## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:35:40.0960000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.348$  To treating trans children

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:02.348 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.870$  and we really learn a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:05.870 --> 00:00:09.118 A lot of content, a lot of knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:09.120 --> 00:00:11.776 but also we love Jack and Jack had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}00{:}11.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.474$ a wonderful presence and a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:14.474 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.426$  way of conveying information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.860$  And so we became Jack fans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:18.860 --> 00:00:21.296 And as I think of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:21.300 --> 00:00:24.240 to introduce you to Jack for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.737$  of you who don't know him because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.812$  I'll share a secret.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:28.812 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.616$  He's not perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.720$  He's not a child psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.148$  But other than that,

 $00{:}00{:}33.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.933$  he comes pretty darn close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.725$  He is a clinical professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:36.725 --> 00:00:38.153 of psychiatry at Columbia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.308$  and although he's not a card

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:40.308 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.382$  carrying child psychiatrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}00{:}41.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.889$  he very much is a developmental thinker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:43.890 --> 00:00:46.354 And everything that I've heard him speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}00{:}46.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.174$  and he has for many years been one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:49.174 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.769$  of the leading experts in the therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.970$  An understanding of gay and lesbian youth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:00:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.975$  We are fellow editors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:57.975 --> 00:00:59.980 I just learned that Jack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:00:59.980 --> 00:01:02.988 I knew he had been an editor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:02.988 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.997$  we both get us into the decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.583$  Each Jack was the editor in Chief

 $00:01:08.583 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.475$  of the Journal of Gay and Lesbian

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:11.475 --> 00:01:13.610 Mental Health Training in 1997,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.417$  and he took that Journal from an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:16.417 --> 00:01:17.620 occasional publication every.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:17.620 --> 00:01:18.396 However now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:18.396 --> 00:01:20.724 the leading Journal with the monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:20.724 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.639$  issues and a very robust scholarship there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:23.640 --> 00:01:25.284 And Speaking of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:25.284 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.106$  is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:26.110 --> 00:01:28.738 The official outlet publication outlet of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:28.738 --> 00:01:31.769 the Association of Game was in Psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.670$  which track is a member?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:34.670 --> 00:01:37.286 Jack also is a card carrying

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:37.286 --> 00:01:39.590 psychoanal training list I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.719$  and he has written a book really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:42.720 --> 00:01:46.734 One one of the fundamental books in the area,

 $00:01:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.081$  the psychoanalytic treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}01{:}48.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.316$  psychoanalytic therapy of the game,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.765$  ma'am,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:50.765 --> 00:01:53.435 which was really a groundbreaking work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:01:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.228$  and more recently, Jack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:55.228 --> 00:01:57.910 more recently over the past decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:01:57.910 --> 00:02:00.346 Jack has been making major contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:00.346 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.280$  to the field of gender dysphoria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}02{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.060$  gender diversity, trans health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.840$  Transmute and really helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}02{:}06.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.649$  us with these issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}02{:}08.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.122$  Jack is now the section editor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}02{:}11.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.218$  for the upcoming revision of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:02:13.218 --> 00:02:15.058 the DSM 5 Gender Dysphoria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.700$  so he really is an authority in the

00:02:17.700 --> 00:02:20.358 area and what I love about Jack's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:20.358 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.826$  work is that his authority comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:02:22.826 --> 00:02:25.191 from clinical direct care from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:02:25.191 --> 00:02:27.573 Real Immersion in clinical work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:27.573 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.437$  and for that I get off my head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

00:02:31.440 --> 00:02:31.992 Do you Jack?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:31.992 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.626$  I also tip my hat as I learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:33.626 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.336$  you went to medical school in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}02{:}35.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.172$  Italy and partly Italiano and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:37.172 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.408$  could like train Italian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00{:}02{:}38.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.209$  but for today he's gonna look training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.274$  So Jack. Welcome to the Child study center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7525187

 $00:02:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.336$  Thank you for joining us take their way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8825393

00:02:47.880 --> 00:02:52.408 OK well. No, you guys will let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8825393

00:02:52.408 --> 00:02:55.060 know if you can hear me if we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8825393

 $00:02:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.569$  any sound problems, OK, perfect,

00:02:56.569 --> 00:02:58.080 you're perfect right? Alright so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7718581

 $00{:}03{:}00.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.520$  Trying to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:07.270 --> 00:03:12.304 OK, so. My I'm not a child psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:12.304 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.489$  and actually don't treat children

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:14.489 --> 00:03:16.629 like maybe older adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:16.630 --> 00:03:19.468 but came interested based on these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:19.468 --> 00:03:22.680 work that I started doing back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.046$  2008 with the DSM five work group on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:26.046 --> 00:03:28.224 \*\*\*\*\* and gender identity disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:28.224 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.780$  or and then and then later here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}03{:}32.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35.741$  And then later with the Icy River

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:35.741 --> 00:03:38.048 Working group on \*\*\*\*\*\* disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}03{:}38.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.114$  impression talk a little bit about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.150$  And apparently I'm in section

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:03:43.150 --> 00:03:46.434 editor of the DSM 5 text revision

 $00:03:46.434 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.758$  chapter on gender dysphoria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}03{:}48.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.762$  So one of the things that happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:50.762 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.216$  when when I when I started working in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}03{:}53.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.204$  this area prior to this I had seen some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:56.204 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.298$  patients with transgender but mostly was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:03:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.836$  working with gay and lesbian patients was I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}04{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.783$  I became struck by like the the idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:03.783 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.245$  there's a big picture that I can't see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.158$  You know that and I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.750$  I didn't need anybody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}04{:}09.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.340$  did see a big picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.188$  And the closest I could come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:13.188 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.162$  the big picture was six blindfolded

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}04{:}15.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.650$  scientists trying to describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.329$  an elephant that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.305$  as you'll see.

 $00:04:19.305 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.255$  They promote will talk about there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}04{:}21.255 \to 00{:}04{:}23.695$  so many moving parts in this subject,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.340$  it's really hard to reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.652$  the conversation to oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.548$  it's just one thing because it's not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:29.548 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.402$  one thing when we're talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:32.402 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.379$  way we understand and perform our genders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.915$  So I'll start right with the headline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.292$  This was last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:40.292 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.310$  year ago, October.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.290$  There was the headline in Texas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.799$  was up to parents who had seven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}04{:}45.800 --> 00{:}04{:}46.510 \ \mathrm{were \ divorced},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.640$  had seven year old Twins that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.268$  were assigned male at birth,

 $00:04:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.552$  and one of the Twins experience gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}04{:}52.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.368$  dysphoria and the mom wanted to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:55.368 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.143$  child socially transitioned to female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.862$  The dad was supposed in this went to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:04:59.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.310$  court and I'll come back to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.970$  But this is something that's in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.972$  news now and I will have some more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}05{:}07.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.549$  headlines at the end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.713$  So this is the table of contents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:05:12.713 --> 00:05:14.793 I'm going to define some terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:14.793 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.593$  for you in the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}05{:}16.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.147$  I'm going to give you a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:19.147 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.638$  history about how these diagnosis evolved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:05:21.640 --> 00:05:23.817 and we thought about some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:23.817 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.330$  controversies around these diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}05{:}25.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.674$  Something about the treatment

 $00:05:26.674 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.018$  approaches of the children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.036$  and some brief mention of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:30.036 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.389$  the DSM five in the DSM will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.078$  This involved in the ICD 11 now stand?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:05:35.080 --> 00:05:36.690 So \*\*\* refers to biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:05:36.690 --> 00:05:38.770 attributes of being male or female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.840$  usually understood in terms of reproductive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:05:40.840 --> 00:05:42.644 Passing loving \*\*\* chromosomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:42.644 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.546$  gonads Celeste.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:43.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.166$  Turn off your sound \*\*\* hormones and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:47.166 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.426$  ambiguous internal and external genitalia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.568$  Gender is the public and usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}05{:}52.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54.660$  legally recognized lived role

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:54.747 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.106$  is boy or girl man or woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:05:57.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.540$  Biological factors seen as contributing

 $00:05:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.970$  and interaction with social and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:06:02.038 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.048$  psychological factors to gender development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:06:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.562$  \*\*\*\*\* orientation refers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:06:06.562 --> 00:06:08.080 a person's \*\*\*\*\* response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:06:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.600$  tendency, or \*\*\*\*\* attractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:06:09.600 --> 00:06:11.868 You they directed some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

00:06:11.868 --> 00:06:14.142 same \*\*\* which we call homosexual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:06:14.142 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.800$  the other sexes, heterosexual or both sexes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:06:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.180$  bisexual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00:06:17.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.244$  These terms are not great when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814648

 $00{:}06{:}19.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.620$ we're talking about transgender

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:20.683 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.484$  individuals, because when are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:06:22.484 --> 00:06:24.752 we talking about a person \*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.650$  orientation before or after transition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.009$  So the import. So so better words

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00{:}06{:}29.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.199$  which are in the literature.

 $00:06:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.125$  Androphilic means the person is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00{:}06{:}33.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.050$  attracted to men and gynephilic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:35.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.180$  Means oppression is attracted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.813$  women and this is an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:06:37.813 --> 00:06:39.187 distinction because historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:06:39.190 --> 00:06:41.269 until the middle of the 20th century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:41.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.450$  for the most part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:06:42.450 --> 00:06:43.925 that gender identity and \*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.706$  orientation were conflated with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.358$  They're not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:46.358 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.302$  and we'll talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00{:}06{:}48.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.219$  about some of the differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:06:50.220 --> 00:06:52.650 Now \*\*\*\*\* identities or \*\*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.578$  orientation identity is refers

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:54.578 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.988$  to the subjective experience of

 $00:06:56.988 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.455 1 ****** desires or attractions,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:06:59.455 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.366$  and so callings when somebody, themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:02.366 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.796$  someone who calls themselves homosexual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:07:04.800 --> 00:07:06.183 lesbian, gay, bisexual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:06.183 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.949$  These identities involve some measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:07:08.949 --> 00:07:12.087 self acceptance of their homosexual desires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:07:12.090 --> 00:07:15.114 and perhaps identification within a community

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:15.114 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.925$  of others having same \*\*\* attractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:07:17.925 --> 00:07:20.350 OK, \*\*\*\*\*\* identities required overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.278$  It's a developmental process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00{:}07{:}22.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.688$  Not every body who experiences a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:07:26.942 --> 00:07:29.157 homosexual behavior develops a gay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.486$  lesbian bisexual identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

00:07:30.486 --> 00:07:32.696 The Centers for Disease Control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00{:}07{:}32.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.206$  the CDC, for example, and follow.

00:07:37.210 --> 00:07:41.170 Could people mute themselves please?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.680$  So back Jack, do me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.884$  I'm gonna mute everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80967236

 $00:07:43.884 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.488$  And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8034653

 $00:07:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.604$  you're the only one who needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8034653

00:07:46.604 --> 00:07:48.418 unmute himself. OK, give me just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8034653

 $00:07:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.930$  one second. OK, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

 $00:07:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.330$  OK, you can hear me now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:07:58.330 --> 00:07:59.643 Yep, perfect, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

 $00:07:59.643 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.307$  So the CDC for example in tracking HIV

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:08:02.307 --> 00:08:04.555 infections doesn't keep track of gay men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:08:04.560 --> 00:08:07.184 They keep track of men who have \*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:08:07.190 --> 00:08:09.479 with men because not all men who

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:08:09.479 --> 00:08:11.780 have \*\*\* with men identify as gay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:08:11.780 --> 00:08:13.985 So \*\*\*\*\*\* identity how I think and

00:08:13.985 --> 00:08:16.371 feel about my \*\*\*\*\* attractions is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

00:08:16.371 --> 00:08:18.328 synonymous with the \*\*\*\*\* orientation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92038673

 $00{:}08{:}18.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.280$  to whom I'm attracted to. Hold on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:08:24.790 --> 00:08:27.518 OK, a gender identity, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.893$  is an identity that refers to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:08:29.893 --> 00:08:31.610 individual's identification as either male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:08:31.610 --> 00:08:32.974 female, or occasionally some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:32.974 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.020$  category other than male or female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00{:}08{:}35.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.066$  and to repeat a gender identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:08:37.070 --> 00:08:40.139 Whether I think of myself as male or female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00{:}08{:}40.140 --> 00{:}08{:}41.500$  tells you nothing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:08:41.500 --> 00:08:42.521 my \*\*\*\*\* orientation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00{:}08{:}42.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.908$  whether I'm attracted to men or women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.956$  Historically, this did seem to matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.054$  If you were assigned birth as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:49.054 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.111$  male and were attracted to women

 $00:08:51.111 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.183$  and you went to see the doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:53.183 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.327$  about having a gender transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.465$  You couldn't tell them that you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:57.465 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.108$  attracted to women because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:08:59.108 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.106$  weren't going to produce a lesbian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.590$  These are not the standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.640$  of care in the 21st century,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.380$  but in the beginning of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.490$  the of this kind of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.416$  It was a standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:10.420 \dashrightarrow 00:09:12.418$  Gender a typical refers to somatic features

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:12.418 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.707$  or behaviors that are not typical in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00{:}09{:}14.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.212$  a statistical sense of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:16.212 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.460$  with the same assigned gender in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.045$  given society and historical error.

 $00:09:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.410$  Another way of talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:22.410 --> 00:09:23.826 his gender nonconforming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719 00:09:23.830 --> 00:09:24.071 No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:24.071 --> 00:09:25.999 a birth sign boy who likes to play

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:25.999 --> 00:09:27.705 with Barbies doesn't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:27.705 --> 00:09:29.109 have gender dysphoria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.819$  but they have what might be called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:31.819 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.720$  an atypical gender interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:33.720 --> 00:09:35.056 Transgender is a popular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:35.056 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.482$  not a scientific term for people whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00{:}09{:}37.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.297$  gender identity or gender expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:39.297 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.863$  or behavior does not conform to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:41.863 --> 00:09:44.064 typically associated with the \*\*\* to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:44.064 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.668$  which they were assigned at birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:46.670 --> 00:09:49.184 Other terms that people are using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00{:}09{:}49.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.744$ an known I Presley cannot keep

 $00:09:51.744 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.754$  track of all the terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:53.760 --> 00:09:56.256 but they include things like gender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:56.260 --> 00:09:57.514 fluid, gender nonconforming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:09:57.514 --> 00:09:58.350 nonbinary, genderqueer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:09:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.242$  bigender, to search these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

 $00:10:00.242 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.134$  Alternatives to calling oneself

NOTE Confidence: 0.8486719

00:10:02.134 --> 00:10:03.660 male or female?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.271$  A gender expression is how an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}10{:}08.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}09.829$  demonstrates their agenda to others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.546$  Myra manner of dress behaviors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:11.546 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.473$  appearance and the term is increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:13.473 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.657$  being used in non discrimination documents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:10:15.660 --> 00:10:17.851 That is, you don't necessarily have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:17.851 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.449$  be a transgender person to have a gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:20.449 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.790$  expression that's not typical of your gender,

 $00:10:22.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.110$  but so the laws that are being written

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.648$  saying you refer to your gender expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.126$  You shouldn't be discriminated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}10{:}29.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.971$  against yourself because of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:30.971 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.029$  you publicly express your gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}10{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.076$  Gender variant is a non pathologizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:10:35.076 --> 00:10:36.830 term used to describe individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.133$  at any age who might be otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}10{:}39.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.067$  referred to by the medical terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:10:41.070 --> 00:10:42.822 such as gender dysphoric, which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}10{:}42.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.430$  use in the DSM and gender in congruent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:45.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.056$  which is we're using in the ICD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:10:49.060 --> 00:10:49.792 Gender Simon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:10:49.792 --> 00:10:50.158 Historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:50.158 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.354$  people used to talk about people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:52.354 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.179$  biological females or biological males,

00:10:54.180 --> 00:10:56.660 except we don't know where the biology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}10{:}56.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.940$  transgender or cisgender presentations lies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:10:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.184$  So the more descriptive terms being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:11:01.184 --> 00:11:03.699 used are birth assigned male or female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.628$  or individual sign male or female at birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.430$  I would point out there is another term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:11:09.430 --> 00:11:11.972 Natal male or female which we were using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:11.972 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.050$  in DSM 5 and was considered acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.154$  But as the language changes now it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:18.154 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.429$  not considered an acceptable term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.350$  A disorder of \*\*\* development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:11:22.350 --> 00:11:22.711 Historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}11{:}22.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.599$  what we refer to as from Africa is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:25.599 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.109$  Mafra Dites or an intersex condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:28.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.190$  Conditions of inborn somatic deviations

 $00:11:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.198$  of the reproductive tract from the norm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}11{:}33.198 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}11{:}35.368$  or discrepancies among the conventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}11{:}35.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.680$  biological indicators of male and female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.690$  As there is also an intersex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.695$  movement that doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.828$  members of whom not all but some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:42.828 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.535$  members don't like the medicalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:44.535 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.090$  of their of their bodies they liked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}11{:}47.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.106$  they prefer to call it differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}11{:}49.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.550$  and \*\*\* development and there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.138$  debate about what we will use in DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:11:54.140 --> 00:11:56.020 5 TR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:56.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.465$  Gender dysphoria is a longstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:57.465 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.322$  term that refers to the distress

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:11:59.322 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.117$  that can accompany the incongruence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:01.117 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.954$  between ones experienced or expressed

 $00{:}12{:}02.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.699$  gender and ones assigned gender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}12{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.948$  and it's the term we came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:06.948 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.150$  to replace the diagnosis of gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.718$  identity disorder or GID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:10.720 --> 00:12:13.888 In DSM 4 this is now the name of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:13.888 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.197$  the diagnosis in DSM 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:16.200 --> 00:12:18.498 In the in the ICD 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:18.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.690$  this is a marked and persistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}12{:}20.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.150$  in congruence between individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:22.214 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.239$  experience gender and assign gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}12{:}24.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.410$  This replaced the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.146$  transsexualism and gender identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:28.146 --> 00:12:29.986 disorder of childhood in ICD 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:29.990 --> 00:12:32.664 and most importantly in the ICD 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.379$  this diagnosis was moved out of the

 $00:12:35.379 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.129$  mental disorder section of the ICD and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}12{:}38.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.649$  in the International Classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:39.649 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.685$  is part of part of a chapter called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:42.685 --> 00:12:44.557 conditions related to \*\*\*\*\* health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:44.557 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.939$  and we may talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:46.940 --> 00:12:47.389 Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:47.389 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.185$  gender reassignment or gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:49.185 --> 00:12:51.430 confirmation is an official and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.620$  sometimes legal change of gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:12:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.580$  People used to call these things \*\*\* change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:12:58.580 --> 00:13:00.680 Gender can be with children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:13:00.680 --> 00:13:01.940 prepubescent children's particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00{:}13{:}01.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.460$  This transition is a social transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

00:13:04.460 --> 00:13:06.560 No medical treatment or surgeries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:13:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.660$  are done in prepubescent children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:13:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.180$  Some adults also only transition socially.

 $00:13:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.472$  They do not take hormones or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477832

 $00:13:13.472 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.000$  use surgery with hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:13:15.078 --> 00:13:16.640 treatment. Feminizing hormones

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.160$  are used to create female effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}13{:}19.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.260$  and someone assigned male at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:13:21.260 --> 00:13:22.940 birth or masculinizing hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.240$  and someone assigned female at birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:13:26.240 --> 00:13:27.311 Gender confirmation surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:13:27.311 --> 00:13:29.838 which used to be called \*\*\* reassignment

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:29.838 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.484$  surgery or procedures by which a person's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:13:32.484 --> 00:13:34.242 physical appearance and function of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:34.242 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.906$  their existing \*\*\*\*\* characteristics are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:35.906 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.770$  altered to resemble that of the other \*\*\*.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}13{:}38.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.912$  It has other names like gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:40.912 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.346$  reassignment surgery, gender realignment,

00:13:42.346 --> 00:13:43.778 surgery and I'll repeat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:13:43.780 --> 00:13:46.286 surgery is rarely performed in minors who

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:46.286 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.149$  do not have a disorder of \*\*\*\*\* development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:49.149 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.662$  Transsexual is a historic term in medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:51.662 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.041$  term for individuals who receive hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:54.041 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.900$  or surgical treatment to modify their bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.484$  So it conforms to the gender identity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:13:59.484 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.987$  the treatment can be partial versus complete,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.032$  and that can vary for any number of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:05.040 --> 00:14:07.152 Some people don't want every don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}14{:}07.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.100$  want everything that can be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.460$  Some people can't afford

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.480$  everything they want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.168$  They want to be done if its transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:14.168 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.878$  from assigned male to female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.600$  The literature refers to these people as MTF.

 $00:14:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.295$  The community refers to such

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:20.295 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.490$  a person as a trans woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:22.490 --> 00:14:25.194 If the if it moves in the opposite

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:25.194 --> 00:14:26.979 direction from female to male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.388$  the literature talks about female to male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:29.390 --> 00:14:29.707 FTM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:29.707 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.926$  and the community refers to such person

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:31.926 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.812$  as a trans men and again keeping in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:34.812 --> 00:14:36.979 mind that gender identity and \*\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.710$  orientation may be independent variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:38.710 --> 00:14:40.090 So Caitlyn Jenner is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:40.090 --> 00:14:42.160 as we as probably everybody knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:42.160 --> 00:14:44.224 is a very famous trans woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:44.224 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.256$  who publicly transitioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.365$  is publicly known to be going to fill it.

 $00:14:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.146$  That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:49.146 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.862$  she was attracted to women before transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}14{:}51.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.358$  and it's still attracted to women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:14:54.360 --> 00:14:57.648 Chaz Bono, the child of Sonny and Cher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:14:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.116$  is again probably known to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:00.116 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.760$  going in the filak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.233$  attracted to women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:03.233 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.688$  identified as a lesbian before

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:05.688 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.980$  transition and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.332$  Cisgender now this is a term used

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:09.332 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.343$  in the transgender community to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:11.343 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.673$  describe an individual whose gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:15:13.673 --> 00:15:15.850 identities aligned with their sign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.256$  \*\*\* at birth and its parallels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.534$  The historical current coinage of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:15:20.534 --> 00:15:22.700 terms homosexuality and her \*\*\*\*\*\*\*.

 $00:15:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.442$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:23.442 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.668$  the word homosexuality actually was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:25.668 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.740$  in use way before the term heterosexuality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.352$  There was normal people

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.964$  and they were homosexuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.690$  But eventually scientists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:33.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.410$  researchers started calling people

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.053$  who weren't homosexual heterosexual's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:37.053 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.219$  an this term is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.440$  So transgender people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.896$  Coined the term cisgender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:42.896 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.433$  which is derived from the Latin meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}15{:}45.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.980$  on the same size as in the sense SIS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8272641300:15:47.980 --> 00:15:48.306 trans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:15:48.306 --> 00:15:49.936 Isomer distinction in organic chemistry,

00:15:49.940 --> 00:15:52.229 and if you remember your organic chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}15{:}52.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54.192$  if you draw an invisible a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:15:54.192 --> 00:15:55.500 plane through the through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.480$  the two molecules here they both

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.429$  have the same number of atoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:15:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.500$  but there one is assists isomer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}16{:}01.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.522$  because the two molecules in green

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}16{:}03.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.552$  on the same side of the invisible

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00{:}16{:}05.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.574$  plane and the trans out isomers

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:16:07.574 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.705$  because the two molecules are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:16:09.705 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.495$  opposite signs of the invisible plane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:16:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.040$  Transphobia is derived from

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:16:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.195$  the term homophobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:16:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.740$  which meant an irrational

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

00:16:16.740 --> 00:16:19.050 fear or hatred of gay people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82726413

 $00:16:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.434$  both internal or external.

 $00:16:20.434 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.510$  Transphobia includes a wide range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}16{:}22.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.673$  negative attitudes or feelings or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:16:24.673 --> 00:16:26.357 actions towards transgender people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:16:26.360 --> 00:16:28.838 It's seen as underlying much of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:28.838 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.802$  social stigma confronted by transgender

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:16:30.802 --> 00:16:32.907 individuals such as bathroom bills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:16:32.910 --> 00:16:35.598 which are mentioned a little bit later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.980$  and it's also presumed to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.220$  cause of the fatal violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.423$  which is disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}16{:}41.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.626$  affecting transgender women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.090$  Color so some other terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}16{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.550$  Misgender misgendering refers to naming

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.010$  somebody by the incorrect gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:16:50.010 --> 00:16:53.538 So if you meet a trans person

 $00:16:53.538 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.083$  who's presenting female and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}16{:}56.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.867$  use male pronouns or male name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:16:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.307$  that would be referred to as misgendering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}02.310 \longrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.320$  Deadnaming refers to using the name of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.802$  a person after they've transitioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:07.802 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.659$  their that is their pre transition name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.692$  which many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:12.692 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.756$  Trans people find offensive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.490$  An important distinction is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.340$  Sometimes this can be accidental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}20.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.812$  which happens to every body

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:21.812 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.284$  or can happen deliberately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.364$  which is often meant to be hurtful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.890$  Pronouns are another issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.030$  Many probably no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.930$  Facebook offers more than 50

 $00:17:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.450$  gender options for pronouns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}33.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.278$  I have not remembered the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.536$  Best way to deal with the issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:17:39.536 --> 00:17:41.997 pronouns is to ask what are your pronouns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.770$  not what are your preferred pronouns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.430$  Just. What are your pronouns?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.100$  Gender policing refers to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}49.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.770$  imposition or enforcement of normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}51.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.127$  gender expressions on others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.610$  Mundane example of gender policing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}17{:}55.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.074$  Whichever he might he might do is if

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:17:58.074 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.028$  you're walking out of the Mens room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:18:00.030 --> 00:18:02.685 If you're a man walking out of Mens room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.160$  you sold in the accident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.640$  you know inadvertently walking in.

 $00:18:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.410$  You might tell her she's walking

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:18:07.410 --> 00:18:08.590 into the wrong restroom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.870$  because that's the way we police

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.010$  gender in public.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.635$  Gatekeeping refers to the role this commit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.258$  The trend that comes from the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}18{:}17.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.556$  This was the term they gave to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:19.556 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.678$  role of mental health professionals

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}18{:}21.678 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.138$  who were given the assignment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:24.138 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.502$  of determining who was a real

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}18{:}26.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.132$  transsexual and who was not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:28.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.384$  and so there was a time

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:30.384 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.506$  when transition involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:18:31.510 --> 00:18:33.385 There is still some gatekeeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:33.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.510$  that's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.066$  Particularly surgeons would likes

 $00:18:36.066 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.011$  mental health professionals to agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:38.011 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.760$  that the surgery is necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.805$  but not so much the case for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:42.805 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.675$  hormones anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:18:43.680 --> 00:18:44.754 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:44.754 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.544$  Countertransference is a common thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:46.544 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.572$  that occurs if you're treating a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}18{:}48.572 \longrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.450$  transgender patients where a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:18:50.512 --> 00:18:52.132 people think that they're supposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:52.132 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.456$  to talk the patient out of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}18{:}54.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.544$  gender dysphoria and not make any

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:18:56.544 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.136$  decisions that would lead to a gender change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}18{:}59.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.110$  Gender beliefs are implicit cultural

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}19{:}01.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.080$  ideas about the essential qualities

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:03.137 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.202$  of men and women that usually only

 $00:19:05.202 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.859$  allow the existence of two sexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}19{:}06.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.540$  An gender beliefs are expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:19:08.540 --> 00:19:09.548 in everyday language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.894$  Data science of binary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:10.894 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.910$  gendered meanings to what individuals do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:19:12.910 --> 00:19:16.618 think, and. Dylan just mundane examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.643$  The need to qualify the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:18.643 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.859$  your doctor is a woman or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}19{:}20.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.459$  qualified affect the nurse treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:22.459 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.652$  in the hospital as a male because

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:24.652 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.784$  we usually think of the of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:26.784 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.016$  professions as being gendered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.856$  Maybe not so much in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00{:}19{:}29.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31.708$  You know, for positions as much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

00:19:31.710 --> 00:19:33.558 but certainly for the nursing profession,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618736

 $00:19:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.716$  it's still seen as a female profession,

 $00:19:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.540$  but most. Gender binaries are

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.360$  maintained by insisting that every

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:39.418 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.566$  individual be assigned to the category

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:41.566 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.979$  assigned to the category of man and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:43.979 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.821$  woman at birth and that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:45.821 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.705$  conform to the categories to which

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

00:19:47.705 --> 00:19:48.965 they've been assigned thereafter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:48.970 \dashrightarrow 00:19:51.697$  and the categories of man and woman or boy

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:51.697 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.639$  and Girl are considered mutually exclusive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

 $00:19:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.908$  So now I'm just going to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.823642593333334

00:19:56.908 --> 00:19:59.326 bit about the history of the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}20{:}06.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.532$  So Richard von Krafft Ebbing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:08.532 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.374$  German Austrian psychiatrists in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:20:10.374 --> 00:20:12.453 19th century wrote a very famous book

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:20:12.453 --> 00:20:14.369 called the Psychopathia Sexualis,

00:20:14.370 --> 00:20:15.766 mostly written in Latin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:20:15.766 --> 00:20:17.511 so that only priests and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:20:17.511 --> 00:20:19.148 doctors could understand it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.551$  and in it he documented cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:21.551 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.432$  gender dysphoria and gender variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:23.432 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.747$  individuals born to one \*\*\* but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:25.747 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.316$  living as members of the other \*\*\*.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.959$  But he referred to this as homosexuality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.792$  That is, it's not until the middle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:33.792 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.233$  the 20th century that that there's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:37.233 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.888$  common discernment between the notions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}20{:}39.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.564$  of \*\*\*\*\* orientation and gender identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:42.564 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.944$  So transgender people described in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:44.944 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.278$  this book are seen as happiness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:20:47.280 --> 00:20:48.368 psychiatric illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:48.368 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.176$  and are also seen as coma sexuals.

00:20:52.180 --> 00:20:53.588 It's actually Magnus Hirschfeld,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:53.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.996$  another German psychiatrist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:54.996 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.640$  was openly homosexual himself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:20:56.640 --> 00:20:59.181 who did the guy who first wrote

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:20:59.181 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.711$  about distinguishing the desires

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:00.711 --> 00:21:02.581 of homosexuality to partners of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:02.581 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.206$  the same \*\*\* from the desires of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:05.206 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.800$  transsexualism to live as the other \*\*\*.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:07.800 --> 00:21:08.919 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:08.919 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.038$  by the 1920s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:10.040 --> 00:21:11.153 positions in Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:11.153 --> 00:21:13.008 or performing gender confirmation surgeries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}21{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.870$  so that when Christine Jorgensen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.730$  had her surgery in 1952,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.334$  this has been going on for decades,

00:21:19.340 --> 00:21:21.200 not in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.636$  but certainly in Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:22.636 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.278$  This was a story that made international

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:25.278 --> 00:21:27.732 headlines that the New York Daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}21{:}27.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.523$  News ex GI becomes blonde beauty but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:30.523 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.243$  but the the the account that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:33.243 --> 00:21:36.081 the medical account of the surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:36.081 --> 00:21:39.085 action was published in the Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:39.085 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.595$  of the American Medical Association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.766$  So these so these diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}21{:}43.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.570$  which we now call gender dysphoria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}21{:}46.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.414$  gender and gender incongruent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:48.414 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.719$  don't actually appear in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:50.719 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.357$  DSM one or DSM two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:21:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.130$  or in the Icd's seven or 867 or eight and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:21:56.228 --> 00:21:59.820 many in the middle of the 20th century.

00:21:59.820 --> 00:22:01.472 Many physicians and psychiatrists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}22{:}01.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.711$  and particularly psychoanalytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:02.711 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.782$  practitioners, would criticize the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:04.782 --> 00:22:06.848 of surgery and hormones, irreversibly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:06.848 --> 00:22:08.888 treat people suffering from what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:08.888 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.990$  they thought was either severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.388$  neurotic or psychotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:12.388 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.320$  Delusional condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:13.320 --> 00:22:15.525 In need of psychotherapy and reality testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.790$  there's actually a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:16.790 --> 00:22:17.420 prominent psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:17.420 --> 00:22:18.012 Paul McHugh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:18.012 --> 00:22:19.492 who was the former chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:19.492 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.880$  of Psychiatry at Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.455$  who shut down their gender

 $00:22:22.455 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.715$  clinic in the 1980s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.290$  who actually still believes this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.210$  although he hasn't actually come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.767$  with the protocol of how one talks a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:29.767 --> 00:22:31.585 person out of their gender dysphoria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.450$  But he does have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.060$  of opinions about it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.836$  There were several several people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}22{:}36.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.150$ I won't go into detail today about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.142$  These are names that you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

00:22:41.142 --> 00:22:43.574 want to look up even on even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:43.574 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.289$  on Wikipedia if you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.954$  People who who did early research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:47.954 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.530$  on gender identities and who whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00:22:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.410$  work led to the inclusion of the DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8253183

 $00{:}22{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.168$  Three of these diagnosis and later in

 $00:22:56.168 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.908$  the ICD as well having to do with gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.138$  So this is just look historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:04.138 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.359$  of where the diagnosis existed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:06.359 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.519$  the various iterations of his DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.336$  It's important to keep in mind some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:11.336 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.969$  called the DSM the Bible of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:13.970 --> 00:23:16.866 It's not a Bible, it's a user's manual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.444$  and it's important to keep in mind that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:19.444 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.668$  are thinking about some of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:22.668 --> 00:23:24.500 psychiatrists approach changes overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:24.500 --> 00:23:27.036 This new knowledge is approaches, so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:27.036 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.940$  diagnosis did not exist in the first DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}23{:}29.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.392$  There's something called transvestitism

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}23{:}31.392 --> 00{:}23{:}33.969$  in the DSM 2, but the DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:33.969 --> 00:23:36.554 Before DSM three, there were not these

00:23:36.554 --> 00:23:38.384 lengthy descriptions of the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.592$  so we don't know if that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}23{:}40.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41.693$  referring to transsexualism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:41.700 --> 00:23:43.896 Sometimes the two terms were used

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:43.896 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.098$  as synonyms, but it does appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:46.098 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.300$  as transsexualism in the DSM 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.768$  where it's considered a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:49.768 --> 00:23:51.236 psychosexual disorder in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.910$  DSM 3 gets moved in the DSM 3R to disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:23:54.910 --> 00:23:56.740 usually first evident in infancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:23:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.811$  childhood, or adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}23{:}57.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.310$  then gets moved in DSM 4 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:24:00.383 --> 00:24:03.318 \*\*\*\*\* and gender identities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:24:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.948$  Disorders and then the DSM 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:24:05.950 --> 00:24:08.120 The diagnosis of gender dysphoria

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:24:08.120 --> 00:24:10.780 was separated from the other \*\*\*\*\*\*

00:24:10.780 --> 00:24:13.160 and disorders, \*\*\*\*\*\* dysfunctions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}24{:}13.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.730$  and paraphilias and given a chapter

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:24:16.823 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.828$  all its own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:24:17.830 --> 00:24:20.910 A similar kind of migration you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}24{:}20.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.388$  take place in the ICD ICD before ICD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:24:24.390 --> 00:24:26.570 Six was a mortality document,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:24:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.142$  only didn't include diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:24:28.142 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.054$  Only in the 1948 did the World

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:24:31.054 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.962$  Health Organization take over

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

00:24:32.962 --> 00:24:34.870 its publication in 1965,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}24{:}34.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.060$  and that again Transvestitism appears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00:24:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.240$  The meaning not entirely clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82395136

 $00{:}24{:}39.240 \to 00{:}24{:}42.300$  Today referred to as a \*\*\*\*\* deviation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345758

 $00:24:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.880$  In 1970

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596553

 $00:24:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.448$  let me let me do this again.

00:24:52.450 --> 00:24:53.670 I'm gonna mute everyone and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596553

 $00:24:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.890$  then you will unmute yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596553

 $00:24:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.860$  Apologies for that check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9056091

00:24:59.580 --> 00:25:04.239 OK. You're good. OK? So then in 1975,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9056091

 $00:25:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.042$  in the ICD 9 diagnosis called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9056091

 $00:25:07.042 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.910$  transsexualism with the hyphen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9056091

 $00:25:08.997 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.230$  does appear as a \*\*\*\*\* deviation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.290$  And then in ICD 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}25{:}19.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.355$  Transexualism appears in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:25:21.355 --> 00:25:23.007 chapter called Gender Identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}25{:}23.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.968$  Disorders with a number of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:25:24.968 --> 00:25:26.920 related diagnosis and then in ICD 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:25:26.920 --> 00:25:29.696 this new chapter which came out last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.122$  you have a new chapter called conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:25:32.122 --> 00:25:34.300 Related \*\*\*\*\* Health which is no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.204$  a mental disorder and the diagnosis

 $00:25:36.204 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.364$  are referred to as gender incongruence

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:38.364 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.996$  and we didn't use the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:40.996 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.856$  gender dysphoria be cause dysphoria

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:42.856 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.535$  implies some emotional discomfort

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}25{:}44.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.580$  and since it's not a mental disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:47.653 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.107$  anymore we just used in congruence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.980$  So what are some of the controversies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.030$  Well, I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.670$  about a few controversies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}25{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.220$  One is the weather that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:25:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.590$  should be a diagnosis at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}26{:}01.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.675$  and others public accommodation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}26{:}03.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.760$  transgender people and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:05.829 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.031$  is rapid onset gender dysphoria in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:08.031 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.461$  adolescence and then lot lot more

00:26:10.461 --> 00:26:12.245 on treating prepubescent children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.747$  which is controversial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:13.747 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.783$  So when I was appointed to the DSM

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:17.783 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.415$  5 workgroup in 2008. The AP a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:20.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.201$  This was the first DSM to be done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:23.201 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.672$  the Internet age and and it generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:25.672 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.740$  a lot of publicity in the newspapers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:28.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.446$  Front page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:29.446 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.270$  Stories in the times about the DSM process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:26:32.270 --> 00:26:34.388 including this diagnosis and the API,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}26{:}34.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.385$  was accused of stigmatising expressions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:36.385 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.380$  of gender variants that symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:38.436 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.683$  of a mental disorder and just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:40.683 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.149$  homosexuality was removed in 1973,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.385$  people wanted the diagnosis removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:44.385 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.726$  from the DSM.

 $00:26:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.890$  Not everybody agreed or while there

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.060$  are many public outcries against it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.226$  There's lots of private calls in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:26:52.226 --> 00:26:54.032 the background saying none, none,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:26:54.032 --> 00:26:55.118 none, none, none,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726 00:26:55.118 --> 00:26:55.480 no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:26:55.480 --> 00:26:57.230 please don't take this diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:26:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.777$  out because if you take out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:26:59.777 --> 00:27:01.592 diagnosis people will not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:27:01.592 --> 00:27:03.060 a medical diagnosis code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.220$  In order to access the care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.845$  And this is a patient population that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}07.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.640$  doesn't have a lot of access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:10.640 \dashrightarrow 00:27:13.167$  Usually until 9 actually wasn't until 2014.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:27:13.170 --> 00:27:14.975 That Health and Human services

 $00:27:14.975 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.419$  finally overturned in 1981.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.312$  Decision that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:17.312 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.096$  Called gender transition services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.230$  Experimental and allowed Medicare and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.180$  Medicaid to pay for these procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}24.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.990$  Another group that didn't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}25.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.800$  the diagnosis out where trans

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:27:27.865 --> 00:27:29.707 advocacy organizations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}29.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.549$  protect in carcerated individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.232$  or transgender who are not being

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}34.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.080$  allowed access to care to hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.002$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}38.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.307$  and since denying a transgender

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:40.307 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.690$  inmate hormone treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.192$  is considered denying them medical treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:27:44.192 --> 00:27:46.760 in the United States Constitution,

 $00:27:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.694$  that's considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}47.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.496$  Cool and usual punishment and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}27{:}50.496 \to 00{:}27{:}52.245$  advocacy organizations have won

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:52.245 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.125$  lawsuits to get these incarcerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:54.125 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.260$  prisoners of their hormones have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.465$  by arguing that this is a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:27:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.376$  diagnosis and they shouldn't be denied it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}28{:}02.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.417$  So the challenge to our work group

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:28:04.417 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.427$  was really how to reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}28{:}06.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.212$ stigma of having a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}28{:}08.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09.878$  while maintaining access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}28{:}09.880 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.184$  It's the sound bite after a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}28{:}12.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.767$  years of listening to all the arguments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:28:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.720$  So we did a few things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:28:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.400$  We just we voted to recommend

 $00:28:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.340$  a PA that the keeper diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00{:}28{:}20.340 --> 00{:}28{:}22.590$  to allowed to access to care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:28:22.590 --> 00:28:25.198 but to change the name to gender dysphoria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

00:28:25.200 --> 00:28:26.885 which was less stigmatising to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:28:26.885 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.570$  the Community then having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81104726

 $00:28:28.634 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.758$  word disorder in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.390$  And this was received well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.448$  it was suggested that the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.370$  Be separated from \*\*\*\*\*\* dysfunctions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.290$  and paraphilias since they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}28{:}37.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.019$  no relationship to them prior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.256$  Prior iterations of DSM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:40.256 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.952$  it was thought that if a child had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:42.952 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.262$  an intersex condition that that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:28:45.262 --> 00:28:47.730 an exclusionary factor for making a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}28{:}47.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.765$  diagnosis of gender identity disorder.

 $00:28:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.003$  It turns out that some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:28:52.003 --> 00:28:54.715 kids who have TSTS and who made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:54.715 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.815$  it were given gender assignments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:28:56.820 --> 00:28:58.680 They're given incorrect gender assignments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:28:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.164$  That is, they get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:00.164 --> 00:29:02.019 They do develop gender dysphoria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.156$  so it was decided to add a specifier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.456$  Whether it was with or without a DSD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.403$  But in terms of the access to care issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:11.410 --> 00:29:13.378 the concern was, well, what happens?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:13.380 --> 00:29:15.020 A person had gender dysphoria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:15.020 --> 00:29:16.988 They had hormones, they had surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}29{:}16.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.630$  There are no longer dysphoric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:18.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.598$  Do they still have a diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.896$  Well, you need a diagnosis to continue

00:29:22.896 --> 00:29:24.534 access medical care, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}29{:}24.534 \to 00{:}29{:}26.496$  Follow up. They have to transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}29{:}26.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.324$  So we added a post transition specifier so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:29.324 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.028$  they could always have a diagnosis code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:32.030 --> 00:29:33.730 We suggested removing the \*\*\*\*\*

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.082$  orientation specifier since it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:35.082 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.772$  had no clinical usage whatsoever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.132$  There was one objection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}29{:}38.132 \to 00{:}29{:}40.510$  Well, it has research, Houston said well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.714$  yes, but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:41.714 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.520$  we do research on depression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:43.582 --> 00:29:45.587 differences between men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:45.590 --> 00:29:47.672 and we don't do specifiers you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:47.672 --> 00:29:49.451 know about whether the depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:49.451 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.684$  is in the manner in a woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:29:51.690 --> 00:29:54.070 So it wasn't really relevant.

 $00:29:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.768$  We try to narrow criteria to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:55.768 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.274$  reduce false positives so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:57.274 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.849$  nobody should have a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:29:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.406$  Who doesn't want one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:00.406 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.201$  And we the only recommendation we made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:03.201 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.535$  that wasn't character was the removal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:05.535 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.259$  of what used to be called the Nos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.308$  or not otherwise specified category in DSM 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.823$  because that allows people to get diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:30:13.823 --> 00:30:16.639 who don't have enough symptoms to qualify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.550$  but the DSM file Mac,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:18.550 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.450$  what I call bureaucratic conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}30{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.792$  Every diagnosis hasn't NEC or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}30{:}23.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.463$  elsewhere classified category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860786500:30:25.470 --> 00:30:25.897 So,

 $00:30:25.897 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.886$  so these are the diagnosis in DSM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.336$  one for children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:30.336 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.746$  one for adolescents and adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:32.746 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.000$  another and an unspecified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.280$  An ICD 11 similar process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:37.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.228$  a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.066$  CD is put together by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:30:42.066 --> 00:30:42.984 World Health Organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}30{:}42.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.097$  The ICD codes are used by almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:30:45.097 --> 00:30:46.679 every country in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}30{:}46.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{-}}} 00{:}30{:}48.040$  Although each country modifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.080$  the codes to their own uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:30:50.140 --> 00:30:51.897 as we do in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:30:51.900 --> 00:30:52.181 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:52.181 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.148$  the issue was stigma versus access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:30:54.148 \dashrightarrow 00:30:56.552$  care and the decision was to remove the

 $00:30:56.552 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.650$  gender diagnosis from the mental disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}30{:}58.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00.190$  Section 22 new chapter conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:31:00.190 --> 00:31:01.108 Related \*\*\*\*\* health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:01.108 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.944$  We could do that in ICD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.480$  We can do the \*\*.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.005$  We had a rather binary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:06.005 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.860$  choice was either in and out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.172$  The only other possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:09.172 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.580$  was to make it a V code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:31:11.580 --> 00:31:13.974 Which we didn't do because nobody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:13.974 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.477$  reimburses for the code so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}31{:}16.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.133$  wouldn't accomplish the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00{:}31{:}18.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.210$  of maintaining access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:20.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.614$  So the so however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:31:21.614 --> 00:31:23.369 although there was no controversy

 $00:31:23.369 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.675$  in the community of both clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

00:31:25.675 --> 00:31:28.042 and patients about the ICD 11

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:28.042 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.117$  decision for adults and adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.424$  there were people who were very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8607865

 $00:31:32.424 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.960$  upset about the retention

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}31{:}34.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.299$  of a child diagnosis, and they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:31:36.299 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.742$  say they used to say we were cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:31:38.742 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.076$  pathologizing the children and then they

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}31{:}41.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.830$  said we were pathologizing children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:31:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.616$  But it's really important to know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}31{:}46.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.561$  normal spontaneous delivery and menopause

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:31:48.561 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.049$  also have ICD codes, and they're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:31:51.049 --> 00:31:53.660 Illnesses so that you don't have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:31:53.731 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.163$  be an illness to have an ICD code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:31:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.780$  And these are the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:31:58.780 --> 00:32:01.324 childhood, adulthood and adolescence,

 $00:32:01.324 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.504$  and unspecified in the ICD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}32{:}04.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.082$  Another culture, another issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:06.082 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.868$  public accommodation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.054$  You know what role should transgender

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:09.054 --> 00:32:11.859 people have in our in our culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:11.859 --> 00:32:13.567 under the Obama administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:13.570 --> 00:32:15.887 there they were made to feel welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}32{:}15.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.910$  under the Trump administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.042$  There's been a lot of rules to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:21.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.816$  prevent them from entering the service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.388$  School locker rooms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:25.388 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.564$  big controversial issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:26.570 --> 00:32:26.980 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.030$  different attitudes during the Obama

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:29.030 --> 00:32:30.670 versus the Trump administration

 $00:32:30.729 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.479$  of whether an adolescent should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.698$  Transgender adolescents should

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}32{:}33.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36.540$  be allowed to use the lock room

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.378$  of their experience gender.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.198$  Same thing with Trip Sports team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:40.200 \dashrightarrow 00:32:41.720$  Should people who have transitioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.240$  Usually the complaint is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:43.240 --> 00:32:44.760 people of transition to female?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.542$  Should they be allowed to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:46.542 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.110$  on female sports temp teams?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.928$  And then there are bathroom bills?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.695$  Bathroom bills started with North

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}32{:}51.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.460$  Carolina although other states have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:53.517 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.414$  introduced them and the idea was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:32:55.414 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.387$  you should only be allowed to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:32:57.387 --> 00:32:59.043 the bathroom of your assigned birth,

 $00:32:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.952$  which would mean that Caitlyn Jenner

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:00.952 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.631$  would have to use the men's room and Chaz

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:03.631 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.040$  Bono would have to use the latest truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.876$  Now the people who talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:07.876 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.530$  these bills we talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.654$  We're trying to prevent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:33:10.654 --> 00:33:11.216 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:33:11.220 --> 00:33:12.620 men in dresses from assaulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}33{:}12.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.320$  our daughters in the ladies room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.892$  But the real reason purpose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:15.892 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.188$  these laws is to to make the lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:18.188 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.673$  of people like this miserable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.090$  because if they followed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.218$  law they would have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.602$  they would have to publicly expose

 $00:33:24.602 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.863$  themselves as transgender in places that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:26.863 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.540$  maybe would not be the best thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}33{:}29.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.230$  Another controversy has to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.582$  with something called rapid

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:33:32.582 --> 00:33:34.208 onset gender dysphoria paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:33:34.210 --> 00:33:36.716 written by Lisa Libmanan at Brown University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.330$  which she surveyed parents who reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:33:39.330 --> 00:33:41.859 that their adolescent and young adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}33{:}41.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.025$  children showed signs of what she

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:44.025 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.958$  called a rapid onset of gender dysphoria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}33{:}46.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.745$  There were 256 parental reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:48.745 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.530$  of children of their children

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:50.597 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.740$  identifying itself identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.026$  as transgender at the same time

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:54.026 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.108$  as others in their peer groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:33:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.408$  and the parents said these children

 $00:33:58.408 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.938$  were involved in the process of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}00.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.263$  immersion and social media preceding

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}03.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.889$  their child becoming gender dysphoric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:34:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.666$  And so there's a question raised

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}07.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.310$  by parents about social contagion

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}09.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.918$  similar to eating disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:34:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.272$  Let them define RGD as a development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:34:13.272 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.187$  GT observed beginning an adolescent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}15.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.563$ young adult who did not meet criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

00:34:17.563 --> 00:34:19.628 for gender dysphoria in childhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.640$  but this is an important point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:34:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.864$  A lot of people who don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}23.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.024$ rapid onset gender dysphoria also did

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00{:}34{:}26.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.334$  not meet the criteria in childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:34:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.628$  We we think today that this is not

00:34:30.628 --> 00:34:32.672 a homogeneous group of patients but

NOTE Confidence: 0.82490605

 $00:34:32.672 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.784$  that the childhood diagnosis does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

00:34:34.845 --> 00:34:36.845 have evolved naturally into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00{:}34{:}36.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.832$  Dell diagnosis. And people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:38.832 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.196$  been dealt are Allison diagnosis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

00:34:41.196 --> 00:34:43.099 necessarily have a child diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.326$  so there was immediate criticism of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:45.326 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.750$  study two weeks after publication journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.100$  announced the Post Publication Review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.985$  Brown University retracted its press

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00{:}34{:}51.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.725$  release promoting the study and removed it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:54.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.705$  noticeably from its webpage criticisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.655$  Was that the study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:34:58.655 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.600$  seen as a political attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.032$  As one activist said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:02.032 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.180$  a poisonous lie used to discredit

 $00:35:04.245 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.961$  trans people and methodological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00{:}35{:}05.961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.535$  criticism with that was actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:08.607 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.815$  survey of parents rather than the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.400$  Young people themselves or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.980$  their health care providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.745$  so the article went underwent a revision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.246$  There was a correction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:18.246 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.116$  It was republished by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

00:35:20.116 --> 00:35:21.880 same Journal Year later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.220$  and their visor versions that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.230$  the author updated the title.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.674$  Abstract introduction and address

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:27.674 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.479$  the concerns raised in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:29.479 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.570$  editorial reassessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

00:35:30.570 --> 00:35:32.580 The materials and methods were

 $00{:}35{:}32.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.590$  updated to include new information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00{:}35{:}34.653 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}35{:}36.261$  and more detailed descriptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00{:}35{:}36.261 {\:{\text{-->}}}\> 00{:}35{:}38.271$  about recruitment sites and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8233627

 $00:35:38.271 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.095$  and to remove two figures.