WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:06:06" NOTE recognizability:0.890

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.225$ Hello everyone. Welcome to RT32.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

00:00:03.225 --> 00:00:05.805 Presentation I mean sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

 $00:00:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.814$ excuse me well oops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:06.814 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.616$ that's a I'm I'm ready for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:08.616 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.296$ next thing that I'm going to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00{:}00{:}10.300 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.302$ Welcome to our grand rounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

00:00:13.302 --> 00:00:15.262 it's my pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

00:00:15.262 --> 00:00:16.830 Doctor Jamie in Portland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

 $00:00:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.370$ I've known Jamie for into

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00{:}00{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.523$ our second decade and were Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

 $00{:}00{:}20.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.167$ conspirators in electrophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

00:00:22.170 --> 00:00:24.468 We've collaborated at a fun time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.366$ I consider him a colleague and a friend

 $00:00:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.375$ and so he'll be speaking to you about his.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00{:}00{:}31.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.254$ He's a really impactful work progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

00:00:34.254 --> 00:00:36.170 in biomarkers and development

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

00:00:36.246 --> 00:00:37.870 in autism spectrum disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

00:00:37.870 --> 00:00:39.830 It's really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

00:00:39.830 --> 00:00:42.422 an amazing program of research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:42.422 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.630$ it's you know, world renowned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:44.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.450$ Before we get started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.331$ I just want to remind you that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:48.331 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.047$ another imperson grand rounds next week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

 $00:00:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.829$ and that's going to be Teresa Betancourt

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.044$ and the title of her talk will be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:55.044 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.769$ promise of implementation science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

00:00:56.770 --> 00:00:58.730 Promotion of ECD play and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233044555556

 $00:00:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.298$ violence reduction in Rwanda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862330445555556

 $00:01:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.400$ So without further ado.

 $00:01:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.950$ Talking Portland

NOTE Confidence: 0.894939993636364

 $00:01:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.238$ thank you Mike. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894939993636364

 $00{:}01{:}08.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.770$ the I'm certain for me at least.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894939993636364

 $00:01:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.560$ The hardest part of today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.894939993636364

00:01:14.560 --> 00:01:17.377 going to be figuring out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.894939993636364

 $00:01:17.377 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.260$ to share my screen. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87003039875

00:01:23.300 --> 00:01:27.708 Alright well that kinda. From what you see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.388$ Alright, we're in business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:32.388 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.198$ So thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.970$ It's really it's very special to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.400$ me to be here today and have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.562$ chance to talk to you about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:40.562 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.488$ the work that we've been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:01:42.490 --> 00:01:44.210 I I looked back in the first time

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.930$ that I ever gave grand Rounds.

 $00:01:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.134$ Here was in 2008.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}01{:}48.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.430$ I was a research faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.350$ I was not yet an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.440$ professor and really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:01:53.440 --> 00:01:54.890 my entire career has happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.780$ here at the CHILD Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.902$ So it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:01:57.902 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.945$ It's fun for me and it's meaningful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:00.945 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.745$ be introduced by Mike to have the faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.644$ In the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:04.644 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.090$ be the very people that trained me here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.124$ and I assume there hopefully face

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:09.124 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.870$ staring computer screens out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:10.870 --> 00:02:12.718 So thank you for today and

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:12.718 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.950$ thank you for everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.326$ And it's fun to talk about this stuff.

 $00:02:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.625$ Really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:15.625 --> 00:02:17.395 What I'm going to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.204$ his progress in biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:19.204 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.557$ development in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.439$ The you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:21.439 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.904$ I don't think there's conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.470$ These are the organizations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:24.470 --> 00:02:26.250 support my lab and support me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}02{:}26.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.538$ but I don't think there's any conflicts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:27.540 --> 00:02:28.812 Will talk about today in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:28.812 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.055$ of the content and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:30.055 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.266$ what I want to try to cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:31.270 --> 00:02:33.034 It's a lot I want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:33.034 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.729$ a little bit about autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.962$ People know a lot about autism in this room.

 $00:02:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.506$ Some of the things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:38.506 --> 00:02:40.383 really central to me and how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:40.383 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.713$ approach the study of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.768$ I want to talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:43.768 --> 00:02:45.369 biomarker but biomarker research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.070$ how we operationalize biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.195$ 'cause I think there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}02{:}49.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.669$ really some kind of problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}02{:}51.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.649$ misunderstandings and simply and

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:53.649 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.850$ simplifications that trouble our field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}02{:}55.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.537$ I want to talk about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:02:57.537 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.604$ the things that I worry about

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:02:59.604 --> 00:03:00.885 in evaluating biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:00.885 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.166$ scientifically and practically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:03:02.170 --> 00:03:04.807 And then I'm gonna tell a story of progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.366$ With a particular biomarker,

 $00:03:06.366 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.189$ and 170 but I've been very involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:09.189 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.691$ with and then some obstacles to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}03{:}11.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.802$ moving forward and then some paths

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

00:03:13.802 --> 00:03:15.559 forward so you know that I put

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}03{:}15.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.669$ into the category of kind of better

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:17.669 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.586$ studies and a particular one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:19.586 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.554$ I'll talk about is the Autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:21.554 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.461$ Biomarkers Consortium for clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:23.461 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.546$ and then ways to innovate

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.130$ to look beyond just autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.185$ Way that biomarkers could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:29.185 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.829$ informative and transdiagnostic ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00{:}03{:}30.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.344$ to increase the reach of neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:33.344 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.164$ research in autism, which is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:35.164 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.946$ Presently limited and then how we

00:03:36.946 --> 00:03:39.482 might be able to use some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:39.482 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.540$ biomarkers to actually inform the rapeutics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.328$ which is the goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968346586666667

 $00:03:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.318$ Is that a question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94230175 00:03:46.970 --> 00:03:47.480 Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882743902352941

 $00:03:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.045$ These are all these are the graphs

NOTE Confidence: 0.882743902352941

 $00{:}03{:}54.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.079$ that have supported the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.882743902352941

 $00:03:55.079 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.330$ that you hear about today, yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:04.270 --> 00:04:07.730 Thanks Paul. Yeah yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.190$ So autism spectrum disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}10.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.128$ So the DSM five defines autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:12.128 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.957$ spectrum disorder as a developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:13.957 --> 00:04:16.297 condition that impacts you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}16.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.684$ they group it in two areas that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:17.684 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.937$ could think of it as kind of three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}18.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.433$ I think about it as kind of three social

 $00:04:21.433 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.103$ communicated function interests and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}23.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.328$ behavioral flexibility and sensory responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:25.330 --> 00:04:26.878 And I want to highlight when

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:26.878 --> 00:04:27.910 we say developmental condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}27.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.304$ one of the challenges of studying autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:30.304 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.238$ is that you it's always a moving target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:33.240 --> 00:04:35.600 So whenever we look at anything in autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.713$ behavior or brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:36.713 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.310$ We don't really know whether we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}39.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42.025$ are seeing A cause of autism or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:42.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.559$ consequence of developing with autism, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:44.559 --> 00:04:45.435 So that's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:45.435 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.790$ for us to keep in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}46.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.589$ What are the other things that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:48.589 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.640$ think are really important to keep in

 $00:04:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.470$ mind when we're talking about autism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:52.470 --> 00:04:53.080 Heterogeneity, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.605$ So when you say autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}04{:}54.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56.778$ you really don't know too much about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:04:56.778 --> 00:04:58.705 person that you're talking about, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:04:58.705 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.365$ They could have an IQ of 150,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:00.365 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.325$ an IQ of 50 could have fluent language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.214$ could have no language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.098$ We know one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.348$ We know that they have some kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:08.348 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.080$ difficulties with social communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:10.080 --> 00:05:10.343 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:10.343 --> 00:05:11.921 That is literally when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:11.921 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.190$ by the diagnostic criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.690$ The only thing that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.533$ take as a safe assumption about

 $00:05:16.533 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.488$ any given person with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}05{:}18.490 \to 00{:}05{:}20.434$ And that's where we choose to dig it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:20.440 --> 00:05:22.200 And we think maybe will get the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.728$ traction and understanding a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.315$ really complicated condition by focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:26.315 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.480$ on that area of of common difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.160$ when we think about the biology of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.624$ it's not well understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:34.624 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.088$ but we do understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.130$ Is that there's multiple causes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}05{:}38.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.375$ There's probably many different kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}05{:}40.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.620$ of mechanisms involved in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:42.620 --> 00:05:45.530 Autism isn't a biological thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.770$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:45.770 --> 00:05:47.930 So I'm going to talk to you today about

 $00:05:47.983 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.921$ how to make biomarkers for something

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:49.921 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.209$ that isn't one biological thing challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:52.210 --> 00:05:52.812 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:52.812 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.273$ So if we have these in that situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:05:57.273 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.678$ what are we left with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:05:58.680 --> 00:06:00.290 Or we're left with behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.195$ and so everything really everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:03.195 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.100$ that we use as clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}06{:}06.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.948$ To make decisions about autism is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:07.948 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.432$ based on behavior and let me let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}06{:}10.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.214$ highlight this by showing you pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.980$ So in the lab there's many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.630$ many different tools that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.280$ can use for our science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.600$ We can use electrophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.340$ positron emission tomography,

00:06:22.340 --> 00:06:24.720 functional near infrared spectroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.910$ eye tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:06:25.910 --> 00:06:28.620 lots of different powerful techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.040$ to learn different things about biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}06{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.680$ When we go into the clinic and This

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.725$ is why I show these slides a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.770$ Today I feel these slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}06{:}38.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.968$ I came here directly from the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:40.968 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.388$ and there is a family that I we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:43.388 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.570$ worked with today that is struggling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

00:06:45.570 --> 00:06:48.474 A child who is struggling and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:48.474 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.162$ know what can't use single one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}06{:}51.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53.548$ these things to help this family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.056$ What have I got? I've got my eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:06:57.056 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.977$ I've got the parents eyes and what

 $00:06:58.977 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.895$ they can tell me about that child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00{:}07{:}00.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.525$ This literally the same tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.78055227

 $00:07:03.525 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.150$ that Lee O'Connor was using

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:07:06.252 --> 00:07:09.219 in 1943, and that like those two pictures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.060$ that's it. That's the goal of the lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.331$ is to try to get some of those tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:13.331 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.595$ help us do a better job helping families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.476$ 'cause I think that we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.356$ We've done great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:07:18.356 --> 00:07:20.067 Don't get me wrong like clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}07{:}20.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.043$ you know, I said a place like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.875$ Clinicians are powerful and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:23.875 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.297$ can do great things, but I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:26.297 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.530$ There are inherent limitations to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:28.595 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.079$ can see and what parents can see and when.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}07{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.456$ That's the only thing guiding us.

00:07:33.460 --> 00:07:34.762 I don't think that we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:34.762 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.616$ the best we can possibly do the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:36.616 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.300$ So what we want. We want biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.660$ What is a biomarker?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.340$ This is how the FDA defines a biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.895$ a characteristic that is measured as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:07:45.895 --> 00:07:48.359 indicator of normal biological processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}07{:}48.360 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}07{:}50.096$ pathogenic processes or responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}07{:}50.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.266$ to an exposure or intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.290$ including the rapeutic interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.170$ So a lot of words kind of jargony,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.778$ but think about it. What does it mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:07:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.890$ It's basically something about biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:07:59.890 --> 00:08:02.163 that can be objectively measured, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:02.163 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.630$ But I think that's what I think about it.

 $00:08:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.212$ So like a picture of what a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}08{:}05.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.890$ biomarker should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:05.890 --> 00:08:07.568 it would be a picture of a ruler, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:07.568 --> 00:08:09.234 Something is objective that you can measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.398$ and when two people use it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.680$ it gives you the same result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:12.680 --> 00:08:15.900 You can't, people do, but you can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:15.900 --> 00:08:18.912 You shouldn't promise me that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:18.912 --> 00:08:21.569 won't think about biomarkers in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.342$ Dissociated from their purpose a biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:24.342 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.023$ could only be meaningfully considered when

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:27.023 --> 00:08:30.071 you think about what you're using it for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.550$ so these are the kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.010$ categories of use of the FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.875$ Defines there are additional ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}08{:}34.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.417$ I've limited to these that that I

00:08:37.417 --> 00:08:39.545 think of as being relevant to autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.854$ so one would be susceptibility or

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:41.854 --> 00:08:43.390 risk something biological that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.430$ you measure that tells you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:08:45.430 --> 00:08:47.254 someone is an increased likelihood

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:47.254 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.030$ of developing a condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.585$ Pharmacodynamic or response or another

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:50.585 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.319$ way to put it would be target engagement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182 00:08:53.320 --> 00:08:53.769 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:53.769 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.361$ A biomarker that tells you a treatment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:08:57.361 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.099$ activating a certain system in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:00.100 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.205$ Prognostic something that tells you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}09{:}02.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.190$ about the natural course of a condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:09:05.190 --> 00:09:06.621 right how things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:06.621 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.529$ how development will unfold.

 $00:09:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.242$ Predictive something that tells you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:11.242 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.180$ an anticipated response to an intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:09:14.180 --> 00:09:16.232 Who's going to do better with this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:16.232 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.819$ treatment versus that kind of treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.128$ And then lastly diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:09:20.128 --> 00:09:23.860 And this is what you know when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.635$ think about biomarkers and autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:09:25.640 --> 00:09:26.286 Problematically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}09{:}26.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.516$ almost every body thinks about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:29.516 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.654$ diagnostic biomarker and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}09{:}31.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.762$ they think about is a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:34.762 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.218$ biomarker for the condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}09{:}37.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.288$ right that this biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:38.288 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.623$ is going to tell you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.036$ Who has autism and who doesn't?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.338$ And that's a really tall order

00:09:44.338 --> 00:09:46.290 because autism isn't one thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.342$ right another way that you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.370$ think about a diagnostic biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

00:09:50.370 --> 00:09:52.435 And the FDA includes this in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00{:}09{:}52.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.033$ definition is as being diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:54.033 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.983$ of a subtype of a condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.110$ So if we think if we have this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901603751818182

 $00:09:58.172 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.682$ picture from a paper that I like by evil off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.025$ you could think about see

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:02.025 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.826$ all the heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.811$ Well, what if you had a diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:04.811 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.445$ biomarker that told you something

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:06.445 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.460$ about subtypes so that you're seeing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.581$ OK, maybe these these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:09.581 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.840$ People are going to have a different course.

00:10:11.840 --> 00:10:13.898 Maybe some of these people are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}10{:}13.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.059$ to respond in a different way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:16.059 --> 00:10:17.889 a treatment and and that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:17.956 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.720$ this is the kind of biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:19.720 --> 00:10:21.480 That I am going to talk about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.233$ and this is also I think a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:23.233 --> 00:10:24.859 example when I say that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}10{:}24.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.323$ as clinicians we can do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.850$ So as a clinician as a field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:28.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.656$ clinicians we had subtypes for autism right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:31.660 --> 00:10:32.868 We had Asperger syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:32.868 --> 00:10:35.280 we had domino S, you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.598$ They didn't work in 2013 with the DSM five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.944$ We got rid of them because what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:40.944 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.475$ more predictive of the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:42.475 --> 00:10:44.239 you would get was the clinic,

00:10:44.240 --> 00:10:45.815 the clinic that you were diagnosed at?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.296$ Then your characteristics right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:47.296 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.640$ And so do I think there aren't subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.038$ No, I think there are subtypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}10{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.360$ but I think may be the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.240$ is in the biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:10:54.240 --> 00:10:55.760 It's a place many, many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.231$ many as two clinical eyes have failed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:10:58.231 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.534$ to find answers, so this is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:00.534 --> 00:11:02.400 This is not the FDA talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.738$ Now this is just me talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.976$ What are some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}11{:}04.976 --> 00{:}11{:}05.800$ that I think about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}11{:}05.800 \rightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.697$ What have I studied and interrogating some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:07.697 --> 00:11:09.779 of the biomarkers that I'll talk about today?

 $00:11:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.430$ Well, I think a biomarker should

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:11.430 --> 00:11:12.910 be sensitive to diagnostic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.907$ even if it's even if it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:16.910 --> 00:11:19.625 Diagnostically, defining if it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:19.625 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.340$ hanging together with the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:22.340 --> 00:11:24.386 you know compared to typical development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.742$ it may not be telling you something

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:26.742 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.420$ meaningful about the condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.226$ You might want to biomarker to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:30.226 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.430$ be associated with symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.022$ so if we think not even in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:33.022 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.448$ in the bins of diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.977$ but if you think about in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:36.977 --> 00:11:38.890 bins of functional processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375 00:11:38.890 --> 00:11:39.179 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:39.179 --> 00:11:40.624 Maybe there should be biomarkers

 $00:11:40.624 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.445$ that are coding for something about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:42.445 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.269$ eye contact and biomarkers that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:44.269 --> 00:11:46.159 coding for something about language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:46.160 --> 00:11:48.056 And you might expect each of those to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:48.056 --> 00:11:49.770 associate with symptoms in those domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:49.770 --> 00:11:51.994 but but in a way that may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:51.994 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.519$ independent of the condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:53.520 --> 00:11:55.186 And then you'd also want to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}11{:}55.186 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}11{:}56.552$ if we're thinking about biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:11:56.552 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.756$ in this more refined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:11:57.760 --> 00:12:00.119 Kind of our doc way about tracking

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:00.119 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.750$ on to specific domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.342$ You might also want to know whether the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:04.342 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.130$ associations you see are functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.935$ specific and it's an example.

00:12:07.940 --> 00:12:10.868 If you had a biomarker that you thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}12{:}10.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.418$ coded for something linguistic but

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:13.418 --> 00:12:16.313 actually coded for cognitive ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:16.320 --> 00:12:17.804 you'd see strong correlations

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:17.804 --> 00:12:19.659 between it and language right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:19.660 --> 00:12:21.028 'cause cognitive ability is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:21.028 --> 00:12:22.350 stealing your language in some ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.880$ but if you had a treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}12{:}23.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.830$ for example that you thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.780$ might that did improve language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:27.780 --> 00:12:29.742 It didn't improve cognitive ability you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

00:12:29.742 --> 00:12:31.768 your biomarker wouldn't track with it right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.242$ So it's important to be thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:34.242 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.890$ about what they measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00:12:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.526$ We want to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86905949375

 $00{:}12{:}37.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.980$ How biomarkers are or are not

 $00:12:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.418$ consistent across development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.790$ So when I say autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:12:43.790 --> 00:12:44.798 you don't know who I'm talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:12:44.800 --> 00:12:46.492 A 3 year old, 30 year old or 60

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:46.492 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.048$ year old and if we just think

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:48.048 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.609$ about the way the brain works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.609$ it works differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:50.609 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.290$ It looks differently at all of those ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}12{:}53.290 \to 00{:}12{:}55.117$ and so we have to be thoughtful about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.081$ You might need different kinds of biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:12:58.081 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.410$ at different points in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}00.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.300$ We want to think about biomarkers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.418$ how they might be affected by behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.136$ or whether the robust to variations

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:06.136 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.280$ in behavior doesn't matter

00:13:07.335 --> 00:13:08.630 for every kind of biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:13:08.630 --> 00:13:10.470 If it's a genetic biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.916$ doesn't really matter what the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:11.916 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.797$ is doing during the blood draw the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:13:13.797 --> 00:13:15.524 information you get is going to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:15.524 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.479$ same for the work that I'll talk about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:17.479 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.873$ Like EG if a child is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:19.873 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.390$ distressed during the EG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}21.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.305$ I'm not even measuring what

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:13:22.305 --> 00:13:23.037 I think I'm measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.714$ I'm just measuring the distress right

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:24.714 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.285$ and so we want to understand how a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:27.285 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.681$ person's behavior during acquisition

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:28.681 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.619$ of these functional biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.860$ Could affect the biomarker measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}32.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.058$ And then we want we might want

 $00:13:35.058 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.485$ biomarkers that are sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}36.485 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}13{:}38.580$ to changes in clinical status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.906$ So as a person gets better

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:39.906 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.790$ soon things go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.930$ Maybe biomarker values become less extreme?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}44.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.295$ I'm gonna highlight two things

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:13:46.295 --> 00:13:48.139 that I think are really tragically

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}48.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.839$ under appreciated in our fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.456$ Biomarkers in autism are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:51.456 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.810$ controversial for no good reason and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}53.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.120$ and I think the reason that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}13{:}56.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.659$ controversial is 'cause people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:13:57.660 --> 00:13:59.388 Take a look at a biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:13:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.792$ and think does it do all of these things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.010$ And a biomarker needn't do

 $00:14:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.220$ all of these things right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.012$ You don't need to do all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:09.012 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.440$ these things to be useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.904$ You could do a subset of things to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:12.904 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.235$ be useful and the subset that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:15.235 --> 00:14:17.720 be useful is going to vary depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.030$ on your context of use right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}14{:}20.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.787$ Which is another kind of FDA jargon

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}14{:}21.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23.344$ for those biomarker categories, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:23.344 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.868$ The purpose you use a biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}14{:}24.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.611$ and just give you 2 examples like

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:26.611 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.776$ if you had a biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.892$ That you thought could be useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:29.892 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.300$ diagnostically for the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:31.358 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.278$ or for a subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.331$ It might be really important for it

00:14:34.331 --> 00:14:36.478 to be sensitive to diagnostic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}14{:}36.480 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}14{:}39.408$ to associate with symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:39.408 --> 00:14:41.596 But you may not want it to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:41.596 --> 00:14:43.095 changed in clinic to be sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:43.095 --> 00:14:44.764 to change in clinical status, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:44.764 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.284$ If it's defining the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:46.284 --> 00:14:48.090 condition and it's bouncing up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.445$ down every time someone responds

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:49.445 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.987$ to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.618$ unless they're bouncing off

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:51.618 --> 00:14:52.839 the diagnostic spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.140$ that would be a weakness, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:14:55.140 --> 00:14:55.500 Conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.020$ if you had a biomarker that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:14:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.962$ wanted to evaluate for utility

 $00:14:59.962 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.478$ as a response biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}15{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.682$ seeing if a person is responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:03.682 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.416$ to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.448$ Sensitivity to change in clinical status

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:06.448 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.241$ would be the most and maybe the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:09.241 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.305$ really critical thing for the biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.417$ So not only is it not necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:13.417 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.213$ to look at biomarkers in this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}15{:}16.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.483$ of scoping and comprehensive way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:15:18.490 --> 00:15:20.310 I think it's counterproductive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00{:}15{:}20.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.550$ has impeded progress in our field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

 $00:15:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.115$ Today I also like to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.96891057

00:15:25.115 --> 00:15:26.150 keeping in mind what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:26.203 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.339$ said before about getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:27.339 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.759$ these things to the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.476$ I like to think about practicalities like

 $00:15:31.476 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.486$ a biomarker for the field in which I work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.016$ Has to be viable in the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:15:36.016 --> 00:15:37.474 that I work with, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:37.474 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.346$ It has to be something tolerable and safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.054$ We want if for any biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:43.054 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.650$ to have use its scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.548$ it has to be cost effective, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:46.548 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.494$ If it's if it's being implemented at

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:48.494 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.840$ scale and then we would also need it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.897$ be accessible and just as an illustration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:15:52.900 --> 00:15:55.924 if you had a biomarker that could only be

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:15:55.924 --> 00:15:58.526 quantified at an autism center of excellence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.700$ this would be its reach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:15:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.130$ And if you had a biomarker that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.167$ quantified at any hospital, this would be.

00:16:04.170 --> 00:16:04.788 It's reached right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:04.788 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.024$ And this is what we want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.614$ We want to be able to make these

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:08.614 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.109$ things accessible to everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}10.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.840$ I do a lot of work Mike mentioned in EG

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.850$ and EG is stands for electroencephalogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}15.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.956$ It's a method of measuring brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:17.956 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.285$ activity in which you record electrical

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:20.285 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.865$ activity from neurons at the scalp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.411$ So using a net like you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}25.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.320$ here in this picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.170$ You can do it in two different kinds of ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263 00:16:30.170 --> 00:16:30.507 Really, NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:16:30.507 --> 00:16:32.866 you could measure someone's activity at rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.276$ or you could make discrete things

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}35.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.690$ happen in the environment and record

 $00{:}16{:}37.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.892$ a person's brain response to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:39.892 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.198$ to those events as they happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.520$ That latter thing is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.576$ an event related potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:16:44.580 --> 00:16:47.420 and I'll talk a lot about that today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.160$ The way we do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:16:48.160 --> 00:16:49.630 let me just tell you really quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}49.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.938$ that little inset picture you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.950$ that's what a natural ERP netizen

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}52.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.656$ it's it's soft rubber pedestals

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:54.656 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.176$ with a sponge in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:16:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.754$ We soak the whole thing in salt water and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}16{:}58.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.280$ then we stretch it over a person's head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:01.280 --> 00:17:02.260 Those those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.710$ those now saltwater moistened sponges

 $00:17:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.180$ become electrically conductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:06.245 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.191$ and they pick up the activity so

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:08.191 --> 00:17:10.059 you know it's not comfortable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:10.060 --> 00:17:12.308 It's not fun to wear EG net but

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:12.308 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.745$ compared to other forms of measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}17{:}14.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.000$ brain activity it's pretty tolerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:17.000 --> 00:17:17.885 Pretty user friendly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:17.885 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.950$ And that also makes it a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:20.015 --> 00:17:21.019 viable technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:21.020 --> 00:17:23.533 You know, across a wide range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}17{:}23.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.090$ cognitive and developmental levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263 00:17:25.090 --> 00:17:25.523 Noninvasive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:25.523 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.688$ pretty movement tolerant if a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:27.688 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.987$ person moves around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:28.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.726$ you're going to lose data from those trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.338$ but it's not going to ruin

 $00:17:32.338 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.410$ an entire recording session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}17{:}33.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.110$ And it's also really practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.350$ So EG, is cheap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:36.350 --> 00:17:38.850 It's expensive to get in EG machine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.850$ but when you have one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.906$ all it costs a saltwater and latex gloves

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:41.906 --> 00:17:43.709 to collect data and it's accessible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}17{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.372$ There's an EEG system in every

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:45.372 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.480$ hospital in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.628$ Eegs already used the population level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:48.630 --> 00:17:49.454 For screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:49.454 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.690$ for hearing difficulties

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:50.690 --> 00:17:51.926 in newborn procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.685$ So if there were something

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:17:53.685 --> 00:17:55.089 that was scientifically worthy,

00:17:55.090 --> 00:17:57.095 biomarker wise is a technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:57.095 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.699$ that could be useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.720$ And then lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:17:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.760$ I've mentioned that I think social

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:18:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.140$ communication is central to

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:18:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.775$ understanding the biology of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:18:04.780 --> 00:18:05.108 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:18:05.108 --> 00:18:07.404 ERP is a technology and a field

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00:18:07.404 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.841$ that's really been useful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

00:18:09.841 --> 00:18:12.129 understanding social communication and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942322732105263

 $00{:}18{:}12.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.845$ typical developmental neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88469954875

 $00:18:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.818$ So this is an example of an ERP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:23.260 --> 00:18:24.496 This is when ERP looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}18{:}24.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.444$ So when you when you see any RP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:26.450 --> 00:18:27.980 you're looking on the Y axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}18{:}27.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.100$ you're seeing voltage so kind

00:18:29.100 --> 00:18:30.507 of strength of signal and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:30.507 --> 00:18:31.697 could be positive or negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:18:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.832$ and there's nothing intrinsically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:32.832 --> 00:18:34.791 meaningful by the positive ITI or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:18:34.791 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.543$ negativity and then on the X axis you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}18{:}36.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.052$ looking at the timing and so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:38.052 --> 00:18:39.596 are things that happen really fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:18:39.596 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.744$ so this timing is in milliseconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:41.744 --> 00:18:44.218 and what you see highlighted there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:44.218 --> 00:18:46.333 in purple isn't event related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:18:46.333 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.930$ potential in ERP component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:18:47.930 --> 00:18:49.354 Called an N 170,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}18{:}49.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52.054$ meaning that it happens at around 170

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}18{:}52.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.489$ milliseconds and it's negative going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:18:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.350$ What it represents very well.

 $00:18:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.637$ Studying typical developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:18:58.637 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.640$ The first study actually being done here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:01.703 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.775$ at Yale by Greg McCarthyism event and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:03.775 --> 00:19:06.940 it is the brain acknowledging a face as such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.510$ So not happy, not sad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.178$ Not mom, not neighbor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:10.178 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.263$ Just this is a face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.742$ And it's what's remarkable about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:14.742 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.490$ is that within 2/10 of a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:18.490 --> 00:19:20.812 our brains are treating faces really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:20.812 --> 00:19:22.785 qualitatively different from just about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:22.785 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.625$ everything else that comes online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.695$ Early in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}19{:}25.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.661$ We think it is critically important for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:28.661 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.746$ ability to perceive social information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}19{:}30.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.286$ One of the first studies I did as

00:19:32.286 --> 00:19:33.589 a graduate student is actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:33.590 --> 00:19:34.030 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.570$ to to parallel my arc of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:35.570 --> 00:19:36.886 Child Study Center was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:36.886 --> 00:19:39.073 my first year here was to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:39.073 --> 00:19:40.498 understand how this might look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:40.498 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.976$ different in people with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}19{:}41.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.777$ And what we found way back when in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:44.777 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.420$ four 2004 is that there was a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.527$ And it was that the brains of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:49.527 --> 00:19:51.175 people with autism took longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:51.175 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.875$ to respond to these faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.728$ We we would say it has a longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:19:55.728 --> 00:19:57.548 latency of their N 170.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:19:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.550$ And as I talk about a series of

00:19:59.550 --> 00:20:01.368 studies over these next few slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}20{:}01.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.987$ I'm gonna tie them back to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}20{:}02.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.352$ of those things that I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:04.352 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.794$ that I think about in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:20:05.852 --> 00:20:07.280 biomarker performance and so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.196$ so this gives us some evidence that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:20:10.200 --> 00:20:12.588 We see it hanging together with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:12.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.458$ diagnostic status, not diagnostically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:20:14.458 --> 00:20:15.526 Defining right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:15.526 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.402$ These are distributions, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:17.402 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.850$ So if you looked at its two bell curves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:20:19.919 --> 00:20:22.030 that overlap, and the people with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.016$ or shifted, but there's a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}20{:}24.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.732$ On average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:24.732 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.224$ We also saw again in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.960$ This is adolescents and adults.

 $00:20:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.642$ That people with autism had more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:31.642 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.902$ trouble actually recognizing faces and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:33.902 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.302$ their ability to recognize faces was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:36.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.977$ associated with how fast their N 170 was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.160$ So again, then we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892 00:20:40.160 --> 00:20:40.518 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:40.518 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.876$ look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:40.876 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.024$ this is also something that hangs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:43.024 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.193$ together with symptomatology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:20:44.193 --> 00:20:46.509 or social function in a way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.750$ So we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

00:20:48.750 --> 00:20:48.954 Paul,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00{:}20{:}48.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.586$ this is this is this is your last

NOTE Confidence: 0.8159892

 $00:20:50.586 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.316$ free question before the Q&A session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91052985 00:20:55.170 --> 00:20:55.390 This.

00:21:00.560 --> 00:21:02.336 Restate the question. The question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.068$ is it specific to our autism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.278$ Is there common in many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:06.278 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.750$ disorders and the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:07.816 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.526$ is both and thank you Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.810$ I for all you're wondering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.330$ He's not a plant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.710$ But he did perfectly illustrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00{:}21{:}14.710 --> 00{:}21{:}16.831$ is why you just wait until the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:16.831 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.531$ question and answer session because

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00{:}21{:}18.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.479$ your questions may be answered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75279255

 $00:21:20.479 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.213$ the course of the existing slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341575

 $00:21:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.770$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:29.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.992$ OK, so the so so so then we wondered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.638$ OK, well what so we're seeing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:34.638 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.641$ slower to face is well is that

00:21:36.641 --> 00:21:38.206 telling us something about social

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:38.206 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.720$ communication which is what we think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.340$ Or could this be telling us

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.224$ something about the pace of a brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:21:43.224 --> 00:21:44.712 in autism which could be useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.164$ but is something different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:46.164 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.330$ So we wanted are the differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}21{:}48.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.641$ particularly social information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}21{:}49.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.389$ Might they reflected general

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:21:51.389 --> 00:21:52.263 perceptual slowing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.646$ How could we test that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:54.646 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.238$ find something that works

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}21{:}56.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.420$ well in people with autism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:21:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.020$ And see their N 170 works well and we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.060$ We looked at reading because it turns out

00:22:03.060 --> 00:22:05.078 that when you learn to read a language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}22{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.426$ any language you start to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:07.426 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.120$ an end 170 left lateralized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:10.120 --> 00:22:12.170 unlike right lateralized face face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.660$ and 172 letters that alphabet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.997$ And so we did the kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:15.997 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.100$ experiment that we've done before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:17.100 --> 00:22:18.232 You know comparing faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:18.232 --> 00:22:19.364 with something non social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.926$ but then we compared letters highlighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8394176622222222

 $00{:}22{:}21.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.275$ there in purple with pseudo letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:24.275 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.405$ So I made up Alphabet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:26.405 --> 00:22:28.616 the idea being OK if this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:28.620 --> 00:22:29.980 Telling us something unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:29.980 --> 00:22:31.000 about social processing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.080$ we should see differences

 $00:22:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.160$ in people with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.750$ We do this social experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.530$ but they should look just

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.598$ like everybody else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}22{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.118$ We do the non social experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:39.120 --> 00:22:41.580 Or conversely if it's generic problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.232$ We should see differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:22:43.232 --> 00:22:44.058 everywhere everywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.346$ We also use this as a chance to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:46.346 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.522$ at how this phenomenon manifests in

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:48.522 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.230$ a younger cohort of kids with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}22{:}51.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.624$ So these were grade school kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}22{:}53.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.056$ when we looked at the faces we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}22{:}56.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.690$ the things that we had seen before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:22:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.306$ We saw that they were worse at face

 $00:23:01.306 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.263$ recognition and we saw that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}23{:}03.263 {\:\raisebox{---}{\text{---}}}{>} 00{:}23{:}05.630$ face processing or and 170 was slower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}23{:}05.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.590$ so this was cool because it's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.212$ telling us look this phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:09.212 --> 00:23:11.366 that we've seen in adolescents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}23{:}11.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.099$ adults seemed to be consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:13.100 --> 00:23:13.916 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:13.916 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.364$ across a broader span of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.592$ When we looked at the the non social things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.466$ we had a very different picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.950$ We saw that the kids with autism they

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.314$ did word reading and decoding on

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:26.314 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.404$ par with their typical counterparts

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:28.404 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.801$ as we would expect based on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}23{:}30.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.759$ IQ and then we also saw that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:33.759 --> 00:23:35.320 brain activity wasn't slow.

 $00:23:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.881$ They responded to the to the letters

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:36.881 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.220$ the way we would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:38.220 --> 00:23:39.400 Which is really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:39.400 --> 00:23:41.856 if you look at this this lower chart

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:41.856 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.678$ you see the purple is the purple is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:44.678 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.080$ their brain response to an amplitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.797$ To the letters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:47.797 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.470$ the green to the pseudo letters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}23{:}49.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.257$ you can see everybody is showing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:51.257 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.018$ bigger response to letters showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:53.018 --> 00:23:53.980 effective specialization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.250$ Latency is not shown there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00{:}23{:}55.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56.978$ but we didn't see differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:23:56.978 --> 00:23:58.820 latency and the people with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:23:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.808$ and so this was kind of interesting

 $00:24:00.808 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.524$ in that it's suggesting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:24:02.524 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.534$ differences that we're seeing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:24:04.534 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.748$ people with autism are slower that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:24:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.020$ This slowness corresponds to face

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:24:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.290$ recognition abilities is not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:24:11.361 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.256$ telling us they're not slow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

00:24:13.260 --> 00:24:14.631 For everything else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839417662222222

 $00:24:14.631 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.459$ they're fine for letters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.708$ So the next study that we did and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:18.708 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.965$ when I'm also going to do again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}24{:}20.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.395$ kind of a referencing my life

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:24:23.395 --> 00:24:25.250 of the Child Study Center when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:24:25.300 --> 00:24:26.878 can tell my first grand rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.280$ I was still a trainee and so today

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.075$ as I go through some of these talks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.823$ I'm going to highlight some of the

 $00:24:31.823 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.138$ trainees who've been central to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:33.138 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.937$ realizing the papers that have come out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.640$ And so there, you see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:24:35.640 --> 00:24:36.414 Tamara Parker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:36.414 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.123$ who's a student in the PhD student

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:24:39.123 --> 00:24:41.040 Rental Neuroscience program?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:24:41.040 --> 00:24:44.330 And So what we did in this study was wonder

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:24:44.415 --> 00:24:47.789 about how behavior during a biomarker assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.002$ Might affect the biomarker values and let

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}24{:}50.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.687$ me tell you why it's important for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.010$ So any 170 latency relates

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:24:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.160$ to how you look at a face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}24{:}58.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01.538$ Eyes make your end 170 faster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}01.540 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03.562$ I've just told you that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:03.562 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.018$ with autism have a slower and 170.

 $00:25:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.685$ Many if for those of you who've been in

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}07.685 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.530$ this room, you know two decades ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:25:09.530 --> 00:25:11.138 you'd hear lots of people telling you people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:25:11.140 --> 00:25:13.564 autism don't look so much to the eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.834$ So what if when we do an experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:25:15.840 --> 00:25:17.534 people with autism and just looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.410$ the faces on the screen differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:25:19.410 --> 00:25:21.984 And I'm just doing an unnecessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}21.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.700$ complicated eye tracking experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93283418785714300:25:23.700 --> 00:25:24.150 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}24.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.246$ So what we could do is we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:27.246 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.220$ control the way people look at faces.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}29.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.920$ We could have cross hairs that ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.900$ that a person is looking to the eyes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:33.957 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.063$ looking to the nose and looking to them out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:36.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.408$ And what we want to understand

 $00:25:37.408 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.072$ is what if when we make people

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:39.072 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.500$ with autism look to the eyes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.375$ These differences in brain activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:42.375 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.862$ that we seek go away and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:44.862 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.698$ stop putting EG Nets on peoples

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:46.698 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.587$ heads and we just do I track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.000$ It's not what we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}50.000 \to 00{:}25{:}51.806$ We saw that what you would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.500$ I'll explain this this figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}53.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.060$ It's a little bit complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.010$ so you can see here eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}25{:}57.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.418$ You can see the nose see the mount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:25:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.212$ This is where people are looking on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:02.212 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.018$ face you can see the end 170 latency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:26:05.020 --> 00:26:07.476 Of the people with autism shown in yellow,

 $00:26:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.290$ the people with typical development

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.876$ shown in blue and what you see is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.120$ Looking to the eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.136$ Does not make the people with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:18.136 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.953$ speed up to be comparable to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:26:20.953 --> 00:26:22.369 typically developing counterparts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143 00:26:22.370 --> 00:26:23.038 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:23.038 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.376$ looking to the eyes speeds up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:25.376 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.656$ typically developing counterparts

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:26.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.278$ and actually makes this the slowness

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}26{:}29.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32.088$ that is from once the slowness comes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.282$ That's that actually enhances

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:33.282 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.772$ the differences that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}26{:}34.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.320$ and so in terms of our worrying

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.490$ about what we're measuring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.779$ it seems that these N 170 differences

 $00:26:39.779 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.467$ are not simply an artifact of the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}26{:}42.467 {\: -->\:} 00{:}26{:}44.929$ people are visually taking in the stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.126$ but telling us something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:26:46.126 --> 00:26:47.920 Different about the way the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

00:26:47.976 --> 00:26:49.548 response to social information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}26{:}49.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.365$ even when the same information

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:51.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.602$ is reaching the retina and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}26{:}53.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.948$ the last really exciting but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00:26:55.948 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.980$ really preliminary work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932834187857143

 $00{:}26{:}56.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.230$ And this is work that's been been LED in

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:26:59.286 --> 00:27:01.122 Lambi Shashikala, a medical student.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:01.122 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.138$ Max rolison. Right here a soul

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}27{:}03.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.250$ mate fellow like not totally true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:05.250 --> 00:27:06.970 Also Sparrow fellow in lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.530$ Also medical student in lab.

 $00:27:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.930$ Also high school student in labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:10.930 --> 00:27:12.935 So I don't actually know

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:12.935 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.370$ when Max did this work but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:15.370 --> 00:27:18.184 But Pam Ventola, who's a colleague here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:18.190 --> 00:27:19.394 the CHILD Study Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:19.394 --> 00:27:22.074 who runs at a treatment program using an

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:22.074 --> 00:27:24.109 approach called pivotal response treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.840$ which is an empirically validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.570$ behavioral approach based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:27.624 --> 00:27:29.248 premise that teaching children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:29.250 --> 00:27:31.390 autism, core, social skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.065$ and teaching them to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:34.065 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.649$ fun using them works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:36.649 --> 00:27:38.698 It's naturalistic intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.555$ and Pam did a course of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:40.555 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.674$ that was 14 weeks and what we did

 $00:27:42.674 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.604$ is we worked with her so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:44.604 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.114$ we could measure anyone 70s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:27:46.120 --> 00:27:48.145 Before these kids came into

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:48.145 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.170$ treatment and then after treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.599$ and what we found and this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.380 \text{ I say, preliminary.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.200$ This is a very small sample but

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}27{:}55.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.856$ really we I am excited about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:56.856 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.804$ and we feel that this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:27:58.804 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.309$ important because these kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:00.309 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.160$ data don't really exist in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.900$ There are not a lot of kind of pre post

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:04.970 --> 00:28:07.640 treatment biomarker studies in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.614$ There will be in a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.160$ but we found if so,

00:28:11.160 --> 00:28:13.236 each line on this chart represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:13.236 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.202$ an individual child in the therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:15.202 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.290$ and so you can see there are 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.299$ But what we see is pre on

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:19.299 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.190$ the left post on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:21.190 --> 00:28:23.224 Everybody got faster except for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:23.224 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.638$ kid and so remember we're seeing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:25.638 --> 00:28:27.962 difference is they tend to be slower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:27.970 --> 00:28:30.112 This is direction we might expect if

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:30.112 --> 00:28:32.482 you know if increasing sociability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:32.482 --> 00:28:35.248 treatment maps on to these biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}28{:}35.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.986$ so you know preliminary but provocative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}28{:}37.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.628$ I think worthy of further study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.066$ Then 170 changes with clinical status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.286$ So let me review some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:43.286 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.337$ I've told you about the Edmund.

 $00:28:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.142$ 70, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:45.142 --> 00:28:47.147 Thinking back to our checklist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.461$ we see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:48.461 --> 00:28:50.209 Sensitive diagnostic status it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:50.209 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.915$ associated with symptoms in a way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:28:52.915 --> 00:28:54.580 seems to be functionally specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.554$ It's the differences that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}28{:}56.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.870$ are consistent across development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:28:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.606$ Their robust to to certain kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:29:00.606 --> 00:29:03.255 of differences in behavior during

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:03.255 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.749$ biomarker acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.466$ They are sensitive to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}29{:}06.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.011$ clinical status and then remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:08.011 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.379$ the practical things too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.420$ And this is a, e.g.,

 $00:29:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.190$ so they're also they're viable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}29{:}12.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.790$ This is a biomarker technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.361$ that we can use its cost effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:16.361 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.450$ and it's accessible. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:19.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.027$ This is kind of where things were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.844$ It's a lots of evidence that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:25.844 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.777$ things like the N 170 can be useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.508$ But why are we not at a place

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:29:30.508 --> 00:29:31.590 where they are useful?

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.165$ What are some of the remaining challenges?

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00{:}29{:}33.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.570$ First, I want to clarify that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.382$ in case it hasn't been evident

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:37.382 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.910$ over my slides so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.470$ I'm pretty involved with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:29:40.470 --> 00:29:42.297 And 170, we've got a thing going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.980$ but there are many,

00:29:43.980 --> 00:29:47.130 many biomarkers worthy of study in autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.594$ and so you could tell a similar story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

00:29:49.600 --> 00:29:51.637 For something like an eye tracking biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667 $00:29:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.402$ right, UM, NOTE Confidence: 0.789527811666667

 $00:29:52.402 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.688$ the truth for all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:29:54.690 --> 00:29:55.974 Despite extensive promising evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:29:55.974 --> 00:29:58.320 is that there's problems in one problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:29:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.008$ For all of them is limited reproducibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.138$ So at the bottom of the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}30{:}03.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.580$ here are all the studies that I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.978$ aware of that have followed up on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:09.978 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.176$ initial finding of an M170 delay in 2004.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:13.176 --> 00:30:14.756 So lots of studies right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}30{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.548$ And there's one that I really

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:16.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.394$ like this Kang one 2018,

 $00:30:18.394 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.710$ which is actually a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.110$ Which took all these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.844$ Put him into a metal attic

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:23.844 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.357$ analytic sausage grinder and said

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:25.357 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.847$ wow across all these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.418$ this difference seems to be real and true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.922$ but there's also studies in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:31.922 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.769$ mix that didn't find it to be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.822$ Why is that? Maybe you know, I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:37.822 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.490$ I started out saying autism is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:39.556 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.320$ really heterogeneous condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:41.320 --> 00:30:42.600 Just like you don't expect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.160$ just like you might see variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.200$ in language and autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:45.200 --> 00:30:46.736 Maybe you're going to see variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:46.736 --> 00:30:48.130 in face processing in autism,

 $00:30:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.565$ and maybe this is telling us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:49.565 --> 00:30:50.960 maybe some of these samples didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}30{:}50.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.424$ have an impact to the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:52.424 --> 00:30:53.899 system supporting face processing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.110$ and I think that's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:30:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.727$ There are also problems with this literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:30:57.730 --> 00:31:00.406 Some of these studies are underpowered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94608642363636400:31:00.410 --> 00:31:00.802 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:00.802 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.546$ Which could lead to null results or

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}31{:}03.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.423$ could lead to spurious false positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:06.423 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.360$ results and a third problem is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.750$ there's tons of methodological variation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}31{:}11.750 --> 00{:}31{:}13.214$ We really don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:13.214 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.410$ Doesn't matter if you use color

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:15.482 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.210$ faces or grayscale faces,

00:31:17.210 --> 00:31:18.850 happy faces, neutral faces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.046$ and so the crosshairs, no crosshairs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:21.046 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.903$ and so all those things are in the mix there

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:23.903 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.175$ noise that we can never really pull out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.820$ From from this this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:31:27.820 --> 00:31:30.310 you know, mixed set of findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.326$ There are other things too that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:31:32.326 --> 00:31:34.806 are not just kind of noise in the story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.889$ but are gaping holes in the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:31:36.890 --> 00:31:38.775 We didn't really understand reliability

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}31{:}38.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.140$ of this measure within a person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.820$ Overtime or practice effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364 00:31:42.820 --> 00:31:43.660 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:31:43.660 --> 00:31:45.988 if you're going to be doing a biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364 00:31:45.990 --> 00:31:46.776 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:46.776 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.134$ over the course of an intervention,

 $00:31:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.499$ does the act of measuring the biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:51.499 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.960$ changed the biomarker values?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.180$ Those things are unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:31:55.180 --> 00:31:57.652 We also don't have any kind of normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:57.652 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.159$ reference which is challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364 00:31:59.160 --> 00:31:59.886 So for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:31:59.886 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.064$ And a contrast would be head

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}32{:}02.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.698$ circumference where you could go

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:03.698 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.023$ to the CDC website and say for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:06.023 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.463$ given child you know how they fall in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:32:08.463 --> 00:32:10.499 terms of percentile rank for their head size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:10.500 \dashrightarrow 00:32:12.705$ We don't know that for things like the N.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.246$ 170 and so it makes it really hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}32{:}15.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.193$ your ability to infer a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:17.193 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.578$ is only as strong as the control

 $00:32:19.578 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.828$ sample in that particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:21.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.888$ All these things are things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:23.888 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.064$ I think of as problems that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:27.064 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.364$ solvable through empirical research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.810$ and So what I think we need are

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.660$ studies that are more rigorous

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.610$ and where those could lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94608642363636400:32:35.610 --> 00:32:36.144 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:36.144 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.479$ really what's the threshold that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:37.479 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.670$ we have to get to before we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00{:}32{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.738$ useful biomarkers for autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:32:40.738 --> 00:32:42.268 is FDA qualification right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.260$ Because there are people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

 $00:32:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.250$ are really thinking about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946086423636364

00:32:46.250 --> 00:32:48.578 What should those studies look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:32:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.265$ Well, they should test well

00:32:51.265 --> 00:32:52.876 evidenced biomarkers right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:32:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.360$ And that's intuitive, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:32:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.580$ That's what we should do well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:32:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.828$ So those of you who also write grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:32:58.830 --> 00:33:00.760 no, that's a challenge, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:00.760 --> 00:33:03.073 It's really hard to get the 41st

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:03.073 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.404$ study of the N 170 funded because

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:05.404 --> 00:33:07.848 of the emphasis on innovation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.516$ I think we have a system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}33{:}09.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.905$ sets us up to chase the next best

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:11.905 --> 00:33:13.951 potential thing rather than dig in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:13.951 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.836$ and understand really solid things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}33{:}15.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.716$ But studies need to do to test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:17.720 --> 00:33:19.061 Well, evidence biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:19.061 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.296$ We need well characterized cohorts,

 $00:33:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.505$ so we can understand relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:23.505 --> 00:33:24.551 with symptomatology, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:24.551 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.296$ If we don't measure it, we can't understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:26.296 --> 00:33:27.856 There's a relationship with face

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:27.856 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.309$ processing for face recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.926$ For example, we need big samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:31.930 --> 00:33:34.065 including big samples of typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}33{:}34.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.346$ typically developing kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.793$ So we start to get that normative

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:37.793 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.610$ reference that I described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.290$ and we need a longitudinal design

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.410$ that lets us look not longitudinal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:43.410 --> 00:33:45.408 like lifespan, but that'd be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.336$ But logitudinal like let's us understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:47.336 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.467$ even the stability of some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:49.467 --> 00:33:51.051 markers over what would be the

 $00:33:51.051 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.819$ length of a typical clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:33:52.820 --> 00:33:53.802 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:53.802 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.257$ six weeks to six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.324$ We would want to be methodologically tight

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:33:59.324 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.489$ so that we don't have noise in our data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375 00:34:02.490 --> 00:34:02.805 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:02.805 --> 00:34:04.065 Making sure we're being

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}04.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.325$ rigorous about the systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}05.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07.170$ the EG systems we use the the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.983$ we think about stimulating and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}08.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.921$ we want to use practical assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}10.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.702$ And these are all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}13.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.074$ kinds of principles that were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:16.074 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.830$ the mix when they put out an RFA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375 00:34:18.830 --> 00:34:19.141 Now, NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375 $00:34:19.141 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.629$ six years ago for to start a consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}21.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.844$ to try to take biomarkers and get

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:23.844 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.260$ them to a place where they could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.270$ Actually be useful in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:28.270 --> 00:34:29.476 trials and autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.530$ and we've we've been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.890$ that for the past six years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}31.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.182$ It's called the Autism Biomarkers Consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:34.182 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.152$ for clinical trials, and it there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:36.152 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.796$ There are a number of unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:37.796 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.610$ features about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:38.610 --> 00:34:40.460 It's a multi site study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:40.460 --> 00:34:41.580 It's a naturalistic study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}41.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.600$ meaning that it's not a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.013$ There's no intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:45.013 --> 00:34:46.426 administer we passively.

 $00:34:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.369$ We measure intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:47.369 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.308$ the children received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.526$ but we really passively

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:49.526 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.438$ observing these biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:50.440 --> 00:34:51.916 Overtime it's it's structured

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:51.916 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.392$ such that the administrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:53.392 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.247$ core is right here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:34:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.054$ We have five sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:34:57.054 --> 00:34:59.309 Duke UCLA University of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}34{:}59.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.070$ Boston Children's Hospital and hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.290$ a data coordinating kick core that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}35{:}04.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.988$ built here at and YCINY cast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:06.988 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.018$ then a distributed data acquisition

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:09.018 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.428$ analysis Corner that has components here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:11.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.254$ But other places really taking the

 $00:35:13.254 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.299$ people who are the best analysts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}35{:}15.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.603$ and technologists for some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:35:17.603 --> 00:35:19.468 biomarker methods like eye tracking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375 00:35:19.470 --> 00:35:19.863 e.g., NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:19.863 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.007$ and pulling them in from wherever they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}35{:}23.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.692$ It was a big study in our in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:35:25.692 --> 00:35:27.878 first phase we saw 280 children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:35:27.878 --> 00:35:28.746 With autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:28.746 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.916$ 119 children with typical development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.776$ which is big for a for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}35{:}33.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.680$ neuroscience study in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.137$ The age range was school age 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

00:35:38.137 --> 00:35:41.114 to 11 and IQ range of 60 to 150

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00{:}35{:}41.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.178$ to include people who would fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:43.178 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.624$ in the range of an intellectual

NOTE Confidence: 0.93335224375

 $00:35:45.624 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.294$ disability but also kind of balancing.

 $00:35:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.912$ Balancing throughput.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:35:48.912 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.640$ You know one of the trade offs is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:35:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.760$ The more the the the more lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}35{:}54.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.729$ IQ kids you include in a study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:35:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.710$ the more data you will lose and so this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:00.789 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.917$ the way we balance in this particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:03.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.688$ I'll tell you about a study that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:05.688 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.308$ that we're doing to try to fix that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.942$ We use practical assays like EEG

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}36{:}08.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.808$ and I tracking a lot of tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.538$ I'm with the baseline in six weeks to let

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:36:12.538 --> 00:36:14.357 us look at stability in the short term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.932$ and then 24 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:15.932 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.290$ so six months to let us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:36:18.290 --> 00:36:20.030 Potentially picked up unchanged with

 $00:36:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.770$ development or change in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:21.821 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.336$ to the interventions that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}36{:}23.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.152$ children were receiving and a blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:25.152 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.888$ draw so that we have the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:26.888 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.172$ to look at genetic information

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:29.172 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.548$ alongside these biomarker data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.014$ The other aspects of this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:33.014 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.390$ that we're kind of unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.950$ It's a it's funded by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:36:35.950 --> 00:36:37.280 mechanism called EU 19 was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}36{:}37.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.030$ which is a cooperative agreement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.190$ So this study meets with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.486$ the steering committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.156$ Will I'll be on the phone with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:44.156 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.094$ a whole bunch of people at 3:00

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}36{:}46.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.846$ o'clock today and the the governance

 $00:36:47.903 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.713$ brings together people in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:36:49.713 --> 00:36:51.523 academic sites that I've described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.396$ but also people who are scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:53.396 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.950$ at NIH and also people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.030$ scientists and industry and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:58.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.738$ regulatory agencies like the FDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:36:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.644$ So lots and lots of diverse expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}37{:}01.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.442$ Relevant to these to this to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}37{:}03.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.838$ science and the regulatory process

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:04.838 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.102$ is brought to bear on the work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:07.161 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.979$ really another thing that we need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.444$ But the study is truly I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:11.444 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.140$ use this word glibly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}37{:}13.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.540$ unprecedented level of rigor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.539$ terms of we ran this study like

 $00:37:16.539 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.884$ it was a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727 $00:37:17.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.540$ You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.490$ like with site monitors coming in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.504$ and double checking which boxes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:22.504 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.000$ checked in the checked on the folders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.590$ Methodologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:37:25.590 --> 00:37:28.323 every site you know, people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:37:28.323 --> 00:37:30.188 people swapped out their monitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.233$ Even so that we would have the exact same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.424$ Computers displaying the stimuli to the kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.215$ making sure that the temperatures in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:36.215 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.220$ lights in the rooms are all equivalent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.268$ So really being trying to limit as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:40.268 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.270$ sources of potential noise as we could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.050$ and then statistically you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.960$ for those of you involved.

00:37:44.960 --> 00:37:47.697 In EG research you can output may

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:37:47.697 --> 00:37:50.424 be an infinite number of dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}37{:}50.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.869$ variables from an experiment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:52.869 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.888$ what we did so that we would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.552$ you know, aboveboard and clear with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:37:57.552 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.440$ the FDA about what we thought is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:37:59.440 --> 00:38:01.498 you know, we picked one, e.g.,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93515626727272700:38:01.498 --> 00:38:01.996 biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:38:01.996 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.486$ Primary one eye tracking biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:38:04.486 --> 00:38:06.484 primary picked one dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:38:06.484 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.619$ variable for each of those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:38:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.870$ and then made a directional hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}38{:}10.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.208$ So lots and lots of data coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}38{:}13.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.809$ down essentially to at Test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:38:14.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.720$ To say whether it works,

 $00:38:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.030$ but at least it's unambiguous

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:38:17.030 --> 00:38:18.340 they were not P hat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:38:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.252$ And then lastly we harmonized our work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00:38:21.252 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.068$ a European consortium doing similar work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:38:24.070 --> 00:38:26.380 The European aims to trials at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

 $00{:}38{:}26.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.729$ time was called EU aims so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.935156267272727

00:38:28.729 --> 00:38:30.667 we now have two samples collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:30.738 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.518$ within some different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.806$ but using some of the exact

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:34.806 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.949$ same biomarker assays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00{:}38{:}35.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.014$ which is really powerful in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:38.014 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.390$ of understanding replik ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.600$ I won't go through all

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:40.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.810$ the things on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.820$ this is just to make the point that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.340$ The status quo in our field is parent

 $00:38:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.430$ report measures and clinician rating

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.494$ scales and we did the gauntlet of

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:53.494 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.194$ ones that are considered useful today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

00:38:56.200 --> 00:38:57.694 The eye tracking and EG measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:57.694 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.240$ that we use there were four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:38:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.310$ e.g., measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:39:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.680$ Five eye tracking measures we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:39:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.288$ I won't go into all of them on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:39:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.330$ I'll continue the narrative that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:39:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.729$ started so far and clarify that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

00:39:11.730 --> 00:39:14.690 ERP's defaces is is one of those markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00:39:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.610$ and I'll show you what we learned about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883348044583333

 $00{:}39{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.476$ In terms of that that marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.948$ So. Some of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:24.948 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.660$ that we we saw in this study.

00:39:27.660 --> 00:39:30.620 One is that we can get data reliably

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.458$ from this population so you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:33.458 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.632$ here we got valid signal from 97% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:36.632 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.264$ the typical 11 kids to almost everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:39.264 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.500$ in 76% of the kids with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.790$ So not everybody but 3/4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.824$ We saw our hypothesis that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

00:39:46.824 --> 00:39:49.432 end 170 would be slower in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:49.432 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.000$ with autism was true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.692$ So you can see this difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:52.692 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.086$ around 210 to 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:55.086 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.470$ 96 milliseconds in case people are wondering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:39:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.556$ Then once it's called the N 170,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.296$ it's not a rule that it happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:02.296 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.060$ at anyone at 170 milliseconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:04.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.605$ and actually it doesn't really

 $00:40:05.605 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.523$ get to be 170 milliseconds until

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:07.523 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.153$ people are around 14 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}40{:}09.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.539$ Starts out much slower and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

00:40:10.539 --> 00:40:11.708 speeds up over development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.896$ so these numbers aren't aren't unusual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.185$ You know, these are reasonable

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:16.185 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.470$ numbers for kids this age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.445$ We got a sense of stability overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.232$ which is OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}40{:}22.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.608$ Our statisticians cloud classified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.495$ This is adequate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:25.495 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.265$ so we measure this with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}40{:}27.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.910$ interclass correlation coefficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615 00:40:28.910 --> 00:40:29.914 Six weeks, NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:29.914 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.749$ it's basically how well a person's

00:40:32.749 --> 00:40:34.344 values correlate with their own

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

00:40:34.344 --> 00:40:36.390 values at a subsequent point in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615 00:40:36.390 --> 00:40:37.476 and so for. NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:37.476 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.440$ Typically developing kids about .75

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.500$ for autism .66 and pretty similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.630$ over a longer period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.090$. 75 for the typically developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}40{:}47.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.570$ kids and then .56 for the kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.570$ with autism we saw relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.168$ with phenotype in a specific way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.081$ The kinds of things that we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:56.081 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.035$ in prior studies that this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:58.035 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.840$ associated specifically with face memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:40:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.275$ And we also have predictive

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:02.275 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.202$ relationships such that ones and 170

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:05.202 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.288$ at a baseline told us something about

00:41:08.288 --> 00:41:11.412 their their face memory 24 weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:11.412 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.900$ down the line, and so you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.810$ you know this is what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.050$ Just another example of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.290$ an end 170 looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.920$ You can see the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.224$ with autism are slower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:19.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.542$ This is the distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:20.542 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.991$ the the we we present our

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:22.991 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.639$ data and stacked histograms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.551$ and so we're seeing the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:26.551 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.799$ here eat the length of each bar is

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}41{:}28.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.810$ the number of people with the value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.204$ The lower it is on the Y axis is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.830$ the faster than 170 and So what you see is,

 $00:41:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.874$ the mean isn't marked on this chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.250$ But then there's this tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.890$ The distribution where people

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.940$ are slower that is predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.659$ populated by people with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.505$ and this is a great example of the kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}41{:}47.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.438$ of things that I I was saying earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00{:}41{:}49.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.023$ This would not be a useful biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

00:41:52.023 --> 00:41:54.117 of the diagnostic condition, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:54.117 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.410$ 'cause if you look when a person has an end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.200$ 170 of you know whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:41:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.000$ This is 225, you know they could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:42:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.216$ They're slower than average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:42:02.216 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.736$ but they could be typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:42:03.736 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.449$ developing as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:42:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.410$ so but I'll tell you in a

 $00:42:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.613$ moment the way we do think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

00:42:08.613 --> 00:42:10.545 could be useful as a biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

00:42:10.550 --> 00:42:11.846 And we're doing OK for time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:42:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.526$ so I'll mention one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.953269755384615

 $00:42:14.526 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.150$ things that's that is that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.240$ This design is naturalistic study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.198$ Of grade school kids. There's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.056$ We found there was not a ton of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:26.056 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.919$ clinical change in these kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.080$ which is is not totally unexpected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}42{:}30.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.820$ And kids who are getting treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.540$ as usual and have been now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.494$ Hopefully you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:34.494 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.720$ since they were three years old and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:42:36.778 --> 00:42:39.118 so our data set does not give us an

 $00:42:39.118 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.997$ excellent opportunity to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:40.997 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.557$ relationships between biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:42.557 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.637$ and predicting change overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.620$ Or quantifying how biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.095$ parallel changes in clinical status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:49.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.264$ So what they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:50.264 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.181$ Give us though is is a level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:53.181 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.926$ assuredness that these findings are

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:55.926 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.660$ biologically meaningful and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:42:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.208$ As a person who's been studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}43{:}00.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01.810$ neuroscience and autism for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:43:01.810 --> 00:43:04.768 who's been studying the N 170 since 2004,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:43:04.768 --> 00:43:05.187 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:05.187 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.051$ This was the first time I felt like we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:09.051 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.010$ got something like this is not a small study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.606$ This is not a fluke with, you know,

00:43:15.606 --> 00:43:18.322 we said this was going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}43{:}18.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.297$ There's a lot of people watching us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.611$ Nothing funny happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:21.611 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.670$ This is this is a biological truth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.510$ and with that we felt we

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.730$ were in a position to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.836$ To go to the FDA so the FDA has

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}43{:}30.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33.507$ a program designed to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:43:33.507 --> 00:43:35.274 biomarkers for qualification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.159$ there's three steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:36.159 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.624$ The first step is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:37.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.268$ submit a letter of intent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}43{:}39.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.630$ basically presenting the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.990$ that you have so far and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.240$ and the FDA can say kind of thumbs up.

00:43:45.240 --> 00:43:47.304 We want to hear more about this or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}43{:}47.310 --> 00{:}43{:}48.190$ you know, thumbs down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.850$ It just doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:43:48.850 --> 00:43:50.608 Doesn't seem like it has potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.644$ and for both the N 170 and an eye

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:43:52.644 --> 00:43:54.387 tracking index that I didn't talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:54.387 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.842$ about today called the active

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:43:55.902 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.627$ Remote Indexof case Human Faces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00{:}43{:}57.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.275$ which is exactly what it sounds like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:43:59.280 --> 00:44:01.548 How much people look at the faces on screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:01.550 --> 00:44:03.066 They accepted both so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:03.066 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.340$ This does not mean anything in

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:05.418 --> 00:44:07.683 terms of the practical utility

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:07.683 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.495$ of these biomarkers today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.790$ But what it does mean is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.756$ These are the.

 $00:44:13.756 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.688$ It's a milestone in that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:15.688 --> 00:44:18.111 are the first two biomarkers for

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:18.111 --> 00:44:20.146 any psychiatric condition to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:20.217 --> 00:44:23.297 been welcomed by the FDA into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:23.297 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.617$ biomarker qualification program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.430$ So we've got a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.006$ A lot of work to do before they

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:30.006 --> 00:44:30.650 get qualified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.163$ but it's encouraging that this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:33.163 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.176$ first time the FDA said is go do the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:36.180 --> 00:44:37.110 And that's what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:37.110 --> 00:44:38.568 The way that we've described it

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:38.568 --> 00:44:40.410 is that maybe when we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.775$ this tail of the distribution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:41.780 --> 00:44:43.340 this represents a subgroup that

 $00:44:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.190$ could be useful in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.350$ So maybe there are biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.646$ is more homogeneous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.328$ and maybe then by bye struck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.270$ using them as a stratification

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.822$ factor in clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.430$ we could reduce heterogeneity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:44:57.430 --> 00:44:59.631 have more power to Dec differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:44:59.631 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.260$ associated with treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:45:01.260 --> 00:45:01.989 We've, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:45:01.989 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.447$ this is one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:45:03.447 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.588$ that's really fun about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:45:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.460$ This is that, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:45:06.460 --> 00:45:07.876 We don't know what we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:45:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.860$ but really nobody does like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:45:09.860 --> 00:45:12.229 FDA is figuring out how you

00:45:12.229 --> 00:45:14.185 think about qualifying biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

00:45:14.185 --> 00:45:15.652 by psychiatric conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:45:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.316$ and so this is something very

NOTE Confidence: 0.937707968

 $00:45:17.316 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.420$ much that we're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.258$ we afield are figuring out together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:45:21.260 --> 00:45:23.348 and so we've gotten two grants from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:23.348 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.121$ FDA really just support our communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}45{:}25.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.553$ with them to kind of think about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:27.553 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.317$ things and develop the next step,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}45{:}29.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.120$ which the biomarker qualification

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}45{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.820$ plan and it's hard and exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.276$ The kinds of things that just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}45{:}35.276 \to 00{:}45{:}37.160$ give you a taste of the things that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}45{:}37.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.188$ We wrangle with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:45:38.188 --> 00:45:40.162 I'm gonna again that I'll be wrangling

 $00:45:40.162 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.037$ with three o'clock this afternoon

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:42.037 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.831$ and a big teleconferences. How?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:43.831 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.450$ What kind of data do we provide to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:46.522 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.946$ that that purple highlighted group is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:48.946 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.689$ different from the rest of them somehow?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.610$ And how do I decide where to draw

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.298$ the line of the purple right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}45{:}55.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.574$ I just did it 'cause it looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:45:56.574 --> 00:45:58.018 nice at that place in the figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.340$ but there should be a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:45:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.400$ sophisticated way to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.400$ How do we? How do we validate it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.056$ Like if if that's a subgroup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:46:05.060 --> 00:46:07.284 what do you do like when our clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:07.284 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.957$ measures are all that we've got?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}46{:}08.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.605$ Should I be doing brain should be

00:46:10.605 --> 00:46:12.169 doing imaging scans and show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:12.169 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.524$ their brain structure is different?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.108$ Some way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:14.108 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.131$ like how can I externally validate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:16.131 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.738$ thing that appears to be meaningful

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:17.738 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.690$ with the N 170 and then lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.058$ how do I make sure and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:46:21.058 --> 00:46:21.910 a real challenge?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.953$ How do we make sure that people who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:23.953 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.767$ things with with without an unprecedented

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:25.767 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.220$ level of rigor are getting the same results?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.004$ Do you need to use our EG system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.106$ Do you need to use our like manuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:32.106 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.630$ and procedures?

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:32.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.238$ We don't know?

00:46:34.240 --> 00:46:35.686 In July 2020,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}46{:}35.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.810$ the ABC was funded for a second phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.454$ This new this second phase is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:41.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.950$ going to have three parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.840$ One is going to be a follow-up

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:46:44.840 --> 00:46:46.954 study of that original cohort coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:46.954 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.656$ back 2 1/2 years to four years

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:49.656 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.180$ after their original enrollment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.818$ This will let us look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:52.818 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.240$ stability over the longer term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.410$ It might, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.066$ that we were not a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:46:57.066 --> 00:46:58.870 designed to pick up unchanged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:46:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.263$ but there may be more change that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:00.263 --> 00:47:01.768 happens over this longer period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}47{:}01.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.778$ so we might look into some and it'll

 $00:47:03.778 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.822$ also for sure give us an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:05.822 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.062$ to look at how biomarkers you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:08.062 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.817$ whether they have prognostic value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.970$ Whether they tell you something

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:10.970 --> 00:47:12.120 about how prisons gonna look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:12.120 --> 00:47:15.288 use down the line we started in May and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:15.288 --> 00:47:18.718 we're 144 kids in which is mahnomen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}47{:}18.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.440$ ABCD is a is an ambitious and hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:21.440 --> 00:47:24.266 study to do without COVID and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00{:}47{:}24.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.761$ cannot tell you how impressed I

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:26.761 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.253$ am with the work that the team

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:29.253 --> 00:47:31.626 here yelling all the sites has

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:31.626 --> 00:47:34.110 done to make this happen today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:34.110 --> 00:47:36.828 The second part is confirmation study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.810$ which is basically to do that

00:47:38.810 --> 00:47:40.804 first study over again and make

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:40.804 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.925$ sure that we get the same results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.094$ Only difference really is we're going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.572$ Do a an even balance of kids with

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

 $00:47:48.572 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.705$ autism and typically having kids so

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:50.705 --> 00:47:53.143 200 in each which actually having

NOTE Confidence: 0.867533435

00:47:53.143 --> 00:47:54.947 more typically governed kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:47:54.950 --> 00:47:56.775 makes it much more powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:47:56.775 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.175$ for us to determine how kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:47:59.175 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.179$ with autism differ materially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}48{:}01.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.662$ 11 kids, so that's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:02.662 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.197$ for us and then also tossing one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:48:05.197 --> 00:48:07.348 the assays that didn't work so well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}48{:}07.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.778$ A biological motion essay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.468$ And in the last study is a feasibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:48:12.468 --> 00:48:14.983 study in which will come across

00:48:14.983 --> 00:48:17.454 the consortium C25 kids with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:48:17.454 --> 00:48:19.038 25 typically developing kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:19.038 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.290$ between three to five years old and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.986$ see whether we can weather this

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:48:22.986 --> 00:48:24.714 battery is viable in that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.503$ whether it's feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:25.503 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.330$ and I'm going to segue the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:27.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.827$ two things I want to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:48:28.827 --> 00:48:30.826 are kind of new directions, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:30.826 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.238$ So the the abcte is it is it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:35.238 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.380$ glamorous only in its scope, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.480$ It's taking the things that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}48{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.415$ Think we understand and double

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:48:41.415 --> 00:48:42.963 and triple checking right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.476$ And the next few things I'll

00:48:44.476 --> 00:48:46.335 talk about are seeing if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}48{:}46.335 \to 00{:}48{:}47.399$ understand some new things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.157$ So one thing is is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:49.157 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.599$ what Paul alluded to earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.165$ The N 170 is an output of a brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:53.165 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.642$ system that supports social perception

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:55.642 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.282$ and social perception is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:48:58.282 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.855$ affected in in every disorder studied

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:00.855 --> 00:49:03.338 at the Child Study Center, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:03.338 --> 00:49:05.928 And one example is schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}49{:}05.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.940$ And so this is work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.620$ That is is being carried out now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.592$ Play Gloria hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:10.592 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.536$ There's a hillerbrand postdoc in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:12.536 --> 00:49:14.539 lab and in collaboration with Jenn

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:14.539 --> 00:49:16.609 phosphide who was a postdoc in lab,

 $00:49:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.320$ and now as an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:18.320 --> 00:49:19.175 at Mount Sinai.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:19.180 --> 00:49:21.854 But what we've done is really collect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:21.860 --> 00:49:24.980 kind of lots of different symptom

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.720$ measures for schizophrenia for autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.028$ and the N 170,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:29.028 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.680$ and done it in a group of people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.335$ have autism or have schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.772$ and this will get give us a chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:37.772 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.791$ to understand the way that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:39.791 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.796$ kinds of behavioral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.760$ Behavioral phenotypes that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}49{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.210$ see relate to these biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.250$ These biomarkers in a way that is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.260$ disorder specific because you know,

 $00:49:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.528$ uh Oh my goodness Paul left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.850$ He told me he had to leave and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.514$ then I gave him a hard time by

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:49:55.514 --> 00:49:56.869 asking questions and now he's gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:49:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.150$ He wins. I feel guilty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.238$ But though so I don't think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:50:02.240 --> 00:50:02.910 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.255$ I don't think that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:05.255 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.490$ have biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.930$ That sort of we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.310$ to sort of specific brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:50:09.310 --> 00:50:10.930 Why would measuring the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.290$ although some give you something

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}50{:}12.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13.422$ this disorder specific, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:13.422 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.294$ It's the same systems that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:15.294 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.230$ supporting information processing

 $00:50:16.281 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.469$ across all these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.210$ and so this is an in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.616$ Gloria is also very talented mathematician

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:50:21.616 --> 00:50:23.694 and is applying network analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}50{:}23.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.442$ which I'm reasonably confident

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:25.442 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.814$ I will understand by the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:27.814 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.476$ she moves on from the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:50:29.480 --> 00:50:32.441 Another approach that we're taking is it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:50:32.441 --> 00:50:35.438 really a problem in our field that many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.879$ many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

00:50:35.879 --> 00:50:38.513 many people with autism have Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:38.513 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.830$ occurring intellectual disability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}50{:}39.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.385$ and they're really not included

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:41.385 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.318$ in neuroscience research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.378$ So what we're doing is failing to

00:50:44.378 --> 00:50:46.410 study a group that could perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}50{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.220$ benefit most from the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00{:}50{:}48.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50.330$ that we're trying to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.810$ And there's there's many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903756688

 $00:50:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.920$ many good reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:50:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.084$ for them being excluded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:50:54.084 --> 00:50:55.352 You know, many good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:50:55.352 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.716$ practical reasons that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}50{:}56.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.180$ but we have ideas how we can improve on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:50:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.920$ and this is work that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}50{:}59{.}920 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}51{:}01.688$ Led by Adam Naples, who many of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:01.688 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.483$ know who I've worked with for over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:03.483 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.359$ decade and is a research scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}51{:}05.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.360$ having started in the lab as a postdoc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:07.360 --> 00:51:08.730 But what we've we've thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.100$ about is over the years.

 $00{:}51{:}10.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.700$ We have ideas about how EGS could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:12.700 --> 00:51:14.977 made easier for people with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.236$ and so a few things changing the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:17.236 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.988$ we administer experiments so that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.270$ for example, it's a silly thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.385$ But if you show 50 faces in a row

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:23.385 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.785$ and then you show 50 houses in a row,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.589$ it gets a lot more boring than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:27.589 --> 00:51:29.695 if you go back and forth, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:29.695 --> 00:51:31.170 So like. Little silly things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}51{:}31.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.354$ Thinking about how a person can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:33.354 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.810$ experience can improve things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.138$ We also would. Adam has done his is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}51{:}37.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38.827$ He gets mad when I call it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:38.827 --> 00:51:39.550 an artificial intelligence.

 $00:51:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.930$ But I'm going to anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.506$ He's built a way of kind of quantifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:43.506 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.079$ simultaneously a person's movement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:45.079 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.807$ automatically where their faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:46.807 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.297$ oriented where their eyes are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}51{:}49.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.052$ and basically putting that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}51{:}51.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.052$ an algorithm that creates a net.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:53.052 --> 00:51:53.680 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.008$ net.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:51:54.008 --> 00:51:56.304 How much is this person moving around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}51{:}56.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59.144$ and then what we do is we just use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:51:59.144 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.959$ behavioral shaping in a non person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.360$ Based way Nonexperimental based way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.354$ to to create a set up so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:07.354 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.310$ you know the less they move around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.560$ The less tolerant the experimental

 $00:52:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.316$ setup becomes of movement and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:15.316 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.890$ incentive is that their favorite videos

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:17.890 --> 00:52:20.449 play when they're not moving a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.669$ so there's no one saying sit still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.834$ look at the screen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:23.834 --> 00:52:26.549 it just is a inergen kind of ergonomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.990$ right? And and it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.965$ So we're getting data now from kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:30.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.334$ This is just example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:32.334 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.869$ this is a person who had an IQ of I believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:35.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.718$ I'm actually not sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:36.718 --> 00:52:37.990 I know we've had people come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.244$ through the Iqs as low as 22.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.748$ I don't know who's David this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:40.750 --> 00:52:42.311 But but it's working and you can

00:52:42.311 --> 00:52:44.004 see you know we we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}52{:}44.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45.462$ enough heated data yet to notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:45.512 --> 00:52:46.947 he kind of group differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.910$ But we do see that we see the N 170

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:48.974 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.745$ that we expect and then the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}52{:}50.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.637$ thing in one that I'm really excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:52.637 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.136$ about is is maybe we can use some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:55.136 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.888$ these biomarkers to actually guide care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:56.890 --> 00:52:59.008 And here I'll highlight two residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:52:59.010 --> 00:52:59.860 Cherub Syringa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:52:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.560$ who's in the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.848$ and also AZ Alsop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:02.848 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.659$ And this is work really when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:53:05.659 --> 00:53:07.669 about the treatments for autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.238$ they have a few things in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.778$ They tend to target social function.

00:53:11.780 --> 00:53:13.610 And we know from you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.670$ not a lot of studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}53{:}14.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.080$ but a few suggestive studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:53:16.080 --> 00:53:17.509 a particular part of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.580$ called the superior temporal sulcus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00:53:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.686$ is is enhanced in activity when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:53:21.686 --> 00:53:23.840 people get better in treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

 $00{:}53{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.018$ This is also happened to be one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9158741

00:53:26.018 --> 00:53:28.085 places that we think generates then 170,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.306$ and an idea that that isn't just ours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.546$ Other groups are doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:31.546 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.782$ We're actually collaborating with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}53{:}32.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.601$ a group running clinical trial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}53{:}34.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.031$ Australia is we could directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:36.031 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.890$ use direct brain stimulation with

 $00{:}53{:}37.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.033$ transcranial magnetic stimulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}53{:}39.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.104$ TMS to stimulate the tests and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}53{:}41.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.785$ You know a couple of studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:42.785 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.472$ have been done so far suggests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:44.472 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.937$ it could improve social behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:53:45.937 --> 00:53:47.797 that it could reduce kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:53:47.797 --> 00:53:49.232 repetitive behaviors and autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:53:49.232 --> 00:53:51.850 But what we're trying to do really

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}53{:}51.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.960$ is leverage our proficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.223$ in using biomarkers, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:53:56.223 --> 00:53:59.146 And so maybe, you know, we could maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:53:59.146 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.854$ And 170 maybe eye tracking could be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:02.854 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.478$ useful way of quantifying in a shorter term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.788$ whether these treatments are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:54:07.788 --> 00:54:09.410 going to be effective, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.960$ Because to measure change in

 $00:54:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.870$ social behavior is a tall order,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}54{:}12.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.903$ like I can if you had a pill that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:15.903 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.455$ dramatically changed someones

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:17.455 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.168$ social brain function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.982$ It's not like they would leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:20.982 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.888$ your lab reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:54:21.890 --> 00:54:23.969 They have more friends write these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.520$ It's an intersection of brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:54:25.520 --> 00:54:26.450 systems and environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.410$ and so you know that's a tall order.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.415$ Maybe we could see differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:29.415 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.219$ here that would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}54{:}30.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.276$ Predictive about the differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:31.276 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.068$ and maybe also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.942$ we could see predictions about who's

 $00:54:33.942 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.247$ going to respond at all and who's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}54{:}36.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.832$ Maybe the people with the slowest and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:37.832 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.975$ 70s are the ones that we should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:39.975 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.385$ providing direct brain stimulation to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.098$ Maybe the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}54{:}42.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.750$ We don't know what we see so

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:43.806 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.986$ far and just pilot data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.838$ And this is work will start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.650$ This will start seeing participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:54:48.650 --> 00:54:53.008 really in earnest in in December.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.216$ These are pilot data that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}54{:}54.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55.870$ part of a grand was recently funded

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:54:55.870 --> 00:54:57.210 by the Department of Defense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.346$ but the but we see even in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:54:59.346 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.690$ who don't have autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.706$ that it tends to move the needle.

 $00:55:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.900$ The biomarker needle in the directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}04.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07.644$ that we would expect we see and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:07.644 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.462$ 70s get faster when you stimulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:09.533 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.612$ VSTS and we see people looking more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:11.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.251$ to eyes when you stimulate VSTS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:55:13.251 --> 00:55:14.733 It's also not on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:55:14.740 --> 00:55:16.028 but one of the things I'm really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.182$ really, really, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:18.182 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.740$ really excited about is that CHERUB is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.637$ Is it already an expert in TMS?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.214$ Because TMS is an FDA approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:26.214 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.930$ treatment for treatment resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}28.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.934$ depression and this is a place

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}29.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.230$ where he has lots of experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.634$ He lives in our lab halftime

 $00:55:33.634 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.999$ and he lives at the VA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}35.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.405$ working in the treatment resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:36.405 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.248$ depression clinics there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.888$ The other half of the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:38.888 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.835$ and depression is a very very

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}40.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.419$ significant problem in autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.845$ Many the typical treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:44.845 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.727$ depression and autism are not effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:55:47.727 --> 00:55:50.695 for a host of reasons and TMS is

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.717$ from my perspective holds great promise for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}55{:}53.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55.164$ Addressing depression and autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:55.164 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.608$ And that's something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:55:56.608 --> 00:55:58.259 sheriff is literally shared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

00:55:58.260 --> 00:55:59.010 but you would agree, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:55:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.160$ You're probably the best person

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:56:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.700$ on Earth to solve that problem,

 $00:56:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.960$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00{:}56{:}02.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.580$ But that's something that we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:56:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.798$ to be working on next as well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:56:06.798 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.158$ shrubs they Hillebrand fell off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:56:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.892$ Forgot to mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88407976875

 $00:56:08.892 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.600$ but that's what I wanted to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:56:10.652 --> 00:56:13.020 about. I'm despite my enthusiasm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}56{:}13.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.415$ loquaciousness, I'm glad to see I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}56{:}14.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}15.880$ saved a few minutes for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:15.880 \dashrightarrow 00:56:20.040$ I I do want to thank a few groups because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:56:20.040 --> 00:56:21.580 Mentioned at the outset, you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.788$ This work exists between the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}56{:}23.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.295$ and the lab, and the consortium is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}56{:}25.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.740$ There's a lot of people involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.858$ The most important people involved are

00:56:28.858 --> 00:56:31.536 the the the, the people with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:31.536 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.960$ and the families that go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:34.035 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.243$ the trouble of spending long boring

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:36.243 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.800$ days with us to help us learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}56{:}38.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41.188$ And we're we're realistic about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:56:41.190 --> 00:56:44.284 In fact, my kids are participants in

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:44.284 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.645$ the abote and my wife lets me know

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:47.645 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.739$ just how annoying my my studies are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.720$ And so and she and we've got a stake in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.478$ so we're very grateful for their time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.376$ We're really grateful for the clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:57.376 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.000$ in the development disabilities clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:56:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.836$ Who are are truly world class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:00.840 --> 00:57:01.604 And that's, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:01.604 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.559$ where all this research begins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:02.560 --> 00:57:04.066 Because it's probably part of the

 $00:57:04.066 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.317$ reason that people are willing

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:05.317 --> 00:57:06.724 to come in and work with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:06.730 --> 00:57:09.362 The Autism Biomarkers Consortium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.576$ which is really it, is a it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:12.580 --> 00:57:14.338 It's been a an amazing experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:14.338 --> 00:57:16.499 to work with this group of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:16.499 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.669$ because they're truly in in autism the

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.745$ best at what they do in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}57{:}20.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.170$ And yet they are selfless, tireless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.414$ and generous without limits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:25.414 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.050$ And then the people in the lab who who

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.842$ this was our first lab meeting after we

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00{:}57{:}31.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.664$ were able to all come back in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.294$ But this these are the people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:36.294 --> 00:57:38.022 doing the work that I have the pleasure

00:57:38.022 --> 00:57:39.610 of talking with you all about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

 $00:57:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.465$ So thank you all for your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.934299440909091

00:57:41.465 --> 00:57:43.299 and thank you all for your help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771427025

 $00:57:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.999$ Sure, for. I think thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.771427025

 $00:57:54.999 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.464$ you very high level here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:57:59.750 --> 00:58:03.334 Uhm, a lot of your question is fascinating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.652$ but a lot of your questions about specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:58:06.652 --> 00:58:08.959 about whether it labels a subtype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.692$ whether it's disorder specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:10.692 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.857$ how label it isn't stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.490$ It is, could be answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:58:14.490 --> 00:58:15.758 Perhaps if you talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}15.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}18.680$ or if we know about the neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}18.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.190$ Of N 170, right and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.647$ I'm sure it's been measured in animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}24.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.330$ including primates, and I'm sure

00:58:26.330 --> 00:58:28.410 people have looked more in depth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:28.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.164$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:29.164 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.426$ does it arise in sensory cortices?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:58:31.430 --> 00:58:33.146 But you're talking more about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:33.146 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.800$ is a more maybe more of an emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}35.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.966$ response rather than a cognitive response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.220$ or even a sensory response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}39.220 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}58{:}42.460$ so I'm a little confused about was it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.784$ what is it from a neuroscience standpoint?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}44.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46.150$ Because that could really address

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:58:46.150 --> 00:58:47.618 all of these questions, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:58:47.618 --> 00:58:49.508 It might, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.246$ I mean, I think it's it's it's attractive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.820$ The idea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:58:51.820 --> 00:58:53.815 So, so I hope everyone here floor

 $00:58:53.815 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.066$ is very good question and if I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}58{:}56.066 \rightarrow 00{:}58{:}58.499$ if I could paraphrase your question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:58:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.663$ it would be like what is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:00.663 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.758$ mechanism that is indexed by the N

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:02.758 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.219$ 170 and the answer is we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:59:05.220 --> 00:59:05.632 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:05.632 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.870$ we know we know kind of where it comes from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875 00:59:07.870 --> 00:59:08.160 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.900$ It comes from occipital temporal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:59:09.900 --> 00:59:11.930 It's an EEG measure, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59{:}11.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14.080$ And so it's probably reflecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.212$ not probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:59:15.212 --> 00:59:18.042 It is reflecting activity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:59:18.042 --> 00:59:19.475 different places, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

00:59:19.475 --> 00:59:21.540 So it's probably STS as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.520$ but maybe also fuse.

00:59:22.520 --> 00:59:24.332 From Jirus you know we don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}59{:}24.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.757$ really perfect ways of measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00{:}59{:}25.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27.234$ from where a signal recorded

NOTE Confidence: 0.71676125875

 $00:59:27.234 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.908$ scalp comes from in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:32.190 --> 00:59:33.750 We know same things like that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00:59:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.360$ where it comes like occipital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00:59:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.924$ temporal cortex like fusiform

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00:59:36.924 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.097$ gyrus across species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:38.100 --> 00:59:40.764 But even when we know that what then

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00:59:40.764 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.780$ what like what do we do with that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:42.780 --> 00:59:44.532 That's the problem right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:44.532 --> 00:59:47.699 It's like the we in autism we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00{:}59{:}47.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50.870$ making all of our decisions based on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:50.870 --> 00:59:51.872 Perception of behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:51.872 --> 00:59:53.876 One of the things that's nice,

00:59:53.880 --> 00:59:56.336 I mean to take the other extreme right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00{:}59{:}56.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58.097$ Like if we could find a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

00:59:58.097 --> 00:59:59.725 in a synapse in autism, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $00:59:59.725 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.150$ That would be a beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:01.150 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.290$ illustration of a mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:02.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.570$ But it wouldn't tell me at all what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:04.570 --> 01:00:05.908 When I go into the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:05.910 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.006$ and so I think of this as occupying

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:08.006 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.962$ kind of an important translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:09.962 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.362$ space between the really, really

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:12.362 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.854$ subjective things that we use presently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:15.860 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.422$ To things that are convergently presumably

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:18.422 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.917$ valid in terms of mapping to those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:21.920 --> 01:00:23.492 And closer to mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:23.492 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.064$ but not mechanisms yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:25.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.198$ But that's that's the challenge I mean.

01:00:27.200 --> 01:00:28.978 And you mean you're uniquely qualified to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:28.978 --> 01:00:30.988 help me think about how we could define,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:30.988 --> 01:00:33.494 you, know, just to elucidate the mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:33.500 --> 01:00:35.698 namely 70, but we don't know yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091 01:00:35.700 --> 01:00:35.981 Jamie, NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:35.981 --> 01:00:37.667 I think this really dovetails quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:37.667 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.432$ nicely with the question that we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01{:}00{:}39.432 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}41.420$ come in on the chat from Zoran Zamolo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01{:}00{:}41.420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.296$ and he was asking about whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:43.296 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.288$ not an increased latency of the N 170

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:45.288 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.188$ above 250 milliseconds actually is

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:47.188 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.273$ associated with increased severity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01{:}00{:}49.273 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}51.255$ clinical presentation or increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01{:}00{:}51.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53.259$ difficulty with social communication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:53.260 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.980$ No, so this is the thing that is

01:00:55.980 --> 01:00:57.419 this stymied us right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:00:57.420 --> 01:00:58.484 So and we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091 01:00:58.484 --> 01:00:59.016 like really, NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:00:59.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.956$ really great clever statisticians

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:00.956 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.860$ thinking we did every clinical measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:03.860 --> 01:01:05.280 And you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:05.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.526$ Wouldn't it be awesome if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:07.526 --> 01:01:09.178 took this in 170?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01{:}01{:}09.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11.724$ We said look this difference that we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:11.724 --> 01:01:14.526 was true in this big rigorous study is true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:14.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.480$ and it associate's with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:16.480 --> 01:01:18.800 phenotype in a really high way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091 01:01:18.800 --> 01:01:19.189 Nope, NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:19.189 --> 01:01:21.523 you know what associate's with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:21.523 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.008$ associates with how well you recognize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:24.010 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.453$ Faces which is.

01:01:25.453 --> 01:01:26.896 Telling us something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:26.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.993$ I think right when we think what

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:28.993 --> 01:01:31.291 does it mean to say that something

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:31.291 \longrightarrow 01:01:33.283$ I measure like and 170 would

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:33.359 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.287$ associate with the phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:35.290 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.952$ What's the phenotype?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091 01:01:36.952 --> 01:01:38.060 It's it's. NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:38.060 --> 01:01:41.150 It's I contact right, its language,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:41.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.898$ its flexibility of behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:43.898 --> 01:01:45.959 It's sensory response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:45.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.858$ What are the odds that one readout

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:48.860 --> 01:01:51.860 of one neural system happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091 01:01:51.860 --> 01:01:52.466 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:52.466 --> 01:01:53.072 short latency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:01:53.072 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.587$ so it's pretty perceptual is

01:01:54.587 --> 01:01:56.176 going to capture all of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:56.180 --> 01:01:58.256 Things we wanted it to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:01:58.260 --> 01:02:00.020 It didn't happen and I think we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:00.020 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.668$ to accept that and and understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:01.668 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.108$ that it's telling us something

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:03.108 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.500$ about the biology of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:04.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.020$ And again, that's a great like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091 01:02:07.020 --> 01:02:07.604 Question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:02:07.604 --> 01:02:09.356 that's why we got to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:09.356 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.938$ really carefully about how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:10.938 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.877$ think about biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:11.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.674$ That doesn't mean I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:02:13.674 --> 01:02:15.870 maybe the animal 70 won't be useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:02:15.870 --> 01:02:16.680 but for now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:16.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.570$ it's one of the few things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:18.641 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.669$ we can presume to be really

01:02:20.669 --> 01:02:22.426 consistently true about how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:02:22.426 --> 01:02:24.116 brain is different in autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

01:02:24.120 --> 01:02:25.108 and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860961210909091

 $01:02:25.108 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.343$ to me it makes sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

 $01:02:26.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.148$ To look at all the ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

 $01:02:27.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.809$ could you be useful 'cause we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

01:02:28.809 --> 01:02:30.209 nothing better by that standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

01:02:30.210 --> 01:02:32.576 but is it a proxy for autism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

 $01:02:32.580 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.330$ Per say no? And I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

 $01:02:34.330 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.906$ that we're going to find a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8748344

 $01:02:35.906 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.430$ biomarker of this type that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:41.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.616$ Jamie, that was fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:02:42.616 --> 01:02:44.092 You're as passionate as

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:44.092 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.709$ you were as an intern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:45.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.230$ I remember so well.

01:02:47.230 --> 01:02:49.130 Quick thing you said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:49.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.288$ biomarkers are controversial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:50.288 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.604$ Are there safeguards about the misuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:02:52.604 --> 01:02:54.980 of biomarkers so that you know people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:02:54.980 --> 01:02:57.680 Can you know inappropriately be diagnosed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:57.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.500$ You know, there's a lot of stigma

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:02:59.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.193$ that goes along with these diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:01.193 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.195$ and you know too many people feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:03.256 --> 01:03:05.111 that if you have autism you can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:05.111 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.756$ really feel or relate or learn much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:07.760 --> 01:03:09.308 You know any any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:09.308 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.856$ Safeguards against the misuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:10.856 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.082$ of these biomarkers. It's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01{:}03{:}13.082 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}15.273$ It's a what a great question, Larry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:15.273 --> 01:03:17.857 I mean, first we just agree with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:17.857 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.381$ that thinking about the ethical use

01:03:20.381 --> 01:03:22.373 of biomarkers is critical, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:22.373 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.637$ We have one of the we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:24.637 --> 01:03:26.369 external Advisory Board for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:26.370 --> 01:03:28.530 ABCT and John Elder Robison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:28.530 --> 01:03:30.650 Who's a man with autism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:30.650 --> 01:03:32.310 And also a uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:32.310 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.745$ a very an author and very thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:34.745 \longrightarrow 01:03:37.058$ person is active and kind of being a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846 01:03:37.060 --> 01:03:37.586 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:37.586 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.427$ a voice of a person with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:39.427 --> 01:03:41.129 in the context of science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:41.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:42.480$ and he's been immensely helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:42.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:44.433$ And we had a meeting a few weeks ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:44.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.652$ and that's one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:45.652 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.460$ he expressed was concerned.

 $01:03:46.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.736$ Like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:46.736 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.668$ What are people going to put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:48.668 --> 01:03:50.442 cart before the horse and say the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:50.442 --> 01:03:52.378 point is to get your N 170 faster?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:52.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.480$ And might that put people with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:54.480 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.517$ in an unfortunate spot where they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:56.517 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.665$ being put through maybe treatments that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:03:58.670 --> 01:03:59.696 Are actually useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:03:59.696 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.090$ improving their quality of lives and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:02.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.750$ And we agree, we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:03.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.444$ I hope it's evident that it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:05.444 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.338$ that we don't see these biomarkers as

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:07.338 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.270$ an end unto themselves in that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:09.270 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.022$ but I don't know the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:11.022 --> 01:04:12.190 to your question like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:12.190 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.400$ I don't know that as scientists.

01:04:15.400 --> 01:04:16.608 You know there I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01{:}04{:}16.608 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19.572$ I guess I Arby's RR safeguard against

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01{:}04{:}19.572 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}23.076$ kind of ethical misuse of biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:23.080 --> 01:04:25.420 but ultimately, you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:25.420 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.080$ It's what people do, Yep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:28.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.346$ And people having being thoughtful one

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:30.346 --> 01:04:32.954 last quick question from Bob King on Zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:32.960 --> 01:04:33.474 Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01{:}04{:}33.474 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}36.558$ I was wondering about people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:36.558 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.890$ prosopagnosia as one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:38.890 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.265$ I think of otherwise normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:41.265 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.165$ social skills and intelligence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:43.170 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.130$ Do you do we have abnormal and one 70s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:47.130 --> 01:04:48.230 It's a good question Bob.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:48.230 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.718$ And there's a handful of studies

01:04:49.718 --> 01:04:51.479 that I haven't read in a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:51.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.881$ and people who don't know prosopagnosia is

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:53.881 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.001$ a selective inability to recognize faces

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:56.001 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.402$ despite being able to recognize other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:58.410 --> 01:04:58.856 And honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:04:58.856 --> 01:04:59.079 Bob,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:04:59.079 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.230$ I have to go and check the literature there

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:01.230 \longrightarrow 01:05:03.862$ is there is a literature both on kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01{:}05{:}03.862 \to 01{:}05{:}05.610$ acquired and developmental prosopagnosia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:05:05.610 --> 01:05:07.590 And I actually want to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:07.590 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.630$ you know, someone can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:05:08.630 --> 01:05:10.790 Email me and tell me that I'm wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:10.790 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.547$ but I actually think that they that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01{:}05{:}12.547 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}14.382$ we don't see differences in there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:14.382 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.450$ 170 and they do show and when 70s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846 01:05:16.450 --> 01:05:16.689 right?

 $01{:}05{:}16.689 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17.167$ That is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:17.167 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.601$ This is something pretty and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:05:18.601 --> 01:05:20.358 we think about it actually when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:05:20.358 --> 01:05:21.960 think about the kinds of cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:21.960 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.688$ processes and the way you understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

 $01:05:23.690 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.394$ how do you understand what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845363396153846

01:05:25.394 --> 01:05:26.530 cognitive process indexed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:26.587 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.359$ and 170 is like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:27.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.084$ You do experimental manipulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01{:}05{:}29.084 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31.239$ like show familiar and unfamiliar

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:31.239 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.796$ faces and then 170 is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:33.796 \longrightarrow 01:05:35.168$ tracking with face recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01{:}05{:}35.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}36.918$ Although in our behaviourally

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:36.918 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.540$ right it does but in experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:39.540 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.252$ The N 170 seems to index

01:05:42.252 --> 01:05:43.608 face structural encoding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01{:}05{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}45.788$ whereas later components like an end

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:45.788 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.480$ to end 250 index face recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:48.480 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.056$ Does that make sense?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892859581428571

 $01:05:50.056 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.890$ Yeah, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854062070625

 $01:05:58.860 \longrightarrow 01:06:00.404$ And just thank you to all the joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.854062070625

 $01:06:00.404 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.219$ us on zoom but also in person today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854062070625

 $01:06:02.220 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.210$ This is a fantastic talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854062070625

 $01{:}06{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}05.998$ Thanks again from a partment.