:52.812 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.110$ me think a lot about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.695$ Moving on to the assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:02.695 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.795$ and treatment of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.688$ We're treating kids with ADHD in the clinic.

00:19:07.690 --> 00:19:10.218 I think the one thing that I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}10.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.698$ want people to take home is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:12.698 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.962$ importance of using rating scales that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:14.962 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.440$ rating scales given to the caregivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.690$ and the teachers are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.599$ sensitive to change than just

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:21.599 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.930$ sort of asking how kids are doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}19{:}23.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.711$ And I think people a lot of times in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:19:26.711 --> 00:19:29.589 judging improvement in 80 HD don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:29.589 \dashrightarrow 00:19:32.239$ recognize how much better kids can get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.767$ And it's not just having them be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:34.767 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.320$ significantly improved, its to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.980$ The goal should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.464$ Permission and the nice thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:40.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.974$ these rating scales for ADHD is

 $00:19:42.974 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.929$ that they are freely available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.010$ so I'm I'm a big fan of the ADHD rating

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:48.096 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.610$ scale for which is publicly available

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:19:50.610 --> 00:19:53.817 on lines and 18 question survey given

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:19:53.817 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.191$ to parents or teachers that scores ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:19:57.200 --> 00:20:00.196 symptoms from never happening to very off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.623$ Thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:20:00.623 --> 00:20:02.738 and it's freely available online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.290$ Here's a web link to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.100$ Ascentia Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.379$ The kids that come in for ADHD in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.492$ This is what they give them or similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}13.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.564$ things like this snap or the Vanderbilt

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:16.564 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.285$ in terms of treating families with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:19.285 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.817$ children with ADHD in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.271$ Really,

 $00:20:22.271 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.526$ Psychoeducation is the first things

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}24.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.840$ involved in treating these kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:20:26.840 --> 00:20:29.030 racking just helping them recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.782$ the important symptoms and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.149$ Cognitive common impairments

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:32.149 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.508$ associated with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.240$ Obviously the typical stuff like inattention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.693$ hyperactivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:36.693 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.988$ and impulsive ITI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:37.988 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.704$ but the other things that are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}40.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.150$ important to talk about with families is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.226$ just the organizational difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}20{:}46.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.734$ Many of these kids have also the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:49.734 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.578$ comorbidities that are associated with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:20:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.396$ You could call them

00:20:54.396 --> 00:20:55.758 oppositional defiant disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:20:55.760 --> 00:20:56.816 conduct disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:20:56.816 --> 00:21:01.040 but I would say that there it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:01.040 --> 00:21:03.217 Kind of the main problems are aggression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.150$ irritability and emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.140$ abilities is sort of, if we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:06.140 --> 00:21:07.890 If we're going to get into common

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:07.946 --> 00:21:09.434 language and just understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}09.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.294$ these things and treating them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.166$ the other thing really to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}13.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.630$ about with families, just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.340$ Will talk about the people very often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.772$ Focus on the risks of what the medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:21.772 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.818$ are on the treatments for ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.580$ but I think it's also important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}27.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.379$ to recognize what the risks are.

00:21:30.380 --> 00:21:32.828 Not treating ADHD properly and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}32.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.077$ ADHD is associated with significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:35.077 --> 00:21:37.787 impairment impairment in in school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:37.790 --> 00:21:39.176 poor school performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:39.176 --> 00:21:41.486 increased risk of dot dropout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.879$ and and suspension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:42.879 --> 00:21:45.194 It's associated with social impairments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}45.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.925$ difficulties with friendships

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:21:46.925 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.650$ and recreational activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}48.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.875$ It's associated with the problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}50.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.655$ went in familial relationships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.770$ so also associated with a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00{:}21{:}55.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.996$ safety issues that so children

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:21:57.996 --> 00:22:00.920 with ADHD and and going on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.950$ adulthood with 88 fear associated

 $00:22:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.560$ with increased risk of accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.092$ Whether it's physical accidents in childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:22:08.092 --> 00:22:10.460 or traffic accidents and adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.236$ increased risk of substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:12.236 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.456$ abuse and other risky behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

00:22:14.460 --> 00:22:17.130 most of these things actually improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.83198345

 $00:22:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.910$ significantly with successful treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.695$ Behavioral treatments are also important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}23.695 \to 00{:}22{:}26.130$ that children with ADHD establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:26.202 --> 00:22:27.636 clearer routines encourageing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}27.636 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.504$ structure in their daily set schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:30.510 --> 00:22:31.971 setting, clear expectations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:31.971 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.893$ possibly setting up a reward system

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:34.893 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.334$ for good behavior, avoiding harsh

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:37.334 --> 00:22:39.769 punishment as much as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:39.770 --> 00:22:41.228 promoting exercise, sleep,

00:22:41.228 --> 00:22:42.686 hygiene, good nutrition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}42.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.476$ and then promoting things to strengthen

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:46.476 --> 00:22:49.000 the parent child relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.745$ There are also a lot of things you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:51.745 --> 00:22:54.575 do in school to help kids with ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:22:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.434$ so there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:56.434 --> 00:22:58.180 things listed on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:22:58.180 --> 00:22:59.800 but essentially having the kids sit

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}22{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.148$ in a place in the classroom where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:02.148 --> 00:23:03.756 they're free from distractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.435$ breaking up the big assignments

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}05.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.481$ into smaller pieces and then also

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:07.481 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.016$ writing down in organizing things

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:09.016 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.980$ for kids as much as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.269$ and then probably the last thing again,

 $00:23:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.556$ is having a reward system in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}15.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.000$ school with a behavioral plan that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.105$ praises them for good behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}20.110 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.190$ So when looking at the other six commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:24.190 --> 00:23:27.400 used treatment for ADHD is medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.210$ so I really if you look at all the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:32.342 --> 00:23:36.647 NIH clinical trials in psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.101 \text{ MTA},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}37.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.807$ so the multimodal treatment study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:39.807 --> 00:23:43.046 ADHD was the first one that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}23{:}43.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.369$ and I think was the one that got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:46.369 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.956$ lot of the trials funded for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:48.956 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.086$ disorders looking at practical clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:23:51.086 --> 00:23:53.679 trials about treatment and the MTA study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.660$ The design was quite simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:23:55.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.369$ involved 580 kids 7 to 10 years

 $00:23:58.369 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.410$ old with combined type ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.937$ They were randomized to 14 months so

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:02.937 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.159$ it's incredibly long randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.880$ They were either randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.170$ to medication management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.810$ Behavioral treatment in this behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:09.810 --> 00:24:11.450 treatment arm was really probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:11.504 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.876$ behavioral treatment on steroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:12.876 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.650$ compared to what we what the best thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}15.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.589$ I can possibly offer a kid in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}18.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.455$ 35 sessions of parent management

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}20.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.719$ training an 8 week child focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}22.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.050$ summer camp in ADHD where the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.518$ would go if they were in the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:27.518 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.666$ and then there was a school based

 $00:24:29.666 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.326$ intervention where they work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}31.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.030$ the teachers in the profession.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:33.030 --> 00:24:33.394 Paraprofessional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:33.394 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.578$ the same counselors kind of did

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:24:35.578 --> 00:24:37.399 all these treatments in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.495$ You had the combination treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:39.495 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.590$ of both the medication management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.660$ And the behavioral therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}24{:}43.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.730$ then 'cause they couldn't use

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.940$ placebo controls for 14 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.598$ They had a community care condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:50.598 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.806$ where patients were randomized to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:52.806 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.978$ treatment in the community where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:54.978 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.089$ would most of the patients got medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:24:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.970$ Actually similar medications to the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.569$ used in the medication management condition.

00:25:04.570 --> 00:25:06.750 And the primary result of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.930$ the clinical trial was this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.310$ essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.350$ what mattered in MTA over the 14 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.710$ of treatment was whether you were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.646$ the medication management condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:17.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.655$ So the medication management condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:19.655 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.660$ and the combined Freeman condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00{:}25{:}21.724 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.320$ did statistically equivalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.540$ which was significantly better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.216$ the behavioral treatment alone or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:28.216 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.735$ Community care for core ADHD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:30.735 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.960$ And it's important to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.740$ that the medication management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.585$ That the combined treatment so

00:25:36.585 --> 00:25:38.430 that the addition of behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:38.492 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.344$ therapy didn't significantly improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.659$ outcome to the medications alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.026$ at least in the core ADHD symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

 $00:25:45.026 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.139$ it did for some of the comorbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.84562516

00:25:48.139 --> 00:25:50.163 behavioral disorders and anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:25:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.672$ but there was no St statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:25:52.672 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.506$ significance there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:25:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.450$ So the bottom line is that medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:25:56.450 --> 00:25:59.855 are even over a fairly long period of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}25{:}59.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.430$ time are the most effective treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.878$ we have for the core symptoms of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.736$ And we really in terms of psychopharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}26{:}08.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.610$ we really have two types of medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.426$ methylphenidate derivatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:12.426 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.650$ and amphetamine derivatives,

 $00:26:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.262$ to the psychostimulant medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:15.262 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.277$ And there is a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:17.277 --> 00:26:18.970 variety of medications now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.424$ but they all essentially work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:21.424 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.060$ these two active ingredients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.682$ Just the pharmacokinetics of the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:25.682 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.233$ of times you need to take him a day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:29.233 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.650$ when they're in your system differs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.690$ And then there are none.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.570$ Psychostimulant medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00{:}26{:}34.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.890$ like atomoxetine bupropion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.100$ A2 agonist like 115 in funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.935$ An if you look at the efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

00:26:41.935 --> 00:26:43.155 of ADHD medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8508557

 $00:26:43.155 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.180$ really the message is quite

 $00:26:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.420$ simple so the so this is a network

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}26{:}48.420 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}26{:}50.850$ meta analysis that looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:26:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.466$ comparative efficacy of treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:26:52.466 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.299$ and the bottom line was that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

00:26:55.300 --> 00:26:56.920 stimulants worked much better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:26:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.162$ so this is looking at response rates that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:27:00.162 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.586$ the response rates compared to place bo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:27:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.620$ were much higher for stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}04.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.757$ for methylphenidate amphetamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

00:27:05.757 --> 00:27:08.031 derivatives compared to any of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00{:}27{:}08.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.880$ non stimulant ADHD medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:27:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.373$ So the. So the response rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

00:27:13.373 --> 00:27:16.536 about 40 to 50% worse for non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

 $00:27:16.536 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.200$ stimulant medications compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656797

00:27:18.200 --> 00:27:19.864 stimulant medications for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.246$ It's also important to say that

00:27:24.246 --> 00:27:25.900 the stimulants work much faster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.884$ so you can see the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:27.884 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.539$ of stimulants within a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.529$ whereas most of the non stimulant ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:32.529 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.123$ medications take a couple months before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:35.123 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.007$ you see the full efficacy of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.258$ So then the next thing we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:27:41.260 --> 00:27:44.800 and this was done with Jose and Victor again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}27{:}44.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.138$ and also a now a PhD student at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}27{:}48.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.850$ time of Louisa Medical student from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.374$ Brazil looking at does dosing affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:54.374 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.506$ efficacies of stimulants for childhood ADHD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:27:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.598$ And I'm going to talk about a girl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:01.600 --> 00:28:03.736 I will call her Rachel rub.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.225$ This is not will use Rachel loosely.

 $00:28:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.722$ So Rachel when she presented to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}08.722 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.207$ clinic was a 9 year old girl who was in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:12.207 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.769$ 3rd grade carrying a diagnosis of ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}14.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.255$ She was actually referred to the thread,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:17.260 --> 00:28:19.396 so seedy clinic 'cause she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:19.396 --> 00:28:20.820 some skin picking symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.259$ But the big issues was she was at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:23.259 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.647$ two grades behind for math and reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}25.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.960$ and she was getting frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.612$ in trouble for school for issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:30.612 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.646$ with hyperactivity and impulsive ITI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:32.646 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.320$ And when I met her for initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:35.396 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.818$ for the evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.955$ this is back in the time where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:38.955 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.069$ we actually saw people in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:41.070 --> 00:28:42.846 She really couldn't even sit for

 $00:28:42.846 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.670$ half the 60 minute interview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.730$ I plan to do with the family and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.568$ was on 10 milligrams of Adderall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.206$ and she was eventually referred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:51.206 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.841$ Because was the Adderall making

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:28:52.841 --> 00:28:54.149 the skin picking worse?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:28:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.240$ That was a fairly similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}57.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.930$ People question to the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}28{:}58.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.275$ The first answer is probably their case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.248$ Report level data that the stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:29:03.248 --> 00:29:05.329 can be associated with skin picking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.346$ but there isn't any data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00{:}29{:}07.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.710$ controlled studies, and even if it was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:29:09.710 --> 00:29:11.390 making the skin picking worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.436$ the issues in fool falling behind

00:29:13.436 --> 00:29:15.586 in the behavioral issues were much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

00:29:15.586 --> 00:29:17.740 more significant and so the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.559$ clinical question is are higher doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:19.559 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.816$ of stimulants more effective for ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:21.816 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.500$ and would they affect the care of this child?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8407395

 $00:29:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.688$ So the thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.078$ I didn't talk about in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:30.080 --> 00:29:32.068 MTA study when it's revisited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}29{:}32.068 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}29{:}34.456$ is why was the medication management

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:34.456 --> 00:29:36.050 condition more effective than

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.642$ the Community care condition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:37.642 --> 00:29:39.459 Actually, in this graph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:39.459 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.297$ we stratify the community care conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:42.297 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.793$ by whether or not they were medicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:45.793 --> 00:29:48.240 in the medicated Community care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

00:29:48.240 --> 00:29:49.844 Kids did significantly better

 $00:29:49.844 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.448$ than the unmedicated ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.335$ but they did significantly worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:53.335 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.937$ than the kids in the medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:55.937 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.860$ management condition and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.060$ These kids were started

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:29:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.260$ on the same medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.857$ So about 86% of them were on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}30{:}02.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.638$ methylphenidate and almost every other

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:04.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.789$ kid was on an amphetamine derivative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.898$ And the big difference was probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:10.898 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.281$ one thought to be one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}30{:}14.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.250$ that the kids in the in medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00{:}30{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19.143$ management condition on average

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:19.143 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.036$ received most of methylphenidate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82159764

 $00:30:21.036 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.350$ That was about 40% higher than those

 $00:30:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.710$ in the Community care condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84771985

00:30:26.710 --> 00:30:29.552 It was 37.1 milligrams per day

NOTE Confidence: 0.84771985

 $00:30:29.552 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.440$ of short acting methylphenidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84771985

 $00:30:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.548$ versus a little under 23. So we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.510$ looked at this in a large meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.960$ so we took all randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.920$ place be controlled studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

00:30:44.920 --> 00:30:46.945 stimulants for childhood ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

00:30:46.945 --> 00:30:48.922 25 studies involving 70

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

00:30:48.922 --> 00:30:51.890 treatment arms over 5000 kids. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:51.890 \dashrightarrow 00:30:54.860$ excluded trials that wouldn't really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.850$ clinically relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00{:}30{:}55.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.326$ Crossover trials trials which had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:30:58.326 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.310$ the participants selected for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:31:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.780$ a particular dose of methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:31:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.570$ or doing well on stimulants.

 $00:31:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.920$ The median length of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466669

 $00:31:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.528$ trial was four weeks and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8671204

 $00:31:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.870$ really looked at two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.492$ Wait, what was the dose response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00{:}31{:}13.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.340$ relationship in in 80 HD medications in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00{:}31{:}16.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.795$ general and then versus methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:18.795 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.568$ amphetamine derivatives and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.534$ differences in fixed inflexible dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00{:}31{:}22.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.283$ trials and just so people get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:25.283 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.248$ difference between fixed those trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.405$ inflexible those trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

00:31:28.405 --> 00:31:31.100 A fixed dose trial is a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00{:}31{:}31.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.827$ where the patient is assigned to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00{:}31{:}33.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.850$ particular dose of the medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.649$ and they can either take that meta

 $00:31:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.441$ dose of the medicine or drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:41.441 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.280$ So they they have side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.990$ they still have to stay on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.382$ that dose of the medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:46.382 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.712$ whereas in a flexible dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:47.712 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.769$ trial you can adjust the dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8395692

 $00:31:49.769 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.274$ medications related to side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:31:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.289$ So if you're on a particular dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}31{:}53.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54.720$ of stimulants, inflexible dose trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:31:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.715$ you could go down on the dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:31:56.720 --> 00:31:59.006 whereas in if you were fixed those trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:31:59.006 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.436$ you could either continue on

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.870$ that dose or drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.010$ That's the big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.540$ between the two trial designs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:05.540 --> 00:32:08.156 And if you're looking at efficacy,

 $00:32:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.350$ the improvement in ADHD symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}10.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}12.535$ the first important point is

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:12.535 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.720$ if you look at medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.905$ Overall, as you increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:16.905 --> 00:32:19.090 dose of of stimulant medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:19.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.510$ and so these are in methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.380$ equivalents and a basic ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.520$ Generally the Adderall derivatives have

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:27.520 --> 00:32:29.660 twice the potency of methylphenidate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.934$ so 60 milligrams of methylphenidate people

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:31.934 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.799$ to 30 milligrams of Adderall derivatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.227$ Essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}35.227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38.216$ there was a overall in the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}32{:}38.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.674$ You saw a fairly substantial benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:40.674 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.360$ of increasing the dose of stimulants.

00:32:43.360 --> 00:32:45.500 Really throughout the dose range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.056$ but particularly up to 30 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.628$ And when you looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:50.628 --> 00:32:52.340 flicks fixed versus flexible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:32:52.340 --> 00:32:53.244 those studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:53.244 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.860$ if you looked at the fixed those studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.225$ Where children had to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:32:59.225 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.590$ the dose they were assigned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.152$ It seemed like the dose response

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:33:04.152 --> 00:33:06.790 relationship was was fairly substantial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}06.790 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}33{:}10.718$ up to about 20 or 30 milliequivalents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:10.718 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.418$ then really leveled off at a dose after

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}15.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.830$ 3030 milligram milliliter equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:33:17.830 --> 00:33:18.504 So essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:18.504 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.200$ if you were on a dose of methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:21.264 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.889$ up and you were increasing,

 $00{:}33{:}22.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.570$ it is generally always made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.586$ It sends up to 30 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.571$ If you could adjust the dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:28.571 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.099$ if you went higher on the dose and

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

00:33:31.099 --> 00:33:33.632 you couldn't have just said it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:33.632 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.348$ a relatively neutral proposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.270$ Whereas if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00{:}33{:}37.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.060$ flexible dose studies in orange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791183

 $00:33:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.740$ there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.085$ a fairly linear relationship between the dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.118$ and the efficacy of the medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.521$ That is even going up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:46.521 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.150$ higher doses were better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.250$ Uh, when you were able to adjust

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504694

 $00:33:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.518$ the dose down due to tolerability?

 $00:33:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.320$ In terms of side effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

00:33:58.320 --> 00:34:01.089 dropouts, not surprisingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.260$ There you are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.210$ Higher rates of side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.778$ dropouts with psychostimulant medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:05.778 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.500$ As you got to a higher dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}08.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.450$ the effects were great greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}10.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.570$ so the dropouts due to side effects were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}13.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.300$ hiring the fix those studies is compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.140$ to the flexibel those studies and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.724$ And the and the risk of side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:22.724 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.809$ and the relationship between dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00{:}34{:}24.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.994$ and dropouts to the side effects was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.878$ fairly similar between methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:30.878 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.984$ and amphetamine derivatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.468$ And if you looked at acceptability

 $00{:}34{:}35.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.120$ across all the studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.532$ the the likelihood of all cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.560$ dropouts of people leaving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.636$ study was actually lower the higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:43.636 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.209$ you got on stimulant medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

00:34:46.210 --> 00:34:48.125 So subjects were less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:48.125 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.750$ to drop out of these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8138287

 $00:34:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.989$ The higher dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634161

 $00:34:51.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.226$ of stimulant medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634161

 $00:34:53.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.370$ you put them on, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:34:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.711$ And not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:34:57.711 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.402$ this was a greater effect, inflexible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.662$ Those studies where you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:02.662 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.971$ decrease the dose of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:04.971 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.096$ medication due to side effects.

 $00:35:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.728$ And again, there was not much

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:09.728 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.042$ difference between methylphenidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:11.042 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.447$ and amphetamine derivatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.86129886

 $00:35:12.447 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.700$ in terms of these outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.300$ So the bottom line is, well, when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

00:35:20.300 --> 00:35:22.972 you can pause or just a dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

00:35:22.972 --> 00:35:25.146 stimulants to the side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:25.146 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.516$ similar to flexible dosing trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}27.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.728$ and almost always makes sense to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:29.728 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.700$ try at least try titrating up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}32.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.538$ higher doses of stimulants that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:35.538 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.020$ associated with the greater treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.906$ efficacy and its associated with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}40.910 --> 00{:}35{:}41.718 \ {\rm Actually, \ greater},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:41.718 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.330$ better acceptability among patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.936$ and medications work better,

 $00:35:44.936 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.354$ and this outweighs any side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.773$ They have an when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:49.773 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.788$ side effects in these trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.398$ either clinically or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:53.398 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.604$ actual clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00{:}35{:}54.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.530$ you can quickly adjust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.371$ dose down so it so it leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953555

 $00:35:59.371 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.867$ to less dropouts and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

00:36:04.860 --> 00:36:07.254 Again, really backs up the findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:07.254 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.584$ of the original MTA study, and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

00:36:10.584 --> 00:36:12.624 think it's really important clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:12.630 \dashrightarrow 00:36:16.311$ so I put a graph up from actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:16.311 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.766$ article that was published in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

00:36:18.766 --> 00:36:20.806 Orange Journal this past month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.032$ and this was a study that looked at treating

00:36:24.032 --> 00:36:26.950 kids with ADHD and comorbid aggression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:26.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.220$ and essentially kids were put in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.848$ If they had both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603655

 $00:36:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.900$ significant if they had qualified

NOTE Confidence: 0.86038384

 $00:36:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.200$ for diagnosis of ADHD. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572

 $00:36:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.426$ then had a significant aggressive symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572

 $00:36:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.020$ as judged by a threshold an aggression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572

 $00:36:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.338$ rating skill and all the kids were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572

 $00:36:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.100$ Initially optimized on stimulant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572

 $00:36:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.730$ medication so they were put on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8604572

 $00:36:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.358$ stimulant medication and then if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742

 $00:36:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.000$ did not respond to stimulant medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742

 $00:36:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.190$ then they were randomized to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742

00:36:56.190 --> 00:36:58.390 receive either Depa Co Risperdal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742

 $00:36:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.450$ and placebo and they had about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8348742

 $00:37:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.318$ 150 kids that started

 $00:37:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.056$ this study and 63% of them when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:08.056 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.858$ dose of the stimulant was optimized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.126$ for ADHD no longer met the aggression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:14.126 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.928$ criteria of being in the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

00:37:16.928 --> 00:37:19.263 So essentially it seems like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:19.263 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.640$ Risperdal and Deppe coat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.090$ Seem like they were a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.466$ little better than placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:25.466 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.842$ though not statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:26.842 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.468$ 'cause they lost most of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8416026

 $00:37:28.468 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.573$ sample in the open phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.202$ But most of the kids who were really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}35.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.868$ being enrolled in this trial for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.090$ aggression, who had comorbid ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

00:37:40.090 --> 00:37:41.407 symptoms actually optimizing

00:37:41.407 --> 00:37:43.602 the stimulant led to substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00{:}37{:}43.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.409$ improvement in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.522$ An really, at least as a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:48.522 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.299$ makes me wonder how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.520$ many kids are created with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.740$ this load open the stimulant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

00:37:54.740 --> 00:37:56.955 plus Risperdal or Deppe code

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:37:56.955 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.176$ and and whether we should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

00:37:59.176 --> 00:38:01.400 We should really be optimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8227025

 $00:38:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.619$ the dose of stimulants first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80419576

 $00:38:05.950 \dashrightarrow 00:38:10.016$ So Rachel's story continued. So Rachel's roll

NOTE Confidence: 0.80419576

 $00:38:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.210$ call her was. Was increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8467837

 $00:38:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.400$ to a dose of Concerta. 54

NOTE Confidence: 0.8467837

 $00:38:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.280$ milligrams in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8467837

 $00:38:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.289$ We switched her from Adderall to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00:38:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.630$ Concerta, just 'cause the

 $00:38:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.370$ pharmacokinetics made more sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00{:}38{:}25.370 --> 00{:}38{:}27.510$ Is now advancing school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00:38:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.655$ She's on grade levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

00:38:29.655 --> 00:38:32.859 She's excelling in school made honor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8013194

 $00:38:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.000$ roll, receiving excellent behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8067805

 $00:38:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.215$ out valuations from school or ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8067805

 $00:38:38.215 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.220$ Symptoms are now minimum minimal. The mom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:38:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.578$ came in to see me last

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:38:45.580 --> 00:38:48.317 week. It wasn't last week was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}48.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.060$ couple weeks ago in the clinic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}38{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.328$ and I see her every month just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:38:53.328 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.739$ to kind of manage the medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:38:55.739 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.896$ and the real Rachel in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:38:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.860$ Mom said since rate rachels

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.820$ ADHD is improved in school,

 $00:39:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.564$ no one's pushing her like they should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.850$ She's not being challenged and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.660$ letting her off easy on his assignments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:10.660 --> 00:39:12.392 keeping in place educational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:12.392 --> 00:39:14.557 Supports if they probably shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:14.560 --> 00:39:16.936 I hate to bring up race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.915$ but is she being treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:18.915 --> 00:39:20.495 differently because she's black?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:20.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.084$ So Rachel in real clinic life is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:24.084 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.218$ a black patient with ADHD and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}27.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29.072$ This question really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:29.072 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.850$ of stopped me in my tracks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.546$ 'cause I think the answer is clearly yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}35.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.715$ It's quite possible she's being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

00:39:38.715 --> 00:39:41.247 treated differently with her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:41.247 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.720$ ADHD in school and both in the

 $00:39:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.529$ clinic because of her her race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00{:}39{:}47.530 \to 00{:}39{:}49.708$ And that's the basic clinical question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8479111

 $00:39:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.979$ and if you look at the literature on 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

00:39:52.980 --> 00:39:55.708 E, this was a study published in Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:39:55.708 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.592$ that involved in nationally represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:39:57.592 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.336$ sample of over 17,000 kids with 88.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:00.336 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.180$ The fall to 8th grade an looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.120$ outcomes were essentially diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:06.201 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.674$ or assessment for ADHD and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:08.674 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.452$ they were taking medications or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

00:40:11.452 --> 00:40:14.686 And if you were black or Hispanic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.000$ you are much less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.325$ to be diagnosed with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00{:}40{:}19.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.310$ And if you looked among.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.728$ Black and Hispanic children in school.

 $00:40:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.357$ The kids who did have ADHD at 5th grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8535101

 $00:40:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.180$ were much less likely to be receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732185

00:40:32.180 --> 00:40:33.940 pharmacological treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8732185

 $00:40:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.759$ for ADHD. So again, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.525$ the pharmacological treatment is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:38.525 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.293$ again the most effective treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:40.293 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.403$ we know about for ADHD symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:42.403 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.228$ and it's clear it's quite a bit less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.701$ I have also done some work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:47.701 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.818$ the past, I guess looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.940$ the MTA cohort. So again, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:40:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.700$ these were the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}40{:}53.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.528$ the kids with ADHD that were in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}40{:}56.528 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}40{:}58.646$ big NIH DOT study comparing behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:40:58.646 --> 00:41:00.758 treatments to stimulants over 14 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:41:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.233$ and we looked at they actually filed

 $00:41:03.233 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.820$ these kids up to adulthood now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:41:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.070$ But we looked at the eight year follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:41:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.194$ up data and looked at really did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:41:12.194 --> 00:41:14.292 bunch of analysis looking at data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:41:14.292 --> 00:41:16.200 driven predictors of the likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00:41:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.722$ of receiving school discipline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

00:41:17.722 --> 00:41:19.627 So being suspended or expelled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8223581

 $00{:}41{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.670$ from school in the Co work and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.956$ in kids with ADHD predicts who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.484$ going to get suspended

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:26.484 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.008$ or expelled from school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.055$ And if you look at this cohort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

00:41:31.055 --> 00:41:32.579 essentially our main philosophies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.151$ in these data driven approaches is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:35.151 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.536$ throw everything at the kitchens.

 $00:41:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.379$ Except the kitchen sink at them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8388903

 $00:41:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.219$ and then see what comes out

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.052$ as being important and the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:46.052 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.421$ predictor of in this cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:48.421 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.253$ So kids who actually receive the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:51.253 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.619$ evidence base the similar pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00:41:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.512$ treatments and behavioral treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

00:41:55.512 --> 00:41:58.830 for ADHD. If you identified his black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}41{:}58.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.609$ you were 62% more likely to have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

00:42:02.610 --> 00:42:04.970 received school discipline. So over

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

 $00{:}42{:}04.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.500$ the eight year follow up, period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853691

00:42:08.500 --> 00:42:10.980 And and this is an Ann, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.812$ think at the time when I did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

00:42:13.812 --> 00:42:16.640 when we publish this about five years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.412$ this was astonishing to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:18.412 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.892$ I will say it's not astonishing to

 $00{:}42{:}20.892 \to 00{:}42{:}23.718$ me anymore, but it was a mazing to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.916$ That raised was a better predictor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

00:42:25.916 --> 00:42:27.380 of receiving significant different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00{:}42{:}27.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.027$ discipline in school than your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.446$ initial response to medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:30.446 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.570$ How bad your ADHD symptoms were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

00:42:32.570 --> 00:42:35.583 what your gender was, when you had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:35.583 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.769$ whether you had any comorbid diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.799$ At initial baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:39.799 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.828$ So basically everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.245$ I felt like I was trained to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:43.245 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.378$ look at as a psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.530$ With less important than race and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.255$ looking at really school disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.84553564

 $00:42:52.255 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.435$ and outcomes and and then

 $00:42:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.130$ the other issue is our racial. The.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.476$ You are racial implicit associations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:04.476 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.732$ are are how we treat patients of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:07.732 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.805$ races important in the in the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:10.805 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.645$ and treatment of different conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:13.650 --> 00:43:15.859 And although this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:15.860 --> 00:43:17.684 directly related to ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:17.684 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.740$ this was something that came out of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.834$ This study came out of a discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:23.834 --> 00:43:26.495 with Malia, who I think from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:26.495 --> 00:43:28.708 the audience today, and Jerome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:28.708 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.004$ who's now an assistant professor at Penn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:32.004 --> 00:43:35.106 Some also recently got his K award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}35.106 \to 00{:}43{:}37.970$ and it's doing really well and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.665$ was just really came out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:40.665 --> 00:43:42.911 observation of when we're talking

 $00:43:42.911 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.136$ that mostly the adult clinics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.230$ but also the child clinics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.624$ If you looked at the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:49.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.240$ we treated in the OCD clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.748$ we rarely ever treated a black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:43:54.750 --> 00:43:56.368 patient in that clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:43:56.368 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.091$ and if you looked at the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00{:}43{:}59.091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.440$ we were treating for schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.915$ they were primarily by PAC individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:44:03.915 --> 00:44:05.744 That was just something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:44:05.744 --> 00:44:07.429 been striking in my training

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:07.429 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.030$ and my observation that yell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.302$ and I think it's true to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:44:11.302 --> 00:44:13.346 extent in the in the general clinics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:13.346 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.300$ and the specialty clinics too.

 $00:44:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.187$ But I would say little less so in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.032$ So we wanted to know like what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:23.032 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.880$ what's driving this effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:44:24.880 --> 00:44:26.140 What's causing this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

00:44:26.140 --> 00:44:29.080 And the first important thing to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083895

 $00:44:29.154 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.686$ is that there are definitely racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.246$ diagnostic treatment disparities in track in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:44:34.250 --> 00:44:36.690 psychiatry. So prior studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.642$ suggested that individuals black

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:38.642 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.639$ individuals are three to five times

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.190$ more likely to be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}43.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.326$ schizophrenia compared to white patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:44:45.326 --> 00:44:47.030 despite evidence suggesting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:44:47.030 --> 00:44:48.730 similar prevalence across racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.280$ groups. So we wanted to examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.339$ implicit associations or attitudes.

 $00:44:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.442$ Uh, basically appraisals that are made

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}44{:}56.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.071$ automatically and unconsciously and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:44:59.071 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.144$ contribute to health care disparity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.734$ prior research is really conceptualized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:45:04.740 --> 00:45:07.326 Implicit bias ease as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:07.326 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.400$ form of indirect racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.510$ and really we had two study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}12.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.139$ questions and this trial do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}15.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.271$ psychiatrist and trainees have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}17.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.240$ racial implicit associations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

00:45:19.240 --> 00:45:21.386 related to psychiatric diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00{:}45{:}21.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.598$ treatment and compliance an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.645$ And what Democrats demographic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:25.645 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.960$ predict racial implicit associations of any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87044364

 $00:45:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.564$ And so I don't know how many

 $00:45:30.564 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.709$ of you have taken the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}390 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}37.050$ You can look on Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00:45:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.046$ Implicit's website and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.050$ take any one of a number of them. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00:45:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.928$ will also and show you another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00:45:42.928 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.300$ study you can do at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

00:45:45.300 --> 00:45:47.050 looking at child mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8291352

 $00{:}45{:}47.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.580$ but essentially these tasks. You care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:45:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.285$ Black and white faces with different words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:45:55.290 --> 00:45:58.394 So in the first Test you were pairing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}45{:}58.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.657$ them with mood disorders and psychosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:46:01.660 --> 00:46:03.935 The second task, compliance versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:03.935 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.206$ noncompliance, and the third test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:46:06.206 --> 00:46:08.476 We look at pharmacological outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.390$ antidepressants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}46{:}09.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.214$ anti said antipsychotic medications.

 $00:46:11.214 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.029$ This involved around 300

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00{:}46{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.398$ psychiatrists and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:46:14.400 --> 00:46:15.762 students. Quite diverse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:15.762 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.578$ sample only a little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.545$ Over half of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:20.545 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.324$ identified as white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:46:22.330 --> 00:46:23.699 Very good stratification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

00:46:23.700 --> 00:46:24.726 different training levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:24.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.312$ Lots of medical students and roses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:27.312 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.585$ In the mean outcome was D scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80394274

 $00:46:30.585 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.920$ so the strength

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.135$ of Association between how fast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:34.135 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.245$ and how many errors you made when

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:37.245 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.468$ comparing black versus white faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:39.468 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.576$ and the categories of words in this

00:46:42.580 --> 00:46:45.425 case can find versus non compliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:45.425 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.950$ psychotic versus mood disorder and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

 $00:46:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.080$ antipsychotics versus antidepressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

00:46:50.080 --> 00:46:52.612 And basically, participants who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84284675

00:46:52.612 --> 00:46:55.148 categorised white faces more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83124566

 $00:46:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.320$ quickly and with fewer errors

NOTE Confidence: 0.83124566

 $00:46:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.860$ when their parents have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7204995

 $00:47:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.696$ Greater implicit pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.7204995

 $00:47:03.696 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.506$ white anti black bias so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.858$ Associating whitefaces with compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00{:}47{:}11.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.107$ Or the other outcomes and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:16.107 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.259$ just a way of looking at the histogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:19.259 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.505$ of the outcome and so we went when

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:22.505 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.290$ we looked at this in the sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

00:47:25.290 --> 00:47:29.140 I think the first thing was it was striking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

 $00:47:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.425$ but not particularly surprising was

00:47:31.425 --> 00:47:33.253 that most psychiatric providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.843641826666666

00:47:33.253 --> 00:47:34.589 associated faces of black

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879

00:47:34.590 --> 00:47:35.844 individuals with psychosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879

 $00:47:35.844 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.516$ noncompliance an antipsychotic words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879

 $00:47:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.870$ And for any of these three outcomes, about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879

 $00:47:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.350 40\%$ of the sample had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879

 $00:47:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.380$ Moderate are greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540879

 $00:47:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.750$ Association of Black faces with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8172017

 $00{:}47{:}50.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.158$ With psychosis or the OR the other outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8172017

 $00:47:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.000$ and if you looked in the other direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8172017

 $00:47:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.248$ so the. It was about 5%, so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:47:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.750$ were, so they are eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.600$ times more likely to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}03.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.850$ Associations of these providers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.650$ black individuals with psychosis

 $00:48:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.000$ noncompliance and antipsychotics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.250$ Then we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

00:48:11.250 --> 00:48:13.050 characteristics of our sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.750$ and we looked at two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.210$ Provider race and the Big Thing was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

00:48:21.210 --> 00:48:24.500 Black providers did not show this same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.850$ implicit bias as other populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.034$ and then the other big thing was it seemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}31.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.163$ like your amount of implicit bias got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

00:48:34.163 --> 00:48:37.655 worse as you increased level of training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}37.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.480$ and this was true for psychosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}40.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.360$ and antipsychotic medication words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.770$ but not necessarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

00:48:43.770 --> 00:48:46.590 but was not true of compliance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00:48:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.326$ so it seems almost like it's possible that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8816213

 $00{:}48{:}50.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.318$ these implicit biases get trained into.

 $00:48:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.799$ Your potential medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}48{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.746$ education was really striking, so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}48{:}57.750 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.834$ conclusions that psychiatrist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:48:59.834 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.439$ trainees have racial implicit biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:02.439 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.140$ related to psychiatric diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.640$ treatment, and compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:05.640 --> 00:49:08.140 Clinician race and training seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.538$ like they're predictive of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.504$ racial implicit bias ease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:12.504 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.474$ We have additional data

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:14.474 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.428$ that Victor is writing up at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.398$ the moment, suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:19.398 --> 00:49:21.366 greater Self reported childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}21.366 \to 00{:}49{:}23.430$ exposure to black intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.370$ Individuals is actually associated

 $00:49:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.795$ with decreasing racial implicit bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}27.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31.320$ even after controlling for race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}31.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33.876$ And then I think it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.010$ I'm also emphasized that although

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.140$ we just looked it implicit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.311$ bias in these studies that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:41.311 --> 00:49:43.728 additional factors that I wish we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:43.728 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.003$ looked at more in this study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.984$ are really important than that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:48.984 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.345$ including in future studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00{:}49{:}51.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.470$ explicit racism import is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

00:49:53.470 --> 00:49:55.178 Also, structural, systemic, race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81968516

 $00:49:55.180 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.728$ racism are also really important factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85598266

 $00:49:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.700$ And then negative mental health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.85598266

 $00:50:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.899$ outcomes experienced by many black patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85598266

 $00:50:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.546$ If you're looking at what the

00:50:06.546 --> 00:50:09.630 application is, I think the first thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}09.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12.717$ is just education education about racism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00:50:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.516$ racial implicit bias is imperative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

00:50:15.516 --> 00:50:17.903 reducing racism and psychiatric care

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00:50:17.903 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.579$ that it seems like racial diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}20.579 \to 00{:}50{:}22.773$ and psychiatric providers may mitigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00:50:22.773 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.510$ some of these effects of implicit bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

00:50:25.510 --> 00:50:29.086 And then I think the thing we're working

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

 $00{:}50{:}29.086 \to 00{:}50{:}32.128$ on now is, are there similar racial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84440094

00:50:32.128 --> 00:50:33.890 implicit, and explicit biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.874686593333334

 $00:50:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.550$ among. Child, mental health

NOTE Confidence: 0.874686593333334

 $00:50:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.625$ providers and then hopefully doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8784723

 $00{:}50{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.170$ teachers and school workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:50:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.758$ And then the next step for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:50:44.758 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.743$ research is just really developing

 $00:50:46.743 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.395$ interventions and curriculums that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}48.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50{:}385$ reduce racism and implicit bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}50{:}50{:}50{:}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.175$ Then I think another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:50:52.175 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.960$ thing is just measuring the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:50:54.027 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.999$ efficacy of these interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:50:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.010$ So I think there going to be a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:50:59.091 --> 00:51:02.016 interventions that are coming along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.799$ but it would be really great to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}04.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06.829$ have better measures of racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:06.830 --> 00:51:08.840 explicit racism and implicit racism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11.240$ Look at how well this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.928$ improved outcomes within provided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:12.928 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.318$ Within systems and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}15.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.852$ last thing is to look at kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:18.852 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.917$ And so here is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:21.920 --> 00:51:24.848 Applied for the current study we're doing.

 $00:51:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.870$ Looking at external Ising behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.727$ and and racing kids and just trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:29.727 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.408$ to get a similar sample in child

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:32.408 --> 00:51:34.675 psychiatric providers and other mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:34.675 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.387$ health professionals to look at whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.548$ they're similar biases in that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:41.550 --> 00:51:42.043 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:42.043 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.010$ take home points 80.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.469$ HD causes significant impairments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.438$ kids and adults pharmacotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:51:48.440 --> 00:51:50.900 most effective treatment for core

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}50.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.360$ ADHD symptoms across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}51{:}53.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.945$ Higher doses of stimulant medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:55.945 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.330$ have greater efficacy and there actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:51:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.210$ associated with improved acceptability.

00:52:02.210 --> 00:52:04.014 They mitigate about against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:52:04.014 --> 00:52:06.269 many poor outcomes in children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}06.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.730$ and then I think it's important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.952$ in any evidence based presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:10.952 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.940$ about treatment of ADHD in kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.957$ Just to mention that there is racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:16.957 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.782$ in equities are really profound factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:19.782 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.149$ and in the current care and outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:23.237 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.198$ over Dalton with ADHD and then this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

00:52:26.198 --> 00:52:28.835 goes along side of any research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:28.835 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.200$ Optimizing stimulant medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.960$ is also to improve the outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.327$ Of all of our patients with ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00{:}52{:}36.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38.460$ Spectar, particularly the black ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.944$ and so thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8852773

 $00:52:39.944 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.150$ I will leave it open for questions.

00:52:54.670 --> 00:52:58.140 There were two questions in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7095535

 $00:53:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.260$ Any of the chatters want to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89297557

00:53:05.770 --> 00:53:09.130 Ask your question, I think. Justin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7051094

00:53:10.570 --> 00:53:12.780 Jose, did you raise your hand? Go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00:53:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.900$ Thank you doctor black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00:53:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.120$ Great talk. I had a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00:53:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.340$ specifically about the testing for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

00:53:21.340 --> 00:53:23.930 I do know that I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}23.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.780$ if you're familiar with Robert

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}25.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.000$ Williams and how he showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00:53:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.590$ some of the IQ tests were also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

00:53:30.590 --> 00:53:32.440 you know, they scored differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}32.440 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}53{:}34.290$ for Caucasian or white patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00{:}53{:}34.290 \to 00{:}53{:}36.552$ versus black children in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86973363

 $00:53:36.552 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.545$ Have you seen anything like

00:53:38.545 --> 00:53:40.675 that with the ADHD testing like

NOTE Confidence: 0.75379405

 $00:53:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.600$ the Vanderbilt or. They the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75379405

 $00{:}53{:}43.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46.370$ ADHD four that you know that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.75379405

00:53:46.370 --> 00:53:48.748 also shows any racial bias. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537

 $00:53:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.308$ I'm I'm by no means an expert in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537

00:53:52.310 --> 00:53:55.874 I sort of came about it in a data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537

 $00{:}53{:}55.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59.418$ driven way after blocker muted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7771537

00:53:59.420 --> 00:54:01.440 You did know you're good, you're good, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}04.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.990$ I think there's a lot of complexities too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.786$ The diagnosis and treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}12.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.576$ ADHD by race and ethnicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.444$ and I don't think it's a simple story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.442$ I think they're probably different cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:19.442 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.400$ points on assessments and informants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.836$ It affects the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:22.836 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.350$ I don't know the literature that well.

 $00:54:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.221$ I would also say it's I think I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}54{:}28.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30.712$ it's a great under simplification

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:30.712 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.377$ of what I've said regarding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:54:33.380 --> 00:54:35.975 I think it would be too much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:54:35.975 --> 00:54:38.540 a take home message just to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:54:38.540 --> 00:54:41.006 You know Bipac children or underdiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.880$ or treated for ADHD that clearly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.712$ assessment and treatment of in all this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:46.712 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.460$ is going to be much more complex than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.184$ I also really worried about the proper

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:54.184 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.750$ assessment of comorbid disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.542$ You know I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:54:57.542 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.153$ I just worry that this is more of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:00.153 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.596$ circle surrogate for less mental health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:55:02.600 --> 00:55:03.832 psychiatric care in general,

 $00:55:03.832 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.300$ and that it's not only that the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.144$ are being left diagnosed with ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:08.144 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.684$ but that we're also missing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.608$ other other factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.598$ And and I think that was one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:12.598 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.309$ thing that was really hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:55:14.310 --> 00:55:15.507 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

00:55:15.507 --> 00:55:17.502 I completely ducked the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}55{:}17.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.114$ of how I'm going to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:20.114 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.300$ this in the family other than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00{:}55{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24.450$ Affirming that the Moms concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078677

 $00:55:24.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.170$ is probably well validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}28.260 \to 00{:}55{:}32.900$ But I don't know. I think there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.077$ of research to be done in the area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.336$ and what I can say is it's probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}37.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.708$ a fairly large effect and I I don't.

 $00:55:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.958$ I don't pretend to understand how it all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

00:55:41.958 --> 00:55:44.038 works and how it should be measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.352$ but I think that's something that our our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:46.352 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.670$ field and really needs to start focusing on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

00:55:48.670 --> 00:55:50.686 'cause at least in the data driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:50.686 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.714$ approaches, it's as important is how well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

00:55:52.714 --> 00:55:54.672 you respond to stimulants, which, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00{:}55{:}54.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.078$ stimulants work better than any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8959528

 $00:55:57.078 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.569$ medication I know of for any condition.