WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:20:13.8900000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}00{.}000$ --> $00{:}00{:}01.805$ I would now like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:01.805 - 00:00:03.249 introduce our next speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:03.250 --> 00:00:05.200 doctor Virginia Pittser Doctor Pitts

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:05.200 \dashrightarrow 00:00:07.920$ are joined the Yale School of public

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}07{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10{.}377$ health as an assistant professor in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:10.380 \dashrightarrow 00:00:13.688$ Help you could see me, let's see yes um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}13.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.348$ her work focuses on mathematical

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}15.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.390$ modeling of the transmission dynamics

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:17.390 \rightarrow 00:00:19.445$ of imperfectly immunizing infections and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:19.445 \rightarrow 00:00:21.837$ how interventions such as vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:21.840 \rightarrow 00:00:23.436$ improved treatments and progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:23.436 --> 00:00:25.032 in sanitation affect disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:25.032 \rightarrow 00:00:26.968$ transmission at the population level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:26.970 \rightarrow 00:00:30.806$ Doctor Pittser thank you for being here.

00:00:30.810 -> 00:00:31.944 Thank you, um,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}31{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34{.}940$ so hopefully every one can see my slides now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:34.940 --> 00:00:37.564 Um, so I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:37.564 \rightarrow 00:00:40.237$ some of the recent work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:40.237 --> 00:00:43.086 been doing trying to look at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}43.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.588$ changes in testing practices may bias

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:45.588 \rightarrow 00:00:47.831$ our ability to estimate important

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:00:47.831 - > 00:00:50.633 measures of transmission for Coed 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52{.}782$ Um and so just so that every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}00{:}52.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.293$ is kind of familiar with some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:55.293 \rightarrow 00:00:58.165$ the basic ways that we measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:00:58.165 \rightarrow 00:01:00.775$ transmission of any infectious disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:00.780 \rightarrow 00:01:03.342$ I'm going to introduce some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:03.342 --> 00:01:05.583 two main measures of transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:05.583 \rightarrow 00:01:07.188$ that we're interested.

00:01:07.190 -> 00:01:09.872 The first measure that people may

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13{.}301$ have heard about some of you I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:13.301 --> 00:01:15.641 more familiar with is called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:15.723 \rightarrow 00:01:18.729$ basic reproductive number or are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}01{:}18.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.523$ and this is defined as the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:21.523 --> 00:01:23.587 number of secondary infections that NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:23.587 \rightarrow 00:01:26.331$ are produced by a primary case in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:26.331 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.950$ the fully susceptible population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:28.950 --> 00:01:31.614 So it's beginning of an epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:31.614 \rightarrow 00:01:33.390$ when everyone is acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:01:33.390 --> 00:01:35.610 How many people, on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.865$ is that first case potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:37.865 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.218$ going to infect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:39.220 \rightarrow 00:01:41.836$ And the reason why this is an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:41.836 \rightarrow 00:01:44.155$ measure is that it's closely related

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:44.155 \rightarrow 00:01:46.549$ to the herd immunity threshold that

 $00:01:46.618 \dashrightarrow 00:01:48.843$ is needed to completely interrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:48.843 \rightarrow 00:01:51.068$ transmission in the population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.360$ to eventually eliminate the pathogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:53.360 \rightarrow 00:01:56.103$ from the population where you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:56.103 \rightarrow 00:01:58.728$ get an estimate of that herd immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:01:58.728 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.340$ threshold as 1 - 1 over are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:01.340 \rightarrow 00:02:04.108$ and so if you're randomly, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:04.108 \rightarrow 00:02:06.078$ distributing vaccine within the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.474$ then if you vaccinate 1 minus are

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:08.474 \rightarrow 00:02:10.440$ not of the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:10.440 \rightarrow 00:02:15.704$ Then you should see the infection go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:15.710 --> 00:02:17.354 Another important measure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}17.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.998$ transmission for infectious diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}19{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21{.}010$ which is closely related to are

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}21.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.474$ not is the time varying affective

00:02:23.474 --> 00:02:25.566 reproductive number or RT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}276$ and this refers to the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:28.276 --> 00:02:30.080 number of secondary infections

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:30.159 \rightarrow 00:02:32.745$ that are produced per primary case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}32.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.892$ Occurring through time at a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}34.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.062$ time T and this accounts for both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:38.062 \rightarrow 00:02:40.570$ buildup of munity within the population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}40{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43{.}069$ which will serve to limit transmission as

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:43.069 \rightarrow 00:02:46.037$ well as the impact of control measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:46.040 \rightarrow 00:02:48.424$ and so this is an important way in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}48{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}042$ which we can kind of track transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:51.042 \rightarrow 00:02:53.563$ through time and see what impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

00:02:53.563 --> 00:02:56.599 control measures are having on transmission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:02:56.600 \dashrightarrow 00:02:59.036$ and so both of these different measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}02{:}59{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01{.}543$ and the methods that are available

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:01.543 \rightarrow 00:03:03.873$ for estimating these different measures.

 $00:03:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.316$ Have been shown to be robust

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:06.316 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.510$ to under reporting of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:08.510 \rightarrow 00:03:10.724$ and so it's generally assumed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}03{:}10.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.529$ only a fraction of true infections that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:13.529 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.037$ are out there within the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:16.037 \rightarrow 00:03:18.620$ are actually observed in detected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.041$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:19.041 \rightarrow 00:03:21.146$ both methods for estimating both

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00:03:21.146 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.830$ are not an arty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}03{:}22.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.120$ Assume that the fraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927285134792328

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}410$ infections that are detected and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:27.495 --> 00:03:29.825 reported through time is constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}231$ such that there's no change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35{.}219$ reporting fraction through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}35{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37{.}332$ But we know particularly for the

 $00:03:37.332 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.255$ early stages of the COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:39.255 --> 00:03:41.455 pandemic in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.735$ that there has been a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:43.735 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.751$ variation in testing effort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:45.751 \rightarrow 00:03:47.695$ reporting fractions through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}47{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50{.}913$ and this is just one example of data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:50.913 \rightarrow 00:03:53.939$ comes from the Cove at tracking project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:03:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.660$ which was set up by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:03:56.660 --> 00:03:59.108 People at the Atlantic to digitize

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}286$ data coming from state public

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}174$ health Department websites on

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:03.174 \rightarrow 00:04:05.534$ the confirmed number of code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:04:05.540 --> 00:04:08.174 19 cases in left in blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}08{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}420$ from Louisiana on in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.528$ In the middle is the reported number

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:13.528 \rightarrow 00:04:17.121$ of new tests per day in Louisiana and

 $00{:}04{:}17{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20{.}693$ on the rights in purple and Gray is

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}20.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.731$ the fraction of those tests that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:23.740 \rightarrow 00:04:26.890$ positive and you can see that there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:26.890 \rightarrow 00:04:29.494$ Some sort of important patterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:29.494 \rightarrow 00:04:33.142$ you're seeing in the data where early on NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:33.142 \rightarrow 00:04:35.884$ when testing capacity was quite limited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.314$ the number of or the percentage of tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:39.314 \rightarrow 00:04:43.087$ that were positive tended to be quite high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}43.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.790$ but Louisiana managed to ramp up

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:04:45.790 --> 00:04:48.085 its testing practices quite quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:04:48.085 --> 00:04:51.025 in kind of mid March and eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:51.025 \rightarrow 00:04:53.335$ change their testing criteria sometime

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:04:53.335 \dashrightarrow 00:04:57.112$ between March 15th and April 15th to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}200$ Come from preferentially testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}04{:}59{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}510$ individuals who are health care workers.

 $00:05:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.284$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}03.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.380$ or at high risk to allowing anyone with

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:06.458 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.986$ a fever to be eligible for a test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:08.990 \rightarrow 00:05:11.606$ And you can see that this is potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:11.606 \dashrightarrow 00:05:13.988$ reflected in a drop in the percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}13.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.653$ of individuals that were testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:15.717 \rightarrow 00:05:17.629$ positive within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:17.630 - 00:05:19.586 And then there are other funny

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:05:19.586 --> 00:05:22.323 things in the data where they did an

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}22.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.363$ audit of the commercial labs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.430$ were testing for COVID-19 between

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28{.}430$ April 20th and April 24th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.704$ and they revise their total test

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:30.704 \rightarrow 00:05:32.779$ numbers down such that if you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:32.780 \rightarrow 00:05:35.006$ Calculate a daily number of tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:05:35.006 \rightarrow 00:05:36.881$ from the cumulative number of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:36.881 \rightarrow 00:05:38.169$ tests you actually see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- 00:05:38.170 --> 00:05:39.960 A negative number of tests,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:39.960 \dashrightarrow 00:05:42.466$ which obviously we know is not true,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.696$ and so given the data that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:44.696 \rightarrow 00:05:46.430$ available becomes very difficult to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:46.430 \rightarrow 00:05:48.635$ Make this assumption that testing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00{:}05{:}48.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.840$ effort has been constant through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:50.912 \dashrightarrow 00:05:53.117$ time that we need to measure our.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:53.120 \dashrightarrow 00:05:55.484$ Estimates of the transmission
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:55.484 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.257$ rate for COVID-19.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- 00:05:57.260 --> 00:05:59.647 And so one way that we've tried
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:05:59.647 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.168$ to get at this question of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00{:}06{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.574$ well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00{:}06{:}02.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.594$ how could these differences and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00{:}06{:}04{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{.}626$ changes in testing practices affect
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

00:06:06.626 --> 00:06:08.720 our ability to measure the transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.300$ rates of a new infection like COVID-19

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}11{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13{.}502$ is to simulate what might happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:13.510 \rightarrow 00:06:16.023$ in the population when we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}16.023 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.073$ new infection being introduced and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}18.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.318$ then simulate sort of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}20{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22{.}671$ changes in testing practices and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}22.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.248$ to do this we can use what's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}636$ the basic essay are type model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.876$ In this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00{:}06{:}28.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.348$ is based on the assumption that

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:31.348 \rightarrow 00:06:33.640$ whenever it when people are born,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:33.640 \rightarrow 00:06:35.640$ everyone is susceptible to infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:35.640 \dashrightarrow 00:06:38.840$ and so before a new infection is introduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:38.840 \rightarrow 00:06:41.978$ everyone in the population is susceptible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759

 $00:06:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.970$ When the new infection gets

- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- 00:06:43.970 -> 00:06:45.562 introduced into the population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- 00:06:45.570 --> 00:06:46.764 susceptible individuals can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:06:46.764 \rightarrow 00:06:48.754$ get infected at some rate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- $00:06:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.920$ Lambda and in turn these individuals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.920118570327759
- 00:06:50.920 --> 00:06:52.360 are infectious and can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00:06:52.424 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.948$ infect other individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00{:}06{:}53{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}260$ So the rate Lambda here is dependent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00:06:56.260 \dashrightarrow 00:06:58.601$ both on the number of susceptible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00:06:58.601 \rightarrow 00:07:01.157$ individuals in the population as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00{:}07{:}01{.}157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}929$ as the number of currently infected.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00:07:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.574$ An infectious individuals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00{:}07{:}05{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}218$ within the population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00{:}07{:}07{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}740$ But after a certain amount of time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00:07:09.740 \dashrightarrow 00:07:11.930$ we know that individuals stop being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942
- $00:07:11.930 \dashrightarrow 00:07:13.764$ infectious and stop shedding the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:13.764 --> 00:07:15.304 particular virus and may recover

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:15.304 \rightarrow 00:07:17.825$ and build up some level of immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:17.825 \rightarrow 00:07:19.457$ that prevents further infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:19.460 \rightarrow 00:07:21.920$ And then finally individuals can die

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.760$ both of the disease or of natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.004$ causes from all of these states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}27{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29{.}285$ And then all of this gets summarized

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:29.285 \rightarrow 00:07:31.561$ into a series of differential equations

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34{.}021$ in which the number of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}34.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.678$ in each state within the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:36.678 --> 00:07:38.858 changes through time in proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:07:38.860 \dashrightarrow 00:07:40.892$ to these particular parameters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}40.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.940$ and the current state of number

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}44.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.356$ of individuals in each state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}46.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.194$ And so we can use a model like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:07:50.200 -> 00:07:52.744 Uhm, to simulate an epidemic where

 $00:07:52.744 \rightarrow 00:07:55.750$ instead of using the basic Sir model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}330$ we add an additional E compartment

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}07{:}58{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00{.}538$ which models individuals who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:00.538 \rightarrow 00:08:02.588$ infected but not yet infectious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}720$ And we stochastically simulate an

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:04.720 \rightarrow 00:08:06.424$ epidemic occurring through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.873$ and this is just one example on

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.957$ the left here of the results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:11.957 --> 00:08:14.402 this stochastic simulation where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:14.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.678$ introduce one infected individual at

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:16.678 \rightarrow 00:08:20.008$ Time zero in a population of a million.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}312$ And allow the infection to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}22{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25{.}113$ of slowly take off and then in Day

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}25{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}515$ 50 we decided we're going to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:27.515 -> 00:08:29.895 in and we're going to reduce the

 $00:08:29.900 \rightarrow 00:08:31.650$ transmission rate by some amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.408$ Such the epidemic starts to decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}503$ and then we can make assumptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:35.503 \rightarrow 00:08:37.227$ about the reporting process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.570$ where we model both the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42{.}066$ Probability that a true case is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}42.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.678$ detected an tested and the observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}44.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.270$ cases are then some fraction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:47.270 \dashrightarrow 00:08:49.865$ the overall number of infections

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:49.865 \rightarrow 00:08:52.069$ times the reporting fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}52{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54{.}737$ And that's plotted in blue here as

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}08{:}54.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.668$ well as the number of uninfected

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:08:57.668 --> 00:08:59.940 individuals who are tested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:08:59.940 \dashrightarrow 00:09:02.496$ which we assume is some occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:02.496 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.291$ in some proportion to the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:05.291 \dashrightarrow 00:09:07.806$ number of infections out there.

 $00:09:07.810 \dashrightarrow 00:09:11.200$ As testing capacity starts ramping up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}11.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.097$ And then we also assume that individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:13.097 \dashrightarrow 00:09:15.197$ are tested and reported with some delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:15.200 \rightarrow 00:09:16.976$ where we assume a median of five and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:16.976 \dashrightarrow 00:09:19.424$ a half days between the time the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:19.424 \rightarrow 00:09:21.084$ infection becomes symptomatic and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:21.084 \rightarrow 00:09:23.205$ time they actually get tested and reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}23.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.706$ And this was based on some

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:25.706 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.040$ early data out of China.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.960$ And then to estimate the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.240$ reproductive number or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.232$ The way we do this is based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:33.232 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.973$ the rate of exponential growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}34{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}319$ at the beginning of the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}09{:}37{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39{.}608$ where if you take this equation for the

 $00:09:39.608 \rightarrow 00:09:42.408$ rate of change of the number of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:42.408 \rightarrow 00:09:44.580$ infected individuals within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.630$ You assume that everyone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:46.630 \rightarrow 00:09:48.680$ acceptable in the first place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.046$ And you do some math to solve

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:09:51.046 - 00:09:52.060 this differential equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

00:09:52.060 --> 00:09:54.556 What you find is that the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:54.556 \rightarrow 00:09:56.260$ new infections through time should

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:56.260 \rightarrow 00:09:58.619$ be equal to the number of infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:09:58.689 \rightarrow 00:10:01.188$ individuals initially times E to the RT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}584$ where this little R is equal to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00{:}10{:}03.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.610$ the growth rate of the epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.932710826396942

 $00:10:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.752$ or the slope of the log in

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}07{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}628$ the number of cases through time and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:10.628 \rightarrow 00:10:13.269$ equal to are not minus one over D and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:13.269 \rightarrow 00:10:16.021$ so you can estimate are not based on

 $00:10:16.021 \rightarrow 00:10:18.800$ this knowledge of what the growth rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:18.800 \rightarrow 00:10:21.520$ Through the epidemic is through time and D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.902$ which is the generational or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:23.902 \rightarrow 00:10:26.336$ serial interval between one case and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:26.336 \rightarrow 00:10:28.670$ the case that that individual impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}28.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.422$ And then we can also estimate Artie

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:32.422 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.678$ by our knowledge of the sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:35.680 \rightarrow 00:10:38.152$ Or inference of the underlying infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}38{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}510$ tree within the population where if

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:40.510 - 00:10:42.750 you have one individual say he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:10:42.750 - 00:10:44.857 infected on day four of the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.695$ they could have been infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:46.695 \rightarrow 00:10:48.740$ by any individual on Day 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}48.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.858$ two or one of the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:10:50.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.805$ and the probability that this

 $00{:}10{:}52.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.128$ individual on day one infected this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}263$ individual on day four is just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}10{:}57{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}042$ to be a function of how likely the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:00.042 \rightarrow 00:11:02.536$ generation interval is to be 3 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}02.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.708$ compared to all the other possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}04.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.344$ generation intervals that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}06{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08{.}756$ have given rise to this infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.424$ And then we can look back to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13.691$ infection occurring on Day One and ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}13.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.680$ well how many individuals did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:11:15.680 --> 00:11:18.368 person likely infect by summing up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:18.368 \rightarrow 00:11:20.259$ probability that all the individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:20.259 \rightarrow 00:11:22.497$ on subsequent days was infected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:22.497 \rightarrow 00:11:24.145$ this particular individual on day

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:24.145 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.909$ one on Day 2 on day three, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:26.909 \rightarrow 00:11:31.400$ And so when you put all of this together.

00:11:31.400 --> 00:11:32.393 Oops, sorry. Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}32{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35{.}238$ What we can do here is to estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:35.238 \rightarrow 00:11:38.332$ the impact of either an increase or

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:38.332 \rightarrow 00:11:41.050$ decrease in the testing probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:41.050 \rightarrow 00:11:43.328$ through time. We're on the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}43.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.423$ Here we are assuming that the testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}45{.}423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}253$ probability through time is constant

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:47.253 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.430$ and the number of true cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}046$ The number of tests in the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:11:52.046 --> 00:11:54.018 confirmed cases is plotted in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.720$ red and blue on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.058$ The percent of tests that are positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:11:59.058 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.477$ is plugged in purple in the middle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04{.}344$ and our estimate of the real time time

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:04.344 \rightarrow 00:12:06.578$ bearing reproductive number is in green here.

 $00:12:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.280$ Based on the observed number

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}08{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}980$ of cases and in black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.020$ based on the true number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:12.020 \rightarrow 00:12:13.040$ infections through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.350$ and generally what we find is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}15{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17{.}800$ when the probability of a true case

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:17.800 \rightarrow 00:12:19.540$ being tested is increasing slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:19.540 \rightarrow 00:12:21.876$ through time plotted in the middle here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.029$ you'd expect to see a slight increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:24.029 \rightarrow 00:12:25.897$ in the percent of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:25.897 \rightarrow 00:12:27.669$ testing positive through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}27.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.406$ As well as a slight overestimation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:30.406 \rightarrow 00:12:33.210$ value of the basic reproductive number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00{:}12{:}33{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}556$ because the number of observed cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:35.556 \rightarrow 00:12:38.227$ is growing faster than the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:12:38.227 \rightarrow 00:12:40.297$ two infections through time as well

- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00{:}12{:}40.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.900$ as a slight overestimation of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:42.900 \rightarrow 00:12:45.090$ real time time varying reproductive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.191$ number through time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:46.191 \rightarrow 00:12:48.760$ Whereas if the probability of detecting a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:48.823 \rightarrow 00:12:51.427$ true cases slightly decreasing through time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.618$ we slightly underestimate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:52.618 \rightarrow 00:12:54.598$ the value of are not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:54.600 \rightarrow 00:12:57.440$ and we slightly underestimate again
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:12:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.830$ the value of Artie through time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- 00:13:00.830 --> 00:13:01.278 Um,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- 00:13:01.278 --> 00:13:01.726 however,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00{:}13{:}01{.}726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}414$ this increase or decrease in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:13:04.414 \rightarrow 00:13:06.759$ percent positive through time might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:13:06.759 \rightarrow 00:13:09.039$ also be occurring because individuals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068
- $00:13:09.039 \rightarrow 00:13:11.672$ who are not infected are being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:11.672 \rightarrow 00:13:14.144$ becoming more likely to be tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:13:14.150 --> 00:13:16.222 Perhaps because there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

00:13:16.222 --> 00:13:18.294 increase in testing capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.196$ And so instead we assume that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923668205738068

 $00:13:20.196 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.460$ the number of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:21.521 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.341$ tested for every true cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:23.341 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.797$ just increasing through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:24.800 \rightarrow 00:13:27.012$ Again, we just expect to see potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:27.012 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.289$ a decrease or an increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:29.289 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.869$ percent of the individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}13{:}30.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.007$ are testing positive through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}13{:}33.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.264$ But in this case our estimates of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:35.264 \rightarrow 00:13:38.138$ are not an arty tend to be unbiased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:13:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.165$ so it's really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}13{:}40.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.190$ understand the context in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}13{:}42.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.696$ these increases or decreases in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- 00:13:44.696 --> 00:13:47.080 percent positive may be happening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:13:47.080 \rightarrow 00:13:49.126$ Another possibility is that there is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:13:49.126 \rightarrow 00:13:51.687$ a change to the testing criteria which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}13{:}51.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.305$ could lead to a sudden increase or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:13:54.379 \rightarrow 00:13:56.785$ decrease in the testing probability or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}13{:}56.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.866$ the probability that a true case gets tested.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:13:59.866 \rightarrow 00:14:02.080$ And if this is the case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:14:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.166$ and you see a large increase in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}04{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06{.}094$ the probability that a true cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:14:06.094 \rightarrow 00:14:07.709$ actually getting to test tested.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}07{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10{.}398$ We in this case the model estimates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}10.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12.576$ that there should be a slight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:14:12.576 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.802$ bias in the estimate of are not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}14{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16{.}966$ and they larger bias in your estimate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}16.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.686$ of the time bearing reproductive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:18.686 \rightarrow 00:14:21.606$ number such that you see this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}21.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.057$ large increase that is not consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.546$ Slow decline in the true number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:27.546 \rightarrow 00:14:29.520$ infections occurring through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}29{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30{.}322$ And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33{.}129$ if you see a decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:33.129 \rightarrow 00:14:35.580$ testing probability through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}35{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}078$ you see a similar bias occurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:39.080 --> 00:14:39.487 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:39.487 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.894$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}39{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}336$ this increase or decrease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:42.336 \rightarrow 00:14:44.055$ percent positive through time could

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:14:44.055 --> 00:14:46.796 just be due to a change in the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:46.796 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.908$ of tests that are being performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}367$ or a change in the testing capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:14:51.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.078$ For example,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- 00:14:52.078 --> 00:14:54.202 if a new private lab starts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:14:54.202 \rightarrow 00:14:54.910$ testing individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}838$ So in this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:14:55.838 \rightarrow 00:14:57.570$ you'd see a chart start changing the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- 00:14:57.570 --> 00:14:59.280 number of tests occurring through time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}14{:}59{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}394$ but you would not expect there to be any
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:15:02.394 \rightarrow 00:15:05.520$ bias in your estimates of are not or RT.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:15:05.520 \rightarrow 00:15:07.697$ And then finally we also looked at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}15{:}07.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09.934$ what would happen if there was a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:15:09.934 \rightarrow 00:15:11.800$ change in the reporting delay through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}15{:}11.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.973$ time within either an increase or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}15{:}13{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15{.}671$ decrease in the reporting delay.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- 00:15:15.671 --> 00:15:16.514 In this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}15{:}16{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18{.}975$ it would be harder to accept that by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}15{:}18.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.111$ looking at the percent of individuals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:21.111 \rightarrow 00:15:22.629$ testing positive through time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}22.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.325$ but we could potentially see

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:24.325 \rightarrow 00:15:26.391$ a relatively large bias in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:26.391 \rightarrow 00:15:28.547$ estimates of both are not and Artie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:28.550 \rightarrow 00:15:31.336$ so this is a potentially more problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33{.}760$ change in the testing process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:33.760 --> 00:15:36.231 And so now we're looking at applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:36.231 \rightarrow 00:15:38.107$ these methods to learn something

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:38.107 --> 00:15:40.718 about how our estimates of the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:40.718 --> 00:15:42.626 time and basic reproductive number

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

00:15:42.626 --> 00:15:45.472 of COVID-19 in the US may or may

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}398$ not be biased by these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00{:}15{:}47.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.260$ changes in testing practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.852$ And this is data for all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:51.852 \rightarrow 00:15:54.786$ US in which we have the number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261

 $00:15:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.112$ total number of tests in the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- 00:15:57.112 --> 00:15:59.073 number of positive tests plotted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:15:59.073 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.793$ on the log scale on the left here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}16{:}01{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04{.}026$ as well as the percent of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}16{:}04.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.790$ Individuals testing positive through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}16{:}05{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}194$ time for both daily data as well as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:16:09.194 \rightarrow 00:16:10.914$ kind of cumulatively overtime on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:16:10.914 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.737$ it in the middle and then our best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:16:13.737 \rightarrow 00:16:16.222$ estimate of the real time time varying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:16:16.222 \rightarrow 00:16:17.746$ reproductive number through time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}16{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19.615$ Where overall what we estimate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:16:19.615 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.480$ is that the basic reproductive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00:16:21.548 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.080$ number before March 24th,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}16{:}23.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.865$ when things start to flatten
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923234760761261
- $00{:}16{:}24.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.650$ out is estimated to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:16:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.415$ around 3 1/2 with a time varying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:29.415 \rightarrow 00:16:31.416$ reproductive number of starting off

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:31.416 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.132$ around 4:00 and then kind of quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}34{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}941$ Decreasing and then kind of has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:37.941 \rightarrow 00:16:42.090$ hovering just at or below one since around

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.038$ early to mid April in the entire US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:16:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.272$ And then we can look at this, uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}49{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}168$ broken down for each of the states where

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}52{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}744$ we start to see kind of more an more

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}447$ inconsistencies in reporting as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}447{\:}-{>}00{:}17{:}01{.}207$ low probabilities of individuals kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}17{:}01{.}210$ --> $00{:}17{:}04{.}490$ being tested early on in the epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:04.490 --> 00:17:06.912 where this starts to kind of emerged

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:06.912 --> 00:17:09.903 as a greater potential bias in some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:09.903 \rightarrow 00:17:12.429$ these estimates of the time varying

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:12.429 \rightarrow 00:17:14.749$ reproductive number through time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:17:14.750 --> 00:17:15.938 Particularly, for example,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:17:15.938 --> 00:17:16.730 in Washington,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.705$ where there's this strong day
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:18.705 \rightarrow 00:17:20.285$ of the week effect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.069$ you can see within the testing process,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:17:23.070 00:17:25.236 which is probably causing some of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}17{:}25{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27{.}606$ these kind of Wiggles in their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:27.606 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.741$ time varying estimate of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:29.741 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.420$ reproductive number through time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:17:31.420 --> 00:17:32.335 In in California,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:32.335 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.470$ generally what we see these kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:34.535 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.712$ large increases in the number of tests
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:36.712 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.537$ 'cause they had some inconsistencies NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:38.537 \rightarrow 00:17:41.027$ and particularly the reporting of the NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:41.027 \rightarrow 00:17:43.288$ negative test through time, which we NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:17:43.288 \rightarrow 00:17:45.690$ don't think will bias estimates of RT.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.808$ But this sort of lack of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.197$ Slow ramp up and recording early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}034$ may have led to these sort of larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:53.034 \rightarrow 00:17:55.490$ estimates of the RT value early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:55.490 \rightarrow 00:17:57.926$ and similarly in New York West testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:17:57.926 \rightarrow 00:18:00.367$ capacity kind of was limited early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}00{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}302$ We think that this sort of initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}02{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}970$ peak in the estimated real-time

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}530$ reproductive numbers is based

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:05.530 \rightarrow 00:18:08.050$ on this sort of large increase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.602$ but you can then see kind of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}18{:}10.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.900$ estimates of the most recent measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:12.900 --> 00:18:15.282 of Artie are probably not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:15.353 \rightarrow 00:18:17.474$ to be biased by these sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.290$ for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:18:18.290 \rightarrow 00:18:20.720$ Slow decrease in the percentage of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:18:20.720 \rightarrow 00:18:23.015$ individuals testing positive in New York
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}18{:}23.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.520$ because this is mostly been associated with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:18:25.520 --> 00:18:25.897 UM,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}18{:}25{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28{.}536$ a ramp up the testing capacity in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:18:28.536 \rightarrow 00:18:31.288$ the number of tests conducted through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}18{:}31{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}162$ time and these slow changes didn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:18:34.246 --> 00:18:36.836 seem to bias our estimates of RT.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:18:36.840 --> 00:18:38.001 And so finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:18:38.001 \rightarrow 00:18:40.323$ what we've been doing more recently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:18:40.323 --> 00:18:42.934 is to work on kind of incorporating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:18:42.934 \rightarrow 00:18:46.166$ some of this data to develop now casts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:18:46.166 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.316$ of the current COVID-19 epidemic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}18{:}48{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50{.}355$ where we can take information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}18{:}50{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}874$ about the observed number of cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}18{:}52{.}874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}316$ occurring in blue here and deaths
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:55.316 --> 00:18:57.689 occurring in green here and infer

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:18:57.689 --> 00:19:00.195 back based on our prior knowledge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.402$ the reporting process to estimate the NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:02.402 \rightarrow 00:19:04.367$ number of new infections occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:04.367 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.537$ through time within the population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:06.540 \rightarrow 00:19:09.368$ And this is just one example of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}515$ Data from Connecticut where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:11.515 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.568$ can see that the number of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:14.568 \rightarrow 00:19:16.633$ infections here is peaking quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:16.633 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.155$ a bit earlier than the observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:19.155 \rightarrow 00:19:21.549$ number of cases from the UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

00:19:21.550 --> 00:19:23.650 Connecticut Department of Public health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00{:}19{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.149$ and this allows for more accurate estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:26.149 \rightarrow 00:19:29.108$ of the time bearing reproductive number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.245$ which corrects for the reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833

 $00:19:31.245 \rightarrow 00:19:34.149$ delays that we know are going on.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:19:34.150 --> 00:19:36.105 And now these time varying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:19:36.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.669$ reproductive numbers can allow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00{:}19{:}37.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.929$ for more accurate assessment of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:19:39.929 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.725$ the impact of interventions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- 00:19:41.730 --> 00:19:42.704 For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:19:42.704 \rightarrow 00:19:44.652$ these changes in mobility
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909145653247833
- $00:19:44.652 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.600$ that sod was talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- $00:19:46.684 \rightarrow 00:19:48.818$ about earlier. And so finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- 00:19:48.818 --> 00:19:51.100 I'd just like to thank some of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- 00:19:51.173 --> 00:19:53.298 my collaborators on this work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- $00:19:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.841$ including a series of a number of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- 00:19:55.841 --> 00:19:57.296 individuals, both PhD students,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- $00:19:57.296 \rightarrow 00:19:59.468$ postdocs, as well as other faculty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- $00:19:59.468 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.306$ from the school, public health,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647
- 00:20:01.306 --> 00:20:02.758 public health modeling unit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

 $00{:}20{:}02{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.872$ as well as Nick Menzies from

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

00:20:04.872 --> 00:20:06.280 Harvard School of public

NOTE Confidence: 0.894067525863647

 $00:20:06.356 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.216$ health and funding from NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915202021598816

00:20:12.250 --> 00:20:13.888 Thank you very much Doctor Pittser.