WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:55:18" NOTE recognizability:0.836

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.264$ So I was able to look at associations

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:04.264 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.198$ between maternal stress and brain phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

00:00:08.200 --> 00:00:10.200 So this was very exciting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.976$ but the one thing I wasn't so happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:12.976 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.641$ about was that we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00{:}00{:}15.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.920$ children's brain at 7 years age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

00:00:17.920 --> 00:00:19.943 And of course there's a lot happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

00:00:19.943 --> 00:00:21.833 in the post Natal period and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:21.833 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.747$ there's a lot of interaction and

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00{:}00{:}23.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.753$ also continuity in terms of free

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00{:}00{:}25.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.636$ Natal stress and post Natal stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

00:00:27.636 --> 00:00:29.428 So we don't really know if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

00:00:29.428 --> 00:00:31.417 look at something at 7 years age,

 $00:00:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.184$ is it something that's really related

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00{:}00{:}33.184 \to 00{:}00{:}35.137$ to the prenatal environment or is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00{:}00{:}35.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.601$ really the post Natal environment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:36.601 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.339$ shapes this or at least moderates this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00{:}00{:}38.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.458$ So I thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860283819285714

 $00:00:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.350$ I actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8831331

 $00{:}00{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.460$ I have to confirm something here. Got

NOTE Confidence: 0.78462552

 $00:00:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.660$ it that it's recorded but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.78462552

 $00{:}00{:}48.660 --> 00{:}00{:}50.820$ don't see my cursor. We still

NOTE Confidence: 0.77167287

 $00:00:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.430$ have somebody who can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77167287

00:00:52.430 --> 00:00:53.538 Karen, can you help me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818572104

 $00:00:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.674$ See it's. Oh, I see. See the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818572104

00:00:58.674 --> 00:00:59.909 cursor is there on mission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90505508125

 $00{:}01{:}03.170 --> 00{:}01{:}06.668$ There we go. OK. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90505508125

 $00:01:06.668 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.000$ so much. So I thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.22548062

 $00:01:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.810$ Umm.

 $00:01:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.928$ Moving forward, no. No. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800229886666667

 $00:01:20.928 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.600$ I just wanted to get you to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:01:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.606$ Thank you. So I thought if we really wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:01:27.606 --> 00:01:30.310 to see what our prenatal influences were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:01:30.310 --> 00:01:31.195 supposed Natal influences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:01:31.195 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.693$ what we should do is try to characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:01:33.693 --> 00:01:35.578 the brain phenotypes shortly after

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}01{:}35.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.818$ birth because at this time point

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:01:37.818 --> 00:01:39.508 post Natal influences cannot yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}01{:}39.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.275$ have exerted their influences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:01:41.275 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.610$ So this is. This is what we set

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}01{:}44.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.710$ up together with my colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}01{:}45.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.747$ Pathik, what ones on your entringer at

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:01:47.747 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.896$ the University of California, Irvine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:01:49.896 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.126$ We had this pregnancy cohort

 $00:01:53.126 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.030$ that where we did extremely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}01{:}57.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.640$ Deep phenotyping in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:01:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.250$ their stress and stress biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.050$ applying ecological momentary or stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.852$ momentary assessments of stress in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.608$ home environment across four days in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:02:09.608 --> 00:02:12.084 their home environment and we took,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:12.084 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.592$ we collected a lot of biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}02{:}14.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.651$ samples and then we followed up these

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:17.651 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.806$ children and my specific focus was brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:20.806 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.086$ development based on multimodal MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:24.086 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.090$ and also cognitive function whereas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:02:27.090 --> 00:02:29.370 Uh, my colleague Sonia entering ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:02:29.370 --> 00:02:32.098 more focus on body composition and um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.826$ cellular aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:32.826 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.730$ So this is like a pretty well characterized

 $00:02:35.795 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.119$ cohort and I will be mainly talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:38.119 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.289$ about results from this cohort today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:02:40.290 --> 00:02:44.136 Umm, where we have roughly, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:02:44.136 --> 00:02:46.116 between, depending on the outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:02:46.120 --> 00:02:48.348 100 and 114 Mother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:48.348 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.576$ 14 Mother child diets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.220$ We do have several other cohorts now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}02{:}54.220 \to 00{:}02{:}57.325$ We are part of the ECHO consortium here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:02:57.325 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.517$ the US and contributed 2 cohorts to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.942$ And we also have a pregnancy cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375 00:03:02.942 --> 00:03:03.634 in Berlin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.020$ And we are trying to harmonize our

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}03{:}06.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.653$ data collection in ways that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:08.653 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.193$ eventually merge these cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.007$ For either for mega analysis or at

 $00:03:13.007 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.068$ least for replication purposes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}03{:}15.068 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$ this is something that we will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:17.819 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.257$ focusing on to really address the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:20.257 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.522$ replication crisis and and see where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:23.522 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.044$ stand with some of these initial findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.468$ So when I started this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}03{:}30.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.088$ there was quite a bit of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:33.088 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.577$ from epidemiological studies showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:34.577 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.779$ that there was an association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:36.779 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.856$ maternal stress during pregnancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}03{:}38.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.692$ higher risk for neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:03:40.692 --> 00:03:42.528 disorders and psychiatric disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.820$ as well as cognitive impairment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}03{:}44.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.711$ But anything we knew about really changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:47.711 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.097$ in the brain was based on animal models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:51.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.580$ So as Kieran said earlier,

 $00:03:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.568$ we did publish the first study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.806$ Now 13 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:03:57.806 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.042$ showing associations between maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:04:00.042 --> 00:04:02.148 pregnancy specific anxiety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:02.148 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.413$ reductions in Gray matter volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:04.413 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.988$ in the children at 7 years age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.808$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.770$ especially these pronounced reductions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:04:10.770 --> 00:04:13.849 Gray matter volume in the prefrontal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.224$ but here also in the in the temporal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:04:18.230 --> 00:04:20.006 And this is interesting because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:20.006 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.155$ are brain regions that support some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.680$ these cognitive functions that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.680$ been shown in epidemiological studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.446$ To be associated with maternal stress.

 $00:04:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.747$ We then later when the sample was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}04{:}31.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.006$ Larger also looked at cortical thickness

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:34.006 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.350$ and whether there were associations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.886$ associations between maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:04:37.886 --> 00:04:39.934 depressive symptoms during pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:39.934 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.470$ and cortical thickness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.758$ And as you can see in blue are

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:43.758 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.720$ several regions in the brain where

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.700$ the cortex was thinner in children

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:47.765 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.180$ whose mothers had higher depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00{:}04{:}50.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.629$ symptoms during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375 00:04:51.630 --> 00:04:52.042 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

 $00:04:52.042 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.690$ very pronounced are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868787269375

00:04:53.690 --> 00:04:55.750 reductions here in the prefrontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:04:55.819 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.550$ cortex, and this is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:04:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.510$ what mediated an association,

 $00:05:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.740$ the association between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}05{:}01.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.272$ Maternal depressive symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:04.272 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.437$ externalizing problems in her children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.086$ So this is something we have also

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:10.086 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.460$ started looking at in our newborns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.378$ In this other cohort, I told about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.452$ I talked about and here's one example

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}05{:}16.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.699$ where we were able to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:18.699 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.324$ there is an association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:20.324 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.857$ higher perceived stress levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:21.857 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.772$ in the mother during pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:23.772 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.240$ and smaller hippocampal volumes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}05{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.690$ And we of course also interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.107$ whether this has done any kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:30.107 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.443$ implications for cognitive function

00:05:31.501 --> 00:05:33.197 cognitive development later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.024$ And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:34.024 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.496$ we didn't see a main effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:36.496 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.860$ of hippocampal volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.100$ The birth and cognitive function

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}05{:}39.100 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.098$ here in this case at six months age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.242$ but it was an interaction with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}05{:}43.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.885$ environment and those children who

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:05:44.885 --> 00:05:46.550 had a larger hippocampal volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}05{:}46.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.703$ were better able to benefit from

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:05:48.703 --> 00:05:49.795 an enriched environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.422$ So it really shows this concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:52.422 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.733$ of conditional probability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.215$ So certain phenotypes get established

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:56.215 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.304$ by certain experiences and then will

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:05:59.304 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.472$ determine how future experiences

 $00:06:01.472 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.640$ can shape further development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.671$ which I think is this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:06.671 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.590$ nice example of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.894$ And then this is some work I'm I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:06:11.894 --> 00:06:14.748 working on with Kieran currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.929$ the the UCI children we have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:06:18.929 --> 00:06:21.830 gene DNA methylation analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}06{:}21.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.818$ longitudinal DNA methylation analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:23.818 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.800$ that we are currently analyzing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:26.877 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.887$ something we have started looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:28.887 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.469$ into is we have generated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:31.469 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.774$ wholly epigenetic risk score that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}06{:}34.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.230$ supposedly based on this paper indicates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.194$ Exposure to glucocorticoids during

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:41.194 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.608$ fetal development and what we did,

00:06:44.608 --> 00:06:47.018 we created this polygenetic risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}06{:}47.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.112$ score and actually showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:49.112 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.127$ it was associated with maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:06:51.127 --> 00:06:52.842 depressive symptoms during pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:52.842 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.332$ and also that it then predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:55.332 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.138$ hippocampal volume in the newborn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.366$ So this is just an initial attempt

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:06:59.366 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.868$ to try to understand potential some

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00{:}07{:}01.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.323$ of the epigenetic underpinnings of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:04.323 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.409$ some of the associations we observe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455 00:07:07.410 --> 00:07:09.660 Umm. NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:07:09.660 --> 00:07:11.667 I told you that when I started this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84173036454545500:07:11.670 --> 00:07:12.057 Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:12.057 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.540$ we were the first who who published on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.925$ But this has changed dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:17.925 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.833$ in the last decade.

 $00:07:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.496$ There are a lot of studies now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:22.496 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.352$ humans showing associations between

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:24.352 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.157$ various types of maternal distrust

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

00:07:27.157 --> 00:07:29.046 during pregnancy, depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455 00:07:29.046 --> 00:07:29.812 anxiety, NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:29.812 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.408$ but also perceived stress levels and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455 00:07:34.410 --> 00:07:34.637 Sorry, NOTE Confidence: 0.841730364545455

 $00:07:34.637 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.318$ it's too loud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.290$ The various forms of maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.330$ distress levels and brain outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.199$ and this has been work has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:44.199 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.409$ done in in fetuses and newborns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}07{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.778$ in fants, children and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:07:47.778 --> 00:07:49.146 adolescents and young adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.730$ And so there is accumulating

 $00:07:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.310$ evidence for this for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.422$ But the picture is very heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:07:56.422 --> 00:07:57.830 because people are suffering

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:57.883 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.267$ different types of stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:07:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.485$ different brain outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:00.485 --> 00:08:02.510 They are using different pipelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:02.510 --> 00:08:04.089 for analyzing the MRI data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.806$ So I think we don't have a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}08{:}06.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.652$ Very good picture in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:08.652 --> 00:08:10.406 what replicates and what is like

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}08{:}10.406 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}12.270$ a true effects and I think we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:12.331 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.277$ getting there and and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:14.277 --> 00:08:16.016 be talking about some of the steps

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:16.016 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.159$ that we need to take but at least I

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:18.159 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.881$ think what we what we can establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}08{:}19.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.646$ that there is from different

 $00:08:21.646 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.356$ independent research groups quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.222$ bit of evidence for an association

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:26.222 --> 00:08:28.130 between maternal distress during

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:28.205 --> 00:08:30.770 pregnancy and brain development and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}08{:}30.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.708$ We are of course very interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:32.708 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.173$ in what is it that the fetus

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:08:35.173 --> 00:08:37.363 actually receives in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:37.363 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.190$ the signal of maternal stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}08{:}39.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.800$ Because I often get asked what kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.984$ stress should we be paying attention to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.293$ And I think any kind of stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:46.293 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.552$ we should be paying attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}08{:}48.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.338$ because we don't know what in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:51.414 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.049$ individual actually leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:54.049 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.554$ translation into a biological signal.

 $00:08:56.554 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.918$ So there might be coping strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:08:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.720$ There might be like, like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.300$ Certain other resilience factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.150$ that lead to the mother coping with

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.598$ stress well and not increasing like

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:09:07.598 --> 00:09:09.580 different stress biology components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.188$ but others where this might be the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.218$ And here are some mechanisms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:14.218 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.499$ we think are really important and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}09{:}16.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.150$ course on the one hand it is cortisol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}09{:}19.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.900$ We know that maternal cortisol

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.650$ can pass through the placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:09:22.650 --> 00:09:25.330 There is an enzyme 11 beta HSD two

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.480$ that converts active cortisol into

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.352$ inactive cortisone and protects

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:29.352 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.949$ the fetus from an overexposure.

 $00:09:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.654$ It's just a partial barrier and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}09{:}33.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.262$ a certain percentage of cortisol

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:35.262 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.090$ passes through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.640$ And something that Kieran has

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.512$ actually shown and has very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:39.512 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.374$ work is that this enzyme seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:41.374 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.510$ be stress sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}09{:}42.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.757$ So not only is there more cortisol

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:44.757 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.559$ when the mother is stressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.340$ but potentially more of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.408$ higher levels can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}09{:}49.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.684$ Pass through and this will lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:09:51.684 --> 00:09:54.866 to an increase in cortisol in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}09{:}54.866 --> 00{:}09{:}55.920 \ {\rm fetal \ compartment}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:09:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.676$ And then also there's placenta CRH.

 $00:09:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.290$ Placenta CRH is identical to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.120$ peptide produced by the hypothalamus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:10:05.120 --> 00:10:07.136 but there is one very important difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.090$ and that is that it underlies

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.390$ a positive feedback loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.120$ So when cortisol is high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:10:12.120 --> 00:10:14.165 it produces CRH production in

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}10{:}14.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.210$ the placenta and will further

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}10{:}16.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.578$ stimulate the maternal HP access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00{:}10{:}18.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.337$ but also the fetal HP a access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.005$ So under levels of under

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:22.005 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.337$ conditions of chronic stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.175$ this can lead to this feed forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.330$ Cycle of elevated cortisol concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.838$ We are also really interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:10:31.840 --> 00:10:34.304 cytokines and inflammatory markers,

00:10:34.304 --> 00:10:39.501 not only because of the like very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:39.501 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.006$ evidence for infections during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:10:43.010 --> 00:10:44.638 increasing risk for psychopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

00:10:44.638 --> 00:10:47.993 and we have been of course now worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.777508889

 $00:10:47.993 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.507$ during the pandemic also what COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:10:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.786$ how COVID-19 infections during

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:10:52.786 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.200$ pregnancy might do to the fetal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}10{:}56.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.012$ Features and feature development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:10:59.012 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.788$ but these these immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}11{:}01.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.068$ mediators are also stress sensitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.246$ And This is why we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:05.246 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.030$ very interested in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.926$ And there are some debate whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:07.926 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.989$ they can actually pass the placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.950$ I don't think the evidence

00:11:11.950 --> 00:11:13.126 is very convincing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.710$ But what definitely happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.290$ is that there's systemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.310$ systemic inflammation in the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:11:20.310 --> 00:11:22.374 It will lead to inflammation in the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.542$ and the placenta itself will produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:25.542 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.121$ cytokines into the fetal compartment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:29.121 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.576$ inflammatory mediators will be elevated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.106$ This is what I will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:34.106 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.419$ about on the next slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}11{:}35.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.335$ variation of maternal cortisol and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:37.335 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.250$ interleukin 6 concentrations and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:39.309 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.199$ it affects fetal brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.616$ But we've also done work on metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:44.616 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.240$ factors like free fatty acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}11{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.716$ We have characterized insulin

 $00:11:48.716 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.930$ and glucose and we have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:50.996 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.751$ at conditions like maternal pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:11:52.751 --> 00:11:55.293 pregnancy BMI and we also see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:11:55.293 --> 00:11:57.471 this has the capability of programming

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:11:57.471 --> 00:11:59.310 fetal brain development and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:11:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.160$ we have focused specifically on

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.010$ brain regions that are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:12:03.010 --> 00:12:05.430 Our energy homeostasis and see

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.366$ associations for example between

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:07.366 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.671$ maternal pre pregnancy BMI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:09.671 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.856$ elevated free fatty acid concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:11.856 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.120$ and hypothalamic development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}12{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.460$ hypothalamic integrity that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:12:16.460 --> 00:12:18.861 predicts body composition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:18.861 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.066$ fat gain in the infant.

 $00:12:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.452$ So this is just another summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:12:24.452 --> 00:12:26.330 how we're thinking about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.690$ that various conditions during

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.100$ early life in the mother when she

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.377$ is pregnant or even before she

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:12:35.377 --> 00:12:37.742 was pregnant can affect maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:37.742 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.232$ placental fetal stress biology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:40.232 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.192$ thereby affect all the fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:43.192 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.560$ processes of brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:12:45.560 --> 00:12:46.384 And um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:46.384 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.032$ then affect cognitive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:48.032 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.680$ affective processes as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}12{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.370$ as well as mental health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}12{:}53.370 --> 00{:}12{:}54.108$ So Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:12:54.108 --> 00:12:56.322 I want to share some findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:12:56.322 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.581$ with you on the variation in

 $00:12:59.581 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.405$ maternal cortisol concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.315$ And here in Michelle volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:03.315 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.839$ and seven-year old children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.542$ this was the first cohort again that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:07.542 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.236$ I've referred to and what we saw was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105 00:13:10.240 --> 00:13:10.496 Sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:10.496 --> 00:13:12.544 I don't know if you can see my

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:12.544 --> 00:13:14.510 cursor doesn't work very well that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:14.510 --> 00:13:16.360 higher levels of maternal cortisol

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.470$ concentrations during pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:17.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.037$ were associated with larger McKellar

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}13{:}19.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.589$ volumes and there was a sex specific effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.260$ We only saw those in girls and not in boys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.670$ And these larger amygdala volumes

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.080$ also mediated and association between

 $00:13:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.230$ maternal cortisol and effective symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.100$ And these seven-year old girls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.498$ when we looked at the newborns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.705$ there was a tendency for exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:37.705 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.198$ same effect on larger amygdala volumes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:40.200 --> 00:13:41.586 Only young girls, but not in boys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:41.590 --> 00:13:43.530 It was not quite significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105 00:13:43.530 --> 00:13:43.932 but. NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:43.932 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.148$ And what we did find was that elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}13{:}47.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.396$ maternal cortisol was associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}13{:}49.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.748$ with stronger amygdala connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:51.748 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.804$ to brain regions involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00:13:54.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.228$ sensory processing and integration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:57.230 --> 00:13:58.424 And very specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:58.424 --> 00:13:59.220 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

00:13:59.220 --> 00:14:01.304 a stronger connectivity between

 $00:14:01.304 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.909$ amygdala and the anterior insula

NOTE Confidence: 0.805438105

 $00{:}14{:}03.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.429$ and this higher connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:14:08.700 --> 00:14:12.662 Actually this is yet another another network

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:12.662 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.539$ where we see a stronger connectivity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:15.539 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.123$ the supramarginal gyrus and mediated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:19.123 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.603$ association between maternal cortisol

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:14:21.603 --> 00:14:23.636 concentrations and internalizing problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:23.636 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.758$ when the children were two years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.760$ So I think this is really important that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}14{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.931$ We do see that the variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:32.931 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.574$ brain phenotypes in the newborn

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:14:35.574 --> 00:14:38.554 actually do predict later behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:14:38.560 --> 00:14:39.343 later cognitive function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:39.343 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.460$ and I'll show you some more of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.070$ So this variation that we see

 $00:14:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.970$ does seem to be meaningful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.875$ So, Umm, we did find that elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:49.875 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.120$ material cortisol concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:51.186 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.261$ are associated with larger amygdala

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}14{:}53.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.336$ volumes and this was associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:55.402 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.382$ with more effective symptoms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:57.382 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.362$ also with increased the mitella

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:14:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.346$ connectivity with cortisol with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:01.346 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.816$ cortical structures that were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:03.816 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.298$ with higher internalizing problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.280$ And this may support higher vigilance

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.430$ and offspring of mothers who experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:15:10.430 --> 00:15:12.350 high stress during pregnancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.244$ therefore could increase the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:15:14.244 --> 00:15:15.959 for effective and anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.270$ although eventually this might have.

 $00:15:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.874$ Or if an evolutionary purpose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}15{:}20.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.097$ prepare these children to a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:24.097 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.209$ stressful extrauterine environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:15:26.210 --> 00:15:26.790 Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}15{:}26.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.270$ we did see these interesting sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.560$ specific effects and we are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.070$ really clear why this is and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:15:35.070 --> 00:15:37.248 cannot say that in general females

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}15{:}37.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.730$ are more susceptible than males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}15{:}39.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.718$ There are a lot of examples where

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}15{:}41.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.683$ it seems like for certain exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:43.683 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.433$ and certain outcomes males seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:15:45.433 --> 00:15:47.230 to be more susceptible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.234$ But what we continuously see when

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:49.234 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.170$ we look at variational cortisol,

00:15:51.170 --> 00:15:54.296 it seems like females are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}15{:}54.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.380$ susceptible and some reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.900$ Um could be, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.712$ that there are sex differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:15:59.712 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.293$ the timing of glucocorticoid receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:01.293 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.878$ expression in the fetal brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.862$ and there is also sex differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:05.862 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.140$ placental glucocorticoid receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:16:07.208 --> 00:16:07.940 functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:16:07.940 --> 00:16:10.420 And also it has been shown in adults

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.727$ that chronic stress had different has

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:12.727 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.157$ different effects in males and females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.360$ So dendritic expansion in females

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.120$ but retraction in males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:16:19.120 --> 00:16:21.576 So we don't know yet why this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.975$ but it's something that we consistently see.

 $00:16:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.250$ I will now talk about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}16{:}28.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.345$ our findings in association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}16{:}31.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.885$ variation in maternal interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:16:33.885 --> 00:16:36.492 6 concentrations and I already want

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:36.492 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.490$ to say we we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:38.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.026$ Whether there is any moderation by

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:40.026 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.374$ fetal sex as well, and there was not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:42.374 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.805$ So here males and females seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:44.805 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.073$ be equally affected by higher levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}16{:}47.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.290$ of interleukin 6 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:16:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.942$ So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:16:49.942 --> 00:16:51.898 I already said a higher inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}16{:}51.898 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}16{:}54.893$ measure is a risk factor for various

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}16{:}54.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.807$ neurodevelopmental disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:16:55.810 --> 00:16:59.840 And like various conditions like

00:16:59.840 --> 00:17:01.388 obesity and infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:01.388 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.602$ as well as psychological stress are

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:03.602 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.403$ associated with higher interleukin

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:05.403 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.864$ 6 concentrations. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:06.864 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.588$ It seems like Interleukin six really

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}17{:}09.588 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}17{:}12.237$ plays an important role because in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:12.237 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.010$ an animal model, if you block Interleukin 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.130$ if you give an sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}17{:}18.130 \to 00{:}17{:}20.769$ if you give an interleuk in 6 antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00{:}17{:}20.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.032$ it blocks the effect of maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:17:23.032 --> 00:17:23.786 immune activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.414$ So it it really does seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:26.414 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.928$ to play a very specific role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:17:28.930 --> 00:17:30.042 As I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

00:17:30.042 --> 00:17:30.598 Interleukin 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.050$ there's some evidence that it

 $00:17:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.210$ can pass the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.665$ but there's definitely much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:34.665 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.486$ evidence that it will induce inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.871159692

 $00:17:36.486 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.370$ in the placenta and the placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.978$ Itself produces cytokines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:39.978 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.658$ And then of course there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:42.658 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.200$ really a lot of evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.888$ preclinical evidence for maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:46.888 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.576$ immune activation during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}17{:}48.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.204$ altering fetal brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:50.204 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.640$ So all I'm going to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:52.717 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.607$ you now is in the newborns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:17:54.610 --> 00:17:59.798 And again, we found our larger mikalah

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:17:59.798 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.522$ volume in those newborns whose mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:02.522 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.570$ had higher interleukin 6 concentrations.

 $00:18:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.580$ And as I said earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}18{:}06.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.555$ there was no sex specific effect here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.464$ Umm. We also looked at a metal icon

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:14.464 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.040$ activity here and there was a stronger

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.340$ bilateral amygdala connectivity to brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:18:20.340 --> 00:18:22.939 regions involved in sensory processing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.578$ like the fusiform,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:24.578 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.216$ the somatosensory cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.584$ the thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:27.584 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.676$ Also brain areas involved in salience

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}18{:}31.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.900$ detection like the anterior insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.986$ as well as learning and memory like

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:36.986 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.596$ the cottage and parahippocampal gyrus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:18:39.596 --> 00:18:44.890 And this is just to show you a scatter

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.910$ plot of one of these connections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}18{:}47.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.070$ This is the amygdala anterior

 $00{:}18{:}51.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.776$ insula connection and how it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:53.776 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.571$ associated with varying levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:18:55.571 --> 00:18:57.148 interleukin 6 during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:18:57.150 --> 00:18:59.190 And I should say I'm sorry

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:18:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.930$ I haven't said that yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.874$ Here we are looking at average

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:02.874 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.846$ interleukin 6 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.066$ We collected maternal samples three times

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:07.066 \dashrightarrow 00:19:10.210$ during pregnancy and because interlocken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}19{:}10.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.660$ 6 concentrations were so highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:19:12.660 --> 00:19:14.130 correlated across pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.478$ we calculated an average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}19{:}15.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.374$ We felt we are not really in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:18.374 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.792$ position of looking at timing specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:20.792 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.016$ effects because they are so highly

00:19:23.016 --> 00:19:25.038 correlated and we only have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}19{:}25.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.338$ one time measure in the newborn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:19:27.340 --> 00:19:30.092 So trying to draw any kind of conclusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:30.092 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.510$ of time specific effects I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.994$ think would be warranted with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.667$ design and what the data looked like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:19:37.670 --> 00:19:38.714 And Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:38.714 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.324$ I have done all this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:19:41.330 --> 00:19:44.510 all this work with my collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:19:44.510 --> 00:19:48.390 Damien Fair and Alice Graham at back then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.514$ which is you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:50.514 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.762$ Damien Ferris now in Minnesota and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.026$ So they have really let all the efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:57.026 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.324$ on the resting state analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:19:59.324 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.409$ then anything related to diffusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:01.409 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.622$ tensor imaging that I will be talking

 $00:20:03.622 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.716$ about as well as the brain anatomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}20{:}05.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.588$ we have done in collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}20{:}07.588 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.564$ Martin Steiner and John Gilmore at

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:20:09.564 --> 00:20:11.174 the University of North Carolina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.658$ And the next slide I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:13.658 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.814$ show you was really mainly led by by

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:16.814 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.326$ Damien and here we looked at whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}20{:}19.326 \to 00{:}20{:}20{:}730$ brain connectivity in association

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:20.796 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.010$ in the new ones and association

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.660$ with higher maternal interleukin 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:20:24.660 --> 00:20:27.244 Concentrations during pregnancy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:27.244 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.828$ saw associations within networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}20{:}29.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.949$ again and the salience network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.290$ the dorsal attention network as

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.630$ well as the visual network,

 $00:20:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.830$ but also various between network connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:20:39.830 --> 00:20:43.466 as you can see here below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:20:43.470 --> 00:20:44.770 As an additional modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.395$ we looked at diffusion tensor

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:20:46.395 --> 00:20:48.039 imaging and we were specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:48.039 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.307$ interested in this track,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:20:49.310 --> 00:20:50.519 the unsigned fasciculus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:50.519 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.937$ which is a pathway that connects

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}20{:}52.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.256$ the temporal lobe with the inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:55.256 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.964$ frontal gyrus and has us by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}20{:}57.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.548$ amygdala and the hippocampus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:20:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.274$ And we did analysis along this tract

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:21:03.274 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.233$ of different diffusion parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:21:05.233 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.048$ as you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:21:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.890$ So this is the tract and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00{:}21{:}09.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.315$ saw bilaterally was that higher

 $00:21:12.315 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.409$ maternal interleukin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:21:13.410 --> 00:21:15.600 Six was associated with lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:21:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.790$ fracture anisotropy which is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

00:21:17.868 --> 00:21:19.838 measure of lower maturation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.763144301846154

 $00:21:19.838 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.808$ this tract and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:21:21.888 --> 00:21:24.474 very specifically where around the track

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:21:24.474 --> 00:21:29.190 where it passes by the amygdala and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:21:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.062$ this is interesting because it's it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}21{:}33.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.590$ bilateral and this is just a scatter plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:21:36.590 --> 00:21:39.488 This is here you can see what the tracks

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:21:39.488 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.780$ look like and what the results look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:21:41.780 --> 00:21:45.828 So it's it's. It's really a pretty nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:21:45.828 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.419$ linear association and unfortunately only

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:21:48.419 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.399$ in a really small subgroup here we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:21:52.399 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.089$ repeated MRI scans at 12 month age as well.

 $00:21:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.601$ So we looked at whether there is also an

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:21:58.601 --> 00:22:00.735 association between maternal interleukin 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:00.735 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.975$ concentrations and these diffusion measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:22:02.980 --> 00:22:04.690 so 12 month age, which was not the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.410$ it was not significant anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:22:06.410 --> 00:22:08.797 But what had happened is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:08.797 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.216$ there was accelerated maturation

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:10.216 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.547$ now over the first year of life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.506$ which I mean it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:13.506 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.940$ Very small sample and it needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:14.998 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.829$ to be replicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:15.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.378$ But I think it is really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}22{:}18.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21.495$ in the if we think about like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:22:21.495 --> 00:22:24.390 we know about this brain overgrowth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.959$ for example in the context of autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:26.959 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.048$ spectrum disorders that has been shown.

 $00:22:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.738$ So there might be like an initial delay

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:31.738 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.590$ and then an overcompensation and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.880$ this is something that we see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.176$ So this is a summary of the various

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:22:41.176 --> 00:22:43.335 findings with variation and maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:43.335 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.859$ interleukin 6 concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.648$ And for all these outcomes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:48.648 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.480$ we have looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.860$ we see associations with behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.240$ or cognitive function in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:54.315 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.100$ first two years of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333 00:22:56.100 --> 00:22:56.784 So this, NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}22{:}56.784 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.178$ it makes a lot of connectivity was

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:22:59.178 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.640$ associated with a measure of executive

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:23:01.640 --> 00:23:03.284 function response inhibition when

 $00:23:03.284 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.536$ the children were two years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}23{:}05.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}23{:}07.626$ Does it make a lot of connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:07.626 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.900$ and especially this accelerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.850$ increase also during the first year

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.143$ of life was associated with cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:23:13.143 --> 00:23:14.400 impaired cognitive development

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.103$ based on the Bayley scales of infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:23:17.103 --> 00:23:19.490 development at one year age and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}23{:}19.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.577$ whole brain functional connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:23:21.577 --> 00:23:24.102 was predictive of working memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:24.102 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.918$ function that two years age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.238$ And because we had these various

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:29.238 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.033$ cognitive aspects that were altered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:33.033 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.877$ association with maternal interleukin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.750$ Six we wanted to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}23{:}37.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.270$ although again it was like a

 $00:23:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.149$ small sample at four to five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}23{:}43.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.734$ whether we we have like for a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:45.734 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.810$ general cognitive measure here it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.626$ fluid intelligence and association

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}23{:}49.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.550$ between maternal and telekin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.420$ 6 And this measure of fluid intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.487$ which was the case and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:23:57.487 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.687$ after adjusting from many variables

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:23:59.687 --> 00:24:02.027 that would like be qualified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.857$ would be indicators of the quality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:24:03.857 --> 00:24:05.997 the post Natal environment like the home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:24:06.000 --> 00:24:07.902 Environment maternal sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333 00:24:07.902 --> 00:24:09.170 for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.434$ and we also try to see whether we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:13.434 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.298$ identify some structural variation

00:24:15.298 --> 00:24:18.334 and in brain structure that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}24{:}18.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.859$ underlie this association and saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:20.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.681$ that potentially specifically again

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:22.681 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.866$ here in the prefrontal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:24:24.870 --> 00:24:28.170 the horse triangularis might play

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:24:28.170 --> 00:24:31.470 a role in this association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.136$ There is more evidence now also

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:35.136 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.969$ from other groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:24:36.970 --> 00:24:40.090 showing that maternal immune activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.270$ here also in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}24{:}42.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.995$ is associated with neonatal brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

00:24:44.995 --> 00:24:46.760 connectivity here specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.662$ the the salience network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00{:}24{:}48.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.326$ This is an interesting study because

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:51.326 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.993$ it's a it's a real longitudinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.806295120333333

 $00:24:53.993 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.143$ study looking at maternal cytokine

00:24:56.214 --> 00:24:59.209 concentrations during pregnancy and brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:24:59.209 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.180$ circuitry 45 years later in adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.532$ There is also evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:04.532 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.060$ at least in terms of neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:25:08.162 --> 00:25:11.057 delay from like very impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:25:11.060 --> 00:25:13.751 Scandinavian birth records,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:13.751 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.339$ and also some interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}25{:}17.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.220$ Studies in nonhuman primates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.369$ So to conclude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:25:22.369 --> 00:25:24.284 there is evidence for prenatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:24.284 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.941$ conditions like various forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:25:25.941 --> 00:25:28.425 of stress but also cortisol and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}25{:}28.425 \to 00{:}25{:}30.042$ inflamed inflammatory medias like

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:30.042 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.862$ interleukin 6 to be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:31.862 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.313$ with fetal brain development.

 $00:25:33.313 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.431$ And showed you evidence for associations

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:35.431 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.445$ with the size of the hippocampus

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:37.445 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.245$ and amygdala as well as structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:39.245 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.837$ and functional connectivity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:25:40.837 --> 00:25:43.750 the amygdala and as well as global

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}25{:}43.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.422$ cortical volume and thickness

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:45.422 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.898$ and the functional connectome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.228$ And it really seems like neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}25{:}50.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.780$ phenotypes are being programmed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.072$ Increased risk for neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}25{:}54.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.364$ and psychiatric disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:56.364 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.778$ that potentially these stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:25:58.778 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.578$ sensitive biological mediators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.436$ variation and maternal stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:02.436 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.355$ biology do play a role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:05.355 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.330$ programming in the fetal brain.

 $00:26:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.647$ I think if we talk about MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:10.647 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.286$ we have to also acknowledge this paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.955$ This is something that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:26:14.955 --> 00:26:16.620 been published last year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:26:16.689 --> 00:26:18.569 my collaborators Damian Ferron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:26:18.570 --> 00:26:21.066 Ellis Graham and Oscar Miranda Dominguez,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:26:21.070 --> 00:26:22.030 who I work with closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.138$ are all involved in this and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.584$ So I think there is a crisis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.030$ a replication crisis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.950$ something similar that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.790$ affected a genomics a while ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}26{:}35.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.100$ where this study really suggests that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.228$ we want to look at brain wide associations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.994$ especially with certain phenotypes,

 $00:26:42.994 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.199$ especially when it's complex phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}26{:}45.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.970$ like mental health outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:26:46.970 --> 00:26:48.932 we need very large sample sizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:48.932 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.000$ because effect sizes are small and

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.100$ probably most studies that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.149$ published are underpowered and they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.514$ Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:26:55.514 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.062$ I think they showed the evidence for

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}26{:}58.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.077$ this very impressively in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:01.077 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.372$ They also did acknowledge that

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}27{:}03.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.151$ there are phenotypes where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:06.151 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.474$ associations are stronger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:07.474 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.679$ like cognitive phenotypes for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.646$ But in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:27:10.646 --> 00:27:12.900 I mean this raises really the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.084$ what can we do with these smaller

 $00:27:15.084 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.900$ sample sizes and can we still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.000$ are they still worth it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.716$ can we still trust the results?

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308 00:27:19.720 --> 00:27:20.482 And Umm. NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:20.482 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.530$ So we have of course done a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:23.633 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.160$ of thinking and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.449$ What we what we feel about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}27{:}27.449 \to 00{:}27{:}29.683$ we have published so far and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:27:29.683 --> 00:27:31.163 we can do going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}27{:}31.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.042$ And so I I still believe that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:27:35.042 --> 00:27:38.016 have a very good conceptual model

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}27{:}38.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.788$ and there's a lot of preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}27{:}40.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.826$ evidence kind of supporting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:42.826 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.190$ kind of analysis we have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.028$ and also like in support of the

 $00:27:48.028 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.670$ specific findings we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:27:49.670 --> 00:27:52.526 But in the future we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:52.526 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.229$ still see whether we can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.670$ Better whether there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:27:57.670 --> 00:27:59.500 opportunities for replication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:27:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.708$ whether we can work together more

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:01.708 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.174$ closely in terms of the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:04.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.469$ protocols not only for data

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}28{:}06.469 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}28{:}08.294$ collection but especially also

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:08.294 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.556$ for processing the data they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.759$ I think there are a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:13.759 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.130$ opportunities for collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:28:15.130 --> 00:28:17.458 And there is this fetal infant

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}28{:}17.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.954$ toddler on your imaging group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:19.954 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.356$ that has been founded that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:23.356 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.821$ addresses some of these issues

 $00:28:26.821 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.765$ and were people who work in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

00:28:29.765 --> 00:28:31.009 field of Infinera imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00{:}28{:}31.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.810$ come together and share their

NOTE Confidence: 0.833068512692308

 $00:28:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.610$ experiences and bring their protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:28:34.666 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.210$ together. There's a lot of progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:28:38.210 --> 00:28:40.658 in freely available processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:28:40.658 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.408$ pipelines and a lot of advances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:28:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.958$ Then there are several.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}28{:}44.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.280$ Consortia are trying to bring in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:28:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.380$ bring together the various infant samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:28:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.690$ There are like this origin consortium

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}28{:}51.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.662$ that is led by Rebecca Nikaya in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}28{:}54.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57.374$ Michigan or also the Echo consortium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:28:57.380 --> 00:29:00.551 And then of course there are larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}29{:}00.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.910$ representative developmental in

 $00:29:01.981 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.076$ your imaging studies like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}29{:}04.076 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}29{:}06.171$ Baby Connectome project and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:29:06.244 --> 00:29:08.274 importantly coming up the HBCD

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:29:08.274 --> 00:29:10.240 study that I think would be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.690$ very informative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.720$ Something that we have also

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.489$ think about is how can we huge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.810$ how can we use the larger consortia that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:29:20.810 --> 00:29:23.632 are available right now to potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}29{:}23.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.210$ inform the results in our smaller cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:26.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.955$ And one of the things that we are trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:28.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.955$ to do now is to use the larger consortia

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:31.955 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.984$ like for example the ABC D study to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:34.984 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.060$ calculate polling euro risk scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.300$ So really in the sample of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:29:40.300 --> 00:29:42.599 several thousand like ABC D.

 $00{:}29{:}42.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.833$ Um, look at associations with a certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:44.833 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.898$ outcome that we are interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:29:46.900 --> 00:29:48.403 like internalising problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:48.403 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.910$ and then look at the functional connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:51.986 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.254$ that is associated with this outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:54.254 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.185$ and then apply the weights from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:57.185 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.885$ larger consortium to our smaller samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:29:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.200$ So really a very similar approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}30{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.168$ polygenic risk scores and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:04.168 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.317$ something we are currently working on and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.230$ We have done this for it makes a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:09.314 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.969$ connectivity and internalizing problems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:11.969 \dashrightarrow 00:30:15.749$ we're actually able to then use this Poly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:30:15.750 --> 00:30:18.786 Pulling your risk score to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.190$ emotional regulation and our infant cohort.

00:30:21.190 --> 00:30:24.278 So this is work in progress but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:24.278 \dashrightarrow 00:30:28.665$ is and this is led by by Oscar Randos

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:28.665 \dashrightarrow 00:30:32.365$ Dominguez and he has yeah we I

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:32.365 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.575$ think we are making good progress to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:34.575 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.375$ to see how we can utilize these larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:37.452 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.014$ cohorts and another example is for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:40.014 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.316$ example these brain charts for human

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}30{:}42.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.367$ for the human lifespan that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:44.367 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.207$ recently published based on like 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:30:46.210 --> 00:30:47.020 1000 individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.855$ something similar to growth charts so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:49.855 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.476$ you can see where does your data fall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.984$ how representative is it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:53.984 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.864$ and then you get percentiles

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:55.864 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.878$ based on the larger population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:30:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.571$ which I think probably is a good way of

 $00:31:00.571 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.489$ correcting your smaller sample sizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:31:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.298$ So this is something we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:31:05.298 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.702$ currently working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

00:31:06.710 --> 00:31:09.710 And I'm happy to discuss this further later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:31:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.649$ But before I come to the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:31:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.743$ I want to talk about this 4th

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:31:13.743 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.030$ area that I wanted to address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}31{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.654$ which is maternal preconceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00{:}31{:}19.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.278$ stress experiences and specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864845319090909

 $00:31:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.380$ Now it doesn't work again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.654121685

 $00:31:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.680$ This slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6378287

 $00:31:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.900$ Maybe I stay very long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:31:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.762$ Thank you so. The UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}31{:}41.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.482$ maternal child adverse childhood experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:31:44.482 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.040$ and how these might potentially get

 $00:31:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.015$ transmitted to the next generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:31:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.740$ And so, I mean we're thinking of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:31:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.780$ forms of neglect and abuse experiences and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.147$ As we all know, this is a huge problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:03.147 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.079$ because prevalence rates are really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.992$ really high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:05.992 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.242$ I think it's also really important that

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

00:32:09.242 --> 00:32:12.086 people working and and perinatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:12.086 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.707$ medicine know that this is like such

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

00:32:14.707 --> 00:32:17.336 a high prevalence and that they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

00:32:17.336 --> 00:32:19.670 encounter many women who have made

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.250$ these kind of experiences and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.326$ potentially 1/3 of the women that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:25.326 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.526$ see could have these kind of risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.344$ What we know from like many studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}32{:}31.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.532$ is that there is an increased risk

 $00:32:34.532 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.382$ in the exposed individual for higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}32{:}37.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.192$ For psychiatric disorders as well As

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:40.192 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.748$ for somatic disorders and metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:42.748 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.557$ function like obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}32{:}44.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.024$ and we also understand some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:47.024 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.376$ mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.846$ We know that there are alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:49.846 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.060$ in the endocrine stress system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}32{:}52.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54.574$ but also a very well replicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:32:54.574 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.250$ finding is increased systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}32{:}56.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.752$ inflammation in individuals exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

00:32:58.752 --> 00:33:00.576 to childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00{:}33{:}00.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02.806$ And what is accumulating more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

00:33:02.806 --> 00:33:05.321 is that also the offspring of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:33:05.321 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.565$ mothers who themselves have not been.

00:33:07.570 --> 00:33:11.007 Victims of abuse also have a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

00:33:11.007 --> 00:33:13.340 risk for neurodevelopmental disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:33:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.254$ behavioral problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.971482275

 $00:33:14.254 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.996$ but also adverse birth outcomes and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:33:19.550 --> 00:33:21.782 Also obesity, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:21.782 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.077$ and what we have done in in the ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}33{:}27.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.361$ ECHO cohort is because several studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.142$ smaller or larger samples have addressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:34.142 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.582$ the association between maternal childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:36.582 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.217$ maltreatment and single health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.164$ And what these studies do not allow to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:42.164 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.484$ address is what is the potential effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:33:45.484 --> 00:33:47.986 on comorbidity across disorder, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:47.986 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.458$ We took advantage of this echo cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.636$ where we had information on up to 4000

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:33:55.636 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.004$ Mother child diets and we're about like.

 $00:33:59.004 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.605$ A little more than a third of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}34{:}02.605 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}34{:}05.294$ mothers did report that they had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:05.294 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.770$ exposed to childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.274$ And then we looked at these six outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:34:10.280 --> 00:34:13.020 internalizing problems, asthma, obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:34:13.020 --> 00:34:16.110 autism spectrum disorders, ADHD analogy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:16.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.200$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:34:19.200 --> 00:34:22.300 across many of these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}34{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.142$ we see a very significant increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:24.142 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.189$ in the risk for these disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:34:26.189 --> 00:34:28.439 in children whose mothers had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:28.439 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.670$ exposed to childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}34{:}30.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.668$ The highest is for internalizing problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.846$ but also for autism spectrum disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.986$ It's one.

 $00:34:37.986 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.655$ It's a 1.7 fold increase and a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}34{:}41.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.620$ than twofold increase for ADHD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}34{:}43.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47.037$ And there's also an increase for as thma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:47.037 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.026$ We didn't find any association with allergy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.529$ and the only outcome where we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:52.529 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.381$ an association that was moderated

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:54.381 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.809$ by sex was obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.288$ So only female offspring whose mothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:34:58.288 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.579$ had been exposed to childhood trauma were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:35:01.580 --> 00:35:05.108 Had a higher risk for obesity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:35:05.108 --> 00:35:07.860 what was really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.434$ Is that these mothers, these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:12.434 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.004$ these children clustered into different

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}35{:}15.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.134$ groups and there was one group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:18.134 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.023$ you see here who had who had diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}35{:}21.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.518$ on various of these outcomes,

 $00:35:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.760$ especially the neurodevelopmental outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.308$ ADHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163 00:35:28.308 --> 00:35:28.856 LG, NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:28.856 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.144$ but also asthma and also internalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:32.144 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.692$ problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.954$ And mothers of children in this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:34.954 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.922$ were twice as likely to have been exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:37.922 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.282$ to childhood maltreatment then in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:40.282 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.830$ other lower risk groups with lower health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.518$ with the lower prevalence of health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.000$ And then we also did a latent class

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.085$ analysis to to look at whether different

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}35{:}52.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.755$ types of exposures of maternal childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:54.755 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.493$ maltreatment were associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:35:56.493 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.228$ specific outcomes and the child.

 $00:35:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.000$ But what our data suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.770$ was that it was rather,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163 00:36:01.770 --> 00:36:02.198 um, NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:02.198 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.910$ a matter of severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}36{:}03.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.962$ because it was those mothers who

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}36{:}05.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.461$ had been exposed to more than one

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:36:08.461 --> 00:36:10.591 type of abuse and neglect whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:10.591 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.134$ children had the highest risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}36{:}13.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.350$ developing these disease outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.681$ So this paper was just accepted for

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:36:18.681 --> 00:36:20.747 publication and will be out next week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.910$ Umm, it's not yet out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:22.910 \dashrightarrow 00:36:28.750$ We are working on it. And so I want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:36:28.750 --> 00:36:29.962 I'll be quick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81915316300:36:29.962 --> 00:36:30.770 I will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.732$ I will only talk like I try to finish

 $00{:}36{:}32.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.723$ in like 5 minutes and we'll talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:34.723 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.208$ little bit of the Mecca about the mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.542$ what might underlie this

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:39.542 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.708$ intergenerational transmission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}36{:}40.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.310$ And there has been a lot of focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:36:43.310 --> 00:36:45.466 On post Natal factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:45.466 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.161$ because women exposed to childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:48.161 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.762$ maltreatment have a higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:50.762 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.247$ for postpartum depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.366$ they have more often bonding difficulties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

00:36:56.370 --> 00:36:58.990 They're like impaired maternal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:36:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.300$ maternal sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00{:}37{:}00.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.184$ which of course are all risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:37:03.184 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.618$ for later pathology and the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819153163

 $00:37:05.618 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.030$ But the case we wanted to

00:37:08.116 --> 00:37:11.720 make is. And that's because of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.680$ the evidence for altered stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:14.792 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.176$ biology in the exposed individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:37:17.176 --> 00:37:18.418 After childhood maltreatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.050$ they will most likely carry

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:20.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.354$ those forward to pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.496$ It will not stop once they become pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:37:23.500 --> 00:37:25.201 And I have just shown you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:25.201 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.424$ there's a lot of evidence that like

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:27.424 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.219$ variation in these biological mediators

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}37{:}29.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.237$ can then program the fetal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.904$ And this is what we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:33.904 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.236$ what we've tried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.089$ To summarize in this review and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:38.089 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.386$ recent another recent review how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:40.386 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.881$ the various sequelae of maternal

 $00:37:42.881 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.321$ childhood maltreatment that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:45.321 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.496$ here will affect the biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:37:47.496 --> 00:37:50.406 state during pregnancy and can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:37:50.406 --> 00:37:52.534 affect fetal brain development

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}37{:}52.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.310$ and even the maternal behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.776$ The post Natal environment that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:37:58.776 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.427$ being created by that will most

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:01.427 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.205$ likely be affected by stress biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:04.205 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.090$ during pregnancy and this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.764$ Something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}38{:}06.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.123$ uh Kieran and I are working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}38{:}09.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}11.367$ together whether there could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}38{:}11.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.207$ differences in estrogen sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:13.207 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.368$ potentially that will maybe reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:38:15.368 --> 00:38:16.936 estrogen sensitivity in these

 $00:38:16.936 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.323$ mothers who have been exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:19.323 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.927$ childhood maltreatment that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:38:20.927 --> 00:38:23.497 not allow her brain to adapt to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:38:23.497 --> 00:38:25.447 this new situation to prepare for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}38{:}25.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.186$ motherhood as well as in individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:38:28.186 --> 00:38:29.970 with higher estrogen sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.078$ At least this is a working hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:33.078 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.804$ that we are examining right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:38:36.804 --> 00:38:41.592 Umm here's an overview of various

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.421$ associations between maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}38{:}43.421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.849$ childhood maltreatment and variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:38:45.849 --> 00:38:48.980 in stress biology during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.710$ And so this is what we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.400$ contributed to as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.210$ And indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}38{:}54.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.640$ there is evidence for higher cortisol

00:38:56.640 --> 00:38:58.080 concentrations during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:38:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.900$ higher inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.455$ steeper increase in this placental

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:02.455 --> 00:39:04.620 CRH over the course of gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.428$ but also other important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:06.428 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.880$ Andrew,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:06.880 --> 00:39:08.432 current mediators like thyroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}39{:}08.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.372$ hormones that are very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:10.372 --> 00:39:12.697 for fetal brain development seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:12.697 --> 00:39:15.382 to be associated with higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:15.382 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.993$ maternal childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.456$ And to to really make the case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}39{:}19.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.943$ the transmission already occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:20.943 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.379$ prenatally and not just pro postnatally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.780$ We wanted to show that already in the

 $00:39:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.632$ neonatal brain we see associations

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}39{:}27.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29.224$ with maternal childhood maltreatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:29.224 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.398$ and this was indeed the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.040$ We saw that neonates newborns whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.620$ mothers were exposed to childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.380$ Treatment had actually overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.580$ smaller brain volumes and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:40.580 --> 00:39:42.627 specifically lower Gray matter volumes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.590$ When we looked at whether this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:44.590 --> 00:39:46.448 regional specific or more global effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.357$ we really saw it was more of a global

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:49.357 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.448$ effect globally smaller brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.980$ In these newborns whose mothers had

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:39:52.980 --> 00:39:54.590 been exposed to childhood maltreatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.248$ so really making the point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:39:57.248 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.533$ it's then probably something like

 $00:39:59.533 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.713$ the post Natal environment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:01.713 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.097$ might also be affected will add

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:04.097 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.330$ on top of this this early already

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:40:07.330 --> 00:40:09.430 prenatally programmed phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.022$ And we were interested in whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:12.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.428$ total brain volume and newborns

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:14.428 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.804$ was associated with cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}40{:}16.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.180$ performance and executive function

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.098$ and did not find any main effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.340$ Um in two years and 4 1/2 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:40:24.340 --> 00:40:25.234 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:25.234 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.469$ we saw a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00{:}40{:}27.469 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.361$ moderation by maternal sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:29.361 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.217$ that we observed in a standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:32.217 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.528$ place situation in a way that.

00:40:34.530 --> 00:40:37.310 Infants with larger brain volumes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

 $00:40:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.725$ we are more able to benefit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:40:39.725 --> 00:40:42.114 be affected by variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85448829

00:40:42.114 --> 00:40:43.390 maternal sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879788

 $00:40:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.975$ whereas this was reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.879788

 $00:40:45.975 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.250$ and individuals who are born

NOTE Confidence: 0.879788

 $00:40:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.849$ with a smaller brain volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879788

 $00:40:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.761$ and this was the case at two

NOTE Confidence: 0.879788

 $00{:}40{:}50.761 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.602$ years and also a very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.879788

 $00:40:52.602 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.510$ pattern at four to five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:40:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.695$ So potentially we want brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:40:58.695 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.219$ volume could be in Europe phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:01.219 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.058$ that indicates differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00:41:03.058 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.510$ susceptibility to the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.000$ Umm. And this is something else

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}41{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.599$ we have recently been working on.

00:41:10.600 --> 00:41:14.250 This is diffusion tensor imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.996$ data of our new cohorts that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:18.996 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.736$ recently that we recently established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.180$ And here what we see is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}41{:}26.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.190$ seems so we look at diffusion tensor

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.626$ imaging and we look at this measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:32.626 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.574$ radial diffusivity where higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}41{:}34.666 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}41{:}37.230$ scores indicate lower maturity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.420$ And, um, we see that different

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.862$ forms of depression seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00:41:41.862 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.678$ associated with different patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.260$ So depression depressed mothers who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.910$ been exposed to childhood maltreatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}41{:}48.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.969$ their children at birth seem to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

00:41:51.969 --> 00:41:54.662 have a phenotype of delayed maturation,

 $00:41:54.662 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.058$ whereas those newborns whose mothers had

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:41:58.058 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.288$ been exposed to childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

00:42:01.290 --> 00:42:04.890 But we're not exposed shelter maltreatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111 00:42:04.890 --> 00:42:05.257 sorry. NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:05.257 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.459$ And we're depressed during pregnancy rather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.602$ Go to pattern of accelerated maturation

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:09.602 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.526$ and I think this is this is interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:12.526 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.668$ because we know that both delayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00{:}42{:}14.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.775$ maturation but also accelerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:16.775 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.325$ maturation might have negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00{:}42{:}19.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.010$ So I think it is really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

00:42:22.010 --> 00:42:24.088 consider both ends of the spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}42{:}24.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.594$ and that's why I thought this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

00:42:26.594 --> 00:42:29.935 actually a quite interesting finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111 00:42:29.935 --> 00:42:31.560 Umm. NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.276$ So this is just in terms of

 $00:42:34.276 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.052$ clinical application,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.745$ this is something we've developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:37.745 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.835$ with my colleagues Christina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

00:42:39.835 --> 00:42:42.685 Hayem and Azania entering at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:42.685 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.061$ charity this kind of the cycle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:46.061 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.730$ biological embedding of child of

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.370$ adverse childhood experiences and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}42{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56.436$ And also where potential targets could

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:42:56.436 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.448$ be for intervention to break the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}42{:}59.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.516$ cycle after exposure to not even like

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00:43:02.516 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.646$ to prevent the biological embedding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.070$ But if it has embedded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}43{:}06.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.708$ if it has already been embedded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.404$ is there a potential for reprogramming

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:10.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.302$ for compensation so that not like

 $00:43:13.302 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.772$ certain phenotypes get established but

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:15.772 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.570$ then I think what we can do in like

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

00:43:19.688 --> 00:43:23.699 caring natural care is really try to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79300741611111100:43:23.700 --> 00:43:24.564 Work here. NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:24.564 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.724$ Work on disrupting this vicious

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:26.724 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.728$ cycle of the intergenerational

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:28.728 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.868$ transmission by really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}43{:}31.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.790$ focus or identify women at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00{:}43{:}35.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38.913$ And and see how to support women who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

00:43:38.913 --> 00:43:41.909 been exposed to childhood maltreatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}43{:}41.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.380$ and ideally already during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00{:}43{:}44.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.850$ preconceptional period or during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.310$ But then of course also providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7930074161111111

 $00{:}43{:}49.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.510$ support in the postpartum period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.509$ But as always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793007416111111

 $00:43:52.509 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.840$ of course the the earlier the better.

 $00:43:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.948$ I'd like to just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

00:43:59.950 --> 00:44:01.198 Finished with this quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

 $00:44:01.198 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.070$ it is easier to build strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

00:44:03.136 --> 00:44:04.986 children than repair broken men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

 $00:44:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.142$ so I think it is really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

 $00:44:09.142 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.517$ understand the very early origins of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

00:44:12.520 --> 00:44:16.695 Susceptibility for mental health or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93819795

 $00{:}44{:}16.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.035$ adverse mental health conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

 $00:44:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.220$ Because we can take advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

 $00:44:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.897$ of the great plasticity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

00:44:26.897 --> 00:44:29.333 brain during development and yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

 $00:44:29.333 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.464$ and deliver targeted interventions to, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

 $00{:}44{:}32.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.148$ take advantage of this high plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

 $00:44:36.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.206$ I would like to close by of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

00:44:40.210 --> 00:44:44.298 Thanking all my collaborators.

00:44:44.300 --> 00:44:46.916 Without whom, I couldn't have done this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872226260666667

 $00:44:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.888$ and I'd also like you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759863286666667

 $00:45:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.418$ Thanks so much. Talk to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.759863286666667

 $00:45:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.680$ Any questions for Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.759863286666667

 $00:45:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.940$ bus in the audience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473773

 $00:45:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.950$ We do have one question already and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473773

 $00:45:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.475$ from zoom and if Lilia Benoit would

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473773

00:45:11.475 --> 00:45:14.072 like to to unmute maybe start your

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473773

 $00{:}45{:}14.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.380$ video and always interesting to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473773

 $00:45:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.252$ individual differences and potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.88473773

 $00:45:18.252 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.070$ moderating influences and Lilia, do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.808874398

 $00:45:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.130$ want to pose your question? Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808874398

00:45:23.130 --> 00:45:25.738 Hi. Can you hear me? Yes, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}45{:}25.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.142$ OK. Thank you very much for for this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:45:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.098$ It's very, very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:45:30.098 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.970$ And I'm not an expert at all in methylation.

00:45:34.970 --> 00:45:37.258 I'm Shawna Dawson, psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:45:37.258 --> 00:45:41.550 But I'm more interested usually in behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}45{:}41.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.678$ family the rapy, communication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:45:44.678 --> 00:45:48.446 And so it's always very unsettling

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:45:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.493$ for me because I have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:45:50.493 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.017$ impression that sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:45:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.486$ When we measure the outcome of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}45{:}54.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.130$ the adverse childhood experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:45:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.369$ I do not see how we can measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:00.369 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.098$ moderating effects of behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.404$ And and the role model effect on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:06.404 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.660$ parent behavior toward the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}46{:}08.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.800$ And so even may be I'm just very biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.176$ because it's an area I don't know much about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:46:14.180 --> 00:46:16.706 But when I read sometimes obesity,

00:46:16.710 --> 00:46:18.126 ADHD, depression, inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}46{:}18.126 --> 00{:}46{:}20.486$ my impression is that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:20.486 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.070$ of these outcomes could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.190$ might be directly transmitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.000$ just through behaviors like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.160$ maternal behavior towards herself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.566$ I don't know, using drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:32.566 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.472$ Being addictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:33.472 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.737$ feeling depressed or the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:46:35.737 --> 00:46:37.249 behavior towards a child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.150$ which is like repeating this

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.290$ circle of trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.341$ And so it's very puzzling

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:46:43.341 --> 00:46:44.763 for me because I'm just like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.400$ how I can, how can we measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}46{:}46.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.465$ you know, or how could we compare,

00:46:48.470 --> 00:46:52.936 should we go back to very biological?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.286$ Causation or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:54.286 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.616$ Or could we just say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.893$ oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:46:55.893 --> 00:46:57.804 maybe it's just the behavior of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:57.804 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.359$ transmitted and we are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:46:59.359 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.360$ measuring something else which is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:47:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.745$ And the body signals of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

00:47:05.745 --> 00:47:07.380 But actually this is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:47:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.660$ the cause of transmission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00:47:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.136$ I don't know if it's clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917291892222222

 $00{:}47{:}10.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.430 \ \mathrm{I}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:47:10.440 --> 00:47:11.812 I think it is clear and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:11.812 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.058$ don't think it's an either or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.448$ I think the behavior that is

 $00:47:15.448 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.749$ altered in response to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00{:}47{:}17.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.249$ adverse experiences will actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:47:20.250 --> 00:47:23.148 Moderate or affect how much her

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:23.148 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.968$ biology is changed in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:25.968 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.359$ to childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.248$ This is why I had this one figure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:47:30.250 --> 00:47:32.842 Sorry, I went through it very quickly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:32.842 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.169$ these various sequelae like drug exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:47:35.170 --> 00:47:38.000 like obesity, other risky behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.910$ but also her mental health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.332$ And it is indeed the case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:42.332 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.869$ the more risk factors they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.790$ The higher or the the the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.227$ pronounced are the differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:50.227 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.018$ biological markers during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:47:52.018 --> 00:47:52.850 For example,

00:47:52.850 --> 00:47:54.050 I don't think I mentioned that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.661$ but in our studies when we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:47:56.661 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.349$ looked at inflammatory markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:47:58.349 --> 00:48:01.331 during pregnancy in women who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:48:01.331 --> 00:48:02.822 adverse childhood experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:02.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.140$ we see it only elevated in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.379$ women who have also depressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:48:07.379 --> 00:48:09.146 symptoms during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.635$ So I think these like what has

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:48:11.635 --> 00:48:13.690 established as a consequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:48:13.690 --> 00:48:14.630 sorry, I'm looking at you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.940$ but I should be looking there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00{:}48{:}16.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.586$ What has been established in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:19.586 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.946$ sequella of these adverse experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:21.946 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.831$ are extremely important and these

 $00:48:24.831 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.120$ include behavioral alterations because

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

00:48:27.120 --> 00:48:29.455 because if certain phenotypes like

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:29.455 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.323$ depression has been established,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.385$ this will affect her behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:33.385 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.440$ towards her child most likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.645$ And I think This is why it is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:37.645 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.766$ important that we identify these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:39.766 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.576$ because we cannot change anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:41.642 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.568$ about these experiences that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:43.568 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.764$ the mothers or the parents made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:45.764 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.376$ But we can of course try to positively

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00:48:49.376 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.606$ affect some of these sequella,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00{:}48{:}51.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54.420$ and I think this will already this

NOTE Confidence: 0.868587637692308

 $00{:}48{:}54.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.770$ will already be very effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

 $00:48:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.190$ Sorry. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

 $00:49:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.350$ That was really fascinating.

 $00:49:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.318$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

00:49:04.318 --> 00:49:07.063 I I was wondering about your comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

00:49:07.063 --> 00:49:10.018 about girls only being affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

00:49:10.020 --> 00:49:11.660 With obesity of girls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

 $00:49:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.371$ of these moms, what, what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94882286

00:49:13.371 --> 00:49:14.918 what sense do you make of that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

00:49:17.970 --> 00:49:22.690 That that is difficult. I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.560$ we really know why this might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.206$ It is interesting that also in exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:28.206 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.867$ individuals it seems like that obesity risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:30.867 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.510$ is higher and exposed females than males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

00:49:33.510 --> 00:49:35.860 Umm. I really don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00{:}49{:}35.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.939$ We have a good sense of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.488$ I thought it was really interesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:40.488 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.854$ this was the only outcome that we saw

 $00:49:43.854 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.800$ differential effects based on offspring sex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.078$ But I actually have to pass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.190$ I don't have a good idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.690$ I can, I can say that it has been similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:53.776 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.576$ things have been shown in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:49:56.576 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.009$ as I said in the exposed person but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.078$ Yeah, we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:01.078 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.065$ I think this is something to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:03.065 \dashrightarrow 00:50:05.027$ something to really look look into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.354$ And I have to say that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:08.354 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.490$ few studies there are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.800$ they haven't always looked at sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.830$ differences and moderation by sex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.790$ So I think we will have to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

00:50:16.790 --> 00:50:18.475 whether this this gets replicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7903324475

 $00:50:18.475 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.680$ and try to make sense of this.

 $00:50:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.750$ Thank you so much. Go catch. One

NOTE Confidence: 0.844993146666667

 $00:50:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.648$ last quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00:50:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.650$ Yeah, amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00:50:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.570$ Amazing talk and fascinating area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

00:50:32.570 --> 00:50:34.602 Quick question about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00{:}50{:}34.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.126$ studies involving stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

00:50:36.130 --> 00:50:38.082 Were you specifically targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00{:}50{:}38.082 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.522$ populations of parents with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

00:50:40.522 --> 00:50:43.518 stress levels due to whatever other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

00:50:43.518 --> 00:50:45.088 factors that might be causing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00{:}50{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.896$ Or were you targeting sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00:50:46.896 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.870$ more of a general population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00{:}50{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.314$ And if if so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

 $00:50:51.314 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.015$ are they levels of stress that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7962833825

00:50:54.015 --> 00:50:55.490 should be particularly worried about?

 $00:50:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.420$ Can we quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.9081294225

 $00:50:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.670$ it? Can we know when to intervene

NOTE Confidence: 0.9081294225

 $00:50:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.040$ can and when are we dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9081294225

 $00:51:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.519$ with the natural variation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.819$ I think that's a it's an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:04.819 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.638$ question and very difficult to answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.280$ So the first part is very easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.140$ So the initial studies we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.368$ and the data I presented today

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:51:12.368 --> 00:51:14.638 was just a normal population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}51{:}14.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}} 00{:}51{:}16.640$ so normal variation and stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:51:16.640 --> 00:51:18.240 our current ongoing work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.180$ We have enriched the cohorts for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.440$ exposed to childhood maltreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.100$ So they are slightly higher risk because

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.404$ we want to investigate this further

 $00:51:28.404 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.732$ and also start looking further into

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:30.732 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.888$ these the moderating role of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.410$ So we have enriched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.930$ Our ongoing cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.226$ What are levels that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:39.226 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.090$ be paying attention to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.118$ I think this is really difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:51:42.118 --> 00:51:44.866 because as I said it's not a one-on-one

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:44.866 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.611$ translation and actually there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:46.611 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.381$ a lot of studies that don't find

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:49.381 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.949$ associations between variation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.938$ psychological stress and biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}51{:}52.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54.866$ mediators that they measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:51:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.215$ And I think well obviously it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:51:57.215 --> 00:51:59.430 mean that there is no association,

 $00:51:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.845$ I don't think it's it's measured correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}52{:}01.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.710$ So something that we have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.965$ for example in this but these

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:05.965 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.865$ ecological momentary assessments is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.719$ That we see that the intra individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:10.719 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.575$ variation in stress is what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:13.575 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.655$ associated with cortisol concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.160$ Not the interindividual variation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.970$ but how much the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.780$ varies around her or herself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.980$ Her own mean is what is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.304$ So I think just based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:52:31.304 --> 00:52:33.520 questionnaire measures in screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.978$ it would be hard to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.229$ oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:35.229 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.972$ this is what you should pay attention

 $00:52:36.972 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.136$ to and this is what you should not

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:52:39.136 --> 00:52:41.276 pay attention to because the how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:52:41.276 --> 00:52:43.388 gets translated into signals for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:52:43.388 --> 00:52:45.875 features might be very different based on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83935543461538400:52:45.880 --> 00:52:47.278 as I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}52{:}47.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.676$ various resilience factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.934$ But of course I think in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:52:50.934 --> 00:52:52.896 we should be paying attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:52.896 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.196$ to mental health and stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:52:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.260$ especially in the enduring prenatal care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:52:58.260 --> 00:53:00.829 Because even if maybe it's not high

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:00.829 --> 00:53:03.989 enough to affect the fetus in a negative way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}53{:}03.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.015$ I mean you can do something for the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}53{:}06.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.162$ And I think in general it's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:08.162 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.118$ a problem that mental health issues

 $00:53:10.118 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.393$ are not very much the focus or

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:12.458 --> 00:53:14.368 at least are paying attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.154$ being paid attention to enough during

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:17.154 --> 00:53:20.400 prenatal care and similarly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.100$ these adverse childhood experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.512$ I I always say I I really had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:26.512 --> 00:53:28.939 very difficult time to establish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.198 \text{ um}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:29.198 --> 00:53:30.746 the study and in Germany because

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:30.746 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.283$ I got a lot of feedback saying you

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}53{:}33.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.983$ cannot ask pregnant women about

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:35.048 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.809$ adverse childhood experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.635$ You will read,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:37.635 --> 00:53:39.010 traumatize them and you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.936$ not do that to a pregnant woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:40.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.173$ And I really had to argue that

00:53:43.173 --> 00:53:45.107 I think we're doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:45.107 --> 00:53:47.807 good for the women because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00{:}53{:}47.807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.158$ thoughts will get illicit anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.918$ because now they are becoming parents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:51.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.999$ They will think about their own childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

00:53:54.000 --> 00:53:56.080 And it is important to do this in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:56.137 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.426$ secure environment and then offer them help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:53:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.896$ And they are actually papers out

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00.54:00.896 \longrightarrow 00.54:03.478$ there on exactly this topic saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:54:03.478 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.430$ that women would hope to be asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:54:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.690$ about these experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83935543461538400:54:07.690 --> 00:54:07.965 especially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:54:07.965 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.515$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:54:08.515 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.165$ if it has been sexual abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839355434615384

 $00:54:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.795$ because this can really affect

00:54:11.795 --> 00:54:13.420 a vaginal delivery and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:13.481 --> 00:54:15.395 very important that these women can

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:15.395 --> 00:54:17.240 address these kind of concerns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:17.240 --> 00:54:19.928 Early on our studies, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.051$ we've had these cases and we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:22.051 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.200$ to the delivery room with them and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:24.200 --> 00:54:25.992 Some of them, it really helped them

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:25.992 --> 00:54:27.791 to prepare for that and sometimes I

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:27.791 --> 00:54:29.576 still have to do a cesarean section

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:29.576 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.368$ and others were then able to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00{:}54{:}31.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33.080$ try it and and go through with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:33.080 --> 00:54:35.840 I think it's it's in general I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.185$ say we should start paying attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:39.185 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.720$ stress and mental health issues in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:42.720 --> 00:54:45.088 And even if even if it's not like

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:45.088 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.145$ a extremely higher or toxic level

 $00:54:47.145 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.239$ that it would affect the fetus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00{:}54{:}49.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.382$ we can do something good for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

00:54:51.382 --> 00:54:53.369 for the pregnant woman and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:53.369 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.044$ will have a positive impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.150$ But I cannot say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.337$ this is a cut off that you should pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:54:59.337 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.296$ attention to and others you you shouldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00:55:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.992$ It's very difficult of course I

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00{:}55{:}02.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.900$ think you're rallying cry for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846745107619048

 $00{:}55{:}05.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08.970$ The support for pregnant individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.79886805

 $00:55:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.018$ is a great way to end this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79886805

00:55:08.020 --> 00:55:09.160 Thank you again, Doctor Bush.