WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:24" NOTE recognizability:0.880

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.81106995

 $00:00:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.609$ Get started then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:00:39.510 --> 00:00:44.445 Hi everyone, welcome to today's grand round.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:00:44.450 --> 00:00:49.920 Our speaker today is Dr. Ian Cray.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:00:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.066$ Ian is a pathologist and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:00:54.066 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.139$ international civil servant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:00:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.392$ Is based at the International Agency

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:00:59.392 --> 00:01:02.764 for Research on Cancer in Lyon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:02.764 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.978$ where Ian is the head of humor

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:06.978 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.245$ classification responsible for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:09.245 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.130$ the blue books that we keep buying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.426$ And he is also head of evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}01{:}15.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.930$ synthesis and classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.124$ He ended his undergraduate education at the

00:01:25.124 --> 00:01:29.667 University of Dundee and then his MBCHB,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:01:29.670 --> 00:01:33.090 followed by a PhD in Immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.572$ at the same place, and even then

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:01:37.572 --> 00:01:39.974 finished his pathology training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:39.974 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.776$ He was trained as a general

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:01:42.776 --> 00:01:44.920 pathologist at the same place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.131$ becoming a consultant

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:47.131 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.816$ pathologist in his alma mater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:01:50.820 --> 00:01:52.155 But since then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:52.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.026$ Ian has moved several times his

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}01{:}55.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.256$ previous positions held were senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:01:58.256 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.859$ lecturer and at the Institute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:02.859 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.986$ Ophthalmology and Ian mentioned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:05.986 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.250$ his major work was in ophthalmologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:09.342 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.940$ pathology and he got so excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.058$ with it that he was instrumentally

 $00:02:15.058 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.666$ involved with the first blue book

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:18.666 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.744$ on my pathology and his working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.620$ To bring out the second edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.470$ or rather the 5th edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.134$ what would be equivalent to the 5th edition?

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}02{:}31.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.984$ He went on to become consultant

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:33.984 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.515$ pathologist and a professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}02{:}36.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.703$ histopathology at Queen Alexandra

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:02:38.703 --> 00:02:41.438 Hospital in Portsmouth and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.055$ Southampton in England and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:02:44.055 --> 00:02:47.185 became a professor of pathology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:47.185 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.755$ University of Warwick and a consultant

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}02{:}50.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.520$ pathologist at University Hospitals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.560$ In Coventry and Warwickshire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:02:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.185$ and just when he was getting ready

 $00:02:59.185 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.047$ to retire as the British system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}03{:}02.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.865$ And courageous their sin a pologist to retire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:03:05.870 --> 00:03:09.474 He got an offer from Dublin to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:09.474 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.163$ them publish the new series of Blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:13.163 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.081$ books and become an international civil

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:17.081 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.550$ servant and of course moved to France.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.952$ So just to give an idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:24.952 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.658$ the series Blue Book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}03{:}26.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30.472$ this is what they look like for those of

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:03:30.472 --> 00:03:33.566 us who are not in diagnostic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.050$ and Ian has been involved with the 4th

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:03:37.050 --> 00:03:40.230 edition of the skin tumor Blue Book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.822$ the eye tumors and he is on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:43.822 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.882$ editorial board of the 5th editions

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:46.882 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.978$ of tumors of the digestive system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}03{:}49.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.004$ Breast humors soft tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286 00:03:52.004 --> 00:03:53.016 In bone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:03:53.020 --> 00:03:55.800 female genital tract tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.885$ and thoracic tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:03:57.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.998$ Ian's career has been built on

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:00.998 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.552$ translational path ologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

00:04:02.560 --> 00:04:04.988 and on translational pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:04.988 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.366$ and he was involved in developing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:09.366 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.556$ number of molecular diagnostic methods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.052$ and he let you kiss early

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}04{:}16.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.798$ Cancer detection consortium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.586$ He has published more than 270.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:21.586 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.993$ Papers and more than 150 of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00{:}04{:}25.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.759$ were as first and last authors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.976$ and has been a coauthor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:31.976 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.200$ more than in about 8 books.

 $00:04:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.324$ So with that proof.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:38.324 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.886$ Brief introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286

 $00:04:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.404$ They will let Ian take the floor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.622007845714286 00:04:43.410 --> 00:04:43.690 Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

00:04:43.700 --> 00:04:45.800 you very much. I'll start by saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:04:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.209$ thank you to to you for inviting me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

00:04:48.210 --> 00:04:50.128 It's a great pleasure to be here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:04:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.156$ at least virtually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

00:04:51.156 --> 00:04:53.550 I'm sorry I can't see the autumn

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

00:04:53.618 --> 00:04:55.903 colors around you and get to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00{:}04{:}55.903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.709$ and meet some of you properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:04:57.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.160$ but maybe in the future. Who knows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

00:05:00.160 --> 00:05:02.295 I'm going to start by sharing my

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:05:02.295 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.111$ screen if I may, because I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:05:04.111 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.893$ that's the first order of business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:05:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.057$ Uhm, hopefully you can all see that.

 $00:05:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.940$ Uh, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:05:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.685$ So this is the point where you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:05:14.685 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.533$ shout if you can't because once I put

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

00:05:17.533 --> 00:05:19.778 the presentation on I can't see you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85504359

 $00:05:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.310$ So I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236427575

 $00:05:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.070$ we can see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.180$ good. Alright, let's go so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.400$ I was asked to talk about the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.375$ Processes and challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:31.375 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.325$ And this is not yet coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.380$ Sorry though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.510$ it is of The Who blue books and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}05{:}39.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.378$ It's it's certainly had its challenges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.685$ and one of the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:43.685 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.729$ has been the process,

00:05:44.730 --> 00:05:46.906 so I think it's a fairly good title,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:05:46.910 --> 00:05:48.210 actually. First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.510$ the declaration of interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.351$ I am a pathologist has been said

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}05{:}51.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.003$ and based at the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:05:53.003 --> 00:05:55.008 Agency for Research on Cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.768$ which is a part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:05:56.768 --> 00:05:57.647 World Health Organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}05{:}57.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.910$ But the opinions I'm expressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:05:59.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.718$ our of course personal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}06{:}01.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.878$ I'm going to start with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.435$ This is one of my favorite slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:06:05.440 --> 00:06:08.180 Now I have to say it's a picture of Carl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:06:08.180 --> 00:06:10.540 Aeneas and Linnaeus described the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.845$ natural world around us and we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}06{:}13.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.443$ use the classification that he put

 $00:06:16.443 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.563$ together and published in 1758.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:18.563 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.750$ And if any of the blue books are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:06:20.815 --> 00:06:22.699 knocking around in 300 years time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.720$ I should be extremely pleased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.350$ But I'm afraid I won't be here to see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.805$ The natural progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:06:29.805 --> 00:06:31.745 though of all taxonomies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.038$ is that they evolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.519$ And the natural our taxonomy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:37.519 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.019$ natural world is also still evolving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.974$ This was a story from last Christmas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.900$ Nice Christmas story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:06:45.900 --> 00:06:47.180 Gentoo Penguins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.930$ which all look rather similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.510$ There were actually two species,

 $00:06:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.920$ but now there are four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}06{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.160$ and that's on the basis of genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.468$ And that's exactly the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:56.468 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.939$ that's happening to a lot of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:06:57.940 --> 00:06:59.096 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:06:59.096 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.830$ where genetic investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:07:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.142$ is proving very helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:07:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.484$ So taxonomy is the science of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}07{:}05.484 \to 00{:}07{:}07.598$ defining and naming groups of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:07:07.598 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.542$ biological organisms on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}07{:}09.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.486$ basis of shared characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:07:11.490 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.532$ And cancer classification is also based

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00{:}07{:}14.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.449$ on the shared characteristics of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:07:17.450 --> 00:07:18.875 That's currently mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

00:07:18.875 --> 00:07:20.300 Histology and genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845910708

 $00:07:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.687$ but it also includes things like radiology,

 $00:07:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.778$ cytology and a host of others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.135$ We take our remit for doing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:29.135 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.578$ from the 10th World Health Assembly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.592$ which in 1957 resolved to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:34.592 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.600$ work on formulating international

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:07:36.675 --> 00:07:39.247 definitions of normal stature

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:39.247 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.176$ and statistical classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:07:41.180 --> 00:07:43.616 The World Health Assembly is the governing

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:43.616 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.408$ body of the World Health Organization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:46.410 \dashrightarrow 00:07:51.720$ It comprises 194 countries at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.802$ I should say that I arc is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:54.802 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.058$ specialized agency of the World Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}07{:}57.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.070$ Organization and therefore it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:07:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.704$ have the whole 194 to deal with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:01.704 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.255$ We've only got 28 and I think some of

00:08:04.255 --> 00:08:06.069 us feel that it's probably quite enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}08{:}06.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.250$ but we would always like more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:08:09.250 --> 00:08:12.218 In the 4th edition, the WTO Bluebooks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:08:12.220 --> 00:08:14.895 which started in the 1960s, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:14.895 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.480$ became a synthesis of scientific evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.238$ illustrative cases and diagnostic criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.740$ They became indispensable to many

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.848$ pathologists because they gave you

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:29.848 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.256$ the international standards that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:32.256 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.228$ were required to diagnose tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}08{:}35.230 \to 00{:}08{:}37.687$ And I think that's where we owe

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:37.687 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.260$ them a great debt of gratitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.216$ However, it must be said that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:42.216 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.520$ not everybody was pleased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.860$ And those of you that have seen this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:45.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.737$ before, and it has been around a bit.

00:08:47.740 --> 00:08:50.960 I got mine from that at Jabot and I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:51.037 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.930$ pleased to give her the credit for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}08{:}54.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.995$ But here you can see a dinosaur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:08:57.000 --> 00:08:59.170 It's obviously a gynecological dinosaur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:08:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.578$ and it's eating a CNS blue book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:01.580 --> 00:09:03.584 I'm not sure they're actually suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:03.584 --> 00:09:05.222 that that guy, any pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:05.222 \dashrightarrow 00:09:07.118$ start reporting all the CNS pathologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.720$ So don't worry too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.378$ But certainly there were suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:11.378 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.030$ these books were somewhat out of date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:14.030 --> 00:09:16.302 By the time they were published and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:16.302 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.766$ took about three years to publish each book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}09{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.001$ So that was one of the challenges the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:22.001 --> 00:09:25.284 4th edition took over 12 years to publish,

 $00:09:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.306$ so it was a mammoth task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}09{:}27.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.332$ and one that a smartly small

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:29.332 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.489$ number of people took on and did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.596$ and we owe them a great debt of gratitude,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154 00:09:33.600 --> 00:09:35.820 of course. NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.640$ However, we needed to innovate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.420$ We needed to change things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.370$ so there are a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.280$ innovations for the 5th edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.660$ And these really fall into three categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}09{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.170$ The first was better governance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:48.170 --> 00:09:50.384 The entire 4th edition was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:09:50.384 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.840$ led by just three pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:52.840 --> 00:09:56.596 and that's probably nothing like enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:56.600 --> 00:09:59.060 I certainly when I was appointed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:09:59.060 --> 00:10:01.540 didn't wish to try and lead it myself,

 $00:10:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.716$ and therefore I decided it would be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:10:03.716 --> 00:10:05.889 good idea to have an editorial board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}10{:}05.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.851$ And I borrowed from the National Institute

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:10:08.851 --> 00:10:10.830 for Clinical Excellence in the UK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.861$ which in its committees has both standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:13.861 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.147$ and expert members and the standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:16.147 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.121$ members are there to provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}10{:}18.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.928$ continuity and the overall expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.100$ and they're usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.270$ fairly senior individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.054$ The experts are there to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:10:25.054 --> 00:10:28.148 the hard experts opinion that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00{:}10{:}28.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.210$ required to make decisions within

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.500$ health technology assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.739$ In the case of Nice or classification

 $00:10:35.739 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.488$ in this instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.303$ And we try to do what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:39.303 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.810$ do based on evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.695$ and that now includes things

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:10:42.695 --> 00:10:43.826 like systematic reviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

00:10:43.830 --> 00:10:45.118 which historically pathologists have

NOTE Confidence: 0.911003403846154

 $00:10:45.118 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.340$ not really been involved in very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:10:49.390 \dashrightarrow 00:10:53.254$ We are about evidence and not eminence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}10{:}53.260 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}56.710$ Expert opinion is still is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:10:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.618$ very relevant, but it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}10{:}59.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.548$ It's not of great significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.970$ It's really important that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.350$ Have also selection by informed

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.740$ Bibliometrics and we we we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.806$ We use both author and editor

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}11{:}16.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.505$ selection using this process,

00:11:18.505 --> 00:11:21.811 which allows us to look at what people

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:21.811 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.535$ have published and decide what is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.067$ What is is is most fair in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:27.067 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.680$ in terms of who to appoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.650$ It's not a simple process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:11:31.650 --> 00:11:34.098 it's something we have to do quite carefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.624$ because clearly we don't want everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:35.624 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.559$ to come from one country or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}11{:}37.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.808$ We want to have a geographical spread as

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:39.808 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.598$ well as a spread of expertise and experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.183$ and we try and do that using a system

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:45.183 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.366$ which we've actually developed called

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:47.366 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.096$ the Blue Book Expert Selection Tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.508$ which is a form of cross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:11:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.870$ It uses cross referencing to identify

00:11:56.870 --> 00:11:59.647 papers published by authors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:11:59.647 --> 00:12:02.305 who they have Co published with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.460$ so it's a Co citation system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:12:05.460 --> 00:12:06.936 I'm not going to talk about that much more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857 00:12:06.940 --> 00:12:07.413 actually, NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:12:07.413 --> 00:12:09.778 'cause it's it's quite complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.956$ In terms of quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857 00:12:12.956 --> 00:12:13.750 Uhm? NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}12{:}13.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.360$ We've got a hierarchical classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:12:16.360 --> 00:12:17.926 using Linnane principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.689$ and that gives us some tremendous scope

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:21.689 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.550$ to collapse and expand particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.870$ diagnostic categories quite easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}12{:}28.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.830$ In terms of quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:12:29.830 --> 00:12:32.050 I'll talk about that a little bit more later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.486$ and we've certainly upped the quality

 $00:12:34.486 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.273$ of images we tried to ensure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}12{:}37.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.004$ references are up to date and relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:40.004 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.746$ and there's quite a bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.750$ We get our epidemiology from epidemiologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.750$ which is a bit of a novel turn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.904$ but the epidemiologists in arc and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:49.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.977$ there are a lot of them were fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}12{:}52.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.440$ scathing about the pathologist's

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.275$ ability to do this, so we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

00:12:56.275 --> 00:12:57.625 well, why don't you do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:12:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.310$ And luckily, they said yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:13:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.095$ We try to incorporate new

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}13{:}02.095 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}03.523$ information whenever we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00{:}13{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.368$ and we harmonize topics across series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:13:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.677$ particularly neuroendocrine neoplasms,

00:13:08.677 --> 00:13:09.446 humita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911235597142857

 $00:13:09.446 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.060$ lymphoid disease and soft tissue tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.238$ We've improved the speed with which

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:18.238 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.972$ we can produce the books by just

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:20.972 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.057$ taking a process management approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:13:23.060 --> 00:13:26.048 It's lean management, really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:13:26.050 --> 00:13:28.528 So we've tried to improve things

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:28.528 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.147$ at every stage by setting deadlines

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00{:}13{:}31.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.651$ and trying to meet them and also by

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.715$ improving the way in which we handle

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.136$ matters in an automated fashion as far

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:13:41.136 --> 00:13:43.635 as possible within the software that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:43.635 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.050$ we used to produce the whole thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.675$ We have a website that allows easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:13:48.675 --> 00:13:50.853 access to references to whole slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:50.853 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.310$ images and it now allows notes and

 $00:13:53.389 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.711$ it also allows feedback so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:13:55.711 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.998$ then allows those that are part

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:13:57.998 --> 00:14:00.308 of the community that use and buy

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:00.308 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.140$ the books and the website too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.841$ Come back to us in a much easier way

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:14:06.841 --> 00:14:08.496 and a much more organized way too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.400$ which is probably better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.648$ So the 5th edition books look

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:12.648 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.480$ a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.566$ The 4th edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00{:}14{:}14.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.738$ they've got a slightly modernized cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.240$ There are slightly lighter blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.520$ so you can tell which is which on the shelf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.613$ and there's quite a lot of thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:22.613 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.489$ gone into the design of both

 $00:14:24.489 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.009$ the cover and the spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:14:26.010 --> 00:14:28.145 We've kept the nine photographs in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:28.145 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.153$ front 'cause that makes them instantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:30.153 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.870$ recognizable as a WHO blue book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.089$ And we've gone for a two column

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:35.089 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.723$ format inside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.846$ So the photographs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:36.846 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.450$ which were rather small in the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.302$ edition in a three column format

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:14:41.302 --> 00:14:43.690 and now on the whole much larger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.638$ and that certainly helped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:45.638 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.586$ We've incorporated clinical photographs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.849$ We've incorporated epidemiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:49.849 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.956$ graphs as well. Uh, diagrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:52.956 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.340$ So there's a wealth of information in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889 00:14:56.340 --> 00:14:56.658 Volumes,

 $00:14:56.658 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.884$ but I should say at the outset

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:14:58.884 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.519$ they are not textbooks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.537$ This is a taxonomy would be describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:03.537 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.112$ the criteria for each tumor type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:06.112 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.252$ which results in that classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.694$ We're not trying to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:09.694 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.650$ how to diagnose them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.150$ that's something that a textbook would do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:15:14.150 --> 00:15:16.438 In terms of who?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889 00:15:16.438 --> 00:15:17.010 Therefore, NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.720$ the other thing is that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.860$ not just for pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00{:}15{:}19.860 \longrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.068$ this is the taxonomy of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00{:}15{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.236$ and just as a gardener or a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.065$ A farmer will be interested

 $00:15:29.065 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.330$ in the plants on their land.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00{:}15{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.412$ People with different ideas of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:33.412 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.627$ what they want are quite capable

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

00:15:35.627 --> 00:15:37.907 of looking at the same taxonomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:37.907 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.939$ and getting something from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.196$ The doublet show blue books then

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:42.196 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.155$ provide a definitive evidence based

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.483$ classification of all cancer types to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926105628888889

 $00:15:46.483 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.870$ enable diagnosis and research worldwide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:15:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.605$ And our diagnosis of cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00{:}15{:}53.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}55.593$ and other tumors underpin

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:15:55.593 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.629$ individual patient treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:15:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.660$ Of course, that's why we do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:15:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.620$ but they also underpin research

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:16:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.580$ into all aspects of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:16:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.604$ causation, prevention and therapy.

 $00:16:05.604 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.640$ And then they also underpin education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:16:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.187$ So it really is the basis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868953798333333

 $00:16:10.187 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.668$ an awful lot of what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:16:13.910 --> 00:16:16.400 In terms of the classification turns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:16:16.400 --> 00:16:19.780 we use usually A5 level

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.808$ classification with subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.530$ As a further level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:16:23.530 --> 00:16:26.918 So we start off, usually with sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:26.918 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.586$ for instance stomach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}16{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.572$ We then have a category which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:16:31.572 --> 00:16:33.978 often lineages based epithelial plasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:33.978 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.687$ something like that and then a

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}16{:}36.687 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}38.721$ family or class which in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:38.721 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.575$ example I've given as abnormal other

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:41.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.523$ pre malignant neoplastic lesions.

 $00:16:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.435$ We might separate those and some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:46.435 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.978$ volumes and then a type would be adenoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.172$ So you'd expect to see gastric

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:52.172 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.170$ adenoma in the diagnosis perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:16:55.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.590$ And then the subtype, UM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:16:57.590 --> 00:17:01.258 would be public land in this example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.800$ Variant is not a word.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.129$ We try to use anymore within the blue books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:17:07.130 --> 00:17:09.482 In terms of the Histology or

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:09.482 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.140$ what is now called the subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.100$ The reason for that is partly because

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:17:14.100 --> 00:17:15.938 it's been somewhat hijacked by others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.796$ It's used variably by geneticists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:18.796 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.022$ by others to describe variations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:21.022 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.400$ may or may not be part of the classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.888$ So we've tried to avoid it where possible

 $00:17:27.888 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.906$ and deal with it when we absolutely have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:17:31.910 --> 00:17:33.710 We haven't, though I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.482$ switched from calling mutations as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:36.482 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.652$ may very well know them sequence variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:39.652 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.300$ which is what most geneticists would do now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.400$ In terms of staging grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.675$ those are dealt with separately

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:47.675 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.040$ within the books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.805$ Honestly, the early sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}17{:}50.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.960$ points that we realized was that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:17:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.486$ Your view of the classification really

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:17:55.486 --> 00:17:58.029 depended on what you were doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}17{:}58.030 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}17{:}59.983$ So if you were a genetic ist or a histo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}17{:}59.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.548$ pathologist or radiologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.668$ you looked at things very differently.

 $00:18:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.930$ And that's a multidimensional problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.118$ and it's very hard to put multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:11.118 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.166$ dimensions into a two dimensional book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.138$ So we decided to make it slightly easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:18:17.138 --> 00:18:19.418 on ourselves by having a database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.667$ So the classification is now a database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.498$ It's a relational database and it means

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:24.498 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.678$ that we can now use the headings for

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}18{:}27.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.442$ each of the tables to develop the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:18:31.442 --> 00:18:33.806 dimensional layout you see in the books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.870$ and that's why you will see

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.234$ things like etiology unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:40.234 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.472$ It's not because we didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:43.472 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.828$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

00:18:43.828 --> 00:18:45.964 we didn't leave etiology Alex because

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}18{:}45.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.048$ there was nothing to put in there.

 $00:18:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.612$ We actually have to say something for

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:50.612 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.298$ each of these headings in the book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.759$ We don't, uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.330$ Make any distinction underneath these

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:18:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.448$ headings so that the open bullet points are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:19:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.448$ You can be used or not used as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:19:05.448 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.012$ people wish and you'll see them

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00{:}19{:}07.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.850$ in some of the larger sections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:19:08.850 \dashrightarrow 00:19:11.104$ particularly where it breaks up the text,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960833388333333

 $00:19:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.998$ but you won't otherwise see them at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.005$ So we start off with the definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}19{:}17.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.178$ The definition now has to be less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}19{:}20.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22.986$ 100 words and it has to define what

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:22.986 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.429$ something is and not what it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.370$ And it has to be usable by

 $00:19:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.630$ people outside pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.150$ Uhm, because it is used on its own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

00:19:32.150 --> 00:19:33.694 it's used for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:33.694 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.624$ with the ICD 11 codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

00:19:35.630 --> 00:19:37.442 I won't go into coding 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:37.442 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.650$ that is pretty specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.546$ but suffice it to say that

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}19{:}40.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.518$ that we have the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:42.518 \dashrightarrow 00:19:45.648$ Classification of Diseases on koleji.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.550$ Uhm, which is used largely

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.690$ by cancer registries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.780$ and we have the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

00:19:50.780 --> 00:19:52.870 Classification of Diseases Version 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.726$ which is the new one that's taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:55.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.479$ over from ICD 10 at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:19:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.846$ And it's still really under development.

 $00:20:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.020$ We give related terminology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:20:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.750$ we divide that into two types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}20{:}06.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.626$ acceptable and not recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:20:08.626 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.440$ And that's because we don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:20:11.517 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.567$ to stop people doing things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

00:20:13.570 --> 00:20:15.378 It's not our role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

00:20:15.378 --> 00:20:17.352 but it's certainly necessary to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}20{:}17.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.800$ say what is a good idea and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}20{:}19.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.976$ perhaps isn't such a good idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}20{:}21.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.332$ We list subtypes and we described

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

00:20:24.332 --> 00:20:26.366 them under whichever category they

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:20:26.366 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.506$ all categorization they come into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00:20:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.100$ So if it's a histological

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}20{:}30.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.372$ subtype comes under Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91318051142857100:20:31.380 --> 00:20:31.948 If not,

 $00:20:31.948 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.936$ it might be a genetic one described

NOTE Confidence: 0.913180511428571

 $00{:}20{:}33.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.680$ under the molecular pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:20:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.620$ The clinical features and radiology come

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:20:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.875$ next and we put them together because

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:20:41.875 --> 00:20:44.330 they seem to fit fairly well that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:20:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.526$ at least in in the first book we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:20:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.238$ which was in digester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:20:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.276$ Uhm, epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:20:50.276 --> 00:20:53.284 I've talked about already and etiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:20:53.284 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.086$ We covered the causes and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}20{:}56.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.065$ predisposing factors going here so

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:20:58.065 --> 00:21:00.166 that the predispositions genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:00.166 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.126$ predisposition would go in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:03.130 --> 00:21:05.130 In terms of pathogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.130$ that is largely these days molecular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.880$ but we do try to make sure that we cover

 $00:21:10.956 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.294$ other other parts of that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:13.300 --> 00:21:15.124 A macroscopic appearance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:15.124 --> 00:21:16.948 histopathology probably needs no

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:16.948 --> 00:21:18.770 introduction to this audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.660$ but it has all the usual things

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.850$ you'd expect under there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.565$ We try to have differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:23.565 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.937$ diagnosis at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.922$ which is very helpful to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:27.922 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.910$ many pathologists I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:29.910 --> 00:21:31.670 In terms of cytology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.310$ that is now being dealt with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.158$ in addition to the blue books as

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:36.158 --> 00:21:38.099 a series of reporting systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.768$ foresighted pathologists and we've

 $00:21:39.768 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.853$ teamed up with the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:41.853 --> 00:21:43.949 Academy of Cytology to produce those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.893$ and I'll show you a mock up of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:46.893 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.214$ cover later in terms of diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:50.214 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.205$ molecular pathology that is restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:52.205 --> 00:21:54.430 to what you would do in a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:21:54.430 --> 00:21:55.886 So if you wouldn't do another patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.430$ it won't appear there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.355$ It'll appear on the pathogenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:21:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.734$ One of the innovations that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}22{:}02.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.463$ introduced is the addition of essential

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:06.463 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.375$ and desirable diagnostic criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.732$ And the reason we've done that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:22:11.732 --> 00:22:14.152 because in the previous 4th edition

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:14.152 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.468$ it was sometimes quite hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:22:16.468 --> 00:22:18.608 find out which were the essential

00:22:18.608 --> 00:22:21.012 features that you needed to see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:21.012 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.356$ order to make a diagnosis or to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:23.356 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.104$ classify tumor within a certain range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.567$ And that's something that we felt was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:22:28.570 --> 00:22:30.847 It was a good idea to actually write down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.348$ so that's what we've tried to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:22:32.350 --> 00:22:33.980 It's quite a challenging experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}22{:}33.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.508$ and some of you I know in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:35.508 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.169$ room have had it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}22{:}38.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.678$ We use the UICC staging system

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}22{:}40.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.350$ because we are international.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.116$ This is the international staging system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.496$ But UICC and AJ CC work

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:47.496 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.684$ very closely together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:48.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.646$ so if you're using the AJC,

 $00:22:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.778$ it's the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}22{:}52.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.530$ In terms of prognosis and prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.615$ We talk about prognostic factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:22:58.615 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.700$ and predictive biomarkers in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:23:00.771 --> 00:23:02.666 detail in certain tumor types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.774$ where that's really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}23{:}03.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.154$ and that's an increasing number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952 00:23:05.160 --> 00:23:05.924 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}23{:}05.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.834$ and then we're developing links

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:07.834 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.695$ to other resources which will

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:23:09.695 --> 00:23:11.305 mainly go on the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.310$ and that's particularly to the international

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.310$ collaboration for cancer reporting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.314$ CAP is one of the founding

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:18.314 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.650$ members of that organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.618$ as is the Royal College of

00:23:21.618 --> 00:23:24.776 Pathologists and many others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:24.776 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.718$ and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.062$ It produces the structured reports which

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:23:29.062 --> 00:23:32.820 depend very heavily on the blue books

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.610$ and the staging resource is produced by UIC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.334$ In terms of numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:38.334 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.489$ we have roughly 200 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:40.489 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.728$ on the editorial board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.718$ between the standing and the expert members,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.005$ or will have by the end of the series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:48.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.606$ The authors are really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00:23:49.606 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.964$ update that figure because we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

 $00{:}23{:}50.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.679$ already hit two and a half thousand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918235982380952

00:23:52.680 --> 00:23:56.784 Uhm, so it's a it's a very big project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:23:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.702$ this one and it has a lot of

00:23:58.702 --> 00:24:00.332 people involved in it and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:00.332 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.964$ extremely grateful to all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.723$ It's a it's a mammoth task and it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:05.723 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.948$ enormous impact for patients particularly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.670$ In terms of subscribers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.310$ we think we have about 60,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:24:15.310 --> 00:24:17.282 They are mainly pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:24:17.282 --> 00:24:19.254 but not entirely probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}24{:}19.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.370$ around 8590% pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.588$ And if you're anything like me

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:24:23.588 --> 00:24:25.068 when you reach for your blue book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.884$ it's not there because somebody borrowed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.671$ And therefore we think we've got a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:30.671 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.069$ users than we have actual subscribers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:24:33.070 --> 00:24:36.829 Uh, the whole team is the whole thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:36.829 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.678$ is run by a small team of people in

 $00:24:39.678 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.278$ Leon and there are twelve of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:42.352 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.030$ and it's it's great to have them there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.686$ It is possible to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.201$ We have a number of fellows that joined us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:49.201 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.738$ from time to time and visiting scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:24:51.740 --> 00:24:53.726 So if you've got the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:53.726 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.520$ and you'd like to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:24:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.256$ we can fit a couple of people in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:24:57.260 --> 00:25:01.558 usually into the office space we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}25{:}01.560 \to 00{:}25{:}03.702$ And it would be lovely to welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}25{:}03.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.420$ people from Yale if we could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.450$ In terms of what we've done already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}25{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.330$ well, we've published digestive breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.405$ soft tissue and bone female

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:12.405 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.089$ genital and thoracic tumors.

 $00:25:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.030$ The central nervous system volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.826$ is about to be stitched together in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:18.826 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.796$ from the individual chapter proofs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:20.796 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.353$ and it will go to the printers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:23.353 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.978$ on the 12th of November.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:25:24.980 --> 00:25:27.094 So it will appear on the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.235$ probably towards the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:29.235 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.943$ this month as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}25{:}30.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.310$ In terms of the pediatric tumors volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.618$ that is massive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}25{:}35.618 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}25{:}38.234$ It's the equivalent of two books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.782$ and it needs to be coordinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:39.782 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.640$ with quite a lot of the others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}25{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.205$ so it's proving quite a tough one to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:25:44.210 --> 00:25:46.388 And and we've got the draft,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.950$ but we haven't met yet.

00:25:47.950 --> 00:25:50.894 Managed as yet to get it through technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:50.894 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.488$ editing, so it is a bit delayed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.830$ but it is on its way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.970$ The urogenital male genital

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:25:56.970 --> 00:25:59.110 tumors is also impressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:25:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.814$ That is with the technical editor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:00.814 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.967$ at the moment and it should come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:02.967 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.567$ out relatively early next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:04.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.714$ I hope within Q1 or possibly Q2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}26{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.141$ In terms of the head and neck and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

00:26:11.141 --> 00:26:13.139 endocrine near endocrine and endocrine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.950$ they are being edited at the moment's ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}26{:}16.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19.260$ for technical editing early next year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.942$ so they should be out sometime

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:21.942 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.283$ over the summer.

00:26:23.290 --> 00:26:24.928 And then the humours of lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:24.928 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.470$ tumors volume is being written.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955 00:26:26.470 --> 00:26:26.783 Uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:26.783 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.661$ and then we're about to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:28.661 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.079$ the skin and the I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.558$ We set our timescale for doing things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:32.558 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.840$ at the beginning of the process so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.990$ that that we could get the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00{:}26{:}36.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.190$ thing done within the five to six

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.230$ years that we felt was optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.566$ and and that was backed up by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:43.566 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.942$ findings of a large survey that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:45.942 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.930$ sure some of you participated in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.156$ And again, thank you for doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.064$ And we're going to finish the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:52.064 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.465$ series of the 14th volume on genetic

00:26:54.465 --> 00:26:55.993 tumor syndromes because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:55.993 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.259$ becoming increasingly important that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189758955

 $00:26:57.259 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.310$ we felt we could not ignore that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:01.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.030$ The website now has a new look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:05.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.086$ and if you haven't seen it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.940$ I'd recommend getting onto it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

00:27:07.940 --> 00:27:10.428 If you can, you can preview it before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

00:27:10.428 --> 00:27:13.075 you have to pay a subscription for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

00:27:13.080 --> 00:27:15.299 It is a subscription website and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00{:}27{:}15.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.656$ do sell the books and the reason for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00{:}27{:}17.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.069$ that is that this is a not for profit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.220$ exercise that is completely funded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.825$ sales of the books and and the website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

00:27:26.825 --> 00:27:29.825 Now, yes, we could apply for grants and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:29.825 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.919$ we could try and find external funding.

 $00:27:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.828$ But then that external funding would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:34.828 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.320$ have a say in how we did things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00{:}27{:}37.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.276$ and that's not something we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.327$ at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:40.327 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.072$ This is essentially in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:42.072 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.960$ hands of its subscribers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.512$ so that's 60,000 people and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

00:27:47.512 --> 00:27:50.278 very hard to make it anything other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

 $00:27:50.278 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.286$ than what it is as a definitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8963354

00:27:52.286 --> 00:27:54.130 classification on that basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:27:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.622$ The actual write up for each tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:27:58.622 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.129$ looks very similar to the book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:00.130 --> 00:28:01.906 It's just a single column across a text,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:01.910 --> 00:28:04.690 but it's the same text, the blue numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:04.690 --> 00:28:07.010 there are actually pub Med ID's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.770$ so when you click on one of those,

 $00{:}28{:}08.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.938$ a hyper link takes you straight to pub

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00{:}28{:}10.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.653$ Med to the reference and to the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:13.653 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.490$ we've quoted for that particular statement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.410$ We also have whole slide images

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.848$ and in the breast, but we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:20.848 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.164$ whole slide images for every tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.348$ And when you click on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:25.350 --> 00:28:26.918 you get a legend just as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:26.918 --> 00:28:27.880 would for anything else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.796$ and then you get into the slide and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:30.796 --> 00:28:33.478 you can go to very high power view.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00{:}28{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.608$ So I was asked to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00{:}28{:}35.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.160$ the challenges and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.740$ I expected some of them and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.570$ expected ones included the fact that

 $00:28:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.964$ we needed to produce these volumes

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:44.964 --> 00:28:46.688 faster without compromising quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.692$ We knew that was going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:48.692 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.220$ something that was important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.972$ We also knew that whole genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:51.972 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.599$ sequencing was coming into mainstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:28:53.599 --> 00:28:55.609 diagnostic practice for some things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.800$ and XM sequencing for others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:28:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.224$ We knew that there was a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:01.224 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.048$ genetics that we had to get in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00{:}29{:}03.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.475$ We kind of thought artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:29:04.475 --> 00:29:05.900 intelligence probably a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:05.951 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.289$ too early for the 5th edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.012$ but it has actually come into

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:09.012 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.710$ one or two points already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00{:}29{:}10.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.056$ And it's certainly set to do more.

 $00:29:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.980$ We did think that perhaps radiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.989$ were trying to take over from

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:29:17.989 --> 00:29:20.005 pathology in terms of some diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:29:20.005 --> 00:29:22.009 entities that hasn't really happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.114$ but we're very pleased to say that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:29:24.114 --> 00:29:26.082 do have a radiology Advisory Board

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:26.082 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.540$ that gives us an enormous amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.502$ support in making sure the radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00{:}29{:}30.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.625$ within the books is as good as it can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.518$ The radiologists actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

00:29:34.518 --> 00:29:35.998 don't have anything like it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.930$ so they're really quite interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.836798882307692

 $00:29:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.674$ in what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:29:39.710 --> 00:29:41.450 There was some unexpected stuff too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:29:41.450 --> 00:29:45.124 of course. Look, the confusing terminology

00:29:45.124 --> 00:29:47.004 within pathology is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:29:47.004 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.099$ most of us have lived with and dealt

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:29:49.099 --> 00:29:51.488 with for most of our working lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:29:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.698$ but we did have some really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:29:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.476$ We do have some really good ones and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00{:}29{:}55.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.633$ we are trying to reduce the confusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:29:57.633 --> 00:29:59.288 wherever possible because it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:29:59.343 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.999$ impact on patients occasionally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.638$ The best one we came across was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.488$ I think, in the digestive volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:04.488 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.821$ and it was this one inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:06.821 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.391$ pseudo tumor like follicular stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:09.391 \dashrightarrow 00:30:11.390$ fibroblastic dendritic cell tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.840$ And all of us in the room kind of looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:12.885 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.648$ at one another and said, what is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:30:14.648 --> 00:30:16.720 And and then looked at John Chan,

 $00:30:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.160$ 'cause he's always got the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:30:18.160 --> 00:30:21.400 And and on very kindly turn around and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:30:21.400 --> 00:30:23.435 well, it's an EBV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:23.435 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.062$ inflammatory dendritic cell sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:25.062 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.858$ So we said, well, why did we call it that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.317$ And the answer was that we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.642$ so it's one of the very few that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00{:}30{:}32.642 \to 00{:}30{:}35.720$ actually taken essentially outside

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.058$ the system and made a change because

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:38.058 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.798$ we felt the name is just impossible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.049$ Uhm, we have a problem with Mitoses

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:45.050 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.600$ and the major problem there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00{:}30{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.716$ I'll show you in a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.260$ but it's to do with standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:30:51.260 --> 00:30:52.440 international units.

 $00:30:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.056$ We had committed very early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:30:55.056 --> 00:30:56.800 to use HGVs notation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:30:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.728$ so the huger notation for genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:30:59.730 --> 00:31:02.043 mutations, fusions, rearrangements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:02.043 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.127$ alterations and sequence variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.954$ And we said that we would do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:31:07.960 --> 00:31:10.109 It proved to be quite a challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.918$ because although molecular pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:31:11.918 --> 00:31:15.310 has become very common in some settings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:15.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.936$ in others, it is more challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00{:}31{:}19.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.476$ It turns out that that we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:31:22.476 --> 00:31:24.938 problems in terms of counting as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:31:24.940 --> 00:31:26.816 particularly bases and histones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:26.816 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.352$ and we've had to publish a paper on

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.170$ that to produce a notation that works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333 00:31:34.170 --> 00:31:34.434 Uhm,

 $00:31:34.434 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.282$ and then of course we have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:36.282 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.251$ problem of whether we call things

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:38.251 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.014$ variants or subtypes and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:40.014 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.186$ histological pattern when it's at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.790$ So there have been a few things and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.854$ I'll go through a few of them just now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

00:31:47.860 --> 00:31:49.840 One of the first things though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908059678333333

 $00:31:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.885$ was to think about evidence based pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:31:54.920 --> 00:31:57.744 When in the 4th edition, the books

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:31:57.744 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.579$ are essentially a consensus document,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:31:59.580 --> 00:32:04.304 a consensus statement of experts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:04.304 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.776$ about 30 to 40 experts involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:07.776 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.905$ meeting that would produce the consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:09.905 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.130$ based on what authors had written.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:32:12.130 --> 00:32:14.394 And the question really in our minds was

 $00:32:14.394 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.347$ whether this was eminence or evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00{:}32{:}16.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.326$ And the answer is it probably is evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.305$ It certainly is evidenced because

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:21.305 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.790$ those experts are expert for reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.256$ Uhm, but here you've got someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:26.256 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.900$ who is extremely eminent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:32:27.900 --> 00:32:29.880 The future King of England.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.368$ But I'm not convinced that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00{:}32{:}31.368 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}32{:}33.014$ want him staring at a microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:33.014 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.206$ looking at your biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00{:}32{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.180$ Or mine for that matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.306$ In terms of of confusing terminology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.594$ I've already mentioned one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:41.594 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.890$ I'm going to just select one here

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.126$ and it's pseudo tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.398$ That's about halfway down.

 $00:32:46.398 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.726$ It's a term used to refer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:48.726 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.186$ any number of pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:32:50.190 --> 00:32:51.738 both benign and malignant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:51.738 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.673$ that may produce a mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.504$ It's imprecise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:54.504 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.564$ it's not a good term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.733$ and we've tried to extract it from

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:32:58.733 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.140$ the books and over nearly succeeded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:33:01.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.636$ There is one in one of the books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:33:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.558$ For those of you that want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:33:04.558 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.630$ go looking for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00{:}33{:}05.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.268$ But there are other things here

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00{:}33{:}07.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.049$ that we've known for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:33:09.050 --> 00:33:10.763 Things like carcinoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

00:33:10.763 --> 00:33:14.189 which is potentially imprecise as well,

 $00:33:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.798$ but is still very much used clinically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:33:16.798 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.549$ the lung field and impossible to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887166408

 $00:33:19.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.638$ Certainly in this edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

00:33:24.050 --> 00:33:25.868 In terms of assessment of mitoses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:25.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.706$ this was something that I first

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:27.706 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.570$ came across nearly 40 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}33{:}29.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.406$ And I was really surprised to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:31.406 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.270$ have to publish on it again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.620$ I have to say. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

00:33:36.620 --> 00:33:38.620 First of all, what do you call it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

00:33:38.620 --> 00:33:40.040 Well, it's a mitotic count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

00:33:40.040 --> 00:33:41.538 When you do it on a microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.456$ nearly always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:42.456 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.204$ And it's really a density measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.920$ So you are expressing the number term

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.270$ of mitoses per millimeter squared.

 $00:33:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.110$ Not pleased high power field

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}33{:}52.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.719$ as I'll show you in a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.622$ The mitotic index would be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

00:33:56.622 --> 00:33:58.319 number of mitosis is expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:33:58.319 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.515$ as a percentage of the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:00.515 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.180$ of neoplastic cells present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.890$ and you'd have to count hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.600$ of cells in order to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.042$ It's definitely one for the artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:08.042 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.178$ intelligence and and not for the human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:10.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.109$ I would suggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.905$ Mitotic rate is actually the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}34{:}13.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.180$ rate at which cells are entering

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.610$ mitotic phase of the cell cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.934$ expressed as a percentage

 $00:34:19.934 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.589$ of cells counted per hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.627$ So it has a time in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.466$ And that's not something you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:25.466 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.739$ really do in a form and fixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667 00:34:27.740 --> 00:34:28.291 Specimen. NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

00:34:28.291 --> 00:34:29.944 So in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:29.944 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.948$ pathologists use mitotic count but we

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:32.948 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.140$ often get the name and the numbers wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.100$ Why do we get the numbers wrong?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667 00:34:38.100 --> 00:34:38.536 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}34{:}38.536 --> 00{:}34{:}38.972 \ \mathrm{historically},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}34{:}38.972 \longrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.024$ we've tended to use high power fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.508$ ignoring the fact that between microscopes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:44.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.534$ high power fields can differ by up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.869$ six times even at the same magnification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.010$ And the switch to digital

 $00:34:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.150$ pathology is actually not helped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}34{:}53.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.205$ It's paint things worse because

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:55.205 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.775$ the 400 times fields in digital

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:57.775 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.511$ systems are rectangular and

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:34:59.511 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.388$ they also vary in area and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:02.388 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.600$ can vary quite a lot in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.903$ So the potential for error is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:06.903 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.053$ considerable and at times that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:09.053 \dashrightarrow 00:35:11.249$ affect patient care or even diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.547$ It's solved really by the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:14.547 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.430$ standardized international SI units,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.329$ so size does matter and it's millimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}35{:}20.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.405$ So we recommend counting features

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00{:}35{:}22.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.065$ like mitoses per millimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:24.065 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.820$ squared with an indication of the

 $00:35:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.760$ area to be counted and the method

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.636$ used which can be hot spot or

NOTE Confidence: 0.883036816666667

 $00:35:29.636 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.200$ average to obtain the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.440$ These kind of graticule's on slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.210$ are actually available very freely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.572$ You can get them from Amazon

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:38.572 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.480$ for about \$13.00 each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

00:35:39.480 --> 00:35:41.696 If you haven't got one and like one

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.272$ there should be one knocking around

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

00:35:43.272 --> 00:35:44.570 the department somewhere I'm sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.378$ or possibly several and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.220$ The Convention is to use a 40 times

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.164$ objective, but if you use a 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:54.164 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.324$ times IPS or a 12 1/2 times IPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

00:35:56.324 --> 00:35:57.804 of course you'll get something

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:35:57.804 \dashrightarrow 00:35:59.796$ different and it's pile squared.

 $00:35:59.796 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.068$ Calculate the area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.771$ You only have to do it once

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:02.771 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.500$ for your microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.426$ I always had it on a sticky label on

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:05.426 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.397$ my microscope when I was reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.160$ and it was something that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.869$ referred to virtually all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.377$ In terms of counting mitoses as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

00:36:16.380 --> 00:36:18.018 it's important to know whether you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

00:36:18.018 --> 00:36:19.779 doing an average or random count,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.824$ which may not be all that random

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

00:36:21.824 --> 00:36:23.796 unless you use a random number

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:23.796 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.506$ generator to do it properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.681$ or a hotspot method and the hotspot

NOTE Confidence: 0.874205849090909

 $00:36:28.681 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.179$ North method is what is generally used,

 $00:36:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.628$ so these are contiguous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.090$ If. Microscope fields around a hot

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.907$ spot where you found a lot of mitoses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:36:42.910 --> 00:36:45.129 It's a little bit hit and miss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.206$ but it's better than most other

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:47.206 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.146$ things and it's been shown to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:49.146 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.669$ be in various publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.590$ There are quite a few examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.634$ here of of tumors, and this is only

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:56.634 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.780$ looking at the first few books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.316$ so it's by no means comprehensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:36:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.360$ but it's just a few examples of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.050$ where there is a problem and reassessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.580$ of mitoses is probably worth while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.492$ The other reason why it's worthwhile to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}37{:}09.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.344$ reassess mitoses in in tumors is because

 $00:37:12.344 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.026$ it is very often used prognostically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}37{:}15.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.956$ And prognosis changes depending on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:16.956 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.071$ the treatment is and if the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:19.071 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.308$ of the tumor has changed overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:21.308 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.710$ then what was done previously in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:24.797 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.726$ of prognosis is a bit of a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:37:27.730 --> 00:37:30.914 I used to teach a lot of ophthalmologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:30.914 \dashrightarrow 00:37:32.511$ about retinoblastoma and I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:32.511 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.113$ start by telling them all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:34.113 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.145$ things that were bad prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:37:36.145 --> 00:37:37.845 factors for retinoblastoma patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.690$ and then say at the end of the lecture right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}37{:}40.690 --> 00{:}37{:}42.202$ you can ignore all that once

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:42.202 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.210$ you pass the exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.346$ because actually all these

00:37:45.346 --> 00:37:47.321 patients get chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:47.321 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.547$ they all virtually all get cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429 00:37:49.550 --> 00:37:50.012 In fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:50.012 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.629$ we had one death in eight years

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:51.629 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.890$ when I was at Morefield,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.950$ so it is something that has to be looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:37:57.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.110$ In terms of treatment as well as pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:38:03.110 --> 00:38:04.601 We have a little bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:04.601 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.619$ challenge with statistics as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:38:05.620 --> 00:38:07.618 so most biological data is skewed

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}38{:}07.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.691$ and if you use a mean you've got an

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:10.691 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.780$ error there which you don't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.140$ If you use a medium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.552$ so the median is preferred by

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:16.552 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.655$ statisticians with a range because

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}38{:}18.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.175$ it's not affected by skewed data.

 $00:38:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.140$ In terms of genomics and most of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.630$ I'm sure we will know this, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:38:27.630 --> 00:38:30.070 B RAF V 600 E UM is written

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.030$ in all sorts of ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.350$ This is the correct way to write it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.618$ and it's really important that that's done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:38:36.620 --> 00:38:38.718 If it's done with sequencing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:38.718 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.446$ if it's done using an antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:40.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.742$ then it's still important to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:42.742 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.442$ B RAF P dot V600E if you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}38{:}46.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.066$ simply because that then tells every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:49.066 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.370$ that you're looking at the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}38{:}51.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.674$ And the beer after course of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:38:54.674 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.378$ approaching should not be in italics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:38:57.380 --> 00:38:58.850 We very often, though I don't know,

 $00:38:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.273$ and I'm sure some of you have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}39{:}01.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.791$ sit in the clinical meeting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:39:02.791 --> 00:39:04.622 someone asked the B raft positive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:04.622 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.313$ negative on the Melanoma completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

00:39:06.313 --> 00:39:09.628 missing the point about mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.750$ In terms of histones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.430$ the problem is that the methionine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.150$ the little green one here and gets chopped

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.360$ off during production of the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.817$ And what that means is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}39{:}21.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.787$ antibodies are all named according

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:23.787 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.313$ to the numbering of the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00{:}39{:}26.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29.246$ Once the methionine has been taken out

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.250$ and not what you get on a sequencer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.828$ which will give you a 28,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.136$ not a 27 or 35, not a 34.

 $00:39:37.136 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.346$ So we've suggested that instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886820143571429

 $00:39:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.920$ what one should do is put the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:39:42.920 --> 00:39:45.596 histological number if you like in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:39:45.596 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.471$ brackets and the genetics number in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:39:48.471 --> 00:39:51.837 genetics derived number in as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:39:51.837 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.270$ would normally in a in the system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:39:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.908$ and for some reason I've got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:39:56.908 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.920$ little hyphen appeared there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:39{:}57.920 \dashrightarrow 00:39{:}59.186$ which wasn't supposed to be there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:39:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.856$ Apologies for that. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:01.856 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.044$ The fusion genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:03.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.960$ This is literally hot off the press.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.780$ This hasn't even got a payment yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00{:}40{:}07.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.978$ but it's just come out in leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:09.978 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.803$ and it suggests that rather

 $00:40:11.803 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.435$ than the previous notation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:40:13.440 --> 00:40:16.905 which was very confusing for fusion genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.060$ we should use a double colon instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:40:20.060 --> 00:40:24.804 as the current system of using a hyphen

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:24.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.801$ or a forward slash is actually used

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:28.801 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.510$ in lots of other settings as well, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:40:32.510 --> 00:40:34.547 The clever people Hugo came up with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.534$ and Elizabeth Bruford is is one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:40:38.540 --> 00:40:40.799 Came up with this idea of using two colons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.648$ and I think it's going to take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.794$ We are implementing that within the blue box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:40:46.800 --> 00:40:48.886 If you're interested in genetics are awful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:48.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.690$ lot of resource is available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.370$ I would point out variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.378$ validated down here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.765$ which is actually quite a

 $00:40:54.765 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.390$ useful one and we do as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:40:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.278$ Obviously use some of the other things here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.602$ Gene names and gene cards I

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:03.602 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.970$ use quite a lot as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.157$ I want to spend the last sort of 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:08.157 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.732$ minutes or so talking about research needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:41:11.732 --> 00:41:14.258 Uhm, because we have lots of evidence gaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.368$ we know they're there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:41:15.368 --> 00:41:17.781 If you look for the word unknown within

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:17.781 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.857$ virtually any of the blue books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

00:41:19.860 --> 00:41:21.582 you'll find 150.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:21.582 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.878$ Also instances of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.458$ And those are the things we

NOTE Confidence: 0.889643139090909

 $00:41:25.458 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.510$ know we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.348$ In terms of of evidence gaps then.

 $00:41:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.855$ We have all sorts of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:34.855 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.388$ have come out of the blue books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.106$ so we can actually say right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.078$ There's a need for more genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:40.078 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.390$ studies of neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.538$ There's a need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

00:41:42.538 --> 00:41:43.686 computational studies of Chi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

00:41:43.690 --> 00:41:46.930 67 perhaps of mitotic count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.290$ And we need to know what there is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.730$ So this was a this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00{:}41{:}51.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.942$ This little list came out of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

00:41:53.942 --> 00:41:55.350 meeting on neuroendocrine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.074$ which led to the current classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

00:41:58.074 --> 00:41:59.890 of new endocrine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972576982857143

 $00:41:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.578$ which has been applied across the series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.126$ I'm a Scotsman and I'm sorry I've got

00:42:09.126 --> 00:42:10.586 to give you a little bit of burns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00{:}42{:}10.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.174$ but there is a translation at the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:12.174 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.927$ for those of you that are having a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.270$ UM, facts are chiels at winner

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.261$ Ding a downer be disputed. Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:19.261 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.380$ It's essentially an older version of facts

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.640$ that are things that you can't dispute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.300$ And. As Donald Rumsfeld put it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.472$ we have known knowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:33.472 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.769$ We have things that we know we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.011$ They are straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:38.011 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.999$ They're not under any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.304$ Control the C in pathology or anywhere else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.474$ And there are plenty of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:45.474 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.250$ in the blue books,

 $00:42:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.540$ and it's sometimes hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:47.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.830$ find a reference for them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.430$ because actually we know that true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.680$ Then there were the known unknowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:42:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.470$ There are things we know we don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00{:}42{:}58.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.787$ and those are the unknowns that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:00.787 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.927$ talked about in the books so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.690$ But there are also undoubtedly a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

00:43:05.690 --> 00:43:08.237 unknown knowns things that we've forgotten,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.040$ and a lot of that these days has

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

00:43:10.040 --> 00:43:11.911 come from things like electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00{:}43{:}11.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.276$ microscopy and ultrastructure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:13.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.404$ which is not very fashionable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:15.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.412$ and it's quite surprising how little

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:17.412 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.274$ of it gets into the blue box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00{:}43{:}19.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.056$ but I have pleased to say that in

 $00:43:22.056 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.153$ the endocrine volume you'll find

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

00:43:24.153 --> 00:43:26.368 that does happen because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.509$ still there still important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

00:43:28.510 --> 00:43:30.078 And then we have the unknown unknowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.100$ The things we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.188$ We don't know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:33.188 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.972$ and that's something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

00:43:35.972 --> 00:43:37.786 really underpins research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00{:}43{:}37.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.134$ so by looking at tumors carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:41.134 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.285$ by looking at good well call

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:44.285 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.870$ it well put together series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.458$ And doing properly constructed

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:49.458 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.399$ cohort studies with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

00:43:51.400 --> 00:43:53.908 Protocols before you start the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85151757625

 $00:43:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.639$ we can certainly get a lot further

 $00:43:55.639 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.210$ than we do. I think at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:43:59.810 --> 00:44:02.988 And in terms of improving research quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.760$ Why should it have lower standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.378$ when it affects gonna potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:06.378 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.722$ affect huge numbers of patients than

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:08.722 --> 00:44:11.434 we would accept in clinical practice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.715$ So in clinical practice you would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:13.715 --> 00:44:15.953 accept a sample into your laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:15.953 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.948$ that didn't have 4 identifiers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:17.950 --> 00:44:20.686 But you would quite happily accept that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:20.686 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.948$ a research lab with just one in many cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:24.950 --> 00:44:27.575 Drug approvals require two independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:27.575 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.200$ confirmatory phase three clinical studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.290$ And what does pathology need?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:32.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.866$ Well. I think it needs levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:34.866 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.299$ evidence the same as anything else.

 $00:44:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.410$ We struggle with systematic reviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.930$ and pathology because they have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:41.930 --> 00:44:43.970 be systematic reviews of high level

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.523$ evidence and we struggle with getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:46.523 --> 00:44:48.963 hold of independent prospective studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.650$ Of independent cohort studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.910$ of sufficient size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

00:44:51.910 --> 00:44:54.086 Sample size calculations are

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00{:}44{:}54.086 \rightarrow 00{:}44{:}56.230$ rarely stated in pathology papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:44:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.830$ They need to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00{:}44{:}57.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}00.854$ Uhm and withdrawn often to case reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:45:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.676$ small cohort studies or expert opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:45:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.292$ And that's still evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931615305714286

 $00:45:06.292 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.450$ But it's not very high level evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:45:11.790 --> 00:45:13.686 So to try and answer this,

00:45:13.690 --> 00:45:16.648 having put together a process for

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:16.648 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.237$ production of the classification of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:19.237 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.174$ we thought it was important to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:22.174 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.768$ support the other side of the coin through

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:24.768 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.508$ setting up the international collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:27.508 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.700$ for cancer classification research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.280$ Uh, what that's about is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.383$ UM, evidence based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:45:34.383 --> 00:45:37.187 Science about best practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.500$ guidance about standards and accreditation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00{:}45{:}40.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.380$ and about information systems as

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.098$ well to try and reduce the vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:45.098 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.396$ amount of data produced every year

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:47.396 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.420$ to something that's manageable enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.192$ to pull through into a blue book.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00{:}45{:}52.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.064$ And at the same time we can feedback

 $00:45:55.064 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.510$ the priorities and gaps that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.580$ identify during the bluebooks process

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:45:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.530$ into the sound of that community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.114$ And this seems to have hit a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:46:04.114 --> 00:46:06.010 of a chord with a lot of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.229$ and it is a collaboration of institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.260$ not individuals I should add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:46:10.260 --> 00:46:12.828 So if you would like to join us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.378$ I'm sure that would be a great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.008$ gratefully received a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:46:17.008 --> 00:46:19.884 R and we're very grateful to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:19.884 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.348$ that have helped to set this up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.429$ I'm just going to show you one project from

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:24.429 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.235$ it and this is called the WCT evidence map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:46:29.235 --> 00:46:34.310 And it's so WTTW classification of tumors,

00:46:34.310 --> 00:46:34.825 obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:34.825 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.184$ and what this allows us to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:39.184 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.200$ have a tool which allows us to examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:42.294 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.353$ the blue books to identify what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:45.353 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.388$ evidence is and where it's lacking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.934$ This is a stylistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:46:49.934 --> 00:46:51.864 interpretations of maps you get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.350$ and that's what a real one looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:46:53.350 --> 00:46:54.966 and I don't expect you to read it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.247$ but you can see the blobs that are red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00{:}46{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58.965$ that I'm a fraid is the low level

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:46:58.965 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.730$ evidence and the little green ones are

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.750$ the ones you really want to see Blues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:47:02.750 --> 00:47:05.487 OK, but green is high level evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.262$ and in epidemiology there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

00:47:07.262 --> 00:47:09.477 remarkably little for some tumors,

 $00:47:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.950$ but of course it does depend

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.469$ on how common the tumor is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.318$ It depends on how much funding

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:14.318 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.770$ has gone into researching it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.078$ and all sorts of other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.344$ so it's not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:18.344 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.608$ But we got this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00{:}47{:}19.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.885$ but I think it's important information to

NOTE Confidence: 0.917421788333333

 $00:47:21.885 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.234$ know so that we know what we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:47:28.100 --> 00:47:29.129 I'm nearly finished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:47:29.129 --> 00:47:31.982 but to just break come back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:31.982 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.897$ site of pathology reporting system

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:33.897 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.194$ and talk about the mock up for The Who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:37.194 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.930$ psychopathology reporting system volumes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.107$ which will be coming out next year.

 $00:47:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.198$ And as you can see on the front,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:47:44.200 --> 00:47:46.545 we've got the World Health Organization IIRC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.630$ and we've also got the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:47:49.630 --> 00:47:52.094 International Academy of Cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:47:52.100 --> 00:47:54.172 It will look a little bit different

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:54.172 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.290$ and it will have this. Uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:47:56.290 --> 00:47:59.470 I think it's it's probably fuchsia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:47:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.374$ but we have a layout editor who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:02.374 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.646$ is far better at color than I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:48:04.650 --> 00:48:06.848 And she thought that was probably quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}48{:}06.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.212$ a good idea to have something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:09.212 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.750$ defined it meant that you didn't confuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.979$ it with a blue book on the bookshelf.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.410$ We are still very much interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:48:17.410 --> 00:48:19.030 in working on AI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.790$ and one of the things that is fascinating

 $00:48:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.589$ to me and it's it's part of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:24.589 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.267$ work I started when I was in Warwick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.246$ Is that there is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125 00:48:29.246 --> 00:48:29.740 Clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}48{:}29.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.370$ a relationship between the genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}48{:}31.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}33.000$ of tumors and their histopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.324$ All there should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}48{:}34{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35{.}648$ Because there's a relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}48{:}35.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37.288$ but doing the genetics of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:48:37.288 --> 00:48:38.107 and their behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:38.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.102$ so we should be able to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:40.102 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.658$ reflected in this to pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}48{:}41.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.298$ It's actually been quite a hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:48:43.298 --> 00:48:44.117 thing to demonstrate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.473$ but here in colorectal cancer using TCGA

00:48:47.473 --> 00:48:50.797 data we've been able to do just that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.236$ and the rock the rock curves here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.096$ The receiver operator characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:55.096 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.152$ curves and not too bad the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:48:59.152 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.528$ under them is about .89 best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:01.528 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.232$ which is almost at the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:03.232 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.031$ where you start thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

00:49:05.031 --> 00:49:06.587 putting it into practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.151$ so it may be possible to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:09.151 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.406$ identify some of the genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}49{:}12.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.462$ That we need to treat patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:14.462 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.853$ with from artificial intelligence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:16.853 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.577$ based assessment of histopathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.091$ And the other thing it tells us is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:22.091 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.946$ which are actually the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00:49:23.946 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.507$ features that we talk about when we

00:49:26.507 --> 00:49:29.299 look down a microscope for defining the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84574880125

 $00{:}49{:}29.299 \to 00{:}49{:}31.714$ characteristics of the patients tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

00:49:34.060 --> 00:49:35.665 So I'll finish by talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:35.665 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.690$ about how how you can help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:37.690 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.832$ First of all, if you can provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:39.832 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.821$ the evidence by doing research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:41.821 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.649$ that's a big help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.098$ Uhm, and and don't think that when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:46.098 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.360$ published the paper that's the end of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.368$ It's a question of taking that research and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

00:49:52.370 --> 00:49:54.770 Pushing it in the direction where it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.116$ get into practice if at all possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:49:57.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.590$ And then evaluate the evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00{:}49{:}58.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00.200$ Systematic review learn how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:50:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.488$ do systematic review properly,

 $00:50:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.946$ and it's not simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00{:}50{:}02.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.107$ You have to learn how to write protocols

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:50:06.107 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.620$ for it and assess evidence for bias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:50:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.530$ particularly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:50:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.722$ And it is quite a challenge to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.89681032

 $00:50:13.722 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.339$ through large numbers of papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.460$ And then if you buy the books or the website

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:19.513 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.337$ you are actually funding the evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:50:21.340 --> 00:50:23.725 So thank you very much indeed for doing that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.348$ because that keeps the whole thing going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}50{:}26.350 \to 00{:}50{:}28.678$ And finally, let us know what you think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.856$ You can give us feedback on the website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.668$ You can certainly email us a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:50:33.670 --> 00:50:36.021 Do I get an awful lot of emails and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:36.021 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.547$ we're always open to receiving cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}50{:}38.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.366$ which are better representative

 $00{:}50{:}40.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.216$ cases than those in the books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}50{:}43.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.796$ And if course, if you find any errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.555$ do tell us because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:47.555 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.860$ the way we get them fixed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:50:49.860 --> 00:50:50.784 So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:50.784 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.940$ there is a need for all cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:50:53.012 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.684$ diagnosticians to contribute to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}50{:}54.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.774$ research to gather the evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:50:56.774 --> 00:50:58.992 a patient needs and to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}50{:}58.992 \to 00{:}51{:}02.370$ that evidence for use in practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}51{:}02.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.350$ Our diagnosis underpinned the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.330$ management of individual patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}51{:}06.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08.450$ Cancer Research and epidemiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}51{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.830$ It's pretty important stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:51:10.830 --> 00:51:12.862 In terms of implementation

00:51:12.862 --> 00:51:14.386 of academic research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}51{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.878$ pathology is almost unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}51{:}17.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}20.820$ In being able to do that itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.673$ through the WTO classification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:22.673 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.748$ tumors which provide the international

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:51:24.748 --> 00:51:26.408 standards for knowing motors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:51:26.410 --> 00:51:28.656 you can't do that with drugs and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:28.656 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.070$ can't do it with a lot of other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}51{:}31.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.742$ Which gulf governments really

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:33.742 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.414$ are the arbiters of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.604$ So we have a joint responsibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:38.604 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.035$ to ensure the accuracy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:41.035 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.578$ classification and to fill gaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:51:43.578 --> 00:51:45.666 and knowledge wherever possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:51:45.670 --> 00:51:48.526 So thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.912$ This is the tower which I

 $00:51:50.912 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.103$ are currently inhabits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.197$ It isn't in great condition and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:51:55.197 --> 00:51:57.818 French government is very kindly building

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:51:57.818 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.700$ us a new Center for the International

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:52:00.700 --> 00:52:02.800 Agency for Research on cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:52:02.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.218$ which will really be a focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:52:05.218 --> 00:52:06.427 for international Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}52{:}06.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07.999$ Research around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:52:08.000 \dashrightarrow 00:52:11.660$ And a nice view of of Lyon in the summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:52:11.660 --> 00:52:15.174 And thanks to my team in Leon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:52:15.174 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.959$ which has worked so hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:52:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.720$ particularly on the 5th edition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}52{:}19.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.216$ And I'm also grateful to two

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00:52:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.420$ distinguished visitors, Valerie White,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

00:52:24.420 --> 00:52:27.720 from Vancouver and Delani Luca Hattie,

00:52:27.720 --> 00:52:30.868 from Colombo, Sri Lanka.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901708019375

 $00{:}52{:}30.870 --> 00{:}52{:}31.790$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00:52:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.990$ Thank you so much Ian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00:52:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.726$ that it was really a pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00:52:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.772$ To understand what goes behind the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00:52:42.772 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.740$ scenes in producing these beautiful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

00:52:45.740 --> 00:52:48.104 extremely affordable and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

00:52:48.104 --> 00:52:50.468 extremely user-friendly books,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00{:}52{:}50.470 --> 00{:}52{:}52.090$ I do have a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

00:52:52.090 --> 00:52:55.495 Since you mentioned how difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

00:52:55.495 --> 00:52:58.093 it is to gather evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00.52.58.093 \longrightarrow 00.52.59.326$ So in pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00:52:59.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.838$ most of the work we produce is

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00{:}53{:}03.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.770$ retrospective and observational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

00:53:05.770 --> 00:53:10.590 And it's really getting harder to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

00:53:10.590 --> 00:53:13.425 Follow up on many of these patients,

 $00:53:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.808$ so is there any future for

NOTE Confidence: 0.830564136

 $00:53:16.808 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.060$ retrospective and observational data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:53:20.230 --> 00:53:24.190 Yes, I think I think there is an I

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.433$ mean the the. The there's certainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:53:26.433 --> 00:53:28.260 you know it's very easy when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:28.308 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.834$ look at levels of evidence and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:53:29.834 --> 00:53:31.630 say Oh dear opinions worth nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.338$ Therefore, the review I published last month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.097$ I might as well not have bothered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:53:35.100 --> 00:53:36.654 I did a case report last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:36.654 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.980$ with one of my juniors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.390$ I shouldn't bother doing that either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.182$ That's not the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00{:}53{:}41.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.755$ Actually, my sixth case report my

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:43.755 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.420$ 6th postmortem autopsy when I was

00:53:47.420 --> 00:53:48.878 training led to the withdrawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00{:}53{:}48.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.090$ of a drug from the market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.199$ It was dangerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.290$ So Uhm, case reports matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.780$ That was a case report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:53:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.215$ And you can learn an awful lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:53:59.215 --> 00:54:00.250 from some case reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.035$ We talk about the end of one

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:54:02.035 --> 00:54:04.210 trial in in oncology quite often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.746$ so there are things that we can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00{:}54{:}06.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.408$ with case reports with small studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.476$ Even better if we can band together

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:12.476 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.715$ internationally and actually get bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00{:}54{:}14.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.670$ studies put together on samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:17.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.438$ we collect prospectively with a protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:54:21.440 --> 00:54:23.920 And then we've got a chance of getting

 $00:54:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.087$ some proper funding into it as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.156$ And and we probably find we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:54:29.156 --> 00:54:31.066 go beyond pathology and pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:31.066 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.854$ is simply the study of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.240$ So how we do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.220$ Doesn't really matter whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.200$ it's with a microscope.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552486583333300:54:39.200 --> 00:54:41.360 Or an MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:54:41.360 --> 00:54:46.370 Or sequencing device doesn't actually matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.290$ What we what we need to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.890$ know what our questions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.710$ and what we were trying to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00{:}54{:}51.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.990$ Classification in its own right

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.270$ taxonomy is actually something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:54:56.343 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.140$ I think matters and which we can.

 $00:54:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.648$ We can almost certainly do better

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

00:55:01.648 --> 00:55:04.563 with than we are at the moment

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:55:04.563 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.493$ and get people to fund.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:55:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.590$ So I think the future is

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:55:09.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.135$ pretty bright actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552486583333300:55:11.140 --> 00:55:11.444 That said, NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:55:11.444 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.660$ you can still do an awful lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.895524865833333

 $00:55:12.697 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.930$ the microscope there, graticule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00{:}55{:}16.090 \to 00{:}55{:}19.252$ Uhm? Thank you, there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00:55:19.252 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.010$ question posted in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00{:}55{:}22.010 --> 00{:}55{:}23.558$ Is there an electronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00:55:23.558 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.106$ version of these books?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

00:55:25.110 --> 00:55:27.680 For example, is the database

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00:55:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.952$ behind it is available for download

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00:55:30.952 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.987$ and use in electronic systems?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00{:}55{:}33.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.553$ Is there an API for querying the

 $00:55:37.553 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.911$ latest version of the database via

NOTE Confidence: 0.828753778181818

 $00{:}55{:}40.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.917$ a public or paid Internet access?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:55:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.632$ Right, so that that that's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:55:47.632 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.360$ that's a multiple question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:55:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.940$ It's a good one too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00{:}55{:}50.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54.316$ The answer is that it's not easily available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:55:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.010$ it is a SQL database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00{:}55{:}56.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.007$ So theoretically, yes, you can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.698$ We've got the, uh, electronic system

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

00:56:02.698 --> 00:56:05.580 going in terms of the website,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.956$ so the website is is searchable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.952$ so you can do searches on

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

00:56:09.952 --> 00:56:11.650 the website and it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

00:56:11.650 --> 00:56:13.346 A sophisticated, not sophisticated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:13.346 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.466$ perhaps as it might be.

00:56:15.470 --> 00:56:17.906 But it could become more sophisticated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.290$ and I think we'd be very open

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.528$ to working with people on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.626$ In terms of what we have to safeguard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.286$ clearly we have to safeguard the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:26.286 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.129$ income of the of the program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.494$ 'cause if we don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:29.494 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.858$ we've got a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.800$ But there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.564$ You know there are examples of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00{:}56{:}35.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37.230$ that's been done very successfully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.396$ for instance Genomics England have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:39.396 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.337$ it with their data so you can access that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.716$ It's just been done as well for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

00:56:44.716 --> 00:56:47.163 very large pathology set up in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

00:56:47.163 --> 00:56:49.360 middle of England called Path Lake,

00:56:49.360 --> 00:56:51.988 which has half a million annotated

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00{:}56{:}51.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56.556$ whole slide images. So there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.156$ There are ways of doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.580$ The short, the short question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00:56:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.340$ The short answer though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91996421

 $00{:}57{:}01.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01.780$ Sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.420$ So I say no more questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.450$ it's time to wrap up and come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00{:}57{:}09.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}12.915$ I would just remind the audience that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:12.915 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.272$ you are welcome to volunteer contact Dr,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:17.272 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.978$ Cree and volunteer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.212$ In data analysis, or in any way you

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00{:}57{:}24.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.689$ think your talents can be used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00{:}57{:}27.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31.658$ I hand over to Doctor Lu our chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

00:57:31.660 --> 00:57:34.495 Yeah, thank you and I will

 $00:57:34.495 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.587$ share my screen now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00{:}57{:}35.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37.551$ Thanks talk to create for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00{:}57{:}37.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40.280$ coming and I I think unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.930$ we couldn't do it in person and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00{:}57{:}42.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.145$ couldn't present you some token

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:45.145 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.234$ to you know on behalf of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:47.234 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.350$ department and our faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

00:57:48.350 --> 00:57:53.338 So I think you know we come up with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863075672142857

 $00:57:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.549$ Play, you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.52324906

 $00:57:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.828$ it will mail it will. So this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52324906

 $00{:}57{:}56.828 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}57{:}58.621$ uh, we're not just giving you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.52324906

 $00:57:58.621 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.547$ virtual plug, it's real well alright

NOTE Confidence: 0.83883622625

 $00:58:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.422$ yeah it will be a real life this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83883622625

00:58:03.422 --> 00:58:05.330 picture of that and I hope you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.812138748

00:58:05.340 --> 00:58:07.580 we just you know really you

NOTE Confidence: 0.812138748

 $00{:}58{:}07.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.140$ know express our gratitude

 $00:58:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.969$ and if we are leadership for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.790118417142857

00:58:10.970 --> 00:58:12.530 you know you know great book

NOTE Confidence: 0.790118417142857

 $00:58:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.248$ which is making such immense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8420460125

00:58:15.280 --> 00:58:17.688 impact on pathology practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.821437057

 $00{:}58{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.790$ and I think it also this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821437057

 $00:58:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.790$ just a reminder, you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.857323739166667

 $00:58:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.230$ we are ready to, you know to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857323739166667

 $00:58:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.698$ you to collaborate. And best wishes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.818790425

 $00:58:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.456$ the next. You know, the 5th

NOTE Confidence: 0.818790425

 $00:58:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.630$ edition of the Blue Book and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818790425

00:58:29.630 --> 00:58:30.998 thank you very much and saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

00:58:31.010 --> 00:58:32.465 you know, hopefully we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

 $00{:}58{:}32.465 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}58{:}33.904$ see you in person sometime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

 $00:58:33.904 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.576$ You know either in your place

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

 $00:58:35.576 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.574$ or in our place in the future.

 $00:58:37.574 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.226$ Thank you, appreciate and I will say it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

 $00:58:40.226 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.928$ You thanks doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

00:58:40.930 --> 00:58:42.943 You know Manju Prasad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863241586666667

 $00:58:42.943 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.718$ you know she this is her

NOTE Confidence: 0.756825729375

 $00:58:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.935$ idea. So I'm just kind of presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.756825729375

 $00:58:46.935 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.164$ her idea in this is great that

NOTE Confidence: 0.756825729375

00:58:49.164 --> 00:58:50.930 you know you know idea to get. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:58:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.170$ know they will this you know present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00{:}58{:}55.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56.330$ Thank you very much indeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:58:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.255$ It's been a great honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:58:57.255 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.430$ and a privilege to be here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:58:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.015$ and I've enjoyed talking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

00:59:00.015 --> 00:59:02.120 some of you over the afternoon

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:59:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.916$ and my afternoon anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

00:59:03.920 --> 00:59:07.120 And I hope the rest of the day for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:59:07.205 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.770$ goes well and I'm sure we will be in touch.

00:59:09.770 --> 00:59:10.526 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00{:}59{:}10.526 --> 00{:}59{:}12.416$ OK, thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.706741445

 $00:59:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.920$ Thank you.