## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:17:55.9210000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.726$  I would not let you juice our next Speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.053$  Doctor Daniel Weinberger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:05.053 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.699$  Doctor Weinberger joined the faculty at

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:07.699 --> 00:00:10.577 the Yale School of public health in 2013.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:10.580 \dashrightarrow 00:00:13.844$  He earned his PhD in Biological Sciences from

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:13.844 \dashrightarrow 00:00:17.029$  the Harvard School of public health in 2009.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.190$  His research is at the intersection

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:19.190 --> 00:00:20.630 of Microbiology and Epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00{:}00{:}20.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.651$  and focuses on understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:22.651 --> 00:00:23.827 biological and epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:23.827 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.890$  drivers of respiratory infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

00:00:25.890 --> 00:00:27.099 including pneumococcus RSVP

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:27.099 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.308$  influenza and Legionella.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939363718032837

 $00:00:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.124$  Doctor Weinberger thank you for being here.

00:00:38.180 --> 00:00:40.492 Thank you very much. I can share my

NOTE Confidence: 0.943543136119843

00:00:40.492 --> 00:00:42.790 screen if it's OK. If you're able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:05.120 --> 00:01:07.794 OK, thank you very much for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:07.794 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.440$  invitation to share this work with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.128$  So this is a collaborative project I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:13.128 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.277$  been working on since late March with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:16.277 --> 00:01:18.985 large group that includes plugs from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:18.985 --> 00:01:21.833 NIH in New York City Department of Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:21.840 --> 00:01:24.120 UMass Amherst, alidade health, and Russ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:24.120 --> 00:01:25.626 Killed the University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:25.626 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.638$  So when we started this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.688$  We were interested in trying to extend some

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}31.688 \to 00{:}01{:}34.535$  work that we've been doing as a group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:34.540 --> 00:01:37.025 I'm trying to estimate the burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:37.025 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.518$  influenza during previous pandemics

00:01:38.518 --> 00:01:41.045 and thought that it would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}01{:}41.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.290$  fairly straightforward project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:42.290 --> 00:01:44.366 Didn't anticipate that it would get

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:44.366 --> 00:01:46.719 a lot of attention in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.914$  but it turns out that this has become a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:01:50.920 --> 00:01:52.970 Sort of radioactively hot topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.186$  Since we started doing this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.160$  There's been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:01:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.100$  A lot of controversy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.044$  Sort of about the official kovit death tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.486$  And a lot of questions about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:08.486 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.680$  reliability of sort of the official.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:02:10.680 --> 00:02:13.039 That's that are being reported by ACDC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.840$  which I think makes this work especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:02:15.840 --> 00:02:18.193 important because we try to sidestep

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:02:18.193 --> 00:02:20.359 some of the issues around testing

 $00:02:20.359 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.815$  which had sort of played some of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.880$  The official numbers said basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.940$  the controversy is been around

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.224$  sort of weather deaths are being

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:29.224 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.449$  accurately recorded as due to Cove it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.860$  or whether sort of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:02:33.860 --> 00:02:36.090 People are sort of inappropriately

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}36.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.320$  coding deaths as Judah covered

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.898$  when people are dying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:02:39.900 --> 00:02:40.797 Of other causes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}40.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.890$  and maybe you're infected with so good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.034$  but not necessarily dying due to Cove in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.797$  So we have been working on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}48.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.646$  group that I mentioned at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.361$  beginning and have been partnering

00:02:52.361 --> 00:02:54.437 with the Washington Post on trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00{:}02{:}54.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.429$  to try to estimate excess death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:56.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.710$  So this the idea here is instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:02:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.429$  looking at the number of deaths that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:03:01.429 --> 00:03:03.629 actually recorded as being due to Kobe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.376$  just looking at sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:05.376 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.275$  changes in total deaths and deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

00:03:07.275 --> 00:03:08.950 due to pneumonia or influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.889$  which are less likely to be biased

NOTE Confidence: 0.903548061847687

 $00:03:10.889 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.020$  by some of these coding issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.844$  So in the United States you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:17.844 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.484$  cause of death is decided by individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:20.484 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.899$  by individuals all around the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:03:22.899 --> 00:03:25.405 who have their own sort of criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.860$  and it's typically done by by physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}27.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.700$  when the death is due to natural causes

 $00:03:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.200$  or by metal medical examiner or coroner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.589$  when it's when it's an unattended death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.470$  And the CDC and state helps parents are

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.676$  recording typically both an underlying cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:42.676 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.419$  of death and a contributing cause of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:03:45.420 --> 00:03:47.744 So, for instance, you could have current

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:47.744 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.048$  virus listed as the underlying cause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}50.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.090$  an ammonia listed as a contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.970$  cause or or heart attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.642$  Let's just contributing cause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:03:55.642 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.896$  Or vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:03:56.900 --> 00:03:59.906 Or you might have one of these things listed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}03{:}59.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.908$  so you might have pneumonia listed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:04:01.910 --> 00:04:03.580 but current viruses left off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:04:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.508$  or any combination of those possibilities.

 $00:04:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.316$  So the official death registration in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

00:04:08.316 --> 00:04:10.680 the US is done at the state level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:04:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.660$  Minutes reported up to the CDC

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00:04:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.680$  National Center for health statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903682410717011

 $00{:}04{:}14.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.025$  And there is some like that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.054$  So we have good reason to think that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:22.054 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.766$  number of reported deaths is an undercount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.272$  This is sort of a typical feature of sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}04{:}27.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.900$  of pathogen specific deaths in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.610$  so this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}04{:}31.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.656$  been staying in previous influenza pandemics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.380$  where it's it's typically assume

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.487$  that just some fraction of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:37.487 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.443$  deaths that are due to influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:39.443 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.516$  during an influenza pandemic or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}04{:}41.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.574$  during sort of typical seasonal flu,

 $00:04:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.290$  or actually recorded as such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}04{:}45.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.170$  so it's much more common to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:48.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.360$  pneumonia and influenza together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.448$  And looking at sort of increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:52.448 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.537$  above a typical seasonal baseline to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:54.537 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.763$  try to estimate the full burden of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.325$  Of death and of course this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:04:59.325 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.370$  particularly important with current virus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}05{:}01.370 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.884$  especially early in the epidemic where

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}05{:}03.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.727$  the testing was really slow to ramp up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:05:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.034$  and in many states testing was

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:05:09.034 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.355$  really inadequate at a time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00{:}05{:}11.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.840$  we think that the virus might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.890483260154724

 $00:05:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.300$  been circulating at a high level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.088$  Complicating things further.

 $00:05:20.088 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.350$  The way that CDC records the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}05{:}23.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.213$  and it gets reported from the states

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:05:26.213 --> 00:05:28.687 there is optimal lag in the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:05:28.690 --> 00:05:31.090 so the data that we're seeing from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.720$  or two or three or even four or five

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.938$  weeks ago is going to be incomplete

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:35.938 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.520$  in this varies quite a bit by state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.690$  so this plot is just showing our

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.373$  estimates for the proportion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:42.373 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.341$  deaths that are reported based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:05:44.401 --> 00:05:46.186 how far we are from the death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.502$  so we can see sort of study on

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:05:48.502 --> 00:05:49.900 the left hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.556$  Is starting at 2 weeks after the death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:05:52.560 --> 00:05:54.558 There's a huge amount of variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.552$  Some states are only capturing maybe

 $00:05:56.552 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.888 \ 30\%$  of the deaths or reporting 30%

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:05:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.188$  of the deaths that will eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:01.188 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.070$  be reported two weeks out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187 00:06:03.070 --> 00:06:03.636 Other states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:06:03.636 --> 00:06:05.900 like New York or quite good and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:05.963 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.212$  getting something like 95% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:08.212 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.618$  deaths even after just two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}10.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.671$  It tends to ramp up fairly rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:12.671 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.550$  in most states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.886$  so you know after three or four weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.266$  we're getting more than 90% of the deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:18.266 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.776$  It will eventually be reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:19.776 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.580$  getting reported on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.338$  but there are some states like

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:22.338 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.510$  this is Kentucky here,

 $00:06:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.490$  which seems to have a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}25.490 \to 00{:}06{:}27.364$  slow reporting where even out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:27.364 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.079$  sort of 10 weeks after the death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.426$  we're sort of in the 80% range

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:31.426 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.356$  of death that are reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.288$  So our analysis is goals were quite simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.480$  It was to quantify the excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.575$  burden of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}40.580 --> 00{:}06{:}41.284 \ \mathrm{Student\ ammonia},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187 00:06:41.284 --> 00:06:41.988 or influenza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}41.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.903$  or do the code 19 to quantify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:44.903 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.465$  excess burden of deaths due to any

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}06{:}47.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.096$  cause and then to try to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:50.096 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.020$  the excess Destin reported deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.240$  And we're also hoping to adjust for

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:06:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.652$  reporting delays and variations in

 $00{:}06{:}57.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.466$  deaths that are related to influenza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.870$  We're using data that are publicly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.364$  available that are reported by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:05.364 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.434$  National Center for health statistics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.757$  Were there sort of updating these data

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:09.757 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.224$  on a daily basis in reporting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:12.224 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.720$  number of deaths in each week that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.573$  were due to any cause or due to pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187 00:07:17.580 --> 00:07:18.080 influenza, NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.080$  or current virus as a sa grouping?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.770$  We also have some information on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

00:07:25.770 --> 00:07:27.870 Testing another source of data on deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}27.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.529$  which tends to be a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:29.529 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.204$  more up to date than the NCHS data

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00:07:32.204 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.964$  from kobetracking.com,

 $00:07:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.028$  which is the data source that Ginny

NOTE Confidence: 0.893535800278187

 $00{:}07{:}35.028 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}07{:}37.170$  pits are mentioned in the previous stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:07:39.430 --> 00:07:42.406 And essentially, we're doing a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:42.406 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.859$  simple regression model where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:07:44.859 --> 00:07:47.603 trying to model the number of deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:47.603 \dashrightarrow 00:07:50.687$  that occur in a given state in each week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.746$  We're adjusting for seasonality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:07:52.746 --> 00:07:54.802 We're adjusting forward influenza

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00{:}07{:}54.802 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.587$  activity during the previous week to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:57.587 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.850$  into account through the lag between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:07:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.726$  Who activity in deaths?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:01.726 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.071$  We're allowing the baseline to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00{:}08{:}04.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.819$  vary year to year to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:06.819 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.009$  for changes in population size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

00:08:09.010 --> 00:08:12.125 and we're adjusting for this reporting delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00{:}08{:}12.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.812$  which is estimated separately using a

00:08:14.812 --> 00:08:17.030 Bayesian Nowcasting algorithm called knobs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.814$  which was recently described

NOTE Confidence: 0.901496708393097

 $00:08:18.814 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.598$  by Nick Menzies Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.270$  So we're basically fitting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.638$  regression through data today

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

00:08:26.638 --> 00:08:28.319 through the beginning of February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.957$  So this is a period when we don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:30.957 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.079$  there was much coronavirus there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.272$  so we're sort of fitting to the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:35.272 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.803$  to try to get sort of a sense for

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:37.803 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.608$  with the typical seasonal pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:39.608 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.903$  looks like and then extrapolating

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}41.903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.960$  that baseline forward for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

00:08:43.960 --> 00:08:45.660 period from February to April.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.008$  Then we're generating uncertainty

 $00:08:47.008 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.030$  intervals by resampling scheme that Nick

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00{:}08{:}49.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.760$  breaks group is previously developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

00:08:50.760 --> 00:08:53.040 I try to get an estimate for sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.190$  of the uncertainty in those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884362578392029

 $00:08:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.180$  Baseline estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}08{:}59.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.972$  So this is just sort of a simple top

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:01.972 --> 00:09:05.306 line picture of the excess or the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:05.306 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.200$  deaths occurring in each state overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:08.200 --> 00:09:12.150 So on the upper left corner this is New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:12.150 --> 00:09:14.950 including New York City, Which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.765$  His, as everyone knows, experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:16.765 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.050$  the most severe epidemic in the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.745$  So the typical seasonal baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:20.745 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.820$  is shown with this red line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.932$  Here the variation in deaths in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:24.932 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.919$  previous years is shown in Gray,

00:09:26.920 --> 00:09:28.966 which you can't even really see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.048$  Do the baseline for New York there and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.800$  The observed number of deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:34.800 --> 00:09:37.176 Of or 2020 is shown with this black line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}09{:}37.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.878$  So really what we're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:38.878 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.294$  is the difference between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:40.294 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.656$  black line in the red light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.712$  Here for each state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:42.712 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.290$  and so you can see pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:44.355 --> 00:09:45.959 sizable increases in deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:45.960 --> 00:09:48.095 Above the seasonal baseline for New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:48.100 --> 00:09:49.015 New Jersey, Massachusetts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:09:49.015 --> 00:09:49.930 District of Columbia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:49.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.666$  the data or a bit more noise of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:09:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.654$  You can see this clear increase about it.

00:09:55.660 --> 00:09:57.139 Above historical patterns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}09{:}57.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.097$  Maryland sort of across the board

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:00.097 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.857$  we see pretty strong increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.104$  There are a number of states

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:10:05.104 --> 00:10:07.996 where we do not see increases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:07.996 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.034$  these tend to be sort of smaller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.195$  more rural states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:10:12.195 --> 00:10:15.560 Vermont a number of states in the Midwest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}10{:}15.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.950$  New Hampshire we got Minnesota.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

00:10:16.950 --> 00:10:18.528 Here are the Minnesota is starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00{:}10{:}18.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.269$  to increase in more recent weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.124$  so I think if we were to extend this

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:22.124 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.260$  at another couple of weeks we probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.897889077663422

 $00:10:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.360$  would see something for Minnesota here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.603$  We are also interested in looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}32.603 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.660$  the estimates for excess deaths in

 $00:10:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.742$  relation to the reported cova death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.871$  So here we're just looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}38.871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.790$  excess pneumonia and influenza deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.470$  which are shown in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.278$  So the trajectory for so this is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:45.278 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.039$  basically subtracting off the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:47.039 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.370$  from the previous plot and the reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:49.431 \dashrightarrow 00:10:51.863$  number of covad deaths for the same week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}10{:}51.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.358$  So you can see in New Jersey those

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:10:54.358 --> 00:10:56.469 two curves basically line up very

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:56.469 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.587$  well in the great dash line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:10:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.278$  Here is showing us the increase in testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.684$  So this is basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:02.684 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.439$  Saying he was increasing testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:04.439 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.589$  at about the same time we were,

 $00:11:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.690$  they were increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:08.690 --> 00:11:11.756 In cases and very strong agreement

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:11.756 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.800$  between those two curves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:13.800 --> 00:11:16.038 You can contrast that with Florida,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.314$  where we see this earlier increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:18.314 --> 00:11:21.362 sort of in early March of pneumonia

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:21.362 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.820$  and influenza deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.650$  And then the reported code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.536$  The deaths don't actually increased until.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.706$  Several weeks later and one possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:29.706 --> 00:11:31.746 explanation for that is if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:31.746 --> 00:11:33.615 look at the Great Dash Line here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.295$  they're testing levels were quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:35.295 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.329$  low and they really didn't even

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:37.329 --> 00:11:39.135 start until a couple weeks after

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:39.135 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.659$  the epidemic had taken off.

 $00:11:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.540$  So there are quite a few deaths we think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.100$  Sort of during early to merge that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.909$  missed in Florida in the official tallies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:48.910 --> 00:11:51.070 Louisiana pretty good concordance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

00:11:51.070 --> 00:11:53.230 the observed and reported deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}11{:}53.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.862$  and likewise for Washington.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:11:54.862 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.897$  There just seems to be a relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}11{:}57.897 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}00.903$  between sort of wind testing started

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00{:}12{:}00.903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.567$  relative to the epidemic and the amount of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890826940536499

 $00:12:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.607$  Sort of unexplained cases that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:08.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.435$  We're also interested in looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:10.435 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.670$  at the increase in deaths in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}12.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.615$  relation to influence like illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.228$  so we look if we lineups for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.750$  Unexplained increase in pneumonia,

00:12:19.750 --> 00:12:21.650 ones adepts again and explain

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}21.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.478$  increases and influence like illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.895$  Basically what we see is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:25.895 --> 00:12:27.610 influence like illness increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:27.610 --> 00:12:30.170 Its the blue line here and then about

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}30.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.289$ a week later we see an increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:33.289 --> 00:12:35.480 the pneumonia and influenza deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:35.480 --> 00:12:37.808 suggesting that what we're seeing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:37.808 --> 00:12:40.455 terms of excess jets is related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:40.455 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.495$  the virus and not necessarily due

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}12{:}42.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.817$  to lock down measures which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:44.817 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.106$  have sort of more diffuse effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:12:47.106 --> 00:12:48.610 Enough necessarily have such

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.114$  a sort of temporarily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.128$  Related Increase similar to Iowa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:12:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.410$  So just looking through the

 $00:12:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.234$  top line estimates here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:00.240 --> 00:13:02.820 this is data through April 25th

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:02.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.053$  where we have an estimate for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:06.053 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.371$  entire US of about 51,000 kuva dots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:09.380 --> 00:13:10.613 During this period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:10.613 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.079$  if we look at the excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:13.079 --> 00:13:14.870 pneumonia influenza deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.150$  we have about 57,000 deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:17.150 --> 00:13:20.349 so just a little bit more pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.739$  influenza deaths nationally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:21.739 --> 00:13:24.517 Then we have reported cova deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:24.517 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.807$  and then about 8083 thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:26.810 --> 00:13:28.763 All cause deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}13{:}28.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.324$  so overall about sort of 40 to 50% higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:34.324 --> 00:13:37.502 Death toll rather than we get from

 $00:13:37.502 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.967$  what's reported in the data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}13{:}39.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.175$  the sort of official coded data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:42.180 --> 00:13:44.010 This does vary quite a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.230$  by state and overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:45.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.326$  so if you were to look at your

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:47.326 --> 00:13:49.200 New York City in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.480$  I'm early in the epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

00:13:51.480 --> 00:13:53.085 The reported number of Kobe

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:53.085 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.104$  deaths is about 3 times higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:55.104 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.204$  than sorry that the access code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}13{:}57.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.058$  It's about 3 times higher than

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:13:59.058 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.983$  reported number of coded deaths as

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:00.983 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.867$  they have sort of increased testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00{:}14{:}02.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.960$  and change the reporting guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.713$  That gap is narrowed to about so now the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.085$  Exodus that's about 50% higher

 $00:14:10.085 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.177$  than the Coca dots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.560$  and in other states there

NOTE Confidence: 0.905512273311615

 $00:14:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.730$  is not much of a gap at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.283$  So we were also interested in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:20.283 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.054$  trying to do something very simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.505$  without any sort of model behind it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:14:26.510 --> 00:14:27.954 Just because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}14{:}27.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.759$ every every analysis approach has

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:29.759 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.665$  assumptions that we wanted to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}14{:}31.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.638$  sort of took an independent approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.740$  If we get a similar answer and what we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.422$  So basically what we did was we took the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}14{:}39.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.352$  provisional data that are reported that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:41.352 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.559$  were reported this year and week 19th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.960$  This is reported last week.

 $00:14:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.880$  And we know that those data

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.840$  are highly incomplete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:14:48.840 --> 00:14:50.760 Over the last month or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.000$  and there's this lag in the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.198$  and we can see that here you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:55.198 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.750$  can see sort of the yellow line

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:14:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.048$  sort of would be trailing down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.258$  And So what we did was we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}02.258 --> 00{:}15{:}04.310$  at the data from this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.918$  That were reported in Week 19 and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}06.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.368$  looked at the at the data reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:09.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.510$  Week 19 from the previous year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.318$  And what we see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986 00:15:14.320 --> 00:15:15.074 Is that? NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:15.074 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.713$  The data in this year were sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:17.713 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.119$  of well aligned up until.

 $00:15:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.128$  So in mid to late March and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:15:22.128 --> 00:15:24.653 you see this sort of very sharp

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:24.653 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.217$  divergences between those lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.732$  So the provisional data for 2020 are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:28.732 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.027$  higher than the original data for 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:31.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.280$  and this is sort of a very crude way

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.846$  of adjusting for the reporting delays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}35.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38.097$  If we just look at the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:38.097 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.060$  between these curves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.986$  we have about 79,000 excess deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.350$  You can compare that to the 83,000 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.478$  we estimated with the regression model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}45.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.734$  We have some other approaches we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}15{:}47.734 \to 00{:}15{:}50.316$  using as well where we don't adjust for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.856$  Blue where we get a slightly smaller effect,

 $00:15:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.600$  but you know we're sort of in the range to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.798$  You know 70 to 80,000 excess deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:15:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.447$  regardless of the method that we're using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:02.450 --> 00:16:04.294 So just to conclude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:04.294 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.599$  the estimated death tool related

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:06.599 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.307$  the pandemic is about 50% higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:09.307 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.049$  than the reported number of deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}12.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.732$  This that sort of estimate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:14.732 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.619$  how much higher the excess deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:16:17.619 --> 00:16:20.625 is has been changing overtime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}20.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.006$  has been narrowing so so the gap

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:24.006 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.834$  has been narrowing as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986 00:16:25.840 --> 00:16:26.562 Sort of, NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}26.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.089$  the standards for reporting deaths for Cove.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.304$  It have changed and the recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:31.304 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.249$  have changed so you know with the current

 $00:16:34.249 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.670$  data were probably closer to about 40%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.316$  but in any case I think this

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:39.316 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.337$  puts to bed the idea that were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.450$  Start over accounting the cova

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.716$  deaths when we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.380$  Certainly can't be official data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.397$  There's no evidence that we see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.008$  The official data are in any way inflated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.167$  and if anything we think that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:16:56.167 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.300$  are substantially under reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}16{:}58.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.390$  And if you just seem to be a lot of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}01.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.956$ a pretty sizable gap between states

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}02.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.252$  in how much of a difference there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:17:05.252 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.040$  between the reported number of Copa

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}07.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.001$  deaths in the total number of death

00:17:09.001 --> 00:17:11.060 suspect this has something to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00{:}17{:}11.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.305$  testing practices as well as sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:17:13.305 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.225$  culture around how deaths are coded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

 $00:17:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.450$  And we still don't know what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.907672226428986

00:17:17.450 --> 00:17:18.930 driving that unexplained increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.894$  so we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

00:17:20.894 --> 00:17:22.398 You know, pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:22.398 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.024$  influenza are sort of accounting for some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

00:17:26.030 --> 00:17:27.438 Get code is pneumonia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:27.438 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.494$  influenza or accounting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.324$  For some of that increase that we're seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.115$  but there's still a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00{:}17{:}33.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.543$  unattributed increase and future

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:34.543 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.268$  work will try to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.748$  Sort of what's driving them with

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:38.748 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.720$  somebody 'cause specific factors out.

 $00:17:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.330$  So thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00{:}17{:}42.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.112$  We have a previous version of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:44.112 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.860$  this work is unmet archive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00{:}17{:}45.860 \longrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.434$  If you'd like to learn more or I'd be

NOTE Confidence: 0.893023649851481

 $00:17:48.434 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.987$  happy to answer any questions by email.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913428366184235

 $00{:}17{:}54.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.921$  Thank you very much doctor Weinberger.