WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:04:35" NOTE recognizability:0.828

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.275$ I would like to welcome everybody here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:04.275 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.988$ Council victorium and also everyone online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.454$ And before we begin, I have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:10.454 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.286$ reminder next week we have a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:00:13.286 --> 00:00:15.411 interesting talk by Doctor Stephanie

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:15.411 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.970$ Gilson who speak about the history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.870$ hope and healing within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:00:19.870 --> 00:00:21.390 American Indian and Alaska,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:00:21.390 --> 00:00:23.124 Alaska Native communities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}00{:}23.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.170$ So please put it on your calendar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:00:27.170 --> 00:00:29.792 And today, it's my tremendous pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}00{:}29.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.049$ to introduce to you doctor Fred Schick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}00{:}33.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.330$ Doctor Frederick is associate professor

00:00:35.330 --> 00:00:38.092 in general Pediatrics at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}00{:}38.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.969$ of Washington I at School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.468$ He's the director of the Seattle

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:00:44.468 --> 00:00:46.217 Children's Innovative Innovative

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:46.217 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.236$ Technologies Lab and and Jack and Jack

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:00:49.236 --> 00:00:52.048 faculty here at the Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.738$ He also directs the eye tracking core

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}00{:}54.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.410$ of the Autism Biomarker Consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:00:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.450$ for Clinical Trials program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:01:00.450 --> 00:01:03.146 Now Fred is coming to us from Washington.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:01:03.150 --> 00:01:06.040 But he has a very long history here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.094$ and Fred also has a very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.168$ History of educational history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:13.168 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.309$ So Fred was trained first as a engineer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333 $00:01:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.098$ At Caltech. NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:18.098 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.801$ And then he got his doctoral degree here at

 $00:01:21.801 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.597$ Yale in the Computer science department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.854$ And then both as a pre doctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:26.854 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.820$ and postdoctoral fellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.420$ he received training in developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:01:30.420 --> 00:01:33.020 psychopathology here at the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.480$ Child Study Center in in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.020$ I've known Fred for almost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.456$ I'd like to say 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}01{:}40.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.540$ and Fred is truly a brilliant scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}01{:}43.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.599$ He's well recognized expert in his area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:01:46.600 --> 00:01:49.060 he's very sought after collaborator

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.960$ and I also know him as a very kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}01{:}52.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.480$ generous and humble person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:01:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.336$ Over the past decade or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.220$ Fred has been a leader in the field,

 $00:02:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.118$ that in the field that aims to

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:06.118 \dashrightarrow 00:02:08.829$ bring the cutting edge technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.310$ Into the field of developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:02:11.310 --> 00:02:13.790 disorders in service of improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:13.871 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.619$ diagnostic and the rapeutic services.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:02:16.620 --> 00:02:16.967 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:02:16.967 --> 00:02:19.396 Fred's work in this area is driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:19.396 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.274$ by very deep expertise in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00{:}02{:}22.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.855 \ {\rm of} \ {\rm technological} \ {\rm advances},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:02:23.860 --> 00:02:25.512 computational science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

00:02:25.512 --> 00:02:28.816 statistics and developmental psychopathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.369$ and the latter comes with a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:32.369 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.350$ important recognition or understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.780$ and deep respect for the needs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:37.867 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.744$ hopes of the kids and their families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823045981333333

 $00:02:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.502$ So we are here for a wonderful talk and Fred,

 $00:02:44.502 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.649$ welcome and it's wonderful to have you back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00:03:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.550$ Did I break it? Nope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00:03:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.900$ I broke it. My fault.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

00:03:06.900 --> 00:03:08.046 I don't think it's anyone's fault.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00:03:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.750$ It's Zoom's fault, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00:03:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.230$ There you go. Awesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00:03:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.706$ All right, so I just hit the share button.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00:03:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.546$ We're good, and we're all set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

00:03:16.550 --> 00:03:18.698 Sweet. All right. So thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00{:}03{:}18.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.230$ coach, for that great introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

00:03:20.230 --> 00:03:23.404 It's. Sorry, what did I do there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00{:}03{:}23.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.322$ It's it's really a pleasure to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00{:}03{:}25.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.575$ back and see so many of my favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.710298884

 $00{:}03{:}27.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.460$ people sitting here in the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:03:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.018$ OK. It's all right. All right.

 $00:03:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.567$ All right, so the title of my talk is

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00{:}03{:}38.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.610$ digital divides meets digital bridges

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:03:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.825$ is still breaking it. I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

00:03:42.825 --> 00:03:46.780 I'll. I'll stand over here, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:03:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.600$ And so just to to get started a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:03:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.760$ I'm just going, oh, what happened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:03:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.030$ I have conflicts of interest. Receives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00{:}03{:}57.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.070$ I do some consulting for Janssen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

00:03:59.070 --> 00:04:01.247 I did some consulting for Roche some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

00:04:01.250 --> 00:04:03.294 Just be upfront that anything I talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00{:}04{:}03.294 \longrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.268$ about that has to deal with drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:04:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.050$ just remember the drug money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742478373333333

 $00:04:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.060$ All right, sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:04:11.990 --> 00:04:14.510 Got it. If I wasn't so sleep deprived,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.840$ I would totally remember why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:04:15.840 --> 00:04:17.550 my next slide is all right.

 $00:04:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.210$ So. Give you a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.419$ a a idea of my background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}04{:}23.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.885$ So actually I I started out after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:04:26.885 --> 00:04:28.770 college thinking that I would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.503$ never have anything to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:30.503 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.231$ academics ever again and started my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:32.231 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.440$ career off as a video game programmer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.304$ And you know, I don't know if any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:04:36.304 --> 00:04:38.457 of you know video game programmers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.310$ but it's pretty intensive position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.882$ You burnout really quickly and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}04{:}41.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.969$ didn't realize it at the time but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:43.969 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.849$ completely burned out and I decided to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:45.849 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.579$ do something completely different that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:04:47.580 --> 00:04:49.141 You know, I slept under my desk

00:04:49.141 --> 00:04:50.686 a lot at the Sony PlayStation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:50.686 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.597$ when I was when I was there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.979$ And so it really just decided that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:04:53.979 --> 00:04:55.599 I was going to sleep under my desk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.440$ I would do it for something more worthwhile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.843$ So I went into research just on a lark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:04:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.380$ I actually worked for free for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}01.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.689$ I think it was like six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}02.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.770$ They were really convinced that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:05:03.770 --> 00:05:05.491 I was just going to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}05.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.679$ industry and I really loved it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.213$ And it was at the Huntington Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:05:08.213 --> 00:05:09.400 Research Institutes where I was working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}09.400 --> 00{:}05{:}13.054$ didn't doing some work on. On Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:05:13.054 --> 00:05:17.200 spectroscopy, looking at in vivo, Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.910$ spectroscopy,

 $00:05:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.830$ H11H spectroscopy of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.564$ brain and decided that, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:21.564 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.116$ if I want to keep on doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:23.116 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.329$ research they need to get a PhD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.210$ And I was like, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.032$ I like computers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:26.032 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.950$ so maybe I'll be a computer scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.050$ So join the computer science

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:05:31.050 --> 00:05:32.450 department under Brian Scaletti,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.218$ who is a leader of a social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:35.218 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.408$ robotics laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:05:36.410 --> 00:05:38.349 And the thing that I was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}38.349 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}05{:}40.030$ attracted to for my reason for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}40.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.608$ coming to to Yale was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.886$ He was he really had this belief

00:05:44.886 --> 00:05:47.499 that social robotics could bridge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:47.499 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.279$ between real life problems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.900$ that individuals with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.520$ children with autism had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.855$ and that these technologies could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:05:55.855 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.723$ make a meaningful difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:05:57.730 --> 00:05:59.485 And so my my task at the time was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}05{:}59.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01.081$ to build this visual attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:01.081 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.097$ system for this little baby robot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}03.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.876$ So it was a robot that was meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}04.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.766$ to look like and act like a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{:}854$ And in order to program that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:09.854 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.390$ that baby robots Technic system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}11.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.238$ I came over to the Child Study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:13.238 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.548$ Center and looked sad for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.704$ Must have been like a month

00:06:16.704 --> 00:06:19.562 trying to get some data gaze data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:19.562 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.346$ from actual human babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.103$ And and eventually I met Kasha and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:06:25.103 --> 00:06:27.714 Kasha and I worked out a deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:06:27.720 --> 00:06:31.048 Which was that I was kind of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:31.048 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.920$ I need some data from babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.824$ And she was looking for someone to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:34.824 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.060$ to help set up an eye tracking lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:06:37.060 --> 00:06:38.929 And the two of us started working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}38.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.115$ together and I I think I I that was it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}41.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.360$ That started my entire career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.560$ I never looked back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00:06:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.193$ That was a credit card for the formative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

 $00{:}06{:}46.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.108$ experience of the research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8503326875

00:06:48.108 --> 00:06:50.667 really gave my life's work direction.

 $00:06:50.667 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.950$ And so after all of that working

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}06{:}54.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.750$ in eye tracking and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:06:55.750 --> 00:06:57.500 Working in the field of autism research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:06:57.500 --> 00:06:58.304 eventually, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:06:58.304 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.866$ you know, as things happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}06{:}59.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.260$ came back to thinking about video games.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.644$ And so even though there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:04.644 --> 00:07:06.937 different types of research that I'm really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.251$ really interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:08.251 --> 00:07:10.436 I'm going to focus primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:10.436 --> 00:07:12.133 on technology in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:12.133 --> 00:07:13.771 So now my laboratory in Seattle

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}07{:}13.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.773$ is at the Seattle Children's

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:15.773 --> 00:07:17.450 Innovative Technologies Labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.334$ and we focus on using technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:19.334 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.115$ in order to address real

00:07:21.115 --> 00:07:22.975 problems that individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:22.975 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.835$ developmental disabilities may have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.024$ And so we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:26.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.504$ A wide range of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:27.504 --> 00:07:28.899 types of technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.660$ but most of these technologies focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.922$ around the sole condition of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:33.922 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.980$ So it really is focused on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:07:36.037 --> 00:07:37.469 idea of building technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:37.469 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.259$ to address the core social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.072$ communication and interaction deficits

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:41.072 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.337$ in autism under the consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}07{:}43.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.629$ of the restricted and repetitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:45.629 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.844$ behaviors that define the condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.762$ And who do I have to admit this

 $00:07:49.762 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.240$ person into the waiting room?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:51.240 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.932$ Oh, but I I really feel like I should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.220$ So, so I did all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.810$ So, so why why technology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.956$ Why are we even here talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:58.956 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.338$ about technology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:07:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.252$ Well there's there there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:01.252 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.631$ reasons to believe that the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}04.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.199$ technologies in the rapies and in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:07.199 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.217$ using them to to have some type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}10.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.155$ clinical impact for children with

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:08:12.155 --> 00:08:14.759 autism may be special in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.290$ And the reason why we consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.383$ that it might be special is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:18.383 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.731$ long standing observation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:19.731 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.180$ many children with autism.

00:08:21.180 --> 00:08:22.925 Gravitate towards what we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:22.925 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.670$ think of as physical systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:24.733 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.478$ that are mechanical in nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.726$ that have constructive component to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.668$ Some work that was published quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:08:31.668 --> 00:08:33.928 a quite a while ago by Turner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.969$ Brown and Bodfish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}36.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.964$ Revealed that a majority of children

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}39.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.758$ with ASD had this predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:42.758 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.142$ to be really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}46.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.430$ this category of physics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.910$ which includes things like cranes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}49.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.978$ mechanical functions, trains, Legos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}08{:}52.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.860$ other mechanical systems and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:08:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.170$ The thing about this specific

 $00:09:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.320$ type of interest is that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.564$ really think of it as being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.670$ Used to catalyze additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.245$ types of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.890$ additional types of of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.616$ powerful effects that can lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:14.616 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.268$ positive change in children with ASD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

00:09:17.270 --> 00:09:19.530 So I'm going to start kind of where I began,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.782$ which is in robots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:20.782 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.088$ And the robots that we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}09{:}23.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.559$ when we think about autism are special

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:25.559 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.208$ breed of robots called social robots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.471$ And these are robots that are as

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:30.471 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.578$ compared to like the robots that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:32.578 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.470$ assemble cans and simple cars are ones that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.534$ Are meant to interface with people

 $00:09:37.534 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.949$ in a very natural manner and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:39.949 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.893$ address issues and and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:41.893 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.535$ think of it as a natural interface

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:44.535 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.660$ of social interaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.048$ but also to really focus upon and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}09{:}49.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.419$ sometimes address core interactional

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:51.419 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.739$ questions in atypical development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.828$ So one of the first studies that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:09:57.828 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.016$ involved with was this study social

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00{:}10{:}00.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.786$ robots as embedded reinforcers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:10:01.786 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.507$ social behavior in children with

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:10:03.507 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.920$ with autism and this was really let up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821807727142857

 $00:10:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.340$ By someone who would later be a postdoc in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:08.395 --> 00:10:09.382 lab, Elizabeth Kim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:09.382 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.470$ as well as a host of really talented

 $00{:}10{:}12.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.397$ individuals including Ria Paul

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}10{:}14.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.169$ and of course my advisor Brian

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:17.246 --> 00:10:19.666 Scassellati and this this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.784$ which was one of the first studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:21.790 --> 00:10:24.214 I think it's hard to believe that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:24.214 --> 00:10:26.751 been a decade since it came out really

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:26.751 --> 00:10:29.624 was one of the first show that using

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:29.624 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.970$ a robot in conjunction with another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.218$ Another examiner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:33.218 --> 00:10:36.962 Led to higher rates of communicative

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}10{:}36.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.053$ outputs as compared to if that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:40.053 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.411$ robot was replaced with a person

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:42.411 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.519$ or a computer video game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}10{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.084$ Granted, 10 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:46.084 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.310$ the video games were a lot different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.890$ But this idea that that.

00:10:52.890 --> 00:10:54.230 Robots could be something special.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}10{:}54.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.594$ Technologies could be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:55.594 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.617$ special in individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:10:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.525$ Autism really is is exemplified

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:10:58.525 --> 00:11:01.483 by now a whole host of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:01.483 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.427$ types of research studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:03.430 --> 00:11:06.223 But I'll give you one example which

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:06.223 --> 00:11:08.459 is work done by Laura Buchan Fuso,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}11{:}08.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.723$ who was in my lab and now leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:10.723 --> 00:11:12.518 a company called Von Robotics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:12.520 --> 00:11:14.785 which is focused on building

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:14.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.144$ robots for education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}11{:}16.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.175$ OK, so this is a simple study where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:20.175 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.640$ child is given this task of correcting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249 00:11:23.640 --> 00:11:25.670 Um. NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

19

 $00:11:25.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.475$ Actual mistakes in the conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:28.475 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.520$ by the experimenter and or or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.212$ interactional partner and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:33.212 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.580$ And you can see that that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:35.655 --> 00:11:38.665 case where Laura plays the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:38.665 --> 00:11:40.463 the interactional partner, this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}11{:}40.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.978$ this child is wholly uninterested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:42.980 --> 00:11:46.860 Now if we replace Laura with this robot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.836$ and this is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:11:48.836 --> 00:11:51.306 Really simple robot called LED,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.452$ a big characteristic of LE that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:55.452 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.476$ actually has a mouth that moves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:11:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.354$ And the reason why we created robot with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}12{:}01.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.853$ the physical mouth was because we knew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.894$ We knew at the time through a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}12{:}05.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.151$ the work that Kasha and I had

00:12:08.151 --> 00:12:09.871 been doing together that the mouth

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:09.871 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.768$ is actually an area of really key

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:12:11.770 --> 00:12:13.840 importance in terms of the looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}12{:}13.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.680$ patterns of children with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.599$ So you can see they start difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:12:18.600 --> 00:12:19.064 You're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:19.064 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.456$ The child's face lights up when

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:12:20.456 --> 00:12:22.109 he sees that robot begins to talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.576$ and it really tells you something

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:24.576 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.830$ about the a very different kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}12{:}27.830 \to 00{:}12{:}31.393$ interaction that is catalyzed by this robot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.035$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:32.035 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.210$ Since that time, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.980$ a lot of additional work has

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.850$ come out in in robotics,

 $00:12:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.890$ the use of social robotics

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.722$ with children with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.207$ And it's gotten to the point where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:12:42.210 --> 00:12:42.548 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:42.548 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.900$ for a long time we were talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:43.938 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.090$ what the evidence will get here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.950$ The evidence will get here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.580$ And well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00{:}12{:}46.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.470$ I think now finally the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:12:48.470 --> 00:12:50.592 is starting to mount and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:50.592 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.666$ really becoming powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.302$ And then it's believable that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:54.302 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.689$ really something special about social robots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.500$ So this is a study that was done in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:12:59.574 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.526$ Netherlands by Van Vanden Brooks Meekins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:13:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.746$ who's had to pause.

 $00:13:03.746 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.946$ With that name so long names and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:13:05.946 --> 00:13:08.202 this was a study that basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:13:08.202 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.400$ looked at pivotal response treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:13:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.070$ with and without robots kind of as

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:13:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.430$ a addition to the intervention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:13:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.918$ when the robot was in the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

 $00:13:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.056$ What happened was that we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.76407249

00:13:24.056 --> 00:13:25.480 that the primary outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}13{:}25.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.882$ measure which was apparent reported SRS

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:13:27.882 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.718$ ended up being much lower at the follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:13:30.718 --> 00:13:32.845 up as compared to both the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}13{:}32.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.285$ where there was no robot and the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}13{:}35.285 \to 00{:}13{:}37.437$ where there was just treatment as usual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:13:37.440 --> 00:13:39.864 Now something to be to note here if

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:13:39.864 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.934$ you're carefully looking at those graph

 $00:13:41.934 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.034$ is that there's no difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:13:44.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.971$ the PRT line and the treatment as

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:13:45.971 --> 00:13:48.220 usual and so that is a sticking point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:13:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.940$ but as it turns out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:13:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.500$ The studies of treatment as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:13:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.617$ as all of us know, are very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:13:55.617 --> 00:13:58.620 now than they were ten years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:13:58.620 --> 00:14:01.833 And so the treatment as usual actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}14{:}01.833 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}05.206$ also contained a lot of active ingredients

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:14:05.206 --> 00:14:08.819 that are probably pieces of PRT as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}14{:}08.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.532$ But the key thing here really was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:14:11.532 --> 00:14:14.770 this is some of the first evidence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.094$ a really nicely controlled randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:17.094 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.640$ controlled trial that there are improvements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.986$ Greater gains by children who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:14:22.986 --> 00:14:25.807 in pure T condition with robots

 $00:14:25.807 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.577$ augmenting the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}14{:}27.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.196$ The way that these robots worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:30.196 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.521$ with the the child was that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:14:33.521 --> 00:14:36.268 was apparent directed at PRT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:14:36.268 --> 00:14:39.454 our parent parent pivotal response training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:39.454 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.520$ and the robot was used in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:42.601 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.019$ to model the types of behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}14{:}45.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.666$ That's the things that should be rewarded

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}14{:}47.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.130$ and how to play these games with children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.685$ As a way of illustrating how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

00:14:53.685 --> 00:14:55.935 games should happen and another thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:14:55.935 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.222$ that was was impressive about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00{:}14{:}58.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.756$ study was that we actually saw a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:15:00.828 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.324$ greater proportion of children in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:15:03.324 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.890$ PRT plus robot condition who showed

 $00:15:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.040$ a positive improvements in the ados 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.858354855333333

 $00:15:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.699$ severity scores at at the end point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.242$ So the thing to notice here is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:15:16.242 --> 00:15:18.653 we're talking about like this key, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:18.653 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.218$ inherent motivational property of robots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.196$ that this is really beyond what we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:15:23.196 --> 00:15:25.147 think of as a simple reinforcer, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:25.147 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.876$ Like this is not just something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:15:26.876 --> 00:15:28.879 a child really wants to interact with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.398$ and so we'll do something in

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}15{:}30.398 \to 00{:}15{:}31.959$ order to interact with the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.459$ The difference here is that the robot

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:34.459 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.386$ can actually carry specific information

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}15{:}36.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.151$ that is key to delivering a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:39.151 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.720$ type of training that is instrumental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}15{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.832$ In the ultimate positive gains that

00:15:43.832 --> 00:15:46.319 we want children with autism to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}15{:}46.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.353$ through as they go through these

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:48.353 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.179$ different types of interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.876$ And really this doesn't just apply to robots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.776$ Technologies in general can carry more

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:57.776 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.619$ of these active ingredients of therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:15:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.080$ which is the question of how do we do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}16{:}02.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.879$ So another type of platform that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}16{:}03.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.849$ can think of as perhaps inherently

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:16:05.849 --> 00:16:07.754 motivating to children with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:16:07.760 --> 00:16:09.600 individuals with autism in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.923$ are video games.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:16:10.923 --> 00:16:15.255 And so there is now a lot of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:15.255 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.716$ to suggest that video games that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:18.716 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.350$ intended for the purpose of remediation

00:16:21.431 --> 00:16:24.342 of skills or psychosocial education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}16{:}24.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.947$ or specific addressing of areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:28.947 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.169$ of of areas of performance that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:16:32.169 --> 00:16:34.310 individuals with autism have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}16{:}34.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.920$ that these serious schemes can

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.530$ provide some type of improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.682$ And here's just the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:40.682 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.629$ Kind of a panel of a few different examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:43.629 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.208$ and so for instance on the left we have a a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:16:47.210 --> 00:16:50.702 a face, a face processing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:50.702 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.030$ face information processing game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333 00:16:53.030 --> 00:16:56.030 And. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.809$ We see positive gains in the SRS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:16:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.919$ This is also a randomized control trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.997$ See positive gains in the in the s s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.060$ two parent totals T scores as

 $00:17:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.928$ compared to in the experimental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:12.928 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.240$ You know, randomized to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}17{:}16.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.280$ Randomized to this this face face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.927$ say training game as compared to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:21.927 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.718$ control group who was basically given a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:17:25.720 --> 00:17:30.780 A drawing activity on a on a tablet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.170$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.340$ Here's another example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.680$ This is a connect video game

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}17{:}34.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.940$ where actually there is a mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333 00:17:36.940 --> 00:17:37.618 OK great, NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:37.618 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.652$ a connect video game which really

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:17:39.652 --> 00:17:41.399 focuses on a lot of joints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.478$ play skills and single subject design.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:17:44.480 --> 00:17:46.455 Showing good improvements in performance

 $00:17:46.455 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.554$ of the task was meant so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:49.554 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.309$ the performance would increase as

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:17:51.309 --> 00:17:53.606 they were able to accomplish more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:17:53.606 --> 00:17:56.792 more of the tasks in the in the game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:17:56.792 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.270$ And this is a whoops this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}17{:}59.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.707$ Is a more recent report by Jason

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}18{:}02.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.546$ Griffin and Suzanne Scherff and Jason

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:18:05.546 --> 00:18:09.090 I don't know if Jason is is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:18:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.530$ Jason good to see you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

00:18:10.530 --> 00:18:12.900 But I specifically put this into

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:18:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.584$ to highlight what an awe some job he

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}18{:}15.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18.258$ did on this study and you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}18{:}18.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.538$ is this is I think one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:18:21.538 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.535$ examples of using a serious game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:18:23.535 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.065$ We call them serious games because

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:18:25.065 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.851$ the goal isn't to just have fun the

 $00:18:26.851 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.591$ game the goal is to provide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}18{:}28.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.670$ the rapeutic or some. Alternative gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}18{:}32.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.302$ It's one of the first examples to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00:18:35.302 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.758$ show that the application of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815455183333333

 $00{:}18{:}37.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.670$ these games can lead to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:18:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.052$ just basic attention in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:18:44.052 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.388$ terms of really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:18:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.145$ Skills for for focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:18:50.145 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.349$ gaze correctly at stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:18:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.958$ So really, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:18:53.958 --> 00:18:56.870 You know, a lot of evidence amounting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:18:56.870 --> 00:18:59.370 Again, just like with robotics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}18{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.602$ you know, ten years ago I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:00.602 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.789$ have looked at this literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.700$ You could read any review.

00:19:02.700 --> 00:19:03.520 And that review would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}19{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.790$ like we need more information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.828$ the data is not good enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.240$ the studies are too small and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.830$ that's really not the case anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:08.830 --> 00:19:11.574 We're really seeing kind of a robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:11.574 --> 00:19:13.800 literature now with meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:13.800 --> 00:19:16.080 really formal mental analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:16.080 --> 00:19:19.280 suggesting that there's these really real,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:19.280 --> 00:19:21.030 there's real utility in deploying

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}19{:}21.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.430$ these serious game interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.940$ The effects are relatively modest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.380$ G of .42 in this meta analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:28.380 --> 00:19:31.376 11 studies involving 654 children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:31.376 --> 00:19:33.110 but 654 children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.944$ this is a really different place than

 $00:19:34.944 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.857$ we were five years ago and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:36.857 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.730$ 10 years ago where we would see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818 00:19:38.730 --> 00:19:39.200 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.610$ maybe if we were to count

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:40.610 --> 00:19:41.880 up everyone added together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.436$ you might see like 80 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.753$ So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}19{:}44.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.631$ so that that's really impressive now

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:46.631 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.168$ that we have this idea that that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:49.168 --> 00:19:51.300 are things that can really work now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:19:51.300 --> 00:19:53.148 the next hurdle that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:53.148 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.238$ go through in terms of understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}19{:}55.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}19{:}57.454$ How to where to go with these video games

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}19{:}57.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.505$ is how do we actually develop these

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:19:59.505 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.788$ video games so that they are effective.

 $00:20:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.506$ And so a recent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}20{:}03.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.080$ you know these these two studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:06.167 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.810$ came out at kind of a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.830$ bit far apart from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.250$ But this this second study here

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.634$ focused on kind of a slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}20{:}14.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.500$ different set of games but focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:16.566 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.869$ more on what are the components that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}20{:}18.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}613$ are associated with these positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:20:20.613 --> 00:20:23.042 gains and what they found was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:23.042 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.260$ adherence to what they call social.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:20:25.260 --> 00:20:26.193 Serious game principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818 00:20:26.193 --> 00:20:26.504 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:26.504 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.696$ These are these rules of how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:20:28.696 --> 00:20:30.298 you build a game that's engaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.508$ that will actually challenge the child?

 $00:20:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.910$ Adherence to these rules was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818 00:20:37.910 --> 00:20:38.650 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.500$ perhaps not unexpectedly associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.087$ how well these these systems would work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}20{:}43.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.586$ And so we're starting to get some information

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:45.586 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.770$ about what are the active ingredients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:20:47.770 --> 00:20:49.630 And it's important to note though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.990$ that there is of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.886$ from the other side of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.914$ this really well known series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:20:55.914 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.477$ findings that video games can

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:20:58.477 --> 00:21:01.237 be actually a source of concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}21{:}01.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.384$ and difficulty in terms of play

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:04.384 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.616$ until obsessive play excessive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:06.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.772$ And so these kind of serious game principles

00:21:08.772 --> 00:21:10.719 have to be balanced against those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.030$ those critical and unique characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.012$ of individuals with autism as we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:16.012 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.133$ about how we want to deploy them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:21:18.140 --> 00:21:18.520 Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:21:18.520 --> 00:21:20.040 Thinking about video games,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.522$ of course brings us into kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:21:21.522 --> 00:21:23.232 of this wider space of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}21{:}23.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.230$ about all these different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.753$ mobile applications that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}21{:}26.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.355$ leveraged in order to promote skill

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:28.355 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.470$ gains in individuals with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.770$ And the most obvious interactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.179$ technology is perhaps one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:35.179 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.679$ oldest technologies which are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00{:}21{:}36.680 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}21{:}38.396$ Our augmentative and alternative

 $00:21:38.396 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.541$ communication systems and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

 $00:21:40.541 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.179$ just an example of a simple speech

NOTE Confidence: 0.912591203181818

00:21:43.179 --> 00:21:44.607 generating device per loquito

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:21:44.668 --> 00:21:47.155 go. It's probably the most successful

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:21:47.155 --> 00:21:49.460 AC digital system out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:21:49.460 --> 00:21:53.817 And again, you know the knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:21:53.817 --> 00:21:56.316 that we have about these systems has

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:21:56.316 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.931$ just really increased tremendously

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:21:57.931 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.427$ over these last last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}22{:}00.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04.453$ This study I like a lot involves 9 single

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:22:04.453 --> 00:22:08.308 case studies involving n = 36 children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:22:08.310 --> 00:22:10.538 which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}22{:}10.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.766$ relatively modest but the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.752$ But the take away from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:15.752 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.679$ really kind of spoke to what?

 $00:22:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.900$ Is unique about these technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}22{:}20.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.594$ based AAC systems which is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:23.594 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.982$ most of the participants who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:25.982 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.538$ in this cohort preferred the SG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:22:28.540 --> 00:22:30.496 these speech generating devices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:30.496 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.941$ these digital speech generating devices

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}22{:}32.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.649$ but also performed better as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:35.649 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.166$ to standard picture exchange with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}22{:}38.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.245$ cards and as well as manual sign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:22:40.250 --> 00:22:46.474 A more recent study that came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}22{:}46.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.766$ recently was actually a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.080$ Of a much larger cohort and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:22:55.080 --> 00:22:56.560 I forget the total number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.884$ but there was I think 114 participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:22:58.884 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.236$ and largely found the same types

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:01.236 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.392$ of results that that there seems

 $00{:}23{:}03.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.978$ to be some type of advantage for

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}23{:}05.978 {\: -->\:} 00{:}23{:}08.198$ augmentative these digital augmentative

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:08.198 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.418$ alternative communication systems so

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:10.418 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.274$ that that particular meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:14.274 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.609$ didn't distinguish between what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:16.609 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.289$ called low tech versus high tech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.530$ AC systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}23{:}21.530 \to 00{:}23{:}24.506$ Now I think one of the most compelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.294$ Pieces of evidence about the unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:23:27.294 --> 00:23:30.235 nature of these digital AC systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:23:30.235 --> 00:23:32.810 and AC systems in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}23{:}32.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.126$ comes from the work from Connie

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}23{:}35.126 \to 00{:}23{:}37.129$ Kasari and colleagues looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:23:37.129 --> 00:23:39.481 the impact of including a speech

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:39.481 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.727$ generating device together with a

 $00:23:41.727 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.227$ naturalistic developmental behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:43.227 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.727$ intervention in children with ASD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:23:45.730 --> 00:23:47.998 And it was a it was a well powered

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}23{:}47.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.269$ randomized controlled trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:49.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.145$ 30 children who were basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}23{:}52.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.445$ given the enhancement blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:23:54.450 --> 00:23:57.540 Winning plus Jasper and then 31

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.808$ children who were given the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:23:59.808 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.967$ except with a speech generating device

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:01.967 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.585$ and the the critical thing that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:04.649 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.442$ can see here this is total social

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:07.442 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.754$ communicative utterances shown on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}24{:}09.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.653$ Y axis that overtime that's the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:24:13.660 --> 00:24:16.228 You know the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:24:16.230 --> 00:24:18.828 The group without the speech generating

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:24:18.828 --> 00:24:20.127 device essentially increases,

 $00:24:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.270$ improves as we would expect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.582$ but the gains that we see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:24:25.582 --> 00:24:27.397 speech generating device group

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:27.397 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.533$ are much are much larger at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}24{:}30.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.640$ beginning though they end up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:24:32.640 --> 00:24:34.980 But Connie Cassari is is a quick to

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.428$ note that they end up at the same place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551 00:24:37.430 --> 00:24:37.830 So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.630$ So there are some gains in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.113$ of the speed at which learning is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:24:44.113 --> 00:24:46.530 taking place by the incorporation

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.830$ of these speech generating devices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.242$ You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:49.242 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.890$ I think that we'd all love to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:50.942 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.402$ more information about this and

 $00:24:52.402 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.225$ try to really understand what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:54.225 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.453$ the fundamental mechanisms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:55.453 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.332$ are underlying this boost in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:57.332 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.384$ learning and perhaps maybe we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:24:59.384 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.958$ even make this more effective we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

00:25:01.960 --> 00:25:04.156 Maybe even cut off the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:04.156 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.620$ shorter in this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.755$ So some when we think about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}25{:}07.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.119$ advantages that are appearing it's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.990$ it's one thing to think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00{:}25{:}12.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.059$ inherent increased interest as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:15.059 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.591$ catalyst for increased engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:16.591 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.842$ which leads to you know as we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:19.842 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.897$ greater efficacy in treatment response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.442$ But it's also another to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.78128551

 $00:25:23.442 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.470$ of perhaps that there

00:25:24.525 --> 00:25:26.160 are specific types of mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.417$ specific ways that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:25:27.417 --> 00:25:29.931 Children with autism may think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:29.931 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.989$ may lend themselves to technologies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:32.989 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.549$ especially these really visual these

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:35.623 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.003$ stimulating technologies like tablets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:25:38.003 --> 00:25:41.294 mobile or video games and mobile apps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:41.294 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.006$ And so one idea comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:44.006 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.728$ actually a host of literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}25{:}46.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.010$ but I think that's my colleague Kunda and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.625$ Ashok goal actually really summarized

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:50.625 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.928$ this and kind of a formal theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:25:52.930 --> 00:25:55.138 this idea that many more many

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:55.138 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.460$ children with autism are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:25:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.405$ visual learners than they are.

00:25:59.410 --> 00:26:02.450 Certainly auditory learners right there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.014$ they're particular learning style

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:04.014 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.861$ is really one that may deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:06.861 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.160$ very concrete imagery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.776$ And so we can leverage this to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:10.776 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.625$ about other strategies in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}26{:}12.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.010$ we can take this perhaps natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.050$ predisposition towards visual thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}26{:}17.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.260$ and apply this to app development

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.600$ to address specific skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.718$ This is a project called speech

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:24.718 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.534$ prompts that was launched together

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:26.534 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.914$ with the Real Paul and Liz Simmons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.430$ Liz Simmons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.215$ He was the one who was the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.798$ the, the I would say she,

 $00:26:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.140$ she was she was the boss of the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.756$ and incredible incredible thinker

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:36.756 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.165$ about how we could design apps and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:40.165 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.120$ I think the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.298$ Purpose of speech prompts this program

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:26:45.298 --> 00:26:47.540 speech prompts was to address pros

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.718$ the issues in children with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:26:49.720 --> 00:26:51.232 Prosody being the way that you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:26:51.232 --> 00:26:52.434 something and so perhaps you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:52.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.704$ speaking too loud you're speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:26:53.704 --> 00:26:55.342 too much in a monotone kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:26:55.342 --> 00:26:56.377 like I'm doing right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}26{:}56.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.965$ It's like the heads nodding

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:26:57.965 --> 00:26:59.233 I'm sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:26:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.320$ That's just the way I speak the

 $00{:}27{:}03.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.295$ OR or maybe you're it's it's too

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}27{:}06.295 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}27{:}09.023$ excessive you might be using too

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}27{:}09.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.990$ much inflection and so speech

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.090$ prop was really meant to take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.743$ These concepts that like how how would

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:15.743 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.900$ you teach someone that you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.300$ The pitch is too high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.050$ You give examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:27:20.050 --> 00:27:21.300 What if you don't really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:27:21.300 --> 00:27:22.800 you can't really hear those books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908 00:27:22.800 --> 00:27:23.027 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:27:23.027 --> 00:27:24.616 Like that's the whole problem is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:24.616 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.375$ you don't hear it in the same way

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:27:26.375 --> 00:27:27.494 that a neurotypical would, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:27.494 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.412$ And so this really exists as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:29.412 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.157$ alternative way to visualize these

00:27:31.157 --> 00:27:32.606 really difficult concepts, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:32.606 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.442$ To take things that are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:34.442 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.692$ ephemeral in nature, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:35.692 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.020$ Like this, this utterance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.694$ a sound way that we speak very

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:39.694 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.320$ short lasting and time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.464$ And to give it a concrete form to

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:27:42.464 --> 00:27:43.978 give it something that you could point to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.332$ just give an example that is reproducible

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:46.332 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.840$ that the child can also participate in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:27:48.840 --> 00:27:49.893 Himself or herself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:49.893 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.548$ so that they, he,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}27{:}51.548 --> 00{:}27{:}53.940$ he or she can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.075$ Experiment with these parameters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:27:56.075 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.596$ learn these mappings on their own

 $00:27:58.596 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.124$ using the set of technologies as an aid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:28:01.130 --> 00:28:04.186 The result of a kind of a preliminary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:28:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.690$ pretty small feasibility study involving

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:28:06.690 --> 00:28:09.190 10 speech language pathologists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:28:09.265 --> 00:28:11.770 40 children special education program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}28{:}11.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.210$ all with prosody difficulties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:28:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.010$ but not all with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:28:15.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.954$ I think the majority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00{:}28{:}15.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.862$ about 80% of them had autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:28:17.862 --> 00:28:20.010 showed really high levels of engagement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:28:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.606$ compliance and use of the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:28:21.610 --> 00:28:22.604 And so that was a good thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

00:28:22.610 --> 00:28:22.834 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:28:22.834 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.954$ That's actually the the critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.836457908

 $00:28:23.954 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.850$ part when you're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:24.887 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.748$ about these technologies.

00:28:25.750 --> 00:28:27.686 Find them, because if no one's using them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.810$ then they're not going to work right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:28.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.488$ Like that's the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:29.488 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.070$ So that that is the first thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:31.121 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.620$ that you need to measure, and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.580$ What the children do is of course important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.631$ but even more important was that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}28{:}38.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.852$ speech language pathologists felt

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:39.852 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.317$ very positive about these systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.260$ Because even more important than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.220$ children enjoying themselves are

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:46.292 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.066$ that the interventionists think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}28{:}48.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.076$ these technologies are of value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.439$ otherwise these things will not get used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:28:52.440 --> 00:28:54.900 In terms of the actual prosodic

00:28:54.900 --> 00:28:57.051 qualities of speech, we saw essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}28{:}57.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.279$ improvements across the board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:28:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.789$ which was exactly what we want to see so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.770$ So these apps, these apps that

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:29:04.770 --> 00:29:06.090 that capture visual information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:29:06.090 --> 00:29:07.158 that are transformative

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:07.158 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.226$ of visual information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.831$ they are of course really powerful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:29:10.831 --> 00:29:13.614 but we can even go simpler if we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:13.614 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.469$ think about using visual information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.685$ And here is an example that actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.463$ I. I'm going to date myself, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231 00:29:23.463 --> 00:29:23.969 But like, NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}29{:}23.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.740$ I was in middle school at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:25.802 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.546$ first of these studies, 1986.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:27.546 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.926$ All right, so, so weirdly,

00:29:29.930 --> 00:29:33.098 we've been thinking about this technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}29{:}33.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.516$ which simple technology called

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:29:35.516 --> 00:29:38.128 video modeling for, oh, gosh, 86.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:38.128 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.341$ What is that, 14?

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:29:39.341 --> 00:29:41.396 I don't know, several years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.005$ 30, several decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:43.005 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.680$ we'll call it several decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.907$ So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:45.907 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.723$ so long ago that they used these videotapes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.758$ Do you do you know that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:29:49.758 --> 00:29:51.630 guys all know videotapes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}29{:}51.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.622$ I'm sad because I know there's some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}29{:}53.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.689$ you who have never seen a video tape.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}29{:}55.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.562$ My daughters made fun of me when they saw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:29:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.340$ saw our collection of videotapes

 $00:29:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.110$ that my my mother's house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.350$ She asked, you know if a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:30:04.350 --> 00:30:07.640 If I had a pet dinosaur at that time in US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.194$ Yeah, I have to admit that I did so, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:30:11.194 --> 00:30:12.690 You know, we've been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.219$ we've been thinking about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:30:15.219 --> 00:30:17.493 the simple uses of technology using

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:17.493 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.760$ technologies for a long time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}30{:}19.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.530$ order to leverage this specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:30:21.530 --> 00:30:24.071 property of being able to process

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}30{:}24.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.184$ information visually more readily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:26.184 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.694$ more facilely than in traditional

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:28.694 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.053$ ways that that teaching may be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:31.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.812$ And there's a now a really large body

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:30:34.812 --> 00:30:37.504 of evidence suggesting, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}30{:}37.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39.618$ as we expect that there are some

 $00:30:39.618 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.018$ really positive gains to be had through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.314$ Video using using video based modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:45.314 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.010$ as compared to traditional traditional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.150$ Teaching methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.430$ but also in combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.582$ There's no reason why you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:54.582 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.829$ to pick one or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.062$ And I'm going to give you also this

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:30:58.062 \dashrightarrow 00:30:59.710$ simple example that we did together

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:30:59.710 --> 00:31:01.612 with Ben Popper who is at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:31:01.612 --> 00:31:03.122 the Department of Dentistry while

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}31{:}03.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.906$ while I was here at Yale and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00{:}31{:}06.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.770$ Kelly Powell and and Fred Volkmar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:31:10.770 --> 00:31:12.968 This is just a simple example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:31:12.968 --> 00:31:15.656 of of kind of video modeling and

 $00:31:15.656 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.741$ this was a toothbrushing video

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:31:17.741 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.839$ that was shown to children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:31:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.930$ Basically of over three weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:31:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.020$ every time the child brushed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:31:24.020 --> 00:31:27.446 And Kelly Kelly, are you here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231 00:31:27.450 --> 00:31:28.110 No Kelly. NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:31:28.110 --> 00:31:28.770 So Kelly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:31:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.176$ Kelly was the one who told us

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:31:31.176 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.270$ that this actually you know so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

 $00:31:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.758$ So this study was a was

NOTE Confidence: 0.778099210769231

00:31:34.758 --> 00:31:35.750 also a controlled trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:31:35.812 --> 00:31:38.170 right. So one group of kids received

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.884$ this this toothbrushing video and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}31{:}39.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.942$ another kid was another group of kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:41.942 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.320$ was asked to watch a controlled video

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}31{:}44.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.050$ involving fractals and some some

 $00:31:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.786$ sound almost like it was meditative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.212$ And and what we see here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:51.212 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.897$ the the week week one week two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.685$ week three and the plaque index is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:55.685 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.866$ shown on the Y index and you can just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:31:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.090$ See this kind of strong structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.500$ that's appears in this toothbrushing

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}32{:}02.500 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.265$ video presentation at as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:05.265 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.477$ to the fractal movie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.036$ And the reason why I want to highlight this

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:32:10.036 --> 00:32:12.799 is that if you if you look at these right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.933$ this is a simple one minute video, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}32{:}15.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18.124$ And Kelly Powell at the time conveyed

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:18.124 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.917$ to us that one of the parents in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:20.917 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.692$ study after being through this was was

 $00:32:23.692 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.191$ in the experimental arm acknowledged

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:32:26.191 --> 00:32:28.146 that she basically she said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.398$ You saved my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:29.398 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.547$ This was such a a big ordeal for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:32.547 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.935$ every night and this really helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:32:34.935 --> 00:32:37.677 us get through and learn how to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.840$ Get through brushing without incident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}32{:}39.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.072$ And so I mean we think about these as

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}32{:}42.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.901$ kind of like a a trivial exercises,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364 00:32:43.901 --> 00:32:44.228 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:44.228 \dashrightarrow 00:32:46.940$ Like this is a simple thing to do as

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:32:46.940 --> 00:32:48.538 as you can imagine running an actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:32:48.538 --> 00:32:50.480 study is of course more work than, than,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.000$ than it looks like from the outside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.756$ but in terms of just small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:32:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.376$ Types of studies that we do,

 $00:32:59.380 \dashrightarrow 00:33:02.996$ small activities that we can do that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:02.996 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.252$ elicit real gains in a family's well-being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}33{:}05.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.624$ I mean I think that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:06.624 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.784$ the exactly the types of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:07.784 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.039$ that we should be doing more of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.840$ And in fact if we go out and go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:33:10.894 --> 00:33:13.155 YouTube and you start searching for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}33{:}13.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.920$ these different types of activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.937$ for instance toileting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:33:15.937 --> 00:33:18.766 to ileting is of course one of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:18.766 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.204$ really difficult areas for for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:33:21.204 --> 00:33:23.259 families with children with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}33{:}23.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.140$ you will find a lot of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.025$ content and the problem that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:27.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.878$ It's not that that's the content isn't out

00:33:29.878 --> 00:33:31.405 there. It's one of the logistics, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:31.405 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.880$ Which content do we use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.294$ How do we find what we need?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:34.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.340$ How do we what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.900$ What are the strategies that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:36.963 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.823$ deploy these with our kids and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:33:38.823 --> 00:33:40.570 know that something's not working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.754$ that we need to switch to something else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:33:41.760 --> 00:33:44.298 How do we start to get a sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:33:44.298 --> 00:33:46.660 what will work for our children?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.540$ And you know the the world is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.334$ different from those 1986 studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:51.334 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.798$ So in the the studies even even

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}33{:}53.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.500$ as late as in in the year 2000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.752$ they were really there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:33:57.752 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.454$ There was a line in one of the

 $00:34:00.454 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.339$ probably the most cited video

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:34:02.339 --> 00:34:04.210 modeling paper which which actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}34{:}04.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.430$ considered how much does it cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:34:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.658$ in order to film these videos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

00:34:08.660 --> 00:34:10.524 And we don't even think about that now

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:34:10.524 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.357$ because we know that it's pennies and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:34:12.357 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.367$ can tell you that with the the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00{:}34{:}14.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.993$ of selfies that my teenage daughters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823782573636364

 $00:34:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.108$ It's just like it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.315$ It would have been much

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:20.315 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.279$ more expensive back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:34:21.280 --> 00:34:23.968 So, so these are things that have changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}34{:}23.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.898$ the landscape has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.646$ We have these changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:28.646 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.236$ convenience and the cost of

 $00:34:30.236 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.688$ both consumption and creation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}34{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.090$ And so we can generate all these videos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.445$ right? Like this simple video

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:35.445 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.182$ of toothbrushing, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:36.182 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.334$ They made such a big difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.167$ Of course, it was created by experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.159$ And that's The thing is it's we we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:34:41.159 --> 00:34:43.508 a really big problem figuring out how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}34{:}43.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.589$ get that content into the right place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.046$ And so that is one thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:34:47.046 --> 00:34:48.389 we really need to address is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}34{:}48.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.514$ is figuring out ways of organizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}34{:}50.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.513$ information so that we can share

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:52.513 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.593$ them and so that we can start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:54.665 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.410$ help parents identify on their own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.910$ Because the.

 $00:34:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.434$ The problems that that parents are

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:34:58.434 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.023$ having are sometimes they're quick, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:00.023 --> 00:35:01.438 Like this, this just happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.388$ started happening now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:02.388 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.336$ Maybe it's it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.656$ maybe it's gone in a few weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:04.660 --> 00:35:05.484 but if it's not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:05.484 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.219$ you're going to have a really hard time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}35{:}07.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.209$ These are things that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}35{:}10.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.840$ develop better infrastructure for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.716$ So thinking about video modeling takes us

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:13.716 \dashrightarrow 00:35:15.966$ to kind of a next set of technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}35{:}15.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.638$ And these are kind of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:17.638 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.194$ recent technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.480$ They are well explored,

 $00:35:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.400$ but they're not used that often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:21.400 --> 00:35:23.248 I don't know how many of you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:23.248 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.159$ home and put on a virtual reality

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:25.159 --> 00:35:27.190 system just to relax or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:27.190 --> 00:35:29.310 The truth is that it's super weird, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:29.310 --> 00:35:30.510 Like, you're sitting there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:35:30.510 --> 00:35:32.346 everyone's like, what are you doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.966$ Feel funny, people are coming in behind you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.535$ But there's a real purpose

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:38.535 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.474$ to these technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.416$ and we think about in terms of therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.552$ especially for therapy in individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:44.552 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.690$ may have broader attentional difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.300$ One is that it really does

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.559$ reduce distractions, increasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222 00:35:51.559 --> 00:35:52.337 you know,

 $00:35:52.337 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.282$ consequently increasing focus and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:54.282 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.068$ other is that they really can provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:57.068 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.624$ these really controlled environments

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:35:58.624 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.909$ to deliver really specific content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.571$ And again the field is maturing A33

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:04.571 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.076$ studies now with involving over

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.100$ 540 individuals with ASD a large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:36:11.100 --> 00:36:13.380 Gee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.396$ from the meta analysis that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}36{:}15.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.957$ shown here is an especially in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:17.957 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.249$ impact seems to be especially strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:20.249 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.312$ for things like daily living skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}36{:}22.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.130$ So these these what we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:24.188 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.760$ consider these more mundane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.560$ but we know they're anything but

 $00:36:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.160$ mundane for individuals with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}36{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.680$ That seems to be where these virtual

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.559$ reality systems really are shining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.892$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:33.892 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.884$ Some from virtual reality is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:35.884 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.784$ even newer type of technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:37.784 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.452$ called augmented augmented reality

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:39.452 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.840$ and this is one that many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:36:41.840 --> 00:36:44.576 many people probably haven't experienced yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.335$ Augmented reality works a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}36{:}46.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.090$ like virtual reality except that

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:48.148 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.954$ you are still in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00{:}36{:}49.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.291$ So basically you could put on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:52.291 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.067$ for instance the Microsoft HoloLens

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:54.067 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.891$ is a is a really nice example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.220$ of augmented reality systems where

 $00:36:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.992$ basically you put them on and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:36:59.992 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.406$ can actually see the scene in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:37:01.406 --> 00:37:02.901 of you can see everything in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

00:37:02.901 --> 00:37:04.984 of you and what the technology does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222 00:37:04.984 --> 00:37:05.820 it allows. NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:37:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.450$ The computers that are embedded

NOTE Confidence: 0.887733002222222

 $00:37:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.080$ onto these systems to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}37{:}09.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.369$ artificial objects artificial basically to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:11.369 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.059$ manipulate the environment in front of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.943$ And that creates an entirely new way

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:37:15.943 --> 00:37:17.913 of thinking about how we can address

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:17.913 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.830$ interventions that kind of has, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}37{:}19.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.950$ one of the one of the concerns about

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:22.015 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.055$ something like virtual reality is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:24.055 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.520$ it's not really life like in some ways,

00:37:26.520 --> 00:37:28.340 right, especially if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.160$ talking about computer avatars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.896$ But you know you're surrounded by system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:31.896 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.411$ you know that the environment around you

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:33.411 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.618$ is not real and you can't also, you also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:35.618 \dashrightarrow 00:37:36.954$ Aren't interacting with other

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:36.954 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.178$ people in kind of the live fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:39.178 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.103$ way that you would in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.550$ So there's a generalization

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.710$ barrier that exists there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}37{:}44.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.420$ And so augmented reality may

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.130$ help us get around that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:37:48.130 --> 00:37:50.398 So I'll give you a really quick

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:50.398 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.480$ example that we did in our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.728$ just focused on on augmented reality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273 00:37:56.730 --> 00:37:57.316 And this,

 $00:37:57.316 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.367$ this is kind of a simple task

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:37:59.367 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.112$ where an experimenter is trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:01.112 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.582$ to engage the child and the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:03.582 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.538$ is wearing this one of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:05.540 \dashrightarrow 00:38:08.564$ The hollow lenses and some that was a test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.670$ This is that was the baseline task

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.248$ where basically you know there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:12.248 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.114$ just the the experimenter trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}38{:}14.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.332$ to get the attention trying to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}38{:}16.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.595$ interact with the child and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:19.595 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.970$ another condition where when the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.670$ Experiments or space comes into

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}38{:}25.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.559$ view instead of. Oops. Instead of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.298$ Nothing happening this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:38:32.298 --> 00:38:34.360 this is actually what the child is seeing,

00:38:34.360 --> 00:38:34.578 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273 00:38:34.578 --> 00:38:35.014 So look, NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:35.014 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.800$ the star appears over the face and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.270$ idea was to explore whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.823$ there was kind of like this active

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:39.823 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.203$ barrier to looking at the face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:38:41.203 --> 00:38:43.467 What we saw was just was just no

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:43.467 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.775$ indication that the the child was interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.967$ And so this is a kind of a final

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:38:47.967 --> 00:38:49.598 condition where we basically put a

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:49.598 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.738$ box over the face and this is like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:38:51.740 --> 00:38:53.165 it's just a simple idea, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:53.165 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.740$ You're just highlighting where the face is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.810$ And this is a child who was nonverbal

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.160$ as about 7 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:38:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.920$ And we could see that this.

00:39:01.920 --> 00:39:04.097 Type of very simple type of strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:04.097 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.829$ really can help provide some visual cues now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.133$ Now of course you know the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:09.133 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.480$ is looking at the box, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.838$ May not be looking at the face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.196$ but we all know there's ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:39:16.200 --> 00:39:17.915 Once you get there, you can start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.869$ you can work with that, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:18.869 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.472$ You can work with the child is

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:39:20.472 --> 00:39:21.440 orienting towards the person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.220$ And now we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.022$ we can go on from there and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:24.022 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.370$ fading those, those stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}39{:}25.370 --> 00{:}39{:}27.295$ We can change them around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.300$ And so it opens up a wealth of

 $00:39:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.925$ possibility that perhaps would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:30.925 \dashrightarrow 00:39:33.055$ been very difficult to achieve otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.770$ Other examples of augmented reality

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:39:35.770 --> 00:39:38.911 include this work by Dennis Wall

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

00:39:38.911 --> 00:39:41.790 and and Caitlin boss and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}39{:}41.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.610$ a study that uses Google Glass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.961$ which I don't even think that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:45.961 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.180$ make these Google glasses anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.824$ So the Google Glass was basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00:39:48.824 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.866$ these kind of a little headset that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817084797727273

 $00{:}39{:}50.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.938$ you would wear and they're in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:39:52.999 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.227$ corner of the headset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}39{:}54.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.575$ You can see this little little area

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}39{:}56.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.705$ where there's actually a camera that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:39:58.705 --> 00:40:00.470 essentially projects directly onto your

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.029$ eye and letting you see a little small.

00:40:03.030 --> 00:40:05.046 Heads up, heads up, heads up display

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:05.046 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.376$ in kind of the corner of your eye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}40{:}07.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.276$ And so what's what Dennis and his team

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:10.276 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.300$ did was that he built this system so

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:40:13.300 --> 00:40:16.640 that a child could play with one of his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.915$ his, his or her parents and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:40:18.915 --> 00:40:22.204 would engage in a game of of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}40{:}22.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.696$ replicating each other's emotions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.396$ And one of the things that that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:26.396 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.925$ technology did was that depending on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:28.925 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.440$ the emotion that the parent was doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.140$ it would basically provide explicit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}40{:}33.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.270$ Information to the child about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.974$ what that emotion was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.913$ And the result of this was that

 $00:40:39.913 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.079$ after going through this this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.515$ you know, series of 20 minute games,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.942$ expected to be 4 * A Week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:40:44.942 --> 00:40:46.028 I think they quite got there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.614$ but that was the plan for six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:40:48.620 --> 00:40:51.830 He saw positive improvements in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:40:51.830 --> 00:40:55.342 the Vineland socialization scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:40:55.342 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.754$ which was I think. Quite nice to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:00.754 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.930$ So one of the first examples of augmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:02.930 --> 00:41:04.964 reality actually having a formal impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:04.970 --> 00:41:07.163 Now we think about augmented reality, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:07.163 --> 00:41:08.928 providing information that children with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:08.928 --> 00:41:11.708 autism may not have had readily on their own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375 00:41:11.710 --> 00:41:11.942 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:11.942 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.102$ So like this motion information

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:13.102 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.270$ can be difficult to have,

 $00:41:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.130$ but we also didn't think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.020$ There's also a lot of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.477$ are developing that are things

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:19.477 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.967$ that we never really expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.149$ So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:22.149 --> 00:41:23.721 augmented augmenting abilities is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:23.721 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.625$ something that that is possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:25.625 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.605$ with the right types of modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.350$ This is just an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.094$ It's kind of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:30.094 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.210$ Almost a thought experiment because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:31.249 --> 00:41:32.689 haven't heard more about this technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:32.690 --> 00:41:34.358 but I just love the idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.104$ So this is this is a wearable

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:37.104 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.443$ interface that basically is a series

 $00:41:39.443 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.258$ of electrodes that goes around

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:41.258 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.797$ the the throat and the face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.487$ And what it does is it allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:45.487 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.172$ you to subvocalize and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:47.172 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.380$ turns those sub vocalizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:41:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.180$ which no one can hear into speech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:51.180 --> 00:41:52.605 And there's a it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:52.605 --> 00:41:54.030 fairly complicated to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:54.080 --> 00:41:55.430 because as you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:55.430 --> 00:41:57.118 like all the things that you would expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:57.118 --> 00:41:58.900 to be happening when you're subvocalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:41:58.900 --> 00:42:00.868 to yourself are not really happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375 00:42:00.870 --> 00:42:01.173 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:42:01.173 --> 00:42:03.294 And so it's basically a machine learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:42:03.294 --> 00:42:05.495 model that figures out how to map

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:05.495 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.035$ these really minute muscle movements

 $00:42:07.092 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.052$ that are so slight that you can barely

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:09.052 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.782$ even see them into actual speech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:42:10.782 --> 00:42:13.020 And this is really some type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.006$ technology that that we think could

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:42:15.006 --> 00:42:17.089 be really valuable for not speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:17.089 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.147$ individuals with autism as this thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

00:42:19.147 --> 00:42:21.106 from nonverbal individuals with autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}42{:}21.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.126$ not speaking individuals with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.302$ This may provide a route for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}42{:}25.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.236$ speech depending on the nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:27.236 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.900$ of the particular difficulties

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}42{:}28.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.950$ that are responsible for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}42{:}30.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32.318$ Not speaking and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:32.318 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.028$ These technologies are not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:34.028 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.848$ providing kind of new information

 $00:42:35.848 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.623$ and so that's extremely important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00{:}42{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39.886$ It's also providing new ways of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:39.886 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.332$ conveying for the the individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84470823375

 $00:42:42.332 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.370$ autism to find a voice for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:42:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.100$ So. These type of technologies in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:42:48.100 --> 00:42:50.646 conjunction with each other really point

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:42:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.250$ towards this idea of social prosthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:42:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.476$ This this concept of social prosthesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:42:55.476 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.220$ is is actually fairly old and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:42:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.618$ the idea is that that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}43{:}01.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03.322$ collection of technologies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:03.322 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.230$ enable interaction in a social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.834$ in a in a social fashion with

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:07.834 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.478$ others that basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:09.478 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.282$ Revolves around providing access to skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:13.282 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.605$ that otherwise that individual would not

00:43:16.605 --> 00:43:19.108 have and this is something that is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:19.108 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.900$ relatively new in terms of development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.456$ We haven't seen a lot of these type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:23.456 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.008$ technologies but they include things

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:25.008 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.210$ that help read the emotions of other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.946$ We can imagine that as time goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:28.946 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.787$ on we could have have systems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:30.787 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.969$ tell us if if some some like what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.602$ what is the nature of this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:35.602 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.526$ interaction and then even beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}43{:}37.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.704$ that how should I respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:43:39.704 --> 00:43:41.150 this particular situation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}43{:}41.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.410$ It gets a little bit complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.470$ so I'll save that for a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85867093473684200:43:45.470 --> 00:43:46.186 Beyond that,

00:43:46.186 --> 00:43:47.976 beyond all of these technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.387$ of course, are the ideas that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}43{:}49.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.839$ could also take these technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:43:50.840 --> 00:43:51.563 And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:51.563 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.286$ to this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.984$ we've been thinking about them as

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:54.984 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.228$ technologies that exist between a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:57.228 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.478$ person with autism and someone else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:43:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.944$ We can also think about systems that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:01.944 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.480$ be able to provide education directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.614$ And so when we think about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.818$ we think about systems like these robots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842 00:44:07.820 --> 00:44:08.088 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:08.088 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.696$ And before the all the systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:09.696 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.445$ that I showed you were these

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:11.445 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.920$ robots that work in conjunction

 $00:44:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.670$ with some type of intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:14.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.134$ These robots could provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:44:16.134 --> 00:44:17.964 skill training on their own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:44:17.970 --> 00:44:20.770 but it it is just a massively much

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}44{:}20.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.915$ more complex proposition to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:22.915 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.720$ robot sit in a house for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:44:25.720 --> 00:44:28.208 This complex environment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:44:28.208 --> 00:44:30.886 provides different types of skill

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}44{:}30.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.456$ remediation or targeted skill the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:33.456 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.326$ without any type of intervention or

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:36.326 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.601$ control by some external examiner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.778$ It has to be fully baked in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}44{:}40.778 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}44{:}42.007$ situations and understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:44:42.007 --> 00:44:44.191 of context and this was a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:44.191 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.750$ that was led up by Brian.

00:44:45.750 --> 00:44:48.066 This lady and his NSF expedition

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}44{:}48.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.290$ project together with also Pam Ventola

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.746$ and and Laura and a number of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:52.816 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.006$ colleagues at between the Computer

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:55.006 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.196$ science department and the Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:44:57.200 --> 00:44:59.882 Study Center and this this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:44:59.882 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.754$ showed that the use of this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:45:02.754 --> 00:45:04.868 of cute robot that would basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}45{:}04.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.792$ was set up to play games with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

00:45:07.792 --> 00:45:09.814 child with autism over 30 days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}45{:}09.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.560$ completely at autonomously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.880$ completely on its own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}45{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.720$ This this system was effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.909$ in in kind of at least a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:18.909 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.014$ term gain in joint attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00{:}45{:}21.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.533$ joint attention skills in a group of

 $00:45:23.533 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.118$ I think about 1212 children with ASD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:26.118 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.380$ So we've been talking a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.012$ How long has this spread around by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:30.012 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.162$ way it's supposed to be an I think I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:32.162 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.970$ It goes to two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858670934736842

 $00:45:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.670$ What time is it now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:45:37.310 --> 00:45:39.418 I got 10 minutes, OK. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:45:39.418 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.062$ So, so we we are thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:45:41.062 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.420$ about these technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:45:42.420 --> 00:45:44.170 We've been talking a lot about interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:45:44.170 --> 00:45:45.871 but we also should be thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:45:45.871 --> 00:45:47.280 technologies like how do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}45{:}47.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.552$ technologies increase our understandings

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:45:48.552 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.397$ of individual because they that becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:45:50.397 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.969$ a critical part of the interventions.

00:45:51.970 --> 00:45:53.354 Thinking about the measurement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}45{:}53.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.084$ the measurement feeds into what

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:45:55.084 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.854$ type of interventions you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:45:56.854 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.226$ run during the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:45:58.230 --> 00:46:00.358 It tells us about how we might adjust

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:00.358 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.828$ the therapies that we're going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:01.830 --> 00:46:03.362 we're, we're, we're administering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:03.362 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.098$ And so all of these technologies like

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}46{:}06.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.958$ the platform is actually agnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:07.960 --> 00:46:10.258 In many ways to the application, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:10.258 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.962$ So we can think of robots that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:12.962 --> 00:46:14.776 designed in order to for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:14.776 --> 00:46:17.818 in this case, a study done by Laura Walk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.970$ if you so in in our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.305$ Play activities with just this

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:23.305 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.706$ small ball robot.

 $00:46:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.585$ We're associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:26.585 --> 00:46:28.460 developmental skills and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.314$ We can say the same thing with video games,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834 00:46:30.320 --> 00:46:30.768 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:30.768 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.904$ So this really kind of acute system

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:33.904 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.349$ that was developed by Evan Lee was meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:37.349 --> 00:46:39.591 to look at executive function deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:39.591 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.613$ kind of like the NIH toolbox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.875$ but to consider the specific aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:44.875 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.700$ autism, social, specifically social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:46:48.700 --> 00:46:50.545 possibly asymmetric performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}46{:}50.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.235$ in social and non social domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834 00:46:54.240 --> 00:46:56.100 And. NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}46{:}56.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58.086$ You know, virtual reality can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:46:58.086 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.719$ be used in order to to look at this.

 $00:47:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.283$ This doesn't play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:02.283 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.846$ That was a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.506$ It's the only video I forgot to convert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:47:06.510 --> 00:47:07.986 Virtual reality can also be used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.111$ We get in order to get information

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:10.111 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.450$ about individuals with autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:47:11.450 --> 00:47:11.954 So for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:11.954 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.449$ we can look to see how they aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:13.449 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.573$ their bodies towards different

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:14.573 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.416$ types of information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.534$ That provides a lot of information similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:17.534 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.047$ to a lot of eye tracking work that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.280$ that, that, that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.740$ We've used in order to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.560$ early and early development in later

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}47{:}26.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.480$ social skills and individuals with autism.

00:47:29.480 --> 00:47:30.275 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:30.275 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.540$ of course like we can even think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:47:32.540 --> 00:47:32.984 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:32.984 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.316$ now that cameras have gotten so

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:47:34.316 --> 00:47:35.480 advanced in computer vision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.020$ algorithms have gotten so advanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.658$ we can even think about using

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:38.658 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.477$ just simple video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:47:39.480 --> 00:47:41.280 using those videos to characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.720$ movements of the eyes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.722$ of the face of the bodies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:44.722 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.983$ order to characterize individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}47{:}45.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.041$ with autism as they respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:47:48.041 --> 00:47:49.820 specific types of information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.800$ So this is just that.

00:47:50.800 --> 00:47:52.416 This is more of a computer science study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.934$ a study that was developed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:54.934 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.923$ Evan Lee primarily as a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:57.923 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.973$ a strategy for developing new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:47:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.424$ Computational neural networks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:01.424 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.590$ order to to perform face affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:03.655 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.099$ recognition and using these

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:05.099 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.904$ technologies and applying it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}48{:}06.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.704$ like simple recognition tasks

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:08.704 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.994$ between individuals with autism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}48{:}10.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.230$ typically developing individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.470$ as they watched kind of a split

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:48:14.470 --> 00:48:16.543 screen movie of of kind of social

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:16.543 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.350$ information on one side and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.210$ social information on another side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00{:}48{:}20.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.214$ Was able to distinguish this with

 $00:48:22.214 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.756$ the with the 50% being the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:48:24.756 --> 00:48:27.168 children from with ASD versus TIP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:48:27.170 --> 00:48:29.554 Typically developing children with

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:48:29.554 --> 00:48:34.701 fairly good like around 7076\% sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:34.701 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.603 70\%$ specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

00:48:35.603 --> 00:48:38.309 Similarly using the same type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:38.309 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.276$ technology to focus on the eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.863158834

 $00:48:40.276 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.726$ and capture where the eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}48{:}41.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.517$ are looking and to turn that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:48:44.517 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.091$ information about attentional strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:48:46.091 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.898$ that individuals are using was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:48:48.898 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.447$ increase the performance of these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:48:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.405$ of these systems to about 80% eighty

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:48:54.405 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.855$ 3% sensitivity and and almost 90%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:48:57.860 --> 00:48:59.228 Sensitivity, now what can we do

 $00:48:59.228 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.780$ with these type of technologies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.860$ So, so one of the things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.618$ one of the things that really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:04.618 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.018$ enabled by these especially these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:07.018 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.048$ computer vision types of technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:09.048 --> 00:49:11.183 applied through tablets is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:11.183 --> 00:49:12.443 really increase our accessibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:12.443 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.605$ or our ability to provide this type

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:14.605 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.345$ of technology out into the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:16.345 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.465$ So this is a study that we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:18.465 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.236$ together with the Terry Fox Eater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.459$ This is a simple study called kits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}49{:}22.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.045$ which was the Karolinska iPad

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:25.045 --> 00:49:26.487 eye tracking study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:26.487 --> 00:49:30.150 And this was a twin study and looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}49{:}30.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35.456$ 56 families involving 100 and four children.

 $00:49:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.036$ Ranging from 3 to 617 months of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.030$ and the idea was to examine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.467$ potential heritability of gaze

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:44.467 --> 00:49:46.947 following in infant twins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.017$ So this is a monozygotic versus dizygotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.055$ Examination and what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:49:53.055 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.276$ from this right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:54.280 --> 00:49:57.640 this is deployed throughout Sweden right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:49:57.640 --> 00:50:00.238 Without us basically ever laying hands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.508$ laying eyes or hands on any

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:02.508 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.020$ of the the families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.532$ This study showed us some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}50{:}05.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.208$ things that we expected, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:07.208 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.048$ So reaction times increased or

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:10.048 \dashrightarrow 00:50:12.960$ decreased as children got older.

00:50:12.960 --> 00:50:16.806 Full head turns were more more

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}50{:}16.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.758$ strongly associated with appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:18.758 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.680$ corrective gaze movements to the target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.406$ As compared to just the movement of the eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

00:50:25.410 --> 00:50:26.070 But critically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.710$ one of the things that we found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:28.777 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.495$ that the reaction time of monozygotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:31.495 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.307$ twins was significantly stronger

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}50{:}33.310 \to 00{:}50{:}36.030$ than that of dizygotic twins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.930$ suggesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.626$ And this is this is the reaction time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.569$ So the gays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:40.569 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.120$ the gays of the actress moves to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.605$ side or the other and the the child

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:45.605 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.456$ based off of this cute decides to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:48.456 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.328$ to to make an eye movement in response.

00:50:51.330 --> 00:50:54.165 And in monozygotic twins this is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:54.165 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.520$ is highly correlated and not in dichotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.048$ and not as much in dizygotic twins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:50:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.336$ And it suggests that to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}51{:}01.336 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.564$ extent the decision the timing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:03.564 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.084$ when you decide to look to a gaze

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:06.158 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.210$ queue in response to a gaze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.865$ It's baked in genetically which

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:09.865 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.879$ is I think something that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:11.879 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.730$ really really interesting of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.070$ relatively small study for a twin

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}51{:}16.141 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.409$ study but still I think provocative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}51{:}18.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.378$ So when we start thinking about all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:20.378 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.713$ these different types of measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:21.713 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.297$ systems naturally to think of kind

 $00:51:23.297 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.512$ of like the end all be all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}51{:}25.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.202$ measurement systems which is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:27.202 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.222$ systems measurement systems that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:29.222 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.363$ some type of practical application

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:31.363 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.490$ that may improve ultimately be aimed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:33.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.851$ at improving the lives of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:35.851 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.107$ individual children's and and their family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.814$ And so a lot of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:39.814 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.743$ we've done recently in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:41.743 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.191$ biomarker development comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}51{:}43.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44.930$ Jamie Partland Autism Biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.367$ Consortium clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.387$ And here we are really thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:49.387 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.596$ how do we take these these what

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00:51:52.596 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.408$ has previously been experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.824793341

 $00{:}51{:}54.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.220$ results and turned them

00:51:56.291 --> 00:51:58.889 into systems that can provide some

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}51{:}58.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01.149$ type of meaningful extraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:01.149 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.989$ individual of individual information

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:52:02.989 --> 00:52:06.259 that can fulfill any variety of one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:06.259 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.197$ many different types of varieties of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.800$ Uses in clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.664$ One of these possibilities is comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:52:14.664 --> 00:52:18.341 a comes actually from our our doc themes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:18.341 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.196$ which is that of stratification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.044$ This idea that measurement itself can

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:23.044 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.160$ provide a lens by which we can really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.090$ Tear apart these heterogeneous groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}52{:}31.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.726$ We know they're heterogeneous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:32.726 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.180$ but by using these measurement systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:35.248 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.256$ we can we can create more homogeneous

 $00:52:37.256 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.140$ subgroups without out of the that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:40.208 \dashrightarrow 00:52:42.638$ kind of original heterogeneous pool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.360$ And so, so these are really kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.154$ I think in many ways powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:48.154 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.633$ ideas about how we can use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:50.633 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.397$ technologies practically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:52:51.397 --> 00:52:54.610 But I have to say and I I really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.130$ Went over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:52:57.130 \dashrightarrow 00:52:58.876$ There's a broader context here, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:52:58.876 --> 00:53:02.044 And so, so I went from the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.530$ these robots all the way to these biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83725002800:53:04.530 --> 00:53:04.813 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:04.813 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.228$ And all along the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}53{:}06.230 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}53{:}08.390$ we've been ignoring a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:08.390 --> 00:53:09.830 a really critical issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.469$ which is that these systems are not

 $00:53:12.469 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.247$ being deployed universally and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}53{:}14.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.291$ mirror what we know is happening out

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:16.291 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.517$ in healthcare inequities across all

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:18.517 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.389$ branches of different healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:20.389 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.454$ across both socioeconomic strata as

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:23.454 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.702$ well as racial ethnic divides and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:27.710 --> 00:53:30.128 The question that we really address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.635$ we have to think about when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}53{:}31.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.565$ thinking about especially these

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:32.565 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.770$ measurement systems and we're thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:33.770 --> 00:53:35.360 about how we're going to deploy them

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.530$ and who they're going to benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.748$ I mean really comes down to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:37.750 --> 00:53:38.922 this key question, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:38.922 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.267$ which has been being asked and I I

00:53:41.267 --> 00:53:43.136 think just needs to be continuously asked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.470$ right, when will clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.268$ finally reflect diversity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.610$ And that is a struggle for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.480$ us in all of our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:53:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.676$ We know that that they exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:52.680 --> 00:53:54.880 And yet, like this paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:54.880 --> 00:53:57.076 racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}53{:}57.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58.904$ in health and health care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:53:58.904 --> 00:53:59.816 I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}53{:}59.820 --> 00{:}54{:}00.710$ To read this article you

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.880$ got to make a payment right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.200$ And so that's that's exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.256$ where we are right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.260$ So we we know that there's an issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.395$ and and here you go here's just the

 $00:54:08.395 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.100$ the full live example of the issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}54{:}11.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13.740$ So this has been something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.892$ been discussed not just from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:15.892 --> 00:54:17.482 very practical healthcare side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:17.482 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.433$ things but also from the side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:19.433 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.200$ the science that we're doing right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.520$ The the lack of diversity is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.767$ is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:22.767 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.014$ is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:23.014 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.743$ is you know I highlighted here this

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}54{:}24.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.526$ paper wrote we argue that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:26.526 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.011$ demographics cannot be ignored if

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}54{:}28.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.728$ we want to understand the neural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.390$ Countries of human cognition for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.718$ the diverse general population.

00:54:32.720 --> 00:54:33.756 But I don't think you even need

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:33.756 --> 00:54:34.628 that last part, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:34.628 --> 00:54:37.196 If you understand human human cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.360$ you need to understand all

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:38.360 --> 00:54:39.990 of human cognition, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00.54.39.990 \longrightarrow 00.54.41.458$ So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:41.458 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.610$ there's a lot of of evidence now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:43.673 --> 00:54:46.133 some of the things that we held to be true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}54{:}46.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48.224$ classic psychological studies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:48.224 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.350$ in many ways influenced by those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00:54:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.020$ The lack of diversity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:54.020 --> 00:54:56.156 the implications of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

00:54:56.160 --> 00:54:57.620 the failures of these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.837250028

 $00{:}54{:}57.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59.080$ to replicate really tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:54:59.139 --> 00:55:00.804 something not about the children

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}55{:}00.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.469$ but about the circumstances that

 $00:55:02.529 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.069$ the children have been raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:55:04.070 --> 00:55:06.518 At the same time, there's certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:06.518 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.150$ true developmental effects around

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:08.216 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.226$ in Group out group classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.470$ We don't deny those developmental phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:13.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.838$ but at the same time I think that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.376$ Knowing that there is a confound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.044$ I I think that that there always has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:24.044 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.504$ been a a predisposition to ignoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:25.504 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.549$ it and I think that we can't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:27.549 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.073$ afford to do that any longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:55:29.080 --> 00:55:30.480 So thinking about experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}55{:}30.480 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}55{:}31.880$ just the experiment designs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.064$ remember the experiments become

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:33.064 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.656$ the biomarkers,

 $00:55:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.355$ the biomarkers turn into the therapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}55{:}36.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.239$ there's a cycle that is that occurs here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.900$ There is a problem with the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.040$ infrastructure that surrounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.000$ the deployment of these systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.596$ All the investigators, all the researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}55{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.140$ all the pharmaceutical companies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.359$ are rewarded for these big effect sizes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.537$ these effect sizes we know are maximized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.660$ With homogeneous participant samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}55{:}54.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.412$ They're maximized, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:55:56.412 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.450$ Here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}55{:}57.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.634$ We know it's it's studies of of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:00.634 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.590$ traditional cast of characters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.800$ This translation between medicine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}56{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.010$ basic science need better representation.

 $00:56:07.010 \dashrightarrow 00:56:09.278$ The truth is if you're affecting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:09.278 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.831$ withstand diversity then what good

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}56{:}10.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.665$ was this effect in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.308$ It's not just the samples though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.590$ it's also the stimuli right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00.56:15.590 --> 00.56:17.462 Like the things that we're testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:17.462 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.986$ on the the questions that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:19.986 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.404$ asking where these effects hold true

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.317$ these this is a kind of a classic face

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:25.317 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.368$ product classic database that is used

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}56{:}27.368 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}56{:}29.927$ for a lot of face processing studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:29.927 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.832$ and you know the commonality here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:32.832 \dashrightarrow 00:56:35.210$ all the individuals are are white right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.716$ So of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:36.716 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.720$ Limited resources.

 $00:56:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.520$ There's a desire for strict control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.785$ but it misses in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:40.785 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.797$ opportunity to improve science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:56:41.800 --> 00:56:43.630 And not just equity in science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.174$ but the science itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:56:45.174 --> 00:56:47.436 The solutions aren't simple, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:47.436 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.300$ It's not the case that we can just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.716$ Think that's that replace

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:56:51.716 --> 00:56:54.012 adding in a bunch of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:54.012 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.317$ diverse spaces in our sound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

00:56:55.320 --> 00:56:57.618 Our stimuli will cure the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00{:}56{:}57.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59.216$ Certainly a step in the right direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:56:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.578$ but we really need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:57:01.578 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.757$ the complex interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:57:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.524$ We can say the same thing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:57:04.524 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.767$ technology developments also, right?

 $00:57:05.767 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.254 \text{ So}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:57:06.254 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.741$ so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:57:06.741 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.104$ so in the same way when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7831318928

 $00:57:10.104 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.490$ think about technologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.042$ The technology is people who create

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:57:16.042 --> 00:57:17.590 technologies also rewarded for

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:57:17.651 --> 00:57:19.506 these big effect sizes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:57:19.506 --> 00:57:22.036 And again, homogeneous participant samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.280$ everything that we know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.760$ these kind of trials a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.746$ nearly all that we know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:26.746 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.070$ these technologies comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}57{:}28.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.750$ really homogeneous samples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.775$ also not just homogeneous stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:57:31.780 --> 00:57:32.940 but homogeneous methods, right?

 $00:57:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.680$ These methods applied in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:34.727 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.245$ specific way that doesn't take into

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:36.245 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.179$ account any type of cultural diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.888$ And these this is an issue with

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:39.888 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.620$ the technology deployment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.286$ We know very little about things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.714$ So. Some, some other things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:57:46.714 --> 00:57:48.144 I should mention, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:48.144 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.856$ So, so we started with this idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:49.856 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.073$ there's this inherent characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:51.073 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.981$ of technologies that is attracted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:52.981 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.232$ individuals with autism and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:54.232 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.570$ certainly seems to be the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}57{:}55.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58.440$ A more recent study that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:57:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.430$ by a Kenny's group and lead off

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.585$ the Kerry Nowell was to look at

00:58:04.585 --> 00:58:07.255 a much larger sample of children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:07.260 --> 00:58:10.230 1921 children on a new instrument,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}58{:}10.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.890$ relatively new instrument called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:12.890 --> 00:58:16.100 Special Interests Survey and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:16.100 --> 00:58:17.608 What they found was that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:17.608 --> 00:58:19.200 many of the things that would have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.515$ considered under that physics category

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:20.515 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.310$ were still kind of high proportions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}58{:}22.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25.394$ endorsed at a very high proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:25.394 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.050$ by parents regarding their their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.540$ Children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.990$ But there's also, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}58{:}30.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32.620$ take a look to the left and to the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.990$ There's a lot of things here, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:33.990 --> 00:58:35.630 TV, objects, music, toys,

 $00:58:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.618$ collections, animals, animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:38.618 --> 00:58:39.614 Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:39.614 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.670$ And The thing is that it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:41.670 --> 00:58:42.399 you know, technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:42.399 --> 00:58:44.150 let's be honest, it's not the only,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.030$ it's not the only game in town.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:46.030 --> 00:58:47.214 And certainly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:47.214 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.403$ we think about the diversity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:49.403 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.168$ specific nature of special interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:51.170 --> 00:58:52.934 There's also diversity in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}58{:}52.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54.698$ even simple things like sex, right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:54.698 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.666$ that we have so little diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}58{:}56.670 --> 00{:}58{:}58.220$ Autism research. We really have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:58:58.220 --> 00:58:59.634 And we've known this for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:58:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.180$ a problem with addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:01.180 --> 00:59:02.720 sex differences in autism.

00:59:02.720 --> 00:59:05.324 And so one of the really, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00{:}59{:}05.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08.184$ compelling studies that I read

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:08.184 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.604$ was by Nobel and Arab no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:11.604 --> 00:59:14.420 Noel Jones and Haram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.444$ which showed that that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:17.444 --> 00:59:19.968 looked at mill children with ASD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:19.968 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.640$ they showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:20.640 --> 00:59:21.242 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:21.242 --> 00:59:23.349 what you might expect is this high

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:23.349 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.620$ physics category circumscribed interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:25.620 --> 00:59:27.132 But if you looked at the girls with ASD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.754$ they showed a much higher proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:28.754 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.620$ of interest in things like psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.052$ And so you can imagine how an interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:33.052 --> 00:59:34.797 in psychology might make it more

 $00:59:34.797 \dashrightarrow 00:59:37.289$ difficult for you to get an ASD diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:37.290 --> 00:59:38.164 All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:38.164 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.349$ So dangerous on the horizon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.340$ I really wish I had more

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.250$ time to go into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:42.250 --> 00:59:45.267 but I'll just summarize this that Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

 $00:59:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.964$ I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:45.964 --> 00:59:46.311 Evening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.754053505

00:59:46.311 --> 00:59:46.658 Sofia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855741993333333

 $00:59:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.966$ It's great to see you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855741993333333

 $00{:}59{:}47.970 --> 00{:}59{:}50.180$ Hell, see you in a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855741993333333

 $00:59:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.845$ I've been around, I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.855741993333333

 $00:59:51.845 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.720$ here and there is. You are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855741993333333

 $00{:}59{:}53.720 --> 00{:}59{:}55.598$ You have joy, you have love,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776369423333333

00:59:55.690 --> 00:59:57.718 you have pleasure, you have angst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773602288333333

 $00:59:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.830$ I like that you have angst.

00:59:59.830 --> 01:00:02.198 You're always making jokes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773602288333333

 $01:00:02.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.500$ What is human about feelings?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876078155625

01:00:03.970 --> 01:00:05.235 Well, you wouldn't have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.876078155625

 $01:00:05.235 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.884$ emotions if you did not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.876078155625

 $01{:}00{:}06.884 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08.539$ emotions modeled on human emotions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870485572

 $01:00:08.990 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.960$ How do you know that? I guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.94849033125

01:00:10.970 --> 01:00:12.570 I just don't want you to be human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921420588333333

 $01:00:13.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.098$ I'm not asking to be human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921420588333333

 $01{:}00{:}15.100 --> 01{:}00{:}16.516$ I just want to be myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921420588333333

 $01:00:16.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:18.168$ Is that too much?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921420588333333

01:00:18.168 --> 01:00:20.480 Sofia, please just be patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921420588333333

 $01:00:20.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.108$ I've been patient for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921420588333333

01:00:23.110 --> 01:00:25.078 So if you're not creeped

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:00:25.090 --> 01:00:27.421 out now right this is exactly what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:27.421 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.692$ think it is 2 AI these people do not exist.

 $01:00:29.700 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.710$ These computer completely computer generated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:32.710 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.870$ The reason why I bring this up is

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:34.870 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.467$ that technology is at a precipice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:36.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.787$ We are seeing new classes of technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:38.787 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.375$ emerging from this computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:00:40.375 --> 01:00:43.045 explosion of machine learning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:43.045 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.647$ computational computational approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:44.650 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.174$ especially in artificial intelligence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:46.174 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.698$ And that's really going

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:47.698 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.270$ to apply to everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01{:}00{:}49.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}51.664$ And so here's here's my conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:51.664 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.830$ with this a program called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:53.830 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.246$ GPT, 3 so this is a chat bot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:00:56.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.018$ And this is exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:00:57.018 --> 01:00:58.413 Anyone who knows me knows that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01\text{:}00\text{:}58.413 \dashrightarrow 01\text{:}00\text{:}59.613$ is exactly the type of conversation

01:00:59.613 --> 01:01:01.865 that I would have, like anyone, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:01.865 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.765$ Not just a computer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:03.770 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.378$ So I asked, how is a fish stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:05.378 --> 01:01:06.647 representative of the meaning of life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:06.650 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.042$ And are they tasty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:08.042 --> 01:01:10.010 And, you know, first she says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:10.010 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.025$ I I can't tell you that in the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:13.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.178$ So, like, come on, come on, just do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:15.178 --> 01:01:16.458 And he says fish sticks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:16.460 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.540$ like all things in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:17.540 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.136$ can be seen as a metaphor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:19.136 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.390$ the journey of existence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:20.390 --> 01:01:22.224 And, you know, I got to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:22.230 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.225$ like I could have a full conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:24.230 --> 01:01:24.611 OK,

 $01:01:24.611 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.897$ I did have a full conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:26.897 --> 01:01:28.839 with the chat chat bot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:28.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.320$ Things have gotten really advanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:30.320 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.133$ These things are things that are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:32.133 --> 01:01:34.004 people are now taking and turning

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:34.004 --> 01:01:35.396 into many computer therapists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:35.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.996$ and that should scare us all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01{:}01{:}37.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38.974$ But there's also should delight us all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01{:}01{:}38.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}40.537$ I don't know how I feel about these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:40.540 --> 01:01:42.014 You know, like it's terrifying, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:42.014 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.658$ I think about all the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:43.658 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.240$ that make me special, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:45.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.160$ Like the fact that it can program?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947 01:01:47.160 --> 01:01:47.786 I asked. NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:47.786 --> 01:01:49.038 I asked this program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.217$ this program to write me a program,

 $01:01:51.220 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.456$ and it did better and faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:52.456 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.640$ than I would have had it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:53.640 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.876$ It took me 10 minutes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:54.876 --> 01:01:56.100 figure out how it did it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:01:56.100 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.564$ and it was so short and so elegant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:01:58.570 --> 01:02:01.476 Um, so things are changing, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:01.476 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.952$ And we need to really think

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:02.952 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.370$ about how things are changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:04.370 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.329$ How are we going to control them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:05.330 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.968$ There's a lot of ways things can go on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:02:06.970 --> 01:02:07.780 go wrong, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:07.780 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.590$ On the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:08.590 \dashrightarrow 01:02:11.389$ My AI is sexually harassing me on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:11.390 \dashrightarrow 01:02:13.160$ Twitter taught Microsoft AI chatbot to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:02:13.160 --> 01:02:15.350 be a racist ****** in less than a day,

01:02:15.350 --> 01:02:15.577 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:02:15.577 --> 01:02:16.939 These are things that are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

01:02:16.939 --> 01:02:17.840 to us right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:17.840 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.616$ and how we're going to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:19.616 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.628$ these I think is is an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:21.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.009$ The fact that there's even a book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837660161578947

 $01:02:23.010 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.898$ it's a book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:25.950 --> 01:02:27.166 Or at least it's part of a book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:27.170 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.130$ That's a chapter in a book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:28.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.450$ how to make a chapbook

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:29.450 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.970$ that's not racist or sexist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:30.970 --> 01:02:32.685 This is a problem because exactly all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:32.685 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.626$ those same problems that we have with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:34.626 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.786$ inequity in technology developments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:35.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.993$ in biomarker development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:36.993 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.196$ in clinical trials,

01:02:38.200 --> 01:02:39.908 these are things that feed into AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}02{:}39.910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}41.146$ into the datasets that make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:41.146 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.380$ into the ways that we train,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:42.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.444$ in the way that we're going to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:44.444 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.631$ them and the way that we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:46.631 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.965$ deploy them and have them benefit or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:48.965 --> 01:02:50.565 not benefit different populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:50.570 --> 01:02:51.498 And so, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:51.498 --> 01:02:53.463 I want to leave you with this, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:53.463 --> 01:02:54.395 Like these technologies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:02:54.395 --> 01:02:55.930 really growing in a lot of ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:55.930 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.730$ and there's a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:56.730 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.536$ Like models that are extremely compelling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:02:58.540 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.940$ like this be my eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:00.940 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.620$ So so this is not AI.

 $01:03:02.620 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.699$ This is an app that blind people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:04.699 --> 01:03:06.910 can call up to get someone to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:06.910 \longrightarrow 01:03:08.776$ see for them using that app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:08.780 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.537$ And we can imagine someone with autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:10.537 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.332$ having the same type of technology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:12.332 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.420$ get through some type of social interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625 01:03:14.420 --> 01:03:14.670 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:14.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.420$ take that person out of the equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:16.420 --> 01:03:18.078 and replace it with one of these AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625 01:03:18.080 --> 01:03:18.476 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:18.476 --> 01:03:22.990 Hopefully not the racist ****** robot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:22.990 --> 01:03:24.690 As we've developed these systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:24.690 --> 01:03:25.686 then, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:25.686 --> 01:03:28.010 we we have to start wondering like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:28.082 \longrightarrow 01:03:30.406$ who is it actually behind the helm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:30.410 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.602$ If if the robot,

01:03:31.602 --> 01:03:33.870 the AI is making this interaction for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:33.870 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.905$ giving you all the information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:34.905 --> 01:03:36.210 telling me how I should react,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:36.210 --> 01:03:37.820 who's actually buying this cup

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:37.820 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.430$ of coffee and doesn't matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:39.430 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.257$ is the important thing that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:41.257 --> 01:03:43.180 able to buy this cup of coffee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:43.180 --> 01:03:43.530 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:43.530 --> 01:03:43.880 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:43.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.843$ I I want to end with this that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:45.843 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.565$ a lot of information about technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:47.570 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.080$ It's the rapidly emerging field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}03{:}49.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}50.656$ And I think there's a lot of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}03{:}50.656 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}51.668$ great ways of conceptualizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:51.668 --> 01:03:53.103 the different axes of performance

01:03:53.103 --> 01:03:54.780 that we should be thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:54.780 --> 01:03:56.756 And it touches really small slices of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:03:56.760 --> 01:03:57.628 In fact, not even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:57.628 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.930$ not even all the studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:58.976 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.880$ are important to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:03:59.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.644$ like this awesome study that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}04{:}01.644 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.837$ Suzanne and Kasha and I did together on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:04:03.840 --> 01:04:06.374 on machine learning in an early screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:06.380 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.354$ can't even have space to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

01:04:08.354 --> 01:04:10.108 them because there's so much activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:10.110 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.058$ The direction is up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:11.058 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.989$ We just have to be careful about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:12.990 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.686$ And I want to thank so many people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:14.686 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.228$ that are many who are sitting in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:16.228 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.988$ this room or are on the the call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:17.990 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.908$ I'm sorry for going so far over

 $01{:}04{:}19.908 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}22.458$ and of course my my labs at Seattle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}04{:}22.458 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}23.992$ Children's with Skittle Lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}04{:}23.992 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}25.204$ Skittles never gave us any money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:25.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.518$ We're kind of disappointed in that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}04{:}26.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}28.688$ but we'll have an AI figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01{:}04{:}28.688 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.850$ to get some additional funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8636554625

 $01:04:30.850 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.600$ Thank you.