WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:02:38" NOTE recognizability:0.940

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.720$  Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:00:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.060$  to Grand Ryans and especially to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:00:09.120 --> 00:00:10.800 everyone joining us on Zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.680$  And I'd like to remind you that for the

Q&A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.184$  please feel free to put on your video

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:15.184 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.480$  cameras and we'll project you here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.538$  our screens here in the Cohen Auditorium

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}00{:}19.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.760$  and we'll hope for a lively discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:00:21.760 --> 00:00:23.176 Now as usual, we just want to preview

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:23.176 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.596$  a couple of our presentations that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509878933333333

00:00:24.596 --> 00:00:26.280 coming up over the next couple of weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:00:26.280 --> 00:00:27.580 And so next Tuesday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.090$  we will hear from Doctor Jessica Cardena.

 $00:00:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.064$  And this is a very special by

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}00{:}32.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.370$ Ola Barnard lecture series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.674$  And so Doctor Cardeno will be talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:35.674 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.175$  us about what we can learn from Latino

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}00{:}38.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.306$  mothers and what Latino mothers can

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:40.306 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.642$  teach clinicians about trauma and recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:42.642 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.910$  And then a special date for

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.448$  your diary on Monday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.244$  And we have Doctor Tracy Bale

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:48.244 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.870$  coming to give a seminar in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.690$  Division of Reproductive Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:00:52.690 --> 00:00:54.770 So that's in the department of OB GYN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.094$  my other home department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:00:56.094 --> 00:00:58.649 And so on Monday from 12:00 to 1:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:00:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.533$  Doctor Bale will be coming to talk

 $00:01:00.533 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.185$  to us about extracellular vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}02.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.537$  as a novel form of communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}04.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.609$  between the mother and the fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:06.610 --> 00:01:07.290 And as you'll all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.120$  Doctor Bale has done some seminal

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:09.120 --> 00:01:11.309 work trying to uncover the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:11.309 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.137$  mechanisms that underpin the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:13.137 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.965$  intergenerational transmission of stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:14.970 --> 00:01:16.965 And now to our speaker for today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:16.970 --> 00:01:19.056 it is my distinct pleasure to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509878933333333

 $00{:}01{:}19.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.736$  Doctor Hennington Meyer to the Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:20.736 --> 00:01:22.444 Study Center for the very first time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333 00:01:22.450 --> 00:01:22.854 I'm told.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:22.854 --> 00:01:24.735 And we did a little bit of history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:24.735 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.487$  the Child Study Center earlier on and a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}26.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.863$  Tour So Doctor Timmeyer is joining us

00:01:28.863 --> 00:01:31.447 from the Harvard School of Public Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}31.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.445$  where he is the Professor of Social

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:33.445 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.922$  and Behavioral Science and holds

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:34.922 --> 00:01:36.347 the Sumner and Esther Feldberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:36.347 --> 00:01:38.088 Chair of Maternal and Child Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.016$  where he also directs the Maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:40.016 --> 00:01:42.130 and Child Center for Excellence at

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}42.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.050$  Harvard School of Public Health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.902$  And, of course, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}44.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.070$  Timmeyer also holds a professorship at

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:01:47.070 --> 00:01:49.770 the Erasmus University in Rotterdam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.366$  where, as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}51.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.450$ he set up the Generation Rotterdam cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.806$  the Gen. R cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:01:55.806 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.501$  Which has made a tremendous

00:01:57.501 --> 00:01:59.583 contribution to our understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00{:}01{:}59.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.683$  how the environment shapes individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:01.683 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.370$  differences in child development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.434$  And I hope we'll hear a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:02:04.434 --> 00:02:05.130 bit about that today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.244$  as well as many of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:07.244 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.882$  initiatives that Doctor Tiamar is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:08.882 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.886$  involved in since moving to Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:02:10.890 --> 00:02:11.997 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:02:11.997 --> 00:02:14.211 he has published prolifically and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:14.211 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.018$  regarded as a ISI highly cited researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

00:02:16.018 --> 00:02:17.710 So please join me in giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.730$  a warm child study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333

 $00:02:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.722$  Welcome to Doctor Tiameyer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950987893333333300:02:19.722 --> 00:02:19.970 Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.812$  you. Thank you very much.

 $00{:}02{:}26.812 --> 00{:}02{:}29.174$  Let me put on my mic and thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:29.174 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.471$  you very much for the kind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:31.471 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.526$  very kind and warm introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:33.526 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.939$  and the invitation to come here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}02{:}35.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.138$  Indeed, I'm quite proud to talk here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.390$  I should say that because just teach

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:02:41.390 --> 00:02:44.180 currently again the the course child

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}02{:}44.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.514$  Psychiatric EPI at Harvard and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:02:46.514 --> 00:02:49.337 my third slide there I show the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:02:49.337 --> 00:02:51.976 Study Center and the work of gazelle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}02{:}51.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.826$  which I think shaped longitudinal studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.674$  More than many others or anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:58.674 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.170$  else was that introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.550$  For those that are also interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:02.611 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.787$  more recent work I'm doing or more other

00:03:04.787 --> 00:03:07.146 work on the maternal child space space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}03{:}07.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.726$  I must disappoint you or focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:09.726 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.748$  generation R still doing much of my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}03{:}11.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.950$  What I did is I okay is I selected work

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:17.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.590$  from ongoing studies or older studies even

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:03:21.590 --> 00:03:23.788 because I do much population or imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.029$  I'll show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:25.029 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.796$  And the theme I thought was answering

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}03{:}27.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.512$  an all discussion saying this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:29.512 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.392$  should do now that you're at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:03:31.392 --> 00:03:33.176 School of public health is not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:33.176 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.868$  relevant to public health at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.786$  And after 20 years of 30 years of imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:37.786 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.790$  research, it's still not relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.870$  And that doesn't insult me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:03:42.870 --> 00:03:44.148 I think it's a fair critique,

 $00:03:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.398$  but at least I have to live with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:45.398 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.190$  it and address it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:03:46.190 --> 00:03:47.226 And that's what I'm trying to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:03:47.226 --> 00:03:48.970 with you today. Discuss it with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.270$  Could it be relevant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.330$  It's not so obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.872$  So yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}03{:}53.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55.769$  they asked me to do learning objectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.766$  So here you are a bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:03:56.770 --> 00:03:58.604 it's a bit about the prenet exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}03{:}58.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.686$  which I'll Kieran is working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.330$  So I'll focus on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.410$  And the question really is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.220$  is it identified?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.570 \text{ I don't think that's the}$ 

 $00:04:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.728$  learning objective to be honest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.479$  It would be discussed with me how

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:10.479 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.024$  child imaging might possibly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:13.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.450$  theory a bit impact public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:16.450 --> 00:04:17.850 What am I talking about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.776$  I see Euroscience population of science

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:19.776 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.820$  not as broad as somebody like Thomas Powells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.060$  Thomas Powers, I would see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}04{:}24.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.420$  It's really the intersection of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:26.420 --> 00:04:27.566 if you wish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:27.566 --> 00:04:29.094 population research or etymology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.740$  and neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:29.740 --> 00:04:31.660 Essentially that's what happened in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:31.660 --> 00:04:34.708 that genetics has been now 1520 years really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:34.708 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.900$  infused with genetics as we just talked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.411$  epidemiology,

 $00:04:38.411 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.455$  but now also influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:40.455 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.499$  epidemiology with new methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.097$  And then I'll focus on prenatal exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}04{:}45.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.279$  psychosocial or chemicals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:46.279 --> 00:04:48.244 I've got one more chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00{:}04{:}48.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.315$  exposure pull that up after I met.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:04:51.315 --> 00:04:53.130 Somebody yesterday night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:04:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.048$  I thought that's a good one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:04:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.250$  how that impacts child development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}04{:}59.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.702$  I'll start with what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:05:00.702 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.170$  is not public health relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}05{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.784$  So I thought I'll start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}05{:}03.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.330$  something where I think it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00{:}05{:}07.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.472$  what imaging research is not and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:09.472 --> 00:05:11.410 start with not other people's work.

 $00:05:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.402$  That's not very cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:12.402 --> 00:05:13.890 I start with my own work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.710$  so I'll show you my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.025$  Were my best publication last

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:17.025 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.790$  year or one of my nicest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.142$  but I don't think it is any

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:21.142 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.150$  public health relevance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:22.150 --> 00:05:25.542 It's answering the question child psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00{:}05{:}25.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.438$  Really it's giving you an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:27.438 --> 00:05:29.300 of that because much of my work

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00{:}05{:}29.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31.544$  or all of my work was funded under

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:31.544 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.030$  the premise that it will inform in

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.830$  the prediction and the causality

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.270$  of child psychiatric disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:37.270 --> 00:05:40.588 And now 20, not 15 years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.754$  what have we delivered?

 $00:05:42.754 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.459$  It's this type of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.460$  Can we really predict adolescent

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:47.460 --> 00:05:48.660 hallucinations with imaging?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:48.660 --> 00:05:50.196 Does it add anything?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00{:}05{:}50.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.190$  So last year we published work on

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.140$  this question, Public Health Relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

00:05:55.140 --> 00:05:56.220 You ask yourself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.920$  can we predict adolescent hallucinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.942$  would be very, very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:05:59.942 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.447$  We measured that in Generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:06:02.447 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.258 R$  at 10 and 14 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:06:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.976$  It's actually quite easy to measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}696$  You can ask the adolescents themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:06:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.500$  You can ask them to hear voices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944124138461539

 $00:06:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.700$  You have strange thoughts.

00:06:14.710 --> 00:06:17.032 I don't know if anybody here has an idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:17.032 --> 00:06:19.586 how prevalent that is at age 10, At 14,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:19.586 --> 00:06:21.970 Any idea if it's a fringe thing happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:22.039 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.283$  at 2% of the population or 10 or 15%?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:25.283 --> 00:06:28.654 But actually if you ask them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:28.654 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.738$  do you hear voices, it's 25% easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:31.738 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.734$  And that is not just waking up

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:34.734 --> 00:06:38.716 after dreaming, it is really work of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:38.716 --> 00:06:41.614 Keleha and Mary Cannon in Ireland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:41.620 --> 00:06:43.696 Has shown it's somewhat less frequent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.477$  so it goes down to 15% if you wish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:06:46.477 --> 00:06:49.780 If you really get them bothered by voices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.220$  29 is really what you get with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.976$  population assessments if you do it crudely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.722$  But trust me, it is easily 15% at

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:06:56.722 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.851$  age 10 and then it drops to 12%.

00:06:58.851 --> 00:07:00.699 And again, if you do it more carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.412$  it would probably be six, 7% at age 14.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:03.412 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.576$  That hear voices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.620$  which is huge, don't forget.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:06.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.820$  Don't confuse that with schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.484$  That's nowhere near schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:07:10.484 --> 00:07:12.180 Actually, if you know their work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:07:12.180 --> 00:07:14.880 it predicts depression, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.255$  borderline much more than schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.070$  And we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.420$  so that's the special thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:20.420 \dashrightarrow 00:07:23.741$  We did repeated imaging at age 10 and age

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00{:}07{:}23.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.500$  14 so we can show does the brain change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.900$  We can also say, can we predict it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.635$  In the paper in Biological

00:07:31.635 --> 00:07:33.370 Psychiatry last year we showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.316$  something after all lots of studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:07:37.316 --> 00:07:38.260 different approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.620$  different work with the brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:07:39.620 --> 00:07:44.460 What we found really is if you hire voices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.060$  then the typical decline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.060$  this is sort of exaggerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.860$  This is a bad curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.204$  It should be much more than sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:07:50.204 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.780$  of trajectory curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00{:}07{:}50.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.104$  But for give me for that that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:07:53.104 --> 00:07:55.070 decline in Gray matter which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

00:07:55.070 --> 00:07:57.345 Originates probably much earlier than age 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00{:}07{:}57.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.332$  probably age 6 onwards is a tiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.936479825

 $00:08:00.332 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.990$  bit far faster in those that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}08{:}08.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.830$  new onset hallucinations at age 14.

 $00:08:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.550$  I'm showing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.990$  It is an association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.368$  It has a tiny effect size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.038$  You need a few thousands 2000s to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:25.038 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.570$  find it as a tiny effect size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.558$  It is a specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:08:29.558 --> 00:08:32.672 it is much of your Gray matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:08:32.672 --> 00:08:34.538 and actually it also maps on

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}08{:}34.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.690$  other psychiatric problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:35.690 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.602$  so it would not be that very

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:38.602 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.850$  specific for hallucinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}08{:}39.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.226$  You can also zoom in and find other

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}08{:}42.226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.370$  structures, of course we did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.506$  And the hippocampus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:45.506 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.721$  that's what the small A says

 $00:08:47.721 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.547$  it's The effect is again small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}08{:}50.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.930$  It survives multiple testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.310$  correction for other structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.462$  It's a tiny effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:54.462 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.385$  Again, it is unspecific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:56.385 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.110$  The conclusion here is useless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:08:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.163$  As a predictor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:00.163 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.165$  I have little doubt over and above any

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:03.165 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.505$  prediction model which we published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.270$  These brain imaging does nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:09:07.270 --> 00:09:09.920 You can better do predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.510$  with socioeconomic factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.990$  better predict with clinical factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.086$  You can better predict with well-being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.022$  It does not predict and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:19.022 \dashrightarrow 00:09:20.608$  the biggest imaging study so far.

 $00:09:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.617$  So it may be that one day all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:09:22.617 --> 00:09:24.721 you will search for more specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:09:24.721 --> 00:09:26.494 markers and we'll do resting

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:26.494 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.886$  state analysis and whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.918$  But we had this unique data

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:09:29.918 --> 00:09:31.817 set with repeated imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:09:31.817 --> 00:09:33.254 repeated hallucinations over

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:33.254 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.170$  the really relevant period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:09:35.170 --> 00:09:38.122 I would give this a one out of five

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:38.122 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.574$  in population public health relevance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:09:40.574 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.290$  It does not add to any child

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}09{:}43.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.049$  psychiatric clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:09:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.756$  Addiction, the rapeutic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:09:48.756 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.092$  understanding model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:09:50.092 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.520$  I would say we've done

 $00:09:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.780$  lots of this type of work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00{:}09{:}53.780 --> 00{:}09{:}55.492$  It's fascinating, it's fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:09:55.492 --> 00:09:58.060 I think it's important to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:09:58.132 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.937$  that the brain can predict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:09:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.460$  but it is not clinically useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:10:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.380$  Let me go on with transition to the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00{:}10{:}05.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.612$  I'm going to show where I think we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:10:07.612 --> 00:10:11.534 discuss public health relevance And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00{:}10{:}11.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.276$  this is a crude analysis, I know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:10:14.276 --> 00:10:16.388 Actually more fine grain didn't predict,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00{:}10{:}16.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.274$ more if you do multiple testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:10:18.274 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.590$  correction and the prediction was small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:10:20.590 --> 00:10:22.264 This is a paper I'm not going to discuss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:10:22.270 --> 00:10:24.142 I'm just going to recommend it for your read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:10:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.950$  From last year we said thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:10:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.845$  it harder that the population of

00:10:27.845 --> 00:10:30.349 science is the best paper of the year

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:10:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.350$  and so it got our prize for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00:10:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.296$  whoever cares.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:10:35.296 --> 00:10:39.606 And what it does is it uses the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

 $00{:}10{:}39.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41.845$  databases like the UK Biobank and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429

00:10:41.845 --> 00:10:44.309 the ABCD studies and others to show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904444878571429 00:10:44.310 --> 00:10:45.870 That for a NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:10:49.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.806$  if you don't zoom in on our ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00{:}10{:}51.806 \to 00{:}10{:}54.588$  but you take a broader approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:10:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.647$  for resting state and for volumes

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:10:58.647 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.109$  that you need to find anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.309$  They say it's three to 6000 people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:05.309 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.027$  the general population to find anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.382$  you can argue in your clinical sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:10.382 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.120$  it's different there's a letter or.

00:11:12.120 --> 00:11:14.542 An answer to nature arguing that very

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:14.542 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.133$  recently I actually fundamentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

00:11:16.133 --> 00:11:18.077 disagree with that letter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.198$  I think they have it right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

00:11:20.200 --> 00:11:22.960 It's my own experience too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

00:11:22.960 --> 00:11:25.840 and the only thing I'm not so sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.880$  and that's the judgment that's out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936659528571429

 $00:11:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.000$  This analysis is clearly only cross-sectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:11:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.880$  and actually I'm not so interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:11:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.200$  in cross-sectional prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00{:}11{:}37.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.880$  So we would have to move to longitudinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:11:38.880 --> 00:11:40.916 and if you've got repeated brain measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00{:}11{:}40.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.584$ I would argue because you control

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:11:43.584 --> 00:11:46.900 for quite a bit and you have change

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:11:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.110$  that could be different, although we

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:11:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.120$  don't know what's the interval change.

00:11:51.120 --> 00:11:53.796 Secondly, they have very poor phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:11:53.800 --> 00:11:54.625 You could argue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:11:54.625 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.550$  I think they should have used multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:11:56.606 --> 00:11:58.890 informant and other approaches, but anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:11:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.300$  it was all that critique I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.148$  It's very humbling that all of a sudden

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:04.148 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.065$  after so many years where we had studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:06.065 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.778$  of 1520 people and found big effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00{:}12{:}08.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.868$  we now have people that say if we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:10.868 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.820$  to do it well, we need 3 to 5000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:12:14.820 --> 00:12:17.871 So I would argue in child psychiatry so far

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:17.871 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.736$  without very few exceptions you can think of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00{:}12{:}20.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.938$ you know, but very rare syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.180$  not so sure OCD, there's some debate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.450$  that's quite specific, but otherwise.

00:12:29.450 --> 00:12:30.850 I think it's poor discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00{:}12{:}30.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.790$  poor specificity, poor sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:12:32.790 --> 00:12:34.730 We've done machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.090$  I'm not talking about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:36.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.855$  To overcome that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:36.855 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.426$  what we find is a very small signal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:39.426 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.246$  actually something we already knew.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:12:41.250 --> 00:12:43.990 It's quite broad changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

00:12:43.990 --> 00:12:45.086 externalizing behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.546$  nothing very specific either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00{:}12{:}46.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.730$  So I think in sharp psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.385$  my research has not contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.953671466666667

 $00:12:51.385 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.608$  that much for public health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:12:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.700$  That does not mean it's useless, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:12:57.700 --> 00:12:59.905 I would like to discuss prenatal exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:12:59.910 --> 00:13:02.054 some old work and then zoom in more

 $00:13:02.054 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.630$  recent work, ongoing work even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}13{:}04.630 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}07.060$  And we identify important introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.630$  influences on the Turtle Shine house.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.390$  And we've done a lot over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.958$  We sort of in generation are measured as

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:13.958 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.890$  much as we could and we were quite creative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:13:16.890 --> 00:13:18.310 We've got environmental toxins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.586$  we've got thyroid poverty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}13{:}19.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.126$  That's the recent thing that I added to

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}13{:}22.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.406$  the list because I was interested in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.730$  Depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.290$  So the bold ones are zooming on today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}13{:}27.290 \to 00{:}13{:}30.441$  One or two of you will know earlier work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:13:30.441 --> 00:13:33.220 but poverty is very recent and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:33.303 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.749$  environmental stuff is just out last year.

 $00:13:36.749 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.168$  So that's cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}13{:}38.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.538$  Discuss with me what you think is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:40.538 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.970$  role of imaging, which is so well funded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:13:42.970 --> 00:13:45.077 You know, if you take the European

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:45.077 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.850$  funding in the neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.850$  probably 1/2 goes to brain imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.139$  which is shoot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.006$  Not as much in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}13{:}54.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.939$  interestingly relatively speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:13:54.940 --> 00:13:58.810 but a lot Okay just a bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:13:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.380$  Generation R as a prospective cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.389$  It started in early fetal life but

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:02.389 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.864$  early the inclusion we promised

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:03.864 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.538$  and we had funding for 10,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.667$  I don't know for whatever reason the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:06.667 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.180$  end of the year came and we had to stop.

 $00:14:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.788$  So we didn't manage the 10,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:09.788 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.860$  but we got close,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:10.860 --> 00:14:12.855 it's 10,000 if you know who's active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.188$  It's still more than 5000 are

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:15.188 --> 00:14:16.740 contributing participating 6000 which

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}14{:}16.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.940$  is very good if you start prenatally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:18.940 --> 00:14:20.604 I think it's much better in a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:20.604 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.574$  than ABCD because they have a 15%

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:22.574 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.938$  response rate at baseline or lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.633$  So this is a 62% response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:27.633 --> 00:14:29.739 and then the Dutch majority group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.018$  it's actually 70%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}14{:}31.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.574$  So it's more selective in minorities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}14{:}33.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.617$ it's urban and multiethnic and I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:35.617 --> 00:14:38.007 because I have a slide later on this

00:14:38.007 --> 00:14:40.340 ethnicity normally I sort of gloss over it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:40.340 --> 00:14:41.540 Note that if you're on Rotterdam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:41.540 --> 00:14:45.520 it's not much different than in many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.130$  the Americans cities that about half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.543$  Of the population is Dutch means

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:52.543 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.608$  that has Dutch ancestry origin

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:14:55.610 --> 00:14:57.050 10% would be other Europeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:14:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.690$  So that's expats largely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}14{:}59.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.684$  And then you've got both migrant

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:01.684 --> 00:15:03.568 or guest worker I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}15{:}03.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.646$  which are the Turkish for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.649$  and the Moroccans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:06.649 --> 00:15:08.647 And then you've got colonial history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:08.650 --> 00:15:10.850 people like tsunamis, Cape roses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.029$  also guest workers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:12.029 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.208$  but Dutch Antilles.

 $00:15:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.059$  Are ex colonies of the Netherlands where

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}15{:}16.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.688$  people could migrate easily into meaning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:18.690 --> 00:15:20.130 It's a very dangerous city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:20.130 --> 00:15:24.278 And yeah, that's important because we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:24.278 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.330$  talk about poverty just about the measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:28.330 --> 00:15:29.130 I have no pointer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.130$  but I have a cursor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:30.130 --> 00:15:30.690 I'm told,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.930$  so I don't want to go through measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.178$  Nothing is more boring than telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:34.178 --> 00:15:35.530 you what we measured in the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}15{:}35.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.402$  but we measured a lot ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}15{:}37.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38.650$  in the beginning question naires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.966$  lots of motor development was exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.636$  We have IQ measures but also actually

 $00:15:42.636 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.369$  of the parents which are the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00{:}15{:}44.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.395$  It's very important to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

 $00:15:46.395 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.576$  for baseline confounding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943608015

00:15:47.576 --> 00:15:49.406 If you have intrauterine infectors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:15:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.040$  what is sort of genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:15:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.774$  background and then the imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:15:53.774 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.580$  I'll focus much of my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:15:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.177$  On the imaging at age 9 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:15:58.177 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.860$  10 which is at 4000 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.357$  I have one study later where we do a

 $00:16:03.357 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.413$  follow up of the imaging which we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.380$  This is actually a typo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.916$  It shouldn't be 4050.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:07.916 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.836$  This should be 3 thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:09.836 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.474$  and 52 hundred 3200.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:11.474 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.400$  Just copy pasted the wrong thing here.

 $00:16:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.822$  So we have now three wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:15.822 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.480$  completed and the 4th wave ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.923$  In total it would be 6000 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:16:19.923 --> 00:16:21.653 individuals that have been scanned

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:21.653 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.067$  of 5500 and the overlap is not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:16:24.067 --> 00:16:27.190 big but it is there to do nice multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.679$  level analysis over three waves already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.157$  I would like to start with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:31.157 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.575$  classical one of my classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:16:32.575 --> 00:16:33.676 papers maternal depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.592$  So I think there we can learn a

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:35.592 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.267$  bit about public health relevance

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}16{:}37.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.541$  and actually I'm saying that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:16:39.541 --> 00:16:41.524 because it informed a study or

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:41.524 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.069$  work that I'm doing currently.

 $00:16:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.626$  Maternal depression from fetal life forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}16{:}45.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48.332$  What I'm trying to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:16:48.332 --> 00:16:50.190 that we've measured maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.690$  depressive symptoms at three time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.190$  points during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:16:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.140$  This would have been forgive me

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:16:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.108$  that the error is not quite good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:16:58.110 --> 00:17:00.390 It should be after birth at two months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:17:00.390 --> 00:17:02.630 after birth at three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.275$  We didn't use that and we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:17:04.275 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.790$  it at 9 to 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:17:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.125$  So 4 measures of maternal depression 1/2

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:09.125 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.085$  just after birth in the early childhood and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.770$  why is 10 interesting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:17:14.770 --> 00:17:16.050 That is interesting because that

 $00:17:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.330$  is cross-sectional if you wish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:17:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.730$  Was the brain imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}17{:}18.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.830$  focusing on the brain imaging at

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.570$  10 years when we measured 4000

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.310$  children and not all in study

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:24.376 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.133$  at the end there will always be

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:26.133 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.967$  only 2000 or 3000 in the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:17:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.562$  but that's at that time a very big study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:17:30.570 --> 00:17:33.770 Certainly the biggest study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.146$  prenatal exposure assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:17:36.146 --> 00:17:39.618 prospective prenatal exposure assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:17:39.620 --> 00:17:41.572 And I always ask when I see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:41.572 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.698$  slides and those who have not seen it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.525$  what time is there a strongest relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:17:48.525 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.864$  of maternal depressive symptoms to

 $00:17:50.864 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.140$  the brain of a child measured at age 10?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00{:}17{:}56.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.356$  So we've got it at during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

00:18:00.356 --> 00:18:04.214 just after birth, early childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:04.214 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.399$  and cross-sectional with the MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.420$  And the question is when?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.140$  Is there a relation between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:15.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.000$  maternal depressive symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.732$  and the volume and connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:20.732 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.120$  of the child brain at age 10?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.745$  So is there a long term influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

00:18:26.745 --> 00:18:28.520 from prenatal life forward?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.374$  Is there an influence of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:32.374 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.430$  after birth perinatal depression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.788$  Is an influence of childhood depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.930$  or an influence of cross-sectional just

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.230$  concurrent depression to the mother?

 $00:18:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.740$  Talk about structure of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90635554

 $00:18:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.750$  brain of the child at age 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:18:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.909$  So I'm not, as I sometimes do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:18:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.350$  pull somebody up and say what

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:18:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.028$  do you think I'll do it myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

00:18:59.030 --> 00:19:02.934 You can think many people would think

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:02.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.780$  it's either prenatal depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:04.780 \dashrightarrow 00:19:07.265$  That has a big effect because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:07.265 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.106$  when the child is in the womb.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.014$  So you would think that the mother's

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

00:19:12.014 --> 00:19:14.523 depression influences her Physiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

00:19:14.523 --> 00:19:17.588 and that impacts the child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:17.590 \dashrightarrow 00:19:21.230$  You could argue for just after the birth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00{:}19{:}21.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.066$  because that's a key period of attachment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.445$  You could even argue somewhat

 $00:19:26.445 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.545$  less for the childhood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00{:}19{:}27.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.259$  but you could argue for that because it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:30.259 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.843$  long period of childhood upbringing anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:32.843 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.508$  If you look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:34.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.030$  this is just very broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.350$  Total measures, Total white measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:38.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.283$  Total Gray measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:39.283 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.527$  Because we start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

00:19:40.527 --> 00:19:41.149 hierarchical approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.860$  doing big parts of the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00{:}19{:}42.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.764$  and then zooming in on specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:44.764 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.504$  regions if we find something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00{:}19{:}46.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.750$  You can look at these small effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857

 $00:19:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.510$  They're actually translatable in centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905031707142857 00:19:51.510 --> 00:19:52.030 Cubic. NOTE Confidence: 0.941371755555556

 $00:19:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.880$  You can see nothing was

 $00:19:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.104$  the white matter much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00{:}19{:}58.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.718$  And if you look at the Gray matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:20:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.776$  There is a period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:20:01.776 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.190$  two months where there is an effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:20:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.115$  again that survives multiple testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:20:06.120 --> 00:20:08.920 So if you want an answer from this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556 00:20:08.920 --> 00:20:09.718 it is not. NOTE Confidence: 0.941371755555556

00:20:09.718 --> 00:20:11.314 And I've said that many times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:20:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.391$  for me, this is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:20:12.391 --> 00:20:13.638 big it's a few years old now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:20:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.436$  four years ago we published it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:20:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.880$  It's NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00{:}20{:}19.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.634$  not the prenatal exposure that is most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:20:21.634 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.278$  important and we see that in some of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:20:24.280 --> 00:20:28.186 it is actually just after birth.

 $00:20:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.446$  Where we see an effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:20:30.446 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.950$  that's actually very consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00{:}20{:}31.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.586$  So there's two ways to look at the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:20:35.590 --> 00:20:38.621 One is prenatal is not everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:20:38.621 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.710$  sometimes the Doha people would tell you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:20:41.710 --> 00:20:46.565 Secondly, effects are small and if anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00{:}20{:}46.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.940$  that is a small effect postnatal depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:20:49.940 --> 00:20:53.390 which makes sense if you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00{:}20{:}53.390 \to 00{:}20{:}54.702$  the literature and attachment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:20:54.702 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.870$  maternal bonding and how important it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:20:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.229$  To have and how that is impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:20:59.229 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.790$  in clinically depressed mothers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:21:00.790 --> 00:21:02.509 If you look at and I'll show later some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.630$  I think some more DTI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.870$  This is a slide of how we look at DTI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.145$  We sort of don't integrate it all.

 $00:21:08.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.510$  We look at different tracts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.870$  which we then sometimes sum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.790$  So this would be the connectivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.070$  in the white matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:21:14.070 --> 00:21:16.662 You measure that with two measures FA or MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.290$  but it essentially shows you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.566$  the integrity of the in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:20.566 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.542$  Different tracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:21.542 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.355$  We measured that. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:23.355 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.770$  that was just the global brain measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.038$  And I can tell you this effect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:28.038 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.530$  quite broad across parts of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00{:}21{:}30.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.671$  So it's not just in the temporal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:31.671 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.690$  lobe or the frontal lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:32.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.210$  We find it a global effect.

 $00:21:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.939$  And then we also looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:21:36.939 --> 00:21:38.610 DTI and what is interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.570$  that's not so surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.407$  Well, there was nothing in the white matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.815$  We saw that the tracts, the general tracts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:21:45.815 --> 00:21:47.690 the integrity of the tracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.122$  Again, depression at 2:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:51.122 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.692$  Months Postnatally there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:53.692 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.722$  less integrity of these tracts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:55.722 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.654$  together and trust me there's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:21:57.654 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.438$  a single track that does it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:21:59.440 --> 00:22:03.199 These global integrity of tracts is less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:22:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.476$  is less clear is there's less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:22:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.880$  integrity in these tracts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:22:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.760$  And then in fact was the depression at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:22:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.884$  two months on the child brain of 10 years.

00:22:13.884 --> 00:22:15.936 So it's different exposure times was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00{:}22{:}15.936 \to 00{:}22{:}18.098$  one outcome time always at 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:22:18.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.465$  So you see the effect and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

00:22:19.465 --> 00:22:19.855 nothing Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224557725

 $00:22:19.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.740$  there's prenatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:22:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.188$  If we discuss public health relevance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:22:29.188 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.124$  you will not want me to say we now

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}22{:}33.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34.984$  found that maternal depression is

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}22{:}34.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.723$  important because there's fifty years

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:22:36.723 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.979$  or 100 years of research to show that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}22{:}38.980 \to 00{:}22{:}41.500$  You might want to say, wow, he has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:22:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.410$  way of finding sensitive periods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}22{:}48.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.608$  And that's why I would think perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:22:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.564$  but really, honestly, I don't think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:22:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.030$  And I'll tell you why I tell you that.

00:22:58.030 --> 00:23:00.836 And I know people in everywhere think

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}23{:}00.836 \to 00{:}23{:}03.314$  differently that you can with Social

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:03.314 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.284$  adversity study sensitive periods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:07.284 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.643$  I actually have tried to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:09.643 --> 00:23:11.426 now with measure of homelessness

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:11.426 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.490$  and other work in my group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}23{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.538$  We feel that is largely flawed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:16.540 --> 00:23:18.780 Because of the following thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}23{:}18.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.125$  depression in mothers does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:21.125 --> 00:23:23.940 occur in isolate meaning over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}23{:}23.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.948$  What I mean is that is a mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:25.948 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.025$  that is depressed at two months

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:28.025 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.956$  after birth has likely some elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:30.956 --> 00:23:32.919 symptoms already during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:32.919 --> 00:23:35.608 Not only likely, very likely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:35.608 --> 00:23:41.060 meaning that all these poverty, abuse,

00:23:41.060 --> 00:23:43.180 depression, all these risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}23{:}43.180 \to 00{:}23{:}45.880$  all these social adversities are studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.788$  Have a high carry over and we cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:49.788 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.680$  validly or have seen very little studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.268$  to validly study the period specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:23:56.268 --> 00:23:57.924 exposure because then you would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:57.924 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.831$  to have people that have it only in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:23:59.831 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.399$  this period and not in the others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.647$  And if you see how carefully they

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:03.647 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.599$  account for the other periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}24{:}05.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.080$ I can tell you in most models I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.617$  seen that is flawed, including my own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

00:24:10.617 --> 00:24:13.270 So I'll show you why it's flawed

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.550$  and this is the trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.338$  It's flawed because the mothers who

 $00:24:17.338 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.297$  have that peak of depressive symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}24{:}19.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.421$  at two months were actually those

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:21.421 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.427$  that were on average as a group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.798$  If we just do these trajectories

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:24.798 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.190$  and we classify them in groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.265$  and we forget about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.910$  this is of course a continuum, this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}24{:}28.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.870$  this series of continuum on that level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}24{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.270$  But if we do them in four groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.859$  we see this group that actually I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:33.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.589$  can tell you carries the results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.938$  has high levels here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00:24:36.938 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.330$  super high levels here and then keeps

NOTE Confidence: 0.95635504

 $00{:}24{:}39.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.706$  on in the all these ten years after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:24:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.120$  Assessments to be reasonably high because

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:24:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.024$  this is 0.7 is exactly where the clinical

00:24:49.024 --> 00:24:51.460 line of clinical severity would have been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}24{:}51.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.292$  meaning that there is a group that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:24:53.292 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.860$  clinical symptoms but they're high all over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:24:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.080$  And of course there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:24:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.300$  some that have only high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:24:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.148$  When the children get older, only in

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:24:59.148 --> 00:25:01.416 sort of childhood life they develop it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.140$  It's a small group actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.578$  but the important thing is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.732$  These are so tied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}25{:}07.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.648$  So to say that this is the unique effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:10.648 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.748$  of this episode when they're far be above

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}25{:}13.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.695$  clinical levels and others makes no sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:16.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.100$  It is because it's not like an infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.871$  It's not like a COVID infection where

00:25:20.871 --> 00:25:22.757 you can say that during pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:25:22.757 --> 00:25:24.893 because you don't have continuous COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.720$  well, not the infection probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.540$  over 10 years is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.580$  I think it doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}25{:}29.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.836$  We've done it with homelessness and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:31.836 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.318$  have a set where people experience only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:25:34.320 --> 00:25:35.958 Short time and then find housing again

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}25{:}35.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.841$  and if you have very detailed data I

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:37.841 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.639$  think you can do that with poverty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.769$  But people who are really below the

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:25:42.769 --> 00:25:45.348 poverty line will have been mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:25:45.348 --> 00:25:48.840 in a tough spot a year or two later

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:48.939 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.159$  or a year or two before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.680$  So indeed that was consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:25:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.480$  So there is this carry over effects,

 $00:25:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.280$  there is these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}25{:}57.280 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}58.664$  Perhaps there's a biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:25:58.664 --> 00:26:00.048 rapid development post natally

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:00.048 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.600$  there are sensitive peers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.284$  there's good ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:02.284 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.319$  I think we might be able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:26:04.320 --> 00:26:05.766 I'll show you later something with

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:05.766 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.202$  the thyroid hormones where we managed

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:07.202 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.480$  to do that with sensitive peers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}26{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.655$  I think with social adversities

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:10.655 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.395$  we cannot do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.944$  So if you judge this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}26{:}14.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.640$  against public health relevance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00{:}26{:}16.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.763$  give me a two out of five because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:19.763 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.580$  think the carry sort of the sensitive

 $00:26:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.440$  period effects which I marketed as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

00:26:25.440 --> 00:26:27.920 Don't convince me myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949059075

 $00:26:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.355$  and I hope I don't convince you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.190$  It's interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:26:32.190 --> 00:26:34.955 but I don't think it should guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:26:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.720$  It did for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:26:35.720 --> 00:26:37.252 influenced me that I thought, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:37.252 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.520$  I have to put more of my research

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.160$  time into very early depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.960$  I think that's still valid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}26{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.840$  but I'm not so sure that we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.600$  imaging research to show that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:26:47.600 --> 00:26:49.472 I'll show you because it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:26:49.472 --> 00:26:52.058 popular now to do imaging and poverty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.108$  I'll show you a bit of that result

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:26:54.108 --> 00:26:56.180 and then an angle I tried to take

00:26:56.180 --> 00:26:57.996 and I'm trying to hear your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:26:57.996 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.232$  or at least look at you whether it

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:00.232 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.136$  might convince you what we did there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:27:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.805$  So household income has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:04.805 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.937$  associated with brain morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:27:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.166$  We had this data prospectively from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:27:10.166 --> 00:27:12.934 Life again that's a sort of marketing trick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00{:}27{:}12.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.054$  So we show you that we did that and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:27:16.060 --> 00:27:18.328 I was interested in two things, the timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:18.328 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.100$  So is it different if it's prenatal or later?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:27:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.151$  And I was also interested if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:27:23.151 --> 00:27:24.364 different in minority majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00{:}27{:}24.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.580$  and I'll come to that why I'm so

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:27:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.978$  interested in that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.143$  So if we have 2000 children against

00:27:30.143 --> 00:27:32.658 imaging at 10 years poverty defined as

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:27:32.660 --> 00:27:34.580 national low income threshold in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.400$  Netherlands, that's nicely defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.872$  So you get different analyses, you can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:27:39.872 --> 00:27:41.780 Never low income and ever low income.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:27:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.775$  Note that we have repeatedly assessed income,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.490$  So what people? You can just simply do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00{:}27{:}46.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.765$  Have you ever in any period been

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}27{:}48.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.968$  poor and we can do that chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:50.968 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.210$  or for example in pregnancy only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}27{:}53.210 --> 00{:}27{:}56.896$  And what you see is just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:27:56.896 --> 00:27:58.689 distribution which made it for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:27:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.328$  made this a very complicated distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:28:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.954$  because in the Netherlands and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:06.954 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.130$  see a very similar pattern in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:28:09.130 --> 00:28:11.657 it's just not immigrant.

 $00:28:11.657 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.598$  Or non western,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:28:13.598 --> 00:28:17.342 it's just classified as white and non white.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:17.342 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.550$  You would see a very similar pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00:28:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.598$  that those that are poor are very often

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:23.598 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.950$  from here from a non western background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}28{:}25.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.830$  So there is a racial ethnic patterning

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.830$  of poverty in the Netherlands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00{:}28{:}30.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33.630$  There's a racial ethnic pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.838$  of poverty in the in America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.800$  So you see that of the four hundreds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}28{:}39.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.156$  quite a few that were poor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}28{:}41.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.110$  so 20% were poor at one time a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944726222222222

 $00{:}28{:}45.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.260$  majority would have been from

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.892$  long Western and then we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

00:28:48.892 --> 00:28:50.346 you can see the numbers 100 people

 $00:28:50.346 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.596$  that were poor in pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.440$  100 and 200 that were poor at any one time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.718$  So you can see the breakdown

NOTE Confidence: 0.94472622222222

 $00:28:55.718 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.357$  of these numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:28:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.560$  Here is so how it looks at truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.928$  You can see all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:02.928 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.840$  results that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:03.840 --> 00:29:05.280 If you analyze, you get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:05.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.384$  even if you take this broad approach of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:07.384 --> 00:29:09.291 total brain volume and Gray volume and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:09.291 --> 00:29:10.980 then the typical hippocampus, amygdala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:10.980 --> 00:29:14.480 If you do this mix of global and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.417$  to specific areas, researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:16.417 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.679$  regions of interest, you see with

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:18.679 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.800$  these many poverty categorizations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.448$  you see all these patterns and then you

 $00{:}29{:}24.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.926$  can look where there's significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:26.926 --> 00:29:28.810 And honestly, you could find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:28.810 --> 00:29:30.770 that's why I had it in red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.350$  some association between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.930$  amygdala volume and poverty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:33.930 --> 00:29:35.085 And if you look at it carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.570$  this is the reference group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.926$  Never. Then you see what's this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.568$  This is the low income childhood only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.890$  There seems to be no effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:40.890 --> 00:29:43.450 But if you're chronically poor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:43.450 --> 00:29:46.824 if you're chronic poor, or if you're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.030$  So ever low income is cost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.486$  a combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:48.486 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.854$  but it's really by low income

 $00:29:49.854 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.028$  and pregnancy or chronic force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.420$  So it really seems to be, if anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:53.420 --> 00:29:56.030 the pregnancy that might drive it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.674$  the amygdala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:29:56.674 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.250$  But I can truthfully tell you that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

00:29:59.319 --> 00:30:01.389 does not survive multiple testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:30:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.698$  So there would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:30:02.698 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.110$  if anything overall in the total group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915885225

 $00:30:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.350$  no real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:10.690 --> 00:30:13.130 Convincing or strong consistent effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00{:}30{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15.090$  Not on the global measures for sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00:30:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.326$  and on these regions of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00:30:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.460$  Well, if you find it somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:18.460 --> 00:30:19.525 just borderline significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00:30:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.130$  you should probably discount it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00{:}30{:}23.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.248$  However, we had very good data

 $00:30:25.248 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.672$  from Child IQ that certainly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00{:}30{:}27.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30.012$  pregnancy was very different in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:30.012 --> 00:30:32.650 minority groups and majority groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00:30:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.116$  so we had reason from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:35.116 --> 00:30:37.749 paper to stratify a sample in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00:30:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.710$  Let me call it Western or Nonwestern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:39.710 --> 00:30:41.824 That's the Dutch, Dutch language in America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

 $00:30:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.355$  Western on Western is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:43.355 --> 00:30:44.270 really cool anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96440576

00:30:44.270 --> 00:30:45.750 So I'd rather should say

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:30:48.030 --> 00:30:49.422 it's not immigrants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:30:49.422 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.206$  it's people whose ancestors were born

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00{:}30{:}52.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.620$  in probably not high income countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:30:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.445$  and came to the Netherlands for colonial

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:30:57.445 --> 00:30:59.465 history reasons or work reasons,

 $00:30:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.134$  and the Dutch and the Dutch and European.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:04.134 --> 00:31:06.774 Community on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.412$  and why do I think that's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:09.412 --> 00:31:10.820 important difference in poverty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.740$  Not only did we have some prior results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.099$  but also we know that if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:15.099 --> 00:31:16.465 financially strained and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:16.465 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.217$  have a network in the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00{:}31{:}18.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.996$  that's a different thing if your

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:19.996 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.982$  family lives there than if you come

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:21.982 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.718$  as an immigrant from the Cape Verin

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:23.779 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.417$  Islands to work in the harbour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:25.420 --> 00:31:28.093 If you're then out of job then you're really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.628$  it really is tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:29.628 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.538$  So that's why we stratified

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:31.538 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.648$  for these groups and then.

 $00:31:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.505$  We see if we do that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:35.505 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.089$  this is only the Dutch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00{:}31{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.372$  we actually all of a sudden saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:39.372 --> 00:31:41.548 very broad effects on cerebral and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:41.548 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.810$  other broad parameters of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:31:43.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.248$  So taking out this big group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:48.250 --> 00:31:50.418 non Dutch ancestry participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

00:31:50.418 --> 00:31:54.120 let's call it that way, not Dutch ancestry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00{:}31{:}54.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.430$  but taking them out shoulders all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00{:}31{:}56.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00.310$  a sudden we had a strong effect in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:32:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.674$  The overall brain volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:32:01.674 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.720$  But what was perhaps more interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:32:03.775 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.747$  in the numb Dutch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:32:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.110$  So this was the Dutch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:32:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.510$  This is the numb Dutch.

00:32:07.510 --> 00:32:09.268 We didn't see any global parameters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316896

 $00:32:09.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.790$  but we see very consistently

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:32:14.190 --> 00:32:16.864 the effects of in pregnancy or chronic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.250$  which also means in pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.630$  and later on as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}32{:}19.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}21.542$  If you pull that group to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:21.542 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.206$  ever in pregnancy, we get a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:24.206 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.510$  Very significant effect on consistent because

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:26.577 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.454$  it's significant in both of the subgroupings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:32:29.460 --> 00:32:31.168 If you pull it, it gets very

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}32{:}31.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.740$  significant in effect on the amygdala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.819$  So we get a very different pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.740$  So we get a much more stress related

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:32:37.740 --> 00:32:41.264 grain poverty pattern in the non Dutch

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:41.264 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.938$  ancestry group and a very global effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}32{:}43.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46.500$  It's very hard to think what that means.

 $00:32:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.229$  Does that valid?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:47.229 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.687$  I can tell you I immediately

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:32:48.687 --> 00:32:49.896 looked at the ABCD data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:49.896 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.122$  Does it also fall apart in similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:52.122 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.430$  patterning and again of course that would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:32:54.430 --> 00:32:56.386 Would be none, white and white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.950$  probably what you could do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:32:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.823$  And it's interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}32{:}58.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.860$  we saw the same similar different complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}33{:}00.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02.470$  pattern for the behavioral outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.227$  but not so much for the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}33{:}04.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.200$  So there is some reason to think that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}33{:}07.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.668$  poverty comes with different stresses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.870$  it could have a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.830$  meaning for the brain.

 $00:33:11.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.606$  We see that very clearly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:13.606 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.150$  the behaviour also in ABCD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.550$  but not for the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.077$  And I haven't looked at the amygdala.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.928$  As they make that and actually in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:33:20.928 --> 00:33:22.479 Dutch this really predicted school

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:22.479 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.199$  performance so it was meaningful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.912$  So if you summarize early in life poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:33:26.912 --> 00:33:29.600 and pre adolescent brain morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.630$  there is an association but they really

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}33{:}31.630 \to 00{:}33{:}33.518$  differ from majority and minority groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.571$  And was all the caveats that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:35.571 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.394$  hate this subtyping of majority and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:33:37.394 --> 00:33:39.680 minority that's up to you to dislike it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.150$  I think that is some evidence that we do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714 00:33:42.150 --> 00:33:42.532 In America,

 $00:33:42.532 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.060$  I would say we should do it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:44.106 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.781$  some extent because poverty and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:33:45.781 --> 00:33:46.786 discrimination go together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.548$  which makes a very different terrible mix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.727$  In the Netherlands it is also discrimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:51.727 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.269$  and stress of surviving financial strength.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.318$  So there is some reason to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}33{:}56.318 \to 00{:}33{:}58.508$  and this I think what that reflects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714 00:33:58.510 --> 00:33:59.323 I'll be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:33:59.323 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.949$  very careful to speculate about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.588$  I think it could also be

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.758$  genetically associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}34{:}03.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.510$  we don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.426$  but in the in the minority groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:09.426 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.460$  as I call them here or.

00:34:11.460 --> 00:34:13.014 If you want the real nice terminology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.050$  I think the exact terminology the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.873$  non Dutch and ancestry group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:17.873 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.499$  I think it is likely stressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:19.499 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.107$  by discrimination and because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:21.107 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.259$  have that variable in the model I

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:23.259 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.512$  can tell you pull it in and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:25.512 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.776$  substantially weakened the association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}34{:}27.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.495$  So it's not a real mediation analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714 00:34:29.500 --> 00:34:31.012 but there is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:34:31.012 --> 00:34:33.020 About 30% of the association and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.860$  that was a very crude measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.724$  discrimination disappeared once

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:35.724 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.452$  we put that in the model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.140$  So I think there's real reason to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.950$  think that could be different and we

 $00:34:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.504$  have to think more carefully about

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}34{:}42.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.099$  our neurodevelopmental measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:34:44.100 --> 00:34:47.156 I'll do the thyroid and then another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:47.156 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.820$  I'll do that quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.578$  I've presented that for many times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00:34:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.920$  So what would that be in if you give me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

00:34:55.920 --> 00:34:57.168 My sort of scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946004114285714

 $00{:}34{:}57.168 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}34{:}59.040$  my own scales is rating your

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00:34:59.109 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.960$  own work. But let's do it critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00:35:00.960 \dashrightarrow 00:35:03.174$  I think we're still only at a three out

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00:35:03.174 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.010$  of five of public health because to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00:35:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.235$  think that poverty measures mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00{:}35{:}09.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.120$  poverty matters for the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00:35:11.120 \dashrightarrow 00:35:14.153$  I don't think we need too much brain imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

 $00:35:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.170$  But, you know, to carefully dissect the

00:35:17.170 --> 00:35:19.728 effects of minority groups again, Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90946515

00:35:19.728 --> 00:35:21.712 really, do we need the imaging for that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.638$  Although I think that it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:35:25.638 --> 00:35:27.290 lasting effects on child brains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.020$  that it what is it affects is

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.460$  associated with child brains may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:35:32.460 --> 00:35:34.285 very different How it associates

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:34.285 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.807$  with the brain where you come from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.058$  It's at least makes us think so give

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:35:39.058 --> 00:35:41.780 me a three out of five perhaps we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.716$  to go to four out of five, don't we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:43.716 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.954$  So here's thyroid where I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:45.954 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.569$  we can manage thyroid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:35:47.569 --> 00:35:50.440 Old work of mine and it was one recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:50.514 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.370$  update which is I think quite spectacular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.746$  Thyroid of the brain,

 $00:35:54.746 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.250$  So note that the maternal thyroid brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.026$  So maternal thyroid hormones are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:35:59.026 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.850$  important for the brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:36:00.850 --> 00:36:02.850 Animal work has shown convincingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.450$  that actually it's fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}04.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.687$  that the neurogenesis and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:06.687 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.467$  particularly the neuro neuromigration

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}08.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.450$  which actually comes from around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.030$  you know the central,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}12.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.570$  the ventricles and then the neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}14.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.970$  migrate out to your cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.230$  Obviously that's where they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}19.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.429$  in our brains that is guided by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}22.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26.130$  Thyroid hormones that happens in

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.355$  early embryonic life when the embryo

 $00:36:30.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.950$  is reliant on the maternal thyroid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}36{:}34.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.310$  So much of the neurodevelopment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.298$  So the nature is seem sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:39.298 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.866$  very pasimonious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.290$  It has only 10 mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:41.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.710$  and what does you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:36:42.710 --> 00:36:44.565 vitamin D does something and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:44.565 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.420$  serotonin do something very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:46.483 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.577$  in the fetal life they're much

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:36:48.577 --> 00:36:49.973 more neurodevelopmental than in

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.098$  us where they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:36:51.100 --> 00:36:52.316 Very new endocrine function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:52.316 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.836$  but they have very new

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:53.836 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.660$  developmental functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.498$  All these systems and in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:36:57.498 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.211$  thyroid in pregnancy and only in

 $00:37:00.211 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.801$  week 14 does then the fetus produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:02.801 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.776$  its own thyroid and only by week 20,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.264$  so sometime later does it produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:08.264 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.700$  somewhat sufficient levels and takes over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.364$  So in that time the mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:13.364 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.696$  supplies the thyroid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:14.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.049$  In that time many women who have a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}37{:}17.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.267$  thyroid function actually become a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:19.267 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.167$  hyperthyroid because they need more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.034$  There's very good graphs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:22.034 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.573$  I haven't got them with me because

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00{:}37{:}23.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.125$  I do a short version of this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:25.130 \dashrightarrow 00:37:27.090$  But trust me, there's very good work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.170$  mostly animal work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.330$  very consistent that we need the

 $00:37:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.610$  thyroid levels for a brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.914$  And what we showed in the very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:37:34.914 --> 00:37:36.730 publications, nearly ten years ago now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.410$  is that if you take the total sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.106$  and this is the measure of s s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:37:44.110 --> 00:37:44.448 SRS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:37:44.448 --> 00:37:46.138 the social responsiveness of good

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:37:46.138 --> 00:37:47.910 population trait measure of autism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.440$  you see that the people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.950$  good levels of the mothers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.344$  the offspring of mothers with normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:53.344 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.794$  levels of thyroid hormone have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:55.794 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.545$  lower levels than those that have subautimal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:37:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.686$  And this is subclinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:37:59.686 --> 00:38:01.390 we're not talking about a clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.918$  this is untreated hypothics,

00:38:03.918 --> 00:38:05.206 thyroxinemia, you can do severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:05.206 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.026$  you can do less severe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

00:38:06.030 --> 00:38:08.002 but it's all subclinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:08.002 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.467$  so it's just low levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.090$  Of thyroid hormone in the mother

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.785$  and you saw that association which

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:13.785 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.717$  we showed and then we move on

NOTE Confidence: 0.945742648181818

 $00:38:15.717 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.990$  to more recent work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:19.270 \dashrightarrow 00:38:22.324$ a first Lancet endocrinology paper where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}38{:}22.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.384$  we showed that if we take the levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:38:26.384 --> 00:38:28.734 continuous now FT-4 that's the thyroid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:28.734 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.464$  So this means more thyroid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:38:30.470 --> 00:38:32.014 this means less thyroid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:32.014 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.944$  We showed actually a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:33.944 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.708$  curvilinear association with IQ.

 $00:38:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.875$  It's most robust in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}38{:}37.875 --> 00{:}38{:}39.607$  low thyroid levels here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.666$  And then this is a quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:40.666 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.370$  a wide confident role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.088$  but you see some significant down decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:44.088 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.314$  So there is a tightly regulated level

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}38{:}46.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48.287$  and that's where most mothers are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:38:48.290 --> 00:38:49.564 If you see the distribution of hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.258$  it would be just most people are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:52.258 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.650$  this space, some are in the low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.810$  some are in the high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.634$  And we saw a very robust relation with IQ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:38:59.634 \dashrightarrow 00:39:01.930$  And later we've replicated this in 2-3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:01.997 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.167$  other cohorts where I must be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.800$  this ups this low levels of and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:07.800 \dashrightarrow 00:39:09.725$  relation to low IQ is extremely robust.

 $00:39:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.650$  This in other cars looks more like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39{:}11.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.408$  going sort of much more flat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.050$  There's not such a decline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.313$  but there is a very robust association

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:19.313 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.889$  between prenatal thyroid hormones and I Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.112$  And then we move to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:39:26.112 --> 00:39:27.723 hormone thyroid parameter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.483$  So be careful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:28.483 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.487$  This is now thyroid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.642$  thyroid stimulating hormone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:30.642 \dashrightarrow 00:39:33.330$  This means that now you'd beware that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:33.391 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.587$  higher levels of the stimulating hormones

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:35.587 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.050$  means lower levels of thyroid hormone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.522$  It's flipped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:38.522 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.822$  I think you have to be a doctor or

00:39:40.822 --> 00:39:42.246 an endocrinologist or physiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}39{:}42.246 \to 00{:}39{:}43.670$  who immediately get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:43.670 \dashrightarrow 00:39:47.478$  But trust me whereas we had easy more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:39:47.478 --> 00:39:49.470 hormone is we thought better but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.349$  is not the case because it gets worse here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.830$  But this is more hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.530$  This is less hormones and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}39{:}54.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.230$  less hormones means lower IQ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:39:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.946$  Here it is two different things modeled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:39:58.950 --> 00:40:00.708 It's not IQ, it's Gray matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.426$  So it's not a brain parameter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.510$  And you see this is essentially flipped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.022$  So this means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:07.022 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.030$  Less hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.670$  This would mean more hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.436$  but I'm presenting at the stimulating

 $00:40:12.436 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.413$  axis hormone and what you see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}40{:}15.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.632$  the same similar inverted U-shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:40:17.632 --> 00:40:20.537 curve tightly regulated on all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:20.537 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.921$  levels of the thyroid between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:23.921 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.820$  brain and the between the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.070$  And the thyroid hormone and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.980$  it's highly significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.059$  So it's 2000 children at age 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:40:33.060 --> 00:40:34.524 it's their prenatal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:34.524 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.964$  their mothers in the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:36.964 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.140$  mostly around week 10 to 14,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.140$  it's their thyroid hormone levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.694$  And this has led to some guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:42.694 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.860$  and discussion and guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:40:43.860 --> 00:40:45.950 Should we measure more thyroid

 $00:40:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.458$  hormones in women that have no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:48.458 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.725$  symptoms and no history of and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:40:50.725 --> 00:40:52.300 have been trials based on this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.089$  which it have to have been largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:54.089 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.374$  negative or very small effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.952$  So they're sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:40:56.952 --> 00:40:58.917 Equivocal trials have been done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:40:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.032$  so we don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:00.032 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.400$  but there is some evidence that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.314$  a very important parameter to regulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.080$  And now comes the recent work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:41:07.080 --> 00:41:07.737 I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:07.737 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.270$  I don't have a date when that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:09.326 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.957$  was published 2 years or so ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.672$  which is very fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:12.672 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.956$  We did that.

 $00:41:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.096$  We just realized this data because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:16.096 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.874$  we had the idea what actually we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:41:18.874 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.436$  included the women at different ages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.472$  So we can model always in about 200 women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:41:25.480 --> 00:41:27.604 The curve essentially continuously

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:27.604 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.717$  moving the curve with a time interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:31.717 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.144$  variable across the inclusion period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:34.144 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.520$  So the first women came to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:36.599 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.801$  generation out to be included and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:38.801 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.358$  we took the blood at week seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941371755555556

 $00:41:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.310$  The latest that we included

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.480$  were week eighteen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.034$  Note these are not the same women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.264$  This is the first blood assessment we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:49.264 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.840$  had where we did the thyroid hormones.

 $00:41:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.528$  So what we modeled it as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:41:54.528 --> 00:41:56.115 sort of continuous model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:41:56.115 --> 00:41:59.160 but then cut it for the doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:41:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.572$  essentially the intercept for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:42:00.572 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.516$  the different week 7 to 18.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:42:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.740$  And what we see is that this curvy linear

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

00:42:07.740 --> 00:42:10.560 pattern which is very remarked up to age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:42:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.074$  then sort of disappears at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:42:13.074 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.400$  end of this inclusion period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94137175555556

 $00:42:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.600$  And this was still 200 women on average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}42{:}19.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22.434$  Time period per week and what this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:42:22.434 --> 00:42:24.940 shows you I think is convincingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:42:24.940 --> 00:42:27.115 a sensitive period because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:27.115 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.659$  is in the same study measured at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:30.659 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.679$  different time points specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.276$  And why is that so credible?

 $00:42:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.880$  Because the reviews they sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.160$  of were extremely excited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.036$  I've never got anything in that sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:39.036 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.877$  of Lancet like paper that easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:40.877 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.517$  because as in chronologist said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.725$  I've done animal work and I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:44.725 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.904$  by week 15 the child produces

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:46.904 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.232$  on thyroid and thus the mother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.516$  Thyroid is just not informative anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}42{:}52.520 {\: -->\:} 00{:}42{:}56.176$  So while I marketed as a final we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:42:56.176 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.638$  got their sensitive period study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:42:59.640 --> 00:43:02.132 the reviewer toned it down to saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}43{:}02.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04.245$  it's really showing that the measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:04.245 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.195$  is not informative At age 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:06.200 --> 00:43:07.718 It may still influence the brain,

 $00:43:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.838$  but you're measuring the wrong parameter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.234$  So this is getting closer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:12.234 --> 00:43:13.608 sensitive period. Holy Grail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:13.608 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.328$  That's all these Doha epinologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:15.328 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.479$  want to get to, but even there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:17.479 --> 00:43:19.237 a very careful reviewer can tell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.680$  Tell you you're not there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.312$  It just means that from week 14 onwards

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}43{:}23.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.040$  you're measuring the wrong person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.476$  essentially like having the wrong informant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.000$  But what does it tell you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.040$  It tells you what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}43{:}32.040 \longrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36.470$  I think that this is valid because how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:36.470 --> 00:43:39.322 could it's if you then have the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:43:39.322 --> 00:43:41.478 measure and you find what you expected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.874$  perhaps that's sort of a circumvential say.

 $00:43:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.950$  I don't think it proves causality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}43{:}45.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.525$  But it's getting better that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:47.525 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.785$  this is quite credible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.254$  So I do think in all honesty there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:51.254 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.889$  is a true curvilinear relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:53.889 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.004$  between thyroid hormone and the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:57.004 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.606$  I do think given the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:43:58.606 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.390$  it is likely to be causal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.310$  Whether that's amenable for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.230$  intervention is another study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.030$  I've got the wrong slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.318$  I was going to ask you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:08.318 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.790$  transition to new results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:09.790 --> 00:44:11.960 I missed my transition slide because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

00:44:11.960 --> 00:44:14.537 pulled it up yesterday night after the.

 $00:44:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.500$  Chemical exposure for the colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:44:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.858$  I hope she's there on the zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94654315375

00:44:20.660 --> 00:44:22.780 Does anybody know what trans fatty acids are?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9335446

 $00:44:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.574$  Take a sip of coffee while you tell me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951754571428572

 $00:44:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.774$  Is that forgotten? You're not bisphosals and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883993432

 $00:44:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.500$  organophosphates?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883993432

 $00:44:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.100$  Which are about what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883993432

00:44:40.100 --> 00:44:41.004 Does anybody still know

NOTE Confidence: 0.883993432

 $00:44:41.004 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.134$  what trans fatty acids are?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:44:47.190 --> 00:44:49.188 I'll tell you, trans fatty assets

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}44{:}51.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.069$  in the Netherlands were a big scandal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:44:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.387$  a public health scandal of big proportions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:44:57.390 --> 00:45:01.386 Why? Because in the 1990s eighties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:45:01.390 --> 00:45:02.986 I don't know, to that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:45:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.696$  your grandparents wouldn't have eaten butter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:45:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.380$  Which they would have

 $00:45:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.060$  lived in these countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}45{:}07.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.013$  And then there comes the introduction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:45:09.013 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.057$  margarines which is better for public health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:45:11.060 --> 00:45:13.678 Okay, it's better for your fat because

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}45{:}13.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.500$  it's unsaturated and saturated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:45:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.858$  Fatty Acids in butter versus margarine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:45:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.856$  And these are people that eat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:45:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.900$  you know bread butter and I don't know cheese

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.708$  on twice a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}45{:}26.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.350$  And so the problem was that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.100$  margarines where fatty acids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.760$  but they also had trans fatty acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}45{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36.620$  Meaning these are industrial fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}45{:}36.620 \to 00{:}45{:}38.900$  acids which come with the production

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.336$  of fat and essentially if you produce

 $00:45:41.336 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.982$  fat and if you have sort of a if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:43.982 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.310$  fry your French fries and you have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:45:46.377 --> 00:45:48.596 poor fat in one of these, I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:45:48.596 --> 00:45:50.640 I don't want to point at any cart here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:45:50.640 --> 00:45:51.996 but if you have very poor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.387$  you get trans fatty acids in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:54.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.750$  And those were really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.790$  it turned out to be terrible

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}45{:}57.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.588$  for cardiovascular health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:45:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.208$  actually so bad so that the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}46{:}02.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.661$  benefit of eating margarine was offset

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:04.661 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.587$  by the effect of trans fatty assets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.510$  It was a real scandal in the 1990s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}46{:}10.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.950$  OK, It's sort of forgotten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:46:12.950 --> 00:46:13.666 And I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:13.666 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.310$  and I don't know anything much about America.

00:46:15.310 --> 00:46:16.870 My work is mostly from Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.820$  So there what happened is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.990$  there were countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:46:19.990 --> 00:46:21.454 It's already interesting to see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:21.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.750$  happens in countries once that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.406$  you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:23.406 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.702$  Detected that Hans very as a mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:25.702 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.159$  models and humans and observational and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.440$  is really bad and sort of kills you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}46{:}31.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.396$  the there's countries that forbid it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.308$  Okay Denmark said gone two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:35.308 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.838$  and we phase it out of production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:46:37.840 --> 00:46:39.352 It's easy you can just make

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:39.352 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.160$  a bit more expensive oils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.596$  The Dutch you might not know them

00:46:44.596 --> 00:46:46.530 are sort of compromising country

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.200$  so they say to the industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.500$  you know it would be good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.192$  If you reduced it in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:53.192 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.059$  products in the next five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.031$  we do that on a voluntary basis and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:57.031 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.136$  will also do a bit of shaming and naming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:46:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.100$  So there is some pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}47{:}01.100 --> 00{:}47{:}02.220$  That's the Dutch approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:02.220 --> 00:47:04.260 Now you would laugh about the Dutch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:04.260 --> 00:47:07.418 but they do get it done so slowly, by slowly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:07.418 --> 00:47:07.936 Uni Lever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:07.936 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.020$  whom you know from the Dove products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:10.020 --> 00:47:12.460 is a real big you know you Lever

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.624$  is the modern maker in that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:14.624 --> 00:47:16.871 I don't know if they still do it and

00:47:16.871 --> 00:47:19.464 they phased it out, which leaves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:19.464 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.317$  Other products like um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:22.317 --> 00:47:24.279 cheap bakery products where it's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:24.279 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.350$  used because they couldn't care less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.622$  You know, that's the fringe market

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:27.622 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.470$  and they couldn't care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.790$  It's cheap to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:29.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.028$  So what we found, um, so here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.918$  If you want to know trans and sis

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:35.918 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.148$  fatty acids, so this is the big difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:38.150 --> 00:47:40.950 Industrial fatty acids like trans would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.468$  the hydrogen here instead of like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.910$  Wow, you think that's the difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.496$  That's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:45.496 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.668$  Yes, that's the difference.

 $00:47:46.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.270$  That's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.470$  And where are they?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:48.470 --> 00:47:49.630 They're found in fried foods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.918$  commercial bakers and processions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:47:50.918 --> 00:47:53.150 But what happens in the statcha pros?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.790$  There was not a law to stop them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.704$  but really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:47:55.704 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.268$  in the early 2000s in this country, this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00{:}47{:}59.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.132$  And the changes in the Netherlands went down

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:48:02.132 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.130$  in vegetable oils and fat in those years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:48:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.170$  The production went down dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:48:08.170 --> 00:48:08.658 So without,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:48:08.658 --> 00:48:10.366 I'm not saying that's the best approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

 $00:48:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.290$  but both in the Netherlands

NOTE Confidence: 0.928177448

00:48:12.290 --> 00:48:14.210 and Denmark and other countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:48:14.279 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.810$  in Europe, they reduce these fatty acids.

00:48:16.810 --> 00:48:18.666 And why is that? Why am I telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:48:18.666 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.607$  you all this in an imaging study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:48:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.290$  I'll tell you why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:48:23.290 --> 00:48:24.226 It's really fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:48:24.226 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.550$  I saw this once and I thought these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:48:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.110$  The inclusion years of the Generation R study

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:48:32.110 --> 00:48:39.670 we included from 2003 to actually to 2007,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:48:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.310$  we included in exactly the years when

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}48{:}43.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}48.974$  trans fatty acids disappeared in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}48{:}48.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51.902$  the food industry in the Netherlands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:48:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.639$  That means we can look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:48:54.639 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.502$  The blood levels of women who came

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}48{:}57.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.088$  at different times in those years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.086$  and we did. And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.370$  you'd think you'd see the same exact curve,

00:49:03.370 --> 00:49:04.408 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:04.408 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.542$  we saw a 10/10 a quarter of decline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:08.542 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.194$  which for anything in

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:10.194 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.249$  biology is quite dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333300:49:12.250 --> 00:49:13.519 So in 2000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:13.519 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.320$  the people who included in 2005 had only 3/4

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.810$  of the levels of those included in 2002.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.070$  So it indeed related to a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:49:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.534$  Reduction in the blood of a women and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:49:28.534 --> 00:49:30.486 I don't know if they knew and they

 $00{:}49{:}30.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.368$  didn't change their eating behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:49:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.422$  they just ate the same bread

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:34.422 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.370$  and French fries as before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:49:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.966$  but they got less of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.580$  Which means if we can relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.210$  this to a child outcome,

 $00:49:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.856$  we have something which we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:49:45.856 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.179$  instrumental barrel approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:47.179 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.116$  because it is a policy change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.160$  That is related to biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.200$  in the blood of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.514$  And so we published that last

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:49:54.514 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.004$  year after sort of after I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:49:56.004 --> 00:49:57.313 what I don't know how I came.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:49:57.320 --> 00:49:59.039 I come from a Baker's family to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:49:59.040 --> 00:49:59.560 I was, I don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00{:}49{:}59.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.832$  reading this in the sort of Baker thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}50{:}01.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.658$  digest and I was quite fascinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}50{:}03.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.040$  and I thought, yes, you can do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.970$  And so we did the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.091$  Trans fatty acids in the blood and

00:50:10.091 --> 00:50:12.111 then we showed and you know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:12.111 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.183$  this is a very bad slides taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}50{:}14.183 \rightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.967$  directly from the publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:50:15.970 --> 00:50:17.446 But you can see a highly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:50:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.778$  highly significant association of

00:50:19.778 --> 00:50:23.970 trans fatty acids with fetal head growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.368$  And this is true head growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.162$  This is the change from fetal head

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00{:}50{:}27.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.009$  size from one point to the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:50:29.010 --> 00:50:30.826 It's not just growth and you say birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}50{:}30.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.566$  weight is a measure of fetal growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.145$  This is really fetal growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:34.145 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.150$  as it is a change from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:50:36.150 --> 00:50:37.766 2nd to 3rd trimester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:37.766 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.742$  there was no effect when the head is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:40.742 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.090$  very small, but when it expands,

 $00:50:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.070$  when it gets big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:50:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.066$  that's where all the growth is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}50{:}44.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46.611$  And that second to third end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:46.611 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.430$  trimester and 6000 children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.590$  So that's a good inclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:50:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.606$  We see super significant associations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:50:51.606 --> 00:50:53.988 then we can actually do the same trick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

 $00:50:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.670$  We can only do the, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:50:55.670 --> 00:50:58.070 the, the TFA measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.659$  We can do that with the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:50:59.659 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.230$  and see very clear patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:51:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.054$  but we can see that this calendar time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00{:}51{:}05.060 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}51{:}07.635$  There is an association of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:51:07.635 --> 00:51:11.460 calendar time with fetal growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:51:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.060$  meaning that in the course of

 $00:51:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.072$  that studies the the heads of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

 $00:51:18.072 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.496$  the children became a tiny bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9469625333333333

00:51:20.500 --> 00:51:22.019 I must admit it's a tiny bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946962533333333

00:51:22.020 --> 00:51:24.780 but fetal measures in 6000 are very precise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.372$  bigger and we think and we can show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:51:28.372 --> 00:51:30.260 was an instrumental viral approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.795$  which is a different sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:31.795 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.716$  of statistical technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.048$  We can show that the association is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:51:37.048 --> 00:51:41.024 driven by the reduction and the policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}51{:}41.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.616$  change and that is something I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:43.616 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.160$  working 20 years towards and never got done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.472$  So that we show that policy translates

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:51:50.472 --> 00:51:53.585 into biology and sad thing is we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:51:53.585 --> 00:51:55.326 get it to behaviour, so bigger heads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:51:55.326 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.330$  And I'm not really much related to behavior

 $00:51:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.434$  and then it becomes very messy and noisy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:51:59.440 --> 00:52:00.196 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:00.196 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.960$  the journal loved it that it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.500$  And why does it have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.000$  clinical health relevance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.278$  Well, first of all, it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00.52:07.280 \longrightarrow 00.52:08.459$  This is causality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:52:08.459 --> 00:52:09.638 not only policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:52:09.640 --> 00:52:10.840 it is quite a causal approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.734$  but really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:11.734 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.522$  If you look at the production

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:52:13.522 --> 00:52:15.320 of East Europe and South Asia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}52{:}15.320 --> 00{:}52{:}17.095$  that's the Indian region and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:17.095 \dashrightarrow 00:52:18.870$  the East European region where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:18.938 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.308$  there's nobody cares about this,

 $00:52:21.308 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.230$  The levels are still shockingly high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}52{:}24.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.430$  So I think it's still relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.998$  although for us it's a historic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:27.998 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.710$  study to be honest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}52{:}29.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32.250$  And do I do one more or should I do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:32.331 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.310$  for questions. This is a good ending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.850$  So I could do a physical activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.510$  in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:52:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.790$  but

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.390$  good, then I'll wrap up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.040$  So I'll leave away that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.690$  there is an association with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

00:52:45.690 --> 00:52:47.270 Brain change that I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

00:52:47.270 --> 00:52:48.850 I just do one slide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.726$  No, I don't do one slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.210$  It doesn't work.

 $00:52:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.684 \text{ I do the, I do the IT doesn't work.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00{:}52{:}52.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.554$  I just tell you it is we show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:55.554 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.562$  would have been the last one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:52:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.448$  I sort of did too much

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

00:52:58.450 --> 00:53:01.770 fatty acids carried away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.989$  I was going to show you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:03.989 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.188$  we can show that physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00{:}53{:}06.188 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}53{:}08.210$  activity is related not just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.650$  brain size and brain volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00{:}53{:}09.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.697$  but it is related to the volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

00:53:12.697 --> 00:53:15.478 change over adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.304$  Which is quite a bit more and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

00:53:17.304 --> 00:53:18.439 essentially an answer to the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.994$  you know we need bigger studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:19.994 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.600$  or we need studies of change.

 $00:53:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.520$  We've now got the first studies of change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.400$  If you want to show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622814

 $00:53:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.600$  just show the result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:53:27.760 --> 00:53:30.240 it's total physical activity really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.166$  not just the the also quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:33.166 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.728$  bit of the hippocampus grows or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.266$  Grows a bit faster if you do physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:39.266 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.891$  activity and it's interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:53:40.891 --> 00:53:42.743 because it's consistent across

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:53:42.743 --> 00:53:45.057 parent and child reported physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00{:}53{:}45.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.445$  activity reports Okay I'll wrap up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.300$  So the dominant force in research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.398$  the is the know you're imaging a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:53:54.398 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.435$  in autism and a DHDI would challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:53:57.435 --> 00:53:59.676 or like to discuss with people who say

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00{:}53{:}59.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.538$ it's made a change in our clinical

00:54:01.538 --> 00:54:03.740 treatment or in our public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00{:}54{:}03.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.280$  understanding of autism and brain I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.520$  It did a lot for understanding the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.055$  I'm not so sure it did a lot for how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:12.055 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.760$  treat disease, which is a big difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.336$  I would say The effect sizes are often

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:17.336 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.400$  small and often correlational and not causal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.208$  There's a real problem which I didn't show

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00{:}54{:}22.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.994$  you, but we've struggled with that a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.920$  Can we reproduce imaging results?

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00{:}54{:}27.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.908$  Anybody who might talk today was talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:29.908 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.480$  about the heterogeneity of populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.440$  That's the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.680$  And I showed you that was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:54:34.758 --> 00:54:37.058 minority majority is one example.

00:54:37.060 --> 00:54:39.844 I think we have to, and that was my

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:39.844 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.020$  first talk this morning was Kerim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.780$  I think he's there in the back row.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.820$  We should really go to developmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.180$  approaches and longitudinal trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.539$  I think that's the only way forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:54:48.540 --> 00:54:50.094 I fell short of showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:54:50.094 --> 00:54:51.660 that was the physical activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.140$  but I think that's what matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00.54.55.140 \longrightarrow 00.54.56.100$  I'd like to wrap up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:54:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.417$  it's not a diagnostic or prognostic tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:54:58.420 --> 00:55:01.059 It does have some public health relevance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.772$  but I would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:55:02.772 --> 00:55:03.200 Occasionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.610$  and sometimes even sort of coincidentally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.320$  but it does as many other fancy techniques.

 $00:55:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.198$  These are the students that helped

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.636$  Ryan Mitzler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:13.636 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.380$  I want to mention him because he does

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:15.431 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.048$  much of my imaging in the Netherlands

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

00:55:17.048 --> 00:55:18.520 and students who did these papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.160$  and of course the participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.962393194

 $00:55:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.040$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

00:55:27.190 --> 00:55:27.830 Thank you so much, honey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00:55:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.862$  I will just say that we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00{:}55{:}29.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.469$  time after the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00{:}55{:}31.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33.162$  So if anyone would like to stay in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00:55:33.162 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.708$  room and continue the conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00:55:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.306$  we're free until 2:30.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00:55:36.306 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.902$  And but any burning

NOTE Confidence: 0.86864562

 $00:55:37.902 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.630$  questions for Doctor Tamar

 $00:55:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.276$  that was that was pretty interesting to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:55:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.206$  And I just wonder your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:55:53.206 --> 00:55:56.040 about how far do you go on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:55:56.040 --> 00:55:59.808 restrictive public policy to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:55:59.808 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.690$  Get the good for for young children

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:56:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.670$  who can't protect themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}56{:}05.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09.710$  So for instance you know it's good to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:56:09.710 --> 00:56:12.635 keep lead away from babies and and young

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}56{:}12.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}15.070$  children but when you start talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:56:15.070 --> 00:56:17.520 you know dietary and cultural things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:56:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.510$  just your thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:56:18.510 --> 00:56:19.990 How how far do you go with this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:56:19.990 --> 00:56:23.389 Do you do you, you know say that's it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}56{:}23.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.130$  fruits and vegetables and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:56:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.150$  Mediterranean diet for everyone or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.230$  That's an interesting one.

 $00:56:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.873$  So that's sort of the whole public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:31.873 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.526$  school of Harvard debates that every day

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.818$  and seriously does if it's good to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:37.818 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.076$  zoom in on an example because otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:56:40.076 --> 00:56:42.594 I'm going to give a sort of overreaching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:56:42.594 --> 00:56:44.130 I would be struggling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:56:44.130 --> 00:56:45.888 That's a little evening thing debate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.410$  If you take the dietary example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:56:48.410 --> 00:56:51.930 I am in favor. Of restricting

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00{:}56{:}51.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.230$  soda and sweet drinks in schools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.430$  We have seen now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:56:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.630$  that is really so obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00{:}56{:}59.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}02.185$  making so much diabetes down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.506$  I think we should go there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.827$  Many of the others like no sweets,

 $00:57:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.710$  which are also, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:07.710 --> 00:57:09.790 sugar is bad, but I would be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94644638692307700:57:09.790 --> 00:57:11.874 very hesitant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:11.874 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.786$  I think the best way to do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:14.786 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.175$  is to think carefully with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00{:}57{:}17.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.200$  schools should sell them but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.195$  To forbid them, perhaps a sugar tax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00{:}57{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.862$  But other than that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00{:}57{:}22.862 \longrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.360$  very little is evidence based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.320$  So much of these things are not causal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077 00:57:26.320 --> 00:57:26.850 We changes. NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:26.850 --> 00:57:28.705 You know look at the history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:28.705 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.600$  the Harvard schools of department

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.400$  of petition advice for diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:32.400 --> 00:57:34.080 You know that's a funny changing thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.425$  You know nuts and that and oils

 $00:57:36.425 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.720$  and meat and alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.320$  Just look at the alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:39.320 --> 00:57:40.881 You know 20 years ago I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:40.881 --> 00:57:42.257 taught in Rotterdam alcohol is

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:42.257 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.557$  better than any concentration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:43.560 --> 00:57:44.920 You come to Harvard and they say no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.996$  but of alcohol is very good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

00:57:47.000 --> 00:57:48.600 Now they have to sort of change that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.345$  but it took them 15 years to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:52.345 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.120$  really come to a conclusion there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.420$  So that encouraging of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.946446386923077

 $00:57:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.360$  glass of red wine

NOTE Confidence: 0.91061238

 $00{:}57{:}58.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.780$  is now gone. You know, you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91061238

00:57:59.780 --> 00:58:01.480 have it was a bad conscience tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

00:58:02.760 --> 00:58:04.080 but I think still think,

 $00:58:04.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.840$  still think you should.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

 $00{:}58{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.152$  So I'd be very, very restrictive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

00:58:07.152 --> 00:58:09.480 very, very cautious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

00:58:09.480 --> 00:58:12.036 but I wouldn't shy away from a few measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

 $00:58:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.000$  very, very cautious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

00:58:13.000 --> 00:58:15.674 But sometimes I think soda, we got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

 $00:58:15.674 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.106$  Sugars, we've got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

 $00:58:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.952$  So restrict the sugars in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

 $00{:}58{:}18.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.782$  creative way and for not forbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.87985364

00:58:20.782 --> 00:58:22.590 but tax it and don't have it. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

00:58:24.830 --> 00:58:27.830 just really quickly. Perhaps relatedly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00{:}58{:}27.830 \to 00{:}58{:}29.552$  you know when you talk about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

00:58:29.552 --> 00:58:31.228 trans fatty acid decline over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.090$  I was thinking about PER and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.710$  polyfluoroloco substances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.013$  You know, these forever chemicals and and.

00:58:36.013 --> 00:58:37.854 You know, what we've seen in with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:37.854 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.634$  some pilot data there is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

00:58:39.634 --> 00:58:41.434 there's a patterning by income level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.478$  a patterning by income level per country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

00:58:44.480 --> 00:58:46.256 I'm just wondering the decline in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:46.256 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.239$  trans fatty acids that you described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

 $00:58:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.076$  was there a patterning by SES?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96641844

00:58:50.080 --> 00:58:51.680 Did you observe A steeper? No.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:58:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.472$  We see much less of that patterning

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:58:53.472 --> 00:58:55.160 in the US than in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:58:55.160 --> 00:58:57.644 In the US, every environmental exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:58:57.644 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.042$  is highly socially patterned to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00{:}59{:}00.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}01.737$  extent that sometimes escapes me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:01.740 --> 00:59:02.898 I don't quite know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:02.900 --> 00:59:04.615 why are they having so much more?

00:59:04.620 --> 00:59:06.447 And then I hear they have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:06.447 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.978$  hair products and this and that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.898$  It's very hard for me to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.380$  In the Netherlands, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:11.380 --> 00:59:13.820 I'll tell you, organo phosphates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.050$  which is pesticides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.510$  were higher in the high SES

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.459$  because they ate more fruit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.737$  So in in the US we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:21.737 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.699$  same thing and lo and behold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.890$  organo phosphates are lower in high SES.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.465$  I don't understand the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:30.465 --> 00:59:32.438 enough to understand why that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:32.438 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.770$  such a ubiquitous pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.465$  In the Netherlands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:35.465 --> 00:59:38.770 it's much less so people live.

00:59:38.770 --> 00:59:41.890 I don't know as many reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:41.890 --> 00:59:43.290 I don't quite understand that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.186$  So in the Netherlands?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:44.186 --> 00:59:45.130 No, not always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.650$  although some of some of the chemicals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.858$  yes, very much so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:48.858 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.869$  but not as not as dramatic as here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.246$  I think you're trans fatty policy

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

00:59:55.246 --> 00:59:58.229 example is one of the most profound

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $00:59:58.230 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.151$  statements in support of integrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $01:00:00.151 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.356$  the research and policy says

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $01:00:01.356 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.870$  Thank you so much for sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931627828571429

 $01{:}00{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}04.347$  I definitely want to find out more

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:00:04.350 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.550$  about that and track that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $01:00:05.550 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.750$  and try to replicate that.

01:00:07.300 --> 01:00:08.560 My question for you is building

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:08.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.660$  on all that you've done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:09.660 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.860$  especially in the area of policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:11.860 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.340$  what do you see next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:13.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:16.470$  What do you see is the next area that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

01:00:16.470 --> 01:00:18.540 you could be pursuing building out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

01:00:18.540 --> 01:00:19.788 What does policy mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

01:00:19.788 --> 01:00:22.460 Because when I when I looked at the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:22.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.460$  I thought back to let.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:24.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.900$  Because in the United States there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01{:}00{:}25.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}28.400$  definitely an association with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01{:}00{:}28.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.305$  low income and and lead in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:30.305 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.655$  pipes and in your drinking water.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

 $01:00:31.655 \longrightarrow 01:00:33.780$  So what is on your horizon

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168397272727

01:00:33.780 --> 01:00:37.100 next in the space of of poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9503171

 $01:00:38.340 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.180$  and research and policy?

 $01:00:42.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.972$  Yeah there's there's in my school and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8521864125

 $01{:}00{:}44.972 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}48.136$  my world thinking too 2 lines of research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8521864125

 $01:00:48.140 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.580$  One is always which we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8521864125

01:00:49.580 --> 01:00:53.590 You know can you dissect. Why poverty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617048636363

 $01:00:56.230 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.390$  What makes poverty relate to behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617048636363

 $01:00:58.390 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.175$  and new developmental cognitive school

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617048636363

01:01:00.175 --> 01:01:01.909 achievement problems or do you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.945617048636363

 $01:01:01.909 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.990$  think you know it's money. That's it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:08.110 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.176$  You know I am it's I think those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:12.176 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.270$  two are are totally separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

01:01:14.270 --> 01:01:16.508 I think we should fight LED

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

01:01:16.508 --> 01:01:18.290 and environmental things really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

01:01:18.290 --> 01:01:21.590 more better and full force.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:21.590 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.955$  Lead is just I can't yeah we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:22.955 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.405$  discussed that I don't need to say that

 $01:01:24.405 \longrightarrow 01:01:25.948$  no none of us can believe that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:25.948 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.544$  still around as a public house hazard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:27.550 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.230$  It should be gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:29.230 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.910$  It's just not acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

01:01:30.910 --> 01:01:33.566 At the same time I think make very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:33.566 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.734$  clear that as long as we have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $01:01:36.734 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.790$  substantial poverty gradients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

01:01:41.310 --> 01:01:43.654 that is a policy taxing and that's you

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

 $01{:}01{:}43.654 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.071$  know beyond me to to do much about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

01:01:46.071 --> 01:01:47.870 but it's clearly something that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

 $01:01:47.870 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.348$  to be addressed because I think with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

01:01:50.348 --> 01:01:52.938 Addressing LED, you will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

 $01:01:52.940 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.300$  substantially address the poverty

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

 $01:01:54.300 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.000$  inequality in this country as

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

01:01:56.000 --> 01:01:57.697 much as I think it's important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922488011724138

 $01:01:57.700 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.980$  but it's completely different thing.

 $01:01:59.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.380$  And you see that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $01:02:03.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.098$  we all know that, you know homelessness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

01:02:08.100 --> 01:02:11.228 I'm yeah, the the extent of homelessness

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $01:02:11.228 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.081$  in Boston and other areas is just so

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $01:02:14.081 \dashrightarrow 01:02:16.500$  dramatic and such a health hazard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $01:02:16.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.739$  I don't know why that's not addressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $01:02:17.740 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.372$  I really fail to see that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $01{:}02{:}20.372 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21.940$  easily be addressed. Wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $01:02:21.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.765$  Well, just in the interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

01:02:22.765 --> 01:02:23.260 of everyone's time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

01:02:23.260 --> 01:02:24.868 if anyone would like to stay on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $01:02:24.868 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.180$  please do wait in the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $01:02:26.180 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.900$  We can continue this conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $01:02:27.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.340$  And but just please do join

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $01:02:29.340 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.500$  me again in thanking Dr.

01:02:30.500 --> 01:02:31.660 Kmar for his presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902486077

 $01:02:34.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.130$  Yeah. Sorry to talk so

NOTE Confidence: 0.902486077

01:02:36.130 --> 01:02:38.000 long and see you later.