WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:57:32.1300000"
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.632 Today, let me tell you what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00:00:02.632 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.049$ have in store for next week.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00{:}00{:}05{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}738$ Another one that I'm very excited about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00{:}00{:}07{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}534$ Next week we're going to have our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00{:}00{:}11.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.930$ next compassionate care routes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00:00:13.930 \rightarrow 00:00:16.289$ And we're going to be talking about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- 00:00:16.290 --> 00:00:19.314 I don't have the title in front of me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00:00:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.253$ just coined it, but I think it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00:00:22.253 \rightarrow 00:00:24.660$ goes something along the lines of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00:00:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.590$ Actually, do you have that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81196797
- $00:00:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.520$ the title on hand row?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305
- $00:00:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.058$ While Roe looks for the title,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305
- $00{:}00{:}33{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34{.}836$ I can tell you that it's going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305
- $00:00:34.836 \rightarrow 00:00:36.716$ be about the work that we're doing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305
- $00:00:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.360$ On eating disorders up on the on the

 $00:00:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.532$ pediatric floors where we have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:41.532 \rightarrow 00:00:43.337$ absolutely overwhelmed by the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:43.337 \rightarrow 00:00:45.947$ of such presentations we usually have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

00:00:45.950 --> 00:00:48.894 you know one or two every so often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

00:00:48.900 --> 00:00:50.740 But as you will hear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

00:00:50.740 --> 00:00:52.960 we've been literally flooded and overwhelmed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.174$ and we're trying to make sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:55.174 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.650$ of what that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:00:56.650 \rightarrow 00:00:59.051$ and so we're going to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00{.}518$ compassionate care rounds with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00{:}01{:}00{.}518$ --> $00{:}01{:}02{.}388$ the clinicians from all stripes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:01:02.388 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.400$ of clinicians who have worked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:01:04.400 \rightarrow 00:01:07.424$ we're going to talk about a specific case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964305

 $00:01:07.430 \rightarrow 00:01:10.020$ But more broadly about what this means,

- 00:01:10.020 --> 00:01:11.130 so it's going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058
- $00:01:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.610$ to be very special,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058
- $00:01:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.830$ and the title is too little.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058
- 00:01:14.830 --> 00:01:16.118 Eating too many cases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058
- 00:01:16.118 --> 00:01:17.084 microdroplets of trust
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058
- 00:01:17.084 --> 00:01:18.050 toward national rehabilitate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8728058
- 00:01:18.095 --> 00:01:19.269 nutritional rehabilitation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824
- 00:01:20.180 --> 00:01:23.228 Yeah, can you say it one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824
- $00:01:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.751$ more time slowly?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824
- 00:01:24.751 --> 00:01:26.779 I'm Mr too little.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824
- 00:01:26.780 --> 00:01:28.812 Eating too many cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824
- 00:01:28.812 --> 00:01:30.336 Microdroplets of trust?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84130824
- $00:01:30.340 \dashrightarrow 00:01:31.858$ Tord nutritional rehabilitation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875
- $00:01:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.520$ And there you have it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875
- $00{:}01{:}34{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36{.}900$ and we're going to thank you, Rob.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875
- $00:01:36.900 \rightarrow 00:01:39.280$ And we're going to have a real,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875
- $00:01:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.980$ wonderful multidisciplinary cast for that.

 $00:01:40.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.644$ So, so that's next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:42.644 --> 00:01:44.772 But this week, you know I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:44.772 --> 00:01:46.760 to introduce our wonderful speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:46.760 --> 00:01:48.800 but Rob Liberal, just like ARM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:48.800 --> 00:01:50.840 wrestled me, tackled me in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.560$ and he said, no, you're not doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.580$ I'm doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:54.580 --> 00:01:56.280 So I said, OK Liberal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:01:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.660$ if that's how you wanna do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:01:58.660 --> 00:02:00.360 But you know, kidding aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}658$ I am so grateful to Rob.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:02.660 \dashrightarrow 00:02:05.484$ Who who really has just like I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}342$ telling that Carol has been our paragon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:08.342 \rightarrow 00:02:11.389$ of timeliness and and always being there,

 $00:02:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.846$ I would say that Rob has been our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}13.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.559$ paragon of identifying important topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.332$ Wonderful speakers today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}18.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.547$ the second of three wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}20.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.071$ speakers the the first one that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}23.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.890$ had with Reverend Amanda right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:24.890 \rightarrow 00:02:28.860$ I'm still seeing it in my in my mind's eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:02:28.860 --> 00:02:30.955 It was an incredible talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}050$ and today by Charles he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}33.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.970$ Permitted me to call him Charles, not doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}160$ Centeio will not be an exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:02:38.160 $-\!\!>$ 00:02:40.568 We're in for a real treat and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:40.568 \rightarrow 00:02:43.351$ will let my good friend rob the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:43.351 \rightarrow 00:02:45.456$ master of making this connection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

 $00:02:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.015$ Tell us about Doctor sent a place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458875

00:02:48.020 --> 00:02:48.380 Rob

- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:02:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.020$ thank you. Doctor Martin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- 00:02:50.020 --> 00:02:53.289 The Yale Child Study Center is a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:02:53.289 \rightarrow 00:02:55.485$ special place I know this because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}02{:}55{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}050$ last week Doctor Martin and Doctor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}02{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.684$ Fernandez helped us bear witness to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:03:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.934$ that rich and fruitful tradition of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:03:02.934 \rightarrow 00:03:05.400$ making the world a better place.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:03:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.136$ By honoring the legacy of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- 00:03:08.136 --> 00:03:10.570 dear and beloved Doctor Cohen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}03{:}10.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.986$ Who through a series of lectures honored him.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- 00:03:13.990 --> 00:03:17.343 And today it is my privilege to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}03{:}17{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20{.}594$ introduce a very special person in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:03:20.594 \rightarrow 00:03:24.008$ this very special virtual place who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}03{:}24.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.756$ has 1 foot in a cademia and the other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}03{:}27.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.092$ foot on the ground in the community,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:31.092 \rightarrow 00:03:34.110$ making the world a better place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.126$ And his name is the doctor Charles Centrio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:38.130 --> 00:03:41.778 Today, Doctor Santio was shared with us a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:41.778 --> 00:03:44.899 lecture entitled understanding and reducing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:44.900 \rightarrow 00:03:47.660$ Racial inequality for COVID-19 vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:03:47.660 --> 00:03:50.210 Doctor Sentio is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53{.}430$ professor at the Rutgers School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:53.430 \rightarrow 00:03:55.770$ Communication and Information in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:55.770 \rightarrow 00:03:58.695$ the Department of Library Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:03:58.700 \rightarrow 00:04:03.916$ He is also currently the Martin Luther King.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:03.920 \rightarrow 00:04:06.975$ Visiting professor and scholar at

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:04:06.975 --> 00:04:09.419 Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.846$ His research focuses on improving chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:04:12.846 --> 00:04:16.140 disease outcomes for underserved populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:04:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.165$ He is particularly interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:04:19.165 --> 00:04:21.585 using both existing technology

- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:21.585 \rightarrow 00:04:24.689$ such as smartphones and tablets,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:24.690 \rightarrow 00:04:28.206$ and emerging technologies such as machine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:28.206 \rightarrow 00:04:31.420$ learning to improve health outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:31.420 \rightarrow 00:04:33.340$ He earned his PhD.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.733$ In health informatics from the University of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}04{:}36{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}149$ Michigan and while in pursuit of that degree,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.730$ he also earned a master's degree
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- 00:04:42.730 --> 00:04:45.700 in social work from University of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}830$ Michigan and later acquired a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}04{:}47.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.740$ clinical license and social work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}04{:}51{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}190$ He also received an MBA from U of M Ann.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:04:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.336$ Prior to that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00{:}04{:}57{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}010$ a BA in mathematics and computer science
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- 00:05:00.087 --> 00:05:02.867 at Central Connecticut State University.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333
- $00:05:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.090$ Clearly Doctor Santio is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:05.090 \rightarrow 00:05:05.978$ musical intellectual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.696$ He has an incredible gift to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:08.696 \dashrightarrow 00:05:10.956$ able to synthesize and assimilate

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:10.956 \rightarrow 00:05:13.986$ diverse bodies of knowledge in novel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:13.990 \dashrightarrow 00:05:15.738$ tangible and meaningful ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

00:05:15.738 --> 00:05:18.893 He is the author of numerous articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:18.893 \rightarrow 00:05:21.605$ and currently has a book chapter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}05{:}21.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.220$ Under review in their Rutlidge Handbook

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}05{:}24{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}444$ of Health Communication on the topic of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:27.444 \rightarrow 00:05:29.328$ community based health interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.600$ Will you please help me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00{:}05{:}31.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.870$ Welcome Doctor Charlson TL to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852635245333333

 $00:05:33.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.140$ the Yale Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:05:38.630 --> 00:05:40.620 Thank you, thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41{.}610$ I appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:41.610 \dashrightarrow 00:05:43.260$ Thanks for the warm introduction.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073
- 00:05:43.260 --> 00:05:44.920 Both Rosemary and Razan Rob,

00:05:44.920 --> 00:05:46.084 I certainly appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:46.084 \rightarrow 00:05:48.560$ Thank you also for the an opportunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:05:48.560 -> 00:05:51.045 at least virtually any way to return

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:51.045 \dashrightarrow 00:05:53.187$ home to Connecticut where I grew up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:05:53.190 --> 00:05:54.954 I was born in Hartford and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:54.954 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.623$ grew up in Manchester before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:05:56.623 \rightarrow 00:05:59.149$ venturing off to two other places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}162$ So thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:00.162 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.547$ I'd like to start the talk by just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:02.547 \rightarrow 00:06:04.155$ grounding our understanding or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}04.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.763$ developing a common understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:05.770 \dashrightarrow 00:06:08.884$ as I like to say for what health informatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}816$ Is there are various definitions out there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}11.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.238$ This is 1 by Chuck Friedman

 $00:06:14.238 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.787$ who's a professor that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:16.787 --> 00:06:18.917 at Michigan very commonly used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:18.920 --> 00:06:19.600 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.300$ by definition it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}21.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.970$ understand that health informatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.770$ is definitionally interdisciplinary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}24.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.182$ and what it really involves are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:27.182 --> 00:06:28.790 science domains or traditionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:28.862 --> 00:06:31.550 kind of science domains as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}31{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33{.}230$ application domains coming together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}33.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.550$ to support scientific inquiry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:35.550 - 00:06:38.570 problem solving, and that is about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:38.570 --> 00:06:40.274 So science domains include

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:40.274 --> 00:06:42.830 information science where I come from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:42.830 --> 00:06:45.578 In terms of my doctoral training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:45.580 \rightarrow 00:06:46.456$ computer science,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073
- $00{:}06{:}46.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.646$ where my undergraduate training was

 $00{:}06{:}48.646$ --> $00{:}06{:}51.529$ in along with various other sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}904$ cognitive science, communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:52.904 --> 00:06:54.278 science, organizational science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.196$ decision sciences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}486$ and then application domains health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:06:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.318$ Obviously for this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:06:59.318 --> 00:07:00.689 includes community health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:00.690 --> 00:07:02.064 Health Communication, health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:02.064 --> 00:07:03.896 behavior, clinical care delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:03.900 \rightarrow 00:07:07.099$ bio medical informatics or bio medical study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.764$ as well as social work and public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:10.770 --> 00:07:14.538 And I picked these domains because my works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.249$ Tends to touch each of these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.940$ so combining the application domain

 $00:07:18.940 \rightarrow 00:07:21.166$ and the science you get domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}21.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.046$ informatics so health informatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.800$ biomedical informatics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.800$ So that's just a way for you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}26.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.210$ sort of decyfer when you hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}29{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31{.}180$ health informatics and that term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.496$ this is the generally accepted definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:33.500 -> 00:07:35.880 but the bottom line is that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38{.}528$ are the users of health information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.895$ so health informatics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:07:39.895 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.080$ no matter where you are in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}43.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.803$ of the type of work that you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:45.810 --> 00:07:48.197 Ultimately points to that people, humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}48.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.682$ We interact with information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}50.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.107$ that information can exert influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}07{:}53.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.955$ on us as well as we exert influence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073
- $00:07:55.955 \rightarrow 00:07:58.095$ on it as we produce it OK.

 $00:07:58.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.484$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:07:58.484 --> 00:08:00.020 common understanding what's HealthEquity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.484$ I'll go this one through this one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}240$ a little bit quicker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:04.240 \rightarrow 00:08:06.082$ I'm I'm assuming that we probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:06.082 \rightarrow 00:08:08.849$ have more of a baseline understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:08.850 \rightarrow 00:08:10.965$ but basically it's about assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}10.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.080$ the differences in health status

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}13.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.262$ between groups of people and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}15.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.068$ groups can be defined by race or ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.368$ That's a common sort of grouping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}058$ and the grouping that I probably deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:23.058 \rightarrow 00:08:25.362$ with the most, but also importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:25.362 --> 00:08:26.570 immigration status, disability,

 $00:08:26.570 \rightarrow 00:08:28.330$ gender, sexual orientation, geography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}28{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30{.}730$ And assets and I used the term Alice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}30{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}011$ now which stands for asset limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34{.}627$ income constrained an employed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}34{.}627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}164$ SES is a common sort of bucket

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:37.164 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.824$ for that or similar bucket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}38{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41{.}990$ but Alice is a term that I see used more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}42.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.478$ and more in the term I use now differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.880$ What does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:46.880 --> 00:08:47.230 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}47{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49{.}634$ differences include the extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00:08:49.634 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.437$ Of a disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}51{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}132$ As well as impact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

 $00{:}08{:}53{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}670$ so I don't want to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8517073

00:08:55.779 --> 00:08:58.099 us through FB 101 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:08:58.100 \rightarrow 00:08:59.984$ but basically the prevalence

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:08:59.984 \rightarrow 00:09:03.265$ is the proportion of cases in a

 $00{:}09{:}03.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.688$ population at a time, so incidences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}05.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.544$ incidents conveys information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:07.544 \rightarrow 00:09:10.089$ the risk of contracting a disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.680$ whereas prevalence indicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.800$ how widespread it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:13.800 --> 00:09:16.278 And in the context of COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:16.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.360$ that's extremely relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}17.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.306$ I think we've all heard some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}20{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22{.}466$ terms released concepts as we've looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:22.466 \dashrightarrow 00:09:24.977$ at the Daily News on COVID updates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:09:24.980 \dashrightarrow 00:09:27.269$ Now just a little bit about equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:27.270 --> 00:09:29.268 I could cite many different examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}09{:}29{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31{.}210$ and I'll cite some later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:09:31.210 - 00:09:34.153 But for those of us who work in equity,

- $00:09:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.800$ and I've been working in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:09:35.800 --> 00:09:37.770 equity for about 15 years now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}09{:}37{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}378$ Milwaukee is a city that's often used in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:09:40.378 --> 00:09:42.028 illustrating HealthEquity or health inequity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}09{:}42.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.670$ It's a fairly segregated city
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:09:43.670 00:09:45.310 with a large black population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:09:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.622 40\%$ in the city,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:09:46.622 \rightarrow 00:09:48.735$ and then 17% in Metro Milwaukee.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}09{:}48.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.360$ And we don't quite understand why there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:09:51.429 \rightarrow 00:09:53.715$ are some various theories out there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:09:53.720 \rightarrow 00:09:56.480$ but the point is that when you see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:09:56.480 --> 00:09:57.682 HealthEquity numbers illustrative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:09:57.682 \rightarrow 00:09:59.927$ of a larger larger phenomenon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:09:59.930 \rightarrow 00:10:04.306$ you see Milwaukee quoted quite a bit so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:10:04.310 --> 00:10:06.320 Infant mortality across the United States
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:06.320 \rightarrow 00:10:09.168$ for blacks is more than twice that of whites.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.878$ That's been the case for over a decade now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:10:12.880 --> 00:10:13.618 And in Milwaukee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:13.618 \rightarrow 00:10:14.356$ as I've mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.870$ low SES households are three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.986$ and a half times more likely to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:17.986 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.869$ die in the first year of life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:10:19.870 --> 00:10:22.360 So these sort of dramatic indicators,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.126$ again persistent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:10:23.126 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.041$ This is before COVID became
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:10:25.041 --> 00:10:26.930 part of our binocular.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}10{:}26{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28{.}658$ These are persistent inequities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:10:28.658 --> 00:10:31.706 and Milwaukee is a good place to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}10{:}31.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.974$ sort of illustrate these this data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}10{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35{.}640$ These facts and this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}10{:}35{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}715$ These have been the case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}10{:}37.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.528$ As I mentioned for over a decade now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:42.530 --> 00:10:42.980 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:42.980 --> 00:10:46.130 I want to also emphasize that HealthEquity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:46.130 \rightarrow 00:10:49.769$ is a persistent human rights issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:49.770 --> 00:10:52.899 I need to assert that because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}10{:}52.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.909$ not universal agreement on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:10:54.910 --> 00:10:58.160 I read a few years ago when I was delving

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:10:58.246 \rightarrow 00:11:01.814$ more into policy that we fund our priorities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}11{:}01.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.468$ I'll say that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:03.468 \rightarrow 00:11:05.940$ we fund our priorities and HealthEquity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:06.013 \rightarrow 00:11:08.158$ is not necessarily something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00{:}11{:}08{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09{.}445$ has been funded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:09.450 --> 00:11:12.420 I'm going to put a quote up here and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

00:11:12.501 - 00:11:15.399 we were in the room I'd ask for hands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.896$ but I want to read this to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

 $00:11:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.616$ We are concerned about the constant

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:19.616 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.453$ use of federal funds to support
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:21.453 --> 00:11:23.058 this most notorious expression of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:23.058 \rightarrow 00:11:25.099$ segregation of all forms of inequality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.804$ Injustice in health is the most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}11{:}26.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.677$ shocking and the most inhuman because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:28.677 --> 00:11:30.723 it often results in physical death.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.786$ The quote more of the quote I see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}11{:}32.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.833$ no alternative to direct action and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:34.833 --> 00:11:36.678 creative nonviolence to create awareness,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.008$ to create, to create,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:38.008 --> 00:11:39.668 not creative nonviolence to raise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}11{:}39.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.418$ the conscious of the nation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.410$ Sorry bout that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}11{:}42{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}390$ I stepped over the last part.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:44.390 --> 00:11:46.605 Does anyone know who famously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666

- $00:11:46.605 \rightarrow 00:11:48.820$ said that in a speech?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:48.820 --> 00:11:50.760 I'm gonna move on here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- 00:11:50.760 --> 00:11:54.288 That's Doctor Martin Luther King Junior 1965.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.674$ Annual meeting of Medical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}11{:}55{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}058$ Committee for Human Rights.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}11{:}57{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}142$ So this notion of Health Equity that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:11:59.142 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.947$ it exists going to get more to that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:12:01.947 \rightarrow 00:12:03.627$ later and that it's important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00{:}12{:}03.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.722$ has been around.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84694666
- $00:12:04.722 \rightarrow 00:12:07.270$ This is not a new thing and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}12{:}07{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}992$ I want to make sure that we understand that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:12:11.000 --> 00:12:12.860 So when I say persistent inequity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:12:12.860 \rightarrow 00:12:14.410$ I'm not talking about COVID.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:12:14.410 --> 00:12:15.890 I'm certainly not even talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}12{:}15{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}752$ about the last decade or two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:12:17.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.367$ when this work has proliferated.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}12{:}19{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20{.}894$ We've known about these

 $00{:}12{:}20.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.799$ inequities for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:22.800 --> 00:12:25.488 Now let's jump into the causes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:25.490 \rightarrow 00:12:27.458$ As you might imagine, summer unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.110$ This is an interesting question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.682$ What causes health inequities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:30.682 \rightarrow 00:12:32.647$ It seems may seem innocuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:32.650 \rightarrow 00:12:34.874$ But part of what makes it complex is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:34.874 \rightarrow 00:12:37.467$ that it brings together many academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:37.467 \rightarrow 00:12:39.927$ disciplines and many different theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.079$ many different approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:41.079 --> 00:12:43.760 During my PhD study in Information Science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:12:43.760 --> 00:12:46.434 I was exposed as you might imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}12{:}46{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47{.}972$ to several different academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:12:47.972 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.270$ disciplines that are new to me.

- $00{:}12{:}50{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52{.}741$ As Rob mentioned, I was a mathematics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:12:52.741 --> 00:12:54.480 and computer science undergrad,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}12{:}54{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}350$ so my pathway to social
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}12{:}56{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}690$ science was was long and Anan.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:12:58.690 \rightarrow 00:12:59.073$ Winding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:12:59.073 --> 00:12:59.456 However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:12:59.456 --> 00:13:01.754 given my description of health informatics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:13:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.178$ that's probably to be expected in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}13{:}04{.}178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}790$ terms of the interdisciplinarity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:13:05.790 --> 00:13:06.384 Of it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}13{:}06{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}869$ so my interest in Health Equity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:13:07.869 \rightarrow 00:13:10.255$ was enhanced by my exposure to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:13:10.255 --> 00:13:11.686 developmental psychology literature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:13:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.772$ This is literature that I'm sure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:13:13.772 -> 00:13:16.489 many in the crowd are familiar with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:13:16.490 --> 00:13:18.710 specifically through one of my professors,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:13:18.710 --> 00:13:21.654 Daniel Keating, who I mentioned by name here.

 $00:13:21.660 \rightarrow 00:13:24.604$ One because he does wonderful work and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:24.610 \rightarrow 00:13:27.186$ he's just an excellent professor in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:27.190 --> 00:13:29.290 I'm using his work here to explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.636$ or try to answer the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:31.636 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.468$ about what causes inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:33.470 --> 00:13:34.910 Again, persistent inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:34.910 \rightarrow 00:13:39.310$ As I continue to to identify the root causes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:39.310 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:41.902 I find myself even reading more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:41.902 --> 00:13:43.633 literature and sociology, psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:43.633 \rightarrow 00:13:45.798$ even history to understand context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:13:45.800 --> 00:13:47.965 So it's important if we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}13{:}47.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.130$ going to ask this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:13:50.130 \rightarrow 00:13:52.728$ we have to embrace different disciplines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}458$ different people, different literature.

- $00:13:54.458 \rightarrow 00:13:56.988$ That's important now, the answers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}13{:}56{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}830$ What are they?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:13:58.830 \rightarrow 00:14:01.738$ They lie in two camps, essentially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:01.738 \rightarrow 00:14:03.532$ historically and contemporarily,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:14:03.532 --> 00:14:05.326 nature versus nurture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:14:05.330 --> 00:14:07.254 We're probably very familiar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:07.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.697$ with the concepts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:14:08.700 --> 00:14:10.792 Are certain groups biologically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}14{:}10.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12.884$ or genetically predisposed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00{:}14{:}12.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.650$ the risk of certain conditions?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:15.650 \rightarrow 00:14:17.039$ And their progression?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:17.039 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.354$ Or do social factors play
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:19.354 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.610$ more of a role nurture?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.820$ For the Pearly early part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- 00:14:22.820 --> 00:14:23.546 I told you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:23.546 \rightarrow 00:14:25.240$ I've been reading a lot of history,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139
- $00:14:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.692$ so for the early part of

00:14:26.692 --> 00:14:27.418 our country's history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:27.420 \dashrightarrow 00:14:29.570$ nature was the prevailing belief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:29.570 \rightarrow 00:14:31.705$ The science was influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}31{.}705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}840$ the sentiments of the scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.980$ This is still the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:35.980 \rightarrow 00:14:37.844$ The psychologist Charles Thomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:37.844 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.143$ in 1985 published a paper in part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}41{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44{.}083$ that said quote science is a human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:44.083 \rightarrow 00:14:46.398$ activity which is hardly neutral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:14:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.501$ Objective or impartial?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}47{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}336$ So it's important that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}49{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}260$ we talk about the science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}51{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}244$ some of us imply the purity of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}404$ It's important for us to understand

 $00:14:56.404 \rightarrow 00:14:58.682$ that there are human beings behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}14{:}58{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}607$ the collection of that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}480$ The analysis of that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}02.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.350$ the interpretation of that analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}04{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}220$ and the dissemination of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.090$ After all, as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:15:08.090 --> 00:15:09.795 using enslaved Africans as free

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:09.795 \rightarrow 00:15:12.318$ labor was in large part fueled by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}12{.}318$ --> $00{:}15{:}14{.}586$ the notion that certain groups were NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}14.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.770$ genetically and biologically inferior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

00:15:16.770 --> 00:15:18.960 I'll expound upon Dubois his work NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:18.960 \rightarrow 00:15:21.907$ in this area in a couple of slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00:15:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.740$ but back to Keaton cheating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}23.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.960$ He found he and others find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749139

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27{.}440$ that nature and nurture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

 $00:15:27.515 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.615$ both play a role in outcomes,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:15:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.396$ so individual differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- 00:15:31.396 --> 00:15:32.580 Cognitive, behavioral,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:15:32.580 \rightarrow 00:15:36.300$ physical and mental health are patterned
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:15:36.300 \rightarrow 00:15:38.160$ by socioeconomic circumstances.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}15{:}38{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}242$ And that health outcomes are influenced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}15{:}40{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}150$ by many inter related factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:15:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.328$ So things like poor health status,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- 00:15:44.330 --> 00:15:47.105 disease risk, risk factors and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}15{:}47.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.880$ limited access to health care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}15{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.232$ Social determinants of health.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- 00:15:51.232 --> 00:15:53.255 Stoc. Hi, I'm going to talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}15{:}53{.}255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}940$ about those quite a bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}15{:}54{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}020$ Those are primarily the drivers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:15:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.234$ Now let's talk a bit about this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:16:02.240 \rightarrow 00:16:05.145$ Here how do we get from social?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511

- $00:16:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.605$ Factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- 00:16:05.605 --> 00:16:06.970 To outcomes, again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:16:06.970 \dashrightarrow 00:16:09.700$ an innocuous question on the surface,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:16:09.700 \rightarrow 00:16:13.044$ but there's a lot going on underneath here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}16{:}13.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.788$ OK. This arrow from social
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- 00:16:19.788 --> 00:16:23.079 circumstances to outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00{:}16{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.432$ Is about predicting outcomes based on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- $00:16:25.432 \rightarrow 00:16:28.019$ social economic status or Sep sometimes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8103511
- 00:16:28.019 --> 00:16:29.927 called social economic position.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- $00{:}16{:}32{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35{.}100$ So let me go and review this circle a bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- $00:16:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.308$ I'm going to spend just a slide on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- 00:16:37.308 --> 00:16:38.800 this circle, 'cause it's it really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- 00:16:38.800 00:16:41.228 gets at the heart of the answer to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- $00{:}16{:}41.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.828$ question about what causes inequity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- $00:16:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.760$ the interplay of nature and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- $00:16:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.279$ nurture both of them play a role.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- 00:16:47.280 --> 00:16:50.088 But the key questions again are what what

 $00{:}16{:}50.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.279$ social predictors matter more than others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:52.280 \rightarrow 00:16:55.556$ And how do these predictors interact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}690$ Do they relate to different outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}110$ and what developed mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:16:59.172 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.520$ might explain this arrow?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:00.520 \rightarrow 00:17:03.168$ Those of us who work with pathways clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:03.168 \rightarrow 00:17:05.470$ pathways were really concerned with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}294$ How do we tie factors to two outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.649$ What's the pathway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}10.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.618$ The short answer is we don't know really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}13.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.952$ They're hard to separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}14.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.416$ You can imagine that it's really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:17:17.416 --> 00:17:19.024 to isolate someone's socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}19{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21{.}034$ position from where they live.

00:17:21.040 --> 00:17:22.890 These social determinants are interplayed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}22.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.510$ They're almost hopelessly connected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}24.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27.330$ and I don't mean hopeless as there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}27{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29{.}570$ no hope to do something about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:17:29.570 --> 00:17:30.312 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:30.312 \rightarrow 00:17:33.280$ hopeless in terms of trying to segment them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:33.280 \dashrightarrow 00:17:35.140$ Segment them are very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:17:35.140 --> 00:17:35.550 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38{.}830$ what we do know what has been demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}127$ is that as a whole social circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.771$ Have a gradient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:17:43.771 --> 00:17:46.506 Here this is represented by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}46.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.920$ the arrow to outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}48{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51{.}076$ Lower levels of socioeconomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}17{:}51.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.771$ status and social economic position

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:17:53.771 \rightarrow 00:17:56.452$ uniformly are associated with lower

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701
- 00:17:56.452 --> 00:17:59.037 levels of virtually any measured

00:17:59.037 --> 00:18:00.710 developmental health outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.810$ and its most frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.135$ a linear relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.204$ So these factors, demographics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:09.204 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.880$ socioeconomic, residential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00:18:11.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.908$ Actually can predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:18:13.908 --> 00:18:16.010 Physical, mental, cognitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}18{:}16.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.010$ and social competence is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}475$ So the answer is nature and nurture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}18{:}22.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.960$ but more specifically social factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

00:18:24.960 --> 00:18:27.440 social determinants of health actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436701

 $00{:}18{:}27{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29{.}920$ show linear relationships to outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8103243

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}856$ Now let's go to COVID for a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:18:36.010 \rightarrow 00:18:38.714$ What's causing the COVID

 $00:18:38.714 \rightarrow 00:18:42.094$ inequities that we see well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:42.100 --> 00:18:44.221 For people like me who have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:44.221 --> 00:18:45.972 working in Health
Equity for over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}18{:}45{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}792$ decade and there have been people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}18{:}47{.}849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}801$ have been doing this a lot longer than

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:18:49.801 --> 00:18:52.288 I have before I learned how to spell

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}18{:}52{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54{.}739$ PhD point to these social factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:18:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.800$ So wouldn't it follow that social factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}18{:}58.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.510$ are also exerting influence on COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.654$ specifically risk of infection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}04.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.870$ Risk of hospitalization and mortality risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10{.}523$ Those are the three kind of markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}10.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.921$ that have been used since the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:12.921 --> 00:19:15.285 beginning of the pandemic in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:15.285 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.956$ of the work that I've looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:17.960 --> 00:19:19.895 Again, social factors for over

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014
- 00:19:19.895 --> 00:19:21.443 100 years social factors,

 $00{:}19{:}21{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}670$ not genetic factors as was first

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:23.670 --> 00:19:26.022 speculated have been described as primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:26.022 \rightarrow 00:19:28.037$ causal factors of health disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.484$ and we also find them in COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:31.490 --> 00:19:33.722 Risk of COVID infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:33.722 \rightarrow 00:19:35.396$ hospitalization and death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

00:19:35.400 --> 00:19:40.544 Just some more in terms of HealthEquity data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.158$ individuals have shorter life

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}806$ spans and more illness than whites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.746$ Hispanic immigrants initially tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:49.746 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.166$ to have relatively healthy profiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}19{:}52{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54{.}590$ but with increasing lengths of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.010$ stay in the United States,

 $00:19:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.430$ their health tends to decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00:19:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.850$ That's also true of immigrants

NOTE Confidence: 0.81203014

 $00{:}20{:}01.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.270$ from African and Caribbean nations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.840$ Now, in terms of COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.832$ And think COVID social factors COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:10.832 \rightarrow 00:20:13.139$ social factors by the end of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}13.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.059$ I hope that we're making that association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:16.060 --> 00:20:18.348 Let's look at the Bronx, New York City.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}18.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}656$ Rob knows about the Bronx, don't you rob? NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}20{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23{.}132$ Familiar, the Bronx had the has the lowest NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:23.132 \rightarrow 00:20:25.708$ levels of income and education and highest NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}724$ proportion of black and Hispanic persons

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}200$ of any of the boroughs of New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.475$ It also had the highest rate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:32.475 \rightarrow 00:20:34.810$ COVID hospitalizations and deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.546$ This is data as of September.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:20:37.550 --> 00:20:39.522 In contrast, Manhattan primarily

 $00{:}20{:}39{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41{.}494$ predominantly white most affluent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:41.494 --> 00:20:43.898 borough of New York City by far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}43{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46{.}300$ had the lowest rates of hospitalizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:20:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.550$ and deaths related to COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.412$ Although it had the highest population

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:51.412 --> 00:20:54.910 density of any New York City borough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:20:54.910 --> 00:20:57.577 Again, this is data as of September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}20{:}57{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}280$ New York City reflects other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:00.280 --> 00:21:02.732 major US centers. For example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:02.732 --> 00:21:04.812 Disproportionate death rates of black

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}983$ persons in Chicago are concentrated in four

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}027$ majority black neighborhoods, so we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:11.027 --> 00:21:14.321 Almost as data was being collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:21:14.321 --> 00:21:17.619 around COVID racial disparities.

- $00{:}21{:}17.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.246$ So let's go back a bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:21:19.250 --> 00:21:20.750 I told you I've been reading
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}20.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.230$ a little bit about history.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}22{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.802$ I'm going to take you through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}23.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.210$ a little bit of this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}25{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26{.}550$ It's important to understand that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:21:26.550 \rightarrow 00:21:28.190$ racial health inequity did not start.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:21:28.190 --> 00:21:28.621 Unfortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:21:28.621 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.776$ nowhere that end with COVID.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:21:30.780 --> 00:21:33.314 But when did racial inequity emerging health?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:21:33.320 \rightarrow 00:21:35.498$ Well, the answer is pretty clear.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}35{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}585$ Racial differences in health are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}37{.}585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40{.}491$ present at the very inception of health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}40{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43{.}109$ records being kept in the United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:21:43.110 --> 00:21:47.223 Up until an through the Civil War in 1861,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:21:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.294$ physicians debated.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:21:48.294 \rightarrow 00:21:50.954$ Physicians these are trained individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:21:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.804$ Whether the already well known racial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:21:53.804 --> 00:21:56.400 disparities were due to biology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}56{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58{.}325$ Because blacks were inferior or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}21{:}58{.}325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}250$ social factors because many blacks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}22{:}00{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}348$ were enslaved and lived in society.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:22:02.350 --> 00:22:03.838 Steeped in white supremacy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:22:03.838 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.326$ steeped in white supremacy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:22:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.287$ At the time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:22:06.287 \rightarrow 00:22:08.201$ the debate was rooted in politics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- 00:22:08.201 --> 00:22:10.160 as anti slavery physicians,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:22:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.020$ which included the first generation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00:22:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.136$ of black doctors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}22{:}13.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.737$ argued that social factors were the drivers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043
- $00{:}22{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.798$ These factors at the time defined
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:17.798 --> 00:22:20.583 the need for cheap labor and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}20.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.375$ conditions were what determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}520$ both the progression of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}24{.}520$ --> $00{:}22{:}26{.}290$ And health status of enslaved blacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}26{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}266$ in the South and impoverished blacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}28.266$ --> $00{:}22{:}31.434$ in the north. This work was captured. NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:31.434 --> 00:22:33.498 This biological versus social

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:33.498 \rightarrow 00:22:36.698$ debate was captured by Dubois and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}36.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.298$ his Seminole work the Philadelphia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}300$ Now Dubois was a trained sociologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:42.300 --> 00:22:45.975 I think of him as the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48{.}899$ Health Equity scholar in our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:22:48.900 --> 00:22:50.100 And he's also deeply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.000$ deeply into epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}51.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.800$ If I could talk to him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:22:52.800 \rightarrow 00:22:55.800$ I'd love to talk to him about his epic work.

 $00:22:55.800 \rightarrow 00:22:59.382$ 'cause that's really what this is about as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}360$ I read this book before I learned how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}23{:}02{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}990$ spell PhD and then I reread it after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:23:04.990 --> 00:23:06.808 And it's a book about epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.114$ Essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

00:23:07.114 - > 00:23:09.546 Now why am I bringing it up here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}23{:}09{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11{.}764$ He can't rasted the biological point

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}23{:}11.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.529$ of view and his observation that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.974$ Uh, that social factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00:23:16.974 \rightarrow 00:23:20.640$ Were in the vastly different conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}23{:}20{.}738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23{.}656$ were at play, and I'm putting up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86430043

 $00{:}23{:}23{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26{.}170$ US knows that this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:23:26.272 --> 00:23:28.912 I submit that Dubois is

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31{.}024$ important to public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}31{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}928$ United States ice snow is to to

 $00:23:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.049$ public health around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}36{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38{.}558$ This is the famous water spicket

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}38{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40{.}230$ that London physicians know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:40.230 \rightarrow 00:23:43.149$ I identified was the cause of cholera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:43.150 \rightarrow 00:23:46.546$ This kind of launched modern epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}23{:}46{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}147$ And I think that this work the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:49.147 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.035$ Philadelphia is approach is as

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:51.035 \rightarrow 00:23:53.159$ important in terms of racial equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.598$ both in the United States and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:23:57.600 \rightarrow 00:24:00.590$ So Dubois argued that social

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}982$ advancements and vastly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:02.982 \rightarrow 00:24:05.647$ living conditions were the causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:05.647 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.611$ of the known 1899 known racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}389$ differences in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.030$ Now one more on Dubois.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:13.030 \rightarrow 00:24:15.326$ Before I leave what he pointed out,

 $00:24:15.330 \rightarrow 00:24:17.409$ I pulled this quote from the Philadelphia

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}17{.}409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}590$ and I think it's really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}19.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.934$ I alluded to this earlier when I talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:21.934 \rightarrow 00:24:24.177$ about we fund our priorities again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.784$ we fund our priorities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

00:24:25.784 --> 00:24:27.789 The most difficult social problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:27.789 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.340$ in the matter of health is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.153$ peculiar attitude of the nation

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}32{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}610$ towards the well being of the race.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}479$ There have been few other cases in

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00:24:36.479 \rightarrow 00:24:38.043$ the history of civilized peoples

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}38{.}043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}167$ where human suffering has been viewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181714736842

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}129$ with such peculiar in difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073276

 $00:24:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.676$ 1899 Let's go back to social determinants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073276

 $00{:}24{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.608$ I want to ground us in a common

 $00{:}24{:}50{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52{.}410$ understanding as I did for health

NOTE Confidence: 0.9073276

00:24:52.410 --> 00:24:53.670 informatics and for HealthEquity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}116$ And we see here what Dubois observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:00.120 --> 00:25:02.304 Social advancement vastly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}02{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}488$ different living conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.266$ Stop one moment Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}982$ OK, there are five central

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}08{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}254$ social determinants of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}11{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12{.}772$ Economic stability, education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}12.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.788$ social and community context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}14.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.802$ health and health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}16.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.814$ neighborhood and built environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}18.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.515$ And this. Diagram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}21.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.490$ From the Institute of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:24.490 --> 00:25:27.110 Helps articulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:27.110 \rightarrow 00:25:31.000$ Illustrate their interplay there all.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872
- $00{:}25{:}31{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}938$ They all can play a role.

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}620$ And some can play the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.578$ of role more than others for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:36.578 \rightarrow 00:25:38.110$ group for certain groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.048$ But the point is in trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:40.048 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.340$ to isolate causal factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:41.340 \rightarrow 00:25:43.698$ This is perhaps what makes pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:43.698 \rightarrow 00:25:45.617$ so difficult to definitively describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}873$ is that they are all at play and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

00:25:47.873 -> 00:25:50.396 they can be a play at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00:25:50.396 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.844$ times for different individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380872

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}570$ So these are social determinants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00{:}25{:}56.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.315$ And these determinants have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01{.}415$ articulated for various groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

00:26:01.420 --> 00:26:02.998 I've mentioned black.

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06{.}680$ I've mentioned Latin ex but also Haitian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

00:26:06.680 --> 00:26:08.784 Afro Cuban Trinidad Ian

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

00:26:08.784 --> 00:26:10.888 Appalachian Poor Asian Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

00:26:10.890 --> 00:26:12.468 older adults, immigrants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00{:}26{:}12.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}14.046$ individuals with disabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00{:}26{:}14.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.102$ Native Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7865068

 $00:26:15.102 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.258$ It goes on prisoners as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}20{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22{.}176$ Social determinants of health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}22.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.750$ of health are not like gravity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}24.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.840$ They're not some natural law

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.930$ that just sort of happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}28{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31{.}818$ They are the drivers and the result of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}31.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.158$ institutions that create and sustain them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}34{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}510$ They are populated these institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}36{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39{.}317$ by individuals who either actively make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:39.317 \rightarrow 00:26:42.054$ decisions to maintain an equity or perhaps.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- 00:26:42.060 --> 00:26:43.540 More commonly, they passively

 $00{:}26{:}43{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45{.}760$ maintain an equity by simply looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:45.824 \rightarrow 00:26:47.707$ the other way by not caring quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:47.707 \rightarrow 00:26:49.420$ enough for the least of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:26:49.420 --> 00:26:51.820 Back to Dubois is quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}26{:}51.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53.680$ When I first started started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:53.680 \rightarrow 00:26:54.796$ studying health inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:54.800 \rightarrow 00:26:58.230$ I thought the healthcare system was broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.140$ Maybe not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:26:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.870$ Perhaps like the criminal justice system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.294$ it's working as intended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}03{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05{.}877$ Deanna Hoskins has seen the senior policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}05.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.169$ advisor for the Department of Justice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}08{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11{.}500$ Bureau of Justice Assistance is noted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.586$ The criminal justice system is not broken.

- $00:27:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.775$ It is operating just as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}15{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17{.}523$ it's designed to operate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:27:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.076$ The cost,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}18.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.714$ perhaps some of you are familiar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}19{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20{.}998$ with some of this data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.058$ The cost of imprisonment in jail in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}23.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.770$ the past 20 years has grown as a faster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:27:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.138$ rate than any other state budget item.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- 00:27:28.140 --> 00:27:29.685 It costs about \$80.00 a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}29.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.230$ day to house an inmate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}31{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33{.}446$ And the United States spends in excess of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:27:33.446 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.629$ \$68 billion a year on corrections federal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}35{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36{.}491$ state and local.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}36{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38{.}849$ I point this out because we should never
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}38{.}849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}858$ assume that we're all united in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00:27:40.858 \rightarrow 00:27:42.958$ desire to eliminate racial inequity.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}27{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.920$ I never assumed that.

 $00:27:45.920 \rightarrow 00:27:48.349$ This is uphill. It's a noble fight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}48.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.530$ But there's a slope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.915$ To that line?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.376$ OK, social determinants of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:55.376 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.468$ health impact everyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:27:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.290$ but not everyone equally important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}27{:}58{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}730$ point here. It can impact all groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:01.730 --> 00:28:04.130 But children in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.930$ are especially vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.750$ Why well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}08.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.905$ Askeaton, another seven have taught

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:10.905 - 00:28:13.060 me in terms of developmental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}13.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.384$ Children are especially vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:14.384 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.377$ because the physical,

 $00:28:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.708$ social and emotional capabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:16.708 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.368$ that develop early in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:18.370 --> 00:28:20.694 which many of you are well steep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:20.700 --> 00:28:22.360 provide a foundation for life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.550$ course health and well being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:25.550 --> 00:28:26.729 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}301$ developmental scientists have settled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:28.301 \rightarrow 00:28:30.829$ on the nature versus nurture debate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}210$ both in concert matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}32{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34{.}780$ But how they interact with the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}34.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.354$ of development is still being described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.924$ What we do know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}270$ as I mentioned with Keating's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

00:28:41.270 --> 00:28:42.626 But important nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:42.626 \rightarrow 00:28:44.434$ nurture interactions occur during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717

 $00:28:44.434 \rightarrow 00:28:46.528$ early childhood development that set

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8672717
- $00{:}28{:}46{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}238$ a course throughout someone's life.

 $00:28:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.868$ I'd like to also point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}28{:}54.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.004$ systematic racism and colorism colorism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:28:57.004 \rightarrow 00:28:59.080$ prejudice or discrimination against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}550$ individuals with dark skin tone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}875$ Among people of the same ethnic group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:04.880 \rightarrow 00:29:06.465$ Are types of determinants which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:06.465 --> 00:29:08.050 do not impact groups equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.952$ So while social determinants impact all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:09.952 \rightarrow 00:29:12.486$ of us, they don't impact us all equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:12.490 --> 00:29:13.444 Much like Kovit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}13{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15{.}352$ I remember early in the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}15{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17{.}239$ where COVID is affecting us all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}17{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19{.}148$ We're all being affected by kovid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:19.150 \rightarrow 00:29:20.101$ That's largely true,

 $00:29:20.101 \rightarrow 00:29:22.320$ but it's not affecting us all equally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}22{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24{.}434$ and that was brought home to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:24.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.439$ more than at any other time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}26{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29{.}293$ When I was freaked out like the rest of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.175$ us have even walking out the door and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}32.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.657$ going to the grocery store was an adventure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:35.660 --> 00:29:37.810 And anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:37.810 \rightarrow 00:29:39.844$ Yet when I talk on the phone with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00{:}29{:}39{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42{.}058$ of a friend I've had since 7th grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:42.060 --> 00:29:43.140 Ann, I said you're out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

 $00:29:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.598$ what are you doing out? And it hit me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776074

00:29:45.598 --> 00:29:47.820 He's a mailman. He delivers the mail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}29{:}50{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51{.}610$ He didn't have a choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}29{:}51{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54{.}727$ He was essential. And the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}29{:}54{.}727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56{.}890$ that we walked by in grocery stores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:29:56.890 \rightarrow 00:29:58.186$ stocking shelves, essential workers.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414
- $00:29:58.186 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.881$ So we have to keep in mind that

 $00:30:00.881 \rightarrow 00:30:02.611$ this pandemic and these determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:02.611 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.269$ don't affect us all equal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.540$ And that's important for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.310$ to keep in mind me include.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:07.310 --> 00:30:08.921 OK, structural inequities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:08.921 \rightarrow 00:30:12.143$ I've mentioned that what are they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.638$ Well, they're important 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:14.638 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.126$ they undergird these drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00:30:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.815$ They are the personal interpersonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:19.815 --> 00:30:22.780 institution and systematic drivers excuse me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}30{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.836$ such as racism, sexism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:24.836 --> 00:30:26.344 classism, ableism, xenophobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:26.344 --> 00:30:26.841 homophobia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

 $00{:}30{:}26.841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.326$ that make those identities salient

 $00:30:29.326 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.976$ to the fair distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8824414

00:30:31.976 --> 00:30:34.088 health opportunities and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

00:30:36.330 --> 00:30:39.759 I want to point out here that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}39{.}759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42{.}167$ distinction in the American narrative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}42.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.098$ As you might imagine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:45.098 \rightarrow 00:30:47.050$ summer after Memorial Day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

00:30:47.050 --> 00:30:49.858 I was asked to talk to different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}49.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.879$ in ways that I hadn't before about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}52.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.575$ racial justice, and I'm not a race

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:30:55.575 \rightarrow 00:30:58.140$ researcher by training or by identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}30{:}58{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01{.}410$ However, I do research and equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00{:}31{:}01{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}910$ I'm a person of color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:02.910 \dashrightarrow 00:31:05.738$ therefore I bring myself to my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:05.740 \rightarrow 00:31:08.820$ So. What I so I did some homework

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

00:31:08.820 --> 00:31:11.480 so more reading of history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802

 $00:31:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.210$ which has been extremely illuminated,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802
- $00:31:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.330$ instructed from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802
- $00{:}31{:}15{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17{.}262$ And I uncovered that there was a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802
- $00{:}31{:}17{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18{.}796$ prevailing and Eric American narrative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802
- $00:31:18.796 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.740$ that draws a sharp line between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8724802
- $00:31:20.740 \dashrightarrow 00:31:22.720$ the United States past and present.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}31{:}24{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28{.}154$ So within 60s and 70s marking a crucial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:31:28.154 \rightarrow 00:31:31.547$ before and after moment in that narrative,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}31{:}31{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}750$ the narrative is search asserts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}31{:}33.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.951$ that until the 1950s, U.S.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:31:35.951 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.156$ history was shaped by the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- 00:31:38.156 --> 00:31:39.920 impacts of past slavery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- 00:31:39.920 --> 00:31:41.243 American Indian removal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:31:41.243 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.448$ lack of rights for women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}31{:}43{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45{.}655$ Jim Crow segregation periods of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}31{:}45.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.419$ nativists restrictions on immigration,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}31{:}47{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50{.}066$ and waves of mass deportation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:31:50.066 --> 00:31:51.391 Hispanic immigrants, eugenics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}31{:}51{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}596$ the internment of Japanese Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:31:53.600 - 00:31:55.547 Chinese exclusion policies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}31{:}55{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58{.}792$ The criminalization of homosexual acts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:31:58.792 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.865$ and more so think 50s pre 50s this past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}02{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05{.}680$ And then after the 1950s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:05.680 \dashrightarrow 00:32:08.592$ We had this rush to the present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}08{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}810$ civil rights women's liberation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}10{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13{.}140$ gay rights, disability rights movements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.490$ and their aftermaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}14.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.190$ May have contributed or be contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.618$ to this narrative that social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:19.620 \rightarrow 00:32:21.200$ political, and cultural institutions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:32:21.200 --> 00:32:23.175 have made progress towards equity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.160$ diversity and inclusion.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.800$ DI it's a thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- 00:32:27.800 --> 00:32:29.695 Highlights include the Civil Rights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- 00:32:29.695 --> 00:32:32.299 Act of 64 Voting Rights Act of 65,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- 00:32:32.300 --> 00:32:34.349 Fair Housing Act.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}32{:}34{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}740$ Title 9 American with Disabilities Act.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.550$ Even the Affordable Care Act.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:40.550 \rightarrow 00:32:43.358$ And most recently, the Supreme Court
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:43.358 \rightarrow 00:32:45.950$ case that legalized marriage equality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.932$ United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:46.932 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.896$ Yes, that was progress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.352$ That is progress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- 00:32:50.352 --> 00:32:50.836 However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:50.836 \rightarrow 00:32:53.740$ when we look at remaining persistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00{:}32{:}53.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.360$ structural inequity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471
- $00:32:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.690$ I'd like us to reconsider
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:32:57.690 \rightarrow 00:32:59.554$ that before after narrative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}32{:}59{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}192$ even after what I call this past summer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}33{:}03{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}190$ of radical racial reconciliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:33:06.190 - > 00:33:08.353 How much of that energy will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}33{:}08{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10{.}345$ sustained and how will we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00{:}33{:}10{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}015$ what indicators might we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:33:12.015 --> 00:33:14.009 'cause from a health standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

 $00:33:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.209$ I'm still looking for evidence of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492471

00:33:17.210 --> 00:33:18.470 Sharp distinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991497

 $00:33:23.780 \rightarrow 00:33:25.244$ Persistent racial inequity suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991497

 $00:33:25.244 \rightarrow 00:33:27.780$ that the distinction is not so good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:33:30.280 --> 00:33:31.550 OK, causes of racial inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}31{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34{.}434$ I alluded to this a little earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.756$ For kovit or similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}36{.}756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}300$ causes of persistent inequity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:38.368 \rightarrow 00:33:40.400$ for common chronic conditions.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498
- 00:33:40.400 --> 00:33:42.956 So I cited some data earlier

00:33:42.956 --> 00:33:44.234 about racial inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}44{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46{.}914$ and we see that the structural social

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}46{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49{.}089$ factors exert have exerted are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:49.089 \rightarrow 00:33:51.479$ exerting their influence on COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:33:51.480 --> 00:33:53.180 Again, risk of infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:53.180 \rightarrow 00:33:54.455$ hospitalization and death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:33:54.460 \rightarrow 00:33:57.016$ and that shouldn't shock us right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:33:57.020 --> 00:33:59.708 Wouldn't it wouldn't be surprising if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}33{:}59.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.560$ found that somehow these structural factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.970$ which are by definition structural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:04.970 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:34:06.730 Wouldn't be exerting an influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.846$ on covert outcomes for me early

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}846$ --> $00{:}34{:}10{.}855$ in the pandemic and I'll talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:10.855 - 00:34:12.924 little bit more about where my work

 $00:34:12.924 \rightarrow 00:34:15.061$ is today and where it's headed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}15{.}061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19{.}546$ I saw a crisis room. Because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}19{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}498$ structural factors are structural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:21.500 - 00:34:24.468 So what we found in some early data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.067$ This is a Banga is a wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:27.067 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.180$ mentor of mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.664$ He's at the university.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:29.664 --> 00:34:30.777 He's at NYU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:34:30.780 --> 00:34:32.635 School of Medicine does some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:32.635 \rightarrow 00:34:34.490$ wonderful work in population health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}170$ Published in JAMA in September.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39{.}165$ Addressing some of it questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:39.165 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.362$ about genetic predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:40.362 \rightarrow 00:34:42.040$ predisposition to COVID infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.476$ they ask that was out there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:44.476 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.100$ reasonable questions to ask.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498
- $00{:}34{:}46.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.125$ But are people of color

 $00:34:48.125 \rightarrow 00:34:48.935$ genetically predisposed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}34{:}48{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51{.}436$ The questions were asked and answered

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:51.436 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.820$ by Gbenga and others that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.800$ social factors like greater proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:55.800 \dashrightarrow 00:34:58.280$ of essential jobs like my friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:34:58.280 \rightarrow 00:35:01.094$ my lifelong friend who delivers the mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:01.094 \rightarrow 00:35:03.560$ continues to deliver the mail everyday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.980$ Never missed a day of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00:35:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.978$ Number of people in the household.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}35{:}08{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10{.}650$ Multi generations in the household,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}35{:}10.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.765$ the things that we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

00:35:12.765 --> 00:35:14.880 predispose you to COVID risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}35{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.568$ Also exert their influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7895498

 $00{:}35{:}16.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.678$ disproportionately on people of color.

 $00:35:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.288$ So just as medical care alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

00:35:27.290 --> 00:35:29.354 Has not rat eradicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:29.354 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.418$ racial inequities in health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}31{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33{.}710$ Medical care alone will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}33.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.826$ result in racial, ethnic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:35.826 \rightarrow 00:35:39.506$ ethnic inequities for COVID inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}39{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42{.}174$ So I, as I've been reading about vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}42.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.353$ rollouts and I'll talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}44{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46{.}618$ about some of that data in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.835$ It occurred to me early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:47.835 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.050$ on that this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:49.050 \rightarrow 00:35:51.507$ Our bench scientists are going to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00:35:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.450$ And God level yes, we need that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}54{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57{.}018$ Should create a vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}35{:}57{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}688$ And they get. However.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801

 $00{:}36{:}00{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03{.}378$ We also need the social scientists

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9090801
- $00:36:03.378 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.722$ to bring that.

00:36:04.730 --> 00:36:07.420 Bench science genius to real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

00:36:09.940 --> 00:36:11.430 OK, it's important to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.195$ I'd like to discuss some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:13.195 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.340$ work that I'm I'm into now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.188$ So early in the pandemic I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:17.188 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.420$ speculated that social determinants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}18{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20{.}604$ which I have been reading about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}20.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.464$ studying and writing about for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:22.464 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.139$ several years at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}24.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.345$ Combined. With people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29{.}909$ having high medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}989$ Which was an area that I hadn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

00:36:31.989 --> 00:36:33.990 done much work in specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.222$ Also, the proliferation of

 $00:36:37.222 \rightarrow 00:36:39.646$ misinformation and disinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:39.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.269$ But it was vital to understand perceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

00:36:43.270 --> 00:36:44.622 Particularly a future vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:44.622 \rightarrow 00:36:47.833$ This is back in the fall or actually this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:47.833 \rightarrow 00:36:50.451$ summer was going to affect behavior because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53{.}162$ Part of what I'm really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}53.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.708$ is how do perceptions impact behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:36:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.890$ To what degree do they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}615$ Because they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87946844

 $00:37:00.615 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.190$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:03.330 \rightarrow 00:37:05.616$ Given this high level of mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.520$ given all, by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:07.520 --> 00:37:09.088 is an information scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:09.088 \rightarrow 00:37:11.440$ I must point out that misinformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}11.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.618$ and disinformation or not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:13.620 \rightarrow 00:37:15.140$ They aren't synonyms, misinformation,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033
- 00:37:15.140 --> 00:37:15.900 wrong disinformation,

00:37:15.900 - 00:37:16.662 deliberately wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:16.662 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.186$ That's the new monik.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}18{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19{.}546$ Just information deliberate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:19.546 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.806$ They are not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:21.810 \dashrightarrow 00:37:24.066$ Some people just don't know or some sources

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}24.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.540$ are not sure where as dis information is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:26.540 \rightarrow 00:37:28.887$ a deliberate attempt to decieve DDD got it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}28.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.066$ got the new mnemonic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:30.066 --> 00:37:31.536 That's the one I use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}31{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33{.}810$ Use whatever one you wish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}33{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36{.}110$ So in the early summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:36.110 --> 00:37:38.495 Largely due to the perceptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:37:38.495 --> 00:37:41.393 and beliefs I was hearing upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:41.393 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.949$ my network of people of color.

 $00:37:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.020$ About where this came from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}46.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.451$ Who was responsible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:47.451 \rightarrow 00:37:50.313$ What's going to happen when a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:50.313 \rightarrow 00:37:52.389$ treatment or a vaccine comes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}52{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54{.}070$ Exacerbated by miss and disinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.995$ Remember back to the glorious

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}37{:}55{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}344$ time last summer and last fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:37:58.344 \rightarrow 00:38:00.794$ and we were hearing all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}968$ things about all kinds of topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}02{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05{.}298$ Some did some misinformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:05.298 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.790$ I thought that we might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}08{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11{.}410$ a crisis looming because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:11.410 \rightarrow 00:38:14.804$ Vaccines don't make us safe, vaccinations do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}14.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.116$ So we need the bench scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}18{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19{.}566$ To help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:19.566 \rightarrow 00:38:22.940$ Do their work to develop the vaccines,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033
- $00:38:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.246$ but that's part of the journey.

 $00:38:26.250 \rightarrow 00:38:28.246$ What we're seeing now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}741$ Are where social determinants may

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:30.741 \rightarrow 00:38:33.820$ exert their influence on vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

00:38:33.820 --> 00:38:35.080 Because vaccines don't make

NOTE Confidence: 0.82082033

 $00:38:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.025$ us safe vaccinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.684$ So a key tenant that I wanted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}41.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.622$ Incorporate into this work which turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:43.622 \dashrightarrow 00:38:45.690$ into the COVID and race project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:45.690 \dashrightarrow 00:38:47.496$ The sites up is that intragroup

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}47{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49{.}350$ differences were important to investigate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:38:49.350 --> 00:38:51.010 Largely in I mentioned earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}51.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52.680$ bring myself to my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}52.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.336$ I was when I, when I was trained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}38{:}55{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57{.}338$ I was trying to mix methods.

00:38:57.340 --> 00:38:59.010 I do mixed methods work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:38:59.010 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.158$ but in my qualitative training I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}01{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03{.}316$ remember a particular lesson where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}03{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}961$ says the qualitative researcher goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:04.961 \rightarrow 00:39:07.656$ in with an empty mind and an open mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.060$ but not an empty head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:10.060 --> 00:39:12.100 An open mind, but not an empty head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}12{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13{.}660$ and that resonated with me 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.160$ I bring myself to my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.180$ How can you not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17.200$ As a social worker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:17.200 \rightarrow 00:39:19.495$ I know that you bring yourself to your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:19.500 \rightarrow 00:39:22.756$ You don't leave your experiences at the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:22.760 --> 00:39:24.748 Or before you get into your analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:24.748 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.459$ or before you start writing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.808$ it comes with you.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}39{:}27{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30{.}385$ Embrace it so I knew that black

 $00{:}39{:}30{.}385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}750$ people of color were different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:32.750 --> 00:39:35.326 Rob and I may be people of color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:35.330 \rightarrow 00:39:37.598$ but that might be we have similarities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}210$ but we also have distinctions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.854$ and differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:39.860 - 00:39:41.560 So intergroup relations were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:41.560 - 00:39:43.685 important for me to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}43.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.962$ And there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}44.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.658$ Validated acculturation skills that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}46.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.993$ have been around for a couple of decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00{:}39{:}49{.}993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51{.}593$ to measure intergroup difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

00:39:51.600 -> 00:39:53.910 Because if we're going to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.680$ an intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953

 $00:39:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.924$ or if we're going to target

- 00:39:56.924 --> 00:39:58.920 a group that's at risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}39{:}58{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01{.}784$ how do we possibly do that with just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}40{:}01.784$ --> $00{:}40{:}04.519$ having all the Asian Americans in one NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- 00:40:04.519 --> 00:40:08.670 group Latin X in another group, and?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}40{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.490$ Blacks in another.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00:40:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.070$ So here's an opportunity to apply
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00:40:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.638$ acculturation scales for me in my work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- 00:40:16.640 --> 00:40:16.987 Anyway,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}40{:}16.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.069$ to to test the hypothesis that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}40{:}19.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.110$ intergroup differences would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00:40:20.165 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.905$ help target health information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00:40:21.905 \rightarrow 00:40:23.645$ interventions and address potential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- 00:40:23.645 00:40:25.350 racial inequities in vaccination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- 00:40:25.350 00:40:28.518 Again, at the time this was last May,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354953
- $00{:}40{:}28.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.285$ I was interested in a future vaccine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606
- 00:40:33.650 00:40:35.006 Using mistrust and perceptions,

 $00:40:35.006 \rightarrow 00:40:37.409$ we could measure that that's what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}40{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39{.}922$ We ask questions and we try to measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:39.922 \dashrightarrow 00:40:42.097$ and that's what we do in research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:40:42.100 --> 00:40:47.184 So what I did. Right in July, August,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:40:47.184 --> 00:40:51.241 when I started at MIT, I connected with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}40{:}51{.}241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54{.}650$ a behavioral economist at MIT, Dave ran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}40{:}54.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.260$ Added some of his expertise given

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:40:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.486$ he does online surveys all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02{.}750$ time that measure perceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.128$ Again, this notion of interdisciplinary enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:06.128 \rightarrow 00:41:09.208$ discipline work is extremely important if

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:09.208 --> 00:41:12.649 for in most cases I think I've heard of 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}12.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15.158$ there's no traditional academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:15.158 --> 00:41:17.039 Center for Health
Equity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:17.040 --> 00:41:19.572 Health
Equity, just like the virus doesn't

 $00:41:19.572 \rightarrow 00:41:21.890$ care about your political leanings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.180$ Equity work doesn't care about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.012$ what your doctorate isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:26.020 \rightarrow 00:41:29.116$ Or where your academic home is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:29.120 --> 00:41:30.746 It's definitionally multidisciplinar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}30.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.998$ so we combine and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:33.998 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.490$ run various experiments on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:36.490 --> 00:41:39.650 We've established it, yes intragroup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:41:39.650 --> 00:41:41.254 Racial perceptions do affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:41.254 \rightarrow 00:41:42.056$ COVID perceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.182$ There's a strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:43.182 \rightarrow 00:41:45.800$ This is preview now where where our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}45.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.068$ work hasn't been published yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}48.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50.224$ We're submitting a research brief end

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:50.224 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.887$ of this week early next that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:41:52.887 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.812$ that there's a strong correlation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606
- $00:41:54.812 \rightarrow 00:41:56.808$ where less acculturated participants

 $00{:}41{:}56.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.698$ have more negative vaccine attitudes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}41{:}59{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}290$ And this relationship is fully mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:01.290 \rightarrow 00:42:03.248$ by suspicion in the health care system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}03{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}615$ and that's something that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:04.615 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.980$ of us should care about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.170$ Those of us that touch patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.959$ or do work that eventually does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.776$ On the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}12.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.046$ less acculturated participants are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00:42:15.046 \rightarrow 00:42:17.776$ likely also to have had themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}17.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.689$ family or friends hospitalised due to Kovit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

 $00{:}42{:}20.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.310$ So it reveals differential exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83364606

00:42:24.310 --> 00:42:25.690 To harm from cobett

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:42:33.110 - 00:42:37.014 OK, so moving forward we are going to

 $00{:}42{:}37.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.377$ run more experiments to try to refine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45{.}650$ finetune where perceptions connect to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}42{:}45.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.410$ Behavior, intended behavior and outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.267$ and this is work that holds potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.930$ I hope long after COVID.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:42:55.930 --> 00:42:57.376 Or long after COVID is contained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:42:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.652$ let me say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}42{:}58.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00{.}915$ Because I think that there's quite an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:00.915 --> 00:43:02.924 opportunity for us to get a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}43{:}02{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05{.}211$ bit more refined and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:05.211 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.858$ where perceptions influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:06.858 \rightarrow 00:43:09.054$ behavior which influence outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.960$ So what we're doing now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:10.960 --> 00:43:12.860 we've applied for some funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.000$ internally at MIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:14.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.275$ We've structured a project that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:43:16.275 \rightarrow 00:43:18.550$ has two central objectives first.

 $00:43:18.550 \rightarrow 00:43:21.710$ We want to with a larger population measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:21.710 --> 00:43:23.785 hasn't vaccine hesitancy and its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:23.785 \rightarrow 00:43:25.860$ underlying perceptions and beliefs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:25.928 \rightarrow 00:43:28.208$ order to develop messaging approaches to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:28.208 \rightarrow 00:43:30.798$ help address the causes of his hesitancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:30.800 --> 00:43:33.320 it's no longer sufficient to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.275$ say that people of color have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:36.275 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.066$ higher mistrust therefore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}43{:}38.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.679$ I think that can be used as an excuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:43:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.880$ To shut down the efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}672$ To reach people and meet them where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}43{:}45.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.720$ they are and also understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:43:47.720 --> 00:43:49.750 influence of structural factors like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}43{:}49.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.745$ social determinants which may be

- 00:43:51.745 --> 00:43:53.615 presenting barriers to FactSet vaccination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00{:}43{:}53{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55{.}544$ This is important because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:43:55.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.987$ there's emerging research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:43:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.402$ That suggests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00{:}43{:}59{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02{.}305$ That intention to receive a COVID
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:02.305 \rightarrow 00:44:04.441$ vaccine may be actually higher among
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:04.441 \rightarrow 00:44:06.709$ black Americans and other racial groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.828$ specifically white men.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- 00:44:08.830 --> 00:44:10.750 May perhaps some of you have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- 00:44:10.750 --> 00:44:12.450 seen some of this data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.834$ This is a publish or it there was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00{:}44{:}14{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17{.}194$ a poll that NPR help sponsor about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:17.194 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.980$ a week and a half ago that found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.066$ that 73% of adult black Americans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:22.066 \rightarrow 00:44:24.160$ intended to get the vaccine when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:24.224 \rightarrow 00:44:26.029$ it became available again when

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573
- $00:44:26.029 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.834$ it became available to them.

 $00:44:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.866$ Higher than white men who leaned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}31{.}866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}550$ conservative or a Republican.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.020$ So it's important to understand these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:36.020 \rightarrow 00:44:37.570$ inequities and that would be an equity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:37.570 \rightarrow 00:44:39.150$ and equity that we would want to address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}39{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42{.}960$ or at least some of us would want to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:42.960 \rightarrow 00:44:46.890$ So preliminary data suggests that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:46.890 --> 00:44:48.416 Social determinants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:48.416 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.468$ not just medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}51{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53{.}238$ May explain racial disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:44:53.238 --> 00:44:54.564 in vaccination rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:44:54.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.475$ so we will solicit feedback on efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}44{:}57{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}343$ made to get insight or to get to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:01.343 \rightarrow 00:45:03.870$ vaccination to get further insights.

 $00{:}45{:}03{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06{.}635$ So we're hopeful that these insights will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}06.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.447$ will will help us address vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}09{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12{.}027$ disparities and just real quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:45:12.027 --> 00:45:14.337 here vaccination disparities by race

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}14.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.151$ are or reported all over the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.818$ LA had a particularly interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}19.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.380$ comprehensive view where, as of February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00:45:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.840$ 14th you see the dramatic differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

 $00{:}45{:}24{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27{.}519$ in race according to vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8225573

00:45:27.520 --> 00:45:28.420 Actual vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}30.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.955$ Somewhat ironically, people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}31{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33{.}290$ are vaccinated at lower rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.140$ They are at highest risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.860$ OK, so in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}37{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42{.}198$ what I would like to do is posit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}42.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.062$ provider credibility may have a link to.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617
- $00{:}45{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.230$ Outcomes of behavior and outcomes.

 $00{:}45{:}48{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}686$ I'm interested instead of or in addition to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.929$ measuring medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:45:52.929 --> 00:45:54.994 I'd like to also measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:45:54.994 --> 00:45:56.440 credibility of provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}168$ team health care system

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:45:58.168 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.328$ to help define a pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:00.330 --> 00:46:01.626 perhaps more definitively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}01.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.354$ from credibility to health

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:03.354 \rightarrow 00:46:04.650$ behavior to outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}04{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}744$ and that could provide us with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}07{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}971$ unique novel opportunity to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}09{.}971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12{.}413$ racial equity and cost of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:12.420 --> 00:46:15.479 I add cost of care here because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}15{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17{.}710$ depending on the audience.

00:46:17.710 --> 00:46:19.520 As I alluded to earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.948$ addressing racial inequity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}20{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22{.}852$ Does not necessarily hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:22.852 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.299$ the day when the argument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}25{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}560$ but when you combine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:27.560 --> 00:46:29.368 human side of inequity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:29.370 --> 00:46:30.732 reducing unnecessary suffering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:30.732 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.548$ and the financial side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}32{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34{.}566$ reducing things like avoidable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:34.566 --> 00:46:36.582 hospital utilization through Ed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38{.}565$ visits an unplanned admissions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:38.565 --> 00:46:41.253 that tends to capture most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}41{.}253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43{.}420$ the audiences that I talked to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:43.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.460$ either wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:46:44.460 --> 00:46:47.060 So collecting data among vulnerable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.035$ communities understand credibility to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617
- $00{:}46{:}49{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51{.}464$ measure its effect on behavior and outcomes.

00:46:51.470 --> 00:46:53.996 We can then assign a credibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:53.996 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.102$ index to healthcare institutions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:46:56.102 \rightarrow 00:46:58.238$ provider teams and start to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}46{:}58{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}082$ test or continue to test how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:01.082 --> 00:47:02.790 patient provider relationship may

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}02.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.865$ influence health behaviors and outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:04.865 --> 00:47:06.890 We could start with vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}06{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10{.}565$ but we could translate this to far

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:10.565 --> 00:47:13.220 beyond other health behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:13.220 --> 00:47:15.735 So medical mistrust I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:15.735 --> 00:47:17.244 posit is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.988$ but pathways are difficult to define.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}20{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23{.}160$ And it actually points the finger outwards

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.710$ towards individuals and communities,

 $00:47:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.724$ suggesting that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:25.724 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.090$ needs to be done to fix them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}28.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.848$ We need to create an invention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:29.848 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.887$ to get their medical mistrust to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}31.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.495$ reduce their medical mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:33.500 \rightarrow 00:47:35.516$ So they'll follow behaviors as recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}35{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37{.}900$ I submit that a credibility is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}37{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40{.}517$ of a inward look at the self to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:40.517 --> 00:47:43.173 try to figure out what we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

00:47:43.173 --> 00:47:45.297 to be more kredible clinicians in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}45{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47{.}292$ terms of their touching patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49{.}332$ as well as health researchers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}49{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}078$ like myself to actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00:47:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.920$ Understand what that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}52{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55{.}220$ behavior that might influence like

NOTE Confidence: 0.7872617

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}220$ --> $00{:}47{:}56{.}930$ participation in health research.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:47:59.080 --> 00:48:02.250 OK, as I conclude here.

 $00:48:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.714$ There are paths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:03.714 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.642$ There are not pathways specific pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:06.642 \rightarrow 00:48:09.888$ from perceptions like medical mistrust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:48:09.890 --> 00:48:11.531 discrimination, everyday racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}11.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.719$ perceived racism in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.988$ Yes, there's a validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.655$ Measure for perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}18.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.875$ racism in healthcare PRM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.410$ That always strikes me as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.340$ we have a measure for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.268$ Which suggests that it might be a thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.120$ so we see that perceptions as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}48{:}30{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32{.}020$ perceptions get more negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:48:32.020 \rightarrow 00:48:33.890$ Health behavior like seeking care,

- $00:48:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.003$ testing and screening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:35.003 --> 00:48:36.493 I check hemoglobin, A1C,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:36.493 --> 00:48:37.985 check a cholesterol screens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:37.985 --> 00:48:39.850 go down and then outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00:48:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.480$ like patients that go down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00{:}48{:}42{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45{.}656$ And as and also behavior like drug use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00:48:45.660 \rightarrow 00:48:46.848$ misuse, cigarette smoking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:46.848 --> 00:48:48.828 HIV risk behavior goes up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00{:}48{:}48{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}414$ as does blood pressure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:50.414 --> 00:48:51.569 risk inflammation, risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:51.569 --> 00:48:52.287 alcohol dependence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00{:}48{:}52{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}800$ So we see that there are some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- 00:48:54.873 > 00:48:56.769 associations if not pathways.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00:48:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.564$ So credibility.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00:48:57.564 \rightarrow 00:49:00.343$ I would surmise is a novel way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00:49:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.726$ a valuable way to assess the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924
- $00:49:02.726 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.914$ patient provider relationship.

 $00{:}49{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06{.}716$ Because it focuses on the source

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}114$ of the mistrust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.352$ Us and it provides insight to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:10.352 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.453$ refining some of these elusive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:12.453 --> 00:49:15.153 pathways and insights on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:15.153 \rightarrow 00:49:16.773$ perceptions influence behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.252$ With that, I'll pause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:18.252 \rightarrow 00:49:21.459$ I'm going to keep this up that adipic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00:49:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.020$ the integration of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:23.020 --> 00:49:24.190 various structural issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

00:49:24.190 --> 00:49:26.140 and I welcome your questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85582924

 $00{:}49{:}26.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27.310$ comments and rebuttals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}29{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31{.}302$ The only rebuttal that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:31.302 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.422$ for you Doctor Centeio is that

 $00:49:33.422 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.276$ we want you again and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:35.280 --> 00:49:37.956 and again, and again and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}074$ This has been so extraordinary, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:40.074 \rightarrow 00:49:42.188$ know there's going to be many questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:42.190 --> 00:49:45.210 I saw things popping up in the in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47{.}191$ but I am so grateful and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:47.191 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.130$ have touch on so many things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}49{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51{.}546$ I have questions but I I'll keep them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:51.550 --> 00:49:52.570 Let's start with Belinda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00:49:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.562$ who had a couple of really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

 $00{:}49{:}54{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55{.}777$ hitting wonderful questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8426144

00:49:55.780 --> 00:49:56.080 Belinda

NOTE Confidence: 0.84294593

 $00:49:56.080 \rightarrow 00:49:58.138$ go for it. Yes, this was wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.84294593

 $00:49:58.138 \dashrightarrow 00:50:00.308$ and I'm sorry it wasn't my camera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84294593

 $00:50:00.310 \rightarrow 00:50:04.260$ My grandchildren are here this week. But we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.8880781

 $00:50:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.468$ like to see him.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213
- 00:50:07.040 --> 00:50:10.750 There's a play. But I have a question

 $00{:}50{:}10.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.458$ about so as you were talking, Anne Anne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:13.458 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.103$ I've been doing some research

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}15{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16{.}589$ and so forth for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}16{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18{.}795$ I believe gentrification is a new form

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:18.795 \rightarrow 00:50:21.038$ of redlining, if that makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:21.038 \rightarrow 00:50:23.810$ Because once a community is cleaned out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:23.810 --> 00:50:26.295 you can't afford to go back into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}26{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29{.}148$ For example, is my sons God brother bought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.432$ You know you St area in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}31{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34{.}137$ 60s and DC it got burned down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}34{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}597$ And so David bought a brown stone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:36.597 \rightarrow 00:50:39.130$ say 250 for DC goes for \$2,000,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}39{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41{.}969$ or right about now is the tax rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:41.970 -> 00:50:44.595 So there's no way so the community

 $00:50:44.595 \rightarrow 00:50:46.729$ has totally changed and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}46.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49.377$ part of that when we talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

00:50:49.377 --> 00:50:51.699 social factors are they also still?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}51{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{.}663$ Attached to racism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00{:}50{:}52{.}663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}589$ No, because it's a social construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:54.589 \rightarrow 00:50:56.495$ that where we struggle struggle with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6660213

 $00:50:56.495 \rightarrow 00:50:58.400$ some things for people of color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:00.330 \rightarrow 00:51:01.666$ Certainly yes, perceptions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}01{.}666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03{.}670$ part of of social determinants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}03.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.477$ perceptions do influence behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:05.480 \rightarrow 00:51:07.280$ Perceptions of maltreatment of discrimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}07{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}530$ that I'm not being treated equally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}09{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11{.}370$ which is a foundational sentiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}11{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.673$ So that's one thing you don't have to wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:14.680 --> 00:51:17.256 You know this more than I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:17.260 --> 00:51:19.100 I actually was reading about

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188
- $00{:}51{:}19{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20{.}940$ babies and water babies know.

 $00:51:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.876$ And what do we have to teach them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.058$ And there's an innate sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:26.058 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.930$ justice that were born with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}27{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29{.}154$ so I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:29.154 --> 00:51:31.468 Even if you don't know all about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:31.468 --> 00:51:34.261 Tu
skegee or you don't know all about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

00:51:34.261 --> 00:51:36.880 Doctor Marion Sims and what he did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:36.880 \rightarrow 00:51:38.933$ with Anna Kahran other slave girls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00:51:38.933 \rightarrow 00:51:41.054$ you have a sense for any quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}41.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.623$ and part of why those kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}43.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}45.139$ historical an ecdotes are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}45{.}139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}375$ present is because the bias and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510188

 $00{:}51{:}47{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}807$ injustice is still present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83845884

 $00{:}51{:}52{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}306$ I'm trying to keep my answers short here.

- $00:51:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.508$ You are doing great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- $00{:}51{:}56{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}185$ We really I I could listen to you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- $00{:}51{:}59{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01{.}425$ for hours and I know that there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- $00:52:01.425 \rightarrow 00:52:03.839$ other people who have questions so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- 00:52:03.840 --> 00:52:07.127 Walter, I saw you active on the chat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- 00:52:07.130 --> 00:52:08.770 Amanda Detmer, Jose Pious,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- 00:52:08.770 --> 00:52:11.234 Lilia Benoit, in no particular order.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610953
- $00:52:11.234 \rightarrow 00:52:13.289$ But let's go for it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00:52:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.215$ OK, well they're being shy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00:52:19.215 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.523$ while they're being shy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00{:}52{:}20{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}498$ I have a couple of questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- 00:52:22.500 --> 00:52:24.455 First of all, I loved, loved,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00{:}52{:}24{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26{.}345$ loved your shout out to qualitative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00:52:26.345 \rightarrow 00:52:28.727$ methods and to the importance of people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00:52:28.730 \rightarrow 00:52:31.844$ and I think that you said something you said
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00:52:31.844 \rightarrow 00:52:35.284$ a couple I took a lot of notes by the way,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247
- $00:52:35.290 \rightarrow 00:52:37.914$ but you said this is a bumper sticker.

 $00:52:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.560$ Vaccines don't make us safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:39.560 --> 00:52:41.516 Vaccinations do and that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:41.520 --> 00:52:43.788 you know, warp, speed it ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:43.788 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.070$ to this blessing of a vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:46.070 --> 00:52:48.646 But if we don't get it right through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.613$ knowing what hesitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:49.613 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.897$ and what people think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}832$ and we're going to find out

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:52:52.832 --> 00:52:53.798 through qualitative methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.726$ so, so thank you for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56{.}696$ And and two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:52:56.696 \rightarrow 00:52:58.306$ maybe a inner baseball questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}314$ but you mentioned the group based

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00:53:00.314 \rightarrow 00:53:02.170$ medical mistrust scale in the PRM,

 $00:53:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.060$ the perceived racism and medical care

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}53{:}04.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.027$ are those actual scales where their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

 $00{:}53{:}06{.}027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07{.}965$ concepts because their scales on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86378247

00:53:07.970 --> 00:53:08.610 Yeah, they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.510$ validated scales. Somehow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:09.510 --> 00:53:12.700 I have a collection in my in my notes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:12.700 \rightarrow 00:53:14.410$ these scales that measure perceptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.420$ and these are validated scales much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}16{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17{.}760$ like the acculturation skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}17.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.989$ I can email them to you, you wonder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}21{.}990$ --> $00{:}53{:}24{.}300$ Yeah, and and and when I when I heard the NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:24.358 --> 00:53:26.668 term warpspeed about vaccine development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}26.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.578$ I thought that's that's great cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00:53:28.578 \dashrightarrow 00:53:31.232$ warp speed that I thought on I bet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}31{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}212$ social scientists weren't in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

00:53:33.277 -> 00:53:35.202 when you when they met like that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004
- $00:53:35.202 \rightarrow 00:53:37.614$ might not be good for all folks.

 $00{:}53{:}37{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40{.}849$ The fact that it's rushed right out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260004

 $00{:}53{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.250$ We need social too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85042155

 $00:53:42.250 \rightarrow 00:53:45.220$ Absolutely. And you know we have a social

NOTE Confidence: 0.85042155

 $00:53:45.220 \rightarrow 00:53:47.870$ question from Justin Justin. Go for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}48{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50{.}460$ Thank you for that a mazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:53:50.460 \rightarrow 00:53:51.420$ talk you mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:53:51.420 \rightarrow 00:53:53.050$ Something about immigrants saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}53{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55{.}260$ as they stay in America over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00{:}53{:}55{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}180$ it seems like their health outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:53:57.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.460$ start to resemble individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

00:53:58.460 --> 00:54:00.700 I've been in America for who look

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:54:00.700 \rightarrow 00:54:02.940$ like them for a long period time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86513233

 $00:54:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.860$ Can you speak more on that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}06{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08{.}907$ Yeah, I can talk about how when

 $00:54:08.907 \rightarrow 00:54:10.080$ Caribbean immigrants specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:10.080 \rightarrow 00:54:14.380$ this is when I just have the top of my head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}14{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16{.}697$ I'm doing some work with the OR

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}16.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.646$ proposing to work with the the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:19.646 --> 00:54:21.534 Cancer Institute about prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}21{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23{.}770$ cancer treatment and you find that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:23.770 \rightarrow 00:54:26.666$ And this is this is found fairly consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}26.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.879$ in the literature that the rates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:29.879 --> 00:54:32.650 prostate cancer for Caribbean immigrant men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.318$ 1st generation are lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}318$ --> $00{:}54{:}39{.}069$ than subsequent generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.635$ So second generation immigrant health

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:40.635 \rightarrow 00:54:42.550$ status is much worse than first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.762$ and it's like the Mexican or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:44.762 --> 00:54:46.026 Latin American paradox, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:46.026 \rightarrow 00:54:48.238$ So you get here and then I'll

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116
- $00:54:48.238 \rightarrow 00:54:50.127$ get to why in a moment.

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}658$ The short answer is we don't really know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:52.660 \rightarrow 00:54:56.510$ but there are a couple of important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:54:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.706$ Sort of theories or or guesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}54{:}58{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}655$ let's say so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:54:59.655 --> 00:55:02.769 Part of it is that to get here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}02.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.660$ have to have a certain health status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.012$ So there's this notion that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}08.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.978$ people that are predisposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:09.978 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.246$ illness never make it to the shores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:12.250 \rightarrow 00:55:13.514$ never make it here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}13.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.094$ so we're not getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:15.094 \rightarrow 00:55:16.639$ representative immigrant population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:16.640 \rightarrow 00:55:19.568$ We're getting the people that make it here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:19.570 - 00:55:20.886 and that's not representative,

 $00:55:20.886 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.860$ so that's part of an explicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:22.917 --> 00:55:23.959 explanatory factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:23.960 -> 00:55:26.156 But what one of my wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:26.156 --> 00:55:27.738 treasured mentors, James Jackson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:27.738 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.010$ who passed recently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}29{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}962$ When I was talking to him about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:31.962 - 00:55:35.005 of these equity issues and he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:35.005 \rightarrow 00:55:38.050$ finding that when when people got here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}38.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.155$ their health status actually second

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:40.155 \rightarrow 00:55:42.979$ generation was was lesser than what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.213$ Why do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:44.213 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.857$ What could that be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:55:45.860 - 00:55:47.204 We don't know yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}47{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}49{.}697$ but what he did cause me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}49.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}52.085$ think about what we discussed is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:52.085 \rightarrow 00:55:54.903$ that the impact of what's called

 $00{:}55{:}54{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56{.}955$ weathering in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}55{:}56{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59{.}110$ The repeated sort of burdens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:55:59.110 \rightarrow 00:56:00.858$ Trauma overtime actually wears

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:00.858 \rightarrow 00:56:03.043$ on your your biological systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:03.050 --> 00:56:04.370 your immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.450$ your ability to sort of deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}07{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10{.}085$ the the micro traumas of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:10.085 \rightarrow 00:56:13.161$ through the day or viewing the OR

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}13.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}15.753$ actually the trial of the officer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}15{.}753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18{.}370$ who kneeled on George Floyd's neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.010$ and murdered him like just that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:21.010 --> 00:56:23.824 Just think about what I was talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}23.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27.074$ to a colleague last summer who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}27.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.524$ appropriately a ghast at that imagery

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33{.}154$ that was flashed again and again and again.

 $00{:}56{:}33.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}34.700$ On our screens and and it happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}34{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35{.}730$ to be a woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37{.}319$ a white woman who told me like

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}37{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}780$ that so terrible that's so true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:38.780 --> 00:56:39.407 And I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}388$ how do you think it makes me feel as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}41{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43{.}228$ the person who's been in the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}43.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.293$ a police car and spent the night in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

00:56:45.293 --> 00:56:47.216 jail because of over aggressive policing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:47.216 \rightarrow 00:56:49.830$ So if you're traumatized or outraged by that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.410$ what might that do to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.130$ that look like me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55{.}614$ Charter home articulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00{:}56{:}55{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57{.}846$ education and having a license and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:57.846 \rightarrow 00:56:59.708$ registration isn't a protective factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116

 $00:56:59.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.936$ I'm sorry under no no Charles.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87015116
- $00{:}57{:}01{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03{.}059$ Let let me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00:57:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.412$ let me do this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00{:}57{:}04{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07{.}519$ What we're going to do in in Rosemary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00:57:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.496$ We're gonna keep recording for a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00{:}57{:}10.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.846$ We're going to close now formally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- 00:57:12.846 --> 00:57:14.959 after Robla Brill's idea to you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- 00:57:14.960 --> 00:57:17.361 But if you are so kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00{:}57{:}17.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.050$ Charles to stick around,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00{:}57{:}19{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}556$ I know that some of us would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00:57:21.556 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.939$ love to stay for the after.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- 00:57:23.940 --> 00:57:26.068 Party but I wanna I want to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00{:}57{:}26.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28.020$ be mindful of peoples time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00:57:28.020 \rightarrow 00:57:29.720$ This has been absolutely extraordinary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819103
- $00{:}57{:}29{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32{.}130$ and I want to thank Rob Labrie.