WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:05:51" NOTE recognizability:0.870

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.717054400909091

 $00:00:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.249$  First, the uh, you know, do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.717054400909091

 $00{:}00{:}06.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.740$  know the introduction perfect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.314$  OK, we'll start a good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.940$  Welcome to pathology grand rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.476$  so it is really my great pleasure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}00{:}21.476 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.668$  a privilege to introduce you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}00{:}23.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.886$  Speaker Doctor Mark Atkinson

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}00{:}25.886 \rightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.656$  from the University of Florida.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:28.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.562$  So doctor I concern is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:30.562 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.230$  really a world renowned type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}00{:}32.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.686$  One diabetic diabetic investigator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:34.686 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.370$  an outstanding teacher and a mentor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.582$  an end a prominent.

 $00:00:40.582 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.347$  Leader in the scientific community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}00{:}43.350 --> 00{:}00{:}45.414$  So he has a really long

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:00:45.414 --> 00:00:46.790 and very impressive CV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.046$  and it will take the whole hour to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:49.046 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.770$  talk about the marks achievement

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.782$  over the past 30 decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:00:52.782 --> 00:00:55.974 So I decided just to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.010$  to do a brief introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:00:58.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.471$  introduction to mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:00:59.471 --> 00:01:01.906 So I had the privilege,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.345$  privilege and opportunity to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:05.345 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.153$  Mark in the Department of Pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:08.153 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.680$  at the US will almost 16 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.880$  So I think I really know a lot about him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:01:13.880 --> 00:01:19.352 Mark so Mark is currently the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:19.352 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.413$  Diabetes Association eminent scholar for

00:01:22.413 --> 00:01:25.328 diabetic Research and Jeffrey Kinney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.570$  family professor at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:01:27.570 --> 00:01:29.250 Department of Pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:01:29.250 --> 00:01:31.926 Immunology and Laboratory Medicine at EU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732 00:01:31.930 --> 00:01:32.447 F. NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:32.447 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.549$  He he is the founding director

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:35.549 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.899$  of the Diabetes Institute at UF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}01{:}38.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.340$  Market received his bachelor's degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:01:41.340 --> 00:01:43.752 from University of Michigan and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:01:43.752 --> 00:01:46.916 his PhD Tigre at the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:46.916 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.980$  Florida and then stated there things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.160$  A Marca has been working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:01:54.160 --> 00:01:55.668 diabetic type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:55.668 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.259$  For most, I believe is almost 40 years right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:01:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.960$  Correct me if I'm wrong, if I'm wrong.

 $00:02:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.217$  So he has published nearly 600

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}02{:}03.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.073$  papers and I I know several of his

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:06.073 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.383$  papers are among the most quoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:08.383 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.050$  paper in the field of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:11.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.838$  So he hasn't received the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:02:12.838 --> 00:02:14.286 and 75 million grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:14.286 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.358$  and he's always well, Ralph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:16.358 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.048$  well funded from multiple sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}02{:}19.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.018$  That certainly is the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.258$  an age and he has holding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}02{:}23.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.220$  this type one diabetes pill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.668$  one for long period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.595$  Mark has been the recipient

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:30.595 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.935$  recipients of multiple scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:32.935 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.770$  and humanitarian based wars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.619$  I will just mention a few here.

 $00:02:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.150$  He received a Gerald Gala,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:02:42.150 --> 00:02:43.274 Grosky award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:43.274 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.646$  Mary Tyler Moore and Robert Levin

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:02:46.646 --> 00:02:50.020 Award from juvenile Diabetes Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:02:50.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.595$  Foundation the he's also called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:02:53.600 --> 00:02:56.695 He received a prestigious Eli

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:02:56.695 --> 00:02:59.171 Lilly Award for Understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:02:59.171 --> 00:03:01.353 scientific achievement from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:03:01.353 --> 00:03:03.582 American Diabetes Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:03:03.582 --> 00:03:05.820 also called JDA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}03{:}05.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.640$  He received that they hire strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.150$  award from Sweden and recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}03{:}11.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.921$  he received the UF College of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:14.921 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.749$  Medicine Lifetime Achievement Award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}03{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.012$  And he also received the Jacob Jacobo

00:03:21.012 --> 00:03:24.650 Award from the Nova Nordisk Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}03{:}24.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.215$  really the most prestigious international

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:28.215 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.780$  award in endocrinology and Metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:03:31.780 --> 00:03:34.204 Besides his scientific achievement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:34.204 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.840$  mark has also actively engaged in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:37.934 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.314$  leadership services to type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:41.314 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.018$  diabetes community with active

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:03:44.018 --> 00:03:47.336 administrative or advisory services to JDRF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}03{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.580$  the American Diabetic Association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:03:49.580 --> 00:03:52.380 the National Institute of Health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}03{:}52.380 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}03{:}54.700$  at the Immunology of Diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.291$  Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:55.291 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.837$  and various variety of companies from

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:03:58.837 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.450$  pharmaceutical and about industry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:04:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.186$  And I would particularly like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}04{:}04.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.622$  highlight the two great programs

00:04:06.622 --> 00:04:09.267 that Mark funded from scratch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00{:}04{:}09.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.420$  and I had the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:04:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.995$  actually witness myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:04:14.000 --> 00:04:18.116 One is the JDRF network for pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:04:18.116 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.409$  organ donate donors with diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

00:04:21.410 --> 00:04:23.234 The acronym is Empire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86109732

 $00:04:23.234 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.970$  which you will hear from Mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00{:}04{:}26.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.635$  today. I would say it is epic example

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:04:29.635 --> 00:04:33.028 of how tissue repository can make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:04:33.030 --> 00:04:34.742 Impact on scientific discovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:04:34.742 --> 00:04:37.310 The end part is now supporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:04:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.590$  more than 300 research projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:04:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.040$  Over 200 / 24 countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00{:}04{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.232$  The other program is that he founded the

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:04:45.232 --> 00:04:49.058 university level Diabetic Institute at USC.

00:04:49.060 --> 00:04:52.116 So Mark are all also serves in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00{:}04{:}52.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.740$  scientific organization editorial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:04:53.740 --> 00:04:55.360 board of journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:04:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.952$  and the charitable foundations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:04:56.952 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.340$  I just highlighted one particular one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:04:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.000$  I think it's really remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00{:}05{:}01.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.016$  So Mark is now the president

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:05:03.016 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.360$  of insulin for life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:05:04.360 --> 00:05:08.116 USA, the world's second largest charity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:05:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.874$  dedicated to providing insulin to people

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:05:10.874 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.289$  living with diabetes in the developing world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:05:14.290 --> 00:05:16.468 I will say without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00{:}05{:}16.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.629$  I now turn it to mark for his lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:05:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.386$  titled license from the Human Pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00:05:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.098$  rewriting the textbooks and

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

 $00{:}05{:}25.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27.233$  how type one diabetes develop.

 $00:05:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.302$  Mark is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545 00:05:28.302 --> 00:05:29.010 Thank you. NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545 00:05:29.010 --> 00:05:29.631 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.586016895454545

00:05:29.631 --> 00:05:32.736 thank you for visiting Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}05{:}33.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.822$  chanting. Thank you very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:05:35.822 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.254$  that warm introduction and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:05:38.254 --> 00:05:40.782 write my eulogy one day if you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:05:40.790 --> 00:05:42.904 Won't be for awhile, but anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:05:42.904 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.460$  that was very kind of you and I to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}05{:}45.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.964$ everybody at Yale like I wish I were

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:05:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.007$  up there in person to present this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}05{:}50.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.789$  but we will try and make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}05{:}52.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.290$  through as good as we can today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}05{:}55.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.558$  So thank you again and what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:05:57.558 --> 00:06:00.119 wanted to do is kind of build on

 $00{:}06{:}00.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.796$  what Ken said is to try and show

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:02.796 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.337$  some lessons on how studies of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}06{:}05.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.406$  tissues can really contribute to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:08.406 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.450$  improved understanding of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.758$  And I think in the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.131$  So then pod,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:13.131 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.873$  the effort that Chen introduced below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.345$  That's a we we really are doing that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}06{:}19.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.190$  terms of updating our understanding so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:06:24.190 --> 00:06:26.555 Yeah, again, just keeping with CMA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}06{:}26.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.155$  he notions these are some of the companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:06:29.155 --> 00:06:31.988 that I worked with over the past five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:31.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.483$  but nothing today will discuss will relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:35.483 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.868$  to any of their activities or drugs so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.960$  Wanna add like like one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.854$  things that in that goes with type

00:06:45.854 --> 00:06:48.382 one diabetes research is when you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}06{:}48.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.737$  especially when you speak to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:49.737 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.230$  groups of lay individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.193$  People say well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:52.193 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.798$  when is type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:53.798 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.260$  is going to be cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:06:55.260 --> 00:06:58.984 And part of this is driven because in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:06:58.984 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.219$  So either social media or

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:07:01.219 --> 00:07:02.113 old-fashioned new spapers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.160$  There's just constantly been essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.887$  almost in my whole career news reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:06.887 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.050$  that the cure of type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}07{:}09.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11.209$  is around the corner or three to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:07:11.209 --> 00:07:14.380 five years away is a common thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.484$  and it's been one of the biggest challenges

00:07:18.484 --> 00:07:21.835 is to try and convince people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:21.835 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.770$  you know we're trying to do this and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.978$  Try and take on this challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:27.978 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.548$  of what they see in the media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.174$  but this is not a new event and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:33.174 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.503$  that actually this year marks the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:07:35.503 --> 00:07:37.503 100th anniversary of the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:37.503 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.150$  to isolate insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}07{:}39.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.928$  which back again 100 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:07:41.930 --> 00:07:43.970 was a Nobel Prize winning event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.390$  But this newspaper headline from

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.300$  the Toronto Daily Star back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.936$  It even says the doctors on track of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:52.936 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.830$  cure for diabetes didn't quite turn out that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

00:07:55.830 --> 00:07:57.294 Wait, but uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:07:57.294 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.222$  it's it's been 100 years of

 $00{:}08{:}00.230 --> 00{:}08{:}02.786$  trials to try and do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.974$  And then the reason I put this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:04.974 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.270$  in here and it's a couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.432$  Old now is certainly not been

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:10.432 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.540$  for lack of trying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.866$  At this time on this Lancet

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:13.866 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.220$  article that we put together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.635$  we just looked back in the previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:17.635 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.020$  decade of attempts to try and intervene

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.696$  in terms of type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.082$  This just being on the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:25.082 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.876$  mediated trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}08{:}25.880 \to 00{:}08{:}30.056$  This did not include things like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.822$  The antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:30.822 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.727$  specific therapies and the like,

 $00:08:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.190$  but just targeting the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}08{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.822$  and in many different ways and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:37.822 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.950$  at that time they were about 52

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:40.028 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.680$  completed trials and 25 that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.410$  recruit recruiting and we're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.090$  in that situation today in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00{:}08{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.672$  ways and that there are trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.853657078

 $00:08:47.672 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.912$  going on both in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}08{:}48.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.318$  United States and Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:08:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.198$  All that they're attempting to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:08:52.200 --> 00:08:54.190 Usually one of two things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:08:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.470$  either delay the prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:08:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.310$  of onset of the disease so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:09:00.310 --> 00:09:02.790 If you have individuals that are high risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.228$  try and keep them from developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:09:06.230 --> 00:09:08.708 The need for exogenous insulin therapy,

 $00:09:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.628$  but then or the other thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}09{:}10.630 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.255$  is if you're diagnosed with the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}09{:}13.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.113$  to try and again continue to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:09:16.113 --> 00:09:18.232 the person produce insulin in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:09:18.232 --> 00:09:20.930 OR we what we measure in C peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.130$  So a lot of attempts to try and do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.286$  But as of today we we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:25.286 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.052$  have such a therapy that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}09{:}28.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.400$  approved by regulatory agencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.992$  although thanks to one of your own doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:09:32.992 --> 00:09:35.207 Harold and others in the Community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.654$  we we feel like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:09:36.654 --> 00:09:38.098 We're closer than ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:38.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.212$  but one of the reasons so I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:41.212 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.990$  set this up in this first five minutes.

 $00:09:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.789$  Why haven't we been able to cure the disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}09{:}45.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.198$  And I think there's a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:48.198 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.329$  reasons to that underlie this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667 00:09:50.330 --> 00:09:51.386 and one is, NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:51.386 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.337$  is that we still have knowledge voids in

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:54.337 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.228$  terms of how exactly the disease developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.048$  and this is not meant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:09:59.048 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.260$  be a sarcastic slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:00.260 \dashrightarrow 00:10:02.276$  But what I did was I went back

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:02.276 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.956$  and found like major articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:03.956 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.328$  that were published back in 1992.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:06.328 --> 00:10:08.400 Number of years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667 00:10:08.400 --> 00:10:08.728 2007, NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:08.728 --> 00:10:11.680 and then even last year and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:11.754 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.860$  that actually all the way back to 1992.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.044$  That they said that you know there's

 $00:10:18.044 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.260$  these B cells that make antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.276$  There's the beta cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:22.276 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.936$  the cells that are destroyed there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:25.936 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.666$  antigen presenting cell involved in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:28.670 --> 00:10:30.454 What I I guess the point I'm trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:30.454 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.333$  to make here is that the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:32.333 --> 00:10:33.708 and core elements really haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}10{:}33.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.170$  changed that much overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:35.170 --> 00:10:36.826 I I'm not mocking the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}10{:}36.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.630$  there are where we certainly have

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:38.630 --> 00:10:40.439 a lot more complexity than this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.056$  but we still are faced with a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}10{:}43.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.518$  where we really don't know how Type

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00{:}10{:}45.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.168$ 1 develops and when that occurs it

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:48.168 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.308$  you're forced into situations like

 $00:10:50.308 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.740$  the previous slide where you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.994$  to try a lot of different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.158$  In order to try and see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:10:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.436$  find something that perhaps made success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

00:10:59.440 --> 00:11:00.420 That's just one thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932194666666667

 $00:11:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.210$  and that if you were to pull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.150$  100 different type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:06.150 --> 00:11:07.236 investigators and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:07.240 --> 00:11:09.296 why do you think we haven't cured it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:09.300 --> 00:11:11.142 Everybody would have their own list

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:11.142 --> 00:11:13.158 and I'm not going to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:13.158 --> 00:11:15.210 all of these for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.739$  but I'm going to just highlight the last two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:17.740 --> 00:11:20.506 This would be my list and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:20.506 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.831$  one of them has been the influence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00{:}11{:}22.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.819$  dogma in the field over the years.

 $00:11:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.368$  Meaning there there were a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:27.368 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.499$  assumptions or that people thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:29.500 --> 00:11:31.756 Well, this is true about type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:31.756 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.229$  and there weren't a whole lot of challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:34.230 --> 00:11:37.227 To them, in all cases and the other thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:37.230 --> 00:11:39.686 and this is maybe good for this audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:39.690 --> 00:11:42.426 In particular, I think we had a lack

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:42.426 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.540$  of understanding pancreatic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.876$  or there has been up until date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.520$  And so let's start out there talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:50.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.365$  some of those dogmas that are out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:53.370 --> 00:11:56.202 And I think if you were to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:11:56.202 --> 00:11:58.990 take the literature on type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:11:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.658$  diabetes almost in its pathogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:12:01.658 --> 00:12:03.284 it's Natural History.

 $00:12:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.620$  Almost every.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.280$  Article the first sentence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.480$  if not the first sentence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.864$  the second sentence is this up at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:10.864 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.083$  top that type one diabetes results

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00{:}12{:}13.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.417$  from an autoimmune T cell mediated

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:15.482 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.140$  destruction of the pancreatic beta cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.620$  This this is a central document for disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.820$  and everybody puts it out there and there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:12:22.820 --> 00:12:24.720 There's good reason for it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.820$  if that we've known for well over

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:26.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.257$  100 years that the pancreas of type

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00{:}12{:}29.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.097$  one diabetes has this insulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.235$  So if you remember that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:33.235 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.740$  pancreas about 1 to 2% of that organ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.238$  Is made up of these insulin,

00:12:38.238 --> 00:12:39.732 producing another hormone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:12:39.732 --> 00:12:41.226 producing islet cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.758$  and they do have a a limp acidic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:44.758 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.350$  infiltration associated with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:46.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.430$  We know that in terms of genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.675$  susceptibility and the the major genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:51.675 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.094$  forming type one diabetes are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:54.094 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.819$  with the major compatibility complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00{:}12{:}56.820 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}12{:}59.946$  So right central to immune responsiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:12:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.228$  and then the third thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.026$  is the presence of autoantibodies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:04.026 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.868$  we've known again since the mid 70s?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00{:}13{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.105$  Their patients with the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:09.105 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.340$  develop antibodies that react with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:11.410 --> 00:13:14.116 different constituents of of the island,

00:13:14.120 --> 00:13:17.024 and you could if you do immuno indirect

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:17.024 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.778$  immunofluorescence tests you can see them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.228$  The other, the second probably most

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:21.228 --> 00:13:23.879 touted dogma of type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:23.880 --> 00:13:25.520 is this Natural History model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.390$  and it was put together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.624$  By one of my best friends and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:30.630 --> 00:13:32.118 mentors in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:32.118 --> 00:13:33.110 George Eisenbarth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.410$  he published this back in the mid 80s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.996$  This model for the disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:38.996 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.289$  unfortunately George passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:40.289 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.472$  in 2012 of pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:42.472 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.014$  But this has been the central road

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:46.014 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.098$  map since the mid 1980s for almost all

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:49.098 --> 00:13:51.240 of human type one diabetes research.

00:13:51.240 --> 00:13:53.550 When you talk about the Natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.674$  History of the disease and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:13:55.674 --> 00:13:57.426 challenge we have and will will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:13:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.846$  Re address this at the end is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00{:}13{:}59.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.334$  that I'm unfortunately and George

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:14:01.334 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.490$  would have if he were still alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:14:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.954$  He would admit this to the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:14:05.954 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.314$  this is served as an exceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

00:14:08.314 --> 00:14:10.420 road map for the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92283092375

 $00:14:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.000$  but so many components of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.716$  are not quite right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:14.716 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.279$  But and they they needed to update it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}14{:}18.280 --> 00{:}14{:}19.171$  But it's again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:14:19.171 --> 00:14:21.250 It's been a dogma so few few

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:21.322 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.078$  people have questioned it.

 $00:14:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.546$  And so I thought again, just in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}14{:}25.546 \to 00{:}14{:}27.106$  closing down the introduction here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.866$  I'm going to give you some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.766$  Examples of the dogma that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:14:29.766 --> 00:14:32.090 existed in terms of type 1 diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:14:32.090 --> 00:14:33.930 But now thanks to pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.238$  I'll show later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:14:35.238 --> 00:14:38.760 You'll see that they're not all exactly true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.464$  So one of the realities is that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:14:42.464 --> 00:14:44.690 the individuals throughout the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.890$  States and actually globally today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.600$  if they're diagnosed with type

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:48.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.310$  one diabetes on most occasions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}14{:}50.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.439$  they're going to be told that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:53.439 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.824$  reason that you're here today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:14:55.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.679$  because of this autoimmune destruction

 $00:14:57.679 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.007$  and somewhere around 85 to 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:00.007 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.149$  your beta cells have been destroyed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:02.150 --> 00:15:04.026 Well, now through studies of the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.836$  we now know that actually you

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:05.836 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.609$  can have as little as a 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.780$  loss of functional beta cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.755$  And about disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:10.755 --> 00:15:13.030 and in some cases it is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:13.102 --> 00:15:14.997 high in terms of percentage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.583$  but it actually varies from person to

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:17.583 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.640$  person and will explain some of that later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.662$  But this is a dogma that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}15{:}22.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.430$  people just keep getting told.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.780$  Another thing is, is that and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.510$  I think pathology is bearing this out.

 $00:15:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.878$  This is that we pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:31.878 --> 00:15:33.254 worked as type one, diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:33.254 --> 00:15:35.110 being a singular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.926$  and it's true that in type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:37.926 --> 00:15:39.900 people become insulin requiring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.956$  But in the reality is is you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:41.956 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.020$  develop this disease as a one year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:44.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.168$  a 10 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}15{:}45.168 {\: -->\:} 00{:}15{:}46.316$ a 30 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:46.320 --> 00:15:48.288 a 60 year old individual and

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:48.288 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.220$  we now know through studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:15:50.220 --> 00:15:52.278 Like I said a pathology genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.300$  the immune response that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}15{:}54.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.084$  differences that seem to be aligning here

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:57.084 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.836$  that it may be that type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:15:59.906 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.195$  is more of a syndrome of disorders

 $00:16:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.924$  with different pathogenic processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:04.924 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.329$  leading to this insulin requirement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.522$  Another thing is is that for almost my

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:11.522 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.668$  total career here is is that it was there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}16{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.330$  Was this clear separation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:16:17.330 --> 00:16:20.482 what's type one diabetes versus type

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:20.482 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.690$  2 diabetes and that type one type 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}16{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.230$  diabetes was a disease of obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:16:26.230 --> 00:16:28.799 And had different genetics and and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:28.799 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.859$  pathogenic mechanisms were quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.084$  The reality is is now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:32.084 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.430$  we go to the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00{:}16{:}33.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.650$  although they're still limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.425$  There are some commonalities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:16:38.425 --> 00:16:40.752 we're beginning to see between

 $00:16:40.752 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.284$  type one and type 2 diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:16:43.290 --> 00:16:45.010 and another thing that's partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.519$  supportive of this is is that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:16:47.519 --> 00:16:49.329 actually now seeing a situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:49.329 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.401$  where drugs created for type 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:51.401 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.946$  diabetes are being utilized in

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:52.946 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.380$  settings of type one diabetes and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:16:56.380 --> 00:16:58.360 I think there's a little bit more Gray here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.968$  but historically these have

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:16:59.968 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.576$  been siloed as disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:17:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.000$  but these are documents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:17:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.846$  So what what's led to some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:17:05.846 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.778$  changes in the dogmas and why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

00:17:07.780 --> 00:17:10.517 Why am I here today promoting pathology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667 00:17:10.520 --> 00:17:10.919 Actually, NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:17:10.919 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.313$  it's some of the greatest discoveries

 $00:17:13.313 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.048$  we've had in the field of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:17:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.374$  Have come through studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.850860981666667

 $00:17:18.374 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.698$  of pathology by pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.576$  and I just put some examples here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.960$  On the left. We call them islet

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.852$  of langerhan's because of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:17:26.852 --> 00:17:29.604 that Discovery Schmidt on the right and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:17:29.604 --> 00:17:31.782 German pathologist was the one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:31.782 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.086$  first discovered the infiltrate and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:34.086 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.378$  we've had individuals that notice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:36.378 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.560$  some people with diabetes had this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.072$  Infiltrate other ones didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}17{:}41.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.640$  But with the decline of autopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.700$  in the 1960s and over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.756$  improvements in diabetes management at onset.

 $00:17:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.476$  The studies of the human pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}17{:}52.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.770$  kind of fell out of favor and another

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.660$  reason is because of the availability

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:56.660 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.537$  of mouse models of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:17:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.823$  So back in the early to the mid 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:02.823 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.434$  George had an idea and met with

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:05.434 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.590$  me and we talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.822$  Could we use organ donors to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:09.822 \dashrightarrow 00:18:12.386$  study the pathology of the human pancreas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.986$  Not going into all the details

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:13.986 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.050$  on how that happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.668$  but we ended up as Ken said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}18{:}17.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.112$  forming this network called N Pod

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:20.112 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.410$  for the network for pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.746$  organ donors with diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.358$  And the goal here was pretty simple was

 $00:18:27.358 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.790$  to try and obtain pancreas and other tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:18:30.790 --> 00:18:32.629 Transplant quality tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:32.629 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.468$  not autopsy tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.236$  from individuals with the goal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:37.236 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.002$  trying to better understand how and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:40.002 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.107$  why type one diabetes develops.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.670$  And so this is an example of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}18{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46.668$  screenshot I took a couple days

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:18:46.668 --> 00:18:48.762 ago in that in just referred to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:48.762 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.439$  So what we do is collect transplant grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:18:51.439 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.175$  tissues and they're they're listed there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:18:54.180 --> 00:18:58.140 We operate 24/7~365 UM we we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}18{:}58.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.500$  collected actually almost 1000 organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}19{:}00.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.157$  donors with all of our program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.525$  but those related to impound

 $00:19:05.525 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.330$  or some almost 600 number now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286 00:19:08.330 --> 00:19:08.866 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:08.866 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.010$  you can see we collect them from controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:11.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.229$  which is very important to us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:19:13.229 --> 00:19:14.855 understand what controls are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:14.855 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.385$  then individuals with type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:16.385 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.209$  diabetes or this very informative

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:19:18.209 --> 00:19:20.319 group called auto antibody positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.165$  So these are individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}19{:}22.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.010$  are increased risk for diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:24.076 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.891$  but actually have not developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:25.891 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.706$  the symptoms of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.279$  And then we collect diabetes and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:30.279 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.464$  number of other forms to to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:33.464 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.909$  of round out the analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.935$  So what what I want to talk today and

 $00:19:38.935 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.938$  over the next few minutes is what did

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:40.938 \dashrightarrow 00:19:42.969$  we learn from studies of the tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}19{:}42.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45.189$  So the first less on we've learned is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:19:45.190 --> 00:19:45.970 and I I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.556$  we've affirmed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:46.556 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.900$  It is that type one diabetes is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:48.967 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.795$  autoimmune disorder with immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}19{:}50.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53.080$  in filtration of the pancreatic islets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.890$  as shown here or again, red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.590$  The red stain represents insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:19:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.574$  and then the brown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:00.574 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.172$  the lymphocytic infiltration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}20{:}02.172 --> 00{:}20{:}02.723 \ \mathrm{Well},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:02.723 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.950$  one of the first things that we re

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.540$  noticed if you would was is that

 $00:20:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.387$  the type of insulitis you see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}20{:}12.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.024$  our mouse models is very very

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:15.024 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.248$  different from as a whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:17.248 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.564$  With that in the human disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:20:19.564 --> 00:20:22.587 and we've even noticed overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:22.587 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.495$  that it's quantitatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:24.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.964$  Qualitatively and quantitatively different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00:20:25.964 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.038$  So if you look at the mouse model on

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}20{:}29.038 \to 00{:}20{:}32.290$  the left, often we it's in stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

00:20:32.290 --> 00:20:34.990 It's almost like staging cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934121565714286

 $00{:}20{:}34.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.875$  or that where you have the grades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944945992

 $00:20:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.407$  whereas in the humans it's more just

NOTE Confidence: 0.944945992

00:20:41.407 --> 00:20:44.370 what we say is it present or absent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:20:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.522$  And we actually a few years ago now

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:20:49.522 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.676$  brought together pathologists from around

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:20:51.676 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.995$  the world that had and we met in in

00:20:54.995 --> 00:20:57.837 Cambridge and tried to come up with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}20{:}57.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.273$  universal definition of what insulitis is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.437$  And what we the we are consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:03.437 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.884$  definition of INSULITIS was is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:05.884 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.031$  you had to have within a given case 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:09.031 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.800$  islets with 15 white blood cells present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.851$  And then we would say that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:14.851 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.730$  case had insulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.234$  If we went very much off of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.284$  we would be, we would have a lot of cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:21:22.284 --> 00:21:24.675 type one diabetes that didn't have insulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:21:24.675 --> 00:21:26.865 Another thing that and I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}21{:}26.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.820$  example of this is we said there

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.420$  needed to be pseudo atrophic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.994$  Pilots within this section, meaning islets

00:21:32.994 --> 00:21:35.859 devoid of the insulin producing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}21{:}35.860 --> 00{:}21{:}37.530$  But this is very different

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.804$  from the NOD's as a whole,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:21:39.804 --> 00:21:41.408 although exceptions do exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.700$  it's just much more common in NOD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.070$  Now, in terms of the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.780$  of of cells that infiltrate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.340$  it's pretty much a catch catch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:21:51.340 --> 00:21:54.976 Can there's B cells, T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:21:54.980 --> 00:21:57.809 CD4 CD, 8 macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:21:57.809 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.007$  dendritic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:21:59.007 --> 00:22:02.601 but in humans the most predominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:22:02.601 --> 00:22:04.822 one is the CD8T cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:04.822 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.327$  But another dogma that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:07.327 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.859$  were able to bust was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.422$  There there were thoughts that actually

 $00{:}22{:}12.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.809$  mostly insulitis would occur at or

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}22{:}14.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.958$  around the onset of type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.215$  and that within a few months to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:22:20.215 --> 00:22:22.130 a couple years of disease onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.726$  that with the losses of beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:23.726 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.299$  cells that it would go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:22:25.300 --> 00:22:27.240 And actually through studies of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:22:27.240 --> 00:22:29.520 long term patients we can act.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.660$  We've actually shown that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:22:31.660 --> 00:22:33.800 insulitis can exist for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588 00:22:33.800 --> 00:22:34.203 many, NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:34.203 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.621$  many years after the onset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}22{:}36.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.480$  symptomatic onset of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.110$  as can the presence of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.908$  Insulin positive cells.

 $00:22:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.870$  And this is an example of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}22{:}45.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.607$  actually the first time I've ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:22:47.607 --> 00:22:49.395 shown this publicly to an audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.795$  We've developed a new technique

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:50.795 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.970$  where we can take live tissue slices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.270$  These are about 125 Micron thick

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.920$  sections stained them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.310$  so this is within hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:22:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.240$  They have actually case recovery and we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.285$  This is like a 30 minute video

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}23{:}06.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.482$  collapse down to 88 seconds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:08.482 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.488$  but those magenta colored cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}23{:}11.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.598$  stained T cells that are stained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588 00:23:14.600 --> 00:23:15.512 And the. NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:23:15.512 --> 00:23:17.336 Autofluorescence in white and

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:17.336 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.429$  the yellow is a viability dye,

 $00:23:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.120$  but this just again shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.134$  the autoreactivity here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:23:23.140 --> 00:23:25.072 In this patient I should have said

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:25.072 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.241$  that this is from a patient that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:23:27.241 --> 00:23:29.947 about two weeks ago that unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:29.947 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.886$  expired right at the onset of type

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:32.886 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.712$  one diabetes or at the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:34.712 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.610$  they were about 20 years of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.048$  had autoantibodies but died in onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.536$  So we are that again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:43.536 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.940$  We believe shows proof of the auto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.710$  Community being important than disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}23{:}49.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52.998$  Another facet that we know supports

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:23:52.998 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.268$  the autoimmune nature of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:23:55.268 --> 00:23:57.431 disease has to do with an article

00:23:57.431 --> 00:23:59.148 we and Sally can't publish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}23{:}59.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.260$  and a number of others published

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00{:}24{:}01.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.357$  in Nature Medicine a few years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:24:03.357 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.437$  now where we actually took tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:24:05.437 --> 00:24:07.459 samples like those I just showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

00:24:07.459 --> 00:24:09.935 you grew out the T cells or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.910612616470588

 $00:24:09.935 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.330$  him and then asked what

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:11.395 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.691$  they were responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:24:12.691 --> 00:24:14.635 and the good news here is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.106$  is that a number of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.450$  Targets that are listed there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.750$  like Gadai 2 and insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00{:}24{:}20.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.920$  These are targets of autoantibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00{:}24{:}22.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.057$  that for years we've been measuring in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:24:26.057 --> 00:24:28.727 peripheral blood in serum and plasma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.018$  so this was very.

00:24:30.018 --> 00:24:31.628 Informative to us because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:31.628 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.610$  told us that we were kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.010$  on the right trail in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:36.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.279$  understanding the autoantigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:37.279 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.020$  responsible for type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.400$  Now another thing that will that touts

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.330$  this notion of disease heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.838$  or subgroups of type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00{:}24{:}47.838 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}24{:}49.993$  came from more pathology based

NOTE Confidence: 0.88918696166666

 $00{:}24{:}49.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.501$  studies that first started with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:52.501 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.242$  historical collection in Europe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:56.242 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.898$  then now have moved on to end pot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:24:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.475$  So I'll just break it up here

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:00.475 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.760$  on the left hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88918696166666

00:25:01.760 --> 00:25:04.019 I'm only going to focus on it is if

 $00{:}25{:}04.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.191$  you look at the B cell population

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00{:}25{:}06.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.904$  that are high expresser's of CD 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:08.904 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.580$  If you're diagnosed with the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:11.580 --> 00:25:13.710 Less than 15 years of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.014$  you tend to have a high percentage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88918696166666

 $00:25:16.014 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.008$  B lymphocytes in that infiltrate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:18.010 --> 00:25:20.470 I showed you the insulitis lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:20.470 --> 00:25:22.606 but if you're diagnosed with type

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:22.606 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.938$  one diabetes above 15 years of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:24.940 --> 00:25:29.100 the frequency of those CD 20 cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00{:}25{:}29.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.076$  their intensity is much, much less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:32.076 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.862$  Switch again starts to tell us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:34.862 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.116$  there may be different pathogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:37.116 --> 00:25:40.320 mechanisms based on the age of onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.136$  Another thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:41.136 --> 00:25:43.584 and it's kind of interesting that

 $00:25:43.584 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.976$  early on we started noticing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:45.976 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.334$  phenomenon in the tissue samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:48.334 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.057$  from in pot in George views that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:51.057 --> 00:25:54.182 a a major lecture that was given at

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:25:54.182 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.990$  the American Diabetes Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:55.990 --> 00:25:58.816 meeting was is that the loss of insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:25:58.816 --> 00:26:01.490 secreting cells was quite lobular in nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.863$  and if you look and he called

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:03.863 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.168$  it the vitiligo of the pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88918696166666

00:26:06.170 --> 00:26:07.724 meaning if you if you everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.790$  here on this call will know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.090$  the deep pigmentation that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:26:11.090 --> 00:26:12.810 Occurs in bilago as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.530$  on the right hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88918696166666

 $00:26:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.365$  What we have is you'll have lobules

 $00:26:17.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.608$  where the pink is the insulin staining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.686$  and but then they'll be right

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:22.686 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.518$  adjacent to lobules where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:24.518 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.408$  won't be any insulin staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.106$  Now if we were to do other counter

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:28.106 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.408$  stains for other island hormones

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:29.408 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.326$  you would see there I'll it's there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.785$  but the loss of islets is quite patchy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.430$  hence why we this called little I go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:26:38.430 --> 00:26:41.286 Now we're not sure exactly why some I

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:41.286 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.130$  let's get destroyed and others don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:44.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.280$  but we have some clues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.863$  and one of them is what we call the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:26:48.863 --> 00:26:51.186 hyper expression of class one MHC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:26:51.190 --> 00:26:53.234 and I give some examples across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:53.234 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.974$  top of what a normal in an island of

00:26:55.974 --> 00:26:58.229 what a normal classroom would look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666 00:26:58.230 --> 00:26:58.684 elevated, NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:26:58.684 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.408$  or what we call hyper expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

00:27:01.410 --> 00:27:05.130 And then there's a A on the right hand side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:27:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.882$  shows three different groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:27:06.882 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.634$  One is the controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:27:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.167$  And what there the MFA for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00{:}27{:}11.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.198$  expression of class one is like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:27:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.887$  And then the other one is type

NOTE Confidence: 0.88918696166666

 $00{:}27{:}14.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.865$  one diabetes and I see I means

NOTE Confidence: 0.889186961666666

 $00:27:16.865 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.765$  insulin containing islands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.882$  So if you have insulin and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}27{:}19.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.839$  type one diabetes, those islands seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:21.839 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.462$  to have a lot of Class 1, whereas if

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:27:24.462 --> 00:27:26.598 you go in insulin deficient islets,

 $00:27:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.260$  which is the far right column, no insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.716$  Their their level of class one is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:32.716 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.138$  more much more like control tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.121$  So one of the things we feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:27:37.121 --> 00:27:38.550 like May distinguish in islet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:27:38.550 --> 00:27:40.746 That's going to be destroyed versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:40.746 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.368$  one that isn't has to do with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.444$  Class one MHC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:44.444 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.730$  which may be more like a danger response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.547$  So we have a clear view that type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}27{:}50.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.629$  diabetes is an autoimmune disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.026$  But now with the each next

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:56.026 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.622$  lesson that I'm going to give him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.830$  there's four in total.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:27:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.414$  Is is that we also now believe that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:01.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.538$  type one diabetes is a disease

 $00:28:03.538 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.319$  of islets and beta cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.460$  And that let me go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.816$  Why say that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:07.816 --> 00:28:09.128 So again, if you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:09.128 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.520$  if you take a look at the type one pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.196$  what you'll see the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:13.196 --> 00:28:15.710 Depending on the degree of duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:15.710 --> 00:28:16.532 disease, duration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}28{:}16.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.998$  you'll see varying amounts of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.590$  What we again called pseudo atrophic islets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.380$  These ones in the middle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}28{:}22.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.452$  where they'll be hormone island hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}28{:}25.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.920$  positive but deficient of insulin staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.654$  And then there will be other

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:30.654 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.741$  types of violence like the one on

 $00:28:32.741 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.475$  the right which will be insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}28{:}34.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.018$  positive islet with insulitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.392$  And then they're all even be one

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:37.392 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.961$  like on the left where you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:38.961 --> 00:28:40.353 it in an insulin positive islet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:40.360 --> 00:28:41.518 but without insolitus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}28{:}41.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.039$  So you end up with this mosaic of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:45.039 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.277$  different types of islets in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.060$  But why is one destroyed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:50.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.124$  And why is not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:51.124 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.078$  And are they really like on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:53.078 --> 00:28:53.960 left hand side?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:53.960 --> 00:28:57.128 Are they really normal and so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:28:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.250$  The answer is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:28:58.250 --> 00:29:00.646 And I'm going to go through these next

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:00.646 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.238$  slides very quickly for the sake of time,

 $00:29:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.361$  but one of the things that impot

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:05.361 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.699$  investigators have found out is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.870$  is that if you look at expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.366$  markers of stress responses that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:13.366 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.206$  are footprints of stress responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.060$  They're very much elevated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.910$  the type one diabetes pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.686$  Another thing is that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:22.686 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.156$  start to take a look at certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:29:25.156 --> 00:29:27.176 processes within the islets of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}29{:}27.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.268$  somebody with type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.418$  things like this is a science

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}29{:}31.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.492$  translational medicine paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.194$  The unfolded protein response is abnormal

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:35.194 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.419$  in the type one diabetic eye lid,

 $00:29:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.116$  so it doesn't process normal as normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.632$  Another thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:42.632 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.168$  and this is big in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:29:44.168 --> 00:29:45.450 cancer field that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:29:45.450 --> 00:29:48.122 Senate markers of senescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:29:48.122 --> 00:29:49.458 essentially aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:29:49.460 --> 00:29:52.380 If you take a look at aging markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.132$  in the in the island of a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:55.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.029$  diabetic shown on the left hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:29:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.508$  So P21P16 if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:29:59.508 --> 00:30:01.270 auto antibody positive pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:30:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.336$  So again these are don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00{:}30{:}04.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.755$  diabetes yet but are at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:30:06.755 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.591$  disease or the type one diabetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:30:08.591 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.817$  on the right and quantitate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:30:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.180$  Type one and at risk people have far

 $00:30:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.710$  higher levels of senescent cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

00:30:16.710 --> 00:30:19.010 senescent beta cells than

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:30:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.160$  control individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917909204545455

 $00:30:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.656$  suggesting almost like a premature aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.086$  If you would again another

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:25.086 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.538$  marker of ER stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.854$  If you look at some of the molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}30{:}29.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.360$  that we know are expressed in islets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.816$  Hormones such as GAD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

00:30:33.816 --> 00:30:36.579 They they don't end up with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}30{:}36.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.599$  right spaces within processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.120$  They get either hung up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}30{:}41.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.200$  places like the Golgi or the ER

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}30{:}44.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.385$  and and they accumulate there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:46.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.427$  We've had a couple papers with my

 $00:30:48.427 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.128$  colleague at Phelps on this that

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:50.128 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.664$  are either out or coming out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

00:30:51.670 --> 00:30:54.640 but it looks like there's processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.472$  deficiencies in both individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:56.472 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.998$  at risk for type one diabetes

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:30:58.998 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.198$  and those with the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

00:31:01.200 --> 00:31:03.558 If you look at sensing mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333 00:31:03.560 --> 00:31:04.078 glucose is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}31{:}04.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.150$  you know if you think of islet cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:06.211 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.413$  and beta cells as being essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}31{:}08.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.881$  factories for producing insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:09.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.224$  I mean, they're just insulin factories

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:12.224 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.218$  they have in order to work efficiently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.486$  You know they have to sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.062$  Glucose, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333 00:31:18.062 --> 00:31:19.110 A colleague.

 $00:31:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.618$  Another colleague of mine next door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

00:31:21.620 --> 00:31:23.834 Actually he started taking a look

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:23.834 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.194$  at the expression of the molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:26.194 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.618$  that are both associated with sensing

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:28.618 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.328$  as well as responses to insulin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:31.328 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.650$  what you can see is things like ATP ace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.156$  gluco kinase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:35.156 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.610$  They're reduced both in individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.370$  with type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.940$  which are the middle column,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00{:}31{:}41.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.770$  but they're also reduced in again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.243$  Individuals with Autoantibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

00:31:45.243 --> 00:31:46.716 positive no diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.035$  Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903235253333333

 $00:31:47.035 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.925$  but at risk for the disease.

00:31:51.060 --> 00:31:54.676 So we're starting to stack up here again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:31:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.612$  evidence that it's not just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:31:56.612 --> 00:31:58.230 autoimmunity that's in play here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:31:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.380$  but beta cells are abnormal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:00.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.670$  Now. Here's here's some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.960$  ways that we've shown that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.768$  and this is a paper we've published in cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:32:05.770 --> 00:32:06.690 I'm just going to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00{:}32{:}06.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07.610$  one thing in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.530$  Here again, these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:11.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.598$  Tissue site top results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:13.598 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.149$  Looking at insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.180$  Glucagon and Samantha Staten staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00{:}32{:}17.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.210$  so they're the three predominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:32:19.268 --> 00:32:21.524 hormones that you look at in the island

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:21.530 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.826$  and with the insulin being in red,

 $00:32:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.430$  these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.530$  I know there's only four individuals here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.407$  but this is looking at the insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:29.407 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.906$  staining and individuals that died within

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:31.906 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.685$  one week of type one diabetes diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.174$  and you can see like in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:36.174 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.410$  first column in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.110$  Some people do have a marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.460$  reduction in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:32:41.460 --> 00:32:43.950 Degree of insulin positive itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.810$  but in other cases don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:32:45.810 --> 00:32:46.494 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:32:46.494 --> 00:32:48.546 the amount of insulin that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00{:}32{:}48.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.940$  can find in the pancreas at

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.580$  onset can vary dramatically,

 $00:32:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.380$  and it's not everybody is not the 90%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.828$  as I mentioned earlier on as a dogma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:32:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.355$  Another thing that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.190$  able to do with side top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.647$  and I know Yale has this technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:04.647 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.790$  is we've been able to make panels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:07.790 --> 00:33:09.800 different antibodies and then do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.860$  Look at by pseudo time analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:12.860 --> 00:33:16.310 And and by doing pairings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:16.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.270$  what we've been able to identify is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:19.270 --> 00:33:22.424 that that at the onset of type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:22.430 --> 00:33:24.550 which is that middle column on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:24.550 --> 00:33:28.100 I'd like you to just focus on the D panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.070$  This is islets from individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.040$  at the onset of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625 00:33:32.040 --> 00:33:35.220 the red.

00:33:35.220 --> 00:33:37.290 Column is individuals who had type

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.578$  one diabetes for seven years or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.631$  and then the yellow panel on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00{:}33{:}41.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.477$  left is is lets from individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:43.477 --> 00:33:45.269 without type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.062$  And what you can see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:47.062 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.000$  the answer to type one diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:49.000 --> 00:33:50.404 They're not exactly normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00{:}33{:}50.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.000$  and we all based on you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.018$  Just using 7 antibodies in combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:33:56.020 --> 00:33:58.687 So why this is and what percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:33:58.687 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.260$  I can tell you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:34:00.260 --> 00:34:01.676 By different cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:34:01.676 --> 00:34:04.036 the percentage that that fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:04.036 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.620$  into these stages?

00:34:05.620 --> 00:34:07.476 Can vary quite dramatically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:07.476 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.260$  but we've found this site off

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.580$  very useful for phenotyping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:12.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.295$  Another thing that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:14.295 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.644$  doing with site talk is to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:34:16.644 --> 00:34:19.264 taking a look at expression panels

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:19.264 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.204$  and identifying early on what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.034$  When do things changes occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:24.034 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.760$  the Natural History of disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.936$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:26.936 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.280$  like on the bottom left of the class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.256$  one HLA that I spoke about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00{:}34{:}33.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.924$  When does this occur and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:35.924 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.198$  identifying a whole series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

00:34:38.198 --> 00:34:40.146 molecules and just randomly going

NOTE Confidence: 0.89788117625

 $00:34:40.146 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.378$  through here from beta 2 microglobulin

00:34:45.120 --> 00:34:49.929 IPPP? C SK1 is a prohormone processing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}34{:}49.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.688$  These are all molecules that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:34:51.688 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.783$  been able to identify in the Natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:34:53.783 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.348$  History of disease in beta cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:34:56.348 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.744$  Or in islands that that show

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:34:59.744 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.999$  abnormalities long before disease onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.178$  Another thing that we've been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:05.178 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.624$  to use site top is to try and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}35{:}08.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.025$  densitometric standing up the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:11.025 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.818$  blood vessels per eyelid and the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:13.818 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.260$  actual diameter of those blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.278$  and one of the things that we can say and it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}35{:}20.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.260$  I'm apologize if it's not cleared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.292$  but the green would be normal cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:35:25.292 --> 00:35:27.714 The green line in the green dots,

 $00:35:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.868$  and then the pink ones magenta

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}35{:}29.868 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}35{:}32.500$  ones are the long term diabetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.404$  And then the blue are people at onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.629$  What we can see through this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:37.629 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.014$  is is that islets in individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:40.014 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.826$  with type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.190$  their their blood vessels are

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.999$  actually shorter and have a thinner

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:46.999 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.314$  diameter to them versus normals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.210$  So it's almost as if the type

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.800$  one diabetic eyelid is strangled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857 00:35:52.800 --> 00:35:53.910 In a way, NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:35:53.910 --> 00:35:56.130 it's a very highly vascularized organ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.866$  but in the type one diabetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:35:58.866 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.690$  case it's not normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}36{:}00.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.685$  We've also published this article

 $00:36:02.685 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.600$  in Cell and we have another one

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:05.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.049$  coming out soon there where that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:08.049 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.492$  The eyelets and type one diabetics they

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:36:10.492 --> 00:36:12.305 they don't process hormones naturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:12.305 \dashrightarrow 00:36:15.142$  and to go through all this in great

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:36:15.142 --> 00:36:17.750 detail would would take up too much time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.334$  but one of the things we've been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}36{:}20.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.768$  to identify is is that in individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}36{:}22.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.618$  both with type one diabetes as

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:24.618 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.000$  well as at risk for the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.891$  they are deficient in some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:29.891 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.130$  prohormones processing enzymes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}36{:}31.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.855$  That allow for conversion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:33.855 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.490$  proinsulin to insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.747$  and this has been validated in living humans.

 $00:36:38.747 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.470$  This was again through studies of tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.098$  In looking at the degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:44.098 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.850$  of insulin and proinsulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.384$  C peptide that you could extract from

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:48.384 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.854$  these tissues and then doing quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:36:50.854 --> 00:36:53.470 PCR and looking for the quantitate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.398$  the amount of the processing enzymes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.242$  But we've again seen this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}36{:}58.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.834$  human living samples that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:36:59.834 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.640$  seemed also in the pre diabetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.364$  Stage have alterations in the C peptide

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:05.364 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.369$  that amplified by disease onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.670$  Couple more things occur in

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.970$  the type of the type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:10.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.646$  One pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:11.646 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.674$  one of them is is the

00:37:13.674 --> 00:37:15.150 accumulation of hyaluronan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.498$  hyaluron and binding proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:37:18.500 --> 00:37:21.412 They form almost a capsule around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:37:21.412 --> 00:37:23.879 type one diabetes diabetic islet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.848$  We also have some evidence that suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:37:26.848 --> 00:37:30.609 that it too is as a potential marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:30.609 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.598$  islets that are going to be destroyed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.318$  Or essentially a hallmark for signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.448$  I'll just close the beta cell part

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.895$  by saying there's actually now

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:40.895 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.340$  about 17 different features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00{:}37{:}43.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.833$  we've identified that are present

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:45.833 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.397$  in the auto antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.010$  positive pancreas or new onset pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

 $00:37:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.667$  that tell us that beta cells are involved.

 $00:37:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.530$  It's it's not just the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.732862927142857

00:37:55.530 --> 00:37:56.770 of the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.756$  The last two lessons will go relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}38{:}02.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.229$  quickly, but the third thing that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:05.229 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.281$  we've learned that again wasn't in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:07.281 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.570$  textbooks or is not in most textbooks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:09.570 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.818$  It's that beta cell replication in number is

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:12.818 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.838$  important in type one diabetes development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.520$  And that actually until

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.048$  recently it was widely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}38{:}20.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.484$  If you were to go just particularly like

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:22.484 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.746$  a transplant audience where people would

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:38:24.746 --> 00:38:26.740 do islet transplants and you'd say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.924$  well, how many islands are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:28.924 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.090$  the normal adult human pancreas?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.635$  And most people would say, oh,

00:38:32.635 --> 00:38:35.005 you know, a million or so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:38:35.010 --> 00:38:38.814 Well, a collaborator of mine, Peter Butler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:38.814 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.278$  did this study from Mayo Clinic cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:38:41.278 --> 00:38:44.066 and some in pod cases of a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.708$  and actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}38{:}44.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.941$  Depending on how you find it this

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:46.941 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.390$  way in this paper was beta cell mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.800$  Actually, in normal individuals this

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.728$  is normal individuals nondiabetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}38{:}53.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.393$  The amount of beta cell mass in the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:38:57.393 \dashrightarrow 00:39:00.250$  pancreas is varies quite dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:39:00.250 --> 00:39:02.878 It's not just a standard like

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:02.878 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.192$  that every body has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.820$  And here's some very innovative

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.060$  work that we did from studying.

 $00:39:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.116$  The pancreas is to take a look at when is

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39{:}13.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17.260$  that mass set in life and what we did is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.504$  and we've probably are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:18.504 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.059$  We haven't published an update,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.251$  but we probably have six times the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:22.251 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.508$  number of cases that are shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.666$  But just to cut to the chase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:39:26.670 --> 00:39:30.270 you really are by about the age of four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.380$  if not earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.550$  You pretty much are locked into the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.511$  Of of islet cells or beta cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:37.511 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.260$  that you're going to have in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:40.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.384$  And unlike some of the mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:39:42.384 --> 00:39:44.840 models where if you have a mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.590$  if they become pregnant or

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:39:47.590 --> 00:39:49.240 have pancreatic injury,

 $00:39:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.970$  the mice have a tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:51.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.608$  ability to recover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:39:53.610 --> 00:39:56.859 It's not so quite that way in in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.002$  and so I think we tend to think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:39:59.002 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.392$  this as almost a growth curve like

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:01.392 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.520$  situation where sometime in early life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.248$  and we're not sure why is it microbiome

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:06.248 --> 00:40:08.310 driven? Is it nutrition driven?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.030$  Is to genetic driven?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.620$  But you end up with some number

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.957$  of islet cells and that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:14.957 --> 00:40:17.610 largely be what you have in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.858$  And we that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:18.858 --> 00:40:19.170 Oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.326$  and here's an example of some unpublished

00:40:21.326 --> 00:40:23.308 data from a person down the road from you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.822$  Susan Bonner, where where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:25.822 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.450$  Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.656$  that's what she did was take

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:27.656 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.850$  a look at two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:28.850 --> 00:40:31.521 One, she looked at pancreas weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:31.521 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.627$  which is in the blue lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.730$  versus the beta cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}40{:}36.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.030$  Number in that particular pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:40.030 --> 00:40:42.026 And for normal individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}40{:}42.026 \to 00{:}40{:}44.521$  your pancreas continues to grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:40:44.521 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.355$  on until about 25 years of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:47.360 --> 00:40:50.040 I've not shown the whole lifetime data here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:50.040 --> 00:40:52.007 but at about 25 years of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:52.010 --> 00:40:53.430 your pancreas stops growing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

00:40:53.430 --> 00:40:56.729 But in terms of the actual beta cell number,

 $00:40:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.010$  that again stops at around

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:41:00.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.966$  4-4 years of age or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:41:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.980$  and the mechanisms that control

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:41:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.388$  that are undergoing investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00{:}41{:}07.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.206$  So one of the things that we believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:41:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.451$  and it published now on is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:41:12.451 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.515$  that when why we think islet

NOTE Confidence: 0.958452

 $00:41:14.515 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.110$  cell numbers are important is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}16.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.284$  is that perhaps early in life you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}18.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20.698$  the amount of beta cell mass you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}20.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.535$  It is fixed and whether or not you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}23.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.789$ a high beta cell mass and intermediate or

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}26.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.396$  low will form a degree will be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}30.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.701$  in terms of diabetes development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.206$  Both type one and Type 2.

00:41:35.210 --> 00:41:37.090 In that if you have a high beta cell mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.015$  maybe be more resistant disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:39.015 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.750$  in the low lower beta cell mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.578$  more susceptible to disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.236$  So in the last five minutes of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:46.236 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.915$  talk here I wanna just leave it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.736$  We started small and now we're going big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.160$  Is that type one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.350$  Diabetes is also a disease of the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:41:54.350 --> 00:41:56.762 And as I was sharing with one of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}41{:}56.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.690$  this morning, we are one of the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:41:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.316$  This was actually known back in

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:01.316 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.778$  the 1960s from autopsy material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:03.778 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.966$  where but it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.146$  It was reports that said well in people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.228$  With some cake cases of diabetes,

00:42:12.230 --> 00:42:15.350 more often insulin requiring diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}42{:}15.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.708$  their pancreas appeared to be smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.654$  But what we published this a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:20.654 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.686$  ago in JAMA was because one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}42{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.696$  mythology techs came to me one day and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092 00:42:26.700 --> 00:42:27.101 hey. NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:42:27.101 --> 00:42:30.309 Do you ever notice that the pancreas from

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:30.309 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.926$  the type ones there's so much smaller than?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.960$  The normal set we get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}42{:}35.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38.214$  And so we started going back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:42:38.214 --> 00:42:40.353 analyzed it and found in published

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:40.353 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.549$  this paper because it was true

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.698$  at the type ones were smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.668$  But the reason this ended up in jam

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:42:46.668 --> 00:42:48.996 is that middle middle group that even

00:42:48.996 --> 00:42:51.425 people without type one diabetes but at

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:51.425 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.801$  risk for disease they had a smaller pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.987$  And if the picture is worth 1000 words here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.528$  I'll just show these two pancreata,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:42:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.330$  one front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:43:00.330 --> 00:43:02.805 They're both from their age matched

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:02.805 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.655$  our age similar 1413 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:43:05.660 --> 00:43:06.662 similar BMI's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:06.662 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.169$  but if you see the top pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.767$  its weight is about half of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.666$  Of the normal pancreas down at

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:14.666 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.657$  the bottom and what you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:16.657 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.643$  is most of the losses actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.868$  at the tail of the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.426$  So to the far right and far less at

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}43{:}22.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.494$  the head of the pancreas and the

 $00:43:24.494 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.762$  tail of the pancreas is the region

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}43{:}26.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.855$  that usually has the most highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:43:28.855 --> 00:43:30.530 number of insulin producing cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:30.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.770$  and then we publish this as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.787$  follow up with far bigger numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:43:34.790 --> 00:43:37.742 But if you look at what we call relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:43:37.742 --> 00:43:40.547 pancreas weight or TPW on the left hand side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.426$  what you can see is is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:42.426 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.910$  the type one pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.810$  And is about 1/3 to half the size on average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.552$  At at onset it's about 25 to 35%

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

00:43:51.552 --> 00:43:53.806 and within two years it'll be about

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00{:}43{:}53.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.667$  35% to 50% reduced type 2 diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.441$  It seems to more of a type

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:43:59.441 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.990$  one effect type 2 diabetics,

 $00:44:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.600$  maybe a tad bit reduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:44:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.556$  but nothing like the type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:44:04.556 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.440$  and has noticed noted earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:44:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.488$  The greatest loss if we take the organ

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:44:09.488 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.351$  in segmented into head body tail its

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:44:12.351 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.119$  smallest in grace reduction in the tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092 00:44:15.120 --> 00:44:15.480 Uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866103092

 $00:44:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.920$  we were all always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.521$  as I'm sure you all are in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:19.521 --> 00:44:21.478 pathology and working with tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:21.480 --> 00:44:22.092 People said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:22.092 --> 00:44:23.840 how do you know that that's real or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.495$  An artifact of of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}44{:}26.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28.619$  processing or or something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:28.620 --> 00:44:30.876 So we published with Mike Halloran,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.353$  Martha, another colleague,

00:44:32.353 --> 00:44:35.790 I a study of about 300 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.674$  where we did Mris of the individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:38.680 --> 00:44:40.162 And again, I'm just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:40.162 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.830$  highlight this sort of sake of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.346$  If you blind analysis by radiologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.079$  But if you look at the pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:47.079 --> 00:44:48.955 in blue here of individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:48.955 --> 00:44:50.745 recent onset type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.845$  you see they're quite reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:44:52.845 --> 00:44:54.940 relative to the control population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.060$  which is the green bar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:44:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.986$  And the auto antibody positive were

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}44{:}58.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.767$  also reduced as we assume from the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:01.767 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.909$  studies about what was interesting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:03.975 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.357$  we still don't have exactly explanation.

00:45:06.360 --> 00:45:09.450 But even from first degree relatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.560$  that's FDR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.780$  With autoantibodies no autoantibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.028$  even their pancreas was a little smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:16.028 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.919$  relative to age matched controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.535$  Suggesting that there might even

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:21.535 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.710$  be some genetics in play here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.506$  Uhm, we know that the exocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:27.506 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.370$  function is also decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.510$  We've looked at amylase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.625$  life pace and then in this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}45{:}32.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.350$  I'm showing data on trypsinogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.689$  They're all reduced in both the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:37.689 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.926$  diabetic state as well as at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:40.926 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.736$  of disease onset or established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:42.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.255$  So exocrine functions declines and

 $00:45:45.255 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.308$  the other thing that we've noticed

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}45{:}48.308 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}45{:}50.998$  as have others that if you just

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:45:50.998 --> 00:45:52.658 look at exocrine infiltrates now

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:52.658 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.780$  this is not pancreatitis chronic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.990$  Pancreatitis but just exocrine infiltrates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:45:57.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.616$  They seem to be higher in type

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:01.616 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.170$  one diabetes patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:46:03.170 --> 00:46:06.930 Rather your new onset or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:46:06.930 --> 00:46:08.590 Long established Type one diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.382$  then control individuals suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:11.382 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.728$  that again, it's an interplay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:46:13.728 --> 00:46:15.376 but something is abnormal

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:15.376 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.559$  in the exocrine pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.784$  So just in the last couple of slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:19.784 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.350$  here I want to leave you with a kind

 $00:46:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.136$  of a situation that we're working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}46{:}23.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.306$  Moving forward is is we're faced

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:25.306 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.779$  with a major burning question here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.922$  and this is something that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:29.922 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.966$  of your own Kevin Harold and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.440$  We published an article together

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.298$  almost a decade ago now where?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}46{:}38.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.316$  We we asked this question and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:40.316 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.422$  should say that this question was

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:46:42.422 --> 00:46:45.034 actually asked about 30 years prior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}46{:}45.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.970$  but we asked it again is type

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:47.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.920$  one diabetes as a result from

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:46:49.920 --> 00:46:51.080 an autoimmune attack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}46{:}51.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53.030$  meaning where the immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:53.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.980$  is doing what it's supposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.438$  not what it's supposed to do,

 $00:46:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.180$  meaning it has a loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.770$  tolerance to these beta cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:46:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.010$  both central and peripheral, and that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.418$  It's destroying the beta cells or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.219$  Is it with through the evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:08.219 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.609$  I've shown you the contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:09.609 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.583$  of the beta cells in the pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}47{:}11.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.071$  that actually the numune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:13.071 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.170$  is doing what it's supposed to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

00:47:15.170 --> 00:47:17.390 That when you have beta cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.537$  dysfunction and things like metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:19.537 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.465$  stress or that inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}47{:}21.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.494$  That it's actually destroying

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:23.494 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.024$  these and which occurs first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.242$  So this is a burning question that

 $00:47:28.242 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.146$  we're spending a lot of time on

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00{:}47{:}30.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.248$  now and think that it could really

NOTE Confidence: 0.882367645

 $00:47:32.248 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.500$  help on intervention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:33.566 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.703$  biomarker analysis, but without it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:35.703 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.160$  a little difficult to study in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00{:}47{:}38.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.925$  humans 'cause we only have cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:40.925 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.717$  sectional sections are announced

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

00:47:42.790 --> 00:47:44.880 system not longitudinal like you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.490$  do in fortunately do in mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.464$  So I think we're on time here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:49.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.750$  but again, there's so many people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.850$  To thank UM that are part of the team here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

00:47:54.850 --> 00:47:57.910 It takes a huge operation to like I say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:47:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.518$  collect all of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:48:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.290$  Organs and tissues for the studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

00:48:02.290 --> 00:48:04.789 So I want to give them appropriate

 $00:48:04.789 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.860$  knowledgement and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00{:}48{:}05.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.492$  thank you for listening and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.920172645

 $00:48:07.492 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.389$  be happy to answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:48:11.210 --> 00:48:12.660 Thank you very much, Mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.976$  and that this is a you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.952$  fantastic seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:14.952 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.868$  So now is open for question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.950$  and while other you know you can just

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00{:}48{:}20.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.769$  because we cannot steal so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00{:}48{:}23.769 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.667$  either just type you know into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:48:26.667 --> 00:48:29.600 you know question and answer you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:48:29.600 --> 00:48:32.840 chat box or you can just speak up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00{:}48{:}32.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.304$  So before other people ask may be I

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00{:}48{:}35.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.232$  will ask one question Mark and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:38.232 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.926$  is related to beta cell regeneration.

 $00:48:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.136$  The overall concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:42.136 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.146$  for example through all these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:48:44.150 --> 00:48:47.158 you know the donor tissue from pancreas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:48:47.160 --> 00:48:47.940 have you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:48:47.940 --> 00:48:50.280 You know your team have gained

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.843$  additional insight into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:51.843 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.973$  potential for beta cell and dodge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.927$  and I mean endogenous beta cell regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.250$  All the precursor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.570$  In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:48:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.506$  the stem cell of the potential bid cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

00:49:02.510 --> 00:49:04.980 Have you gain additional insight?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904463242

 $00:49:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.400$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.904$  so let me give one little insight about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.860$  Again, the the world grew

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.420$  a lot of encouragement.

 $00:49:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.157$  Comment on the announcement about two weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

00:49:15.157 --> 00:49:18.319 ago on Monday of a study by Vertex Pharma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:49:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.000$  where they've been studying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

00:49:20.000 --> 00:49:23.699 it grew out of Doug Melton's lab at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.480$  and they have a now a stem cell line that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:26.551 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.980$  they've that's been put into. Patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

00:49:28.980 --> 00:49:32.200 It you transfuse it into the they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:49:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.148$  transfuse it into the liver, and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00{:}49{:}35.148 \to 00{:}49{:}36.918$  patients have to take immunosuppression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:49:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.016$  but at least the after 90 days these

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:40.016 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.950$  individuals are starting to produce insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.446$  Or or as measured by C peptide and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00{:}49{:}45.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.890$  the need for taking less in sulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00{:}49{:}47.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.138$  So regenerative medicine stem cell is alive

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:49:51.138 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.599$  and well in type one still has challenges,

 $00:49:54.599 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.764$  but now to your question

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:49:56.764 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.782$  about endogenous replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:49:57.782 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.690$  That's have been a bit more of a challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.298$  We do understand a lot of this works

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

00:50:03.298 --> 00:50:06.148 been done by individuals at Mount Sinai,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.940$  but there's these molecules that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.990$  called Dirk 1A and Dirk 1B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.358$  They're like breaks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:11.358 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.166$  If you would that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:13.166 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.654$  Go on in and inhibit the beta cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00{:}50{:}16.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.560$  from replication and an adult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778 00:50:19.560 --> 00:50:20.919 uh, islet cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:20.919 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.156$  Now there's a lot of efforts to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:25.156 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.340$  develop ways that you could deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.380$  Molecules that are that are capable

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.160$  of essentially removing those breaks,

 $00:50:33.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.889$  and there's I know of at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:34.889 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.880$  four compounds than one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.915$  Most common is called harmine that do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:38.915 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.394$  but the challenges is how do you X vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:41.394 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.599$  deliver them and get beta cells specificity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.584$  A lot of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:45.584 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.064$  Molecules that are lindwood induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:50:48.064 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.738$  replication of beta cells in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

00:50:50.738 --> 00:50:52.874 They they have off target effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.370$  So like you know you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.880$  you don't necessarily want you

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

00:50:57.880 --> 00:50:59.888 know your cardiac replication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:50:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.664$  hepatic replications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:51:00.664 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.373$  stuff like that so that that field

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00:51:03.373 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.479$  still is a little too long an

 $00:51:05.479 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.330$  answer to say people are trying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855316767777778

 $00{:}51{:}07.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.160$  but it's a little bit of a challenge to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.85531676777778

 $00:51:10.226 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.660$  specificity and targeting to the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806944202307692

 $00:51:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.589$  Saints Mark now I have a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.806944202307692

00:51:15.589 --> 00:51:18.042 from a Kevin and you know he say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806944202307692

00:51:18.042 --> 00:51:20.208 Can you say anything about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.806944202307692

 $00:51:20.208 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.488$  features of the beta cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.806944202307692

00:51:22.488 --> 00:51:24.702 long term surviving beta cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.806944202307692

 $00:51:24.776 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.016$  someone with type one diabetes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:51:27.390 --> 00:51:29.736 Yeah, so that yeah, that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00{:}51{:}29.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.388$  a great question and we we are now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.892$  I have 12 different cases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:34.892 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.480$  are just what Kevin asked for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.872$  They are longstanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:38.872 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.547$  They've had type one diabetes at least 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:42.547 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.490$  years, and we're running those source.

00:51:44.490 --> 00:51:46.930 I talk panel. Right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00{:}51{:}46.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.876$  and I hope you know, maybe by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:48.876 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.430$  end pod meeting that will stand it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:50.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.581$  So just serve virus stands that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:51:53.581 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.927$  same Susan monitor weird that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:51:55.927 --> 00:51:58.170 mentioned with the growth charts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:51:58.170 --> 00:51:59.544 they've been stunning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00{:}51{:}59.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}02.750$  Dozens and dozens of patients that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:02.832 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.505$  type one diabetes for at least 50 years, 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00{:}52{:}06.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.495$  They're called medalists and what she

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:10.495 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.018$  published was essentially, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:52:13.018 --> 00:52:14.602 Again, it's central dogma is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00{:}52{:}14.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.505$  that within a few years all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:52:16.505 --> 00:52:17.825 insulin producing cells are gone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.306$  wiped out the like.

 $00:52:19.306 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.462$  She's found that if you have a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:52:22.462 --> 00:52:25.458 pancreas and look hard enough you'll find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.680$  Insulin positive beta cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.900$  Decades after disease onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:29.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.448$  So they may autoimmune response for some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.272$  Whatever reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:33.272 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.327$  It never goes quite completion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.356$  It never wipes out all of the beta cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00{:}52{:}38.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41.177$  So Kevin's question is a great one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.000$  So what makes though? Is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.295$  It almost is a chicken and egg thing there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.250$  What makes those cells resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.020$  to final destruction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429 00:52:51.020 --> 00:52:51.370 What? NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:52:51.370 --> 00:52:53.120 What property do they have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.010$  And if we knew that that

 $00:52:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.640$  might be very informative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:56.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.062$  To you know, a clinical trial or

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:52:59.062 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.770$  something to do to make a like a bait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:53:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.100$  People, sometimes called beta guard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:53:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.320$  Or is it the immune?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:53:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.504$  What is it some problem with the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:53:07.504 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.055$  response where the immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:53:09.055 --> 00:53:10.945 just doesn't bring it to completion?

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:53:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.690$  So it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

00:53:13.690 --> 00:53:15.208 And we're working on but don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.846460643571429

 $00:53:15.208 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.220$  have an answer yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803819335217391

 $00:53:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.878$  Thanks, Margaret.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803819335217391

 $00{:}53{:}17.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.302$  Now we have question from Johnson

NOTE Confidence: 0.803819335217391

 $00:53:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.993$  Art and it's a current belief

NOTE Confidence: 0.803819335217391

 $00:53:22.993 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.801$  that autoantibodies to eyelids

 $00:53:24.801 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.810$  are secondary to the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803819335217391

 $00{:}53{:}26.810 \to 00{:}53{:}29.960$  rather than having a causative role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.750$  Yeah, another great question so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.732$  It I one thing people agree on

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:37.732 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.066$  is that autoantibodies are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:40.066 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.998$  smoke representing the fire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.989$  and that they they're used as tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:53:44.989 --> 00:53:47.140 markers of disease prediction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}53{:}47.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.508$  identifying people at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:49.508 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.540$  The assays have gotten really good now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.675$  And so they're used as a uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:53:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.150$  as a biomarker is unquestioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:53:58.150 --> 00:54:01.060 now in terms of pathogenic Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.398$  What they do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:54:02.398 --> 00:54:05.074 There's few people that believe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:05.074 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.138$  antibodies in and of themselves

 $00:54:07.138 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.454$  play a functional role in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:09.534 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.689$  of destruction of beta cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:54:11.690 --> 00:54:14.562 save through cytolytic mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:14.562 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.998$  for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.160$  But what has there is evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.365$  to show is is that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:54:21.365 --> 00:54:23.609 very effective antigen producing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.710$  Uh, presenting cells excuse me

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.880$  Apcs and so that's right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}54{:}28.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.165$  pretty much the state of the art

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:31.165 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.825$  in terms of functional role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:54:32.830 --> 00:54:33.878 And I should say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}54{:}33.878 --> 00{:}54{:}34.926$  and Kevin knows this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.778$  he was part of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.688$  There has been one of those

 $00:54:38.688 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.403$  clinical trials I mentioned the

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}54{:}40.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42.472$  beginning and people probably are

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}54{:}42.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45.204$  familiar with Rituxan mab you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436 00:54:45.204 --> 00:54:45.721 Uhm, NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

00:54:45.721 --> 00:54:48.306 delay the progression of type

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:48.306 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.550$  one diabetes after onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.130$  The loss of the beta cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00.54.53.130 \longrightarrow 00.54.54.850$  by using that agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}54{:}54.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.370$  Rotax map and kind of consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.210$  with pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:54:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.110$  It seems to work better

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:55:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.250$  in younger individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00{:}55{:}01.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.140$  Sort of consistent with that B

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:55:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.110$  lymphocyte being may be pathogenic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:55:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.969$  Lee involved so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:55:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.896$  There there are hints that B cells

 $00:55:10.896 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.530$  are are involved in the disease pathogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:55:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.366$  but antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877898436

 $00:55:15.366 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.620$  not so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721823852

00:55:17.900 --> 00:55:20.342 Thanks, now I have Caesar Laura

NOTE Confidence: 0.721823852

 $00{:}55{:}20.342 \to 00{:}55{:}23.594$  Manuelidis that her hands up Laura.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721823852

 $00:55:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.539$  Please go ahead to ask their questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721823852

00:55:25.540 --> 00:55:27.706 Great, I was just thank you for your talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.721823852

00:55:27.706 --> 00:55:29.409 I thought it was wonderful and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.888809284166667

 $00{:}55{:}29.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.821$  clear. So my first question is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.888809284166667

 $00:55:31.821 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.565$  of broad question because what really

NOTE Confidence: 0.888809284166667

 $00:55:34.565 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.752$  insights or what ignites these sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.888809284166667

 $00:55:37.752 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.738$  stress of of the diabetic response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888809284166667

 $00{:}55{:}39.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.052$  especially over the age groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888809284166667

 $00:55:41.052 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.610$  So one of my questions was

NOTE Confidence: 0.800246492727273

 $00:55:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.754$  do you think that there's a role for

00:55:44.754 --> 00:55:48.272 any viruses or COVID viruses in sort of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.800246492727273

 $00{:}55{:}48.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.490$  Inciting some cases of diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800246492727273

00:55:51.490 --> 00:55:53.560 Early diabetes. Insulin was

NOTE Confidence: 0.800246492727273

 $00:55:53.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.670$  the second question, is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.884446582

 $00:55:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.230$  sort of more detailed question

NOTE Confidence: 0.78950165

 $00:55:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.950$  that I let cells are extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8403899875

00:55:58.960 --> 00:56:00.388 high in pryon protein?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879863501666667

 $00:56:01.130 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.056$  And in fact, prime protein is

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

 $00{:}56{:}03.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.036$  involved in neurogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

 $00:56:04.036 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.646$  in the very early stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

 $00:56:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.490$  That's where it's critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

 $00:56:06.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.890$  stages and synopsis in Genesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

 $00{:}56{:}08.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10.195$  and I was wondering if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

00:56:10.195 --> 00:56:11.491 studied any prime protein in

NOTE Confidence: 0.833736915

 $00:56:11.491 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.213$  some of the early cases before

NOTE Confidence: 0.804943438

 $00:56:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.766$  the age of four versus later

 $00:56:14.766 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.796$  to see if the expression really

NOTE Confidence: 0.804943438

00:56:16.796 --> 00:56:18.420 varies or is some indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.965161538571429

 $00:56:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.775$  of what's happening in terms of development

NOTE Confidence: 0.7495057325

 $00:56:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.818$  of islet cells. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.526$  you, oh thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:24.526 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.798$  Here's the challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

00:56:25.800 --> 00:56:27.180 I gotta remember both questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.530$  Let me send the first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

00:56:28.530 --> 00:56:31.120 So invite role of environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.192$  and type for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.770$  50 years people have looked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.798$  tried to look for the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00{:}56{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38.492$  contribution to diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:38.492 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.876$  There's a lot of evidence were

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:41.876 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.518$  environment is playing a factor one.

00:56:44.520 --> 00:56:46.956 If you look at incidence rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.920$  they're going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.840$  Can't be explained by genetics in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.089$  the like button and the NIH for

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:52.089 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.671$  about 18 years now has had a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:54.671 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.043$  environmental study where they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:56.043 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.370$  looked at like 160 different things

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00:56:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.350$  in the environment from dietary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861308632857143

 $00{:}57{:}02.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}06.616$  Lifestyle practices yeah no smoking guns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77026268625

00:57:08.090 --> 00:57:09.570 Voice speaking about viruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.77026268625

 $00:57:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.050$  covert virus is unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77026268625

 $00{:}57{:}11.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.000$  Viruses viruses at the security

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.329$  bug they've been. They've taken samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:15.329 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.472$  and unjust blast sequencing to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:57:18.472 --> 00:57:21.056 identify viral sequences, saying it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00{:}57{:}21.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.150$ it's <br/>it's murky at best. No smoking gun.

 $00:57:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.950$  Now to the quote kovid question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.064$  We've been involved and I've had a paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:29.064 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.259$  cell and then Lance it within the last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:32.259 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.197$  and their evidence there is again murky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.654$  There's clearly an association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:57:38.654 --> 00:57:41.039 Between diet, diabetes and COVID,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:57:41.040 --> 00:57:42.690 and in fact I'm working with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:57:42.690 --> 00:57:46.710 uh, Ruth, Montgomery and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.830$  David Hafler at your institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.594$  We're part of a big consortium and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:51.594 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.682$  this is one of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:53.682 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.590$  we're looking at is the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00{:}57{:}55.648 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}57{:}57.128$  between diabetes and COVID?

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:57:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.715$  Clearly you're susceptible for poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:57:59.715 --> 00:58:02.300 outcomes if you have diabetes?

 $00:58:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.990$  We've looked at like 54 pancreases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00{:}58{:}05.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08.468$  From people who died from cold that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:08.468 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.411$  and tried to show that the there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:58:11.411 --> 00:58:13.211 a relationship between positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:13.211 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.148$  ITI for COVID in the pancreas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:16.148 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.103$  diabetes and can't find it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:58:18.110 --> 00:58:20.518 We've set up in vitro culture systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.976$  infected beta cells and islet cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.884$  and those you the number percentage of beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:26.884 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.080$  cells you can affect is like 2% or less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.520$  Very inefficient process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.236$  We don't believe that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:33.236 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.381$  There's people that believe that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:35.381 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.577$  COVID induces diabetes so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:58:37.580 --> 00:58:40.616 I don't that's unproven at best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.988$  but it would take longer to answer that

00:58:43.988 --> 00:58:47.290 it would now to your Brian question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00{:}58{:}47.290 \to 00{:}58{:}49.026$  we published a paper about two months

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:49.026 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.238$  ago in this journal called Diabetologia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:51.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.040$  where we've looked at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.940$  just nailed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.313$  Just like what you said is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00.58:56.313 --> 00.58:58.443 its expression is much higher in

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:58.443 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.827$  the Type 1 pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:58:59.830 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.732$  And even in the Autoantibody positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00{:}59{:}01.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03.550$  pancreas than in the controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.918$  We have not, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

00:59:04.918 --> 00:59:07.539 looked at it as a function of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00{:}59{:}07.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09.860$  and I'm going to write that down and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.999$  then try and look and look at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:12.999 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.515$  after to see you know if we if we parse

 $00:59:15.515 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.200$  that out or at least like I can't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:17.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.130 \text{ I don't recall.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.490$  That we did it, but yes, you're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.080$  That is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822603754

 $00:59:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.186$  Another factor that seems to be associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827371251666667

 $00.59:25.440 \longrightarrow 00.59:29.730$  thanks another question from Doctor Merati.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827371251666667

 $00:59:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.621$  So she's asking actually say how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827371251666667

 $00:59:32.621 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.620$  collection of the pancreas is organized?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827371251666667

00:59:35.620 --> 00:59:38.660 Rapid autopsies? Specific pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.827371251666667

00:59:38.660 --> 00:59:40.206 collection, biopsy, bulbank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827371251666667

 $00{:}59{:}40.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42.564$  This is more relatable to empire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00:59:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.836$  Yeah, so that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00:59:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.898$  So no, although none of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00:59:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.028$  samples that I showed you or none

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00:59:49.028 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.080$  of our studies are with autopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00{:}59{:}51.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53.580$  they are all with transplant.

 $00:59:53.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.286$  Organ transplants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00:59:54.286 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.757$  So what happens is we worked out

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

00:59:56.757 --> 00:59:58.960 with all of these doppio's in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $00:59:58.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.000$  United States that the same surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:01.000 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.016$  recovery team that's taking the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

01:00:03.020 --> 01:00:05.222 lung, liver, kidney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667 01:00:05.222 --> 01:00:06.690 Uhm, four. NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:06.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.189$  Then the next thing they do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:09.189 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.649$  take the pancreas for us so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:11.649 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.180$  flushed and it's shipped here

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:13.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.980$  as if it's going for transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

01:00:14.980 --> 01:00:17.976 So although the processing is is done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:17.980 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.578$  just as if that the average

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:20.578 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.827$  time between cross clamp and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:22.827 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.395$  delivery here is about 13 hours.

01:00:25.400 --> 01:00:29.356 And so they're all the pancreatic biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01{:}00{:}29.356 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}32.730$  of living subjects are not thought ethical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:32.730 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.270$  and for good reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667 01:00:34.270 --> 01:00:35.040 I mean, NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:35.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.804$  it was tried again about 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:36.804 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.899$  years ago and had bad outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:38.900 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.280$  You don't biopsy the pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:40.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.660$  unless you have two in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

01:00:41.660 --> 01:00:43.858 I'm sure I'm all I'm doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:43.858 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.490$  telling you what you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:45.490 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.320$  In the autopsy tissues you

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:47.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.034$  know on the few times those we

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

01:00:50.034 --> 01:00:52.049 are getting using park obit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:52.050 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.822$  For those cases we were bound to

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:54.822 \longrightarrow 01:00:57.838$  use for COVID for the most part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:00:57.838 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.666$  but we are recovering.

 $01{:}00{:}59.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{:}01.908$  On pancreas for for transplant from

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:01:01.908 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.763$  transplant cases where they once

NOTE Confidence: 0.888864271666667

 $01:01:03.763 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.835$  had COVID but are now PCR negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

 $01:01:07.910 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.144$  Thanks, we have a question from a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

 $01:01:11.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.766$  I think wins hands down wins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

01:01:13.770 --> 01:01:15.866 You know you can ask your question please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

01:01:15.870 --> 01:01:18.294 Yes, you mentioned that the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

 $01:01:18.294 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.442$  Kappel Aries in blood vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

 $01:01:20.442 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.707$  in like the pilots breakdown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

01:01:22.710 --> 01:01:24.210 How does that work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.742804702857143

01:01:24.210 --> 01:01:27.190 What do you think is happening? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:01:28.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.300$  yeah, that we don't know yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:30.300 --> 01:01:31.416 And again I was my second

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:01:31.416 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.579$  time we're going to use this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:32.580 --> 01:01:35.900 We don't know exactly the there's a lot

 $01:01:35.900 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.720$  of there's a lot of speculation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:38.720 --> 01:01:42.162 the the impact of having a loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:01:42.162 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.796$  insulin at the self communication level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:44.800 --> 01:01:47.240 and that again you start out in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:01:47.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.205$  You have these essentially factories

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:49.205 --> 01:01:52.229 that just produce a lot of insulin and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:52.230 --> 01:01:54.612 It's both a trophic factor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:01:54.612 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.200$  has so many other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:01:56.200 --> 01:01:58.660 Properties that there's the speculation is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:01:58.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.301$  although it's unproven

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:00.301 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.036$  that as you lose insulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:03.040 \longrightarrow 01:02:06.550$  the insulin producing cells that that'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:06.550 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.035$  have downstream effects and one will

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:10.035 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.675$  be again vasculature or capillary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

01:02:12.680 --> 01:02:14.564 Shared with one of your colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:14.564 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.424$  this morning I turned into grant

01:02:16.424 --> 01:02:18.068 two weeks ago where we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:18.068 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.396$  to try and take a look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:20.396 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.668$  functionally and figure out why it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333 01:02:22.668 --> 01:02:25.190 But yeah, I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01{:}02{:}25.190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}27.134$  I should say, and I didn't put in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:27.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.100$  The other thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:28.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.540$  is that people have been looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.788389783333333

 $01:02:29.593 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.745$  at a lot of the neuronal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.833008319333333

01:02:32.910 --> 01:02:35.220 Yeah, impacts and that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.833008319333333

 $01:02:35.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.530$  occurring there and those networks

NOTE Confidence: 0.833008319333333

 $01:02:37.615 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.740$  also appear to be disrupted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427557

 $01:02:41.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.728$  OK, alright so then another

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427557

01:02:44.728 --> 01:02:46.636 question from David Rain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427557

 $01:02:46.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.209$  So what does it cost to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427557

01:02:49.209 --> 01:02:50.310 this transplant pancreas?

01:02:50.860 --> 01:02:54.101 Yeah, so if you're going or pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

01:02:54.101 --> 01:02:56.500 goals for clinical transplant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:02:56.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.675$  the price can vary dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:02:59.675 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.434$  from 28 thousand, \$35,000, or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:03.434 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.176$  but we've negotiated with with all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:07.176 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.286$  opos either individual contracts or

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:09.286 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.440$  through research and intermediaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:11.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.010$  We obtain the pancreas, spleen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:14.010 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.858$  peripheral blood and started

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:15.858 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.680$  tissues for about \$8000 a case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:19.680 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.117$  8 So we get a research discount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:23.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.020$  If you would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763199199090909

 $01:03:24.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.130$  But we we are processing we collect about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845507102

01:03:28.150 --> 01:03:34.660 125 pancreas is a year, so it's a it's a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824249336190476

 $01:03:35.400 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.096$  OK thanks Mark, I know the time is

NOTE Confidence: 0.824249336190476

 $01:03:38.096 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.489$  we have last question from Paul

 $01:03:40.489 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.270$  and so I think his question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824249336190476

 $01:03:43.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.085$  given the microvascular pathology that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824249336190476

 $01:03:46.085 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.337$  characterized the clinical course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824249336190476

 $01:03:48.340 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.930$  is there damage to the other blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.824249336190476

 $01:03:50.930 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.010$  vessels in other organs? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:03:53.230 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.624$  so the answer is yes and that this again

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

01:03:55.624 --> 01:03:58.181 goes back to that grant I just put in two

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:03:58.181 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.500$  weeks ago where what are moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:00.500 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.555$  what we're proposing to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:02.555 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.660$  is collect hearts and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

01:04:05.660 --> 01:04:08.270 Uh, different vascular peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:08.270 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.230$  vascular tissues from individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:10.230 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.714$  with type one diabetes, type 2 diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:13.714 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.004$  and then people with cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:16.004 \longrightarrow 01:04:18.215$  disease that that don't have either

01:04:18.215 --> 01:04:20.447 form of diabetes and we're hoping

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

01:04:20.447 --> 01:04:22.547 to answer that question because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:22.550 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.006$  again, this kind of goes back to my

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:25.006 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.850$  earlier answer about insulin loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077 01:04:26.850 --> 01:04:29.238 Is is that? NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:29.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.480$  We we hope to identify differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:32.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.658$  between type one and type 2 diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:34.660 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.376$  where again on the type one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

01:04:36.380 --> 01:04:38.504 You don't have your endogenous insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:38.504 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.336$  production, where is in the Type 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

01:04:40.340 --> 01:04:43.398 It's going to be more on insulin action, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077 01:04:43.398 --> 01:04:44.754 So again, NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:44.754 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.502$  a great question and I hope to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

01:04:47.502 --> 01:04:48.882 you know within a couple of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.884090671923077

 $01:04:48.882 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.187$  we'll have some answers there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01{:}04{:}51.130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}54.049$  OK with that and I was just

 $01{:}04{:}54.049 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55.310$  really fantastic lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

01:04:55.310 --> 01:04:57.560 You know mark? I mean I, I just said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:04:57.560 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.808$  you know this is a really sad example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

01:04:59.808 --> 01:05:02.090 Say how to you know really using

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

01:05:02.158 --> 01:05:04.192 human tissue to discover all this

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:04.192 \longrightarrow 01:05:06.490$  new knowledge is and this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:06.490 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.604$  You know we wish you well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:08.604 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.389$  by selecting impact and study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

01:05:10.389 --> 01:05:13.140 And once again thank you very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:13.217 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.089$  for giving us the lecture and we will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:16.090 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.619$  I will you know when this all open up

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:18.619 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.355$  we want you to come here to visit us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01{:}05{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.865$  Thank you, have a lot of connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:22.865 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.510$  at a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:23.510 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.843$  You know, as you know you might feel OK.

 $01:05:25.843 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.544$  Once again, thank you very much Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:27.550 \longrightarrow 01:05:29.516$  And then we'll we'll be in contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:29.516 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.168$  So Manjula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:30.168 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.750$  who is the director of our grandma man?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:32.750 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.020$  Do you have any last word?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01{:}05{:}36.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38.648$  No, really very exciting talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:38.648 \longrightarrow 01:05:41.310$  there are many more questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:41.310 \longrightarrow 01:05:43.566$  but we are out of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

01:05:43.570 --> 01:05:46.488 OK, thank you again, Mark, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:46.488 \longrightarrow 01:05:49.550$  Thank you. Have a nice day markets you too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740390535

 $01:05:49.550 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.240$  OK, goodnight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76274809

 $01:05:50.250 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.000$  see it's always.