WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:03:17"

NOTE recognizability:0.865

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.911$  To see you again. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:07.911 \dashrightarrow 00:00:12.066$  There are already 52 participants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}12.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.908$  so I'm going to go ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:14.908 \rightarrow 00:00:16.800$  and start introducing you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:16.800 --> 00:00:21.528 Uhm? So welcome everyone for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:21.528 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.096$  the pathology grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:24.100 --> 00:00:27.940 Today's speaker is doctor Jason Hornick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:27.940 --> 00:00:31.480 He's a professor of pathology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:00:31.480 --> 00:00:35.020 Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38{.}068$  or Doctor Hornick grew up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}38.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.805$  California and then came up north to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}00{:}42.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.915$  do his college at Amherst College.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:00:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.566$  He went back to do MD PhD.

- 00:00:49.570 --> 00:00:50.508 In California,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}00{:}50{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53{.}791$  and then he must have missed the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}00{:}53.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.126$  seasons because he came right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}00{:}56.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.960$  back to Boston where he did his
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:00:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.880$  residency and stayed on and his.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}01{:}04.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.779$  He completed his entire residency at Brigham.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:08.780 \dashrightarrow 00:01:11.180$  He knew exactly what he wanted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:01:11.180 --> 00:01:12.282 He did,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}01{:}12.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.139$  and AP only residency and a fellowship,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}01{:}16.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.828$  which was three months each in soft tissue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:01:19.830 --> 00:01:21.070 Him path,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}01{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.550$  jiwan pathology and general
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:23.550 \rightarrow 00:01:27.039$  pathology and this might seem mad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:01:27.040 --> 00:01:30.166 But all of this exposure shaped
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:01:30.166 --> 00:01:32.194 his academic career anyway.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:32.194 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.978$  He rounded it off with one.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:01:34.980 --> 00:01:38.868 Year of GI pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:38.870 \dashrightarrow 00:01:42.678$  I'm not sure how that helped his career.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:01:42.680 --> 00:01:45.602 His doctor Hartnick made his name
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:45.602 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.820$  as a soft tissue pathologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}01{:}48.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.622$  He is an outstanding educator and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}01{:}51{.}622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54{.}933$  a frequent speaker at national and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:54.933 \dashrightarrow 00:01:57.649$  international meetings and courses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:01:57.650 \rightarrow 00:02:02:02.216$  He is codirector of surgical pathology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}216$  -->  $00{:}02{:}06{.}591$  update course at Brigham and Women's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:02:06.591 \rightarrow 00:02:10.076$  and of the diagnostic pathology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:02:10.076 --> 00:02:13.189 update course for the US CAP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:02:13.190 \rightarrow 00:02:16.110$  Doctor Hornik is very generous of his time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}02{:}16.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.210$  He wears many hats,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:02:18.210 --> 00:02:20.310 director of Surg Path,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:02:20.310 \rightarrow 00:02:23.565$  medical director of Histology and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:23.565 \dots > 00:02:25.518$  the immunohistochemistry lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}25{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30{.}108$  H is cheer of the QA committee and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}432$  also on several cancer focused committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:02:33.432 \rightarrow 00:02:37.519$  at the Brigham and Women's Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:37.520 --> 00:02:38.674 He nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}38.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.713$  he served on the education and committee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:42.720 --> 00:02:45.611 All of us cap and is currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:45.611 --> 00:02:48.200 on the Board of Directors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}48.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.966$  He's been a panelist and moderator on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}02{:}51{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55{.}860$  several of the educational sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:55.860 --> 00:02:58.710 His written guidelines, his chair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:02:58.710 --> 00:03:01.122 dicey committee for CAP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:01.122 \rightarrow 00:03:04.137$  and he developed the proficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:03:04.137 -> 00:03:06.928 testing for thousands of IFC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:06.928 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.016$  labs around the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:03:09.020 \rightarrow 00:03:12.278$  our lab being one of those.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:12.280 \rightarrow 00:03:16.306$  He is involved with the agencies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:16.306 \rightarrow 00:03:20.334$  sarcoma staging and the cancer genome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:03:20.334 --> 00:03:25.170 Atlas is a sarcoma analysis working group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:25.170 \rightarrow 00:03:28.434$  He also finds time to write board questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:03:28.434 --> 00:03:31.570 for the American Board of Pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- 00:03:31.570 --> 00:03:32.182 Uhm?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:32.182 \dashrightarrow 00:03:35.242$  Doctor Hornick has developed and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:35.242 \rightarrow 00:03:38.647$  described many IHC markers that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}03{:}38{.}647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}227$  diagnose genetic abnormalities,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.590$  and many of these are actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:44.590 \rightarrow 00:03:46.830$  have affected our practice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.850$  so these are significant practice changers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:03:50.850 \dashrightarrow 00:03:54.441$  Some of a short list would be I and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}03{:}54{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}380$  I won that detects smart B1 genetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00{:}03{:}58{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02{.}760$  aberrations start six that detects the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.580$  translocation in solitary fibrous tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:06.580 \dashrightarrow 00:04:09.720$  Back story for sinonasal sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:09.720 --> 00:04:12.008 Panther Gabino history chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:12.008 \dashrightarrow 00:04:16.729$  which we use on head and neck tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.769$  This 18 it says X fusion specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:20.769 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.170$  antibody for synovial sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.530$  that he talked about and showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:26.530 --> 00:04:28.786 in this morning's conference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00{:}04{:}28.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.686$  and many, many, many others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:31.686 --> 00:04:34.078 The list is long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:34.080 --> 00:04:36.906 he's he's the editor in chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:36.906 \rightarrow 00:04:39.848$  of the fifth series of if IPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:39.850 \rightarrow 00:04:44.638$  atlases of tumor, and non tumor pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:44.640 --> 00:04:46.840 He is an associate editor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

00:04:46.840 --> 00:04:49.408 For Sternberg's diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625

 $00:04:49.408 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.120$  surgical pathology,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8215359625
- $00:04:51.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.090$  he is on the editorial board

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}070$  of a JSP and

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:04:56.196 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.250$  modern pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:04:58.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.066$  He is he has a senior leadership position

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:02.066 \rightarrow 00:05:06.400$  on the editorial boards of histopathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:06.400 --> 00:05:09.680 advances in anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:09.680 --> 00:05:11.849 surgical pathology, clinics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:11.849 \rightarrow 00:05:18.180$  archives of pathology and lab medicine, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:18.180 --> 00:05:19.170 Not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.799$  he has more than 400 original articles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:23.799 - 00:05:26.448 reviews and chapters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.922$  and harking back to his first fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33{.}109$  were his spent three months in

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:33.109 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.774$  various subspecialities his not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}411$  on the editorial board of these soft

00:05:39.411 -> 00:05:42.844 tissue and bone blue book by WHO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:42.844 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.676$  but also on the blue books.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47{.}684$  He's contributed to breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:05:47.684 --> 00:05:50.690 Do you want him to rasik

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:50.787 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.108$  and pediatric Bluebirds?

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:53.108 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.380$  So in conclusion, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:05:57.380 \rightarrow 00:06:01.450$  Hornig still has a lot of time on his hands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}06{:}01{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03{.}844$  and I'll show what else he does

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}06{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.420$  by sharing my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:06:07.420 --> 00:06:08.911 Recently this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12{.}390$  Doctor Hornick with his here on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:12.486 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.461$  keyboard has cut an album for his

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:15.461 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.795$  band with his band up there teardowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:06:18.795 --> 00:06:22.005 And this is available on Spotify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:22.010 \rightarrow 00:06:25.718$  If anyone cares if anyone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

00:06:25.718 --> 00:06:28.190 tired of learning pathology,

 $00:06:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.500$  we can all go back and listen

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.220$  to his band instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00{:}06{:}32.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.486$  So with that short introduction I will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638818059166666

 $00:06:36.486 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.534$  See the floor to Doctor Hornick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00{:}06{:}40.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.120$  Thank you very much Montreux

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00{:}06{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.977$  for that Nice introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

00:06:44.977 --> 00:06:47.839 Let me make sure this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87832498875

 $00{:}06{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.400$  I always have a little bit of trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

00:06:51.760 --> 00:06:53.643 Can you let me know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

 $00:06:53.643 \rightarrow 00:06:55.400$  can see my full screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}454$  Yep. We can. Is it in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933226253333333

 $00:07:01.454 \rightarrow 00:07:02.734$  presentation mode or full screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877260148333333

 $00:07:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.370$  Yes, it's in the presentation mode. No notes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}07{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.310$  Oops was that good?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:07:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.330$  Yeah, that's the full screen mode.

- 00:07:14.330 --> 00:07:17.868 OK, perfect. So as you heard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}07{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.653$  I have very diverse interests.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:07:19.660 --> 00:07:21.072 My main area of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}07{:}21.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.172$  Interest where I do most of my research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:24.172 \rightarrow 00:07:26.878$  is in soft tissue tumor pathology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:07:26.880 --> 00:07:30.132 and Doctor Prasad has invited me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:30.132 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.312$  today to give a lecture focusing on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}07{:}32.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.200$  soft tissue tumors of the head neck.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.979$  This is a complicated area obviously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:36.979 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.729$  not only for soft tissue tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.906$  but this is a an area with lots
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:41.906 \dashrightarrow 00:07:44.151$  of vital structures and a diverse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:07:44.151 --> 00:07:46.191 array of different tumor types
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:46.191 \dashrightarrow 00:07:48.555$  that can arise in these structures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:48.555 \rightarrow 00:07:52.109$  And I'm going to talk about some really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:52.109 \dashrightarrow 00:07:55.049$  dramatic changes that have come to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:07:55.049 \rightarrow 00:07:57.467$  head neck pathology for mesenchymal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}07{:}57{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00{.}931$  neoplasia in the last ten years or so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.080$  I have the following disclosures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}08{:}04{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}612$  I'm a consultant to Addie Biosciences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:08:06.612 --> 00:08:08.300 and Trey Con Pharmaceuticals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}08{:}08{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}975$  which is not relevant to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:09.975 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.650$  the discussion for today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:08:12.650 --> 00:08:15.086 I'm going to be framing my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:15.086 \dashrightarrow 00:08:17.270$  presentation in terms of cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.713$  I think it's nice to bring them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:19.713 \rightarrow 00:08:21.760$  home to practical applications,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}08{:}21.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}24.175$  and many of the findings that I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:24.175 \rightarrow 00:08:26.791$  going to be discussing are nicely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:26.791 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.286$  illustrated by by these cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:08:29.290 \rightarrow 00:08:30.816$  I'm going to start off with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:30.816 \rightarrow 00:08:32.540$  with a tumor type that we've known

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}32{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34{.}641$  about for a long time, but it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:34.641 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.303$  It's a nice framing for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:36.303 \rightarrow 00:08:37.780$  rest of the discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}37.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.468$  because this is a tumor that is often

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}40.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.817$  overlooked and is prone to misdiagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}42.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.344$  At this anatomic site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:44.344 \rightarrow 00:08:47.352$  So we're starting off with a 43 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}47.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.613$  old man with a left sinus mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}180$  As is often the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:51.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.050$  these specimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:52.050 \rightarrow 00:08:55.133$  these two readings often look kind of messy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:08:55.133 --> 00:08:56.638 There's a lot of hemorrhage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:08:56.640 --> 00:08:59.265 and lots of separate fragments of tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:08:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.350$  and on the low power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:00.350 \rightarrow 00:09:01.943$  it's kind of hard to see what's going on,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:09:01.950 --> 00:09:04.494 although there are some sailor foci
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}09{:}04{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06{.}766$  in the scanning image and let's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}09{:}06.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.782$  focus on a few of these areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:08.790 \dashrightarrow 00:09:10.995$  So now we see those one fragmente
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}09{:}10.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}12.592$  with some blue cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:12.592 \rightarrow 00:09:15.478$  Hard to know what's going on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.964$  Higher power another area.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:16.964 \rightarrow 00:09:19.990$  We also have these streams of blue cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:19.990 \dashrightarrow 00:09:22.486$  but certainly to make anything more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:22.486 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.249$  of this will have to go closer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:25.250 \rightarrow 00:09:27.210$  So now you begin to see that there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}09{:}27{.}210$  -->  $00{:}09{:}29{.}029$  are these very large, rounded,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:09:29.029 \rightarrow 00:09:31.665$  slightly angulated cells embedded
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}09{:}31.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.960$  in this dense fibrous stroma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:09:34.960 --> 00:09:37.016 Even a higher power we can see this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:37.016 \rightarrow 00:09:39.120$  as a somewhat nested proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.360$  infiltrating through the submucosal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:09:41.360 -> 00:09:44.048 We have some normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:44.048 \rightarrow 00:09:46.736$  normal glandular structures here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.416$  Higher power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:47.416 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.593$  this is a tumor that has significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:50.593 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.879$  crush artifact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:52.880 \rightarrow 00:09:54.740$  Just the last few hypower images

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00{:}09{:}54{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}046$  and you can see this is a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.138$  round blue cell tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:58.140 \dashrightarrow 00:09:59.616$  Although the cells aren't so small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:09:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.924$  they're actually quite large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:00.924 \rightarrow 00:10:03.602$  Then we come to really high power and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

 $00:10:03.602 \rightarrow 00:10:05.576$  you can see the primitive chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:05.580 --> 00:10:07.908 Very large nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776

00:10:07.908 --> 00:10:10.236 very limited cytoplasm.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:10:10.240 --> 00:10:12.694 So I think on morphologic grounds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:10:12.694 \rightarrow 00:10:13.921$  the differential diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:10:13.921 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.579$  would certainly be broad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:10:15.580 \rightarrow 00:10:17.312$  This is a young,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:10:17.312 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.477$  not quite middle aged adults,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00:10:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.559$  so we have to think of sarcomas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:10:21.560 --> 00:10:22.235 lymphoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:10:22.235 --> 00:10:22.910 Melanoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}10{:}22{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25{.}610$  sometimes sinonasal melanomas have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- 00:10:25.610 --> 00:10:28.534 round some morphology or given
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}10{:}28{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30{.}322$  the the high nucleocytoplasmic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857040776
- $00{:}10{:}30{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}110$  ratio and the print
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231
- $00{:}10{:}32{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34{.}008$  of primitive appearance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231
- $00{:}10{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.174$  We certainly could also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231
- $00{:}10{:}36{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}797$  consider endocrine carcinoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:37.800 \rightarrow 00:10:40.558$  a very wide panel of screening markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}40{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43{.}345$  Was done in this case and the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:43.345 \rightarrow 00:10:45.595$  pass only revealed a single finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:10:45.595 - 00:10:48.340 and that is very strong intense

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:10:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.940$  standing for Desmond in every cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}51{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}556$  You can see on high power the limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}54{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}889$  silo plasm is nicely highlighted by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:10:57.889 --> 00:10:59.977 Desmond Stainless intermediate filament.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}678$  Then additional confirmatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00{:}11{:}01.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.376$  marker was performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:03.380 --> 00:11:06.020 Actually, 2 markers MYLDE 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:06.020 -> 00:11:08.510 which is positive in every nucleus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:08.510 --> 00:11:11.758 as is myogenin in a very strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.406$  intense fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:12.406 --> 00:11:15.503 And I'm sure by now many of you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:15.503 \rightarrow 00:11:18.155$  surgical pathology have made the diagnosis.

 $00:11:18.160 \rightarrow 00:11:20.920$  This summarizes the immunity chemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

 $00:11:20.920 \rightarrow 00:11:22.450$  all the markers that were negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:22.450 --> 00:11:24.978 excluding your endocrine carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:24.978 --> 00:11:26.916 Melanoma, and lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860127200769231

00:11:26.916 --> 00:11:29.528 This is alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:11:31.640 --> 00:11:33.320 I don't expect you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:11:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.664$  follow this entire table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}11{:}34.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.210$  This table was included in a review

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:11:37.210 --> 00:11:39.800 article that I I recently wrote for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.620$  seminars and diagnostic pathology with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:11:42.620 --> 00:11:44.652 junior colleague of mine, Michael Kaelin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}11{:}44.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.144$  who's a bone and soft tissue pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:11:47.144 --> 00:11:49.200 at the University of Maryland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:11:49.200 --> 00:11:52.119 where we focused on these new emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}11{:}52{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}459$  categories of round soul sarcomas.

 $00:11:54.460 \rightarrow 00:11:57.660$  But we also included this table that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:11:57.660 --> 00:11:59.750 highlights some of the conventional lineages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}11{:}59{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}445$  markers keratin Desmond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}400$  Myogenin Myo D1 that we've already mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06{.}620$  as well as some more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}06.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.848$  much more recently developed markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:12:09.848 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.950$  correlate with molecular genetic alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:12:12.950 \rightarrow 00:12:15.530$  which in some cases are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:12:15.530 --> 00:12:18.267 sufficient to make the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}18.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.543$  some of these round cell sarcoma types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.085$ So let's talk about Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:12:24.085 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.099$  in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27{.}020$  There are four different classes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:12:27.020 --> 00:12:29.280 tumors that we consider Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}29{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32{.}948$  as these are all sarcomas that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}520$  skelet al muscle differentiation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:12:34.520 \rightarrow 00:12:37.490$  The classic embryonal and alveolar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- 00:12:37.490 --> 00:12:39.272 Rhabdomyosarcoma is typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:12:39.272 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.923$  affect children, adolescents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:12:40.923 \rightarrow 00:12:42.312$  and young adults,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}12{:}42{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45{.}090$  and they do have particular anatomic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- 00:12:45.165 --> 00:12:47.310 sites where these tumors arise,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:12:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.464$  and if you're familiar with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:12:49.464 \rightarrow 00:12:51.549$  epidemiology of these classes of tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}12{:}51{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55{.}366$  it is often possible to suggest the diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:12:55.370 \rightarrow 00:12:57.477$  even before you look down the microscope,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}12{:}57{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}145$  and I always emphasize the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}13{:}00{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}277$  demographics is critical and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}748$  understanding some basic epidemiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- 00:13:04.750 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:07.606 Of sarcom<br/>as can really help you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}13{:}07.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.360$  dramatically toward a specific diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.412$  We're not going to talk about 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:13.412 --> 00:13:14.666 amorphic Rhabdomyosarcoma spindle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:14.666 --> 00:13:15.794 cells sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:15.794 \rightarrow 00:13:19.370$  We're going to come back to a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}13{:}19{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}010$  later in the session this afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:22.010 --> 00:13:25.340 Another example of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.720$  This is really one of the classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.736$  round cell sarcom as that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:29.736 --> 00:13:31.580 fairly uniform nuclear morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.346$  The nuclear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}13{:}32{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}644$  often much larger than the nuclei

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:34.644 --> 00:13:36.040 of Ewing sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:36.040 \rightarrow 00:13:38.427$  and if you're lucky you'll find an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:38.427 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.224$  occasional reflight giant cell as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:40.224 \rightarrow 00:13:42.240$  see in the middle of the field here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:42.240 \rightarrow 00:13:44.120$  That's a very correct characteristic.

00:13:44.120 --> 00:13:48.620 Giant cell type of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:48.620 --> 00:13:52.170 In contrast to embryonal Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:13:52.170 --> 00:13:53.372 alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:53.372 \rightarrow 00:13:55.776$  typically shows very strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:13:55.780 \rightarrow 00:13:59.520$  intense diffuse staining for myogenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02{.}450$  The skeletal muscle transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}516$  And Brian or Rhabdomyos arcoma usually shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:05.516 \rightarrow 00:14:08.160$  much more heterogeneity of the nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:08.160 --> 00:14:09.780 Some small rounded cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.612$  some short spindle cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:11.612 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.902$  often embedded within a lucid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}13{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15{.}708$  demonised for myxoid stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:15.710 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:14:18.279 And here you can see the striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}18{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20{.}560$  difference in Myogenin immunore activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.428$  And Brian or Rhabdomyosarcoma

 $00:14:22.428 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.296$  will show quite variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}956$  My agenda and expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:25.956 --> 00:14:28.026 It's often between maybe 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}28.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.104$  and 60 or 70% of nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:30.104 \rightarrow 00:14:32.960$  but quite different from the strong diffuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:33.033 --> 00:14:36.195 standing we see in alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}226$  and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:37.226 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.936$  in a limited biopsy sample,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.622$  the extent of myogenin is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:41.622 \rightarrow 00:14:44.328$  helpful to support the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46{.}800$  of alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:46.800 --> 00:14:48.736 These classes of sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00:14:48.736 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.188$  have distinct genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:50.190 --> 00:14:50.485 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

00:14:50.485 --> 00:14:52.845 I'm going to come back to spindle cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}957$ sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma little bit later.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}14{:}54{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}116$  The really important thing to remember
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- 00:14:58.116 --> 00:14:59.942 is alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma's Harbor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- 00:14:59.942 --> 00:15:03.030 FOXO 1 gene fusions and this is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}15{:}03.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.648$  different from Brian or Rhabdomyosarcoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00:15:05.648 \rightarrow 00:15:08.158$  which does harbor wrasse mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9534764766666667
- $00{:}15{:}08{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}208$  but only in a small subset of cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}15{:}12.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.006$  So now let's talk a little bit more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:15:15.006 --> 00:15:17.469 detail about alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:15:17.470 --> 00:15:20.176 Another good clinical clue to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:15:20.176 \rightarrow 00:15:23.680$  diagnosis is the fact that alveolar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:15:23.680 \rightarrow 00:15:26.001$  Rhabdomyosarcoma often presents with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}15{:}26.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.456$  metastasis to cervical lymph notes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:15:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.996$  so the presentation can be quite similar to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:15:33.000 --> 00:15:34.818 Oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:15:34.818 \rightarrow 00:15:37.848$  carcinomas that often present with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:37.848 \rightarrow 00:15:40.340$  cervical lymph node metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}40{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}525$  The same thing goes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:15:42.525 --> 00:15:43.399 alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:43.400 \rightarrow 00:15:45.696$  so this is always important to have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}45.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.083$  mind in your differential diagnosis when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}48.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.080$  you're dealing with a primitive round cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:15:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.174$  malignant neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}52{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}909$  Alveolar Rhabdomyosar<br/>coma has the worst

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:15:54.909 --> 00:15:58.186 prognosis of all of the Rhabdomyos<br/>arcoma $% 10^{-1}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}15{:}58{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}380$  types and in terms of differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}00{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}259$  diagnosis and one of the reasons that this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:03.260 --> 00:16:05.786 Class of sarcoma is often misdiagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:05.786 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.300$  when it arises in the sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.331$  Is is the fact that it's quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}11{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14{.}530$  common for these tumors to express

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:14.530 --> 00:16:16.165 keratins and or synaptophysin,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:16:16.165 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.235$  and there was a very nice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:16:18.235 --> 00:16:19.960 paper published by Andrew.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}16{:}19{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22{.}368$  Folks will come back to in a minute
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:16:22.368 \rightarrow 00:16:24.399$  that indicated the really high rate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:16:24.399 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.104$  of standing for these markers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}16{:}26{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29{.}036$  This is an example of metastatic alveolar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:16:29.036 --> 00:16:31.649 Rhabdomyosarcoma in the cervical lymph node.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}16{:}31{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34{.}090$  You can see this is a nested tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}16{:}34.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.535$  Where the differential diagnosis would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}16{:}36{.}535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40{.}229$  certainly be with a Melanoma and a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:16:40.229 --> 00:16:42.565 primitive poorly differentiated carcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00{:}16{:}42.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.534$  Obviously it's easy to confirm the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:16:45.534 \rightarrow 00:16:48.340$  diagnosis with Desmond or myogenic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- 00:16:48.340 --> 00:16:49.996 And this is the paper I alluded to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575
- $00:16:50.000 \rightarrow 00:16:51.176$  Andrew fopen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:51.176 \rightarrow 00:16:53.528$  Colleagues from some different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:16:53.528 --> 00:16:55.880 institutions many years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}948$  highlighted this potentially serious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:16:57.948 \rightarrow 00:17:00.533$  diagnostic pitfall of expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03{.}199$  keratins and your endocrine markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}17{:}03.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.759$  and alveolar Rhabdomyos arcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:04.760 --> 00:17:05.840 And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:05.840 \rightarrow 00:17:08.000$  I would estimate that maybe once

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}17{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.548$  every three or four years coming to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:10.548 --> 00:17:13.236 our head and neck on koleji clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}17{:}13.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.046$  there will be a patient who was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:15.046 \rightarrow 00:17:17.125$  thought to have a poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18{.}430$  and render carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:18.430 \rightarrow 00:17:21.134$  Of the sinonasal tract that turned out in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

 $00:17:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.222$  in retrospect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557288575

00:17:22.222 --> 00:17:26.009 on RE review to be alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma.

 $00:17:28.100 \rightarrow 00:17:30.074$  OK, so that's it for alveolar rabdo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:30.080 \rightarrow 00:17:32.519$  Now we're going to go to the second case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.130$  This is from a 70 year old man who

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.981$  had a lesion in the sphenoid sinus

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:37.981 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.779$  were starting with some sinus lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:17:40.780 --> 00:17:43.909 Another curettage specimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.440$  not a lot going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.195$  Most of these fragments of

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:17:47.195 --> 00:17:48.599 tissue look pretty normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:48.600 \rightarrow 00:17:51.015$  but I think you'll probably notice there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:17:51.015 \rightarrow 00:17:54.326$  just a few fragments that look very cellular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}54{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}466$  Here is one of those fragments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}938$  This is a spindle cell neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}17{:}59{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}970$  It's a pretty cellular process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}18{:}02{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}240$  Fascicle sheets of spindle cells

00:18:05.240 --> 00:18:07.510 that look fairly uniformly spaced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.710$  even if this intermediate magnification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:10.710 \rightarrow 00:18:13.216$  And other fragments involved by the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:13.220 \rightarrow 00:18:15.236$  And now you could appreciate those thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.810$  walled, dilated and branching blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:17.810 \rightarrow 00:18:21.789$  vessels which we refer to as staghorn

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:18:21.789 --> 00:18:25.099 vessels or hemangiopericytoma like vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:25.100 \rightarrow 00:18:29.465$  alluding to the tumors that we used to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:29.465 \rightarrow 00:18:31.749$  hemangiopericytoma that now do not exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:31.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.258$  Except for this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}143$  Little higher powerview and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:35.143 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.975$  we see those thin walled dilated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:37.975 \rightarrow 00:18:40.395$  Somewhat branching blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:18:40.400 --> 00:18:42.638 and you can begin to appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:42.638 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.757$  better than cytology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:18:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.040$  This is a uniform tumor.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:18:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.632$  Oval to short spindle cells that are fairly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:18:49.632 \rightarrow 00:18:51.908$  evenly distributed and evenly spaced.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:18:51.910 --> 00:18:53.400 Another nice field of that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:18:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.690$  the higher power with those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:18:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.875$  classic blood vessels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}18{:}56.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.245$  Some areas are devoid of vessels
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:18:59.245 \rightarrow 00:19:01.836$  and you could see some somewhat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:19:01.836 --> 00:19:03.532 sharply defined cell borders,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.381$  and then we come to very high
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:05.381 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.707$  power and we can see that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:19:07.707 --> 00:19:09.179 nuclear morphology is uniform.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.998$  The chromatin is actually fairly even.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:19:11.000 --> 00:19:13.736 It's not so course there's limited,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:19:13.740 --> 00:19:16.236 if any mitotic activity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}19{:}16{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19{.}356$  and really not any significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

- $00:19:19.356 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.230$  nuclear atypia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:19:22.230 --> 00:19:23.910 One more high power view again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.400$  you could see the even spacing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.060$  of these uniform nuclei.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}19{:}28.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.924$  So what's our differential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:29.924 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.788$  diagnosis for this case?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}19{:}31.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.210$  Given the anatomic sites
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.985$  and given the prior case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}19{:}34{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}808$  we could also think about Melanoma carcinoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:37.808 \rightarrow 00:19:39.956$  which would obviously be much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:39.956 \rightarrow 00:19:42.194$  common than the tumors were talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}19{:}42{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44{.}390$  about in the session this afternoon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.082$  Maybe monophasic synovial sarcoma?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:46.082 \rightarrow 00:19:49.600$  This is a very uniform spindle cell neoplasm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.933$  Although,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}19{:}49{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51{.}598$  as the residents discussed this

- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:51.598 \rightarrow 00:19:53.420$  morning in the slide seminar,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.980$  so noville sarcoma usually has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:19:55.980 --> 00:19:58.028 somewhat overlapping nuclei because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:19:58.028 \rightarrow 00:20:00.638$  they have such limited cytoplasm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:20:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.540$  In this case, the nuclear,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:20:02.540 --> 00:20:04.650 the nuclear, fairly evenly spaced,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- 00:20:04.650 --> 00:20:08.286 which would argue against synovial sarcoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}20{:}08{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11{.}517$  And then finally. Possibly a gloom angio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:20:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.740$  Perry cytoma, whatever that is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}20{:}13.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}16.687$  This is a tumor that's also called
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:20:16.687 \rightarrow 00:20:20.530$  sinonasal hemangiopericytoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}20{:}20{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}546$  Smooth muscle actin and some areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:20:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.050$  It's not so impressive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00{:}20{:}24.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.300$  but on other areas there was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:20:26.380 \rightarrow 00:20:28.620$  quite strong staining for SMAD.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.060$  One other stand I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.510$  show you is beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.846$  This is normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:32.846 \rightarrow 00:20:35.496$  You can see the the upper respiratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}20{:}35{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37{.}784$ epithelium has beautiful membranous

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:37.784 --> 00:20:40.072 staining for beta catenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.726$  whereas in the tumor we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:42.726 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.490$  this incredibly intense nuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}20{:}44.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.928$  and cytoplasmic staining was in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.591$  which is an aberrant pattern for

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}196$  nuclear Vatican for forbidding catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:52.200 \rightarrow 00:20:55.201$  The other markers that were investigated

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:20:55.201 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.356$  in this case were negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:20:57.360 --> 00:21:00.000 and I'm sure you've already guessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

00:21:00.000 --> 00:21:02.849 this is William Angio Perry Cytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286

 $00:21:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.139$  This is a tumor that for many

- NOTE Confidence: 0.776388805714286
- $00:21:05.139 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.120$  years was called
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:21:06.196 --> 00:21:08.260 sinonasal hemangiopericytoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.000$  This terminology has evolved a bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:21:10.000 00:21:13.650 over the years, in part because the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}21{:}13.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.856$  hemangiopericytoma term has sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:15.856 \rightarrow 00:21:17.488$  been abandoned and most organ systems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.403$  So in the head neck there's trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:19.403 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.244$  to get away from it as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}21{:}21{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.812$  This is a lesion that arises most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:23.812 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.040$  commonly in the ethmoid sinus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:21:26.040 --> 00:21:28.368 It's benign, although it can recur
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:28.368 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.630$  locally in about 1/3 of cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:30.630 \rightarrow 00:21:33.876$  Most patients present with a nasal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}21{:}33.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.956$  or sinus polyp fairly small in size,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:21:36.956 \rightarrow 00:21:39.140$  although occasionally they can be large.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:39.140 \rightarrow 00:21:41.580$  They often have some expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.044$  of actin filaments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}21{:}43.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.002$  These are thought to be perivascular cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:46.002 \rightarrow 00:21:49.398$  The cells that have contractile properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.740$  which is why they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}21{:}51{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53{.}144$  the actin filaments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}21{:}53{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56{.}318$  Are there negative for Desmond Keratins?

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.720$  But we learned in 2015 by these Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:21:59.720 \rightarrow 00:22:02.578$  papers published by two different groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.608$  one of them by Florian Haller

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}04.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.612$  and Abasa Gammy, and colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:06.612 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.067$  the other by Jersey Losada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:09.070 --> 00:22:10.057 Mark home yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}10.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.031$  and and a few other colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:12.031 -> 00:22:14.341 in and head and neck pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:14.341 -> 00:22:15.865 that Glow Manju Perry.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:22:15.870 --> 00:22:19.209 Cytoma harbors consistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:22:19.209 --> 00:22:23.849 activating mutations in C, TNN B1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}22{:}23.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.447$  the genes that encodes beta catenin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:22:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.160$  Which leads to this incredibly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:22:29.160 --> 00:22:31.328 intense nuclear and cytoplasmic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:22:31.328 --> 00:22:33.250 staining for beta catenin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:22:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.398$  which has become a very easy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:22:35.398 --> 00:22:37.008 to apply diagnostic marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}22{:}37{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40{.}116$  The only problem with beta catenin in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}22{:}40.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.951$  head and neck spindle cell neoplasms is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00:22:42.951 \rightarrow 00:22:46.170$  the fact that it's not entirely specific.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}22{:}46.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.106$  My colleagues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- 00:22:47.106 --> 00:22:49.446 Vicki Jo and Chris Fletcher,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}22{:}49{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}921$  published this follow up paper and head
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333
- $00{:}22{:}51{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}650$  neck pathology soon after investigating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:22:55.650 \rightarrow 00:22:57.450$  immunohistochemistry for beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}700$  In a range of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:22:59.700 --> 00:23:01.190 spindle cell neoplasms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:01.190 \rightarrow 00:23:03.350$  and they confirmed the beautiful staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}058$  we find in Glen Angio Perry Cytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00{:}23{:}06{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}740$  But at least you should be aware that

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.866$  the majority of solitary fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:10.866 \rightarrow 00:23:13.256$  tumors and synovial sarcomas also

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:23:13.256 --> 00:23:15.986 shown aberrant nuclear beta catenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.650$  but it really doesn't show

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

 $00:23:17.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.646$  that incredibly diffuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:23:18.650 - 00:23:20.485 intense staining that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.921085925833333

00:23:20.485 --> 00:23:22.320 in Gorman Joe Perry cytoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.060$  Now we go on to case three or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.319$  still in the Sinonasal region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.273$  This is from a 36 year old

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533
- $00:23:31.273 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.478$  man with a nasal cavity mass

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}140$  extending into the ethmoid sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.108$  This is a much larger tumor than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:40.108 \rightarrow 00:23:41.970$  previous samples I've shown you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:23:41.970 --> 00:23:44.490 This is a really purple looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:44.490 \rightarrow 00:23:46.170$  tumor from scanning magnification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.390$  Little bit higher power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:23:47.390 --> 00:23:49.220 You could also you can already

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:49.278 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.750$  begin to appreciate that this tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}23{:}51.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.030$  has a fascicular architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:23:54.030 - > 00:23:56.080 Again, it has that somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:23:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.900$  purple appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}23{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}378$  You can see some finwall dilated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}23{:}59{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}430$  blood vessels at the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:01.430 \rightarrow 00:24:04.244$  We have entrapment of these these glands.

 $00:24:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.410$  The glands of the sinus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}265$  which sometimes look a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:08.265 --> 00:24:09.007 bit hyperplastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.436$  They look very prominent in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:12.436 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.720$  embedded within this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}14{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}142$  Higher Power area and we can now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}17.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.940$  appreciate the nuclear morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:18.940 --> 00:24:23.260 Very bland, fairly uniform nuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}23.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.270$  somewhat overlapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:24.270 \rightarrow 00:24:27.300$  Coming back to our previous comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:27.300 --> 00:24:30.200 Areas are much more purple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:30.200 \rightarrow 00:24:33.000$  uniformly fascicular we see those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:33.000 \rightarrow 00:24:35.800$  fascicles nicely at high power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.552$  This tumor comes all the way up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}38.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.118$  the surface of the sinon asal mucosa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.728$  And then we come again to high power

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533
- $00:24:43.730 \rightarrow 00:24:46.103$  and you can see the uniform nuclear

 $00{:}24{:}46.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.363$  morphology and we even can see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:48.363 \rightarrow 00:24:50.940$  areas with these wiry collagen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.790$  bundles between the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}24{:}53.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.974$  So most of what I've described

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:24:55.974 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.634$  now would fit very well with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:24:58.634 --> 00:25:00.326 monophasic synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}00{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}451$  But I think given the rarity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}02{.}451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}708$  that tumor in this anatomic site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.798$  we have to think of some of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}06.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.967$  tumors we discussed this morning like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}08{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}842$  malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:10.850 --> 00:25:11.822 Perhaps leiomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:11.822 \rightarrow 00:25:15.224$  although this tumor is not so eosinophilic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.220$  it's a bit more purple.

00:25:17.220 --> 00:25:21.360 And then biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:21.360 \rightarrow 00:25:23.944$  As I go through each of these examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:23.950 --> 00:25:25.402 I'm sure even if you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:25.402 \rightarrow 00:25:26.560$  know what you're looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:26.560 \rightarrow 00:25:29.580$  you could probably guess the diagnosis by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}29{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33{.}120$  how I'm framing the differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:33.120 --> 00:25:34.640 Let's look at administer chemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:34.640 \rightarrow 00:25:36.690$  Smooth muscle actin was positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}36{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}920$  in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.250$  A fairly kind of variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.729$  Not so impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}41.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.194$  Overall positive in these areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:44.200 --> 00:25:47.056 There was some limited staining for Desmond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.484$  and there was also some limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:25:49.484 --> 00:25:51.100 staining for S100 protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}998$  but this is the characteristic nuclear

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533
- $00:25:53.998 \rightarrow 00:25:56.328$  and cytoplasmic staining pattern you

 $00:25:56.328 \rightarrow 00:25:59.208$  should look for to feel confident that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:25:59.208 \rightarrow 00:26:02.629$  you're dealing with true staining for S 100.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.480$  TLE One was also positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:04.480 \rightarrow 00:26:07.008$  We didn't talk about Tilly one this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}07{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09{.}344$  Tillie one has been developed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:09.344 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.550$  a marker for synovial sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:11.550 \rightarrow 00:26:14.154$  It was identified by gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:14.154 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.754$  profiling about 15 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:15.754 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.263$  but we now know that T one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}18{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}19{.}647$  really not so specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.112$  It's only has kind of moderate specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:22.112 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.280$  although the sensitivity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}24{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26{.}990$  synovial sarcoma is very high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.250$  So to summarize the immuno.

 $00{:}26{:}29{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}855$  SM Afocal Desmond S 100 TL E1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:32.860 --> 00:26:34.540 Whereas epithelial markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.340$  and socks 10 were negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.264$  This is in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}40{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42{.}457$ Biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}42{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44{.}812$  So what is this tumor that goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:44.812 \rightarrow 00:26:47.110$  by this unusual descriptive name?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

00:26:47.110 --> 00:26:47.433 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:47.433 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.402$  lucky for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00:26:48.402 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.492$  the name that we now use is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}646$  bit easier to remember than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837533

 $00{:}26{:}53.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.287$  first descriptor of this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}420$  Lewis and colleagues published this

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:26:58.420 \rightarrow 00:27:01.696$  paper almost ten years ago now where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}01.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.294$  first to find this interesting tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:04.300 \rightarrow 00:27:06.814$  that they called low grade sinonasal

00:27:06.814 --> 00:27:09.820 sarcoma with neural and myogenic features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.625$  which is a perfect description

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:12.625 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.869$  for this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.710$  This is a low grade sarcoma that seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}17.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.926$  to be unique to the sinonasal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:27:20.930 --> 00:27:23.828 It occurs in adults over a wide age range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:23.830 \rightarrow 00:27:27.340$  slightly more common in female patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}27{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29{.}806$  It's most common in the nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:29.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.450$  cavity and ethmoid sinus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}31{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}384$  Despite the fact that we call this a sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.550$  it doesn't appear to have metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}36{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38{.}586$  potential, at least thus far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:27:38.586 --> 00:27:40.681 No metastatic cases have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}40.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.327$  published with molecular confirmation

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}42{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45{.}590$  as I'll come back to in a minute.

 $00:27:45.590 \rightarrow 00:27:48.887$  There have been several tumor related deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}48.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.506$  This seems to be a very rare event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:51.510 \rightarrow 00:27:54.720$  primarily due to intracranial extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.220$  Given the anatomic site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:27:56.220 \rightarrow 00:27:59.290$  so these tumors can be locally aggressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}27{:}59{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}01{.}672$  they seem to recur in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}01{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04{.}542$  30 to 40% of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:04.542 \rightarrow 00:28:07.050$  Sometimes patients experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.558$  multiple local recurrences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:09.560 --> 00:28:11.438 As we saw in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:11.440 --> 00:28:13.832 these tumors look strikingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:13.832 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.430$  similar to monophasic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:16.430 --> 00:28:20.482 Synovial sarcoma, including cytologic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:28:20.482 --> 00:28:23.638 uniformity, fascicular growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}28{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.195$  Often somewhat WAVY nuclei and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:28:26.195 \rightarrow 00:28:28.750$  indistinct cytoplasm that have delicate

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:28.830 \rightarrow 00:28:31.758$  stromal collagen similar to synovial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}28{:}31.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34.688$  sarcoma and solitary fibrous tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.290$  It's common to see occasional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:38.290 \rightarrow 00:28:40.301$  staghorn vessels confusingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:40.301 \rightarrow 00:28:43.727$  these tumors sometimes have rare rhabdom.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:28:43.730 --> 00:28:44.504 I'll blast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:44.504 \rightarrow 00:28:46.052$  which can be highlighted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:28:46.052 --> 00:28:48.015 by Desmin myogenin Myo D1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}28{:}48.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.780$  which might lead you to consider the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:28:50.862 --> 00:28:53.697 possibility of a malignant peripheral.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.712$  Nerve sheath tumor with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:55.712 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.718$  heterologous elements.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:28:56.720 \rightarrow 00:28:59.276$  But those tumors are almost invariably
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}28{:}59{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02{.}449$  hygrade with a very high mitotic rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:29:02.450 --> 00:29:04.790 Striking nuclear atypia necrosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.130$  or as biphenotypic sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:07.130 --> 00:29:09.929 sarcoma is remarkably uniform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.774$  Rarely shows necrosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}11.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.079$  has a low mitotic rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:14.080 \rightarrow 00:29:15.829$  The defining immunophenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}15{.}829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18{.}744$  features of this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:18.750 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:29:22.635 ARCO expression of S 100 and muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}22.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.854$  markers most often smooth muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}24.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26.934$  actin and muscle specific actin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:26.940 --> 00:29:29.436 but you can sometimes see Desmond

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.066$  as well and as I mentioned the small

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}29{:}33.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35.814$  subset of cases with rabdo myoblasts

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:29:35.814 --> 00:29:38.132 have myogenin Myo D1 expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:38.132 \rightarrow 00:29:40.778$  only in the skeletal muscle cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:40.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.358$  As we saw in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:29:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.472$  TLE one can be positive which

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}29{:}44.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.496$  could lead to confusion with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:29:46.496 --> 00:29:48.140 monophasic synovial sarcoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:29:48.140 --> 00:29:50.000 and finally, socks tennis negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}29{:}50{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51{.}960$  So these are not true.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:29:51.960 \rightarrow 00:29:54.040$  Peripheral nerve sheath tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:29:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.144$  These are not MPNST's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:29:56.144 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.408$  They do not show true nerve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:29:59.408 --> 00:30:00.496 sheath differentiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:30:00.500 --> 00:30:01.272 In fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:30:01.272 \rightarrow 00:30:03.974$  we don't really know what the lineages
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}30{:}03{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06{.}610$  of these unusual tumors but coexpression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}30{:}06{.}610$  -->  $00{:}30{:}10{.}411$  of S 100 and actin filaments is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- 00:30:10.411 --> 00:30:12.487 very helpful diagnostic feature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00{:}30{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.820$  Andre Oliveira and colleagues published
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546
- $00:30:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.150$  this beautiful paper in Nature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:30:17.218 --> 00:30:20.690 Genetics in 2014 from the Mayo Clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:30:20.690 --> 00:30:24.488 identifying a recurrent pack 3 mammal

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

00:30:24.488 --> 00:30:27.582 3 gene fusion in biphenotypic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:27.582 \rightarrow 00:30:30.930$  sidell nasal sarcoma and over the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.880$  few years several different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:32.880 \dashrightarrow 00:30:35.465$  of published cases of biphenotypic

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:35.465 \rightarrow 00:30:38.110$  sinonasal sarcoma with other packs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:38.110 \rightarrow 00:30:42.809$  3 Fusion partners including FOXO One and two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}42.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.672$  But it seems that PAX three is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:45.672 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.190$  almost always involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.338$  pathogenesis of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}189$  A couple of nice large series published

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:53.189 \rightarrow 00:30:55.410$  since the initial descriptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00:30:55.410 \dashrightarrow 00:30:57.762$  This was a nice paper published

NOTE Confidence: 0.905911205454546

 $00{:}30{:}57{.}762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59{.}330$  by a diverse group

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:30:59.409 \rightarrow 00:31:02.647$  of colleagues in Virchows archives in 2018.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:02.647 \rightarrow 00:31:04.669$  A fairly large series where you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:04.669 \rightarrow 00:31:07.270$  can see the anatomic distribution,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- 00:31:07.270 --> 00:31:09.250 ethmoid cavity and nasal sinus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.468$  or most common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:10.468 \dashrightarrow 00:31:13.310$  as we saw in the initial description.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}31{:}13{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16{.}062$  And in this paper, mammal three was was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:16.062 \rightarrow 00:31:19.216$  still the most common fusion partner by far.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}31{:}19{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21{.}608$  A small subset of cases had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.096$  foxo one and NCO A1 fusions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.711$  We haven't identified
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:31:26.711 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.859$  all the fusion partners.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}31{:}28{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}468$  A small subset of cases have as of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- 00:31:33.468 --> 00:31:37.387 yet undefined PAX 3 fusion partners.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}31{:}37{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}359$  And then finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}31{:}38{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39{.}974$  most recently another large series
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:39.974 \rightarrow 00:31:42.120$  by the French sarcoma group with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}42.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.672$  few other collaborators from the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}44.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.630$  This was another large series where

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}47.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.675$  packs 3 mammal free fusions were found

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:50.675 \rightarrow 00:31:55.905$  in 37 out of 41 molecularly confirmed cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:31:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.454$  They identified another fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}31{:}57{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00{.}862$  partner in the form of WW T R1 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:00.862 \dashrightarrow 00:32:03.070$  we have those same fusion partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.930$  we saw in the previous case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

00:32:06.930 --> 00:32:09.390 And again, my colleague Vicki Jo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}09{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}830$  with some other collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.910$  in my department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}11{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14{.}350$  published a study with us a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00{:}32{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.185$  ago where we we looked at using packs

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:17.185 \rightarrow 00:32:19.935$  3 administer chemistry as a surrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:19.935 \rightarrow 00:32:22.155$  for biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}32{:}22{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23{.}944$  This worked very well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:23.944 \rightarrow 00:32:27.849$  We had a uniform staining in all 15 cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.346$  We investigated many of these cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.042$  We confirm by fish as you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:32.042 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.979$  see in the lower left image,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.818$  we have the break apart using.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:36.820 \dashrightarrow 00:32:39.082$  Pax three directed probes and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:39.082 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.492$  tumors we might consider in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}32{:}41.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.156$  differential diagnosis are almost
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:43.156 \dashrightarrow 00:32:45.280$  always negative for PAX three.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}32{:}45{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}840$  This is a very easy to apply marker.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}32{:}47{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}158$  We have a very nice nuclear staining in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00:32:51.158 \rightarrow 00:32:53.748$  a characteristic case of biphenotypic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- $00{:}32{:}53{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55{.}765$  sinonasal sarcoma and one other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875
- 00:32:55.765 --> 00:32:57.715 example where you see it just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83574612875

 $00:32:57.715 \rightarrow 00:32:59.348$  underneath the surface epithelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}02{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}198$  All right, we have a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:03.198 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.310$  more cases to go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.390$  A few more different topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:06.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.901$  Case four is from a 56 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:09.901 \dashrightarrow 00:33:12.386$  woman who presented with dyspnea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:12.390 \rightarrow 00:33:14.214$  and she was found to have a large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:14.220 \rightarrow 00:33:15.366$  soft palate tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:33:15.366 --> 00:33:18.040 So we're now moving away from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:18.121 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.150$  sinus is down into the palace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}20.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.950$  This was from the resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:21.950 \rightarrow 00:33:24.566$  It was actually kind of a debulking because

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:24.566 \rightarrow 00:33:27.044$  this patient was having breathing difficulty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}33{:}27{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29{.}761$  They recognized his tumor and they did

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:29.761 \rightarrow 00:33:31.543$  surgery urgently so that she could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:33:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.270$  They could make sure they

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- 00:33:33.270 --> 00:33:34.646 could maintain an airway.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- 00:33:34.650 -> 00:33:36.630 We have this very large,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- 00:33:36.630 00:33:38.518 highly cellular purple tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00:33:38.518 \rightarrow 00:33:40.406$  Which had higher power.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- 00:33:40.410 00:33:42.846 We can now begin to appreciate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00{:}33{:}42.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.863$  the Fascicular architecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00:33:44.863 \rightarrow 00:33:46.876$  The primitive nuclear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00:33:46.876 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.705$  morphology and other areas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00{:}33{:}48.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.400$  We have a slightly nested or cordlike
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00:33:51.474 \rightarrow 00:33:54.274$  architecture with this somewhat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00:33:54.274 \rightarrow 00:33:56.218$  prominent collagenous stroma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00{:}33{:}56{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59{.}510$  In other areas we have much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00:33:59.510 \rightarrow 00:34:00.920$  uniformly fascicular architecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00{:}34{:}00{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03{.}242$  Primitive nuclear morphology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273
- $00{:}34{:}03{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06{.}338$  This somewhat coarse chromatin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:06.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.400$  Higher power in the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}460$  area as I showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}386$  we have the nests and cords of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}11{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13{.}989$  primitive cells within this very dense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:13.990 --> 00:34:16.750 Collagen rich stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}16.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}18.970$  In some areas in the stroma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:18.970 --> 00:34:20.112 rich foci.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}20{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23{.}538$  These nests almost look like they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}23.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.026$  forming these cleft like or pseudo

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:27.026 \rightarrow 00:34:29.282$  vascular spaces a little bit LV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}29{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29{.}848$  Older in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}29{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33{.}177$  In cytology this is another classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}33.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37.059$  appearance to this type of sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:34:37.060 --> 00:34:38.894 And finally we look at high power

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:38.894 \dashrightarrow 00:34:41.178$  and we see the primitive chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}41{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44{.}912$  Course very limited cytoplasm in these

 $00:34:44.912 \dashrightarrow 00:34:48.140$  nests within this collagenous matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:48.140 \rightarrow 00:34:49.920$  So what about differential diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}49{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52{.}372$  If you notice the vascular appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:52.372 \rightarrow 00:34:54.964$  or you the pseudo vascular parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:54.970 \dashrightarrow 00:34:57.800$  perhaps you might consider angiosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}34{:}57{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}408$  If you thought the funny stroma

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:34:59.408 \rightarrow 00:35:01.640$  looked a bit like bone matrix,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.540$  osteoid that hadn't quite calcified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}04{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06{.}880$  You might consider extra stone lost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:06.880 \dashrightarrow 00:35:08.050$  you Sir coma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.780$  This morning we saw an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}10.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.658$  sclerosing epithelioid fibrosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}12.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.053$  so you might consider that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:35:15.053 --> 00:35:17.290 as a possible diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00{:}35{:}17.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.330$  given the very dense expression.

 $00:35:21.330 \rightarrow 00:35:24.630$  Excuse me at deposition of collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:35:24.630 --> 00:35:27.342 Sclerosing Rhabdomyosarcoma, perhaps?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

00:35:27.342 --> 00:35:29.150 Synovial sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.502$  given the uniformity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.938280502727273

 $00:35:30.502 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.516$  the nucleo morphology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:33.560 --> 00:35:35.024 So let's look at a minister

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:35.024 \rightarrow 00:35:36.000$  chemistry on this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:36.000 \rightarrow 00:35:38.560$  Desman is very strongly positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}35{:}38{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}120$  and pretty much every cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:41.120 --> 00:35:42.938 And mild D1 is also strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}35{:}42{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45{.}140$  positive even in the scanning image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.546$  You can appreciate that every nucleus

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:47.546 \rightarrow 00:35:49.609$  has strong expression of my OG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.071$  One high power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:35:51.071 \rightarrow 00:35:54.480$  We confirm that impression from low power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:35:54.480 --> 00:35:57.000 In contrast, if you look at myogenin,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:35:57.000 \rightarrow 00:35:59.814$  it's only a very small subset

 $00:35:59.814 \rightarrow 00:36:02.670$  of nuclei that are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:36:02.670 --> 00:36:03.708 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:03.708 \rightarrow 00:36:05.784$  we have skeletal muscle marker expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.688$  We have negative staining for EMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:08.690 \rightarrow 00:36:12.980$  The vascular transcription factor ERG

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.300$  and the sclerosing epithelioid fibrous

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}15{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18{.}330$  sarcoma marker mark for all negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.094$  And you've guessed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}21.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.858$  this is sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}23.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.852$  So at the beginning of the session this

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}25{.}852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27{.}572$  afternoon I mentioned I was going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}27.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.578$  come back to this topic of spindle cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}696$  sclerosing revenue mile sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}31.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.870$  This is the most recent addition

 $00:36:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.775$  to these sarcomas that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}36{:}37.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.518$  skelet al muscle differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:36:39.520 --> 00:36:41.256 Spindle cell sclerostin Rhabdomyosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:36:41.256 - 00:36:44.838 is occur most often in the head and neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.912$  although you can see them in the extremities

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:47.912 \rightarrow 00:36:51.178$  and trunk or in parrot testicular locations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:36:51.180 --> 00:36:53.980 Paratesticular tumors are almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.620$  only seen in infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.860$  male infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:36:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.070$  and young boys as they'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.120$  come back to in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.666$  These tumors often have my old

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:04.666 \rightarrow 00:37:07.030$  one trans activating mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.615$  There is a slight female

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}37{:}08.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.566$  predominant for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:37:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.628$  Group of tumors.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:11.630 --> 00:37:12.252 These tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:12.252 \rightarrow 00:37:14.429$  as we saw in our clinical case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}37{:}14.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.965$  often present as a rapidly growing mass.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:17.970 \rightarrow 00:37:20.736$  And symptoms are related to local
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:20.736 --> 00:37:23.363 compression because of their very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:23.363 --> 00:37:25.573 characteristic anatomic sites of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:25.573 00:37:28.078 presentation in the head neck.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:28.080 \rightarrow 00:37:31.656$  There are another group of spindle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:31.656 --> 00:37:33.161 cells thrusting rhabdomyosarcoma's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:33.161 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.027$  that do not have mild you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}37{:}35{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}550$  One mutations that instead have gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}37{:}37{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}980$  fusions as they'll come back to in a minute.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:39.980 \rightarrow 00:37:42.374$  Those tumors have a very good prognosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}37{:}42{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44{.}340$  Those are almost only seen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.908$  in very young infants,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

- $00:37:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.730$  sometimes with congenital presentation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:48.730 --> 00:37:50.140 In contrast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:37:50.140 > 00:37:53.602 the miod one mutant spindle cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:53.602 \rightarrow 00:37:55.596$  speros in rhabdomyosarcoma's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}37{:}55{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}104$  have a dismal prognosis with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:37:58.104 \rightarrow 00:38:02.588$  less than 20% five year survival.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:38:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.288$  At one end of the spectrum,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:38:04.290 \rightarrow 00:38:08.106$  these tumors look very similar to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:38:08.110 --> 00:38:09.961 leiomyosarcoma's with intersecting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:38:09.961 \rightarrow 00:38:13.046$  fascicles of elongated spindle cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}38{:}13.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.167$  with abundant bright pink seidel plasm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- 00:38:16.170 --> 00:38:18.810 Some cases you'll appreciate us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}38{:}18{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20{.}922$  true skeletal muscle differentiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00{:}38{:}20{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22{.}498$  at the HD level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:38:22.500 \rightarrow 00:38:24.875$  We have these occasional polygonal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069
- $00:38:24.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.333$  or strap like cells that have really

 $00:38:28.333 \rightarrow 00:38:31.840$  intense somewhat orange or red or cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:31.840 --> 00:38:35.032 Other examples have a much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:35.032 --> 00:38:36.628 primitive fibrosarcoma like

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:36.628 \rightarrow 00:38:38.696$  appearance with coarse chromatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:38.696 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.768$  a high mitotic rate and limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:41.768 --> 00:38:44.887 set up plastic differentiation at

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:38:44.887 - > 00:38:46.189 the other end of the spectrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:46.190 \rightarrow 00:38:49.195$  We have these incredibly densely

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:49.195 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.599$  hyalinized sclerosing tumors where

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:38:51.599 \dashrightarrow 00:38:55.904$  we have this osteoid matrix like

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}55{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}696$  deposition of hyalinized collagen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}38{:}59{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}667$  And other cases kind of sit somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:39:01.667 - 00:39:03.779 in the middle as we saw in our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}39{:}03{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06{.}685$  in our clinical case for this discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}39{:}06{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09{.}258$  I think this this example has

00:39:09.258 - > 00:39:10.542 some fascicular architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}39{:}10.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.693$  but you could still appreciate in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:13.693 \dashrightarrow 00:39:16.600$  background the dense collagenous stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:39:16.600 --> 00:39:18.888 Desmond is diffusely positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00:39:18.888 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.099$  similar to many rhabdomyosarcoma's

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

00:39:21.099 --> 00:39:23.055 the best skeletal muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.900500069

 $00{:}39{:}23.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.500$  transcription factor to used for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:25.573 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.118$  this tumor is miod want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}39{:}27{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29{.}240$  Some cases are entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.830$  negative for myogenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:39:30.830 --> 00:39:33.476 so if you only run myogenin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.916$  you may get confused and think

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:35.916 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.251$  you're not dealing with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:38.251 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.779$  distinctive form of Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}39{:}40{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43{.}264$  So as I mentioned, these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:43.264 \rightarrow 00:39:46.249$  most often have my O D1 mutations.

 $00{:}39{:}46{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48{.}812$  There were three proper papers published

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:39:48.812 --> 00:39:51.580 the same year by Marc Ladanyi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:39:51.580 --> 00:39:54.500 Pockrus Hogendoorn and Christina Senescu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:39:54.500 \rightarrow 00:39:57.846$  These really nice papers in nature Genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}39{:}57{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}300$  Journal of pathology and genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}750$  chromosomes and cancer identifying this

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:02.828 \rightarrow 00:40:05.112$  very common recurrent trans activating

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:05.112 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.360$  mutation in my O D1 which leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}08.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.479$  very strong expression of the mild D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:11.480 --> 00:40:13.562 Protein, as I showed you in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:13.562 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.950$  the last few slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:14.950 --> 00:40:18.647 But we've learned over time that these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}18.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.300$  do not always show my OG one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.939$  Some of these tumors have gene fusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}24{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27{.}797$  with a variety of fusion partners and

 $00:40:27.797 \rightarrow 00:40:30.275$  this nice paper published two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}816$  ago by the Group of Memorial Narse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.090$  Agaram was the first author.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}35{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37{.}463$  He's one of the bone and soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}37{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39{.}389$  tissue pathologists at memorial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}39{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}484$  New York with Cristina Antonescu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}41{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44{.}346$  And they're suggesting that you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}44{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}394$  should think of these as different

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}47{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50{.}580$  risk groups depending on what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53{.}000$  underlying molecular pathogenesis is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:53.000 - > 00:40:55.088 The mild you want mutant tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.382$  which are pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:40:56.382 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.997$  all the tumors in adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:40:58.000 --> 00:41:00.400 have mild you one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03{.}070$  I have a really poor prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}41{:}03.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.818$  whereas the tumors that present in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149
- $00:41:05.818 \rightarrow 00:41:08.651$  very young infants have various gene

 $00{:}41{:}08.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.850$  fusions and have a very good prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:41:11.850 --> 00:41:14.436 If you don't find miodowa mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.386$  those tumors also have a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:17.386 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.368$  good prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00{:}41{:}18{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20{.}834$  There are some second hits that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

00:41:20.834 --> 00:41:22.846 identified as you see from this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.578$  but really the thing to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.79827149

 $00:41:24.578 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.700$  is the my OG one mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:29.010 \rightarrow 00:41:31.188$  All right, we are back to the nasal cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}41{:}31{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}584$  The last case I'm going to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}41{:}33.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.060$  this afternoon is from a young woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}41{:}36{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38{.}676$  who was found to have a right nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}41{:}38.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.866$  cavity mass when she presented to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:40.866 \rightarrow 00:41:42.786$  her primary care physician with

 $00:41:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.670$  a unremitting nasal congestion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:41:45.670 --> 00:41:48.550 Another very cellular tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:48.550 \rightarrow 00:41:50.700$  This is the excision specimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:50.700 \rightarrow 00:41:52.725$  another tumor with a purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:52.725 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.345$  appearance from low power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.700$  But when you begin to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:55.700 \rightarrow 00:41:57.359$  at a little bit higher power,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:41:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.971$  you begin to see that these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:41:59.971 --> 00:42:02.266 cells have abundant eosinophilic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:42:02.266 --> 00:42:04.618 granular cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}42{:}04{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07{.}119$  And in the background you see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}42{:}07{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}09{.}092$  very delicate capillary vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}42{:}09{.}092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}596$  network that compartmentalizes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:42:11.596 \rightarrow 00:42:14.820$  tumor into these delicate bundles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}42{:}14.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.996$  or nests higher power.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:42:16.996 \rightarrow 00:42:20.643$  You can see the central nucleoli quite

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:20.643 \rightarrow 00:42:22.558$  uniform appearance to the nuclei,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:22.560 \rightarrow 00:42:26.196$  although there are some very slight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:26.196 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.014$  nuclear contour irregularities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.099$  Some of the cells have a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:42:30.099 --> 00:42:32.000 bit more optically clear cytoplasm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:32.000 \rightarrow 00:42:34.608$  but most of the cells have this granular.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:42:34.610 --> 00:42:36.398 Eosinophilic appearance and now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}42{:}36{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}080$  in this image these images you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:39.157 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.247$  can nicely see that delicate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:42:41.250 --> 00:42:43.113 Capillary vascular network.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:43.113 \rightarrow 00:42:46.672$  Looking very similar to the vascular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}42{:}46.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.620$  pattern of clear cell renal cell carcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:50.620 \rightarrow 00:42:53.764$  High power you can nicely see those nucleoli.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}42{:}53.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}56.082$  Occasional cells are multinucleated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:42:56.082 \rightarrow 00:43:00.240$  with slightly more nuclear atypia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:43:00.240 --> 00:43:00.647 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:43:00.647 --> 00:43:03.089 high power we now begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}03.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05.020$  appreciate the mitotic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:43:05.020 \rightarrow 00:43:07.318$  We found some scattered mitotic figures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}07{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10{.}470$  I think it was up to may be two or three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:43:10.556 - 00:43:13.628 and 10 high power fields in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}13.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.526$  So what is our differential diagnosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

00:43:15.530 --> 00:43:18.758 I mentioned metastatic renal cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}18.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.217$  but we have to think about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}21{.}217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.617$  other tumor types that also present

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}23.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.737$  with a similar nested architecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}25.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.488$  and a similar vascular pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}28{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30{.}062$  So obviously today's session

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:43:30.062 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.684$  is on soft tissue tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00{:}43{:}31{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33{.}630$  so it can't be renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

 $00:43:33.630 \rightarrow 00:43:36.942$  This is a this is a good testing strategy.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:43:36.950 \rightarrow 00:43:39.920$  Alveolar soft part sarcoma perhaps
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:43:39.920 --> 00:43:43.370 clear cell sarcoma malignant pecoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:43:43.370 00:43:45.130 Maybe we should consider a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:43:45.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.258$  these tumors possibly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}43{:}46{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48{.}514$  So let's look at a minister.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:43:48.520 --> 00:43:51.982 Chemistry TFE 3 is very strongly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:43:51.982 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.713$  and intensely positive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:43:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.864$  so that could suggest several of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}43{:}56{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}960$  the possibilities I mentioned.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:43:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.770$  The only other marker that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:00.770 --> 00:44:02.758 was positive was HMB 45.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:02.758 --> 00:44:05.003 That marker of melanocytic differentiation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}44{:}05{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07{.}759$  and it was not so impressive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}44{:}07{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}208$  but it did show that really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}44{:}10.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}11.840$  distinctive granular subtle plasmic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667

- 00:44:11.908 --> 00:44:14.751 pattern of staining which we look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}44{:}14.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.973$  for for tumors that have melanosomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:17.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.840$  So to summarize, the immunophenotype.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:20.840 00:44:23.132 Muscle markers were negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:23.132 --> 00:44:25.424 As well as Melanie.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}44{:}25{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27{.}788$  If you're thinking of Melanoma S
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:27.788 --> 00:44:29.360 100 stocks tender negative,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.910$  those are also uniformly positive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}44{:}31{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33{.}950$  and clear cell sarcoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:33.950 --> 00:44:34.838 And finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:34.838 --> 00:44:37.058 thinking about renal cell carcinoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:37.058 --> 00:44:39.050 characins were also negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.560$  This is a malignant pecoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:42.560 \rightarrow 00:44:45.885$  So Pecoma is this very mysterious tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:45.890 \rightarrow 00:44:49.370$  That has a very unusual definition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:44:49.370 --> 00:44:53.920 Tacomas are said to be composed of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:53.920 \rightarrow 00:44:56.504$  perivascular epithelioid cells which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:56.504 \rightarrow 00:44:59.040$  are distinctive epithelioid cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:44:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.410$  that are often closely associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}45{:}01{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}080$  with blood vessel walls and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- 00:45:04.080 --> 00:45:06.360 often Co Express Millen, acidic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}45{:}06{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09{.}320$  and smooth muscle markers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}45{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11{.}324$  We think of Petco misses being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:45:11.324 \rightarrow 00:45:13.515$  a family of tumors that include
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00{:}45{:}13.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.849$  the much more common and better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8960614066666667
- $00:45:15.849 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.180$  known family member,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- 00:45:17.180 --> 00:45:17.688 Angiomyolipoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}45{:}17.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.736$  which usually presents in the kidney.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00:45:20.740 \rightarrow 00:45:23.427$  But this morning we talked about tumors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}45{:}23{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26{.}836$  in the liver that are also often
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}45{:}26.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.880$  called an giomyolipomas that are in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.718$  fact just pecoma's of the of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:31.720 --> 00:45:35.656 We have a rare rare disorder called Lam

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:45:35.656 --> 00:45:37.102 Lymphangioleiomyomatosis that mostly NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:37.102 \rightarrow 00:45:39.783$  occurs in the lungs and lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}39.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.548$  And the tumor we're talking about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}42{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45{.}259$  A group of distinctive tumors that have NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}45{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.090$  been called pecoma not otherwise specified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:48.090 \rightarrow 00:45:51.611$  or simply pecoma All these tumors share

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}51{.}611$  -->  $00{:}45{:}55{.}197$  this distinctive magical cell type that we NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:45:55.197 \rightarrow 00:45:57.687$  call the perivascular epithelioid cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}45{:}57.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.510$  which has no known normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.638$  cellular counterpart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:01.640 - 00:46:04.688 so this isn't really a known cell type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}04{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06{.}811$  but it's a concept that was invented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}06{.}811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}209$  by a group from Italy from Verona

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.346$  more than 20 years ago now,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00:46:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.032$  and this concept has stuck and

 $00:46:14.032 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.599$  we use it to describe pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:17.600 --> 00:46:19.749 The first large series of soft tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:19.750 --> 00:46:20.532 Petco Miss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}20.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.987$  was published by Andrew Phillip Thomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}22.987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.969$  Mensal Sharon Weiss and colleagues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.108$  2005 when they first proposed criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:29.108 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.730$  for malignancy based on the small series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:32.730 --> 00:46:35.026 We now know that Petco mass acre at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:46:35.026 --> 00:46:37.127 very broad range of anatomic sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}37{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}650$  You can see them primarily presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}39{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41{.}930$  is very small skin tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:46:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.165$  They are relatively common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}44.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.506$  the gas trointestinal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48{.}240$  I promised the GI pathology faculty earlier

 $00:46:48.240 \rightarrow 00:46:51.509$  today that I would allude to the GI tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}51{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53{.}718$  even though today I'm talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}53.718$  -->  $00{:}46{:}56.210$  soft tissue tumors of the head neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}56{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}410$  Because I am also a GI pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02{.}110$  So pack owners are much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.370$  common in women than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:04.370 \rightarrow 00:47:09.018$  They usually present in adults and unlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:09.018 --> 00:47:12.210 angiomyolipoma and lymphangioleiomyomatosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:12.210 --> 00:47:14.002 pecoma unspecified the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}14.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.794$  in the soft tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}15.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.304$  GI Tracting uterus are rarely associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:19.304 \rightarrow 00:47:22.470$  with the cancer predisposition syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}22.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.160$  TSC, the tubers sclerosis complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}47{:}26.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.379$  You can find them in the extremities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:47:28.380 --> 00:47:29.564 trunk wall and skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:47:29.564 \rightarrow 00:47:31.340$  but those are a small minority

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}31{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}432$  of all pecoma's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00:47:32.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.527$  Most of the time you'll find them in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00:47:35.527 \rightarrow 00:47:38.335$  central body cavity or visceral locations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}38{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42{.}078$  This is a nice resection that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}42.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.360$  performed in our hospital of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- 00:47:44.360 -> 00:47:46.620 primary pecoma of the pancreas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}46.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.580$  This was such a nice gross photograph
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}48.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.991$  that I included in The Who the last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}50{.}991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}770$  two times the 4th and 5th series.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}53.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.612$  It looks kind of similar to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}55{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56{.}533$  the pancreatic parenchyma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}56{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}332$  It's very sharply demarcated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}58{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59{.}676$  from the pancreas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}792$  but this is a pecoma that looks really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00{:}48{:}02{.}792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06{.}196$  similar to the angiomyolipoma of the liver.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.275$  They were reviewed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}08{.}275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09{.}935$  slide seminar this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.460$  And here you can see a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.604$  interesting accentuation around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}13.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.956$  the blood vessel in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:15.960 --> 00:48:18.372 We have these blood vessels that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:18.372 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.735$  seem to have the tumor cells just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:21.735 \rightarrow 00:48:24.930$  underneath the endothelial lining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}24{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28{.}068$  Sometimes these tumors have very striking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.320$  clear cell morphology and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.670$  beautiful nested appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.870$  making them almost indistinguishable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

00:48:33.870 --> 00:48:37.170 from clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.070$  Some of them have trabeculae

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00{:}48{:}39{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39{.}830$  are architecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:39.830 \rightarrow 00:48:44.030$  This example also arose in the nasal cavity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597
- $00:48:44.030 \rightarrow 00:48:48.108$  and then also had a TFE 3 gene fusion.

 $00:48:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.335$  Other examples look much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:51.335 \rightarrow 00:48:53.606$  similar to leiomyosarcoma's with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:53.606 \rightarrow 00:48:55.558$  fascicular spindle cell morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706597

 $00:48:55.560 \rightarrow 00:48:58.800$  but in contrast to true smooth muscle tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:48:58.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.148$  which typically show very

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:01.148 --> 00:49:02.909 dense core cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:02.910 --> 00:49:05.260 The cytoplasmic quality of pecoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:05.260 --> 00:49:08.386 as is almost always this delicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:08.390 --> 00:49:12.860 granular eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.752$  There is a distinctive sclerosing variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:15.752 --> 00:49:20.040 of pecoma that has a marked predilection

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.696$  for the pararenal retroperitoneum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}22.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}26.600$  As you see in these two images on this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.102$  we again have that orientation under

 $00:49:29.102 \rightarrow 00:49:31.759$  the endothelium of the blood vessel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.785$  and these cords of somewhat

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:33.785 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.576$  clear cells within a very dense

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:36.576 \rightarrow 00:49:38.640$  hyalinized collagenous stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.094$  As I mentioned, we define these

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}41.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.828$  tumors as showing a mixed Milan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:43.828 \rightarrow 00:49:46.260$  acidic and myogenic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:46.260 --> 00:49:49.754 They're nearly always positive for HMB 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:49.754 \dashrightarrow 00:49:52.196$  That's the best marker for pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}52.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.324$  Smooth muscle actin is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}54{.}324$  -->  $00{:}49{:}56{.}320$  sensitive of the muscle markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:49:56.320 --> 00:49:56.760 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:49:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.840$  it's important to be aware of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}49{:}59{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03{.}185$  fact that in particular the clear cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:03.185 \rightarrow 00:50:06.459$  examples that harbor TFE 3 fusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:06.459 \rightarrow 00:50:09.327$  are sometimes entirely negative.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.528$  For smooth muscle actin and desmin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:50:12.530 --> 00:50:14.833 You can find focal S 100 in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:14.833 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.410$  a subset of cases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.934$  but it's usually unimpressive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:18.934 \rightarrow 00:50:20.827$  and predominantly cytoplasmic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.014$  which helps distinguish them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:23.014 \rightarrow 00:50:25.744$  in a phenotype from Melanoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:50:25.750 --> 00:50:26.404 In addition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}50{:}26{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29{.}020$  socks 10 that marker we think of as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:29.093 \rightarrow 00:50:31.487$  being very strongly expressed in close
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}50{:}31{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35{.}796$  to 100% of melanomas is negative and pecoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}50{:}35{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}824$  And in correlating with the presence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}50{:}38.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.280$  of the gene fusions TFE 3 protein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}50{:}42.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.980$  is expressed at high levels in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:50:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.969$  about 10 to 15% of cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:46.969 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.152$  And that's a good surrogate by

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:49.152 --> 00:50:51.200 administer chemistry for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:51.200 \rightarrow 00:50:53.760$  presence of the gene rearrangement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}53{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}852$  This is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:50:54.852 --> 00:50:55.216 uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:55.216 \rightarrow 00:50:57.036$  some examples of smooth muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:50:57.036 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.900$  actin and desmin staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}406$  This was actually from the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}00{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}159$  in the pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:51:01.160 --> 00:51:03.337 I showed you a few minutes ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}03{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05{.}830$  and these were are nice examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.050$  of the variability of staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}08{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10{.}475$  for HMB 5:45 and Melanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}10.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11.518$  And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:11.518 \rightarrow 00:51:13.594$  in order to support the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:13.600 \rightarrow 00:51:16.570$  I usually run all four markers

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.055$  SMAD and Desmond.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:51:18.060 --> 00:51:20.652 HMB 45 MLN A and if you do that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:20.660 \rightarrow 00:51:21.640$  usually you'll get a hit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:21.640 \rightarrow 00:51:24.016$  at least with one of the muscle markers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}51{:}24.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.778$  and one of them will an esthetic markers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.186$  We don't really have very good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:51:29.186 --> 00:51:31.360 criteria for malignancy in pecoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}51{:}31{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34{.}600$  As I mentioned Andrew folks first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}51{:}34{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}328$  suggested criteria but we don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:36.328 \rightarrow 00:51:38.070$  have a lot of cases to go on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.788$  We know that features associated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:51:40.788 --> 00:51:43.380 malignant behavior include large tumor size,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}51{:}43{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47{.}240$  mitotic activity and atypia pleomorphism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:51:47.240 --> 00:51:49.662 So in my practice I really use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:51:49.662 \rightarrow 00:51:51.257$  the combination of essentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:51.257 \rightarrow 00:51:54.042$  any mitotic activity with atypia

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:54.042 \rightarrow 00:51:57.110$  pleomorphism the support the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}300$  Of malignancy this is a malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:51:59.300 \rightarrow 00:52:00.760$  pecoma of the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:00.760 \dashrightarrow 00:52:04.780$  a large fleshy sarcoma like lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}52{:}04.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07.624$  These tumors can look very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}52{:}07.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.046$  to metastatic Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:09.050 \rightarrow 00:52:12.560$  With macro nucleoli striking pleomorphism

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:12.560 --> 00:52:16.070 and eosinophilic or amphiphilic cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.883$  whereas in other cases they have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}52{:}17.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.939$  more of a clear cell appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

00:52:19.940 --> 00:52:22.710 looking very similar to metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00{:}52{:}22{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25{.}030$  clear cell renal cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:25.030 \rightarrow 00:52:29.206$  this is obviously a metastasis to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:29.210 \dashrightarrow 00:52:31.790$  We again see those macronuclei,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143

 $00:52:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.146$  plia, morphic,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:52:33.146 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.858$  and multinucleated tumor cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- 00:52:35.860 --> 00:52:38.370 Pete Argani from Hopkins with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}52{:}38{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}378$  Sharon Weiss first presented
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}52{:}40{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43{.}299$  this series of tumors with TFE 3
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:52:43.299 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.739$  gene fusions about 10 years ago.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00{:}52{:}45{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}772$  This was the case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873522042857143
- $00:52:46.772 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.320$  I mentioned that I had just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- $00:52:48.380 \rightarrow 00:52:51.418$  a couple of years ago from the sinus that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- $00:52:51.418 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.574$  trabeculae are tumor with fairly clear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- $00:52:54.574 \rightarrow 00:52:57.609$  cytoplasm that had a TFE 3 gene fusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- $00{:}52{:}57{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01{.}154$  A and RC Agram and Christina TSQ published
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- 00:53:01.154 --> 00:53:04.420 this beautiful paper six years ago in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- 00:53:04.420 --> 00:53:06.470 American Journal of Surgical Pathology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- 00:53:06.470 --> 00:53:08.680 highlighting what we understand about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- $00:53:08.680 \dashrightarrow 00:53:11.347$  the molecular genetics of Pecoma TSC.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:11.347 --> 00:53:15.003 2 mutations are found in the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:15.003 --> 00:53:18.449 cases and we also have trends locations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}18{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23{.}015$  a range of of pecoma TFE 3 being the most NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:23.015 \rightarrow 00:53:27.518$  common gene involved in translocations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:27.520 --> 00:53:31.516 Because of the alterations of TSC 2 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:31.516 --> 00:53:34.291 majority of Tacomas and visceral locations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}34{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36{.}119$  including in tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:36.119 -> 00:53:38.020 pursue an aggressive course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

00:53:38.020 --> 00:53:41.722 The malignant examples we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}41.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.874$  m tor inhibitors to effectively treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:44.874 \rightarrow 00:53:47.769$  patients with this tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}47.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.947$  These are some sort of case reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}622$  and small series published almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:53:51.622 \dashrightarrow 00:53:54.204$  ten years ago now showing that M

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}56{.}244$  Tor inhibitors such as Sorolla,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}53{:}56{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}58{.}974$  Miss or quite effective in treating

 $00{:}53{:}58{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00{.}711$  patients with malignant pecoma's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}00{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}679$  This is an example of a patient treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06{.}430$  in our hospital at the Dana Farber Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:06.430 \rightarrow 00:54:08.300$  Institute by my colleague Andy Wagner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:08.300 \rightarrow 00:54:10.841$  who's he's really the world expert in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}10{.}841$  -->  $00{:}54{:}13{.}029$  treating patients with malignant pecoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.200$  He's treated on the order of about

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:15.200 \rightarrow 00:54:17.290$  70 patients with this sarcoma type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.159$  Over the last ten years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}19{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21{.}855$  and you can see this very dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}21.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.894$  clinical benefit to serralles the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}23.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.736$  with a marked decrease in size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}26.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.715$  these multiple metastatic lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}28.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.709$  in the abdominal cavity and pelvis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.326$  Very recently,

 $00:54:32.326 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.482$  this is a paper that was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:34.482 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.170$  published online a few weeks ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39{.}158$  There is now a modified albumin

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:39.158 \rightarrow 00:54:41.150$  conjugated version of sirolimus

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44{.}266$  that is also really effective in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:44.266 \rightarrow 00:54:46.290$  patients with malignant pecoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:46.290 \rightarrow 00:54:49.590$  especially patients that have TSC 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:49.590 \rightarrow 00:54:52.090$  mutations thus far in this series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}52{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54{.}110$  all the patients whose tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:54:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.130$  were confirmed to harbor TSC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}56{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}268$  2 alterations were are alive either

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}54{:}59{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02{.}160$  without disease or with disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}55{:}02{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03{.}875$  And you can see from that plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:55:03.875 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.960$  in the lower left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00{:}55{:}04{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}714$  the striking clinical benefit in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125

 $00:55:07.714 \rightarrow 00:55:10.745$  majority of patients with malignant pecoma

- NOTE Confidence: 0.94284840125
- $00:55:10.745 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.545$  treated with this seromas conjugate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:55:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.050$  So for my final slide of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- 00:55:18.050 00:55:19.650 summary of practice points,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}19.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22.086$  don't forget that head and neck location
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:55:22.086 \rightarrow 00:55:24.632$  is very common for both alveolar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:55:24.632 \rightarrow 00:55:26.548$  Rhabdomyosarcoma and spindle cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:55:26.550 \rightarrow 00:55:29.270$  Grossing revenue miles sarcomas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}29{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}701$  Beware of keratin and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:55:31.701 \rightarrow 00:55:32.874$  neuroendocrine marker expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}32.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.155$  so you don't go down the tubes and make a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}37.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}39.019$ mis<br/>diagnosis of alveolar Rhabdomyos<br/>arcoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}39{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41{.}960$  I've now shown you lots of examples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:55:41.960 \rightarrow 00:55:43.850$  of biphenotypic sinonasal sarcoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.222$  This distinctive pack three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00{:}55{:}46.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.001$  translocation associated low
- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:48.001 \rightarrow 00:55:50.890$  grade sarcoma that Co expresses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}55{:}50{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}370$  Muscle markers and neural markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:55:53.370 \rightarrow 00:55:57.060$  and finally Pecoma Harbor either TSC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:55:57.060 --> 00:56:02.046 2 deletions, or TFE 3 fusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}02.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.914$  So I'm going to close there and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:03.914 \rightarrow 00:56:06.309$  want to thank you very much to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}06{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07{.}952$  department into Manju Prasad for

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:07.952 \rightarrow 00:56:10.283$  inviting me to visit your department today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:56:10.290 --> 00:56:12.460 I'm sorry that it had to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.510$  converted to a virtual visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}14.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}16.561$  but I always have to now advertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:16.561 \rightarrow 00:56:18.720$  for my rock band the teardowns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

00:56:18.720 --> 00:56:19.719 As you heard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}19{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21{.}717$  we released our first album that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}21.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.548$  available on all streaming services

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:23.550 \rightarrow 00:56:25.468$  and after a very long delay we're

- NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006
- $00:56:25.468 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.947$  beginning to play some shows

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28{.}936$  around town where we're playing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:28.936 \rightarrow 00:56:31.082$  Summerville coming up next month and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}31.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.074$  then in Jamaica Plain in Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.650$  In January,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.645$  I doubt that we're ever going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37{.}499$  travel outside of the Boston area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}37{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39{.}540$  Since this is a hobby for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}619$  us who have different careers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.500$  and honestly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.140$  we're not good enough to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.967$  this our our full time job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00{:}56{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49.608$  And I'm happy to answer any questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915525006

 $00:56:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.020$  thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92153966

 $00:56:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.581$  That was wonderful, Jason.

 $00:56:59.581 \rightarrow 00:57:03.223$  I'm sure you're good enough to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00:57:03.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.674$  Play for us at one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00{:}57{:}06{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08{.}396$  our departmental events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00:57:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.700$  Uhm, there was a comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00{:}57{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.368$  My computer crashed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00{:}57{:}15{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17{.}566$  All the way through your presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00{:}57{:}17{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}907$  So I lost all the comments in chat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00{:}57{:}21.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.683$  I know that singing Pan had a comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00{:}57{:}24.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}29.954$  so singing can you unmute yourself and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00:57:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.680$  And say your comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

00:57:34.680 --> 00:57:38.772 I think it was about alveolar

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

00:57:38.772 --> 00:57:42.230 Rhabdomyosarcoma metastatic to lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00:57:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.210$  Can you hear me yes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.74352415444444

 $00{:}57{:}44{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45{.}770$  Hi, so thank you for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.743524154444444

 $00:57:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.390$  wonderful presentation. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.85823402

00:57:47.400 --> 00:57:49.936 I just want to make a quick comment

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85823402
- $00:57:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.358$  so I haven't seen. Like if you cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163
- 00:57:52.370 --> 00:57:55.650 of metastatic tremors. Psycho Martin.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163
- $00:57:55.650 \rightarrow 00:57:59.238$  If notes showing us purely sinusoidal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163
- $00:57:59.238 \rightarrow 00:58:01.910$  pattern with polymer cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60355163
- $00{:}58{:}01{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03{.}198$  And the tumor cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.717474528333333
- $00:58:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.900$  he feels in a positive for CD56 and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318
- 00:58:06.910 --> 00:58:10.300 AWK. So one case was misdiagnosed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318
- $00{:}58{:}10{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13{.}630$  as a hog positive and approximately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318
- 00:58:13.630 00:58:16.720 70 former by our third pathologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318
- $00:58:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.348$  So just beware.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318
- 00:58:19.350 --> 00:58:21.813 Both types of randomized sarcoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.664428318
- $00{:}58{:}21{.}813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25{.}540$  may have expression that thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875
- $00:58:25.930 \rightarrow 00:58:27.746$  No thank you. That's that's a great point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875
- $00{:}58{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.946$  I think alveolar Rhabdomyosarcoma is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875
- 00:58:29.946 --> 00:58:32.225 very good mimicker of many different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:32.225 - 00:58:34.498 tumor types because of these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:34.498 --> 00:58:36.090 strange appearances of expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:36.090 --> 00:58:38.710 and alk is quite commonly positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00:58:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.850$  and that's a very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

00:58:40.850 --> 00:58:41.910 Interesting problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86485611875

 $00:58:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.220$  thank you and all 56 as well. So yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00{:}58{:}47{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}51{.}580$  Oh, I always wondered why we had both

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00:58:51.580 \rightarrow 00:58:56.306$  my D1 and myogenin so clearly it has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00{:}58{:}56{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00{.}006$  Prove to be useful both these stains

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

00:59:00.006 --> 00:59:03.914 and why both might should be or might

NOTE Confidence: 0.646748133333333

 $00{:}59{:}03{.}914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06{.}690$  be ordered and can be useful. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:06.700 \rightarrow 00:59:08.135$  I think that's a very good point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00{:}59{:}08.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10.816$  and in fact, before we recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:10.816 \rightarrow 00:59:13.120$  spindle cell and sclerostin rabdo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.392$  I don't think we really needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00{:}59{:}14{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}17{.}304$  my OD one and for many years the

 $00:59:17.304 \rightarrow 00:59:18.934$  antibodies available were pretty bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:18.940 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.548$  They often showed a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00{:}59{:}20.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21.352$  subtle plasmic staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

00:59:21.360 - 00:59:22.998 The new clones are much better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:23.000 \rightarrow 00:59:24.850$  They actually worked beautifully and

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:24.850 \rightarrow 00:59:27.250$  they're really helpful for this class of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927304191428571

 $00:59:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.960$  Of Rhabdomyosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

00:59:29.710 --> 00:59:33.715 Yeah, and I brought my my journey into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00{:}59{:}33.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37.836$  lab and I stopped using my D1 altogether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.943$  but clearly we'll have to look at our clones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.351$  The other thing I wanted to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:45.351 \dashrightarrow 00:59:47.990$  Jason is many of these antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00{:}59{:}47{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}51{.}450$  This newer antibodies that affusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00{:}59{:}51{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}54{.}544$  specific or gene aberration specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663590046470588

 $00:59:54.544 \rightarrow 00:59:58.520$  Do you offer them as test only

 $00:59:58.624 \rightarrow 01:00:01.198$  like like the other labs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:01.290 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.506$  Yeah, unfortunately we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:02.506 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.330$  because we just don't have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:04.389 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.985$  staffing to be a reference lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:05.990 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.890$  At this point we can barely keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:08.890 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.390$  up with our volume in House.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:10.390 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.310$  We we have about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:13.310 \rightarrow 01:00:16.982$  650 to 700 a day not counting him path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

01:00:16.990 --> 01:00:19.468 so it's just it's it's impossible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:19.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.734$  so we for now we're only doing it

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01{:}00{:}21.734 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.374$  as part of diagnostic consults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

01:00:23.374 --> 01:00:26.398 I think in a couple of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:26.400 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.689$  some of you might have heard our

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01{:}00{:}28.689 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.227$  institutions are probably going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:30.227 \rightarrow 01:00:32.211$  be forming a central lab for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625

 $01:00:32.271 \dashrightarrow 01:00:34.186$  the mass General Brigham Hospital.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625
- $01:00:34.190 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.556$  So at that point, maybe will do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625
- 01:00:36.560 --> 01:00:37.409 Reference lab work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.922619525625
- $01:00:37.409 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.107$  but at this point we can't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94822818
- $01:00:40.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.702$  Thank you. Any other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.94822818
- $01:00:43.702 \rightarrow 01:00:45.806$  questions from anyone else?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:00:45.820 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.764$  I see that I see Don Pot had a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:00:47.764 \rightarrow 01:00:49.528$  comment that I'm a very good cook.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:00:49.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.874$  If I want to thank you for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}00{:}50.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.824$  I I only really started cooking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}00{:}52.824 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.218$  full force after we had started the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:00:55.218 \rightarrow 01:00:57.163$  lock down with the pandemic and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:00:57.163 --> 01:00:58.794 now I'm really obsessed with it and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:00:58.794 --> 01:01:00.176 I love taking photographs during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:00.176 --> 01:01:02.192 the process as dog pot as seen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}02{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}05{.}637$  So it's a it's a new hobby and I I love
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

- $01:01:05.637 \rightarrow 01:01:08.104$  cooking very different ethnic groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:08.104 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.254$  I love like Thai and and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:11.254 \rightarrow 01:01:12.989$  various other types of food.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:12.990 \rightarrow 01:01:16.122$  And dump, as other question had to do with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:16.130 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.066$  Fusion detection we haven't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}18.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}22.139$  We haven't brought on one of the the RNA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}22.139 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}26.287$  based NGS fusion panels yet in our lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29.566$  We have really only been reserving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}29.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30.982$  molecular confirmation for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:30.982 \longrightarrow 01:01:32.747$  cases that we struggle with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:32.750 \rightarrow 01:01:35.046$  which because we have so many antibodies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:35.046 --> 01:01:37.708 that I bring on and I enjoy doing as my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:37.710 --> 01:01:39.830 kind of translational research effort,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:39.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.230$  we don't do a lot of genetics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:41.230 --> 01:01:42.510 but we've mostly been using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:42.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.534$  fish at this point.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:43.540 \rightarrow 01:01:46.460$  Really old school conventional fish.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:46.460 --> 01:01:49.340 On occasion we'll do Archer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:49.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.080$  one of the fusion panels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}51{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}54{.}414$  but it's at MGH or Children's Hospital.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:54.414 --> 01:01:54.968 We don't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}01{:}54.968 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}57.240$  We don't do it right now in our lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:01:57.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:59.268$  I think that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:01:59.270 --> 01:02:01.370 Especially for round cell sarcomas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:02:01.370 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.158$  it's really valuable to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:02:03.158 \longrightarrow 01:02:04.946$  have that method because.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:02:04.950 --> 01:02:10.118 If it isn't Ewing sarcoma, it is very hard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:02:10.118 --> 01:02:14.196 To confirm the diagnosis of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01{:}02{:}14.196 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}16.485$  other so-called undifferentiated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- $01:02:16.485 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.774$  round soul circles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625
- 01:02:18.780 --> 01:02:19.650 Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.867772310625

- 01:02:19.650 --> 01:02:20.280 Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01{:}02{:}20{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21{.}790$  in the head and neck area,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:21.790 \rightarrow 01:02:24.415$  this sarcoma is extremely baffling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:24.415 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.040$  because that's not the first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:27.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.548$  thing that comes to our mind.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- 01:02:29.550 --> 01:02:32.651 Fortunately for me, I also sign out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:32.651 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.347$  on the bone and soft tissue service,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:36.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:37.910$  so sometimes it strikes me
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01{:}02{:}37{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}40{.}289$  it's not a head and neck tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:40.290 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.630$  but a soft tissue tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01{:}02{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.830$  But they can be very challenging and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:45.830 \rightarrow 01:02:49.190$  are trying to validate the orchard fusion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:49.190 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.195$  Plex version three,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.615612145
- $01:02:50.195 \rightarrow 01:02:53.190$  and we can't wait to get it started.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82844081
- $01:02:57.720 \rightarrow 01:03:01.717$  If there are no other questions then.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82844081
- 01:03:01.720 --> 01:03:06.207 I'd like to thank Doctor Hornick profusely.

 $01{:}03{:}06{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}10{.}249$  For his generous time and for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82844081

 $01{:}03{:}10.249 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}13.648$  very lucid discussions. Thank you, thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.9396385525

01:03:13.660 --> 01:03:16.996 you again thanks every one. Have a great day.