WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:03:00" NOTE recognizability:0.815

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.334$ Good afternoon, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:02.334 --> 00:00:05.446 Good afternoon. So welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.514$ Welcome to the final grand rounds of January.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.435$ We've made it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:09.435 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.960$ Spring is around the corner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:10.960 --> 00:00:13.544 although I didn't feel that way when I

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:13.544 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.367$ saw the snow coming down this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.145$ So it's a pleasure to welcome you all here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.590$ just to preview for next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:19.590 --> 00:00:20.862 As Andres mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}00{:}20.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.406$ we've got a very special compassionate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:23.406 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.932$ care rounds next week where we'll hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:25.932 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.218$ from a family who experienced care

 $00:00:28.218 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.804$ here at Yale together with Jillian

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}00{:}30.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.858$ Celentano and Christy Alski and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:32.858 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.234$ talking about a family in transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:35.234 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.758$ and the importance of embracing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:37.758 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.810$ and supporting gender diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:39.810 --> 00:00:42.990 And now over to today's presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:42.990 --> 00:00:45.769 it is our distinct pleasure to welcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.131$ Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:46.131 --> 00:00:48.658 At Omar to the Child Study Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.980$ we've actually tried to coordinate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.056$ this talk for around two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:00:53.060 --> 00:00:54.356 But as you'll probably have noticed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.754$ Doctor Omar has been pretty busy providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:56.754 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.060$ expert guidance and advice to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:00:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.284$ different international organizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}00.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.758$ in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

00:01:02.758 --> 00:01:06.110 And that's in part due to his expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}06.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.756$ on the epidemiology of respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}08.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.321$ viruses and studies on interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:11.403 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.311$ regarding immunization of mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:13.311 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.173$ and the effects on maternal and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.940$ Not help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.840$ His work has been disseminated

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.520$ widely and cited widely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.991$ so at least five of his publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}22.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.134$ have exceeded that Magic 1000 citations

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:01:25.134 --> 00:01:27.900 mark to enter into the Milli Pub club.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:01:27.900 --> 00:01:28.868 And which is quite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}28.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.836$ quite tricky to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.247$ And I think I that was data

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:31.247 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.439$ from a few weeks ago,

 $00:01:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.042$ so perhaps there's even more papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}34.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.800$ that have exceeded that threshold now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.470$ Last year Doctor Omar was elected

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}38.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.239$ into the National Academy of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:41.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.356$ And then sad news for Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.837$ as many of you will have read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:01:46.840 --> 00:01:47.630 Last week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.000$ but Dean Brown circulated an e-mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}50.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.265$ to congratulate as we extend our

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}52.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.669$ congratulations to Doctor Omar

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:53.669 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.864$ as well on becoming the incoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:55.864 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.006$ and inaugural Dean of the Peter

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00{:}01{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.780$ O'Donnell Junior School of Public

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:01:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.108$ Health at the University of Texas

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:02:02.108 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.590$ Southwestern Medical Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:02:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.910$ And the Irish person in me,

 $00:02:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.350$ when I heard the name of that center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

00:02:06.350 --> 00:02:07.830 the Peter O'Donnell school thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095556

 $00:02:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.679$ am I related?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859091962

 $00:02:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.870$ So without any further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859091962

 $00:02:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.920$ thank you for being with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.859091962

00:02:12.920 --> 00:02:13.912 in person today, Doctor Omar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859091962

 $00:02:13.912 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.529$ and welcome to the Child City Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

 $00:02:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.637$ So because of the Irish roots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

00:02:24.637 --> 00:02:26.168 our namesake of the new school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

 $00:02:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.626$ I'm going to the and the Texas existence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

00:02:30.630 --> 00:02:33.046 So we should have Irish whiskey as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

 $00{:}02{:}33.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.369$ official drink and biscuit as official food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

 $00{:}02{:}35.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.890$ That's the only logical, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

 $00:02:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.500$ thing to do there. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889098727692308

00:02:39.500 --> 00:02:41.140 that would be my first order of business.

 $00:02:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.990$ So y'all focus mostly on kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}02{:}55.990 --> 00{:}02{:}58.050$ My focus has been pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:02:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.698$ vaccinations and pediatric outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:02:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.940$ but also maternal immunization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.766$ its impact on early infancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:04.766 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.641$ then increasingly long term impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:06.641 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.650$ So what I'll do is I'll describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}03{:}08.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.484$ some of the work that I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}03{:}10.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12.218$ doing and we have been doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:03:12.220 --> 00:03:14.780 It's a, it's a whole group of collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.696$ that have been working particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:16.696 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.120$ in low resource settings to actualize

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.065$ the promise of maternal immunization

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:21.065 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.671$ and some of the outcomes are you

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:23.671 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.408$ know what you would expect prevention of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.840$ Infant out of of maternal

 $00:03:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.270$ outcomes and all of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.653$ but also increasingly birth outcomes as

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}03{:}33.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.237$ well as you know briefly talk about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:36.237 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.234$ of the new work in longer term outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.289$ So vaccines have been some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:43.289 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.804$ most effective and cost effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:44.804 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.180$ public health interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667 00:03:46.180 --> 00:03:47.290 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:47.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.730$ CDC at the end of the last Millennium

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:51.854 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.318$ they came up with a list of 100 most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667 00:03:56.320 --> 00:03:56.762 Useful, NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:56.762 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.972$ impactful public health interventions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:03:58.972 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.820$ vaccinations were at the top of that list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.880$ but they swear that it's not a ranked list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.592$ At the end of the day, you know,

 $00:04:06.592 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.696$ they're an organization with

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:04:07.696 --> 00:04:08.800 all sorts of stakeholders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.202$ so they don't want to annoy people who favor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667 00:04:12.210 --> 00:04:12.632 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:12.632 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.320$ water and hygiene and all of that stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:04:14.320 --> 00:04:16.320 But it's no coincidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.320$ coincidence that it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.320$ alphabetically there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:19.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.708$ You know it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:20.708 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.980$ Vaccines don't start with the letter A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.788$ but they remain at the top of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:25.788 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.010$ list every time they revisit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.426$ But the most of the impact we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:04:30.426 --> 00:04:32.682 had is through childhood vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.826$ and the and the primary schedule

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:35.826 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.053$ although it's increasingly nuanced

 $00:04:38.053 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.191$ is mostly 610 fourteen weeks for

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:04:41.191 --> 00:04:44.282 most of the world in in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:44.282 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.070$ and a lot of the developed world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.034$ we have two, four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:48.034 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.998$ six months primary studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.226$ But what what happens is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:52.226 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.279$ reason we give this primary series

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:54.279 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.687$ is because we need 2/3 doses for

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:56.759 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.819$ the vaccines to actually start

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:04:58.819 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.467$ having their full impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.820$ And so therefore kids have this period

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.820$ of vulnerability through the 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}05{:}07.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.490$ essentially six months of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}05{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.811$ And this even in a short period of 13

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:13.811 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.446$ years and that has increased substantially.

 $00:05:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.780$ Between 1990 and or 23 years and 2013

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}05{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.305$ there was a substantial reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.620$ So starting with 2.5 million underage

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.060$ children under age 5 had died of vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.412$ preventable diseases that reduced to 750,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:37.412 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.584$ This is around the time a

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:39.584 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.090$ little bit before that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:05:41.090 --> 00:05:44.372 A lot of us started focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:44.372 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.013$ on maternal vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.490$ And so we said that look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.035$ and even the sustainable development

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:50.035 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.580$ goals are Millennium Development Goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.835$ The the least progress we had seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

00:05:55.840 --> 00:05:58.125 And same true with sustainable

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:05:58.125 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.520$ development goals was early infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}06{:}00.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.240$ mortality and unit of mortality was the

 $00{:}06{:}04.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.000$ most intractable part of the puzzle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:06:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.464$ And so even in the now approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:06:09.464 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.291$ 3,000,000 deaths happen in the it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:06:11.291 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.275$ just the 1st 27 days of life and

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00{:}06{:}13.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.228$ then it's not the full six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:06:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.512$ So one way of dealing with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:06:17.512 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.082$ and one way of addressing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.742406556666667

 $00:06:20.082 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.597$ is vaccinating mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:06:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.512$ And that's one reason is that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}06{:}24.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.086$ in fections are indeed more severe in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}06{:}27.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.168$ pregnancy and influenza and hepatitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}06{:}29.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.954$ E is is one example and it seems that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:06:32.954 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.129$ COVID-19 is is in that category as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:06:36.129 --> 00:06:38.950 But the other reason is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:06:39.033 --> 00:06:42.339 obviously vaccinate to protect the child.

00:06:42.340 --> 00:06:45.844 The first trial actually I did with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:06:45.844 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.626$ mentor who was my MPH advisor and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:06:49.626 --> 00:06:53.428 sort of later when I was a faculty member,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:06:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.048$ he was continued to be a collaborator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:06:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.730$ I worked the first trial I worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:06:57.730 --> 00:06:59.186 on of maternal immunization level

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:06:59.186 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.244$ talk about was called Mother's gift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:07:01.250 --> 00:07:02.828 You know, initially at that age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}07{:}02.830 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.021$ you know when you are at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:05.021 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.490$ faculty things look cheesy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.978$ You know, if it's too on the nose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.208$ but now in retrospect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:10.208 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.070$ I think it was a very appropriate name

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}07{:}13.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.440$ because you're vaccinating the mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.718$ and they are enhancing their immunological

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:18.718 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.088$ or physiological gift to protect the baby.

00:07:23.090 --> 00:07:25.862 So a little bit about Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}07{:}25.862 \to 00{:}07{:}28.870$ and immunology in pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.278$ Pregnancy is a dynamic stage and so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:32.278 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.460$ have an increase in in the sex hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.185$ I'm assuming this pointer shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:37.185 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.519$ up on the zoom side as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:07:39.520 --> 00:07:42.888 And so, you know, the sex hormones increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.210$ but it's not like a nice clean line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.650$ And obviously even this is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:07:46.650 --> 00:07:47.889 you know, approximation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:07:47.889 --> 00:07:51.258 but it's it goes in steps, in stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}07{:}51.258 \rightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.478$ and there's some threshold effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}07{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.020$ I'll come back to these two panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:07:55.020 --> 00:07:57.036 But but if you look at it as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:07:57.036 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.899$ result of that and other changes,

 $00:07:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.540$ there are certain parts of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}08{:}01.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.973$ immune system that get upregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:03.973 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.270$ as the pregnancy progresses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:08:06.270 --> 00:08:09.020 For example, monocytes and fibrocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.664$ dendritic cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:09.664 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.725$ So a lot of the cells of the innate

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:08:12.725 --> 00:08:14.765 immune system and T regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}08{:}14.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.571$ cells which sort of keep the abuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}08{:}17.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.767$ of the immune system in check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.359$ So think of it as the internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}08{:}21.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.000$ affairs of the Police Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.040$ And and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.878$ On the other hand does she

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:27.878 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.052$ remodeling goes down because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:30.052 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.308$ don't want that level of remodeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.060$ You know as the pregnancy

00:08:34.060 --> 00:08:35.460 progresses earlier on you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}08{:}35.460 \to 00{:}08{:}38.428$ you want it and cell mediated immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

00:08:38.428 --> 00:08:40.520 especially CD4 and CD8 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.082$ they go down and they're sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:43.082 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.981$ functions decline if you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:44.981 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.159$ and natural killer cells go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.020$ And as a result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.314$ perhaps you have things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:51.314 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.102$ more severe like malaria that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:53.102 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.887$ more severe in early pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.850$ But there are other infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:08:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.300$ especially viral infections that become,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}09{:}00.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.596$ you know and they become malaria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.900$ becomes less severe at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.525$ becomes less severe as the

 $00:09:04.525 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.500$ pregnancy progresses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.020$ But there are other infections with

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.520$ severity goes up with pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.317$ And then sort of there is increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:13.317 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.505$ evidence that with progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:15.505 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.240$ of pregnancy there are certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:18.318 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.890$ vaccine immunogenicity is no one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.390$ Sometimes it's the same and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.900$ sometimes it's diminished with

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}09{:}23.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.600$ the similar clinical effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.012$ But what we do know that in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00{:}09{:}28.012 \to 00{:}09{:}30.059$ vaccine immunogenicity in pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.183$ we can mount very good clinically meaningful

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:34.183 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.268$ effects of these vaccines and pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.570$ And and therefore and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862689946

 $00:09:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.870$ the other thing is that

 $00:09:42.965 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.439$ through protection of moms to babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:09:46.440 --> 00:09:47.940 Through antibodies, which we enhance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:09:47.940 --> 00:09:50.208 that's one major mechanism of giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:09:50.208 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.169$ moms the vaccine so that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:09:53.169 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.005$ transplacental transfer of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:09:55.005 --> 00:09:58.886 antibodies that goes up not in a linear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:09:58.886 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.608$ nice linear pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:00.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.566$ A fashion, they're kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:02.566 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.393$ sort of a substantial increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:04.393 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.010$ after 2032 weeks of vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.950$ 32 weeks of pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.846$ And so therefore you know how you time if

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00{:}10{:}13.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.316$ your primary mechanism is just passive

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:16.316 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.008$ vaccination through antibody transfer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:10:18.010 --> 00:10:20.710 So you time it around you

 $00:10:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.510$ know late second trimester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00{:}10{:}22.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.854$ early 3rd trimester so that you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:23.854 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.165$ at the peak of antibody response

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:10:25.165 --> 00:10:26.768 and that's where you when we design

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:26.816 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.921$ I do maternal immunization trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:10:27.921 --> 00:10:29.814 as well if you don't know anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:10:29.814 --> 00:10:30.990 about the physiological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00{:}10{:}30.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.275$ Sponsor adequacy of response you

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00{:}10{:}33.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.560$ that's where you vaccinate most

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:35.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.756$ women in the first trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00{:}10{:}37.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.220$ then you expand recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.604$ And so we have you know embryo is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:42.604 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.559$ developing and so initially earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00{:}10{:}44.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.820$ on if you have an impact on systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.020$ sometimes there is spontaneous abortion

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.811$ that you concerned about even you know

 $00{:}10{:}52.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.973$ after vaccination or as an adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:54.973 \dashrightarrow 00:10:57.619$ event that we look out for us as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:57.619 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.364$ are developing these vaccines later

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:10:59.364 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.330$ on it's more functional etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:11:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.018$ So the fact is, the bottom line is

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:11:04.018 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.069$ that pregnancy is a dynamic state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:11:06.070 --> 00:11:08.146 It's a non linearly dynamic state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

 $00:11:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.586$ and if you will in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:11:10.590 --> 00:11:11.625 immunology of pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790312820625

00:11:11.625 --> 00:11:13.350 you can be slightly pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:16.610 --> 00:11:18.450 When it comes to vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.578$ the the there have been so tetanus

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:20.578 --> 00:11:22.510 has been with us for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.719$ technus vaccination etcetera

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:23.719 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.928$ in several countries.

 $00:11:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.978$ But that modern change started with

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00{:}11{:}27.978 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.134$ influenza and and you know the story

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:30.134 --> 00:11:32.271 starts with I had the privilege of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:32.271 --> 00:11:34.215 being part of this trial because

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:34.215 --> 00:11:36.684 in the US we had been recommending

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:36.684 --> 00:11:40.670 actually after the 1960s pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.070$ there was a flu pandemic in early 60s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:43.070 --> 00:11:44.775 That's when the so-called Advisory

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:44.775 --> 00:11:45.798 Committee on Immunization

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:45.798 --> 00:11:47.270 Practices was actually formed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.222$ The CDC, that is the main body that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:11:50.222 --> 00:11:52.830 develops recommendations for vaccinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00{:}11{:}52.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.368$ and one of the initial vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:55.368 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.637$ recommendations was vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:56.637 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.730$ against influenza in pregnant women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:11:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.389$ But that is that was one vaccination

 $00:12:00.389 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.338$ that was more aspirational for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:12:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.262$ It stuck around like 5 to 12% maximum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:12:05.262 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.150$ In a good year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:12:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.662$ it would be 15%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:12:07.662 --> 00:12:08.796 And that but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:12:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.732$ but there was a paradox you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

00:12:10.732 --> 00:12:12.192 because the primary indication was

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:12:12.192 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.215$ mothers and we could not do trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912887444

 $00:12:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.390$ randomized trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:12:17.430 --> 00:12:19.130 Of these, because, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.978$ the so-called standard of care was

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}12{:}20.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.576$ that it was already recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}12{:}22.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.040$ in the US and so we couldn't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.718$ randomized control trials of influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:12:26.718 --> 00:12:29.032 vaccines in the US for this reason

 $00:12:29.032 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.036$ and for the reason of exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}12{:}31.036 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.468$ the impact in low income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}12{:}33.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.080$ we did this trial in Bangladesh

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.965$ in early 2000s caused the mother's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:12:38.965 --> 00:12:41.576 gift trial where we, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:12:41.576 --> 00:12:43.347 vaccinated moms and looked at the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.408$ confirmed influenza in infants and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}12{:}45.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.720$ was the first trial to demonstrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:12:47.720 --> 00:12:49.700 But you can actually predict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.440$ prevent lab confirm in that case

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.600$ it was rapid test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.316$ it was a relatively small trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}12{:}55.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.525$ showed 63% reduction in infant influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:12:58.525 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.340$ after maternal vaccination in pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.000$ The first initial question was

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.731$ in terms of early childhood that

00:13:06.731 --> 00:13:09.370 was it happening due to some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:09.443 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.320$ sort of differential breastfeeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.720$ rates in the two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.172$ We know that breastfeeding is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:18.172 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.140$ protective against respiratory outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:13:21.140 --> 00:13:23.636 you know the ammonia etcetera and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:23.636 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.622$ all of that stuff in in babies even

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}13{:}26.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.194$ though it was a randomized trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}13{:}28.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.040$ you know we went in and we looked at it

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}13{:}30.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.040$ and it turned out this was in Bangladesh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}13{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.888$ So exclusive breast feeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.350$ Everyone was bad for breastfeeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.167$ So we could not say yes or no breastfeeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:13:41.170 --> 00:13:43.354 but we could say exclusive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:13:43.354 --> 00:13:44.446 non exclusive breastfeeding.

 $00:13:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.536$ And we found that secession was happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:46.536 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.162$ if if anything was happening in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:49.162 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.370$ influenza arm a little bit earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.300$ And so that wasn't the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.480$ But that didn't mean that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.424$ it wasn't being modified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:13:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.657$ And actually that rabbit hole has led

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

00:13:57.657 --> 00:14:01.059 me into actually looking at some very

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}14{:}01.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.635$ novel vaccine mechanisms of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:14:03.635 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.845$ vaccination. So stay tuned I got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00{:}14{:}06.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.010$ Sidetracked during the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:14:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.170$ uh have come back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:14:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.714$ have been coming back to my sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:14:13.714 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.346$ passion of that so earlier on based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126

 $00:14:16.346 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.995$ this work and the fact that in 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888436126 00:14:19.995 --> 00:14:20.520 2010.

 $00:14:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.320$ We had the H1N1 influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:14:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.220$ pandemic and because that trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:14:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.423$ was out there, it was cited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:14:33.423 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.178$ And both domestically and internationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

00:14:36.180 --> 00:14:38.292 pregnant women were for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:14:38.292 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.700$ time prioritized to protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:14:39.757 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.836$ themselves and the babies during

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}14{:}41.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.016$ that pandemic for flu vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

00:14:45.020 --> 00:14:48.812 And WHO also went and said that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}14{:}48.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51.145$ countries that are going to prioritize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}14{:}51.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.485$ they're going to introduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}14{:}52.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.598$ Influenza vaccines should prioritize

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}14{:}55.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}57.929$ maternal influenza vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:14:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.354$ But then flu is a fickle friend in

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:00.354 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.890$ the sense that it changes every year.

 $00:15:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.370$ The vaccine effectiveness changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.758$ And, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

00:15:06.758 --> 00:15:09.062 I'm more surprised by the consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:09.062 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.084$ from place to place and year

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:11.084 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.294$ to year of influenza than I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:13.294 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.059$ by variation between the two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

00:15:15.060 --> 00:15:18.875 So, you know, a couple in Seattle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333 00:15:18.880 --> 00:15:19.587 Called, NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:19.587 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.829$ Bill and Melinda Gates were increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.086$ Increasingly intrigued by this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8428462933333333

 $00{:}15{:}26.086 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}15{:}28.906$ prospect and with their team

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:28.906 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.527$ commissioned three additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:30.527 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.443$ trials to verify the findings from

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:33.443 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.610$ our little trial that could to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.725$ if these are consistent across

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}15{:}38.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.122$ geographies and and the main

00:15:40.122 --> 00:15:41.510 interest was low income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00{:}15{:}41.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.480$ low and middle income countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842846293333333

 $00:15:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.380$ So there are three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:15:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.032$ Groups of three vaccines were commissioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:15:50.032 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.645$ and that commissioning was three trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:15:53.645 --> 00:15:56.485 vaccine Trials Commission in Mali,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:15:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.262$ Nepal and South Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:15:58.262 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.920$ And then they sort of contacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:01.005 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.699$ me to actually pull their data

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:03.699 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.284$ together and say, look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:05.284 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.930$ what is the overall synthesis from this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.666$ So it turns out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}16{:}09.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.366$ Uh, it worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:11.366 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.164$ The vaccines worked across all sides

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:15.164 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.162$ to prevent infant influenza and and

00:16:19.162 --> 00:16:22.420 which is you know which was good

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}16{:}22.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.756$ and it wasn't surprising for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:24.756 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.353$ that that it raised the effect sizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:27.353 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.130$ ranged vary from place to place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:16:29.130 --> 00:16:31.398 That's true for every you know adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}16{:}31.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.572$ flu vaccine and and childhood flu

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:33.572 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.852$ vaccines you know so that wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:35.852 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.724$ surprising but but but we showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:37.724 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.621$ and they showed that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:16:39.621 --> 00:16:41.926 This vaccine works pretty consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}16{:}41.926 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}16{:}43.770$ to prevent sizeable proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}16{:}43.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.867$ of influenza and influenza is

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:16:45.867 --> 00:16:47.088 impactful is dangerous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.425$ particularly dangerous for

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:16:48.425 --> 00:16:50.650 young infants and older people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.520$ and these are the highest risk groups.

00:16:53.520 --> 00:16:54.414 But it also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:54.414 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.500$ we also show that overall in in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059 00:16:56.500 --> 00:16:57.541 in the Paul,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:16:57.541 --> 00:16:58.929 the confidence intervals were

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:16:58.929 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.762$ crossed and all but essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:00.762 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.587$ it was protecting moms themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:02.587 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.879$ as well and it was pretty safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}04.880 \to 00{:}17{:}06.860$ But we also found intriguingly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}06.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.369$ beauty of pooling data from 4 sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:09.369 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.249$ that were designed intentionally to

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:17:11.249 --> 00:17:12.969 compare outcomes that that happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}12.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.076$ when you know all their checks are

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}15.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.860$ coming from the same benefactors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.261$ They can nudge trial us to sit

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}20.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.246$ together and align their protocols

 $00:17:22.246 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.018$ rather than a company.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}24.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.120$ Like Pfizer and and Mark doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:17:26.120 --> 00:17:28.456 trials you know that's they have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:28.456 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.888$ have more of a nudging from FDA to

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.868$ do that and that rarely happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.495$ So this was pullable and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}17{:}35.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.421$ found was that there was a reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:38.421 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.001$ in all cause pneumonia in babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:17:41.010 --> 00:17:44.748 of 20\% or 20 percentage points

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.187$ that's pretty substantial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:17:46.187 --> 00:17:49.540 So pneumonia is one of the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:17:49.625 --> 00:17:52.068 killers and the way it happens is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:52.068 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.237$ think it happens and we did other work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.248$ To show that as well that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:17:57.248 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.846$ the one two punch of maternal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}18{:}00.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.938$ influenza virus predisposing babies

 $00:18:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.740$ for through to bacterial pneumonia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:18:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.900$ And this is what's happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059 00:18:08.900 --> 00:18:11.180 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:11.180 --> 00:18:14.120 a gentleman called Tony Fauci and

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:18:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.196$ his colleagues went to Walter Reed

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:18:17.196 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.128$ and looked at specimens from 1918

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:20.128 --> 00:18:22.792 pandemic and actually showed that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}18{:}22.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.420$ lot of the deaths were happening from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}18{:}26.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.036$ Secondary influenza to secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:18:28.036 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.056$ bacterial infection after the influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}18{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.420$ It was the influenza pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}18{:}31.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.210$ but it was the pneumococcus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}18{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.996$ perhaps HIV influenza diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:35.996 --> 00:18:38.136 that was actually killing people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:18:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.492$ So it was that one two punch I used

00:18:41.492 --> 00:18:44.657 to show a slide of Muhammad Ali

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:44.657 --> 00:18:46.552 delivering his famous 1/2 punch

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:46.552 --> 00:18:49.015 and then someone stole that slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:18:49.015 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.920$ and I have never been more annoyed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:51.920 --> 00:18:55.252 So so people, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:55.252 --> 00:18:57.236 steal someone's work fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:57.240 --> 00:18:58.684 Don't steal someone's jokes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

00:18:58.684 --> 00:19:00.128 That's that's outright that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}19{:}00.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.058$ cruel plagiarism, but in any case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:19:02.058 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.870$ There's that one two punch that

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00{:}19{:}03.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.228$ were that was that the virus was

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:19:06.228 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.710$ delivering and then you could leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:19:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.618$ that through maternal vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:19:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.596$ But here's the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059 00:19:11.596 --> 00:19:11.840 Look, NOTE Confidence: 0.680946227647059

 $00:19:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.815$ this is a pretty substantial

 $00:19:13.815 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.810$ reducing 20% of all calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}19{:}18.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.930$ Influenza pneumonia across three

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.890$ sites is pretty substantial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:19:24.890 --> 00:19:27.970 Is it true and if it were to be true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.665$ how could you show it and leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:30.665 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.820$ this unique characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:19:31.892 --> 00:19:33.508 of influenza virus itself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}19{:}33.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35.700$ Well, you leverage the seasonality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}19{:}35.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38.921$ the virus and you see that if there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:38.921 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.350$ more virus circulating in the Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:19:41.350 --> 00:19:44.732 you would have a higher effect size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:44.732 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.144$ this vaccine on infant influence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}19{:}47.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.035$ you would have literally or or sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:50.035 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.746$ of virtually no effect when there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:19:52.746 --> 00:19:54.634 no influenza in those communities.

 $00:19:54.634 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.600$ Where, where these trials were happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}19{:}56.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.267$ So yes, when there was no influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:19:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.485$ there was no impact on infant pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:02.485 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.860$ all cosmia of maternal vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.156$ The more virus there was in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.040$ the more impactful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.775$ Influenza vaccines were in protecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:20:13.775 --> 00:20:15.740 children against influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}20{:}15.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.220$ against all cause pneumonia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.230$ So it was pretty early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:20:21.230 --> 00:20:23.180 So this is from 2012 actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.580$ But to show that very earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.180$ on these trials we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.404$ we published our trial in Bangladesh trial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.637$ 2008 and the other trials came subsequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.332$ But very early on these were

 $00:20:35.332 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.460$ incorporated in global policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}20{:}36.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.790$ But since then the lower income

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:20:40.790 --> 00:20:44.140 countries still have this barrier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:44.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.160$ they don't vaccinate against influenza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.081$ Yahoo does it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:50.081 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.309$ the US does it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.067$ A lot of other countries do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:53.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.450$ So Latin America does it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.248$ but that's the nest next frontier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:20:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.950$ Then there is another virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.874$ another bacterium or another

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:02.874 --> 00:21:05.279 just really pathogen for tassis

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}21{:}05.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07.436$ which causes a lot of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.430$ And uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.410$ But it disproportionately impacts

 $00:21:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.570$ children too young to be vaccinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}21{:}13.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.162$ It has more disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:15.162 --> 00:21:17.152 severe disease in young infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.680$ and then the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.166$ This vaccine was after a few outbreaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.928$ which we expect by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.975$ a few more outbreaks because

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}21{:}26.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.020$ routine vaccination has gone down

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}21{:}29.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.069$ in the US during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:31.070 --> 00:21:33.278 And the two most unforgiving childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}21{:}33.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.390$ pathogens are measles and pertussis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.590$ because they have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:36.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.590$ they have the higher so-called

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.930$ basic reproductive numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:39.930 --> 00:21:41.840 meaning they're most more infectious

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.510$ than a lot of other pathogens.

00:21:44.510 --> 00:21:46.966 And so you know, in the US after

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:46.966 --> 00:21:48.550 these outbreaks in California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.008$ et cetera, and folks in Texas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:50.010 --> 00:21:52.698 Saying that we can't do protect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:52.700 --> 00:21:56.020 do cocooning or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:56.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.712$ protect young infants through

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:21:57.712 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.827$ eliminating the transmission of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:21:59.827 --> 00:22:02.490 virus of the of the bacterium from

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.942$ their surrounding by vaccinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:22:04.007 --> 00:22:05.138 adults around them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:22:05.140 --> 00:22:08.241 It was return of the immunization was

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:08.241 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.550$ recommended but it was recommended without.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}22{:}11.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.878$ The the evidence of from from

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}22{:}13.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.430$ a randomized controlled trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.030$ so the first priority.

00:22:18.030 --> 00:22:21.480 Was to actually look at the safety of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}22{:}21.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.880$ and the safety of this vaccine was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.010$ Was pretty good with the exception

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.075$ of two signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.832$ So there was chorioamnionitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:32.832 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.460$ that was higher in the vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:35.533 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.757$ group versus unvaccinated group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:22:37.760 --> 00:22:40.225 But interestingly for task says

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00{:}22{:}40.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.690$ the preterm delivery rates were

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:42.772 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.260$ were not different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:22:44.260 --> 00:22:45.625 If anything it was lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:22:45.625 --> 00:22:46.717 in the vaccinated group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.160$ So that was reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.758$ The other thing was this was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:50.758 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.918$ in large linked databases from Hmos

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

 $00:22:52.918 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.211$ and when we looked at this and

 $00:22:55.211 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.508$ this was led by one of my postdocs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.739849052

00:22:57.508 --> 00:22:59.860 Was not at CDC and and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

00:22:59.935 --> 00:23:02.519 engaged with it as part of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

 $00:23:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.447$ this this network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

 $00:23:03.447 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.840$ And when we looked at this actually no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

 $00:23:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.368$ this was not led by my post doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

 $00:23:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.160$ That was the next study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

00:23:08.160 --> 00:23:09.432 It was led by a colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.801256078888889

 $00:23:09.432 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.550$ that was involved with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.570$ And what we you know what was what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:23:16.661 --> 00:23:23.300 was that if you looked at the evidence of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.724$ Supporting evidence of chorioamnionitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}23{:}25.724 --> 00{:}23{:}28.995$ Only 50% had any even sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:23:28.995 --> 00:23:30.228 flimsy supporting evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:23:30.230 --> 00:23:33.534 So what was happening was OB's were

 $00:23:33.534 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.400$ essentially classifying all fever

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}23{:}35.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39.845$ in 3rd trimester as core um unitus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:23:39.850 --> 00:23:42.262 Likely, and there is evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:42.262 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.804$ supporting that, likely due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:44.804 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.116$ concerns associated with litigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.808$ And so, so that was a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:48.808 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.902$ going on but the most you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:50.902 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.198$ concerning outcome again thankfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:52.198 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.017$ which is preterm birth of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:23:54.017 --> 00:23:55.804 you have minus wasn't showing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:23:55.804 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.212$ The other thing was the US recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:23:58.212 --> 00:23:59.908 this vaccine in every pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}23{:}59.908 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.106$ and with a tetanus and it's given

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.350$ as a combined tetanus diphtheria

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.094$ and acellular pertussis vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.338$ And so therefore you know especially

 $00:24:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.664$ vaccine is very safe but it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:10.664 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.660$ be reacted genic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.108$ it can give you you know it's like mouthwash

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:24:14.108 --> 00:24:16.470 if it's hurting a little it's working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.765$ And and it does hurt and is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:24:19.765 --> 00:24:21.545 terms of short-term reactogenicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.578$ it does have that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:22.578 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.464$ And the concern was that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.186$ are doing it too close together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.512$ a lot of women get pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:24:28.512 --> 00:24:29.286 pretty frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.706$ And so therefore we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:30.706 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.369$ see if there was a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.370$ So compared to a greater than five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.440$ if you women received this

 $00:24:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.510$ vaccine less than two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.806$ there was less than two year

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:40.806 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.430$ gap and two to five year gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.929$ There was no difference in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:44.929 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.390$ local reactions, the big ones small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.130$ Gestational age and freedom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.858$ That was good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:24:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.785$ So then we have another vaccine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}24{:}52.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.050$ is coming down the Pike, GBS vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:24:55.050 --> 00:24:58.165 So Group B Strep is a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}24{:}58.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.702$ There are two types of groupies

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:00.702 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.960$ strep which is early onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.776$ not so creatively named early and late onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:25:05.780 --> 00:25:07.705 but but very appropriately described

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:07.705 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.097$ in the sense that early onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}25{:}10.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.077$ happens before it's seven days,

00:25:12.080 --> 00:25:14.570 late onset happens after between

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.580$ 7 to 90 days of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.234$ The early onset presents without a focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.075$ Access without a focus pneumonia

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:23.075 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.543$ meningitis in late onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:25:24.550 --> 00:25:27.416 Meningitis is more focused,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:27.416 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.390$ more frequent and the cases 30% are

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}25{:}32.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.670$ reasonable proportion have permanent

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.781$ sequelae you in the US what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:38.781 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.676$ recommended was universal screening and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:25:41.680 --> 00:25:44.408 You know antibiotic prophylaxis

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:44.408 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.500$ and that reduced the in pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}25{:}48.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.817$ that reduced the rates of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:25:51.817 --> 00:25:54.365 onset Group B strep substantially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:25:54.365 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.605$ but the late onset disease remained the same.

 $00:25:57.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.340$ And so therefore there was this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.705$ room for vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:01.710 --> 00:26:03.425 But why do we worry about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:03.430 --> 00:26:04.417 Well, it causes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:04.417 --> 00:26:06.391 if you look at invasive Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:06.391 --> 00:26:07.750 B strep disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.190$ which is one form of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.530$ it causes a lot of stillbirths or

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}26{:}13.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.952$ spontaneous abortions and can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:15.952 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.528$ other adverse pregnancy outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}26{:}17.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.410$ But but to to look into that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:20.410 --> 00:26:23.395 the fuller context, you have GBS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:23.395 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.890$ colonization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:23.890 --> 00:26:27.600 the supportive data that you know through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:27.600 --> 00:26:29.660 Intra amniotic you retract

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00{:}26{:}29.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.720$ or systemic GBS infection,

 $00:26:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.568$ you have an association with preterm birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.930$ which is the big thing that you're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:35.930 --> 00:26:38.119 to prevent in terms of birth outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

 $00:26:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.653$ adverse birth outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:39.653 --> 00:26:41.697 This preliminary data but

NOTE Confidence: 0.864307449444444

00:26:41.697 --> 00:26:43.230 increasing supporting data

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:26:43.299 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.727$ that due to systemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:26:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.020$ Colonization and all due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}26{:}47.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.080$ systemic factors like cytokines etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:26:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.768$ Even colonization may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:26:51.768 -> 00:26:53.456 associated with preterm birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}26{:}53.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.020$ So there were like several vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}26{:}55.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.800$ initially it was this vaccine tribal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:26:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.225$ And there are new vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:26:58.225 --> 00:26:59.980 coming in coming down the Pike.

 $00:26:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.010$ And we hope to see a vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:27:02.010 --> 00:27:04.379 for GBS in the next few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.696$ Then there is respiratory sensational virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.908$ I couldn't have imagined

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:07.908 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.116$ like before the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:27:09.120 --> 00:27:12.074 the people would actually be talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.948$ you know, on PBS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.295$ Could be asked about RSV or like

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}27{:}17.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.515$ people would be talking about RSV

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:19.515 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.795$ which was frankly a niche virus

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}27{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.040$ for well physicians know about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.596$ pediatricians know about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:26.596 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.366$ but it's not a sort of virus

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:27:29.366 --> 00:27:31.837 well known but it has you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.820$ you can ask me why we had those surge etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.737$ But in any case those of us who do

00:27:35.737 --> 00:27:37.699 this for a living knew that RSV was

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:27:37.699 --> 00:27:39.725 a big problem and that's why they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:39.725 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.887$ there are vaccines in the pipeline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:41.887 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.183$ there's a lot of good news around this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:27:44.190 --> 00:27:47.654 And so it it is just RSV respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:47.654 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.647$ sensational virus disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:49.647 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.730$ impacts infants younger than five months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:27:53.730 --> 00:27:55.455 And premature infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:55.455 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.765$ So there are antibody products that are out

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:27:58.765 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.350$ there for especially premature infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:28:00.350 --> 00:28:02.810 but it's not a viable strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.000$ at the mass population level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}28{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.999$ And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:05.999 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.331$ early estimates showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:07.331 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.800$ in the neonatal period,

 $00:28:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.900$ RSP was associated with a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}28{:}10.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.048$ over 2% of all cause mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}28{:}14.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.018$ and 7% of all cause mortality in

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:17.018 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.989$ the post unit or infancy period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.738$ That's pretty that's a lot of debts but

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:28:22.738 --> 00:28:24.962 by a single pathogen and This is why

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:24.962 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.838$ it is an attractive target for prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.401$ We did some work in Australia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:28:29.401 --> 00:28:30.910 showed that some of this infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.098$ early infection can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}28{:}32.098 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}28{:}33.682$ is pretty convincingly associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:33.682 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.439$ with later development of asthma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:28:35.440 --> 00:28:35.670 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143 00:28:35.670 --> 00:28:36.130 et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.451$ And so a whole host of work points to

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:38.451 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.747$ this pathogen being actually a pretty bad

 $00:28:40.747 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.736$ pathogen that we should try to prevent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.000$ These numbers have sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:28:44.000 --> 00:28:46.295 I've been part of a lot of studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:46.295 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.356$ have added nuance to these numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.986$ So the numbers are more variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.396$ They vary from region to region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.117$ But the answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:53.117 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.380$ the bottom line of all the burden assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00{:}28{:}55.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.390$ especially from low income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

00:28:57.390 --> 00:28:58.982 including low income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745398697857143

 $00:28:58.982 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.140$ is that this pathogen is worth preventing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.646$ And so there is this robust pipeline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}29{:}06.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.206$ This has been updated very recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:09.210 --> 00:29:12.048 There was this vaccine by Novavax,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.658$ which again to my surprise became a Moderna

 $00:29:15.658 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.279$ and Novavax used to be very niche companies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:19.280 --> 00:29:23.065 Without a lot of public recognition of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:23.065 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.666$ Novavax had a product now Pfizer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:25.666 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.170$ product had moved forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:27.170 --> 00:29:30.810 And then GSK, you know, Santa Fe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.550$ The product is also moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.421$ but essentially after the initial work

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:36.421 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.826$ by Novavax Gates Foundation invested

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:38.826 --> 00:29:41.943 heavily in this vaccine and I'll tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:41.943 --> 00:29:44.533 you a nuanced story of this vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:44.540 --> 00:29:46.800 That Novavax vaccine was done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.685$ it was a nanoparticle vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:29:49.685 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.993$ of a post fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}29{:}52.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.056$ I wouldn't get into the detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:29:53.060 --> 00:29:55.514 but essentially there's a pre fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}29{:}55.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.320$ molecule and a post fusion molecule

 $00:29:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.344$ post fusion is like in lab studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.534$ Was shown to be likely more effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.997$ but these guys had a pre fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:30:05.997 --> 00:30:08.382 product and they recruited from all

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:08.382 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.301$ sorts of sites but essentially half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:11.382 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.016$ the subjects came from South Africa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.620$ For various reasons they overshot

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}30{:}16.620 \to 00{:}30{:}19.220$ their optimism of the initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.790$ sample size was pretty decent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.254$ but then due to commercial reasons they

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:30:24.254 --> 00:30:26.973 had an early unplanned look and when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:30:26.973 --> 00:30:29.789 look at your data a little bit early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}30{:}29.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.390$ Regulatory entities add a penalty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.430$ you have a higher bar burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:34.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.290$ statistical burden.

00:30:35.290 --> 00:30:37.010 So your P value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}30{:}37.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.962$ the target alpha changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:38.962 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.426$ that's what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.685$ And then they became a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.630$ over optimistic based on the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}30{:}46.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.730$ data and they stopped the trial at

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}30{:}49.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.770$ based on the events in a pretty lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.465$ almost half that initial target sample size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.502$ Here's what So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:30:58.502 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.469$ What they did was they did safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:00.469 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.917$ assessment for six months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:31:01.920 --> 00:31:04.195 safety assessments for mom for six months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.352$ infants for one year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:05.352 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.504$ efficacy of three months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

00:31:06.510 --> 00:31:07.094 four months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:07.094 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.138$ five months and six years and then

 $00:31:09.138 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.056$ they were randomized to have two is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00{:}31{:}11.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.896$ to one more vaccine than place bo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:12.896 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.373$ You know when you have more confidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:15.373 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.028$ sometimes you give more vaccines et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:18.028 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.900$ You know up up to one is 2/3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.324$ you get pretty good statistical efficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:22.324 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.500$ very close to one is to 1 randomization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.532$ So that's done pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.893116164285714

 $00:31:26.532 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.564$ frequently in vaccine trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.100$ Efficacy is defined as in their case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.850$ prevention of infant lab confirmed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}31{:}35.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.865$ Outcomes of RSV and these are I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}31{:}38.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.038$ go through the primary outcomes et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.545$ This is medically significant RSV

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:31:44.545 --> 00:31:46.549 lower respiratory tract infection.

 $00:31:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.250$ So they had specifically criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.510$ and this was the main outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.050$ This is the primary endpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.540$ Look what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.916$ It caused the null.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:55.916 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.980$ And at the age of remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.500$ these are not uniform distributions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:31:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.200$ so the tails are narrower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:01.200 --> 00:32:05.519 so it barely failed their primary endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.380$ but on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.136$ for most of the other stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:11.140 --> 00:32:13.666 You know, on the overall analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.258$ primary endpoint with extended data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:17.260 --> 00:32:19.756 which was pretty justifiable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:19.756 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.240$ the vaccine performed reasonably well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.070$ I don't work with any vaccine

00:32:24.070 --> 00:32:25.895 companies in the sense that I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:25.895 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.530$ get paid with them for by them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:27.530 --> 00:32:28.156 including Novavax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:28.156 --> 00:32:31.452 I pay for my own meals if I show up

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:31.452 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.600$ at any of the scientific meetings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.126$ And the reason is not that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:35.126 --> 00:32:36.386 nothing wrong with working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}32{:}36.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.786$ vaccines companies because I work

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:37.786 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.000$ on vaccine acceptance as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.051$ That's I get to yell a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:41.051 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.470$ harder at some people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:42.470 --> 00:32:44.588 If, if I don't do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.810$ but so with you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}32{:}45.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.352$ disclosing that sort of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:47.352 --> 00:32:48.890 lack of conflict of interest,

 $00:32:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.227$ I have no personal interest in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:50.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.502$ But this vaccine works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:51.502 --> 00:32:53.863 It doesn't work if you just stratify

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:53.863 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.185$ because most of the recruitment came

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:32:56.185 --> 00:32:58.345 from outside the US so obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:32:58.345 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.529$ us only won't work and that wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.150$ the primary endpoint as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}33{:}02.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.985$ but South Africa where most half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}33{:}04.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.103$ the subjects came. So it failed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:33:07.103 --> 00:33:09.210 And Dan Weinberger at the School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}33{:}09.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}11.288$ Public Health and I and a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:11.288 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.019$ of others have been pushing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.430$ 4 actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:33:16.430 --> 00:33:19.209 Doing what we call a Bayesian trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.450$ take the the data from the previous trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.005$ Add the next a few more subjects

 $00:33:26.005 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.461$ and then add a statistical penalty

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:28.461 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.618$ of doing it in two stages and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:31.618 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.658$ then get gets the licensure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:33:34.660 --> 00:33:36.436 The company wasn't interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.656$ Gates pulled their support because

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:38.656 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.317$ they were enamored by the next product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:33:41.320 --> 00:33:45.320 But are we so Nice is to think that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.994$ one magic product will be accessible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}33{:}47.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.020$ to the places where it's most required,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}33{:}51.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.114$ despite all sorts of assurances and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:33:53.114 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.200$ and press conferences and agreements?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81817977142857100:33:55.200 --> 00:33:57.289 Now this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}33{:}57.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.150$ you know and and if you have any doubts

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:01.246 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.270$ about that you know Pfizer with with its.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571 00:34:04.270 --> 00:34:05.150 \$100 billion,

 $00:34:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.910$ that's a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.294$ billion with a B windfall and lack of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:34:12.300 --> 00:34:14.971 Access to M RNA vaccines throughout 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:14.971 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.699$ Now when the horse has left the barn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.880$ as in the neighboring barn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:34:20.880 --> 00:34:22.592 munching on some hay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:22.592 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.160$ now all the vaccine is available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571 00:34:25.160 --> 00:34:25.770 et cetera. NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.075$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

00:34:26.075 --> 00:34:28.604 So the point I'm trying to make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:28.604 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.872$ is that it was a perfectly decent

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:30.872 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.426$ vaccine and they had much more stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:33.426 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.879$ agreements because they were a new company.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.088$ Gates and other key players had

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:38.088 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.537$ much more say in global access

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00:34:40.537 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.237$ and there was a lost opportunity.

 $00:34:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.640$ Even if the best case scenario is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.818179771428571

 $00{:}34{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.067$ there's a new vaccine that has shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:34:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.198$ I'm not showing the data in the interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:34:48.198 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.262$ of time that has shown that maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:34:50.262 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.826$ you know the new vaccine works but major

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:34:52.826 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.716$ pharmaceutical companies it's it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:34:54.716 --> 00:34:57.230 risky bet for global access it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}34{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.827$ come our kids etcetera and the US will

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:34:59.827 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.091$ be protected for and and moms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}35{:}02.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.268$ young kids will be protected starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}35{:}05.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.176$ ideally you know next year or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.558$ So then COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.520$ So I had the privilege.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:35:13.520 --> 00:35:15.216 I've done a few things around the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:35:15.220 --> 00:35:16.132 but including vaccines,

 $00:35:16.132 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.520$ and I had the privilege of being part

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:35:18.520 --> 00:35:20.465 of The Who COVID-19 vaccine group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:20.465 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.860$ also the National Academy of Medicine Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.908$ That said, who gets it for a second?

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.949$ That's that that described that overall plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.231$ Now, in retrospect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:30.231 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.939$ there's consensus that elderly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.118$ the elderly should, should get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.136$ But when we were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:35.136 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.788$ when the sausage was being made, Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}35{:}37.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.738$ like, we got thousands of comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:40.738 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.768$ We're impassioned pleas of prioritizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:43.768 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.520$ one group versus another, et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:35:47.520 --> 00:35:51.090 And so, and pregnant women were

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.806$ included in those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:52.806 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.550$ But remember,

 $00:35:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.470$ we didn't have data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}35{:}54.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.538$ And so, despite years of pushing

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:56.538 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.548$ that in public health emergencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:35:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.892$ myself and my colleague Ruth Faden

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:01.892 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.563$ at Johns Hopkins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.960$ who who founded their bioethics institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.648$ Have been and others have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:09.648 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.688$ pushing for early trials in

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}36{:}11.688 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}36{:}13.673$ pregnant women during public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:36:13.673 --> 00:36:15.378 emergencies because we know that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571 00:36:15.380 --> 00:36:16.150 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.072$ that's where the questions will come within.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:36:19.072 --> 00:36:21.520 Hours of the announcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}36{:}21.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.096$ so I would get the announcement on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:36:24.096 --> 00:36:26.379 Sunday afternoon because of the the cadence,

00:36:26.380 --> 00:36:28.528 because of regulatory requirements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}36{:}28.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.213$ they would release their results

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:31.213 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.120$ on a Monday morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.320$ So that because if it goes out early

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.659$ it can move the markets et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.578$ So I would get an embargoed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.667$ Sort of results usually on a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:36:44.667 --> 00:36:48.669 call from a reporter on a on a on

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}36{:}48.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.087$ a Sunday afternoon for as these

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:51.087 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.369$ results were coming in saying I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}36{:}54.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.058$ something that I will share with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.740$ I cannot tell you what it is but

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.159$ are you available between this

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:36:59.159 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.419$ hour and this hour?

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.216$ I'll send it to you 1520 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:03.216 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.840$ before I call you on a Sunday evening.

 $00:37:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.992$ We're was like it was like we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}37{:}07.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.240$ embargoed stuff all the time but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.560$ was strictly strictly embargoed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.568$ For for understandable reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571 00:37:14.570 --> 00:37:16.940 And so. NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.796$ So, so as soon as I remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.637$ the first vaccine comes out the 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.046$ Of, you know, an announcement comes out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571 00:37:28.050 --> 00:37:29.230 it works. NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:37:29.230 --> 00:37:31.590 Within hours of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.134$ I get a start getting emails on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}37{:}34.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.863$ first one from a pretty senior Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}37{:}36.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.878$ colleague saying my daughter is

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:38.957 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.056$ also a physician and she's pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:41.056 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.310$ What do you think about the safety

 $00:37:43.378 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.406$ of this vaccine in pregnant women?

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571 00:37:45.410 --> 00:37:45.754 Remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:37:45.754 --> 00:37:47.474 no pregnant women were included

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:47.474 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.506$ in these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.334$ And this was after years of

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:50.334 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.570$ advocacy saying that, look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:37:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.130$ and I'll talk about the ethics of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571 00:37:54.130 --> 00:37:54.778 that look, NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}37{:}54.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.722$ you can't do that because otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:37:56.722 --> 00:37:59.936 you're putting them by, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78409873142857100:37:59.936 --> 00:38:00.979 avoiding. NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

00:38:00.980 --> 00:38:03.290 Including them in in primary trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00:38:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.975$ you're putting them at risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.784098731428571

 $00{:}38{:}04.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.660$ by giving they will get

NOTE Confidence: 0.782594010909091

 $00:38:06.731 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.120$ it, they'll have to get it

NOTE Confidence: 0.782594010909091

 $00:38:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.310$ especially the high risk months.

 $00:38:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.884$ And so therefore you know that was a concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782594010909091

00:38:11.890 --> 00:38:13.570 So very briefly I don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.782594010909091

 $00:38:13.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.569$ the time to show all the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782594010909091

 $00:38:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.515$ but essentially very early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.782594010909091

00:38:18.515 --> 00:38:22.110 we knew that ICU admission. Was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.164$ Was more likely if you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:38:27.164 --> 00:38:28.820 pregnant versus non pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.610$ It was behaving like a respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.454$ viral infection, like flu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:33.454 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.739$ Like other infections that do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.068$ RSV is not particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:38:37.068 --> 00:38:38.396 dangerous in pregnant women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00{:}38{:}38.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.747$ Not all of them do it, but it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:40.747 \dashrightarrow 00:38:43.610$ not like it wasn't a huge surprise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.466$ The other thing is invasive ventilation

00:38:46.470 --> 00:38:49.403 and ECMO risk was higher if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00{:}38{:}49.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.131$ pregnant versus non pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:38:51.131 --> 00:38:53.847 and it was looking at you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.022$ treatment bias, et cetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:38:56.022 --> 00:38:57.360 healthcare seeking, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.860$ even if you accounted for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:38:58.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.787$ these were adjusted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:38:59.787 --> 00:39:01.641 That's why I'm just showing adjusted

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:01.641 --> 00:39:02.988 relative risk and odds ratios.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.450$ This was very early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.480$ So we knew this and since then

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.036$ impact on birth outcomes has

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:08.036 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.192$ come out and all of that stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.648$ But here's the thing I want to focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:12.650 --> 00:39:16.024 How do you not make this policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:16.024 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.860$ taking into account that 75% of

 $00:39:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.135$ your healthcare workforce is women?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:21.140 --> 00:39:23.378 Uh, and at a given time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.602$ like the day you start your

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:26.602 --> 00:39:27.676 vaccination program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.328$ Approximately 330,000 are pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:33.330 --> 00:39:33.846 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:33.846 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.652$ babies are not brought in by stocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:35.660 \dashrightarrow 00:39:39.006$ They're not surprises that come out of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.300$ you know, at the individual level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:40.300 \dashrightarrow 00:39:41.650$ they some of them are surprises.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:41.650 --> 00:39:44.010 I was a big one after 20 years of marriage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:39:44.010 --> 00:39:46.102 but having, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00{:}39{:}46.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.150$ we can plan for this and we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.145$ And these were the first set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:52.145 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.020$ questions that these were the most

00:39:54.020 --> 00:39:55.630 agonizing questions that we were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00{:}39{:}55.630 --> 00{:}39{:}56.658$ you know, the advisor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:56.658 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.686$ So we were meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.848$ Three times a week, et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:39:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.999$ And that was the big data gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:01.000 --> 00:40:03.640 And even now last night I

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:40:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.080$ actually sent an e-mail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:40:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.392$ again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:05.392 --> 00:40:07.264 I cannot disclose even now we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:40:07.264 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.182$ are going back and forth about

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00{:}40{:}09.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.018$ not just to vaccinate but where

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:11.018 --> 00:40:12.646 to prioritize pregnant women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:12.646 --> 00:40:14.907 So even now we're doing this kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:14.907 --> 00:40:16.916 of stuff like this last night

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:40:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.578$ in The Who process earlier on

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:40:19.578 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.580$ the ACIP had this long winded.

 $00:40:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.828$ Treatment with absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

 $00:40:24.828 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.154$ evidence or we have this data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:27.154 --> 00:40:28.990 the absence of direct evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:28.990 --> 00:40:32.665 but essentially said give it to them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871897208

00:40:32.670 --> 00:40:36.600 but said that in in paragraph or two which?

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:40:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.150$ Yeah, I love sound effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:40:41.150 --> 00:40:43.526 I know someone is equally frustrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:40:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.640$ As frustrated as I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}40{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.560$ But, but yeah. And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:40:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.104$ So they did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:40:52.104 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.034$ But but the society for

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}40{:}54.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.659$ maternal fetal medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}40{:}55.660 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}40{:}57.488$ Was even more proactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:40:57.488 --> 00:41:00.760 They came out and said that look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.818$ based on what we know about disease,

 $00:41:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.124$ based on what we know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}41{:}05.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06.660$ vaccines generally in pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:06.660 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.739$ based on what we know about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:08.739 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.778$ the vaccine is behaving in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:10.778 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.944$ of antecedents of the the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:12.944 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.859$ that we are concerned about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:41:14.860 --> 00:41:15.775 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:15.775 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.605$ if the vaccine was causing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}41{:}17.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.652$ crazy amount of faith fever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:19.652 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.332$ other aberrations in the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:21.395 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.726$ pregnant vaccination that healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:23.726 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.898$ workers especially were considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.000$ Prioritize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.520$ Otherwise prioritized for vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.734$ should be offered the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:32.734 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.370$ If pregnant,

 $00:41:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.674$ it's offered the vaccine is where it started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:41:36.680 --> 00:41:38.712 And so you know I can tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:38.712 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.816$ like so I used to make up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:40.816 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.987$ still wake up at 5:30 for calls

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}41{:}42.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.153$ because who calls are aligned with

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:45.153 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.040$ have to align from Seattle to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:41:49.040 --> 00:41:50.738 To to Australia and New Zealand

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:50.738 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.559$ and on all regions in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.255$ So they happen at odd times you

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}41{:}55.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.337$ know you had to make that decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:41:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.076$ and and I wish we did it better

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.470$ in terms of generating evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:02.470 --> 00:42:03.634 Now it's certain countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}42{:}03.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05.089$ prioritize et cetera and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:05.089 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.599$ the discussion we are having.

 $00:42:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.568$ What do we do as we go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:08.568 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.260$ the routine vaccination phase?

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.823$ A little bit about the ethics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:12.823 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.767$ and I want to again give it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:14.767 --> 00:42:17.002 you as a snapshot and especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}42{:}17.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.922$ for early stage colleagues etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.310$ As you develop your careers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.125$ as you think about how to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}42{:}24.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.439$ develop evidence that impacts policy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.980$ Uh, so to conventionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:29.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.155$ you think about vaccine ethics

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:33.155 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.059$ as risk versus benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:36.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.956$ In fact, this paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:36.956 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.076$ And so as a detour,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:38.080 --> 00:42:39.984 you introduce that I'm going to Texas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:39.990 --> 00:42:41.904 you to Southwestern as the founding

 $00:42:41.904 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.779$ Dean for a new school of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466 00:42:44.780 --> 00:42:45.328 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:45.328 --> 00:42:48.616 my office will overlook a Plaza

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:48.616 --> 00:42:50.260 called Seldom Plaza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:42:50.260 --> 00:42:54.440 and Don Selden was a Yale faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.670$ member recruited in the 1950s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:42:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.004$ To help set up a new Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}43{:}01.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04.328$ Center in Dallas in Army barracks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.746$ And he ended up being the default

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}43{:}07.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.128$ chair of medicine because the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:11.128 --> 00:43:13.684 only other full-time faculty member

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}43{:}13.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.886$ in medicine who recruited him left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}43{:}15.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.218$ So he was the only full-time

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:18.218 --> 00:43:20.312 person and became a legendary

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:20.312 --> 00:43:22.612 chair and built that institution

00:43:22.612 --> 00:43:25.798 into a sort of reasonably effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:25.798 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.590$ actually very effective research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.217$ Or have they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:28.217 --> 00:43:30.020 They have 6 Nobel prizes for a young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:30.020 --> 00:43:31.229 relatively young institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:31.229 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.050$ and all of that stuff stayed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:34.122 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.138$ chair of medicine for 36 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.820$ But here was the other thing he did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.396$ He was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:38.396 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.412$ he testified in the Nuremberg Trials as

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:40.412 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.760$ a US before coming to Yale as a U.S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.676$ Army doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:43.676 --> 00:43:46.424 And then his lifelong interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00:43:46.424 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.477$ ethics led him to chair what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}43{:}49.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.527$ call the Commission of Ethics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

00:43:51.530 --> 00:43:54.218 It had a slightly more official

 $00:43:54.218 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.790$ name that met in Belmont,

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}43{:}56.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.970$ MA and became the Belmont report

NOTE Confidence: 0.711388466

 $00{:}44{:}00.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03.766$ that everyone has to study in our IRB

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.290$ exams, you know, tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:05.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.570$ So he was a practicing physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:07.570 --> 00:44:10.164 The reason I'm saying that is that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:10.164 --> 00:44:12.761 it's a small world of people who have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:12.761 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.897$ who have developed these paradigms and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:14.900 --> 00:44:17.292 This risk benefit came out of these kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:17.292 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.925$ of looks, looking at risks and benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}44{:}20.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.210$ along different dimensions. So yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:24.210 --> 00:44:27.078 As maternal vaccination came along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:27.078 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.112$ a lot of people applied the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}44{:}29.112 --> 00{:}44{:}30.859$ conventional risk benefit paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:30.860 --> 00:44:33.842 But, but, but two sets of risk

 $00:44:33.842 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.027$ benefits mom and baby separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}44{:}36.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.368$ risk and benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:37.368 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.267$ And in fact there was a paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:41.267 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.097$ Lancet infectious diseases with three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:44.100 --> 00:44:44.738 WHO colleagues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:44.738 --> 00:44:47.580 Two of them really good friends of mine now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.580$ subsequently, and a prominent bioethicist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:44:51.580 --> 00:44:55.444 From Europe, who worked closely with WHO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.325$ they went through this reasoning

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:44:57.325 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.906$ and then said that based on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:00.906 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.590$ maternal vaccine should only be recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:04.590 --> 00:45:08.370 Number one, because of this framework,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}45{:}08.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.048$ if it's beneficial against a severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:12.048 --> 00:45:16.906 outcomes be both for the mom and the baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.629$ so for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:18.629 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.325$ Flu vaccine would be iffy because it's not

 $00:45:22.325 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.975$ preventing severe outcomes according to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.980$ Then we subsequently show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.352$ it's preventing pneumonia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:27.352 --> 00:45:30.594 But even before that, although we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:30.594 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.184$ also shown birth outcome prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:32.190 --> 00:45:34.680 But Pratas says RSV no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.124$ that didn't say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:36.124 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.744$ So while I was sitting in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:38.744 --> 00:45:41.000 office in Atlanta and a faculty

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.438$ member who was a former mentee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}45{:}43.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.960$ former PhD student who happened to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:45.960 --> 00:45:48.714 pregnant, came into my room and said like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:48.720 --> 00:45:49.317 look, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:49.317 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.459$ if you look at the parts of this reasoning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:45:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.180$ it does make sense.

 $00:45:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.864$ But as a whole,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:55.864 --> 00:45:58.390 it really doesn't make sense because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:45:58.390 --> 00:46:01.574 you know, moms want to protect their babies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.268$ And I said, like, wasn't there a woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:04.268 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.036$ in the room who raised their hand?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.100$ And said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.780$ That look, it doesn't make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:46:10.780 --> 00:46:12.478 I want to protect my baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.398$ and I should have the right to

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:14.398 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.117$ actually do that without someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}46{:}16.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.570$ being patronizing about it, et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:46:18.570 --> 00:46:19.740 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046 00:46:19.740 --> 00:46:20.222 well, NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:46:20.222 --> 00:46:24.078 guess what we found in the authors list?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.188$ There was no weapon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:25.188 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.573$ and that this is important.

00:46:26.580 --> 00:46:28.659 It's not just sort of gratuitous snark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.324$ because that tells you that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:31.324 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.495$ these kinds of representation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:33.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.835$ not just about sort of tokenism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}46{:}35.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.754$ It's about sane, rational decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:46:37.754 --> 00:46:39.064 And I'll show you why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.912$ That's it's a more sane decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:40.912 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.833$ and rational decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.492$ So here's what we did we said look

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:44.492 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.436$ you know well meaning colleagues came

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}46{:}46.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.837$ up with this framework and prevented

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}46{:}48.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50.852$ presented it in Lancet infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}46{:}50.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.180$ disease which is a prominent journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.582$ So first of all we have to make our

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:46:56.582 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.801$ paradigm more salient more prominent

 $00:46:58.801 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.207$ so that you know we are heard it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}47{:}02.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.656$ not it can't be you know a me too kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:47:05.656 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.079$ of a write up so we collected first of all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:47:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.772$ A diverse, intellectually and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:47:10.772 --> 00:47:12.887 otherwise diverse group of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:47:12.890 --> 00:47:15.158 bioethicists or OBGYN people

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:47:15.158 --> 00:47:17.426 like myself and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046 00:47:17.430 --> 00:47:17.913 look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:47:17.913 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.811$ we need a new paradigm for

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

00:47:20.811 --> 00:47:21.777 maternal immunization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00{:}47{:}21.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.810$ That has certain features and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:47:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.788$ the core of this is the legitimacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:47:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.264$ Of a mother's interest in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805566046

 $00:47:32.264 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.580$ welfare of her fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:47:34.696 --> 00:47:37.835 and infant. Because it's not a side

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:47:37.835 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.116$ thing for for someone who's pregnant

00:47:40.116 --> 00:47:43.203 to say that I want to protect my baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:47:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.126$ etcetera, and I should have what there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:47:46.126 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.218$ was a keyword that they have agency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:47:49.218 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.640$ so I just autonomy. It's agency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}47{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.650$ Don't be the knight in shining

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}47{:}53.650 \rightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.913$ armor who says no, you can't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:47:55.913 --> 00:47:57.959 that agency to protect your baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:47:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.760$ The second thing is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:47:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.200$ you make these decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.680$ whether at the clinical level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.160$ or a public health level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.180$ at the village level or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.796$ in an advisory committee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.200$ or in the authorship of an ethics paradigm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.223$ you have to have those who are

 $00:48:14.223 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.434$ going to be pregnant or are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:48:16.434 --> 00:48:18.544 pregnant in your decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.098$ And then we say the part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:20.098 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.530$ this is different you limit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.630$ So I've I've done a lot of work on mandates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.114$ I'm pro soft mandate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:48:25.114 --> 00:48:26.969 I was involved with discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:48:26.969 --> 00:48:28.814 with our mandate policy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:28.814 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.926$ continue to be engaged with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.550$ and and Kyle has taken a few notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}48{:}33.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.070$ especially the university side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.830$ The healthcare does slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.710$ different approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.546$ We have taken a pretty consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:39.546 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.130$ but middle of the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.118$ We are not draconian,

 $00:48:42.118 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.600$ we are not sort of throwing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:48:43.650 --> 00:48:44.910 people out on the street,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.602$ but on the other hand we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:46.602 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.310$ have a pretty clear mandate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.109$ So that was like the that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:50.109 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.135$ was no accident but here I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:52.135 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.635$ because there are two entities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.528$ involved there's a limit to mandating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}48{:}55.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.435$ especially new vaccines in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:57.435 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.912$ context and so there was empirical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:48:59.912 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.407$ evidence it wasn't just anecdotal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}49{:}02.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.322$ So we went to we we did several

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}49{:}05.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08.178$ studies so this is in Kenya we ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:49:08.178 --> 00:49:10.446 we put women in a bind intentionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:49:10.446 --> 00:49:13.203 as pregnant women in a nationally

 $00:49:13.203 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.563$ representative study in conducted an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:15.637 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.805$ antenatal clinics in Kenya and we asked them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.612$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:19.612 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.018$ when deciding to get a vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.000$ whose benefit do you prioritize?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.737$ And this is like a direct prioritization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}49{:}27.740 --> 00{:}49{:}30.056$ Whose benefit do you prioritize first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.340$ mother or the baby?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}49{:}32.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33.740$ 2/3 of them said right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.420$ All of them said it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.116$ The rest of them said it's a Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:49:38.116 --> 00:49:38.762 prioritization now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:38.762 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.090$ but even if you frame it as a tough choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}49{:}42.090 \to 00{:}49{:}44.630$ Women choose pregnant women choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:44.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.662$ babies to prioritize first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:49:46.670 --> 00:49:48.990 So how do you take that agency away?

00:49:48.990 --> 00:49:54.700 How do you not do trials?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}49{:}54.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55.910$ That include pregnant women or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.454$ at least have a second trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:57.454 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.586$ ready for pregnant women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:49:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.732$ And so a lot of us are pushing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:00.732 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.729$ actual legislation around this that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:50:02.729 --> 00:50:04.425 your licensure requirement should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:50:04.425 --> 00:50:06.425 have early studies in pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:50:06.425 --> 00:50:08.236 women and so on and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375 00:50:08.240 --> 00:50:08.554 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:08.554 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.066$ So you know this was before the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:11.070 \dashrightarrow 00:50:14.355$ so this was led by Ruth Faden who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}50{:}14.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.070$ actually she and I actually did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.129$ lot of the ethics side of and policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:18.129 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.420$ side of work on The Who working group.

 $00:50:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.090$ Et cetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00{:}50{:}21.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23.100$ but but focusing on public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:23.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.276$ emergency and this is the slide and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:25.276 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.300$ this framework is before the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.924$ So yes we were successful but we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:29.924 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.720$ also not successful in certain things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

00:50:32.720 --> 00:50:34.745 And so therefore you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8460972375

 $00:50:34.745 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.770$ that keeps us charged and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}50{:}36.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.776$ employed to do for the next thing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:50:39.776 --> 00:50:42.438 make sure that pregnant women have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}50{:}42.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.412$ this you know we we vaccinate pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:50:44.412 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.019$ women for themselves and their babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:50:46.019 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.389$ in public health emergencies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:50:47.389 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.722$ otherwise some of the interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:50:48.722 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.570$ work we are doing is so remember the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}50{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.559$ Two trials, these babies are now teens

 $00:50:53.559 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.153$ and some of them are approaching to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}50{:}57.153 \to 00{:}51{:}00.352$ not young a dults but late teens early

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:00.352 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.413$ like their ages are 1415 to 1819.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:03.413 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.430$ So we have gone back to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.350$ It's a randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.446$ So we have a study to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:09.446 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.436$ their cognitive outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.056$ We have educational attainment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:12.056 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.006$ We have all sorts of other stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}51{:}15.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.742$ and that's the beauty of randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:17.742 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.910$ controlled trials in in South Africa and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:51:20.910 --> 00:51:21.274 Bangladesh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:21.274 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.550 \text{ I don't know what the outcome will be but.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.860$ If we are able to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:51:26.860 --> 00:51:27.850 these cumulative effects,

00:51:27.850 --> 00:51:29.694 prevention of early pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}51{:}29.694 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}51{:}32.460$ prevention of reduction in adverse birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:32.527 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.059$ outcomes etcetera has long term impact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.565$ that's a pretty convincing case

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:51:36.565 --> 00:51:38.070 for doing something about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.670$ At least we hope so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.294$ You know a lot of the policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:41.294 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.420$ is wisdom based policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.412$ not evidence based policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:43.412 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.652$ but that's a different thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:51:44.660 --> 00:51:46.694 So you know there's a lot of this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:51:46.694 --> 00:51:49.068 is all of this work is actually teamwork,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.470$ our colleagues in Kenya, Guatemala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.065$ Pakistan and sort of various

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

00:51:53.065 --> 00:51:54.900 folks who have worked with me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:54.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.280$ On on this kind of work.

 $00:51:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.504$ Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00{:}51{:}56.504 \to 00{:}51{:}58.633$ And this is not a complete list of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8465828868

 $00:51:58.633 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.299$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95998486

 $00:52:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.168$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872930212

 $00{:}52{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.088$ Someone is raising their hand or

NOTE Confidence: 0.872930212

00:52:15.088 --> 00:52:16.630 they're waving at me. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

 $00:52:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.450$ I think that was Amanda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

 $00{:}52{:}21.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.690$ I think that might have been a clap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

 $00:52:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.670$ but Amanda, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

00:52:24.670 --> 00:52:27.912 Sorry. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

 $00:52:27.912 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.464$ So that was incredibly compelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

00:52:29.464 --> 00:52:31.606 I think if there's any pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

00:52:31.606 --> 00:52:33.049 individuals in the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

 $00:52:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.832$ they may be equally terrified and

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

 $00:52:34.832 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.489$ reassured about what can be done.

00:52:36.490 --> 00:52:38.426 But what can also go wrong in pregnancy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.816357494

00:52:38.430 --> 00:52:41.200 And do we have any questions for Doctor Omer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

00:52:45.870 --> 00:52:48.070 So we've heard a lot about anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

 $00:52:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.498$ vaxine and there are this those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

 $00:52:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.898$ Cross political lines a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

00:52:53.900 --> 00:52:56.023 and I'm wondering if what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

00:52:56.023 --> 00:52:57.850 doing or what you're aware of others

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

 $00:52:57.906 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.478$ are doing to try to influence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

00:52:59.478 --> 00:53:01.272 frame and and different tax people

NOTE Confidence: 0.790124403333333

00:53:01.272 --> 00:53:02.849 maybe taking including yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894719890769231

 $00{:}53{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.187$ So 1/3 of My Portfolio is vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.894719890769231

 $00:53:05.187 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.970$ acceptance for the last 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894719890769231

 $00:53:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.270$ Actually that's what my PhD was on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

00:53:12.400 --> 00:53:15.784 And. Even though I wanted to do my PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

00:53:15.784 --> 00:53:17.740 on field trials in low income countries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00{:}53{:}17.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19.220$ I I got annoyed by the fact that

00:53:19.220 --> 00:53:20.678 people who are not taking vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00{:}53{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23.980$ So I ended up doing the PhD thesis on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

00:53:23.980 --> 00:53:25.639 So I Co chaired with Peter Hotez,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.418$ who you may have seen on CNN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00{:}53{:}27.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.805$ The Lancet Commission on Vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:29.805 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.420$ Acceptance and hesitancy in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.404$ and we have come out with all sorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:35.404 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.850$ of recommendations that range from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00{:}53{:}37.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40.292$ fact that the government hasn't funded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.708$ Upstream research to actually do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:43.708 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.605$ so we expect evidence based vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:46.605 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.370$ development pathway is not but but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:49.438 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.673$ know fluff based vaccine acceptance

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:51.673 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.255$ interventions if you're not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:54.255 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.902$ fund this kind of stuff especially

 $00:53:55.902 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.112$ for early stage investigators you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

00:53:57.112 --> 00:53:58.788 know I'm going to get good science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:53:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.518$ So that's you know one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.600$ The other thing is I've been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.680$ with Meta actually and WHO and UNICEF

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.638$ to do randomized trials online

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

00:54:08.638 --> 00:54:10.306 like 10s of millions of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.690$ What kind of messages work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.868$ So that's a whole different conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.880$ Well, we have evidence there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00{:}54{:}15.880 \to 00{:}54{:}18.772$ The third thing is to depoliticize

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:18.772 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.736$ vaccine conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84513664

 $00:54:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.810$ So perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:54:23.230 --> 00:54:25.396 You know, we have evidence worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:25.396 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.410$ with folks in political science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}54{:}27.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.810$ et cetera, that showed that we had a.

 $00:54:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.605$ If focus on. If we focus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:54:38.605 --> 00:54:40.030 we politicized vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.110$ It was gonna backfire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.960$ For example, if you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.676$ Approved it close to the election

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:45.676 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.799$ would have had a backfire effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.008$ We didn't do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:54:49.008 --> 00:54:51.230 So even in 2021 it would have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.930$ better to make vaccines a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}54{:}52.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.922$ boring and have the vaccine briefings

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:54:54.922 --> 00:54:56.719 and public health briefings from

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:54:56.719 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.589$ Atlanta by uniform varying public

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}54{:}58.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.720$ health service people rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}55{:}00.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.220$ well meaning well qualified political

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:55:03.220 --> 00:55:05.407 appointees in DC but you know,

00:55:05.407 --> 00:55:07.724 I say that with a lot of respect for

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.120$ people who were in charge, et cetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.400$ but, but this is what happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182 00:55:12.400 --> 00:55:13.252 So we did. NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:13.252 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.240$ A study that came out in PNAS

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}55{:}15.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}17.914$ where we look followed the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:17.914 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.010$ snapshot of white evangelicals etc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.944$ which was a group that was had lower uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.494$ And we found that between fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:25.494 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.024$ 2020 and spring 2021 all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}55{:}28.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.696$ Persuadables were persuaded and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:29.696 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.308$ nothing was working on them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:32.308 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.024$ likely was political polarization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182 00:55:34.030 --> 00:55:34.574 So that. NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:34.574 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.213$ But the other thing is we did this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:37.213 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.203$ with Mushfiq Mubarak and Economics

00:55:39.203 --> 00:55:41.561 12 country study right before the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}55{:}41.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.649$ the the vaccines were rolled out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.730$ And we found was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.290$ among other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:55:47.290 --> 00:55:48.258 That consistently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}55{:}48.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.646$ and that was the least surprising part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.038$ was that the most trusted source of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}55{:}55.038 \to 00{:}55{:}56.875$ vaccine information was healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:55:56.875 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.030$ providers across cultures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:55:58.030 --> 00:56:01.148 This is what I have seen in 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00.56:01.150 \longrightarrow 00.56:02.758$ Every single time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:02.758 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.711$ And we're not leveraging that lots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182 00:56:05.711 --> 00:56:06.524 Some of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}56{:}06.524 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}56{:}08.746$ some of us have been jumping up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:08.746 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.601$ down since spring 2020 that we need

00:56:10.601 --> 00:56:13.611 to have a national CME program to

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:13.611 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.999$ incorporate evidence based approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.795$ So we physicians are primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:18.795 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.220$ care providers and all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.160$ folks are really good at most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00.56:25.160 -> 00.56:27.306 them are good at communications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:56:27.306 --> 00:56:30.336 but vaccines have are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.792$ and so there are approaches that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:31.792 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.740$ work and so we developed our own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:34.268 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.380$ So this was in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.756$ this is the most popular Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}56{:}38.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.801$ CME program because it's open

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:40.801 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.129$ to everyone and the second most

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:43.129 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.399$ popular in first eleven months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:56:45.400 --> 00:56:48.718 4000 people had taken it 4 certification,

00:56:48.720 --> 00:56:51.058 et cetera, without a lot of advertising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85183491181818200:56:51.060 --> 00:56:51.528 et cetera. NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}56{:}51.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.960$ And now it is I think more than you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.380$ pretty much larger than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:56:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.005$ And the second most popular was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:56:59.005 --> 00:57:00.914 state of Connecticut Mandatory CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:00.914 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.754$ that folks had today and there was one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.756$ 3rd popular than this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:04.756 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.250$ so there's a need for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.714$ That's the other thing we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00.57:07.714 \longrightarrow 00.57:08.690$ at the policy level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.002$ We have been pushing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:10.002 \dashrightarrow 00:57:11.954$ and I've been pushing before, pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:11.954 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.386$ There's a step that has taken now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:14.386 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.828$ a create a code for vaccine refusal,

 $00:57:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.854$ it has been now created and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:19.854 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.290$ then reimburse it for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.804$ Make it, you know, give primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:57:25.810 --> 00:57:28.130 Pediatricians barely break even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.846$ for example, on vaccine delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00:57:31.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.678$ et cetera, in this country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:57:34.678 --> 00:57:35.806 even after Obamacare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182 00:57:35.810 --> 00:57:36.737 And if you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}57{:}36.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39.450$ if you throw in all sorts of other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

00:57:39.450 --> 00:57:41.362 you know, vaccine counseling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851834911818182

 $00{:}57{:}41.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43.752$ it's it's a losing proposition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8791623

 $00:57:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.482$ So, so these are some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8791623

 $00:57:45.482 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.572$ individual and policy level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8791623

 $00:57:46.572 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.087$ recommendations that we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935681104

 $00:57:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.210$ Sure. Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935681104

 $00{:}57{:}52.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.601$ Quickly what's the advantages and

 $00:57:54.601 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.036$ disadvantages of combining the vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00{:}57{:}57.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01.340$ like same visit and same while

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:01.340 \dashrightarrow 00:58:04.174$ COVID and COVID and flew together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:04.174 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.066$ So wonderful question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:07.066 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.046$ So by default you start with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00:58:10.046 --> 00:58:12.162 position that you know you test

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00.58:12.162 --> 00.58:14.256 them separately and then you usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:14.256 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.256$ then you do smaller studies to

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00{:}58{:}16.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}18.330$ see if they work and so you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00{:}58{:}18.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.590$ don't take it for granted that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:19.590 \dashrightarrow 00:58:22.054$ Every vaccine will be OK giving together

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:22.054 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.908$ both in terms of the accumulative

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00{:}58{:}24.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.636$ or multiplicative immunogenicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00:58:26.640 --> 00:58:27.840 reactogenicity, short term side

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.640$ effects and all of that stuff.

 $00:58:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.440$ So for the vaccines, we routinely use flu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00:58:33.440 --> 00:58:35.484 pretty good fluent protasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:35.484 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.039$ flu and COVID works well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.640$ but it wasn't sort of a given position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.874$ We know based on evidence et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.924$ So, so, so yeah, do it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00:58:45.924 --> 00:58:48.520 there is a programmatic incentive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.852$ it decreases in equities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00:58:49.852 --> 00:58:51.184 The poorer you are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

 $00:58:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.710$ the fewer visits you do for vaccinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70998538875

00:58:55.710 --> 00:58:57.198 Our political question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00:58:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.052$ given the fact that we're about to

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00:59:00.052 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.268$ have a GOP congressional oversight of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00:59:03.268 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.730$ the COVID response and executing Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00.59:06.730 \longrightarrow 00.59:08.590$ Fauci and the like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00:59:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.208$ is there in within the health community.

00:59:12.210 --> 00:59:14.905 Has there been a discussion about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00:59:14.905 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.407$ to counter what is likely to be a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.908526876470588

 $00:59:18.407 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.360$ of hysterical onslaught about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.428$ I think we need to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.290$ It is unfortunate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

00:59:26.290 --> 00:59:28.866 And I like, uh, Tony.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

00:59:28.866 --> 00:59:31.372 I like doctor Fauci's response to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.131$ His response to this is, look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00{:}59{:}34.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37.677$ Congress has a role in oversight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.188$ If he's called, he'll show up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

00:59:40.190 --> 00:59:42.256 First of all, show up, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

00:59:42.256 --> 00:59:46.270 And then you know he is no shrinking Violet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00{:}59{:}46.270 --> 00{:}59{:}49.720$ but. Look at the big picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:50.818$ So I'll give you an example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.108$ In 2019, there was a Senate hearing that

 $00:59:54.108 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.758$ where I appeared on measles vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00:59:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.410$ there were measles outbreaks happening there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $00{:}59{:}59.410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}02.035$ childhood vaccination in the Senate

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:02.035 --> 01:00:03.926 Health Committee, Health, Education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:03.926 --> 01:00:05.598 Labor and Pensions Committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:05.600 --> 01:00:08.036 It was organized by Lamar Alexander,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:08.040 --> 01:00:09.420 who was the committee chair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:09.420 --> 01:00:10.311 and Patty Murray,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:10.311 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.796$ who is the ranking member.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:11.800 --> 01:00:14.998 He was a Kumbaya from Republican

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}00{:}14.998 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.195$ and Democratic sites, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:17.195 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.170$ with one exception, Senator Ron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}00{:}20.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}22.900$ And Paul who misquoted Ben Franklin so

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}00{:}22.900 \to 01{:}00{:}25.942$ and and as in you know immigrant who

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:25.942 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.250$ has the zeal of the convert about U.S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:29.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.072$ history and civics.

01:00:30.072 --> 01:00:31.990 I I read up on it before

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:32.053 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.608$ deciding to become a U.S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:33.610 --> 01:00:34.957 citizen next day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:34.957 --> 01:00:37.651 I actually wrote a Washington Post

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:37.651 --> 01:00:40.579 op-ed going after him for among

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}00{:}40.579 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.979$ other things misquoting Ben Franklin

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:43.057 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.377$ in a in a Senate hearing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:45.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.850$ But the reason I'm saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:46.850 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.320$ is he was an exception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}00{:}48.320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.192$ Senator Cassidy from Louisiana

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:50.192 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.532$ actually came back to him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:52.540 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.044$ So he didn't ask any questions so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}00{:}55.044 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}57.439$ none of us could sort of clarify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:00:57.440 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.792$ And there were a lot of things he

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:00:58.792 --> 01:01:00.179 said about positively about vaccines,

 $01:01:00.180 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.316$ too, you know, to set aside the start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:03.320 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.205$ But the bottom line was there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:07.205 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.970$ enough bipartisan support for vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:09.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.620$ especially in seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:01:11.620 --> 01:01:12.622 Having said that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:12.622 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.626$ there there's always been that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:14.626 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.788$ was a senator from Indiana who would

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:01:16.788 --> 01:01:19.268 you who fanned the flames of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:01:19.268 --> 01:01:21.603 vaccine autism controversy for for a long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:21.603 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.529$ long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:22.529 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.770$ And so we need to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:25.884 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.728$ that we don't get swept up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:28.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.458$ They realized that political

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:30.458 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.618$ doesn't have to be partisan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:32.620 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.324$ And there are serious people who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:36.324 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.884$ vaccine allies and are ostensibly

 $01:01:38.884 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.148$ are vaccine allies from in on all

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:42.148 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.740$ sides of of this political spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:44.740 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.816$ In fact, on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:01:46.820 --> 01:01:48.212 Marin County in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:01:48.212 --> 01:01:49.140 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}01{:}49.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52.152$ as crunchy granola as it gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:52.152 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.508$ in the Bay Area used to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:55.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.084$ An epicenter of vaccine refusal until

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:01:58.084 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.723$ recently in California and May very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:00.723 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.370$ end up going back to its old position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:03.370 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.690$ So we first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:05.690 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.940$ irrespective of your political leanings

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}02{:}07.940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}10.590$ or our political leanings we need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:10.590 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.450$ we cannot afford to get on

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:12.450 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.100$ a high horse around this.

 $01:02:14.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.278$ Vaccine opposition can come from all sides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}02{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}19.680$ Right now it's more right leaning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:19.680 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.958$ But that wasn't always the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:20.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.904$ There may not be always the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:22.904 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.876$ case going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:02:23.880 --> 01:02:25.536 Second, their allies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:02:25.536 --> 01:02:26.640 Senator McConnell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

01:02:26.640 --> 01:02:28.677 who had polio when he was young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01{:}02{:}28.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}33.650$ is a big vaccine ally on that side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:33.650 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.366$ Senator Romney is a big basin

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:35.366 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.960$ alive in in the house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:36.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.075$ There are a lot of folks who are pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:39.075 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.870$ vaccine and both sides of the aisle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:40.870 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.300$ et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871575195

 $01:02:41.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.020$ So this is how you handle it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

 $01:02:43.076 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.408$ a public health community.

01:02:44.410 --> 01:02:45.580 You just can't kid around

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

01:02:45.580 --> 01:02:46.750 with this kind of stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

 $01:02:46.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.304$ not get inflamed and then play the long game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

 $01:02:51.310 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.920$ The long game is vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

 $01:02:52.920 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.208$ are effective and safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

01:02:54.210 --> 01:02:56.040 They benefit everyone in blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

01:02:56.040 --> 01:02:58.349 States and red States and blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.903539040714286

 $01:02:58.349 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.997$ counties and red counties.