WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"01:03:30.8800000"
- NOTE recognizability:0.826
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.768 Good morning everybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}00{.}768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}02{.}560$ It's so nice to see every body here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}02{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}03{.}856$ So let's get started.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:03.856 --> 00:00:05.800 So this is a special occasion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:05.870 \dashrightarrow 00:00:07.875$ and actually nobody better than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:07.875 --> 00:00:09.880 Doctor Armstrong to present the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:09.880 --> 00:00:12.238 Lecturer in honour of Lance Tallman.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.540$ So this series was established
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.320$ in 2012 by Doctor Marvin Sears.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}17.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.231$ Dr. Sears was a long time chair
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:19.231 \rightarrow 00:00:20.890$ and founder of the Ophthalmology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}20.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.840$ and Visual Sciences at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.465$ and he established this lecture
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

- $00:00:24.465 \rightarrow 00:00:26.560$ series series in honour of his mother,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:26.560 --> 00:00:27.120 Lance Tallman,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.240$ who passed away from leukemia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:29.240 \rightarrow 00:00:31.320$ And it really was the first lecture series
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:31.320 --> 00:00:33.118 dedicated solely to hematologic malignancies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}33.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.136$ So hematologists are always
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:35.136 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.272$ delighted and it's really intended
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:37.272 \rightarrow 00:00:39.312$ to bring to Yale pioneers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.272$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:40.272 \rightarrow 00:00:42.652$ who study malignant hematologic diseases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:42.652 \rightarrow 00:00:45.560$ and then bring treatments to the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:45.560 \rightarrow 00:00:48.244$ There's actually nobody better than to give
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:48.244 --> 00:00:50.638 today's lecture than Doctor Scott Armstrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00:00:50.640 \rightarrow 00:00:52.140$ Doctor Armstrong is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:52.140 --> 00:00:53.640 President of Dana Farber,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- 00:00:53.640 --> 00:00:56.325 Boston Children's Cancer and Blood

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481
- $00{:}00{:}56.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.692$ Disorder Center and the Chairman of

 $00{:}00{:}58.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00{.}307$ the Department of Pediatric Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}00{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02{.}278$ at Dana Farben Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:02.280 --> 00:01:04.920 And since 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}04{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07{.}048$ he serves as the Associate Chief of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:07.048 --> 00:01:08.960 the Division of Hematology Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}08{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}307$ at Boston Children's Hospital and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00:01:11.307 \rightarrow 00:01:13.389$ was previously the Director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:13.389 --> 00:01:15.295 Center for Abidinex Research at

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:15.295 --> 00:01:16.899 Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:16.899 --> 00:01:19.004 Center and Professor of Pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:19.004 --> 00:01:21.199 at Weill Cornell Medical College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:21.200 --> 00:01:22.908 I'm not going to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}22{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24{.}159$ medical degrees and all this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}24.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.198$ so good to have you here.

00:01:25.200 --> 00:01:28.353 So Roger Armstrong really has you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}28.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.518$ pioneered research in in epigenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:32.520 --> 00:01:34.184 and studying pediatric cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:34.184 --> 00:01:34.600 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}34{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36{.}646$ And we always learn that studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}36{.}646$ --> $00{:}01{:}38{.}914$ cancer for example in Pediatrics can NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00{:}01{:}38{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}598$ then really enlight ened mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00:01:40.598 \dashrightarrow 00:01:43.280$ of disease also for adult patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:43.280 --> 00:01:44.400 And I think it's super,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00:01:44.400 \rightarrow 00:01:46.338$ super exciting to hear your talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00:01:46.338 \dashrightarrow 00:01:47.945$ today really bringing basic mechanism NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:47.945 - 00:01:50.009 all the way from the lab to benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

00:01:50.009 --> 00:01:52.170 so many of our patients and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.89328481

 $00:01:52.170 \rightarrow 00:01:53.720$ incredibly excited to have you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:00.520 \rightarrow 00:02:02.380$ Thank you for the nice introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.447$ and and for the lectureship and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:04.447 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.357$ the plaque that's very nice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- 00:02:06.360 --> 00:02:07.716 And thank you for coming today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.850$ It's not the most beautiful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:08.850 \rightarrow 00:02:10.360$ day to be out walking around.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- 00:02:10.360 --> 00:02:13.120 So I appreciate you you making it here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.652$ And as Stephanie said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- 00:02:14.652 --> 00:02:17.467 I'm going to talk to you today
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:17.467 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.023$ about work we've been doing over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:20.023 \rightarrow 00:02:23.332$ the past couple of decades now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:23.332 \rightarrow 00:02:25.838$ focused on originally a relatively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:25.838 \rightarrow 00:02:28.394$ rare subset of leukemia and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:28.394 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.663$ move to more common leukemias
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:30.663 \rightarrow 00:02:32.873$ and maybe even beyond leukemias.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- 00:02:32.880 --> 00:02:34.994 And as many of you probably know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706
- $00:02:34.994 \rightarrow 00:02:36.811$ that the concept of targeting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:02:36.811 --> 00:02:38.511 chromatin or epigenetic based

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:38.511 \rightarrow 00:02:40.584$ mechanisms been around for quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:02:40.584 --> 00:02:42.600 some time and there have been some

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:42.600 \rightarrow 00:02:44.000$ therapeutic advances in that regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}02{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.746$ But it's been it's stops and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:02:45.746 --> 00:02:47.946 starts I would say along the road

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:47.946 \dashrightarrow 00:02:49.878$ and hopefully I can convince you

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:02:49.878 - > 00:02:51.914 that we're maybe finally starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}02{:}51{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54{.}332$ to make some significant go get

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:54.332 \rightarrow 00:02:55.876$ some significant insights there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:55.880 \rightarrow 00:02:57.000$ So these are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}120$ I do consult for a number of biotech

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:02:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.988$ companies trying to convince them that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:00.988 \rightarrow 00:03:02.598$ these mechanisms are relevant that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:02.600 \dashrightarrow 00:03:05.316$ and sometimes I'm able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:05.320 \rightarrow 00:03:07.560$ And then this patent on amended inhibition,

00:03:07.560 --> 00:03:08.475 NPM one AML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:08.475 \dashrightarrow 00:03:10.960$ I'm going to talk about NPM one AML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}10{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}12{.}466$ And more important disclosure is these

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:12.466 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.038$ are the people that do the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:03:14.040 - 00:03:17.028 I don't do the work and I'm very lucky

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}17.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.520$ to have tremendous fellows in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:19.520 \rightarrow 00:03:21.879$ And actually all of these fellows other

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:21.879 \rightarrow 00:03:24.027$ than Emily who soon will leave the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:24.027 \rightarrow 00:03:26.554$ lab to go start her own have started

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:26.554 \rightarrow 00:03:29.753$ their own independent lab based careers now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.426$ So this is the outline of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}120$ talk I'm going to introduce you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}640$ Many of you probably know a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}36{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}665$ this to the MLL or CAT or MLL or KMT

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}40.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.507$ two ACI can't even remember what the

 $00{:}03{:}43{.}507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}984$ other name is for complex and MLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}46{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49{.}736$ rearranged leukemias and then move to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:49.736 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.556$ the therapeutic development of small

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:03:51.556 \rightarrow 00:03:53.597$ molecules that target those complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}001$ Talk a little bit about the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:03:56.001 --> 00:03:57.421 translation and resistance mechanisms NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:03:57.421 --> 00:03:59.710 that we're starting to see to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}660$ molecules and then talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:01.660 \rightarrow 00:04:03.291$ potential role in other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:04:03.291 - > 00:04:05.313 And as I already mentioned the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.116$ the concept of the OR the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:04:08.116 --> 00:04:09.514 relevance of epigenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.424$ And just for those of you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:11.424 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.095$ are that are purists in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:04:13.095 - 00:04:14.235 epigenetic and chromatin space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.860$ I will interchangeably use

00:04:15.860 --> 00:04:17.480 epigenetic and chromatin biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.601$ Actually there there is a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:19.601 \rightarrow 00:04:21.704$ people that think those two things are

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:21.704 \rightarrow 00:04:23.848$ not the same thing and the concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}04{:}23.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.864$ that these mechanisms are relevant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28{.}237$ cancer has been around for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.476$ This is not a new idea and epigenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:31.476 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.468$ really encompasses many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:33.468 \rightarrow 00:04:36.200$ types of modifications of chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:04:36.200 --> 00:04:37.320 DNA methylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

00:04:37.320 --> 00:04:38.440 histone modifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.680$ complexes have proteins that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:40.680 \dashrightarrow 00:04:43.440$ read those histone modifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}04{:}43{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44{.}086$ The nucleos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}04{:}44.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.378$ there's nucleosome remodeling complexes

 $00:04:45.378 \rightarrow 00:04:46.960$ that you've probably heard about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48{.}800$ The bath complex also are

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.272$ frequently mutated in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:50.280 \rightarrow 00:04:52.317$ So we've known that this is relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.352$ but what to do about it has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}192$ a little bit harder to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.843636681764706

 $00:04:56.192 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.088$ and the kind of simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:04:58.157 \dashrightarrow 00:05:00.064$ concept is, is these mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:00.064 \rightarrow 00:05:01.696$ control developmental gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:01.696 \rightarrow 00:05:04.524$ and if we were smart enough we'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:04.524 \rightarrow 00:05:06.444$ figure out how to the rapeutically

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:06.444 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.962$ target them and reverse those cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:08.962 \rightarrow 00:05:11.032$ causing gene expression mechanisms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:11.040 \rightarrow 00:05:12.756$ hopefully we're starting to get there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:12.760 \rightarrow 00:05:15.245$ There are some FDA approved drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:15.245 \rightarrow 00:05:17.714$ you probably know about H TAC inhibitors

 $00:05:17.714 \rightarrow 00:05:19.454$ and DNA methyl transferase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:19.454 \rightarrow 00:05:22.390$ I would say whether or not those molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:22.446 \rightarrow 00:05:24.556$ are working via epigenetic mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:24.560 \rightarrow 00:05:26.478$ still a little bit of a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:26.480 \dashrightarrow 00:05:29.035$ but indeed those were the first ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}801$ that could be working via these some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:31.801 \rightarrow 00:05:34.000$ these mechanisms that were FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}05{:}34.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.856$ So this is the leukemia that I became

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}05{:}36.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.775$ most interested in as a fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:38.775 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.195$ back in the late 1990s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.500$ Now those are leukemias with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}05{:}42.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.632$ rearrangements of the KMT 2A or

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}05{:}45.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.260$ or MLL gene and in Pediatrics as

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.720$ mentioned I'm a pediatric oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}05{:}51.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.455$ This rearrangement when found in

 $00{:}05{:}53.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.044$ infants with AOL predicts a very poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:56.044 \rightarrow 00:05:58.014$ prognosis and in pediatric leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:05:58.014 \rightarrow 00:06:00.239$ therapy we're actually not used to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:06:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.193$ We cure most of our patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}06{:}02.193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.711$ AOL and we find a subset that has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:06:04.711 \rightarrow 00:06:06.690$ less than 40% long term survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}030$ We that's unusual and this subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:06:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.585$ is that if an infant comes in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

00:06:11.585 --> 00:06:14.061 has ALL and has a rearrangement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00:06:14.061 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.317$ this gene that it's probably in

NOTE Confidence: 0.846459086818182

 $00{:}06{:}16{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19{.}278$ the 4050% long term survival now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:06:23.160 --> 00:06:25.680 And so back in the late 90s I joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}06{:}25.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.198$ Stan course Meyer's lab to start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}06{:}28.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.106$ learn about that and then obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:06:30.106 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.720$ ultimately to start my own lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:06:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.114$ So this is the the wild

00:06:34.114 --> 00:06:36.718 type MLL or KMT 2A protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:06:36.720 --> 00:06:39.359 It's very large, it's in the nucleus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:06:39.360 --> 00:06:40.944 it's about 500K Daltons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:06:40.944 \rightarrow 00:06:44.040$ makes it has made it difficult to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:06:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.774$ It has a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}760$ domains and is bound to chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:06:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.000$ We've known that for over 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:06:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.962$ And when the translocation occurs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}483$ in terminus of MLL is fused to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}06{:}54{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}205$ C terminus of what's 100 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:06:56.268 \rightarrow 00:06:58.578$ fusion proteins also making a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}118$ bit complicated to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}07{:}00{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}891$ And this is just the history that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:07:01.891 --> 00:07:03.832 I won't go through in too much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:07:03.832 --> 00:07:05.827 detail other than to say that the

 $00:07:05.827 \rightarrow 00:07:07.692$ wild type MLL protein is was shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:07.692 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.920$ in the mid 90s by Stan Course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:09.920 \dashrightarrow 00:07:12.542$ Mars Group and others to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:07:12.542 --> 00:07:14.952 development of blood system of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:14.952 \rightarrow 00:07:16.451$ hematopolysis through presumably

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:16.451 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.437$ control of the homeotic or hox

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:18.437 \dashrightarrow 00:07:20.472$ genes that are important in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:20.472 \dashrightarrow 00:07:22.097$ types of development and but

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

00:07:22.097 --> 00:07:24.000 in blood development as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:24.000 \rightarrow 00:07:26.440$ And that was really actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}07{:}26{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28{.}456$ pointed to that concept by studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}07{:}28.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.280$ done even before that in fly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.000$ in fruit flies and Drosophila,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:32.000 \rightarrow 00:07:33.480$ showing that the trithorax gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.760$ which is the Drosophila homologue is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:07:35.760 \rightarrow 00:07:37.640$ important for development as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- 00:07:37.640 --> 00:07:39.596 And then Mike Cleary and Terry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:07:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.754$ rabbits showed in very nice mouse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:07:41.754 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.051$ studies in the late 90s that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- 00:07:44.051 --> 00:07:46.016 the MLL fusion proteins indeed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00{:}07{:}46.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.880$ do directly induce leukemia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00{:}07{:}47.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.710$ And then David Alice's group showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:07:49.710 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.689$ that in the wild type MLL is a histone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:07:52.689 \rightarrow 00:07:54.389$ modifying enzyme modifies histone H3
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00{:}07{:}54.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.311$ on lysine 4 through this enzymatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00{:}07{:}56.311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.508$ domain here at the C terminus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:07:58.508 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.826$ So this was really the first well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:08:01.826 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.397$ characterized chromatin regulator
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00{:}08{:}03{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}953$ that is known to drive tumor genesis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:08:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.520$ And so that was really why in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:08:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.556$ early early 2000s a lot of labs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:08:11.556 -> 00:08:13.581 jumped on this to thinking maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:13.581 \rightarrow 00:08:16.423$ this would give us some insight into

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:08:16.423 \dashrightarrow 00:08:18.760$ chromatin based mechanisms and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.096$ So to summarize a lot of work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:08:21.096 \dashrightarrow 00:08:22.812$ we did talk thinking about cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:22.812 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.876$ of origin of this type and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:24.876 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.116$ other types of leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:26.120 \rightarrow 00:08:27.457$ Not going to get into that too

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00{:}08{:}27{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28{.}630$ much today because I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.555$ get to the therapeutic part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}336$ But we were able to show that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:31.336 \rightarrow 00:08:33.374$ MLO fusion when we put it into either

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

00:08:33.374 --> 00:08:34.760 stem cells or progenitor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.944$ either mouse or human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}720$ that the MLO fusion can drive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00:08:37.786 \dashrightarrow 00:08:39.641$ the development of leukemia from

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- 00:08:39.641 -> 00:08:41.125 multiple different cell types
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- 00:08:41.125 --> 00:08:43.039 in hematopoietic development.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:08:43.040 \dashrightarrow 00:08:44.528$ And these concepts have now been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:08:44.528 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.039$ shown in other types of tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00{:}08{:}46{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}028$ But at the time that was a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:08:48.028 \rightarrow 00:08:48.880$ relatively new concept.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:08:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.494$ But what more importantly what it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:08:50.494 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.445$ let us do is really characterize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:08:52.445 \rightarrow 00:08:54.465$ the gene expression program that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:08:54.465 \rightarrow 00:08:56.549$ driven by this MLL fusion protein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:08:56.549 \rightarrow 00:08:58.832$ when we put it into in this case
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00:08:58.832 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.400$ a mouse progenitor cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00:09:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.430$ And we could look very quickly to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667
- $00{:}09{:}02{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04{.}915$ see what types of gene expression and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667
- $00{:}09{:}04{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06{.}850$ chromatin based changes happened when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:09:06.850 \dashrightarrow 00:09:09.118$ the MLL fusion binds to chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7439302846666667

 $00{:}09{:}09{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11{.}200$ And our work and many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:09:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.805$ many people's work across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743930284666667

 $00:09:12.805 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.410$ the world with this model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:14.474 \rightarrow 00:09:16.224$ originally developed by Mike Cleary's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:16.224 \rightarrow 00:09:18.648$ group have I would say that MLO

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}09{:}18.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.472$ fusion driven leukemia now is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:20.472 \rightarrow 00:09:21.940$ as well characterized mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.520$ this as any type of leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:24.520 \rightarrow 00:09:26.545$ probably any type of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:26.545 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.404$ largely because of this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:28.404 \rightarrow 00:09:31.206$ So we know where the fusion binds throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:31.206 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.036$ chromatin, which genes it controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:33.040 \rightarrow 00:09:34.832$ We now have mechanisms of turning the fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:34.832 \rightarrow 00:09:36.833$ off and we can see what genes get shut off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:09:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.078$ We understand now quite a bit

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.080$ about what the MLL fusion does.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.048$ Exactly how it does it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- 00:09:44.048 --> 00:09:45.693 still a little bit unclear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:45.693 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.024$ but that is what we and others
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:48.024 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.759$ are really working on now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.370$ And of course that is what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.456$ need to know and under in order
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842150046666667
- $00:09:53.456 \rightarrow 00:09:54.792$ to develop hopefully therapeutics
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:54.792 \rightarrow 00:09:56.840$ that can target these mechanisms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:56.840 \rightarrow 00:09:59.619$ So this is a very simple actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:09:59.619 \rightarrow 00:10:02.640$ summary of how the MLL fusion works.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- 00:10:02.640 --> 00:10:06.438 So here in Gray is the ML AF9 fusion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00:10:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.379$ the in terminus of MLL bound to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- $00{:}10{:}08{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}401$ some of the proteins normally found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667
- 00:10:10.401 --> 00:10:13.019 in the MLL complex Menon and Ledge
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

00:10:13.085 --> 00:10:15.095 F here and those help localize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16{.}748$ the fusion protein to chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:16.748 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.212$ And then the C terminal part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:19.212 \rightarrow 00:10:21.706$ fusion brings in a number of complexes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}10{:}21.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.479$ is histone methyl transferase .1 L,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}10{:}23.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.592$ which is a histone H3 lysine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:25.592 \rightarrow 00:10:27.591$ 79 methyl transferase And this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:27.591 \rightarrow 00:10:29.679$ so-called super elongation complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}10{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.752$ which is really a fundamental complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:32.752 \rightarrow 00:10:34.800$ for controlling transcription broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:34.800 \rightarrow 00:10:36.360$ not just in this setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:36.360 \rightarrow 00:10:39.726$ Certainly the MLL fusion drags these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

00:10:39.726 --> 00:10:41.970 chromatin regulatory and transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:42.049 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.730$ control proteins and complexes to its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:44.730 \rightarrow 00:10:47.840$ target genes to drive gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:47.840 \rightarrow 00:10:50.876$ So with that level of understanding,

 $00:10:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.976$ it became easier to go to pharma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}10{:}52{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}931$ biotech and to get them interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:10:54.931 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.651$ in developing small molecules that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

00:10:56.651 -> 00:10:58.358 might target these mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}10{:}58{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}958$ Even though at the time no one knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}00{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}794$ if these were mechanisms be relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:02.794 \rightarrow 00:11:04.586$ beyond this relatively rare disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.842150046666667

 $00:11:04.586 \rightarrow 00:11:06.722$ which is probably A couple thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}06.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.956$ patients per year in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

00:11:08.960 --> 00:11:10.088 But I'll show you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}10.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.104$ I think we think that indeed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842150046666667

 $00:11:12.104 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.216$ in fact we have now shown in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:14.216 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.920$ patients that it it actually is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}15{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16{.}880$ So each, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.438$ each of these labels in red is a small

 $00:11:20.438 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.432$ molecule that's been developed to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:22.432 \rightarrow 00:11:24.988$ various components of this large complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

00:11:24.988 --> 00:11:28.265 And to summarize broadly on molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:28.265 \rightarrow 00:11:30.400$ that target the complexes on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}30{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}104$ the kind of general

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:32.104 \rightarrow 00:11:32.956$ transcriptional complexes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:32.960 \rightarrow 00:11:35.156$ the problem there has primarily been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}35{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}520$ toxicity that actually not too surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

00:11:37.520 --> 00:11:39.600 you turn off transcription broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.168$ we can do that with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:41.168 \rightarrow 00:11:41.840$ our chemotherapeutic drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.040$ It's relatively toxic on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.052$ left side and to date the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}47.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.676$ has actually been efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:48.680 \rightarrow 00:11:51.067$ meaning we can do pre clinical studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:51.067 \rightarrow 00:11:53.150$ and I'll briefly load to this with

 $00:11:53.150 \rightarrow 00:11:54.840$.1 actually get really impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}54{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}000$ changes in gene expression and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}261$ But then we go into patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:11:59.261 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.589$ the .1 inhibitor get a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04{.}075$ of clinical signal and but unable

NOTE Confidence: 0.842150046666667

 $00{:}12{:}04.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.600$ to maintain that clinical response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}12{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.718$ But but toxicity has not primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.842150046666667

 $00:12:08.718 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.866$ been a problem for the molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00:12:10.866 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.040$ on the left side of this figures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8421500466666667

 $00{:}12{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.720$ and that's kind of where we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:14.720 \rightarrow 00:12:17.051$ focused. And I'm going to talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:17.051 \rightarrow 00:12:19.480$ lot about this protein Menon here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:12:19.480 --> 00:12:21.514 which is really a scaffolding protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}12{:}21{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23{.}561$ that's bound to the MLL fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:23.561 \rightarrow 00:12:25.433$ and helps keep it on chromatin.

 $00:12:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.555$ I'll show you more about that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}12{:}27{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29{.}960$ Men and as an important part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}12{:}29{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31{.}776$ Amylo complex was first demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:12:31.776 --> 00:12:34.040 in 2004 by Michael Cleary's group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:34.040 \rightarrow 00:12:36.196$ And now there are many small molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:12:36.200 --> 00:12:38.377 I'll talk about the one we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:38.377 \rightarrow 00:12:40.124$ working on that disrupt this interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:12:40.124 --> 00:12:42.240 I'll show you how in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.080$ And that really that concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.964$ the first chemical biology done around

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}12{:}45{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}654$ this was done by Yolanda Grimbeck is due

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:48.654 \rightarrow 00:12:50.712$ in Michigan where they developed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}12{:}50{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52{.}996$ first small molecule to bind to minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:12:53.000 --> 00:12:54.554 So just quickly I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:54.554 \rightarrow 00:12:56.480$ this is kind of jumping ahead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:12:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.608$ but it's a concept that I think is

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}725$ important in terms when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:00.725 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.245$ about targeting chromatin complexes

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:02.245 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.874$ in leukemia or other diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:03.874 \rightarrow 00:13:06.706$ So if this is a even more simplified

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}06.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.518$ view of the ML AF9 bound to chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:09.518 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.151$ through its adapter proteins and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:11.151 \rightarrow 00:13:13.960$ bring it in this case the .1 complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}214$ I told you that we worked actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:16.214 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.619$ over a decade ago now with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:18.619 \rightarrow 00:13:20.671$ company called Epizyme to make small

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:20.742 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.157$ molecule enzymatic inhibitors of .1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.805$ We've shown with genetic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}24.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26.872$ that that is an important component

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}26.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.020$ of this complex and that histone

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}29{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}720$ modification that it put places

00:13:30.720 --> 00:13:33.005 is important for controlling MLO

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:13:33.005 --> 00:13:34.833 fusion driven gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}34{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36{.}898$ And that went into clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:36.898 \rightarrow 00:13:39.431$ we were able to a couple of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:39.431 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.016$ actually went into to remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}41{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}980$ and many had some response but it

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}42{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44{.}496$ they were all pretty transient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:13:44.496 --> 00:13:46.932 And I'm going to show you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:13:46.932 --> 00:13:49.436 that's not the case with the min an

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:13:49.436 --> 00:13:50.998 inhibitor and this summarizes why

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}50{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}764$ we think that is that if you the .1

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:13:53.764 --> 00:13:55.374 L inhibitor inhibits the enzymatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:13:55.374 \rightarrow 00:13:56.840$ activity of this protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}56{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}240$ but the whole complex remains stuck

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}040$ on chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.720$ So it's actually doesn't take a

 $00:14:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.929$ lot for the cell to figure out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}887$ to deal with the fact that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:05.887 \rightarrow 00:14:08.035$ enzymatic activity is no longer there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:08.040 \rightarrow 00:14:09.096$ Whereas what I'm going to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:09.096 \rightarrow 00:14:10.440$ you with the MLL Menin inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.534$ it actually disrupts the whole complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}12{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15{.}141$ and much of the complex lifts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:15.141 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.427$ the fusion protein lifts off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}400$ chromatin and we think that probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.512$ is why the the Menin inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:21.512 \rightarrow 00:14:23.880$ approach is more dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.162$ So in 2019 we published the small

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}26.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.484$ molecule that we were working on which

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:28.484 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.708$ was at the time called BTP 5O469.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}220$ The version of it that's in the clinic

00:14:33.292 --> 00:14:35.408 is called Syndex 5613 or Revu Minib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:35.408 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.040$ Get to that in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.937$ And this is a very potent small

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:38.937 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.679$ molecule that binds to this pocket on

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:40.679 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.680$ men and this is the men and protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

00:14:42.680 --> 00:14:44.997 This is the crystal structure of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}45.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.048$ protein here in purple and blocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:48.048 \rightarrow 00:14:50.533$ the interaction between men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}50{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}786$ and the MLL fusion and that leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}075$ to as I mentioned the loss of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:55.075 \rightarrow 00:14:56.239$ complex on chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00:14:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.888$ but interestingly enough only

NOTE Confidence: 0.777851471538462

 $00{:}14{:}57{.}888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}360$ does it at very selected sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}976$ This complex actually remains on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:02.976 \rightarrow 00:15:05.995$ chromatin and the wild type complex which

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:05.995 \rightarrow 00:15:08.146$ would also be potentially disrupted

 $00:15:08.146 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.984$ by this molecule remains on chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}10.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.416$ at many sites throughout the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:13.416 \rightarrow 00:15:15.528$ But there's a very selected group

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:15.528 \rightarrow 00:15:17.556$ of genes loci where it's lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.240$ The importance of that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}19{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22{.}536$ is that we know genetic inactivation of MLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:22.536 \rightarrow 00:15:26.037$ broadly is toxic that to hematopoietic cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}26.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.182$ But there's some reason that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}28.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.651$ mechanism seems to be only important

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:30.651 \rightarrow 00:15:32.599$ at certain developmental loci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}32{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}877$ And we think that may be why we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}34.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.714$ seeing tremendous toxicity that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}36{.}714$ --> $00{:}15{:}39{.}006$ could imagine by lifting this chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}39.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.920$ complexes off the genome broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.370$ And this is just one example of

 $00:15:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.570$ a preclinical PDX study that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:15:45.570 --> 00:15:47.712 did with an MLL rearranged AML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:15:47.720 --> 00:15:49.160 All of you probably know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:15:49.160 --> 00:15:49.880 PDX studies are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:15:49.880 --> 00:15:51.940 inject the human leukemia into

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}940$ --> $00{:}15{:}54{.}608$ immuno deficient mice and treat the mice here

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:15:54.608 \rightarrow 00:15:57.360$ with two cycles if you will of the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}314$ And the beauty of this experiment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:16:00.320 --> 00:16:03.339 from a technical standpoint is Syndax

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:16:03.339 --> 00:16:05.853 actually supplies us with mouse chow

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}800$ that's impregnated with the drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}07{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}716$ So you don't actually have to dose the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.317$ People in the lab love that fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}13.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.156$ So basically you inject the leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.308$ change the food and come back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:16.308 \rightarrow 00:16:17.518$ a month and see what happened.

 $00:16:17.520 \rightarrow 00:16:18.955$ And it's obviously not quite that simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:16:18.960 --> 00:16:22.164 but so we were able to do these experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:16:22.164 --> 00:16:25.278 with much more speed and much less pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.198$ than they than they often can take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}27{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29{.}054$ And so you can see here that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}29.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.080$ mice that were treated with the MIN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.170$ an inhibitor essentially the AML

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:16:33.170 --> 00:16:36.200 in this PDX model was eradicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}027$ which as most of you know who

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:38.027 \rightarrow 00:16:39.760$ do these types of experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.220$ That's not the how these experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.040$ usually go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}43.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.945$ You might see a prolongation of survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}16{:}45{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}759$ but not this degree of response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:16:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.104$ Same thing in a model that a lot

- $00:16:51.104 \rightarrow 00:16:52.040$ of people use,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}16{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.648$ this retroviral model of ML AF9
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:16:53.648 \rightarrow 00:16:55.439$ that we've used a lot as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:16:55.440 \rightarrow 00:16:58.996$ which does lead to a very aggressive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}16{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}764$ mouse AML.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}16{:}59{.}764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}674$ And you can see here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}17{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.804$ we've treated mice that have gotten
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:17:03.804 \rightarrow 00:17:05.520$ these cells injected with the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:17:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.020$ the min,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:17:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.520$ an inhibitor for this period of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}17{:}07{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}480$ time and in fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}17{:}08{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11{.}399$ it eradicated the disease in this model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00{:}17{:}11{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13{.}444$ So that is again very different from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:17:13.444 \rightarrow 00:17:15.902$ what most of the graphs will look like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:17:15.902 \rightarrow 00:17:18.080$ when you do an experiment like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465
- $00:17:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.456$ So really indicating that

 $00{:}17{:}20{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22{.}238$ there's significant potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:17:22.240 --> 00:17:24.354 So I'm going to switch diseases or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:17:24.354 --> 00:17:26.217 switch subtypes of leukemia and tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:17:26.217 --> 00:17:28.296 you a little bit about a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:17:28.354 --> 00:17:31.092 subtype that one is interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}31.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.432$ two actually kind of changed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}33{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35{.}400$ level of interest from biotech and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}599$ pharma because it's way more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:17:37.600 --> 00:17:40.396 and that's NPM one mutant AML.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}563$ And I keep saying that because as

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:17:42.563 \rightarrow 00:17:44.452$ you can probably tell in paediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}44.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.756$ and other rare cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}45.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.944$ we're constantly and many of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}47{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49{.}690$ probably recognize this when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

00:17:49.690 --> 00:17:51.640 talking about drug discovery and development,

 $00:17:51.640 \rightarrow 00:17:53.518$ having to convince people to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00{:}17{:}53{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55{.}608$ on the diseases we're interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89274465

 $00:17:55.608 \rightarrow 00:17:57.558$ because they're indeed quite rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}296$ When you go from 1000 to 2000 patients per

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:00.296 --> 00:18:02.976 year in the United States to 15 into 17,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}02{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04{.}880$ patients per year in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.155$ you actually get a lot more interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.176$ And so that's one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10{.}880$ reasons beyond just the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}10.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.352$ the mechanistic interest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}12{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14{.}192$ I think this is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:14.200 --> 00:18:16.792 So Michael Kuhn, when we were in New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}16{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19{.}944$ a fellow at the time asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:19.944 \rightarrow 00:18:21.090$ the question of well, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}21.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22.840$ we know that the MLO rearranged leukemias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:22.840 \rightarrow 00:18:25.288$ This is a gene expression data for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796
- $00{:}18{:}25{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}560$ the HOX genes and MIS ones from Tim

00:18:27.633 --> 00:18:29.633 LAYS group in a bunch of AM LS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:29.640 \rightarrow 00:18:31.000$ and you probably know how to read these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:31.000 \rightarrow 00:18:32.967$ Each row here is AG in each

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}280$ column with leukemia sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:34.280 --> 00:18:36.730 And here's the MLL rearranged group OX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}36{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}320$ A cluster we know that's long known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:39.320 --> 00:18:41.301 In fact, some of the microarray data

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}41{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43{.}201$ that I did as a fellow demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:43.201 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:18:45.769 that the Hox A cluster is expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:45.769 --> 00:18:48.200 MLL rearranged leukemias as is MIS one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:18:48.200 --> 00:18:50.840 Those are two targets of the ML effusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}679$ that have been worked on for many decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}18{:}53.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.936$ It turns out in the NPM one mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:18:55.936 \rightarrow 00:18:58.395$ leukemia as you can see here on the right,

 $00:18:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.948$ they have the Hox A cluster as

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:19:00.948 --> 00:19:02.360 well and B cluster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}400$ another Hox cluster and MIS one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06{.}568$ So this has also been known for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}06{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}112$ time that this subset of AML and PM one

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.480$ mutant also tends to express the Hox genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14{.}798$ So Michael using CRISPR which in

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:19:14.798 --> 00:19:17.657 2016 was still relatively new did

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}17.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.199$ a what's called a domain scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:19:19.200 \rightarrow 00:19:20.920$ I won't get into the details of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}916$ but the point being that the inactivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}23{.}916$ --> $00{:}19{:}27{.}165$ of MLL and ultimately I mean of men

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}27.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.708$ and MLL led to differentiation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:19:29.708 --> 00:19:33.199 ultimately death of NPM one mutant AML cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36{.}000$ And the concept being at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:19:36.000 \rightarrow 00:19:38.213$ that the disruption of MLL and

 $00{:}19{:}38{.}213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}556$ Menon in the NPM one state somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}40.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.364$ leads to a decrease in the Hox gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:19:44.364 \rightarrow 00:19:46.319$ expression and therefore ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}46{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}277$ a enemies one expression and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:19:49.277 \rightarrow 00:19:51.640$ ultimately changes in gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:19:51.640 --> 00:19:52.124 Hannah Okleman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:19:52.124 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.403$ who was a a fellow in the lab just

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:19:54.403 --> 00:19:55.803 recently moved back to Germany

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:19:55.803 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.200$ to start her own lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}19{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00{.}000$ then demonstrated that similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.576$ the MLL fusions that NPM 1 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}03.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.456$ in mouse models could transform

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:20:06.456 --> 00:20:08.760 multiple hematopoietic cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}08.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.853$ stem cells a little bit more significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:20:10.853 \rightarrow 00:20:12.360$ more efficiently than progenitors,

 $00:20:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.445$ but also could transform progenitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}15.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.022$ as well and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:20:17.022 --> 00:20:18.048 Needless to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:20:18.048 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.481$ there was still a question as to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:20:21.481 \rightarrow 00:20:23.440$ mechanistically how all this was working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}23.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.114$ I'm going to summarize some chip seek NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}26{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29{.}040$ data here that really shows that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:20:29.040 --> 00:20:31.596 least at this level of understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:20:31.600 --> 00:20:34.134 it's quite similar to what we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}34{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36{.}880$ with the MLL rearranged leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}36{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39{.}106$ So these are NPM one mutant cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:20:39.106 --> 00:20:41.063 lines where we're doing chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}41.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.408$ immunoprecipitation for men and for NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00:20:43.408 \rightarrow 00:20:47.066$ example either in a control setting in NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

00:20:47.066 --> 00:20:49.238 black PMSO treated cells or the min

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51{.}070$ inhibitor treated cells and you can see

- NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796
- $00:20:51.070 \rightarrow 00:20:52.680$ that min and comes off of chromatin.

 $00:20:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.252$ We know that when we treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.926010796

 $00{:}20{:}54{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55{.}300$ with the min inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:20:55.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.690$ min and lifts off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:20:56.690 \rightarrow 00:20:58.004$ chromatin MLL shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:20:58.004 \rightarrow 00:21:00.440$ Now this is wild type MLL because

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:21:00.516 --> 00:21:02.714 it's a mutant in PM one setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.238$ doesn't come off the Hawks loci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}760$ We'd seen that in the MLL fusion setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.235$ as well but does come off of MES 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:21:09.240 --> 00:21:11.688 So this is that concept that I show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:21:11.688 --> 00:21:13.988 that certain loci respond differently to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}13{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17{.}072$ the min and inhibitor and this is showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:21:17.072 --> 00:21:20.400 the RNA SEC or the gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:20.400 \rightarrow 00:21:22.360$ And you can see that when there's

 $00{:}21{:}22{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24{.}062$ a correlation between loss of MLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:21:24.062 --> 00:21:25.682 occupancy and loss of gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}25.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.815$ and this is that looking at that more

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:27.815 \rightarrow 00:21:29.904$ broadly by what's called a GSDA analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:29.904 \rightarrow 00:21:32.987$ So the point being that much like what we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}32{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35{.}639$ in the MLL fusions in the NPM one setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}35{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}062$ you treat with the men inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:37.062 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.680$ men and comes off of chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}38.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.053$ The MLL protein itself comes off of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}41.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.400$ chromatin at about 100 to 150 loci

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:43.400 \rightarrow 00:21:46.040$ and those genes lose their expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}46.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.656$ when the cells differentiate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}21{:}47.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.160$ And then if we go into PDX studies to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:21:51.160 --> 00:21:54.120 NPM one mutant flip 3 ITD Co mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:54.120 \rightarrow 00:21:57.438$ these are relatively aggressive AM LS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:21:57.440 \rightarrow 00:21:59.786$ You can see again pretty impressive

 $00{:}21{:}59.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.000$ response in the PDX setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}04{.}432$ And for the people who start do these

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:04.432 \rightarrow 00:22:06.960$ types of experiments in the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}06{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}822$ we actually as you probably know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:08.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.137$ standard way to do this is put in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.175$ the first sign of any leukemia in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:22:14.175 -> 00:22:15.478 the peripheral blood of those mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.972$ you start treating them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:16.972 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.210$ We actually waited in this experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}19{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}21{.}433$ on the right until the mice were ill

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}21{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}560$ and started treating them and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}24.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.070$ we could recover essentially 4 out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}27.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.920$ five of the mice and eradicate the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32{.}144$ So we stacked the deck against us and

 $00:22:32.144 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.652$ we're still able to to make that or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}34.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.360$ extend the the survival of those mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.446$ So to summarize this at this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:39.446 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.307$ we the MIN inhibitor and NPM one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:22:42.307 --> 00:22:44.199 mutant AML induces differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:22:44.200 --> 00:22:45.506 reverses leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:22:45.506 --> 00:22:48.118 genic leukemic gene expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:22:48.120 - > 00:22:50.514 certain genes like MIS one removes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}513$ MLL from those loci and we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:53.513 \rightarrow 00:22:54.399$ dramatic responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:54.400 \rightarrow 00:22:56.864$ So that was exciting and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:22:56.864 --> 00:22:59.093 enough to get Janssen and Ichi

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:22:59.093 \rightarrow 00:23:01.048$ and the various other large

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:23:01.048 --> 00:23:02.679 pharmaceutical companies interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}23{:}02{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04{.}508$ in small molecule development

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:23:04.508 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.879$ of Menon inhibitors.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:05.880 --> 00:23:08.238 But it's still there was still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00:23:08.240 \rightarrow 00:23:11.607$ something here we don't quite or didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:11.607 00:23:14.444 quite understand is why is it that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00{:}23{:}14.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.927$ the NPM one mutant AML is depending
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00{:}23{:}16{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20{.}000$ so much on the MLL Menon complex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:20.000 --> 00:23:22.359 So Hannah dug into that as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:22.360 --> 00:23:23.480 You guys probably know this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00{:}23{:}23{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}440$ but this is just a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:25.440 --> 00:23:27.320 about the mutant NPM one protein.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00:23:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.958$ It's shown here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:28.958 --> 00:23:29.504 Schematically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- 00:23:29.504 --> 00:23:32.580 it's found mostly in the nucleolus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00:23:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.893$ in the wild type setting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00:23:34.893 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.558$ but when the mutation occurs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625
- $00:23:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.708$ it's a mutation in this nuclear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:23:38.708 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.539$ or localization signal that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}23{:}40{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42{.}519$ leads to a nuclear export signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:23:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.832$ So the mutant in PM one is largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:23:44.832 \rightarrow 00:23:46.966$ found in the cytoplasm and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:23:46.966 \rightarrow 00:23:49.186$ was recognized by the people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51{.}448$ initially described this mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

00:23:51.448 --> 00:23:52.016 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80620684625

 $00:23:52.016 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.720$ there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}23{:}53.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56.576$ that remains the mutant in PM one

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}883$ in the nucleus and we've taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}23{:}58{.}883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}410$ advantage of a system that many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.698$ you probably know about where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}03.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.318$ can now by CRISPR mediated homologous

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:06.318 \rightarrow 00:24:09.516$ recombination actually tag if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13{.}456$ whatever gene or protein of interest with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}13.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.976$ degradable version of FK PP12 shown here.

 $00:24:15.976 \rightarrow 00:24:18.310$ And actually this cell line was made

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:24:18.310 --> 00:24:20.536 by Peggy Goodell's group in at Baylor

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}20{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23{.}299$ and you have a mutant NPM one protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:23.299 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.903$ that has this degradable version

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}910$ of F KBP 12 and you can treat them

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}26.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.756$ with a small molecule Protac that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:28.756 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.320$ will degrade the whole thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}30{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}602$ So you can degrade the mutant oncoprotein

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}32{.}602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}728$ and look fairly rapidly after degradation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:34.728 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.894$ as to what's happening and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}36{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}919$ how rapidly you get degradation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:24:38.920 --> 00:24:40.845 By 60 minutes you've got about half

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:40.845 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.605$ of the mutant protein gone and by 120

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}43.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.075$ minutes essentially all of us gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}45{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}144$ So it's relatively rapid and these

 $00:24:47.144 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.995$ types of experiments are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:48.995 \rightarrow 00:24:51.040$ illuminating because you really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:51.040 \rightarrow 00:24:55.919$ very tight control over over the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:24:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.688$ And what we see is that we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}24{:}58.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.717$ differentiation when we degrade the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:00.717 \rightarrow 00:25:02.822$ mutant onca protein and ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:02.822 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.957$ apoptosis of the cells as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:04.960 \rightarrow 00:25:07.080$ And this is just the Western blot looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}07.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.790$ We can actually separate the mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:08.790 \rightarrow 00:25:10.613$ protein from the wild type because

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:10.613 \rightarrow 00:25:11.239$ it's tagged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.960$ it's here and you can see that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:25:13.960 - 00:25:15.480 This is the cytoplasmic prep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:15.480 \rightarrow 00:25:17.256$ the nuclear prep and the chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}17.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.444$ prep and here's the mutant in PM one

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:19.444 \rightarrow 00:25:21.211$ and we can control that by degrading

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378
- $00:25:21.211 \rightarrow 00:25:23.283$ it to show that that signal actually

 $00:25:23.283 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.720$ is what we think it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.500$ And in fact there is a fair amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.356$ of the mutant in PM one in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:25:28.413 --> 00:25:29.580 nucleus and on chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.721$ Then if we do chip seek to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:31.721 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.541$ where is it in the nucleus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}33{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}597$ where are where is it on chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}640$ You can see here with with two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}38{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41{.}720$ antibodies in black that the NPM one mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:41.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.820$ NPM one protein is bound to many

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.867$ of the similar genes that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:25:45.867 - 00:25:48.033 learned about with the MLL fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.000$ the Hox cluster MIS one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:50.000 \rightarrow 00:25:52.439$ And when we degrade it that signal goes away.

 $00:25:52.440 \rightarrow 00:25:53.886$ And I keep saying that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}53.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.400$ particularly with chip seek experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:25:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.045$ the opportunity for background signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:25:57.045 - 00:25:59.692 is real and this is makes you feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}25{:}59.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.564$ much better that the signal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:01.564 \rightarrow 00:26:03.528$ you're looking at is indeed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:03.528 \rightarrow 00:26:05.368$ signal that you are interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}352$ And now you actually can go to primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}26{:}07{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}789$ patient samples with those antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}26{:}08.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.808$ and see the NPM one protein mutant NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:10.808 \rightarrow 00:26:12.677$ NPM one protein bound there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.528$ And here's the list of the top 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.564$ or so genes to which the mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:26:16.564 --> 00:26:18.074 NPM one protein is bound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:18.080 \rightarrow 00:26:19.898$ And you can see as some of these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:19.898 \rightarrow 00:26:21.518$ that I've already talked about OX,

00:26:21.520 --> 00:26:23.776 A&
amp;
amp;
B cluster and a number of other genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:23.776 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.128$ that we tend to pay attention to and

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}756$ stare at when we're talking about MLL or

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:26:28.756 --> 00:26:32.600 looking at MLL rearranged leukemias as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

00:26:32.600 --> 00:26:34.120 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:34.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.040$ but is it controlling gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00:26:36.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.855$ So now we have mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.825611378

 $00{:}26{:}37.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.670$ NPM one protein bound to

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:26:39.753 \rightarrow 00:26:42.358$ interesting sites in on chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:26:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.418$ and we can degrade it and show

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:26:44.418 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.120$ that that signal is specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:26:46.120 \rightarrow 00:26:48.118$ And now what happens to transcription?

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:26:48.120 --> 00:26:49.800 So this was 24 hours later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}26{:}49{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50{.}800$ quite a bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:26:50.800 \rightarrow 00:26:52.927$ Most of those genes that I just showed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00:26:52.927 \rightarrow 00:26:55.191$ you where the NPM one protein is bound,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00{:}26{:}55{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56{.}750$ their expression is down and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00:26:56.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.620$ this is an approach called pro
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00:26:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.433$ seek which I won't get into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00:27:00.433 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.200$ details as to how one does this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- 00:27:02.200 --> 00:27:02.884 So many of you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00:27:02.884 \rightarrow 00:27:04.331$ some of you who work on transcription
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- 00:27:04.331 > 00:27:05.839 probably know this technique.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00{:}27{:}05{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}685$ But essentially it measures the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- 00:27:07.685 --> 00:27:10.118 amount of bound RNA polymerase 2 out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00:27:10.118 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.214$ throughout the length of the gene as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00{:}27{:}12.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.600$ surrogate for transcriptional activity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00{:}27{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.632$ And what we can see that as quickly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- 00:27:16.632 --> 00:27:18.952 as 30 minutes after treatment of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00{:}27{:}18.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.528$ cells with the NPM one protein degrader,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:27:21.528 \rightarrow 00:27:23.820$ you're already seeing a decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}23.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.802$ transcription at those sites where

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}25.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.160$ the NPM one was previously bound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:27:28.160 --> 00:27:30.184 And and if you look at it across

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31{.}760$ the the all express genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:27:31.760 --> 00:27:33.080 you don't see those changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}33.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.300$ So in fact it's the NPM one protein's

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:27:37.300 \rightarrow 00:27:40.000$ bound there and somehow controlling

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}40.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.400$ transcription of these genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:27:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.996$ And one of the ways it's doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:27:43.996 --> 00:27:45.919 it is by keeping RNA Pol two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47{.}744$ CK nine that that super elongation

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:27:47.744 --> 00:27:50.396 complex that I told you is critical for

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}50{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}116$ transcription bound to those genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}52{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}066$ So when we treat with the degrader

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:27:54.066 \rightarrow 00:27:56.356$ NPM one comes off and then much

 $00:27:56.356 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.748$ of the transcriptional apparatus

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59{.}840$ comes off of those genes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:27:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.445$ So it's maintaining a state that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}03{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06{.}232$ for those critical complexes including

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}437$ pole two to to bind to those low side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11{.}920$ I'm going to go through the details of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}11{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14{.}550$ this but just to kind of summarize it

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:28:14.550 -> 00:28:17.037 that when we degrade mutant in PM one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}17.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.104$ we lose RNA polymerase two occupancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:19.104 \rightarrow 00:28:21.309$ where the NPM one was previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:21.309 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.837$ bound within an hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}22.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.120$ So off goes NPM one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}24{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26{.}486$ off comes pole two and a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}206$ of other histone modifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:28:28.206 --> 00:28:29.492 like H3K27 acceleration,

 $00:28:29.492 \rightarrow 00:28:31.853$ some of you know associated with various

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:28:31.853 --> 00:28:33.608 types of gene expression decreases

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:33.608 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.679$ and then the histone modification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}500$ other histone modifications start

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}320$ to decrease somewhat later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:39.320 \rightarrow 00:28:41.119$ For those of you interested in transcription,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:41.120 \rightarrow 00:28:43.478$ we can talk more about this in detail later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.160$ but it looks like it's like when

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

00:28:45.160 --> 00:28:46.800 we degrade the mutant in PM one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}46{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}325$ the decrease in gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:48.325 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.240$ is actually biphasic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.742$ There's so initially there's a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:51.742 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.960$ of about 50% probably because pole 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:28:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.216$ is not quite as there's not as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00{:}28{:}58{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}160$ pull two and other complex occupancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:29:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.330$ And then after about 3 days we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794
- $00{:}29{:}02{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}369$ see a dramatic another dramatic

 $00:29:04.369 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.437$ decrease in gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:29:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.458$ We think that's because now the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:29:09.458 \rightarrow 00:29:11.470$ histone modifications are starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:29:11.548 \rightarrow 00:29:13.574$ to come in and and work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:29:13.574 \rightarrow 00:29:15.209$ with whatever the previous mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.829681794

 $00:29:15.209 \rightarrow 00:29:17.439$ was to fully shut off transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.971745945

 $00{:}29{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.280$ So how does this connect to to Menon?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9037175

00:29:26.280 --> 00:29:27.320 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:29:30.880 \rightarrow 00:29:33.480$ there we go. So we now if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:29:33.480 --> 00:29:35.680 treat with the MIN inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38{.}774$ what happens to this chromatin bound in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:29:38.774 --> 00:29:41.832 mutant in PM one and I'll just quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:29:41.832 --> 00:29:44.591 summarize it by saying you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}29{:}44{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46{.}934$ here's the mutant in PM one we treat

 $00:29:46.934 \rightarrow 00:29:48.536$ with the MIN inhibitor doesn't come

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:29:48.536 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.327$ off the hogs locus but it actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}29{:}50{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}418$ does come off of the mis one locus

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:29:52.418 \rightarrow 00:29:54.357$ exactly where we're seeing MLL come off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}29{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56{.}696$ same thing down here and if you compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}29{:}56.696$ --> $00{:}29{:}59.085$ that the gene expression again those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}560$ the genes that are losing expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.232$ So to summarize what I'm saying here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}04{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}266$ that when we degrade the mutant NPM one

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:07.266 \rightarrow 00:30:09.480$ protein with this degrader molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}09{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12{.}272$ we lose RNA pole two CDK 9 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}12.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.159$ ultimately .1 at those loci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}14.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.408$ When we treat with the min inhibitor we

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}16{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18{.}921$ do the same thing but at a subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:18.921 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.079$ the loci where the NPM one is bound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:21.080 \rightarrow 00:30:23.504$ So very similar to what's happening

 $00{:}30{:}23{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25{.}120$ with the MLL fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:30:25.120 --> 00:30:27.696 However, we're now looking to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:27.696 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.760$ indeed the mechanisms are identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.482$ And it turns out that while some

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:31.482 \dashrightarrow 00:30:33.079$ of the complexes are overlapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}33{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}380$ these are the mechanisms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.656$ not perfectly identical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:36.656 \rightarrow 00:30:37.472$ That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:37.472 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.104$ some complexes are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:30:39.104 --> 00:30:40.679 in the MLO fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.437$ not an NPM one and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.565$ So we're trying to work through those

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:44.565 \rightarrow 00:30:46.515$ details because as you can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}46{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48{.}072$ the next step that we want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}48.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.077$ is come in and target some of these

 $00:30:50.077 \rightarrow 00:30:51.464$ other complexes with small molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}30{:}51{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}192$ So to summarize this part before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.315$ now I move to the clinical translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:56.320 \rightarrow 00:30:58.512$ There are a subset of leukemias that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:30:58.512 \rightarrow 00:31:00.600$ high level Hox gene expression MIS one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}31{:}00{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}439$ another transcription factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}31{:}02{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04{.}278$ called PBX three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}31{:}04{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06{.}000$ This actually accounts for about

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00{:}31{:}06{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}773$ 40% of human AML and it's ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:09.773 \rightarrow 00:31:13.040$ with these genetic abnormalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:31:13.040 --> 00:31:14.284 MLO rearrangement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

00:31:14.284 --> 00:31:18.638 NPM 1 mutation and I'm actually more

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.094$ relatively rare but more common than

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:21.094 \rightarrow 00:31:23.580$ in adults rearrangement called Newt 98

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:23.580 \rightarrow 00:31:25.920$ rearrangements in both pediatric and adult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.292$ So again accounting for

 $00:31:28.292 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.080$ about 40% of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:31.080 \rightarrow 00:31:35.236$ So that all while all that was happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:35.240 \rightarrow 00:31:37.340$ Syndax and another company called cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:37.340 \rightarrow 00:31:39.680$ oncology that many of you know about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:39.680 \rightarrow 00:31:41.572$ we're developing small molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.861922117692308

 $00:31:41.572 \rightarrow 00:31:43.720$ here Syndax 5613 and here Cure's

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:31:45.760 --> 00:31:47.430 what's now Zyftominib and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:31:47.430 \rightarrow 00:31:49.480$ I'll show you some of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51{.}604$ the data from the Cindex trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:31:51.604 \rightarrow 00:31:53.840$ and some mechanism of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:31:53.840 \rightarrow 00:31:56.060$ And as I mentioned essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:31:56.060 \rightarrow 00:31:59.120$ when the NPM one story came out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}087$ we were called by Janssen and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02{.}629$ another couple of other pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}02.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04.555$ companies and at least these three

00:32:04.555 --> 00:32:06.240 now have MIN inhibitors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}06{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08{.}462$ right on the tails if you will of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.908$ the cure of development and Cindex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:10.908 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.024$ So this is so now we're into

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:15.024 \rightarrow 00:32:17.246$ patients with the Syndex 5613.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}17{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}18{.}944$ This is just date some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:18.944 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.718$ the data from the phase one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:20.720 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.408$ This is one of the first patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}23{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}25{.}780$ that was treated at Dana Farber and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:25.780 \rightarrow 00:32:27.320$ we were able to get the peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}27{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28{.}636$ blood and here are the blast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.635$ And you can see this is with

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:30.635 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.706$ Revumenib that day three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:31.706 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.754$ not much has happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}32{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}084$ Day seven start to see a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:35.084 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.080$ in peripheral blast,

 $00:32:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.592$ day 14 further decrease and by day 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}38{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40{.}980$ at least the peripheral blood blasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:40.980 \rightarrow 00:32:43.518$ are in this case essentially gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:32:43.520 --> 00:32:45.075 Florian Perner is a postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:32:45.075 - 00:32:46.319 who was doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:46.320 \dashrightarrow 00:32:48.036$ He sorted these cells and looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}48.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.045$ at gene expression and in fact the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:50.045 \rightarrow 00:32:51.665$ gene expression changes that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}32{:}51{.}665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}434$ here look very similar to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:53.434 \rightarrow 00:32:55.856$ had seen in the preclinical studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:55.856 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.276$ not being a clinical trialist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:32:58.280 \dashrightarrow 00:33:00.152$ I'm going to summarize the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}00{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02{.}149$ phase one right here with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}02{.}149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04{.}233$ of work from a lot of people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:04.233 \dashrightarrow 00:33:06.598$ this was published last year.

00:33:06.600 --> 00:33:08.520 This is the Revue Minib Phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.010$ one with Syndex 5613.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.960$ The other name for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:11.960 - 00:33:14.520 68 patients with relapsed

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:14.520 --> 00:33:15.800 refractory leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:15.800 --> 00:33:17.152 As you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}17.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.180$ many of these patients have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:19.245 --> 00:33:21.220 tremendous numbers of cycles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}21{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23{.}558$ various types of the rapies and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:23.558 --> 00:33:25.609 CR rate depending on how you count

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}25.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.364$ CRS and for those of you who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}27{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28{.}979$ clinical trials and A and all we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}28{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30{.}369$ talk about that is somewhere in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}30{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32{.}495$ 40% range with an overall response

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}32{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}013$ rate of about 50% and a median

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:35.013 \rightarrow 00:33:36.879$ duration of response about nine months.

 $00:33:36.880 \rightarrow 00:33:39.400$ So for in relapse refractory setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.810$ these are actually pretty impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:41.810 - 00:33:44.220 numbers and the cure oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:44.293 \rightarrow 00:33:46.921$ small molecule seems to be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:46.921 \rightarrow 00:33:48.287$ something having similar activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}33{:}48{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50{.}823$ And in fact some of that data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:50.823 --> 00:33:52.707 Janssen was also just printed presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:52.707 \rightarrow 00:33:55.115$ at ASH and it looks like the activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:55.115 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.991$ of that molecule is is similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

00:33:56.991 --> 00:33:59.346 So indeed there looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:33:59.346 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.759$ there's significant clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}00{.}759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}400$ activity of this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}02{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05{.}200$ This lot slide just reminds me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}05{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07{.}686$ point out and then now I'm talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}07{.}686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09{.}878$ to people who are doing AML clinical

 $00:34:09.878 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.876$ trials in the in the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.352$ An interesting phenomenon that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:13.352 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.560$ you know better than I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.246$ but that is influencing how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}17{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19{.}249$ drugs are are able to be developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}19{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}174$ and that is when you treat patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:21.235 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.640$ with them in an inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.412$ many of them will develop this syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}25{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26{.}600$ called differentiation syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:26.600 \rightarrow 00:34:29.520$ But in this setting it looks a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.880$ different clinically I'm told,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32{.}220$ than the differentiation syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.230$ that you usually see when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:34.286 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.056$ treat patients with acute per

NOTE Confidence: 0.841225401818182

 $00:34:36.056 \rightarrow 00:34:37.472$ myelocytic leukemia with ATRA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:34:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.012$ In fact patients have died from

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:34:40.012 \rightarrow 00:34:41.581$ this differentiation syndrome and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- 00:34:41.581 --> 00:34:43.688 so that has prompted the FDA to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:34:43.688 \rightarrow 00:34:46.040$ call this a dose limiting toxicity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:34:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.440$ Think about what that means.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:34:47.440 \rightarrow 00:34:50.504$ It means that your your dose limiting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00{:}34{:}50{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53{.}079$ toxicity is actually occurring as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:34:53.079 \rightarrow 00:34:55.677$ result of efficacy of your molecules.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00{:}34{:}55{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58{.}099$ So we can talk about what So I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:34:58.099 \rightarrow 00:35:00.240$ the FDA is fighting against the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:35:00.240 \rightarrow 00:35:02.240$ the some things that they shouldn't be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:35:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.616$ but that's a that's a whole other soapbox
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00{:}35{:}04.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.035$ that we can talk about if we want to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:35:07.040 \rightarrow 00:35:08.392$ That would be like for those of you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00{:}35{:}08{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09{.}711$ who treat patients with ALL saying you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00{:}35{:}09{.}711$ --> $00{:}35{:}11{.}479$ start to see a little tumor lysis syndrome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.630$ we'd better stop treating them

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:12.630 \rightarrow 00:35:15.960$ because that's bad. No, that's good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.528$ So with all this in mind and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:18.528 \rightarrow 00:35:20.519$ clinical activity looking interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.858$ we figured that it was much like

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00{:}35{:}22.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.320$ any single targeted agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:24.320 \dashrightarrow 00:35:26.553$ there was likely to be some mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:26.553 \rightarrow 00:35:28.758$ of resistance to that targeted agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00{:}35{:}28.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.000$ And right about the time we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:31.000 \rightarrow 00:35:33.166$ thinking about this the Broad Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{.}35{.}578$ developed this screening based on or

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37{.}539$ screening approach based on single

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00{:}35{:}37{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40{.}293$ nucleotide base editing which in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:40.293 \dashrightarrow 00:35:43.972$ what you can do is tile in this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:43.972 \rightarrow 00:35:46.744$ Menon the whole length of the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333

 $00:35:46.744 \rightarrow 00:35:49.062$ with guides that will mutate not

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:35:49.062 \rightarrow 00:35:50.874$ every nucleotide because of the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:35:50.874 \rightarrow 00:35:52.920$ that it's designed but where you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00:35:52.920 \rightarrow 00:35:54.546$ mutate the majority of amino acids
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839965693333333
- $00{:}35{:}54{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}315$ across the length of that protein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}35{:}59{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01{.}634$ to to basically do an in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:36:01.640 --> 00:36:03.005 cellular mutagenesis screen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:36:03.005 00:36:05.603 to see if you can phenotypes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}36{:}05{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08{.}187$ So what Florian decided to do is to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:36:08.187 > 00:36:10.313 get that base editor library made
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:36:10.313 \rightarrow 00:36:12.780$ for the minute gene treat cells with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:36:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.775$ the min an inhibitor and see if there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}36{:}15.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.157$ were mutations that made the cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}36{:}18.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.517$ resistant to the min an inhibitor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:36:20.520 --> 00:36:22.888 And in fact there were there shown here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:36:22.888 \rightarrow 00:36:25.480$ in two different MLL rearranged lines
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:25.480 \rightarrow 00:36:29.358$ and interestingly enough we kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:29.360 \rightarrow 00:36:31.400$ looking back this was probably silly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.460$ We looked at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.050$ the new technique and there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

00:36:34.106 --> 00:36:35.996 little bit of noise and we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:35.996 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.678$ know exactly what to make of

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:37.678 \dashrightarrow 00:36:39.033$ it looked kind of interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:39.040 \rightarrow 00:36:41.232$ Florian put it in the drawer and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}36{:}41.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.238$ of didn't do too much more with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}36{:}43{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45{.}544$ until we got a call from Ross Levine

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}359$ and Etan Stein at Memorial Sloan Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

00:36:48.360 --> 00:36:48.619 Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}36{:}48.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.691$ I got a like emergent text from Ross

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:50.691 \rightarrow 00:36:52.376$ which I thought something really bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:36:52.376 \rightarrow 00:36:54.797$ had happened and he said we have to talk now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}36{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57.232$ So I called him and he said we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}36{:}57{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59{.}421$ found mutations in Menin in samples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:36:59.421 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.306$ from patients that have progressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}37{:}01{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}078$ on the Menin inhibitor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:37:03.080 --> 00:37:04.792 And great, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:37:04.792 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.076$ what are they?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}37{:}06{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}456$ And in fact the first one was this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:37:08.456 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.925$ mutation 3 and ine 349.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:37:09.925 --> 00:37:12.608 So we dug Florian's data out and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:37:12.608 00:37:13.600 we're like holy cow,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:37:13.600 \rightarrow 00:37:15.808$ the patients are getting the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:37:15.808 \rightarrow 00:37:18.200$ mutation that the base header screen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:37:18.200 --> 00:37:20.573 had suggested they might get even though
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}37{:}20{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22{.}765$ we weren't confident in our in our data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}37{:}22.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.720$ to go ahead and start studying that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:37:24.720 \rightarrow 00:37:26.960$ But Needless to say with that information,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.544$ we started studying this in quite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}37{:}29{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}203$ of detail and we went to send X and

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:32.203 \dashrightarrow 00:37:34.400$ got samples from a number of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.999$ And it looks like within two to

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}37{:}36{.}999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}560$ three months about 40% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.880$ patients had developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.160$ They weren't in fluorid

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.120$ relapse or progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}37{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.892$ but had developed a clone with

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:45.892 \rightarrow 00:37:48.520$ this a min and mutation in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}37{:}48.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.123$ That's what this is showing here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51{.}736$ here is like and those mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:51.736 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.196$ were not present at screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.816$ So this is just a pie chart in

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:37:54.816 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.627$ red here showing you the size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}37{:}56.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.301$ the clone that has developed the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:37:58.301 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.117$ min and mutation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}37{:}59{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}780$ So this is acquired selective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:38:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.440$ mutational resistance to the men,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:38:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.150$ an inhibitor which as most of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:38:06.150 \dashrightarrow 00:38:07.746$ you probably know is considered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:38:07.746 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.716$ a validation of the therapeutic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:38:09.716 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.995$ targeting the kinase world when this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}38{:}11{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13{.}632$ happens and essentially we think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}38{:}13.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.961$ it's saying the same thing here and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}38{:}15{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18{.}353$ we found a both in patients with MLO
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:38:18.353 --> 00:38:20.000 rearranged an NPM one mutant AML.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- 00:38:20.000 00:38:21.799 So this is the, if you will,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00:38:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.290$ the gold standard for that validation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}38{:}24{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26{.}453$ of a the rapeutic target in patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857
- $00{:}38{:}26{.}453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}797$ that that that you put so much pressure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00:38:28.797 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.870$ on the target that the cancer mutates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}38{:}30{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33{.}075$ It's such that it's no longer effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

00:38:33.080 - > 00:38:35.117 I'll show you why in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

00:38:35.120 --> 00:38:37.280 And of course as most of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}38{:}37{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}368$ we can have to have combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}38{:}39{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41{.}029$ anyway and we were able to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.647701627142857

 $00{:}38{:}41.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43.037$ we can do the same thing in PDX models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

00:38:43.040 --> 00:38:44.660 So we take our MLL rearranged

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}38{:}44.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.200$ or NPM one mutant models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}38{:}46{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48{.}671$ treat them with in an inhibitor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}38{:}48.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.624$ in some cases but not all they will

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}896$ develop the mutations that we see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}38{:}54{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}760$ in the patients and mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:38:56.760 \rightarrow 00:38:58.720$ we we know how this is working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:38:58.720 \rightarrow 00:39:00.560$ I won't go into all the details but

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:00.560 \rightarrow 00:39:02.680$ this is just one chip seek experiment.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688
- $00:39:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.507$ In the wild type setting you can

00:39:04.507 --> 00:39:06.280 see Menin comes off of chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.086$ this is chip seek increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:08.086 \rightarrow 00:39:09.880$ concentrations of the Menin inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:09.880 \dashrightarrow 00:39:12.280$ But if you have mutated Menin in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.160$ cell line, it no longer comes off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39{:}14.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.632$ And we know now biochemically it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:16.632 \rightarrow 00:39:19.105$ because the binding affinity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}39{:}19{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21{.}000$ Menin inhibitor has been shifted

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:21.000 \rightarrow 00:39:23.299$ significantly as a result of those mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

00:39:23.299 --> 00:39:23.998 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}39{:}24.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.044$ we know this now at the crystal

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:26.044 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.566$ structure level and we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}39{:}27{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29{.}036$ exactly why that's the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00:39:29.040 \dashrightarrow 00:39:32.316$ So here's revuminib bound to Menin.

00:39:32.320 --> 00:39:34.720 You can see over here on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71167688

 $00{:}39{:}34{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35{.}719$ these amino acids

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:39:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.385$ M327T349-G331, all these are mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:39:40.385 - > 00:39:43.840 that have been found in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:39:43.840 --> 00:39:45.264 Interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:39:45.264 \rightarrow 00:39:48.784$ the wild type MLL protein does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}39{:}48.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.860$ use those amino acids to anchor

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:39:50.933 - > 00:39:53.033 and that's actually an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:39:53.033 \dashrightarrow 00:39:55.133$ concept because if you develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}39{:}55{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57{.}632$ the mutation that where men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}39{:}57{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}080$ the MLL can no longer interact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01{.}760$ those cells won't survive that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00{:}40{:}01{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03{.}128$ So that that that's not an

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00:40:03.128 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.040$ option for the cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}04{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06{.}044$ They have to mutate something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}06{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08{.}099$ doesn't affect MLL but does affect

 $00:40:08.099 \rightarrow 00:40:10.073$ the binding of the inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:10.080 \rightarrow 00:40:12.400$ And in fact that's exactly what they've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:40:12.400 --> 00:40:14.906 They've mutated this region of men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:14.906 \rightarrow 00:40:17.516$ that has plays no role in MLL binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00:40:17.516 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.840$ And the way that that happens is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:19.911 \rightarrow 00:40:21.886$ essentially right here the yellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}21.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.105$ is the the min inhibitor bound to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:40:24.105 - 00:40:26.538 wild type min and the purple is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00{:}40{:}26{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28{.}434$ min inhibitor bound to mutant min.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:28.440 \rightarrow 00:40:30.568$ And and you can see essentially what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:30.568 \rightarrow 00:40:32.838$ called a steric clash which so the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00{:}40{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35{.}157$ the min inhibitor is pushed out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}35{.}157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}250$ little bit here because of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}37.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.658$ changes in the amino acid and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}39{.}732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}212$ leads to a 10 to 100 fold decrease

 $00:40:42.212 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.998$ in affinity of this molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}40{:}43.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.508$ So this is I find this amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:46.508 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.517$ because it's rare that you get to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:49.517 \rightarrow 00:40:51.357$ molecularly the difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:51.360 \rightarrow 00:40:54.048$ response and resistance which is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:40:54.048 \rightarrow 00:40:56.896$ essentially a few angstroms here of

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00:40:56.896 \rightarrow 00:41:00.592$ this Menon inhibitor binding to to Menon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04{.}495$ So this we're we're continuing to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}04{.}495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06{.}440$ on mechanisms of resistance in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.192$ five or last five or 10 minutes here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.876$ I'll tell you about some others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:41:10.880 --> 00:41:12.980 but just getting back to a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

00:41:12.980 --> 00:41:15.279 bit to that comment I made about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}15{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17{.}685$ dose escalation and stopping your

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:17.685 \rightarrow 00:41:20.090$ dose escalation before you perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:20.165 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.360$ get to full potential efficacy.

 $00{:}41{:}22{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25{.}184$ And now we see that we're developing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:25.184 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.290$ patients are developing mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:27.290 \rightarrow 00:41:30.719$ all they do is shift the curve a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:41:30.720 --> 00:41:33.267 It does make you wonder if you'd had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}33.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.146$ higher dose and a higher concentration

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}35{.}146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}540$ earlier on if you might have prevented

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:37.602 \rightarrow 00:41:39.960$ the cells from developing those mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:41:39.960 --> 00:41:43.230 So we with send X luckily providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:43.230 \rightarrow 00:41:45.090$ us food now with varying amounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:41:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.839$ of the min an inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.368$ We were able to do a dose response

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:41:49.368 --> 00:41:51.907 experiment in a PDX model with increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}51{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54{.}480$ concentrations of the min an inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}41{:}54{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}454$ And you can see here that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00{:}41{:}56{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57{.}680$ the lowest concentration point,

00:41:57.680 --> 00:42:00.680 O3 3%, you see no response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02{.}738$ At the minimal the medium concentration

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}42{:}02{.}738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05{.}648$ here you do see a response and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:05.648 \rightarrow 00:42:07.856$ leukemias progress and the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}42{:}07{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}476$ of them will have developed the mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.925$ If you then go threefold

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:42:11.925 --> 00:42:14.120 more of them in an inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.680$ you get a much longer response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.226$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:16.226 \rightarrow 00:42:18.843$ maybe some of them here are cured of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}42{:}18.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.075$ disease and when the resistance occurs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}42{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.040$ it occurs without the min and mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00:42:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.051$ So in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00:42:24.051 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.736$ a higher concentration does at

NOTE Confidence: 0.958237584444445

 $00:42:25.736 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.101$ least in this model prevent the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

00:42:28.101 -> 00:42:29.571 development of those mutations.

00:42:29.571 --> 00:42:31.830 So you can see if you stop your dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:31.893 \rightarrow 00:42:34.088$ escalation right here because you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:34.088 \rightarrow 00:42:35.405$ getting differentiation syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:35.405 \rightarrow 00:42:37.516$ and somebody tells you you have to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00{:}42{:}37{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}150$ you're actually setting up a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95823758444445

 $00:42:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.780$ situation where you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:42:40.837 \rightarrow 00:42:43.799$ get acquired resistance mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:42:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.036$ Having said all that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}42{:}45.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.890$ we're still getting resistance way out

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:42:46.944 \rightarrow 00:42:49.160$ here with the single agent at higher doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:42:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.624$ So what's that all about and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:42:51.624 \rightarrow 00:42:53.640$ I'll quickly summarize this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}42{:}53{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}315$ Essentially what we're seeing here

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}42{:}55{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}382$ is that the leukemia cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}42{:}57{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59{.}057$ this is another phenomenon that's

00:42:59.057 - 00:43:01.089 known in other settings now are

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02{.}997$ not mutating the men and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:43:02.997 -> 00:43:04.348 actually changing their state

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:04.348 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.826$ significantly to lead to a state that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:06.826 \rightarrow 00:43:09.440$ we don't understand completely yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.474$ but where they're now no longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:11.474 \rightarrow 00:43:13.760$ dependent on that Hawks niece program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:13.760 \rightarrow 00:43:15.512$ And and in fact interestingly they

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}43{:}15{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16{.}680$ look much more differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}43{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.563$ The leukemias themselves almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:43:19.563 --> 00:43:21.778 look like monocytes in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:43:21.778 --> 00:43:24.440 of their their flow cytometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.810$ but definitely will transplant the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.680$ disease from 1 bow to the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.000$ So they're not monocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:43:30.000 \rightarrow 00:43:31.782$ but so we're trying to understand

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:31.782 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.386$ this mechanism mode of adaptive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00{:}43{:}33{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35{.}156$ resistance a little bit better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- 00:43:35.160 --> 00:43:37.200 Now have developed a model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.616$ a cell line model for it and have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00{:}43{:}39.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.480$ developed some PDX models as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.456$ But the cell line model actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:44.456 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.938$ lets us move to what everyone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:46.938 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.930$ likes to do now which is a genome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00{:}43{:}48{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50{.}519$ wide CRISPR screen to say OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.614$ how did the dependence do the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:52.614 \rightarrow 00:43:54.010$ dependencies change when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:54.073 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.879$ go from one state to the next?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- $00:43:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.942$ And to summarize,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- 00:43:56.942 --> 00:43:59.851 a lot of analysis in fact they do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727
- 00:43:59.851 > 00:44:02.683 appear to and this is on a subtle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}02.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.976$ transferase CAT6A or MAZ which

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:44:04.976 --> 00:44:07.396 also rearranged rarely in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}580$ leukemias now becomes seems to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.040$ relevant in this in this setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.464$ So here is just an experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}15{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16{.}676$ showing you that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:44:16.680 --> 00:44:19.596 So we developed resistant leukemia cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.357$ that don't have the MIN in mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.878$ They're resents to the MIN inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}22{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24{.}320$ Here it is in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}24{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26{.}301$ But if you treat with the cat

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:26.301 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.000$ 6A in this case guide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.300$ it re sensitizes the the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.930$ line to the MIN inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}33{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}480$ But interestingly and interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.125$ the CAT 6A by itself in the

 $00{:}44{:}38{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39{.}714$ absence of the MIN inhibitor has

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:39.714 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.358$ a little bit of an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}41{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44{.}750$ It's really something about the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:44.750 \rightarrow 00:44:47.516$ between CAT6A and Menon that is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.612$ So what's CAT6A?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:44:48.612 --> 00:44:50.796 It's a histone has still transferase

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}50.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.073$ as well modifies histone H3

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:44:53.073 \rightarrow 00:44:55.438$ on various lysine shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}180$ And if you do now chip seek in either O

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}44{:}58{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}278$ sensitive leukemia cell lines or resistant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}01{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05{.}706$ the MLL Menon and CAT6A chip seek

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}05{.}706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}344$ data looks very similar and in so here

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}201$ both in the sensitive or the resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}10.201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.406$ and this is just showing that more

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:45:12.406 --> 00:45:14.477 broadly so men and Catsix is there,

 $00:45:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.240$ it's on the scene and it becomes seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:45:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.843$ to become much more important when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:45:19.843 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.760$ cells adapt to the men and inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:45:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.768$ Needless to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}23.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.784$ we're now doing the experiments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}25{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28{.}594$ see if this combination in mice will

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:45:28.594 \rightarrow 00:45:33.118$ reverse the resistance in patient samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}33{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34{.}995$ It won't reverse the resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}34{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}120$ to the mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:45:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.230$ but it might reverse the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}38{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40{.}076$ resistance to the adapted form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}40.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.400$ But the men inhibitor works well enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00{:}45{:}42{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44{.}115$ it's hard to generate that adaptive form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

 $00:45:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.997$ so it's taking us a little while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905408497272727

00:45:47.000 --> 00:45:50.393 I'm going to skip this just for time's sake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:45:50.400 \rightarrow 00:45:54.064$ but to and just to summarize saying that

 $00:45:54.064 \rightarrow 00:45:56.644$ these complexes here, the .1 complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:45:56.644 \rightarrow 00:45:58.196$ the Super elongation complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:45:58.200 \rightarrow 00:46:01.856$ I've been talking about MLL and CAT6A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:46:01.856 --> 00:46:03.680 If you look broadly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}46{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06.088$ some of you probably know that Broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:46:06.088 --> 00:46:07.843 Institute's been doing broad CRISPR

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:46:07.843 --> 00:46:10.153 screens on five 600 cancer cell lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:10.160 \rightarrow 00:46:12.015$ and they make all this data publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}46{:}12.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.599$ available in many different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.120$ You can search that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.410$ If you ask what genes have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.499$ similar dependency to Menin

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:20.499 \rightarrow 00:46:23.399$ throughout all of cancer space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.600$.1 is the next thing on the list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}46{:}25.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.090$ And then and then these other

00:46:28.090 --> 00:46:30.475 proteins here E&L which is part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:30.475 \rightarrow 00:46:32.520$ the Super elongation complex CAT6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.619$ A point being these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}46{:}34{.}619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36{.}784$ it's clear that these complexes

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:36.784 \rightarrow 00:46:38.840$ are working together somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:38.840 \rightarrow 00:46:41.360$ throughout many cancer cell lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:46:41.360 --> 00:46:43.160 Leukemia for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:43.160 \rightarrow 00:46:46.412$ but also probably others as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}46{:}46{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49{.}756$ And in fact that led us to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:49.756 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.180$ publication for actually for

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.918$ about a year and a half ago now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:46:53.920 --> 00:46:56.240 Matt Hemming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:46:56.240 --> 00:46:58.460 a paediatric or medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:46:58.460 \dashrightarrow 00:47:00.236$ fellow was interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}47{:}00{.}236$ --> $00{:}47{:}01{.}409$ gastrointestinal stromal tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}47{:}01{.}409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03{.}719$ and just did a genome wide CRISPR

- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00{:}47{:}03.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.816$ screen because that's how you start
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- 00:47:05.816 --> 00:47:07.800 every project these days it seems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00{:}47{:}07{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10{.}184$ And in fact cat 6A was one of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00:47:10.184 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.478$ the top hits in that screen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00{:}47{:}12{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15{.}680$ And then we looked a little bit more in more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00:47:15.762 \rightarrow 00:47:18.400$ detail .1 and Menin were in there as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00:47:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.400$ And the bottom line is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00:47:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.072$ is it appears that this CAT6,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- 00:47:23.072 --> 00:47:27.688 A .1 Menin complex cooperation is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00:47:27.688 \rightarrow 00:47:31.560$ important in this type of cancer as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00:47:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.240$ And we don't understand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- 00:47:33.240 --> 00:47:36.018 you might ask why should ask why?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00{:}47{:}36.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.482$ We don't completely understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00{:}47{:}37{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}960$ why it's the case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455
- $00{:}47{:}38{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41{.}725$ But it does appear that when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:47:41.725 --> 00:47:44.160 inhibit CAT6A and men and in cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}47{:}44.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.688$ lines or in mice or in PDX or

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}47{:}47.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.172$ xenograft models that a program

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:47:50.172 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.302$ that Matt had described earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}47{:}52.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.046$ driven by transcription factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:47:54.046 --> 00:47:55.924 like one called hand one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:47:55.924 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.150$ which is known to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:47:58.227 \rightarrow 00:48:00.280$ for controlling lineage associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}00{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}680$ gene expression in this cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.560$ certain goes down pretty rapidly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}04{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06{.}605$ So there's something about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:06.605 \rightarrow 00:48:08.241$ developmental program and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:08.241 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.655$ cancer as well that seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:10.655 --> 00:48:11.951 dependent on these complexes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.897$ but you don't really see it as

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}14.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.679$ dramatically as in leukemia until you

 $00:48:16.679 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.879$ start to combine the small molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:18.880 --> 00:48:20.000 Many will do a little bit of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:20.000 --> 00:48:21.800 Mos cats, XA will do a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:21.800 \rightarrow 00:48:22.800$ but when you combine them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.474$ you really get a a dramatic response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.728$ So the point being that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:26.728 --> 00:48:28.000 looking at this in leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:28.000 --> 00:48:30.266 but we're starting to move into

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}30{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33{.}942$ some other cancers as well to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:33.942 \rightarrow 00:48:35.998$ indeed these developmental regulators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:36.000 - 00:48:38.800 if you will, might be relevant there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}38{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}896$ I just summarized this data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}41.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.960$ I'll end with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:48:43.960 --> 00:48:46.180 Pfizer just published a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.956$ about six months ago.

 $00:48:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.125$ They've now developed a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:50.125 \rightarrow 00:48:52.240$ grade CAT6A inhibitor and in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.436$ this small molecule is in phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.656$ one clinical trials in estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}432$ receptor positive breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:48:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.757$ And it looks like from this paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:49:00.757 --> 00:49:03.373 and we've now done a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00:49:03.373 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.308$ experiments as well that somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

 $00{:}49{:}05{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}063$ Menon is supporting the ER driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.847159214545455

00:49:08.063 - 00:49:09.437 gene expression program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}09{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12{.}554$ And Needless to say, I mean sorry Cat 6A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

00:49:12.560 --> 00:49:13.970 Needless to say, we're now looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.878$ at this Cat 6A men in combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}15{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17{.}941$ And again as much as like that we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}17{.}941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20{.}674$ in GIST, it looks like this the ER

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:20.674 \rightarrow 00:49:23.009$ driven program is highly dependent on

 $00:49:23.009 \rightarrow 00:49:25.469$ those two complexes and we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:25.469 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.156$ to work through that now as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:28.160 \rightarrow 00:49:30.680$ So to summarize what I've told you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}30{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}136$ the MEN in MLO complex is a relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:34.136 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.360$ therapeutic target and snippet subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}37{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}175$ AM LS and that acquired somatic mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:40.175 \rightarrow 00:49:43.038$ in men and are a mechanism of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.206$ not the only mechanism of resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:45.206 \rightarrow 00:49:47.646$ but that clearly validates men and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:47.646 \rightarrow 00:49:49.518$ a therapeutic target in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:49.520 \rightarrow 00:49:51.991$ We're continuing to work on the various

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:49:51.991 \rightarrow 00:49:54.426$ types of resistance and we do think

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}54{.}426$ --> $00{:}49{:}56{.}071$ that rational combinations like men

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}56{.}071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58{.}003$ and CAT6A or men and other things with

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}49{:}58{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}539$ a lot going on in terms of trying to

 $00:50:00.539 \rightarrow 00:50:01.760$ understand which combinations may

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}01.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.040$ prevent development of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}03{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05{.}147$ And perhaps the most exciting in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:50:05.147 \rightarrow 00:50:07.578$ longer term is if we can understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}07{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09{.}408$ where these mechanisms might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}09{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.078$ important even beyond leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:50:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.160$ And I think they're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:50:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.520$ be opportunities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:50:12.520 \rightarrow 00:50:15.112$ but we certainly still have work to do to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:50:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.056$ to prove that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}16.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.240$ So I've talked much about the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00:50:18.309 \dashrightarrow 00:50:20.640$ in in the lab that have done the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}20{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22{.}800$ These are our collaborators at Dana

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

00:50:22.800 --> 00:50:24.972 Farber actually Nathaniel Gray now

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}24{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28{.}040$ at Stanford and Ross I mentioned and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333

 $00{:}50{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.961$ Chang and Richard who work with Ross

- NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333
- $00:50:30.961 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.095$ at MSK and some of our collaborators
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333
- 00:50:34.095 --> 00:50:35.461 throughout HMS community.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333
- $00:50:35.461 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.604$ So thank you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333
- $00{:}50{:}36{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}890$ happy to take any questions and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333
- $00:50:38.959 \dashrightarrow 00:50:40.999$ thanks for thanks for staying.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.812525483333333
- $00{:}50{:}41.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.080$ All
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83906405
- $00:50:48.760 \rightarrow 00:50:50.820$ right, absolutely spectacular grand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83906405
- $00:50:50.820 \rightarrow 00:50:53.645$ rounds really going from basic science to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83906405
- $00{:}50{:}53.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55.946$ the patient and back and forth and it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83906405
- $00{:}50{:}55{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59{.}000$ absolutely spectacular. Thank you. Yeah
- NOTE Confidence: 0.53273008
- $00:50:59.000 \rightarrow 00:51:01.320$ and and great talk. So I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778
- $00{:}51{:}01{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03{.}816$ the main issue and you know as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778
- $00:51:03.816 \rightarrow 00:51:05.449$ clinical investigator in my mind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778
- $00{:}51{:}05{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07{.}034$ with all epigenetic the rapies is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778
- $00{:}51{:}07{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}021$ is the the rapeutic window as you were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:09.021 \rightarrow 00:51:11.157$ saying like how do you actually disrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00{:}51{:}11{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12{.}540$ translational or transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.300$ programs that are relevant to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:15.300 \rightarrow 00:51:17.597$ leukemia but not to the normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00{:}51{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.060$ So for example with this index

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00{:}51{:}20.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.893$ particular drug was this as a result

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:22.893 \rightarrow 00:51:25.085$ of screening of thousands of molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

00:51:25.085 - 00:51:27.035 and because as you mentioned it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:27.040 \rightarrow 00:51:28.622$ it seems like to disrupt only where

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:28.622 \rightarrow 00:51:29.919$ it's relevant to the leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00{:}51{:}29{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}086$ but it's not disrupting the MLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00{:}51{:}32.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.760$ interactions that are important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:33.760 \rightarrow 00:51:35.640$ normal hematopoiesis and other functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:35.640 \rightarrow 00:51:37.915$ So that how did this transition happen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

00:51:37.920 --> 00:51:40.016 It's just a matter of luck or is

NOTE Confidence: 0.775831832777778

 $00:51:40.016 \rightarrow 00:51:42.116$ it tons of screening of other yeah

 $00:51:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.400$ you know the old saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:51:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.392$ better lucky than good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

00:51:44.400 --> 00:51:48.088 I I think that that's what we found here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00:51:48.088 \rightarrow 00:51:50.392$ meaning that for some reason and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:51:50.392 \dashrightarrow 00:51:52.800$ we're looking into this men and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00:51:52.800 \dashrightarrow 00:51:55.440$ only critical for MLL wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:51:55.440 \rightarrow 00:51:57.805$ Obviously this mechanism probably wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00:51:57.805 \rightarrow 00:52:00.170$ developed during evolution for MLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}00{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03{.}080$ fusions to localize to certain loci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.996$ So MLL as I mentioned is a monstrous protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:06.000 \rightarrow 00:52:08.758$ It has many domains that combine chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:08.760 \rightarrow 00:52:10.923$ So it's very likely and there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}10{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13{.}335$ data to support this that different

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:13.335 \rightarrow 00:52:15.710$ domains or different binding partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}15.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17.697$ determine localization to different

 $00:52:17.697 \dashrightarrow 00:52:19.158$ places throughout chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00{:}52{:}19{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21{.}348$ And it just so happens in this it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00{:}52{:}21{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}172$ kind of was predicted by some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:23.172 \rightarrow 00:52:25.121$ early Cleary work that Menon was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:25.121 \rightarrow 00:52:26.800$ unique dependency in these leukemias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.280$ Well that's because it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.728$ intersecting exactly with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00:52:33.728 \dashrightarrow 00:52:36.970$ important MLL fusion driven targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

00:52:36.970 --> 00:52:38.595 We don't know the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00:52:38.595 \rightarrow 00:52:39.840$ mechanism for that yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00{:}52{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}611$ but basically it's a long way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

00:52:41.611 - 00:52:43.444 saying we think there's a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.84954777111111

 $00{:}52{:}43{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45{.}134$ valent interaction between MLL and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}45{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47{.}071$ chromatin and Menon is only important

NOTE Confidence: 0.849547771111111

 $00{:}52{:}47.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.877$ for a subset of that interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578

 $00:52:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.488$ Scott, fantastic talk.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00{:}52{:}51{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}942$ And the the question about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:52:52.942 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.878$ the solar cancer part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:52:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.768$ so you alluded to that you are it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00{:}52{:}55{.}768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}752$ great to see that you and other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:52:57.752 \rightarrow 00:52:59.640$ companies are looking into this aspect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:52:59.640 \rightarrow 00:53:02.076$ So, so we know that oxygens are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:53:02.076 \rightarrow 00:53:03.940$ often deregulated in solar cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00{:}53{:}03{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}196$ as well in addition to leukemia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00{:}53{:}06{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08{.}996$ So what happens to men inhibitor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:53:09.000 \rightarrow 00:53:12.240$ the men and MLL inhibitor monotherapies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:53:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.566$ do they have any efficacy in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:53:13.566 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.077$ solar cancer or you have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:53:15.077 \rightarrow 00:53:16.221$ really using combinations before
- NOTE Confidence: 0.611521578
- $00:53:16.221 \rightarrow 00:53:17.880$ you can see something happening?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714
- $00:53:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.560$ Yeah, it's good question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

00:53:19.560 --> 00:53:21.484 So actually it's a good point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:21.484 \rightarrow 00:53:23.746$ There are a number of say subtypes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:23.746 \rightarrow 00:53:25.558$ lung cancer that express HOX genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.280$ We actually haven't looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.000$ at that probably should,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}53{:}29{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31{.}758$ but in the both in the gas trointestinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}53{:}31{.}758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}808$ stromal tumors and the ER

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:33.808 \rightarrow 00:53:35.798$ positive breast cancer cell lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}636$ the men inhibitor will slow their growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:39.640 \rightarrow 00:53:41.656$ So they have some effect and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

00:53:41.656 - 00:53:43.880 is it looks like through somehow

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}53{:}43{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46{.}040$ modulating the ER driven program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}53{:}46{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}48{.}146$ but it's much more dramatic both

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

00:53:48.146 --> 00:53:49.966 the gene expression changes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:49.966 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.746$ the inhibition of proliferation if

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:51.746 \rightarrow 00:53:53.564$ you combine the minute inhibitor

- NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714
- $00:53:53.564 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.520$ and and the cat 6A inhibitor.

 $00:53:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.480$ So how that works, we don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:53:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.080$ we don't completely understand yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}01{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}385$ It's a way of saying and and predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:04.385 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.275$ and hopefully get the word out

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:06.275 \rightarrow 00:54:08.280$ before all the trials get shut down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.005$ that the single agents might

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

00:54:10.005 --> 00:54:11.040 have some activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.937$ but I suspect they won't be home

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}12{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14{.}954$ runs and the companies have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:14.954 \rightarrow 00:54:16.854$ have the wherewithal to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

00:54:16.854 --> 00:54:18.880 move forward to the combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:18.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.620$ And those of you who've done this NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:21.620 \rightarrow 00:54:23.120$ before know that can be difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.079$ So we're going to try to get the

 $00:54:26.079 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.752$ word out that you should move

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}27.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.920$ the combinations quickly before

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00:54:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.200$ people lose interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}32{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}234$ There's a lot of psychology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}116$ sociology that goes into keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}116$ --> $00{:}54{:}37{.}956$ the drug companies interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}37{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39{.}976$ so that's a little bit of a soapbox to

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}39{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41{.}916$ say the single agents do something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60625714

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44{.}280$ The combination definitely looks better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

00:54:46.280 --> 00:54:47.612 Manoj, a great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00{:}54{:}47.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49.610$ My question is about the specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00{:}54{:}49.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}51.671$ of both the MLL fusion proteins and

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00{:}54{:}51{.}671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}956$ the NPM 1C that you alluded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00{:}54{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}560$ And Amar was also asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00{:}54{:}55{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}074$ So I think you probably worked on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00:54:58.074 \dashrightarrow 00:54:59.838$ on the cancer discovery latest paper,

 $00{:}54{:}59{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02{.}152$ but most of them also seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

00:55:02.152 --> 00:55:03.830 overlapping with like say PRC 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00:55:03.830 \rightarrow 00:55:05.782$ targets or you know are there other

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00:55:05.782 \rightarrow 00:55:07.762$ mechanisms you think are relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00{:}55{:}07{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09{.}900$ why these are so tightly overlapping

NOTE Confidence: 0.775965477222222

 $00:55:09.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.800$ the fusion proteins and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

00:55:12.160 --> 00:55:13.798 yeah, so, so it's a good point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.068$ So they do overlap significantly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

00:55:16.068 --> 00:55:19.619 PRC 2 targets and you know as you may

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

00:55:19.619 --> 00:55:23.120 remember the the this has been predicted NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:55:23.120 \rightarrow 00:55:25.398$ for 3 decades from the Drosophila work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}25{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27{.}775$ The initial Drosophila work show

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}27.775$ --> $00{:}55{:}30.050$ Polycom and Trithorax actually are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:55:30.050 \rightarrow 00:55:32.175$ known to be genetically genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}32.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35.425$ ant agonists of one another and the the

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37{.}800$ trithor ax slash MLL complex controls

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}37{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40{.}192$ developmental genes that the PRC two

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:55:40.192 \dashrightarrow 00:55:42.600$ or Polycom complex wants to shut off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:55:42.600 \rightarrow 00:55:46.211$ So in absolutely this is A these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}46{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48{.}655$ proteins complexes MLL probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}48.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.468$ Catsix A are inventing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}50{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}52{.}526$ We've shown this in some other settings

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:55:52.526 \rightarrow 00:55:54.568$ are preventing the Polycom complexes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}54{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56{.}880$ coming in and repressing gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:55:56.880 \rightarrow 00:55:58.872$ So the the way we think that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}55{:}58.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.473$ is working is during hematopoietic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}56{:}00{.}473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03{.}344$ development as you go from stem cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

00:56:03.344 --> 00:56:05.678 progenitors to fully developed myeloid cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:56:05.680 \dashrightarrow 00:56:08.228$ the Polycom complex at least for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}56{:}08.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.081$ subset of developmental loci are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00{:}56{:}10.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.151$ shutting those programs off and the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282
- $00{:}56{:}12.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.358$ MLL fusion won't let them do that.

 $00{:}56{:}14.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}16.590$ So they're ant agonizing and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

00:56:16.590 --> 00:56:19.311 Newt 98 fusions and probably NPM

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

 $00:56:19.311 \rightarrow 00:56:21.759$ one are antagonizing PRC 2 section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86876282

00:56:21.760 --> 00:56:22.000 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

00:56:23.160 -> 00:56:24.205 awe some. I'm going to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00:56:24.205 \rightarrow 00:56:25.719$ it over to you in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00{:}56{:}25{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}27{.}477$ We have an online question which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00{:}56{:}27.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28.797$ think you probably partially answered

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00{:}56{:}28.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.877$ and that is what is the mechanism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00{:}56{:}30{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32{.}758$ gene specific targeting of MLL EF9

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00:56:32.758 \rightarrow 00:56:34.851$ and similarly what you think is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00:56:34.851 \rightarrow 00:56:36.985$ underlying mechanism for the gene target

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00{:}56{:}36{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}760$ specificity of men and inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831861783333333

 $00{:}56{:}38{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40{.}400$ So for the online person, yeah.

 $00:56:40.400 \rightarrow 00:56:42.632$ So it's a good, it's a very good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}56{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}44.760$ We do it. I don't the bottom line is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00:56:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.900$ is we don't know the answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00:56:45.946 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.038$ to the second part of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}56{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.200$ I mean that's the that's at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00:56:50.200 \rightarrow 00:56:51.640$ Probably the most critical question

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00:56:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.810$ is why is it that Menon's only

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00:56:53.810 \rightarrow 00:56:55.635$ important for localization of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}56{:}55{.}635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}57{.}560$ MLL compacts to certain loci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

00:56:57.560 --> 00:56:58.792 So Needless to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}56{:}58{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00{.}332$ we're looking at various aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00:57:00.332 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.548$ of those loci to try to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}57{:}02{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04{.}399$ what that's what that's all about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}57{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07{.}200$ MLL targeting to chromatin broadly has many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

00:57:07.200 --> 00:57:08.600 probably has many mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556

 $00{:}57{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.052$ some of its direct there's a domain

- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00{:}57{:}11.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.040$ on MLL that binds to what's called
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00{:}57{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.716$ a CPG island which is upstream of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:15.716 \rightarrow 00:57:17.352$ many transcriptional start sites.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:17.360 \rightarrow 00:57:18.596$ Menon plays a role.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:18.596 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.141$ There are other accessory proteins
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:20.141 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.080$ that play roles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.424$ So I think the cell has just given
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:23.424 \rightarrow 00:57:25.249$ itself many options to figure out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00{:}57{:}25{.}249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28{.}008$ where to put MLL and and each of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.853490505555556
- $00:57:28.008 \rightarrow 00:57:29.876$ those mechanisms slightly different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827995068
- $00:57:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.480$ We have a trainee question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7926859
- $00{:}57{:}33{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34{.}680$ So my name is trainee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286
- 00:57:36.880 --> 00:57:38.171 I just wanted to follow my name used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286
- $00{:}57{:}38{.}171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39{.}994$ to be that at some point you graduated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286
- $00{:}57{:}39{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42{.}210$ I just want to follow up on your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00:57:42.274 \rightarrow 00:57:44.398$ comment about combination therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00{:}57{:}44{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45{.}992$ So I specifically wanted to ask is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00:57:45.992 \rightarrow 00:57:47.320$ any thought that men and inhibition NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00:57:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.054$ could convert these resistant like

NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00{:}57{:}49.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.489$ subtypes especially in the pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00:57:50.489 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.268$ setting to a chemosensitive form if NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00{:}57{:}52{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}53{.}723$ there's thought of combining with

NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00:57:53.723 \dashrightarrow 00:57:55.824$ chemo to then re sensitize them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.668171385714286

 $00:57:55.824 \rightarrow 00:57:57.400$ potentially cure those patients. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00:57:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.815$ it's it's a good short answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00{:}57{:}59{.}815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02{.}360$ we don't know the answer to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00:58:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.979$ I I think there's a lot to be learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00:58:04.979 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.077$ and the beauty of having now multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00:58:08.077 \rightarrow 00:58:10.680$ small molecule selective small molecules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00:58:10.680 \rightarrow 00:58:14.040$ we can do those types of experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714

 $00{:}58{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.690$ but the short answer is we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888061219285714
- 00:58:15.690 00:58:17.040 haven't haven't gotten there yet.

00:58:20.000 --> 00:58:21.029 Yeah, great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

00:58:21.029 --> 00:58:22.744 Have you seen any phenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00{:}58{:}22.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24.202$ differences in the fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00:58:24.202 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.800$ partners with MML or MLL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

00:58:25.800 --> 00:58:26.800 You mentioned there's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00{:}58{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.454$ 100 different ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00:58:27.454 \rightarrow 00:58:29.160$ Do they all have the same kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00:58:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.280$ you know, break points?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00:58:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.400$ Does it change expression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00:58:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.200$ Do you see any variability

NOTE Confidence: 0.86971958

 $00{:}58{:}32{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}240$ in the kind of those fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.887931306111111

 $00{:}58{:}33{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35{.}724$ partners? Yeah, it's a good question

NOTE Confidence: 0.887931306111111

 $00{:}58{:}35{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}38{.}265$ that's been asked for many decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.887931306111111

 $00:58:38.265 \rightarrow 00:58:40.677$ and not been answered very well,

 $00:58:40.680 \rightarrow 00:58:42.144$ at least in patient samples because

NOTE Confidence: 0.887931306111111

 $00:58:42.144 \rightarrow 00:58:43.716$ it's hard to get enough patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.887931306111111

 $00{:}58{:}43.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}45.620$ samples of these subtypes to to ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.887931306111111

 $00:58:45.620 \rightarrow 00:58:47.080$ really do that experiment. Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}58{:}49{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50{.}880$ at least for the fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}52{.}518$ the different fusion AM LS we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}58{:}52{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53{.}989$ as sessed and that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00:58:53.989 \dashrightarrow 00:58:55.670$ assessed in patients, it doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58{.}155$ It's not clear that the fusion partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00:58:58.160 \rightarrow 00:59:01.800$ is determining men and responsiveness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}59{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03{.}740$ Is the fusion partner influencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}59{:}03.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}05.680$ the phenotype of the leukemia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00:59:05.680 \dashrightarrow 00:59:09.500$ I think that question still still

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00{:}59{:}09{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12{.}270$ open and there's aren't enough good

NOTE Confidence: 0.895308128

 $00:59:12.270 \rightarrow 00:59:14.240$ models to really answer that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69459664625

 $00:59:18.360 \rightarrow 00:59:20.560$ If you delete the at least for AF9,

 $00:59:20.560 \rightarrow 00:59:23.080$ if you delete the C turn much of the AF9,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69459664625

 $00:59:23.080 \rightarrow 00:59:24.760$ it will no longer be transforming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69459664625

 $00:59:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.800$ So that fusion partner is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:29.080 \rightarrow 00:59:30.599$ and and in the AF9 setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00{:}59{:}30{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}31{.}965$ we think it's important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:31.965 \rightarrow 00:59:33.890$ that's the anchor to drop to pull

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:33.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.155$ all those other complexes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:35.160 \dashrightarrow 00:59:37.477$ But it's that's a nice simple answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.945$ It's not that simple because

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:38.945 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.410$ some of the fusion proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00{:}59{:}40{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42{.}038$ don't bind to those complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68397459

 $00:59:42.040 \rightarrow 00:59:44.520$ So what they're doing is, is less clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703975

 $00:59:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.225$ beautiful talk. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703975

 $00{:}59{:}48.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50.200$ Obviously there are lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703975

 $00:59:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.720$ other chromatin complexes,

00:59:51.720 --> 00:59:53.800 switch, sniff, polychrome, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751410632222222

 $00{:}59{:}53{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}400$ Any any thoughts on those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.751410632222222

00:59:56.400 --> 00:59:58.110 Are you looking at any exploring

NOTE Confidence: 0.751410632222222

 $00:59:58.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.600$ any of those other chromatin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.751410632222222

01:00:02.080 --> 01:00:03.800 Yeah, accessibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.751410632222222

 $01:00:03.800 \rightarrow 01:00:05.360$ Epigenetic complexes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}05{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10{.}899$ Sure. So we have over time looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:10.899 \rightarrow 01:00:15.020$ the Polycom complex mostly in leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}15{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17{.}778$ and it for whatever reason and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}17.778 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}19.388$ going to be right contradictory to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}19.388 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}21.152$ I answered one of my previous questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}21{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.645$ The Polycom complex does seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}23.645 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}26.864$ to be important in the continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:26.864 \rightarrow 01:00:30.200$ proliferation of many types of leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:30.200 \rightarrow 01:00:34.004$ How that's working and why hard to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}34.004 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}37.250$ but the small molecule PRC 2

- NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562
- $01:00:37.250 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.400$ inhibitors or or EZH 2 inhibitors

01:00:40.400 --> 01:00:41.856 don't have tremendous activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:41.856 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.474$ So whether or not it's the enzymatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

01:00:44.474 --> 01:00:47.064 activity versus some other part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

01:00:47.064 --> 01:00:49.439 complex at least in leukemia anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

01:00:49.440 --> 01:00:50.352 I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}50{.}352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52{.}480$ And I think that probably it brings

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:52.548 \rightarrow 01:00:55.706$ up a good point that and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:55.706 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.917$ done this in the past as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}00{:}56{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}59{.}433$ but we have to be careful about

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:00:59.433 \rightarrow 01:01:01.572$ the thought process that enzymatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

01:01:01.572 --> 01:01:03.780 inhibition of a protein in one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:03.780 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.780$ these complexes is the same thing as

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}05{.}780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07{.}595$ complete interactivation of the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:07.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.280$ It's not and we now seen that

 $01:01:09.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.000$ many different times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:10.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.982$ So the enzymatic part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:12.982 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.473$ proteins is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}14.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}16.030$ but there's probably a structural

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

01:01:16.030 --> 01:01:17.895 component to this that when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}17.895 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}19.449$ take the protein completely out and NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}19{.}449 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}21{.}514$ this is the same way for EZH 2 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:21.514 \rightarrow 01:01:23.398$ the changes are much more dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

01:01:23.400 --> 01:01:25.452 So it gets to the question as to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}25{.}452 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}27{.}274$ his some modifications are doing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}27.274 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30.038$ that gets to even more deep and complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:30.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.840$ But so long answer to yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:31.840 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.840$ we've looked at the complexes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}32.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}35.876$ we haven't looked much at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01{:}01{:}35.876 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}37.394$ chromatin remodeling complexes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562

 $01:01:37.400 \rightarrow 01:01:40.316$ Segal Kadosh who some of you may know is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562
- $01:01:40.320 \rightarrow 01:01:42.440$ at Dana Farber and we just let her do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562
- $01:01:42.440 \rightarrow 01:01:46.240$ She they're doing a lot in that regard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562
- $01:01:46.240 \rightarrow 01:01:47.717$ I'm sure they're playing a role here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.760728562
- $01:01:47.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.480$ What but how and what we don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.785801059333333
- $01{:}01{:}51{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}53{.}648$ We have one last question and after this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.785801059333333
- $01:01:53.648 \rightarrow 01:01:55.760$ is actually a session for the trainees,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.785801059333333
- 01:01:55.760 --> 01:01:58.200 very private was Doctor Armstrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- $01:01:58.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.168$ Yeah, one last question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- $01:02:00.168 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.456$ This is actually related
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- $01:02:01.456 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.079$ to the fusion partners,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- $01:02:03.080 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.718$ but as you know we often get,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- $01{:}02{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06.547$ you know when we do the genetech
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- 01:02:06.547 --> 01:02:08.120 sequencing we get MLL deletions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- $01:02:08.120 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.346$ MLL mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125
- 01:02:09.346 --> 01:02:13.637 sometimes Trisom 11 or you know PDD.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125

01:02:13.640 --> 01:02:16.190 And is your sense that beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125

 $01:02:16.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.639$ the fusion MLL Fusion's those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125

 $01:02:18.639 \rightarrow 01:02:20.720$ alterations also have susceptibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075081125

01:02:20.720 --> 01:02:23.160 to an inhibition or yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:23.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.135$ as you probably know since you've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:25.135 \rightarrow 01:02:26.840$ important in running some of the trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:26.840 \rightarrow 01:02:30.862$ the MLLPTD subtype of AML for

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:30.862 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.154$ some of the trials is included.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01{:}02{:}33.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}35.505$ There's been an assumption to some extent

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:35.505 \rightarrow 01:02:37.830$ that they should be responsive the PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01{:}02{:}37.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}39.360$ So we have generated some MLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01{:}02{:}39{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}41{.}358$ So MLLPTDS are actually a partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01{:}02{:}41{.}358 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}43{.}560$ tandem duplication of a part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01{:}02{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.824$ MLL protein and that subset of AML is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:45.824 \rightarrow 01:02:47.750$ incredibly difficult to treat and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

 $01:02:47.750 \longrightarrow 01:02:51.558$ also found in MD's those mutations,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01:02:51.560 \rightarrow 01:02:53.864$ but that almost tells you immediately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01:02:53.864 \rightarrow 01:02:55.703$ it's also found in MDSMLL.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}02{:}55{.}703 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}57{.}824$ Rearrangements are not found in MDS that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01:02:57.824 \rightarrow 01:02:59.083$ they're probably something different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}02{:}59{.}083 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}00{.}901$ going on in the minute inhibitor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}00{.}901 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02{.}555$ doesn't have at least in our PDX
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}02.555 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04.422$ models the same type of activity in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}04{.}422 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}07{.}439$ those PDX as it does MLL rearranged.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- 01:03:07.440 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:09.978 So I think it gets back to this issue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01:03:09.978 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.349$ that we've been discussing that in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01:03:12.349 \rightarrow 01:03:15.080$ that setting either because of that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}15{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}17{.}816$ duplication or otherwise the that MLL
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}17.816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}19.976$ Oncoprotein sticks on chromatin through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}19{.}976$ --> $01{:}03{:}22{.}280$ a different mechanism than Menon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01:03:22.280 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.560$ So we're got a project looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714

- $01:03:24.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.760$ at exactly that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}25{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}28{.}400$ trying to understand what that is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}28{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}29{.}840$ We haven't looked at the deletions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888833029285714
- $01{:}03{:}29{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}30{.}878$ I wouldn't predict they would be.