WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:01:19" NOTE recognizability:0.690

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.225$  So. Good afternoon everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:03.225 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.682$  Thank you for attending a

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:00:05.682 --> 00:00:07.090 year pathology gram one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.593$  Sending a series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:08.593 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.100$  It's our great pleasure to invite Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:12.191 \dashrightarrow 00:00:15.647$  Killer or Katie to speak at our grandma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.611$  I met at scientific conferences and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:18.611 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.299$  impressed by her outstanding mechanistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}00{:}21.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.644$  research in immunology and cardiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:24.650 \dashrightarrow 00:00:28.082$  Cloud received her pH D from the Autonomous

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}00{:}28.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.756$  University of Madrid, Spain, she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}00{:}30.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.168$  Performed her postal research

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:00:33.168 --> 00:00:35.580 at Brigham Women Hospital,

 $00:00:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.984$  have a medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:36.984 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.739$  She studied her faculty position

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:00:38.739 --> 00:00:41.157 as an assistant professor at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:41.157 \dashrightarrow 00:00:43.362$  Department of Medicine, Tufts University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:43.362 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.366$  She has been attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:45.366 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.454$  resources professor since 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}00{:}47.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.958$  She's the program director of Immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:50.958 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.490$  graduate program is an endowed chemist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.446$  and Joann,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:00:55.446 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.836$  where professor and the interim

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}00{:}57.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.005$  vice chair of department

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:01:00.005 --> 00:01:02.744 immunology at Tufts Class Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:02.744 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.186$  has made important discoveries in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:01:05.186 --> 00:01:08.109 area of mechanism T cell trafficking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}08.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.475$  Their research efforts are focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:10.475 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.816$  on understanding why and how T cell

 $00:01:13.816 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.066$  subsets and in gaseous interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:01:16.070 --> 00:01:18.686 They also study intrinsic properties of

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:18.686 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.907$  the vascular in the cilium that modulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}21.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.210$  key cell and leukocyte recruitment

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:24.210 \dashrightarrow 00:01:27.290$  in the T cell trafficking and survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.174$  Another exciting line of risk in

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:01:29.174 --> 00:01:31.270 class group is about inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.108$  heart failure to recent research has

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}34.108 \to 00{:}01{:}36.547$  contributed significantly to your paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:36.547 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.385$  shift in understanding of heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.407$  Putting T cell inflammation as a measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}42.407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.979$  of player in this heart failure disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}45.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.710$  So exciting research program have

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.440$  led to multiple impactful papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:01:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.264$  such as circulation journal,

00:01:53.264 --> 00:01:54.418 experimental medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}54.418 --> 00{:}01{:}57.880$  JCR inside a TVP and etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}01{:}57.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00{.}310$  Pillars research has been has

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.400$  been funded by NIH one brand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.899$  She has also showed great leadership in

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}02{:}05.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.325$  science by serving our editorial board

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:08.325 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.480$  such as Junior Clinic investigation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.792$  Fast, GMC and etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

00:02:13.792 --> 00:02:14.620 Additionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.553$  she has been serving the American Heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}02{:}17.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.610$  Association during past many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.484$  She has been the HCA basic cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:22.484 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.556$  Science program chair since last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.934$  Without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00:02:26.934 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.308$  let's welcome Killer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}02{:}28.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.725$  To give us her seminar entitled T

00:02:30.725 --> 00:02:33.346 Cell Role in the passive Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.074070364

 $00{:}02{:}33.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.698$  of heart failure, can I thank you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.002$  Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:38.002 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.867$  You ring for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}02{:}39.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.010$  It's really nice to be here and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.962$  thank you to all those of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:47.962 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.810$  I've met with this morning because

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:49.874 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.046$  I've returned your science because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:02:52.050 --> 00:02:53.670 I've been really enjoying, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.309$  all the things that you're doing here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.998$  So as giving said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:02:57.998 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.347$  I'm going to focus today's talk on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}03{:}01.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.268$  aspect in the lab where we study the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:04.268 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.774$  role of T cells in the pathophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.518$  Of heart failure I have no disclosures

 $00:03:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.209$  and basically this is a cartoon that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}03{:}14.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.516$  summarizes the general theme of our lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:16.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.103$  which is how the moon system impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:19.103 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.730$  cardiac and vascular health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.980$  So as you being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.773$  I train in immunology and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:03:24.773 --> 00:03:27.439 further train in vascular biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.848$  but we know that immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}03{:}30.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.346$  they really need to traffic into

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:33.346 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.890$  tissues to do their functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.210$  But then once.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.090$  In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.688$  they need to interact or crosstalk with

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:41.688 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.769$  all the different resident cells in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:45.769 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.824$  to modulate homeostasis or pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.510$  In the case of injury.

 $00:03:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.670$  So for today's talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:03:51.670 --> 00:03:53.787 I will focus on what we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:53.787 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.671$  learning recently in the lab from

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:03:55.671 --> 00:03:57.834 our work and also from the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:03:57.834 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.578$  of others of how this interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:00.578 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.288$  between adapted and innate immunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.430$  contributes to cardiac remodeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.764$  In hard and I I place a circle

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:09.764 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.920$  here because this is mainly where

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.728$  these interactions between adapted

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:13.728 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.499$  and it made immune cells happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}04{:}16.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.152$  This is what T cell antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}04{:}19.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.700$  recognition starts in the lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}04{:}21.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.652$  But towards the end of the talk I

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:04:23.652 --> 00:04:25.761 will show some new data is still

00:04:25.761 --> 00:04:27.754 unpublished where we really find that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:27.754 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.230$  they're very similar interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.494$  that are also happening in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:31.494 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.978$  heart and that they might modulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:04:33.978 --> 00:04:35.560 correct Physiology this way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

00:04:35.560 --> 00:04:37.835 So as many of you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}04{:}37.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.755$  heart failure is very complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.904$  and it's multifactorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.354$  So to tackle mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:42.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.780$  we need to start in a simplistic way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}04{:}45.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.006$  But we also need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:48.006 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.119$  the full complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.227$  So what do we know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:51.227 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.820$  regardless of the etiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.380$  whether it was triggered

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.940$  by any ischemic event,

 $00:04:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.056$  such as a myocardial infarct

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:04:59.056 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.488$  or non ischemic event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.388$  The heart remodels and the characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:04.388 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.469$  of the failing heart are increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}05{:}07.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.000$  High level curricular pressures and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.824$  then a hypertrophic cardiomyocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:13.824 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.770$  fibrosis and these results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.010$  systolic and diastolic dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.978$  And we've known since the 50s that

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}05{:}21.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.250$  systemic chronic inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}05{:}23.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.306$  is associated with pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.262$  all of the causes of all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00{:}05{:}28.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.230$  etiologies of heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.886$  I'm just going to set up my timer

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:31.886 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.417$  here to make sure that we're.

 $00:05:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.140$  Runtime here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.180$  But unfortunately this by this knowledge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.990$  for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.420$  many years today none of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.035$  anti-inflammatory therapies for clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:42.035 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.875$  trials that were initially launched

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:43.875 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.709$  to tackle a pro inflammatory cytokines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:46.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.030$  such as TNF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.350$  And more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.630$  with the counters trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.550$  island bed and none of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.680$  this is the anti TNF therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.121$  that are very efficient in treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:57.121 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.496$  out immune diseases and chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:05:59.496 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.252$  inflammatory diseases did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.67076522

 $00:06:01.252 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.648$  work in heart failure and there

 $00:06:03.648 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.830$  are more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:06:04.830 --> 00:06:07.710 promising data with the Cantor's trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:06:07.710 --> 00:06:10.128 although it's still early to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.818$  whether it has really benefited in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:12.818 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.087$  some of the outcomes of heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.267$  So what we know is that there are no

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:19.267 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.718$  anti-inflammatory antifibrotic therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:20.718 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.288$  that have been successful today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}06{:}23.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.468$  and we know from many organ systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}06{:}26.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.046$  that inflammation or immune cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}06{:}29.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.232$  activation and fibrosis go together or

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:32.232 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.196$  have some overlapping functions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}06{:}35.200 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.324$  So the first question that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:37.324 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.280$  asked several years ago was is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.100$  is there cardiac information?

 $00:06:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.920$  Besides systemic chronic inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:06:42.920 --> 00:06:45.814 again going with the concept that if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:06:45.814 --> 00:06:48.313 immune cells traffic to an inflamed issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.296$  do they exert their functions by

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:51.296 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.280$  communicating with the tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.638$  or stroma cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.789$  And then if that was the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}06{:}56.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.585$  do the cardiac infiltrated muscles

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:06:58.585 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.021$  contribute to the hallmarks

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:00.021 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.726$  that we see of heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.030$  such as correct fibrosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.980$  And does that have any impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:07:05.040 --> 00:07:06.399 on cardiac dysfunction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.012$  And obviously we're very interested as

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:10.012 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.840$  basic scientists in understanding how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.625$  So the first experiment that we did

 $00:07:14.625 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.460$  this this is this was published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:07:16.460 --> 00:07:18.660 This is back in 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}07{:}18.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.586$  but we wanted to say whether we

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:21.586 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.950$  could see cardiac inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.460$  in patients with heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.609$  but we wanted to look at patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:27.609 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.440$  with non ischemic heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:29.440 \dashrightarrow 00:07:32.737$  Antonio Barish group at VCU in Virginia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}07{:}32.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.144$  He had elegantly demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:34.144 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.250$  years before this that in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:07:36.308 --> 00:07:37.679 to myocardial infarction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}07{:}37.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.865$  there was decent in filtration in

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}07{:}39.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.304$  the human heart and interestingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:42.304 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.676$  The diesels were infiltrated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:45.676 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.182$  in the scar zone in the infarct zone,

00:07:49.182 --> 00:07:49.908 but also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}07{:}49.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.806$  so that goes along with a roll of

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:52.806 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.263$  the immune system during evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:55.263 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.910$  to help healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:07:56.910 --> 00:07:57.572 But interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:07:57.572 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.558$  what they had found in inference

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}07{:}59.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.137$  was that there were also a lot of T

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}02.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.709$  cells in filtrated and remote zones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.870$  So we thought if we chose a patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.126$  that did not have any impact that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:08.126 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.550$  sort of like low chronic inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.362$  where we see these as infiltrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:12.362 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.268$  in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}13.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.524$  And this is exactly what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:15.524 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.254$  here in Brown that they end stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:18.254 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.018$  nonischemic heart failure and

 $00:08:20.018 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.410$  heart samples which were taken as

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}22.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.054$  stated here from from there had

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:26.054 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.620$  significant diesel infiltration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.058$  Compared to non heart failure controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:08:31.060 --> 00:08:33.349 And later on we did some experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}33.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.200$  where we also wanted to look at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.460$  kind of pistols were infiltrated there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.043$  and we found that many of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}41.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.932$  teachers expressed the chemo keen

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}42.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.280$  receptor CXCR 3 and this is shown here

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:08:46.280 --> 00:08:49.100 by Red Arrows and quantified here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:49.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.991$  So this this made the basis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}08{:}51.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53.895$  wanted to understand mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:08:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.351$  what is thesis that expressed these

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:08:57.351 --> 00:09:00.538 receptors are doing within the heart.

 $00:09:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.770$  So our very broad hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00{:}09{:}02.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.661$  110 and Evers joined my lab as

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:09:05.661 --> 00:09:07.528 postdoc was asking the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:09:07.528 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.604$  is this purely an association or

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:09:09.604 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.634$  are they actually contributing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:09:11.634 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.518$  doing something in the heart and her

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:09:14.518 --> 00:09:16.440 hypothesis was that they would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:09:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.115$  doing something there in the failing heart?

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:09:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.656$  And to start doing this we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:09:22.656 --> 00:09:25.144 choose a preclinical model and knowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

00:09:25.144 --> 00:09:26.716 that heart failure is very complex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.600763616666667

 $00:09:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.950$  there's no optimal or perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}09{:}30.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.200$  The clinical model that mimics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.750$  all the symptoms of heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.750$  or how the disease develops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.952$  but we found that for nonischemic

 $00:09:39.952 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.090$  heart failure tack or transverse or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.644$  reconstruction was a one where we could time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:46.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.590$  and for certain reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.765$  that we wanted to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:09:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.570$  It was really a very good model to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:09:51.570 --> 00:09:53.545 Why? Because it induces pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:09:53.545 --> 00:09:55.966 that mimics the pressure that heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:09:55.966 --> 00:09:58.210 failure patients have in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}09{:}58.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.658$  Although the downside is that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}10{:}00.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.514$  It induces a sudden pressure that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:03.514 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.930$  is restrained versus impatience as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:10:05.930 --> 00:10:08.480 we know they developed progressively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.288$  but importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:09.288 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.520$  in this model we can basically track very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:10:12.592 --> 00:10:15.377 nicely how cardiac hypertrophy develops,

00:10:15.380 --> 00:10:16.872 how cardiac fibrosis develops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:10:16.872 --> 00:10:19.918 and whether we can check in per cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:19.918 \dashrightarrow 00:10:22.138$  function and at those time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.884$  We could also look for diesel immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:24.884 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.084$  responses and correct diesel infiltration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:10:27.084 --> 00:10:30.178 So using this model I'm showing data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:10:30.178 --> 00:10:32.405 from 4 weeks. Play time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:32.405 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.530$  That is all summarizing schematics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.810$  Because we published this already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.477$  But what we found was that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}10{:}40.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.363$  specifically one type of thesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:42.363 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.687$  that are CD 4 positive T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}10{:}44.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.262$  were infiltrated in the heart as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:46.262 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.930$  early as two weeks post stack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.779$  And this is four weeks after Tak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.464$  And then,

 $00:10:51.464 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.516$  before they infiltrated in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}10{:}53.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.907$  we saw a significant expansion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:10:55.907 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.828$  medicinale draining lymph nodes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:10:57.828 --> 00:10:59.795 the lymph nodes that drain the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}10{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.957$  And most of those T cells express

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:02.957 --> 00:11:05.546 interferon gamma so they were type

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:05.546 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.126$  one TT cells T H1 cells which also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:09.126 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.018$  expressed the chemokine receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.480$  6 year 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.784$  And then we found that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:15.784 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.147$  infiltration was associated with at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}11{:}18.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.037$  time where mice developed fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:20.040 --> 00:11:21.356 As you can see here in pink,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.750$  the collagen deposition and enlargement

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.410$  of the cardiac myocytes by H&E.

00:11:27.410 --> 00:11:30.098 And what we found using this mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:30.098 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.513$  model was that if my eyes were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}11{:}32.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.218$  genetically deficient in D zones

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:34.218 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.348$  and we use different models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}11{:}36.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.101$  diesel receptor alpha Nokia or MHC 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:39.101 --> 00:11:41.609 knockout or right to knock out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:41.609 --> 00:11:44.415 we found was that all the mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:44.415 --> 00:11:46.910 did not have decent genetically they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}11{:}46.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.070$  did not develop a cardiac fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:49.070 --> 00:11:51.080 We cannot see any College in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.085$  the position here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:52.090 --> 00:11:54.449 And then when these mice were reconstituted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}11{:}54.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.454$  we see Excel 3 positive there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:11:56.454 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.456$  from gamma positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:11:57.460 --> 00:11:59.528 Keystones we could partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}11{:}59.528 {\: -->\:} 00{:}12{:}01.079$  reconstitute the fibrosis.

 $00{:}12{:}01.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.712$  Certainly the provascular fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:02.712 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.752$  As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.680$  and we could reconstitute and or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.460$  a lot of the cardiac dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}12{:}09.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.520$  Although this data also suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.919$  that there had to be some cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:14.919 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.175$  antigen specificity involved to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:17.175 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.425$  to induce the full induction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:19.425 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.440$  cardiac fibrosis and dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.198$  In these experiments, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.979$  we reconstituted fibrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}12{:}24.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.351$  some parameters of systolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}12{:}27.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.130$  and diastolic dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.494$  But these cells that we put

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:31.494 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.070$  back into these mice,

 $00:12:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.830$  they were highly painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:12:34.830 --> 00:12:37.242 Amatory but not antigen specific and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:12:37.242 --> 00:12:40.509 this will link to the second part of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:40.509 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.763$  talk and why that might be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.721$  How we can use that in in to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

00:12:46.721 --> 00:12:49.569 understand this complex syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00{:}12{:}49.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.523$  So the other thing that I needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7375429225

 $00:12:51.523 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.360$  was well now

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:12:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.058$  that we know that these T cells that express

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:12:55.058 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.414$  in there from gamma are increasing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:12:58.414 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.050$  lymph nodes under infiltrated in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.114$  How can we see if they actually cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:05.114 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.378$  communicate with a cardiac residents?

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.185$  Because we saw that massive

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:09.185 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.629$  effect on cardiac fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.718$  We see it was a simple

00:13:12.718 --> 00:13:14.110 experiment to start with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:13:14.110 --> 00:13:15.958 which was isolating primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:15.958 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.268$  correct fiberglass from Adele mites

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.628$  and then see isolated T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:20.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.790$  From the mediastinal lymph nodes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:13:22.790 --> 00:13:25.190 mice that were subjected to either sham,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.630$  so control surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}13{:}26.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.360$  I should say that some might have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}13{:}30.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.300$  the open chest surgery and everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}13{:}33.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.888$  except for the construction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:35.888 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.068$  account for possible inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:13:38.068 --> 00:13:40.248 that happens during surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}13{:}40.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.738$  I know what you did is the Chico

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:42.738 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.125$  culture this and then she she

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:13:45.125 --> 00:13:47.235 coculture this indirect cultures or

 $00:13:47.235 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.300$  entrance once and the idea was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}13{:}49.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.330$  first he was going to see whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.900$  these teasers could adhere to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.022$  fibroblast and whether these fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:56.022 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.112$  transform to myofibroblast and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:13:58.112 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.527$  do the readout for myofibroblast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.716$  We looked at alpha small muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:14:03.716 --> 00:14:07.190 acting which is expressed upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:07.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.395$  fiber restaurants formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.820$  So in those experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}14{:}10.820 \to 00{:}14{:}12.595$  this is a representative image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.200$  You can see that a direct contact was

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:14:15.200 --> 00:14:17.279 required for these transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.560$  so these are two examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.422$  transform my fiberglass that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:21.422 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.558$  a lot of T cells bound to them.

00:14:23.560 --> 00:14:27.448 The T cells were labeled in in green here

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:27.448 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.060$  and this is the quantification of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:14:31.168 --> 00:14:35.290 of of the red of alpha small muscle acting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.840$  So the conclusion from these

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.390$  experiments was that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:14:38.445 --> 00:14:39.969 these communications between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.138$  Pieces of fiberglass that was required

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}14{:}43.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.843$  to induce transformation and then a

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:45.843 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.698$  mechanistically what we found was

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:47.698 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.089$  that this was TGF beta dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.710$  so it will block TGF beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.130$  We inhibited this transformation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.266$  was not too surprising because we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:14:57.266 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.164$  that PDF better was it's a classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:00.164 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.854$  profit garlic cytokine that induces.

 $00:15:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.605$  This transformation and that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}15{:}04.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.880$  highly made by my fiberglass as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.800$  but what was more interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.520$  that we found that T cells bound to

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:11.520 --> 00:15:13.680 the fiber rest through A4 integrin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.396$  and we come one in the fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.972$  and then once bound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:17.972 --> 00:15:20.826 the diesels were induced and DJ better

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:20.826 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.322$  released by the myofibrils are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:23.322 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.256$  the other way around and this was also

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}15{:}26.256 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}$ 00 :15 :28.290 published so I wouldn't show a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.405$  of the data there so I can focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}15{:}30.468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.817$  more recent data in in our lab as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:32.820 --> 00:15:35.535 We're currently working on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:35.535 --> 00:15:37.164 further mechanistic insight

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:37.164 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.978$  into how we can prevent this.

 $00:15:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.818$  They released induced by the FIBERLESS

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}15{:}42.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.660$  upon the contact with the discus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:45.660 --> 00:15:47.795 So as a summary of background of

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:47.795 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.039$  why we became interested in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}15{:}50.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.539$  we can see that in this transformation

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:15:52.539 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.271$  from the healthy heart to the failing

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:55.271 --> 00:15:57.256 heart using an experimental model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:57.260 --> 00:15:59.930 in this case of transverse article

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

00:15:59.930 --> 00:16:01.766 struction visit this activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:16:01.766 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.538$  particularly of this T cell subset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:16:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.628$  And then we say that these are traffic

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:16:06.628 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.839$  to the heart and once in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00{:}16{:}08.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.312$  They crossed off with a fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.713985236956522

 $00:16:11.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.960$  and induced cardiac fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.774$  We block these by either using

00:16:15.774 --> 00:16:18.919 agent mice that don't have T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.060$  or by using depletion diesel

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.200$  antibodies in wild I'm eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.176$  I didn't show the data by the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.840$  We also did those studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.308$  We prevent this transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.558$  We also found that the characters

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:34.558 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.918$  themselves they in response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}16{:}36.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39.390$  pressure in response to duck even

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:39.473 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.729$  before the T cells get there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.610$  they can actually make chemokines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.480$  Kim attracts positive T cells and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.265$  did this using a reported mice for

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:50.265 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.441$  these schemes and and doing a time course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.922$  So we actually found that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:55.922 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.570$  fiberglass are actually functioning

 $00{:}16{:}57.639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59.575$  as a semi immune cell because

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:16:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.940$  they're releasing chemokines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:17:00.940 --> 00:17:03.782 Then they end up attracting first my

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:03.782 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.157$  load cells and then T cells to the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.876$  and then as I just showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.748$  we found that they can regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:11.748 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.182$  correct for groceries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}17{:}13.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.958$  So then when I question that we asked

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:17:15.958 --> 00:17:18.978 was well how and where this is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:18.978 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.667$  activated in the heart and this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:17:21.667 --> 00:17:24.570 was done by Jay Wanyama who was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:17:24.570 --> 00:17:27.054 graduate student in the lab and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}17{:}27.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.564$  was really interested in knowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}17{:}28.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.570$  this because he found he said well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.634$  if we found a specific antigens that might

 $00:17:33.634 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.680$  be relevant for the T cell immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.624$  Then one could think about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:38.624 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.920$  this in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:17:39.920 --> 00:17:43.220 Potentially immunizing for heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923 00:17:43.220 --> 00:17:43.588 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:43.588 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.900$  There will be a long term goal or at

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:46.993 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.778$  least understanding whether this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:49.778 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.146$  what was this this activation happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:53.146 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.106$  and where over time it could be prevented?

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:17:57.110 --> 00:17:59.998 So to study that we use a reporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:17:59.998 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.828$  mice for T cell activation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:02.828 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.844$  T cell receptor engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}18{:}04.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.218$  So these are in order to be activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}18{:}07.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.920$  by antigen presenting cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:18:08.920 --> 00:18:11.250 they need to recognize antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}18{:}11.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.419$  and in the case of CD 4 positive T

 $00:18:13.419 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.576$  cells they express the diesel receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:15.576 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.230$  here and then dendritic cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:18:18.230 --> 00:18:21.346 Are they the main antigen presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:18:21.346 --> 00:18:24.310 cells express MHC two and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}18{:}24.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.365$  can capture antigen and induce T

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:27.365 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.987$  cell receptor signals and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:29.987 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.649$  reporter mice mimic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.186$  They're basically reporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}18{:}33.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.234$  of diesel receptor engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.552$  So the green are the cells are because

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:38.552 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.498$  they express N 77 which is downstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.758$  The diesel receptor bound to GFP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.596$  So the greener the cells are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.128$  That's telling you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:18:48.128 --> 00:18:49.274 they're recognizing antigen.

 $00:18:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.570$  This expression is also transient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:18:52.570 --> 00:18:55.696 so if we see green cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:55.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.842$  it means that at the time where

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:57.842 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.160$  we're harvesting those cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:18:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.381$  they're recognizing antigen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:19:00.381 --> 00:19:03.230 But it might be that they recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:03.299 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.909$  antigen and then they're not recognizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.196$  Antigen at that point and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:08.196 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.339$  they lose expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.796$  So in order to look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.876$  we basically did the time course

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:12.876 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.540$  of tag again early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.558$  where is compensatory changes and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}19{:}17.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.780$  once is systolic dysfunction is established,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.516$  and then we kept them for longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.444$  That will mimic more chronic heart

 $00{:}19{:}26.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.417$  failure and what we did is we harvested

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}19{:}29.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.220$  the hearts and the medicinal influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.804$  And the first thing that we did here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.246$  And we found that was very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

00:19:37.250 --> 00:19:38.134 very surprised,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923 00:19:38.134 --> 00:19:38.576 surprising, NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00:19:38.576 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.786$  and the most interesting finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656873219076923

 $00{:}19{:}40.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.580$  I I I felt from from this story that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}19{:}43.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.218$  recently published was that we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:19:46.218 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.102$  this T cell receptor engagement not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:19:48.102 --> 00:19:50.308 only in the cardiac lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:19:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.830$  but also within the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:19:52.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.450$  And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}19{:}54.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}57.054$  you said the very bright GFP

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:19:57.054 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.696$  cells that increase overtime.

 $00:19:58.696 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.108$  So that's telling us that once

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}20{:}01.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.590$  the T cells in filtrate the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.640$  they must be vendrick cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.605$  And potentially other cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:20:07.605 --> 00:20:09.570 capture the antigen and induce

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:09.636 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.541$  decent expansion within the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:20:11.541 --> 00:20:14.182 and that would be bypassing the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:20:14.182 --> 00:20:16.204 final trafficking that you need from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}20{:}16.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.460$  the lymph node into into the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:20:19.460 --> 00:20:21.917 So we quantify this and as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.975$  there's a significant increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:24.975 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.892$  GFP positive active T cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}20{:}27.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.144$  the heart that correlates with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}20{:}30.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.016$  decline in systolic function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}20{:}32.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.120$  Measure here with fractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.198$  So if we go back to how this teaser

00:20:38.198 --> 00:20:40.906 activation happened in the timers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:40.906 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.328$  we have a lot of high T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:45.328 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.110$  receptor clonal diversity because our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:20:48.110 --> 00:20:51.740 diesels are deciding what you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.030$  depleting what's against self antigens

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.744$  and selecting for what we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:20:56.744 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.040$  need in the future if we get closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}20{:}59.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.996$  to the high in the Middle East and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}21{:}00.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02.802$  lymph node we will have the selected

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:02.802 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.200$  a pool of clones that will get expanded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}21{:}05.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07.360$  If there is any immune response

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}21{:}07.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.872$  and then the question was what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:08.872 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.459$  we were seeing in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.782$  so our data using these reporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:12.782 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.192$  found that indicated that there was

00:21:15.192 --> 00:21:17.556 this expansion in the correct running

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:17.556 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.018$  lymph nodes as well as in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.029$  and then we decided to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:22.029 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.681$  receptor sequencing to get a closer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:23.681 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.389$  look at whether these T cells or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}21{:}25.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.179$  whether it might be recognizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:27.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.664$  So this is the structure of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:28.664 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.300$  T cell receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.580$  Many of you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.416$  but just as a brief reminder

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:21:32.416 --> 00:21:33.640 it has two Chainz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:33.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.620$  the alpha and the beta chain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.918$  And then they recombine in many

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:37.918 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.520$  different ways to form a specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.334$  Or these pocket to many different antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:43.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.840$  So by sequencing this Cdr three region,

 $00{:}21{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.306$  which is where these two chains

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}21{:}50.306 \to 00{:}21{:}52.982$  get closer and form the pocket

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:52.982 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.320$  for antigen recognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.368$  we could get a sense of whether we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:56.368 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.547$  get in many different clones which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:21:58.547 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.947$  will indicate high clonality and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:22:01.013 --> 00:22:03.138 not really an antigen specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.036$  There was anything in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.744$  or whether we get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:07.744 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.608$  Enrichment so the results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:09.608 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.093$  we found was as expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.996$  There was a lot of clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}22{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.260$  many different diesel receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.520$  sequences in the timelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.781$  These are the inguinal lymph nodes and

00:22:19.781 --> 00:22:22.054 again we have a high clonal diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:22.054 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.293$  and what we found was that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:24.293 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.773$  closer that we were getting to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.215$  There more the decreased number

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:29.215 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.260$  of total clothes that we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.816$  and the highest enrichment of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:22:34.816 --> 00:22:37.120 top 20 most represented groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:22:37.120 --> 00:22:40.150 So today's my zaveri complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}22{:}40.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.824$  analysis and we found that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:42.824 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.058$  a restricted clonal pool in the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}22{:}45.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.076$  and that the majority of the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00{:}22{:}47.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.740$  were represented by top 20 clones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

00:22:48.740 --> 00:22:51.368 So we started diving more into

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:51.368 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.120$  what this could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.610$  So what are these enrich

NOTE Confidence: 0.84957412

 $00:22:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.100$  clones responded to in the

00:22:56.161 --> 00:22:58.497 hunt and this will be the working month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:22:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.113$  We know that this is happening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:01.113 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.864$  then we know that there's this close

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:03.864 --> 00:23:06.439 being expanded and what could those be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.130$  So we ended up focusing on Russ and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:10.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.399$  again because of the time limitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:13.400 --> 00:23:14.448 I'm not going through

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:14.448 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.758$  everything that we went through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:15.760 --> 00:23:17.956 but it basically one hypothesis was

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:17.956 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.372$  that you could have a cardiomyocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:20.372 --> 00:23:23.018 proteins right that the myocytes die,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}23{:}23.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.900$  and then the fragments are picked

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}23{:}25.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.500$  up by adding percentage selves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6957061944444444

00:23:28.500 --> 00:23:30.428 But it turns out that in the attack

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:30.428 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.408$  model and like in response to my

 $00:23:32.408 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.337$  kardelen function where you see a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:34.337 --> 00:23:36.636 of cell damage in the attack model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:36.636 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.038$  We don't see significant salvage early

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:39.038 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.662$  on and even later on at four weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:41.670 --> 00:23:46.242 But what we do see is high increases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:46.242 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.954$  intramyocardial reactive oxygen oxygen

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:48.954 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.820$  species which are labeled here in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:23:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.908$  So we went back to literature and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:55.908 --> 00:23:58.446 hypothesized that maybe Rose could modify

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:23:58.446 --> 00:24:00.978 correct proteins that then form new

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}24{:}01.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.056$  antigens that are listed AT cell response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444 00:24:04.060 --> 00:24:05.002 Why do we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:05.002 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.886$  Why would do we think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:06.886 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.519$  there was a hypothesis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.510$  It was because similar mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:24:10.510 --> 00:24:13.058 had been described in the vasculature

 $00:24:13.058 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.478$  in the context of hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.052$  where there was this formation of ice level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:19.052 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.864$  Glenda Lynn's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:19.864 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.604$  which are highly reactive intermediates by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:23.604 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.066$  Lipid peroxidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.492$  That then can adapt to self proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:27.492 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.450$  and create these new antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}24{:}29.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.320$  So we contacted the people who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.802$  done the scientists that had done this

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}24{:}35.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.970$  very interesting research hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:24:37.970 --> 00:24:40.210 David Harrison and Annette Kirabo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.260$  and this started a beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}24{:}42.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.772$  collaboration in which we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:44.772 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.109$  to test this hypothesis in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.802$  So basically we obtain a lot

 $00:24:49.802 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.270$  of reagents from their labs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.971$  and the first thing that we wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:52.971 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.662$  to know is whether this matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:54.662 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.866$  in the human heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.966$  So we went back or human heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:24:58.966 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.101$  sections and we use this one day 11 which

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:02.101 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.762$  is an antibody that recognizes proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:04.762 --> 00:25:07.534 Modified biologist was given to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:07.534 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.621$  by David and Annette and as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:10.621 --> 00:25:13.051 can see here we saw significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.607$  recognition in three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:15.607 --> 00:25:18.079 heart failure patient samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.522$  And no signals in a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:20.522 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.743$  heart failure patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:21.750 --> 00:25:24.315 We went back to the marsh model and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:24.315 --> 00:25:26.909 the marsh model we went back to these

 $00:25:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.599$  reporter my cells and T cell recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.208$  And we used the D1DD11 antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:35.208 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.750$  that recognizes proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.320$  modified by strategies in mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.976$  This was a different version of the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:41.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.604$  And then they also send us some ISO

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:44.610 --> 00:25:49.594 so they can generate and I soils LG

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:25:49.594 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.019$  scavengers that could be used in in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:54.020 --> 00:25:57.098 So how does it work with experiments in tack?

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:25:57.100 --> 00:25:58.636 And then this is the structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00{:}25{:}58.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.180$  of the eye surgeons calendar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:26:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.896$  and this is the control peptide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:26:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.867$  So the two Joba that I'll be

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:26:03.867 --> 00:26:05.689 showing is one with coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:26:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.176$  Those rose reactive proteins and then

 $00{:}26{:}09.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.659$  we tracked this activation and T

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:26:12.659 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.319$  cell receptor engagement over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:26:15.320 --> 00:26:18.032 In some experiments we use tempo

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

00:26:18.032 --> 00:26:19.840 because it's an antioxidant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.695706194444444

 $00:26:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.608$  so it works upstream of the of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.341$  Draws formation so just to make it

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:26:27.341 --> 00:26:31.046 simpler in for understanding the idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:31.046 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.350$  this is a question that we were trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.678$  to ask and the idea is that in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:35.678 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.454$  to diagnose Ross and then this Ross

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:38.454 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.980$  induces the formation of this lipid

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:26:41.061 --> 00:26:43.556 peroxidation and this ISO, geez,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:43.556 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.284$  that then they adapt to a cardiac protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:26:47.290 --> 00:26:49.498 I'm from Disneyland pigeons that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:49.498 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.347$  be taken by the dreaded cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:52.347 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.849$  being presented these cells to induce

 $00:26:54.849 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.450$  T cell activation and proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:26:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.130$  So we could block these with temple perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:27:00.130 --> 00:27:02.738 And if we block this, if this was true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:02.738 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.730$  maybe we would see less this

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:04.806 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.476$  activation or proliferation in heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:27:07.480 --> 00:27:09.804 And if you block this with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:27:09.804 --> 00:27:11.620 ISO G specific scavenger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.462$  we could potentially block this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:27:13.462 --> 00:27:15.259 block this activation in the heart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.460$  and then of course the final question was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.304$  will this have any impact incorrect function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.443$  So the first thing that we did is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00{:}27{:}24.443 \to 00{:}27{:}26.702$  did those experiments in mice and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:26.702 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.294$  we isolated and Rick cells from mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:29.294 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.066$  treated with these AI soldiers scavengers.

 $00:27:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.666$  And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00{:}27{:}33.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.226$  this is the antibody that detects

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:36.226 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.930$  the isolated protein adducts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.170$  And as you can see with the four coma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:41.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.122$  which is the control and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:27:44.122 --> 00:27:45.106 control compound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.174$  we see that in drink cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:47.174 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.349$  express this and take a protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

00:27:49.350 --> 00:27:50.894 But this is significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.621444686363636

 $00:27:50.894 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.438$  inhibited when we scavenged.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:27:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.971$  And then when we look directly in the House

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:27:56.971 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.246$  looking for teachers using the reporter mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:27:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.266$  we found that only in those miles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:02.266 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.170$  were treated with the iceberg scavengers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.020$  we were able to significantly decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.689$  this teaser activation within the heart.

00:28:10.690 --> 00:28:12.338 This is again GFP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:12.338 --> 00:28:14.197 because this underreported mines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:14.197 --> 00:28:15.646 I don't think I mentioned it earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.834$  but this is this receptor beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:17.834 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.444$  to make sure that we're focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:20.444 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.329$  on the right distal population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.210$  So then how can OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:25.210 --> 00:28:27.890 So now we know that under excels pick it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:27.890 --> 00:28:30.470 but added functional inducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.760$  diesel proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.590$  Here we found that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.288$  less this activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.174$  so the hypothesis is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:38.174 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.430$  these are become activated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:28:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.250$  then they have to proliferate.

 $00:28:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.008$  So we tested this hypothesis ex vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}28{:}45.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.108$  and we took the genetic cells from

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}28{:}48.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.337$  control mice and loaded them with either

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:51.337 --> 00:28:54.417 ice or GS or with a correct license

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:54.417 --> 00:28:57.570 that were taken from the tag mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}28{:}57.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.712$  And then we coculture this and Rick

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:28:59.712 --> 00:29:02.310 cells that were incubated with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}02.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.155$  characteristics with teachers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}04.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.330$  came from medicinal lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.616$  from either sham or tag mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.816$  And again we were trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:10.816 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.914$  mimic these response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.990$  And after four days we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.640$  look at T cell proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:29:16.640 --> 00:29:18.899 So the way we look at T cell proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:18.899 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.863$  is because the teachers are labeled

 $00:29:20.863 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.960$  with a membrane guide that is CFC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}22.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.445$  And if they proliferate as you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:25.445 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.964$  see here to see if you see a die is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:28.964 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.960$  diluted and this is just one example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.739$  If we combine some T cells with sham lysates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.964$  there's no proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:37.964 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.820$  and if you put tactics so there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:40.898 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.700$  significant proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:29:42.700 --> 00:29:44.638 But basically what we found here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}44.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.860$  this is only a representative experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}46.860 \to 00{:}29{:}49.650$  Everything is quantified in the manuscript.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.666$  But what we found was that only when

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}52.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.519$  the teachers came from mice with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}29{:}55.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58.146$  experimental heart failure and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:29:58.146 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.464$  proteins that cells were loaded with

 $00:30:01.464 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.299$  cardiac proteins that came from Tak

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}30{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06.466$  only this combination is when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:06.466 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.759$  were able to see these new antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:09.759 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.119$  presentation and decent proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.591$  So did this have any impact in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:30:14.591 --> 00:30:15.297 cardiac function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.375$  And these are echoes done

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.620$  by our collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:30:18.620 --> 00:30:21.220 That Medical Center Rob Landon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.244$  who is a cardiologist that whom I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:30:24.244 --> 00:30:26.620 I've been collaborating with for many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.328$  many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:27.328 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.452$  and what we found is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:29.452 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.800$  these attack animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.910$  You can see the flat line here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00{:}30{:}33.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36.560$  decrease historic function that is,

00:30:36.560 --> 00:30:38.510 is quantified here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.168$  Fractional shortening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:40.168 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.316$  the harsh from the two hobas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.460$  For them I struggle with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

00:30:43.460 --> 00:30:46.185 Lavender it were very healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.6690343025

 $00:30:46.185 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.910$  compared to to the control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:30:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.960$  So to summarize this part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:30:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.431$  what we found was that in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

00:30:55.431 --> 00:30:57.899 to high level trickler pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:30:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.840$  There was a significant induction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00{:}31{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.260$  in tramyocardial rose in the heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00{:}31{:}03.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.095$  and ended in Derrick cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

00:31:06.095 --> 00:31:08.888 here are picking up some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:08.888 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.132$  of the proteins that are modified

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:11.213 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.628$  by brass induced eye surgeries.

00:31:13.630 --> 00:31:15.010 And then in the lymph node,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00{:}31{:}15.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.590$  we saw that these T cells respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:17.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.750$  to antigen and expand and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.207$  they can go back to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:22.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.370$  And traffic to the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

00:31:23.370 --> 00:31:25.800 But perhaps what was more intriguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.928$  to us was that once in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.648$  You sometimes by pass this later on

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00{:}31{:}31.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.465$  within the heart because they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:34.465 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.890$  actually recognize antigens within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.673$  heart and be expanded there under.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

00:31:39.673 --> 00:31:41.525 This has significant effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.760014902

 $00:31:41.525 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.914$  on cardiac fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:31:45.880 --> 00:31:48.856 And I I didn't show the kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:31:48.856 --> 00:31:50.612 fibroglandular also had significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}31{:}50.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.414$  decreased fibrosis and this is going

00:31:53.414 --> 00:31:56.278 to become more relevant for the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}31{:}56.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58.822$  part of the talk where I will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}31{:}58.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.180$  talking about this critical antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.330$  recognition that happens in heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.586$  So for the last part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:07.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.798$  then we I will focus about these kids

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:11.798 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.510$  are correct fibroblast crosstalk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:14.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.750$  So as I showed in the first part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.590$  when the diesels Infiltrator hi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.966$  this is an image ex vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.280$  So these are fiberglass and culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:23.280 \dashrightarrow 00:32:26.196$  with T cells and you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}32{:}26.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.577$  green cells are here and the blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:32:28.577 --> 00:32:30.665 little nuclei of the diesels and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:30.665 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.406$  this is a large nuclei of fiberglass.

 $00:32:33.406 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.000$  So we had found that with the diesels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.226$  either DH one cells that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:32:39.226 --> 00:32:41.580 generated extra evil or T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.580$  isolated directly from TAC mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.625$  They bound to the fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:45.625 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.347$  and once they bound they induce

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:48.347 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.715$  the transformation to alphasim

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:50.715 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.083$  8 producing correct fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}32{:}53.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.274$  And then in in the second part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:32:55.274 --> 00:32:57.589 the talk I just recently showed you

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}32{:}57.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.390$  that I didn't present themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:32:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.830$  and particularly in lyrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.620$  Elves present antigen to T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.577$  and there is this intramyocardial

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:33:06.577 --> 00:33:09.577 diesel receptor engagement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.960$  So then we we thought,

 $00:33:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.288$  well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:11.288 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.256$  they're not that many dendritic cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:33:13.256 --> 00:33:15.890 in the heart as compared to other cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375 00:33:15.890 --> 00:33:16.361 right? NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:33:16.361 --> 00:33:20.129 Is it possible that during this T cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.245$  fiberglass crosstalk not only the T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:23.245 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.847$  are telling the fiberglass to to induce TGF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.248$  beta and transform?

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}33{:}28.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.044$  But may be the fiberglass because diesels

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:31.044 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.986$  are firmly adhered to the fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:33:33.990 --> 00:33:36.846 Maybe the fiberglass may be functioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:36.846 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.454$  as also an antigen presenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}33{:}39.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.790$  cell that is semi professional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:33:41.790 --> 00:33:44.370 So we went back to literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.770$  and in this there's this growing

00:33:46.770 --> 00:33:48.370 field of struggle immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}33{:}48.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.635$  where the concept is that antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:50.635 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.560$  presentation is no longer an

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.610$  exclusive domain for the lyrics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.975$  Also obviously then Derek cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:56.975 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.826$  are antigen professional antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:33:59.826 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.410$  presenting cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.360$  but they're also evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}34{:}03.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.310$  a stronger cells that support

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:05.375 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.999$  tissue architecture can serve as

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:34:07.999 --> 00:34:09.388 antigen presenting cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.178$  Depending on the context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:11.178 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.966$  So this is an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:12.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.010$  In an example of fibroblastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:34:15.010 --> 00:34:17.540 particular cells in the lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.717$  Timing is stomach cells can do that as well.

 $00:34:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.014$  There.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:21.014 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.484$  There are many reports that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:22.484 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.046$  show that two more infiltrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:24.046 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.996$  fiber blasts do that as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.086$  and it's also a recent report in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:28.086 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.105$  the Lang where Lang epithelial cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:30.105 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.228$  in the context of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}34{:}32.228 \to 00{:}34{:}35.060$  can actually present antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.184$  to certain diesels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}34{:}37.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39.350$  So we hypothesize that cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.144$  fibroblasts may be functioning as antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:42.144 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.536$  presenting cells, and that these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00{:}34{:}44.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}47.068$  T cell receptor engagement that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:34:47.068 --> 00:34:49.694 were seeing in the heart was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:49.694 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.686$  exclusively due to the dirt excels,

 $00:34:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.006$  but also to cut fiber breads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:54.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.030$  And a wind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.149$  Emma let this work and then called it cower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:34:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.054$  In my lab was also contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:35:01.054 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.990$  significantly to this project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:35:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.146$  So to remind you what an antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

00:35:05.146 --> 00:35:07.320 presenting cell in order to be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:35:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.800$  antigen presenting cell as poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:35:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.190$  as an indirect cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:35:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.040$  You need to efficiently internalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.753394304375

 $00:35:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.750$  and process antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:35:14.750 --> 00:35:16.820 You need to display them

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}35{:}16.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.660$  bound to MHC 2 molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.574$  And then you have to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:21.574 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.902$  that at the cell surface and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:23.902 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.312$  professional Apcs and Rick cells

 $00:35:26.312 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.760$  constitutively express all of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.870$  MHC do is constantly expressed and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.595$  these costimulatory molecules CD80 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.886$  CD86 that are induced upon stimulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:35:40.890 --> 00:35:44.220 So we started investigating whether correct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:35:44.220 --> 00:35:46.746 fabulous may fit into this category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.621$  So this is a way that we select correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:49.621 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.978$  fibrous in the heart with digest the hearts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:52.978 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.200$  and then then we acquire this

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:35:56.308 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.375$  and all the non fraction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}35{:}58.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01.100$  We're having the filial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}36{:}01.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.858$  We'll have local sides and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}36{:}03.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.380$  a correct fiberglass here here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.206$  Sorry so we have leukocytes here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:36:09.210 --> 00:36:12.096 3045 positives City 31 positive and

 $00:36:12.096 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.584$  killer cells within the local sides

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}36{:}14.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.852$  you could look for any local side that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:17.852 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.091$  you're interested in and within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:20.091 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.873$  double negatives not in the filling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.002$  No leukocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:23.002 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.368$  We use these marker to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:26.368 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.369$  a cardiac fiberless mask 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.066$  We also do this in Linux reporter mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.350$  and that's where is indicated here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.552$  So these are Linux tracing mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:37.552 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.179$  where we could more more definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.150$  get into the cardiac fiberless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.418$  So the first thing that we did is do

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:36:45.418 --> 00:36:47.647 they express MHC two and a baseline?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.484$  They don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:48.484 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.820$  But as soon as you activate them with

 $00:36:51.908 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.539$  interferon gamma you induce expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:36:54.539 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.220$  of MHC two and actually in the filling

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:36:57.220 --> 00:36:58.985 search for instance by people here

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:36:58.985 --> 00:37:00.809 at nearly the department of Pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.906$  German Barber,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:01.906 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.194$  and others found that endothelial cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:37:05.194 --> 00:37:08.710 can present antigens to T cells as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.635$  and they respond and express MHC two

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:10.635 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.429$  in response to interferon gamma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.580$  So this would be a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}37{:}13.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.300$  It's similar mechanism of expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.812$  And then what we found it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:18.812 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.163$  that they do express customer

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}37{:}20.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.107$  little molecules that you need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:37:22.107 --> 00:37:23.739 trigger that diesel activation.

 $00:37:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.324$  They do express CD 80 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:27.324 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.020$  is not further inducible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.530$  induced by different comma but

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.538$  they don't express 86.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.694$  We collaborated with Jenn Davies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}37{:}35.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.796$  their impact grad student in her

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}37{:}37.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.620$  lab at the University of Washington

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.805$  and exactly the same experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}37{:}41.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.460$  using the Linux trace in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.274$  That is a reporter for correct fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.560$  as shown in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.480$  And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:37:50.480 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.896$  all the correct fibers that are shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:37:52.900 --> 00:37:54.754 The majority of them in response to it there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

00:37:54.760 --> 00:38:00.115 from gamma, they express MHC 2 here in red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}38{:}00.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.973$  so this is GFP and this is no inter

 $00:38:02.973 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.898$  from gamma. With their from them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.190$  Does this matter in vivo?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.169$  So in vivo we did pack and we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:11.169 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.320$  that carrot fiberglass isolated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.620$  from this report device expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.860$  MHC 2 and you can see it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.199$  You can focus here and this is zoom vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.594$  So this will be all in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}38{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.546$  Are cardiac fibrosis and as you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00{:}38{:}28.546 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.571$  see there are also other cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:30.571 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.856$  could be in the filler cells in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:32.856 \dashrightarrow 00:38:34.980$  small kappel Aries or the drink cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:34.980 \dashrightarrow 00:38:37.290$  as we previously shown that Expressen EC2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.832$  I've been here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824624898

 $00:38:38.832 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.346$  Definitely,

 $00:38:39.346 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.430$  the correct fiberglass are expressing MHC

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

00:38:42.506 --> 00:38:44.886 two in response to tech as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:38:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.938$  And sometimes if you look you can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:38:47.938 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.540$  that T cells seem very close to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:38:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.390$  MHC 2 expressing correct fiberglass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

00:38:54.390 --> 00:38:57.799 We use all the models of cardiomyopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:38:57.799 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.060$  and cardiac inflammation to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:39:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.226$  whether this was unique or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:39:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.995$  and we used the tickers eye infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:39:04.995 \dashrightarrow 00:39:07.422$  model of myopathy because we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:39:07.422 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.870$  that because I is a parasite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

00:39:09.870 --> 00:39:11.748 I didn't use his highly strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

00:39:11.748 --> 00:39:13.690 in there from gamma responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

00:39:13.690 --> 00:39:16.084 and as you can see here in this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:39:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.200$  the correct fabulous also expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00{:}39{:}18.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.400$  any todo and more more of them

 $00:39:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.080$  express MHC do and then at the MFA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636568968941177

 $00:39:25.080 \dashrightarrow 00:39:27.300$  The prizes and densities also higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:39:29.490 --> 00:39:31.008 So then the next question was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.578$  well, let's see if they can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.968$  Take up the antigen processor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:35.968 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.940$  present that induce T cell proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.793$  and to do that we use a reagent that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}39{:}41.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.088$  is do DQ of album and so this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:45.088 \dashrightarrow 00:39:47.721$  novel women protein that can be taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:47.721 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.286$  up by proteins and if it goes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:39:51.286 --> 00:39:53.292 lysosomes with acidic lysosome pH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:53.292 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.710$  which is what you're required to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}39{:}55.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58.066$  process antigens it costs related

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39{:}58.066 \dashrightarrow 00:39{:}59.976$  degradation and becomes for S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:39:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.816$  And as you can see here,

 $00:40:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.728$  regardless of the interference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}40{:}03.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.113$  Treatment DQ over is processed

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:06.113 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.059$  by cardiac fibroblast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.601$  This is just one example of correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:40:10.601 --> 00:40:12.908 fiber rest treated with equal woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.877$  but you can see here the comparison

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:14.877 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.890$  of a large correct fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.786$  and obviously a smaller in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.070$  Here the bone marrow derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:21.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.488$  cells that a process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:24.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.428$  So that was very exciting too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.310$  because then that means that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.470$  if they're able to process it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.720$  they might be able to load it into MHC

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.937$  two and induce decent proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.076$  So we did similar studies as

 $00:40:37.076 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.882$  what I showed before to to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:39.882 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.190$  for diesel proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.726$  and we use in this case we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:40:43.726 --> 00:40:45.947 transgenic mice that are what they do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.260$  so these mice all the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.289$  receptors in the details express

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:50.289 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.699$  a receptor for available mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.291$  And then we took this specific piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}40{:}56.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.580$  of argument and then on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.750$  we took it a wild diaper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:40:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.422$  Makes you do knockout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:01.422 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.676$  Correct fiber rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.626$  Three of them within their front comma

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:04.626 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.640$  and treated them with normal woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:41:06.640 --> 00:41:08.940 So in this Co cultures,

 $00:41:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.128$  if they carry fiberglass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:41:10.128 --> 00:41:11.316 are processing available mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.240$  As I I recently showed with Valve woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:15.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.814$  All these diesels with a receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:17.814 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.456$  for Valve women should be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:20.456 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.552$  to proliferate and we did other

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:22.552 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.430$  experiments in which we use E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667 00:41:24.430 --> 00:41:24.866 Coli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}41{:}24.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.046$  a bacteria that over expresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:27.046 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.790$  about women as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667 00:41:28.790 --> 00:41:29.424 And again, NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:29.424 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.326$  these are the readout of proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:41:31.330 --> 00:41:33.122 If there is prolific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:33.122 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.466$  there's no proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.360$  These teachers that I label with

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.169$  CFC will not dilute the die,

00:41:38.170 --> 00:41:41.970 so we wouldn't see any peaks any dilution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.462$  but if there is proliferation we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:41:44.462 --> 00:41:46.887 see this dilution of the membrane dye,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.774$  but that's exactly what we saw here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.450$  so this is overwhelming protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.560$  But here the fiberglass haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:41:54.560 --> 00:41:56.670 been treated with interferon gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.830$  so they don't express MHC 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:41:58.830 --> 00:41:59.838 Very little proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:41:59.838 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.589$  but here what you can see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}42{:}02.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.269$  when they you induce expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:42:04.269 --> 00:42:06.469 and you treat with over protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}42{:}06.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.655$  there is a significant proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667 00:42:08.655 --> 00:42:09.529 of diesel, NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.658$  suggesting that the fiberglass

00:42:11.658 --> 00:42:13.786 can induce diesel proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.895$  And here's a demonstration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:42:15.895 --> 00:42:18.374 dendritic cells as a positive control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:18.374 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.244$  where we see a proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:20.244 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.330$  and as I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.090$  these are the professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.410$  antigen presenting cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.691$  so they don't need to be pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}42{:}26.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.769$  treated within their from grammar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:42:27.770 --> 00:42:31.350 They express MHC 2. Constitutively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00{:}42{:}31.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32.750$  We also did these experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.186$  obviously with the MMC to knockout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

00:42:35.190 --> 00:42:37.140 Correct fiberglass to to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.150$  that this was a specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.238$  So just to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815977051666667

 $00:42:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.560$  Over a convenience with this

 $00:42:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.416$  we do particularly proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:42:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.330$  So instead of putting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:42:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.858$  soluble of argument there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:42:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.248$  we collaborated with Carolyn Genco and

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

00:42:54.248 --> 00:42:57.219 Robert in our floor in order Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:42:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.780$  and they just happen to have these E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:42:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.348$  Coli that over expresses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.374$  so we try to correct fiberglass with E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.278$  Coli that had an empty vehicle

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:07.278 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.727$  or expressing involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.788$  and we saw that only when when E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:11.788 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.126$  Coli was expressing about woman we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.444$  Diesel is specific for ovum proliferate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:17.444 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.228$  and this is all quantified here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.698947856666667

 $00:43:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.775$  and this is the positive

 $00:43:21.775 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.320$  control with the sender excels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:43:25.490 --> 00:43:28.766 So going back to the cardiac pathophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:43:28.770 --> 00:43:30.108 does this make?

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:30.108 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.230$  Does this have any effect on correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:33.322 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.070$  dysfunction or cardiac fibrosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:43:36.070 --> 00:43:37.990 So in collaboration with Jenn Davies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:43:37.990 --> 00:43:40.194 the University of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:40.194 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.521$  we obtain the TCF 21 Mercury

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:43.521 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.749$  Mirror mice decree driver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.770$  Please inducible and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}43{:}47.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.800$  crushed it with MHC to flux.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.920$  And we generated the correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.040$  fiberglass specific deficient in MHC

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:57.138 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.190$  do and these mice are only deficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:43:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.298$  if you treat them with tamoxifen

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:01.298 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.298$  because it's an inducible line.

 $00:44:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.836$  So as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.025$  we corroborated that it when

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:07.025 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.773$  we treated with tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.868$  we decrease the expression of MHC 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.390$  Here, so this this is what we will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.609$  looking at and we decrease expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857 00:44:17.610 --> 00:44:17.966 Incorrect. NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:17.966 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.458$  Fabulous, but not in bone marrow Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:44:20.460 --> 00:44:23.214 Dendritic cells where MHC 2 levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}44{:}23.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.602$  remain compatible in the treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:25.602 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.937$  and not treated with oxygen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.428$  And then we looked at fibrosis and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}44{:}30.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.000$  you can see here there was significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.640$  fibrosis in the TACK control group

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:44:35.640 --> 00:44:38.039 than non democracies untreated,

 $00:44:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.351$  but when we treated with tamoxifen we

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:41.351 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.695$  reduce fibrosis significantly and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:43.695 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.239$  has an impact in fractional shortening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.966$  So here's that mouse with flattened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.854$  You know contraction here

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:51.854 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.577$  and this is the most mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:54.577 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.513$  so again these are the ones that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:44:57.513 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.671$  have image do in the fiberglass and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:00.671 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.476$  they have preserved systolic function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.832$  So we looked in the lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}45{:}06.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.966$  to right because we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:08.966 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.716$  see whether this was where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.582$  Remember that we're eliminating this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:13.582 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.084$  the in the cardiac fibroblast and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:45:17.084 --> 00:45:19.892 might be other cells that express TCF 21,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:19.892 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.020$  although it is,

 $00:45:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.054$  it was described to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:23.054 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.410$  driver for collect fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.804$  And what we find is that the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:45:26.804 --> 00:45:28.688 immune response in the lymph node

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.661$  is not altered by by this intact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:31.661 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.969$  And we also see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:45:33.970 --> 00:45:36.054 Similar infiltration of character

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}45{:}36.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38.716$  in these mice with tamoxifen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}45{:}38.716 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42.004$  So working hypothesis now is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.470$  these are the conclusions right that

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:45:44.470 --> 00:45:47.951 in these crosstalk we have the T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:47.951 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.719$  and the fiberglass communicating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}45{:}49.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.604$  And in this two week crosstalk

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:51.604 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.304$  we think there correct fibrils

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:53.304 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.759$  are Sentinel cells that can sense

 $00:45:55.759 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.924$  correct insults and directly boost

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:57.924 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.980$  the adaptive immune response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:45:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.682$  We think that there's a potential of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:02.682 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.480$  moderating decent immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.220$  without impairing systemic diesel

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.830$  activation by the cells which could

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:08.896 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.416$  have undecided major suppressive effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.640$  So the fact that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.034$  Similar activation in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:16.034 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.679$  lymph nodes that that tells us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:18.679 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.665$  think that these this is critical in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:21.665 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.740$  the heart for the correct fibers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.460$  And then the overall summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}46{:}26.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.644$  just to wrap up and leave some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:29.644 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.592$  for questions is that responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}46{:}31.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.896$  I think we're pretty convinced with

 $00:46:33.896 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.135$  our work and a lot of the work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.580$  that of others that I've cited and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.460$  that we we always site in in our papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.180$  is the diesel immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.556$  contribute to the pathophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:45.556 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.399$  of nonischemic heart failure in

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

00:46:47.399 --> 00:46:48.905 many different ways, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}46{:}48.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.305$  So we think that eleven took blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00{:}46{:}52.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}56.065$  induces a significant levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:56.065 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.235$  drugs and the formation of new

NOTE Confidence: 0.853586757142857

 $00:46:59.235 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.650$  antigens that trigger this activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:01.736 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.662$  in the heart. Within that limited repertoire

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}47{:}04.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.280$  of those T cells respond to ISO LGS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}47{:}08.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.890$  Modified cardiac new antigens and contributes

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.450$  to cardiac fibrosis and dysfunction.

00:47:13.450 --> 00:47:15.786 But we don't think these are the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:15.786 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.780$  antigens that diesels are recognizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:47:17.780 --> 00:47:20.279 because if you recall from our data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.366$  even when we scavenge those icebergs

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.265$  modified proteins, we still see

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}47{:}26.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.600$  some decent activation in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.787$  So we are doing a lot of more in

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:30.787 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.637$  depth analysis of single cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:47:32.640 --> 00:47:34.260 He's service center sequencing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.943$  trying to get to what are those other

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}47{:}36.943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.067$  antigens that might be induced response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}47{:}39.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.180$  And we also see that they're not the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:47:43.180 --> 00:47:43.906 Backgrounds overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}47{:}43.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.084$  which might be very relevant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:46.084 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.359$  see how heart failure progresses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.580$  at least pretty nicley.

 $00:47:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.995$  And then the last conclusion from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:52.995 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.279$  is that these bidirectional actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:47:55.279 --> 00:47:57.627 between correct resident cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:47:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.598$  in this case fiber rise and T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.860$  contribute to correct this activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.292$  My fibrous transformation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:05.292 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.724$  dysfunction under the correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.499$  fabulous expression of MHC 2 molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:48:11.499 --> 00:48:15.364 is central for these response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.727$  So with that, I'd like to thank my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:48:18.730 --> 00:48:21.556 I think I've mentioned everyone who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:21.556 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.093$  done the work who's now moved on to new

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}48{:}26.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.149$  exciting research leading positions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.294$  and then this is the new members of

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:30.294 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.460$  the lab that are trying to pick up

00:48:32.460 --> 00:48:34.592 on all the good work that previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:34.592 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.626$  former members did in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:48:36.630 --> 00:48:38.470 Our collaborators at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.206$  of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.642$  Vanderbilt or collaborators at absent as

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:42.642 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.170$  Medical Center and our funding sources from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:48:47.170 --> 00:48:50.770 18 and also from Dallas University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308 00:48:50.770 --> 00:48:51.594 With that, NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:51.594 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.066$  I'll be happy to answer questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00{:}48{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.408$  but before that I'll make an announcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:48:56.408 --> 00:48:58.789 of a very exciting conference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:48:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.855$  which will hopefully happen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:49:00.855 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.731$  person is scheduled to be in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:49:03.731 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.710$  in Chicago and there will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:49:05.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.950$  lot of interest in science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:49:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.806$  not only in information but a lot of

 $00:49:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.058$  cardiology and basic and traditional science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

 $00:49:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.180$  So I'll be happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808975422692308

00:49:16.180 --> 00:49:17.300 Thank you for your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628262262

00:49:20.780 --> 00:49:23.740 Thank you Paula for the wonderful talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628262262

 $00:49:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.770$  So now we are open to questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536230803333333

 $00:49:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.538$  I can maybe stop sharing and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.536230803333333

00:49:32.540 --> 00:49:33.818 Either way, would you like me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.536230803333333

00:49:33.820 --> 00:49:35.038 Or maybe I can leave it open

NOTE Confidence: 0.536230803333333

 $00{:}49{:}35.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36.426$  in case I need to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

00:49:36.700 --> 00:49:40.410 good? Yeah, good idea Harold, please?

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

00:49:40.410 --> 00:49:44.170 Yeah hi, I really enjoyed the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00:49:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.150$  Wonderful stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00{:}49{:}45.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.110$  A very simplistic question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00:49:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.474$  So at autopsy when we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00:49:49.474 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.689$  hearts from patients who have

 $00:49:51.689 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.897$  really horrible heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

00:49:53.900 --> 00:49:56.204 I don't ever recall seeing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00:49:56.204 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.740$  striking infiltrate of lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00:49:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.420$  Is it that we just get them

NOTE Confidence: 0.514669746

 $00:49:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.969$  at the end stage or or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.391$  I think so compared to other cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00{:}50{:}05.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08.099$  T cells they you don't see massive

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00{:}50{:}08.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.144$  in filtration as you would see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:50:10.150 --> 00:50:12.268 For instance post MI in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:12.268 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.643$  in the in fact zone, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00{:}50{:}14.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.814$  And I think I think you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:17.814 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.108$  need that many of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:50:20.110 --> 00:50:21.734 so they're definitely sparse

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:21.734 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.764$  compared to other major cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.922$  And then the other thing that I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:25.922 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.111$  say that we've seen when we take

 $00:50:28.111 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.984$  samples from Elbert issue is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:29.984 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.281$  the human heart is very large, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:32.281 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.369$  So it it also depends where you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:36.369 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.325$  the the piece from, and we've seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:40.325 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.150$  We've seen some samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.649$  have more than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:50:45.650 --> 00:50:47.930 I don't think it's the timing

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:50:47.930 --> 00:50:49.690 because we've done. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.270$  we haven't looked at human hearts early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.430$  other than those of those healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.260$  right, and those have noticed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:50:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.010$  But if there was a way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:50:59.010 --> 00:51:00.130 look in human in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:51:00.130 --> 00:51:02.573 you can track that very easily, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:02.573 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.840$  And one thing that we don't see is that.

 $00:51:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.960$  And in the chronic phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.256$  So if you take the mice way longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.409$  we see that the diesels are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:12.409 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.749$  activated when we use the reporter mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.204$  But we don't see more details

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:17.204 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.310$  of our own per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.005$  So I think that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:51:21.005 --> 00:51:22.700 maybe why inhuman is tricky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.345$  but definitely is not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:24.345 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.990$  dominant cell in the heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.079$  You have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

00:51:27.079 --> 00:51:28.894 You'll have to find them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.090$  but not being dominant doesn't mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00{:}51{:}31.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.878$  that they don't do a lot right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.060$  because if they're highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00{:}51{:}35.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36.830$  activated they can release a lot

 $00:51:36.887 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.616$  of factors and do do other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00{:}51{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.870$  But that's a great point, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775912582631579

 $00:51:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.520$  Thank you for your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:51:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.566$  I have a question actually 2 questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:51:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.130$  So wonderful talk again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:51:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.528$  So do you think this fibroblast

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:51:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.470$  CD4T cell interaction may also

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00{:}51{:}59.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.490$  induce macrophage to invade or

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

00:52:03.490 --> 00:52:05.902 activate resident macrophage

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:05.902 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.674$  to contribute to the fibrosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:08.674 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.210$  That's the first question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

00:52:10.210 --> 00:52:12.598 Second question is related

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

00:52:12.598 --> 00:52:14.389 with hardwood question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00{:}52{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.554$  have you considered utilizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:16.554 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.259$  genetic heart failure model such

00:52:19.259 --> 00:52:22.297 as meising heavy chain mutation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00{:}52{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.121$  Our foes reach you genetic HTM mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:25.121 \longrightarrow 00:52:28.459$  as well as dilated cardiomyopathy like

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00{:}52{:}28.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.854$  muscle Lim protein knockout mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.800$  They have natural heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00{:}52{:}33.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.330$  Whether your hack information could

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.580$  be extended to genetic heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.962$  which may mimic human heart failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.828033891428572

 $00:52:41.962 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.468$  more closely. What do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:52:44.100 --> 00:52:45.978 Yeah, those are two great questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:52:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.464$  so I'll ask for the first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}52{:}47.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.918$  The first one, you're totally right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}52{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.360$  We've seen that cardiac fibroblast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:52:52.360 --> 00:52:53.924 Really, ski machines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:52:53.924 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.488$  not only attract diesels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}52{:}55.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57.710$  but they attract monocytes.

00:52:57.710 --> 00:53:01.635 And we we did find that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}53{:}01.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.205$  before Sumanth Prabhu had now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:53:05.205 --> 00:53:08.420 Washington University of Saint Louis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.382$  He found that early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:53:11.382 --> 00:53:14.120 and we've corroborated that the Maya

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:53:14.120 --> 00:53:16.745 size the CCR 2 positive Milo itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.888$  so the haematopoietic Lee derived monocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.938$  They infiltrate the hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:21.938 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.986$  before the diesels do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.046$  and we found following following up on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.330$  we found that the correct fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.098$  they make CXCL 9 and 10 that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}53{:}33.098 \to 00{:}53{:}34.850$  chemoattractants for diesels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.954$  but they also make a lot of C, CL two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:36.954 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.858$  So that makes a lot of sense

 $00:53:38.858 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.870$  that when they sense pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}53{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.550$  And they release the chemo kids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:42.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.930$  The second thing in that related

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.730$  to that question is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:53:50.730 --> 00:53:52.164 Once they infiltrate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333 00:53:52.164 --> 00:53:53.120 so we, NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.464$  we've found that the major source of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:56.464 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.438$  diesel chemoattractant proteins is not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:53:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.807$  The fiberglass is actually the Milo itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.505$  So those I think it's all orchestrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}54{:}04.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.578$  Basically they fibroblasts release

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:06.578 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.163$  chemo treatments for innate cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:09.170 --> 00:54:11.535 Then they adapted cells come

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:11.535 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.386$  because there's also an interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:13.386 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.450$  between a myeloid cells and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:15.516 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.206$  fibers that we cannot ignore.

00:54:17.210 --> 00:54:19.406 I didn't do your second question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:19.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.406$  I would love to look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:22.406 --> 00:54:24.650 models of carry myopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:24.650 --> 00:54:27.667 We haven't looked because we we've never.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.162$  We don't have the tools or or the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.807$  but I would love to do it because

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:33.807 --> 00:54:36.644 I think it's it's very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}54{:}36.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39.109$  and especially in those mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:39.193 --> 00:54:42.318 that the myocytes are are working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333 00:54:42.318 --> 00:54:44.199 And I did, NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.955$  at dysfunctional from very early

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:45.955 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.359$  on it spontaneously right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}54{:}47.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50.272$  You could really track and I'm sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:50.272 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.288$  there will be other antigens involved, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:54:53.288 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.110$  So it might be that we will need to find

 $00:54:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.810$  out whether inflammation plays a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:57.810 --> 00:54:59.160 It might be that it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:54:59.160 --> 00:55:00.760 nothing to do with information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:55:00.760 --> 00:55:03.077 but if it did, if it did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00{:}55{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07.342$  it would be easier to track whether the T

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:55:07.342 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.039$  cells might be recognizing proteins that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

00:55:11.039 --> 00:55:13.697 You know that may be misfolded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:55:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.608$  or that their mutated due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:55:15.608 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.480$  the mutation in the myocyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:55:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.075$  so that that would be a yeah, that would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.590237698333333

 $00:55:20.075 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.650$  That's that would be an excellent Ave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864855594

 $00{:}55{:}23.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.850$  Thank you any other questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.528$  So yeah, I I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:34.528 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.880$  I'm very excited about the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.680$  I have another follow-up question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.420$  What do you think about

 $00:55:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.516$  stressed cardiomyocytes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00{:}55{:}43.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.850$  They may release new entity to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00{:}55{:}47.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.265$  to the identical cells or two so

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:50.265 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.848$  they they catch up the antigen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00{:}55{:}52.848 \to 00{:}55{:}55.732$  present to CD 4T cells because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:55.732 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.450$  have cardiac troponin T troponin I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:55:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.312$  Fragmented release in the injured heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:56:01.312 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.089$  and and also cardio my side when stressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

00:56:05.090 --> 00:56:06.802 They may secrete teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:56:06.802 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.370$  Beta 2 instead of beta one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650748348

 $00:56:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.410$  We adapt be interesting avenues for you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.126$  yes so that so first hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}56{:}15.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}17.916$  When we started going after the antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:56:17.916 --> 00:56:21.284 when J join my lab and he really wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:21.284 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.350$  to look at this diesel drones right

00:56:24.350 --> 00:56:27.059 and our first hypothesis was I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}56{:}27.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30.037$  just written a commentary on a paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}56{:}30.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32.860$  Looking at all these in altimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.371$  myocarditis you know how character

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:35.371 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.091$  planning and myosin binding protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:38.091 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.660$  C and all these proteins that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:56:41.660 --> 00:56:44.750 that are fighting people, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.445$  So we thought that that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:47.445 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.364$  who were going to be the ones but

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:50.364 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.010$  in the tag model because we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}56{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.195$  see death of Carrie myocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.480$  we didn't focus on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:56:56.480 --> 00:56:58.370 But you're right, they might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:56:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.128$  They might be that the stretch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.846$  Induces, as you could do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:01.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.270$  nicely with your model, right?

 $00:57:03.270 \dashrightarrow 00:57:05.510$  Because you can stretch all these cells we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.150$  We don't have the ability to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.705$  but I think it's also possible because

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:09.705 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.702$  the myocytes see the fiberglass

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:57:11.702 --> 00:57:14.391 seed in between the myocytes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:57:14.391 --> 00:57:17.997 So there's all these literature that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.485$  and a huge field of research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:20.485 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.008$  people study kind of fiber as

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}57{:}23.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}24.816$  kind of myocyte communication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.634$  So it might be that those fragments

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:27.634 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.548$  are actually picked up by you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:57:29.548 --> 00:57:30.888 Know the Mayo side doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:30.888 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.960$  really need to die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}57{:}31.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34.326$  It might be that it's a stretch

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:34.326 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.617$  and the fiber rest pick it up.

00:57:36.620 --> 00:57:38.160 And then the fiberglass percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

00:57:38.160 --> 00:57:40.668 but that's purely an in speculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.478$  We haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:41.478 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.498$  We haven't looked at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.428$  but I think it's not only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00.57.46.430 \longrightarrow 00.57.48.313$  I think this is very complex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:48.313 \longrightarrow 00:57:50.154$  it's not only limited to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:50.154 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.764$  T cell binding to fibroblast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.250$  I think there is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.160$  Cross communication with like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00{:}57{:}57.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59.935$  or chestrated response there with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.2591359055

 $00:57:59.935 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.160$  insides fiberglass and immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.178$  Yeah, so we have resident go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:07.178 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.748$  Yeah this is Jeff Squire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

00:58:09.750 --> 00:58:12.109 I just wonder you made a comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

00:58:12.109 --> 00:58:13.996 on your introduction that said

 $00:58:13.996 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.608$  that no immune intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

00:58:15.610 --> 00:58:17.925 No trial has produced any

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

00:58:17.925 --> 00:58:22.090 effect on cardiac failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.493$  And I wonder whether there any

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:24.493 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.298$  observations in patients who receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.833$  Chronic immunosuppressive therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:28.833 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.899$  with any number of different drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.908$  whether there's any effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.581264438

 $00:58:33.908 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.430$  on cardiac failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:58:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.978$  Yeah, so we did actually have to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:58:39.978 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.506$  that because we made a long table of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

00:58:43.510 --> 00:58:45.826 Exactly looking at that right of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00{:}58{:}45.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48.560$  you know, these were the TNF blockers

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:58:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.344$  and these are other immunosuppressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:58:51.344 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.490$  agents and we didn't find any.

 $00:58:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.670$  I don't think there's been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

00:58:55.670 --> 00:58:59.165 There's been a small trials looking at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

00:58:59.165 --> 00:59:01.835 and I think people have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:01.835 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.646$  at method tracks and other drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00{:}59{:}04.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}07.292$  but I don't think there's a detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:07.292 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.001$  investigation of what having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

00:59:09.001 --> 00:59:10.897 expectation would be that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

00:59:10.963 --> 00:59:13.366 suppress inflammation it be good, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:13.366 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.570$  But those drugs also have a lot of side

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

00:59:16.652 --> 00:59:19.515 effects that may be in patients with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:19.520 \dashrightarrow 00:59:23.636$  Cardiac failure are no quick right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.637$  so I think we really need to dive into

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.660$  into not blocking inflammation generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.748793660588235

 $00:59:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.978$  and try to find a smaller pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

00:59:36.650 --> 00:59:38.684 I certainly agree, but I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

 $00:59:38.684 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.040$  wonder whether there's any

 $00:59:40.104 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.994$  evidence that you know what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

 $00:59:41.994 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.024$  effect of the immune system is

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

 $00:59:44.024 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.794$  on in clinically and inpatient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

 $00:59:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.648$  A lot of patients who get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

00:59:47.650 --> 00:59:49.760 you know steroids and get

NOTE Confidence: 0.854330388518518

 $00:59:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.458$  cyclosporine and other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

00:59:53.000 --> 00:59:54.575 I I don't recall all the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $00:59:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.873$  but there is a very elegant review by dogmen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

00:59:58.880 --> 01:00:02.394 And Luigi Adamo that they published recently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:02.400 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.330$  Maybe? Maybe not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:03.330 \dashrightarrow 01:00:06.020$  That recently, maybe a year ago in Nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:06.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:09.416$  reviews, cardiology, and they have they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:09.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.108$  They did exactly that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:11.108 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.640$  and they reviewed all the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

01:00:13.715 --> 01:00:16.480 in large trials, small trials,

01:00:16.480 --> 01:00:19.720 directly tackling immune mediators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01{:}00{:}19.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}22.498$  or general immuno suppressors. And I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:22.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.200$  I recall that the conclusion is what I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

01:00:26.200 --> 01:00:29.186 but maybe you know, maybe I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

01:00:29.186 --> 01:00:32.417 But I. I think yes, if you have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:32.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.316$  That review was very detailed and it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:35.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:37.906$  It was very nice to to read and they had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

01:00:37.906 --> 01:00:40.090 they reviewed the mechanistic part of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01{:}00{:}40.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}43.420$  but then they review all the patient trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:43.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.710$  At the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01{:}00{:}44.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}46.936$  I believe it was in nature reviews,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

01:00:46.936 --> 01:00:49.114 cardiology for sure and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516

 $01:00:49.114 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.771$  know if it was 2020 or 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79021516 01:00:51.771 --> 01:00:52.258 But NOTE Confidence: 0.759341025

01:00:53.010 --> 01:00:55.278 thank you yeah. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813786035333333

 $01:00:59.110 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.448$  So if if there are no additional

 $01:01:01.448 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.529$  questions so thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.813786035333333

 $01:01:03.529 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.209$  Paula for this exciting talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813786035333333

01:01:05.210 --> 01:01:07.916 We learn a lot cardiology immunology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813786035333333

 $01:01:07.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.440$  so thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813786035333333

 $01:01:09.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.920$  Have a nice afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680113662

 $01{:}01{:}11.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}12.700$  Thank you for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680113662

 $01:01:12.700 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.486$  I thank you all for attending bye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.680113662

 $01:01:15.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.000$  Bye bye thank you.