WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:13:20"

NOTE recognizability:0.869

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.880$ So I will go ahead and introduce our speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:02.880 \rightarrow 00:00:04.276$ So good afternoon everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}04.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.225$ I am thrilled today to host our ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}07{.}225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09{.}420$ speaker, Doctor Yael Kushner Hager of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:09.420 \rightarrow 00:00:12.119$ interest to some in the audience is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:12.120 \rightarrow 00:00:14.409$ as already noted, is that Doctor Hayhurst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:00:14.410 --> 00:00:17.274 Currently the head of renal pathology at MGH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}17.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.401$ But the reason I extended the Grand

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:19.401 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.012$ Rapids invitation is her really

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:21.012 \rightarrow 00:00:22.612$ impressive expertise in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:22.612 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.440$ of quality and patient safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.959$ And just as a way of background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:00:25.960 --> 00:00:28.090 Doctor Hager received her medical training

00:00:28.090 --> 00:00:30.050 at McGill University in Montreal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32{.}102$ Which is one of my favorite cities in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:32.102 \rightarrow 00:00:34.070$ world and she completed her residency there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:00:34.070 --> 00:00:36.681 Anatomic pathology in 2010 or so she

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}36{.}681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39{.}333$ arrived in Boston where she did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:39.333 \rightarrow 00:00:41.529$ fellowship in renal pathology at MGH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:41.530 \dashrightarrow 00:00:43.791$ Afterwards she pursued an MPH at Harvard

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}43.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.994$ School of Public Health and also as

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:45.994 \rightarrow 00:00:48.184$ a graduate of the inaugural class of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}48.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.994$ the Harvard Medical School Fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:00:49.994 --> 00:00:51.921 and Quality and Patient Safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}00{:}51{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54{.}747$ So there's this huge background of

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:00:54.747 --> 00:00:56.363 education inequality she stayed

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:00:56.363 - 00:00:58.649 on as a faculty at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:00:58.650 \rightarrow 00:01:00.624$ first at both Israel where she served.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.842$ For many years as a director

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- 00:01:01.842 --> 00:01:02.970 of quality and patient safety,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.870$ there and later joined Boston
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:04.870 \dashrightarrow 00:01:06.770$ Children's Hospital and then moved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00{:}01{:}06.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.182$ to MGH as well where she is again a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:09.182 \rightarrow 00:01:11.287$ director of quality and Patient Safety.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- 00:01:11.290 --> 00:01:13.534 Doctor Hager has become nationally and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:13.534 \rightarrow 00:01:15.030$ internationally sought after speaker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00{:}01{:}15{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17{.}155$ and she has a massed a broad array of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00{:}01{:}17.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.536$ scholarship and pathology specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:18.536 \rightarrow 00:01:20.556$ quality initiatives such as implementation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- 00:01:20.556 --> 00:01:22.310 of high reliability principles,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.390$ safety culture,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.470$ systems engineering,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00{:}01{:}24.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.470$ effective change in leadership,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889
- $00:01:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.470$ so on behalf of the department,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:01:29.470 --> 00:01:30.940 I would love to welcome Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:01:30.940 --> 00:01:32.780 Better to give her presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.540$ and you know one of the small things

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:34.540 \rightarrow 00:01:36.360$ that the COVID pandemic has changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:36.360 \dashrightarrow 00:01:37.998$ is that the required zoom platform

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:37.998 \rightarrow 00:01:40.080$ does not allow for the warm applause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

00:01:40.080 --> 00:01:42.312 I'm sure you would get if you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00{:}01{:}42.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.641$ here in person and so I really do

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:44.641 \rightarrow 00:01:46.972$ hope that we get to meet in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:46.972 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.236$ in the future soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839492428888889

 $00:01:48.240 \dashrightarrow 00:01:51.306$ So welcome and please go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:01:52.150 \rightarrow 00:01:53.620$ Give some. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:01:53.620 \rightarrow 00:01:55.558$ That was an incredibly warm welcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:01:55.560 \rightarrow 00:01:57.438$ especially hard to do on zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

00:01:57.440 --> 00:02:00.205 I'm gonna share my screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:00.205 \rightarrow 00:02:02.068$ and it makes it sound like I.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}02{:}02{:}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.540$ I've been at it sounds much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:03.540 \dashrightarrow 00:02:04.948$ impressive when you list it like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.758$ I actually was at.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}02{:}05{.}758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}604$ I was in Montreal for 10 years and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:07.604 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.430$ I was at the Buy for about 10 years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.534$ That's pretty much it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:02:10.534 --> 00:02:12.190 I haven't moved around that much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:02:12.190 --> 00:02:13.510 I do cover Boston children,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.158$ some work there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:02:14.158 --> 00:02:15.670 but I just do the renal service,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:15.670 \rightarrow 00:02:17.650$ which isn't the very high volume
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}02{:}17.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.797$ service as kind of a in in a sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:20.797 \rightarrow 00:02:22.205$ of consultant neighborly capacity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}02{:}22{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24{.}578$ So then I came back to MGH about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}02{:}24.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.391$ a year and a half ago after having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:27.391 \rightarrow 00:02:29.942$ been away for about a decade after

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}02{:}29{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}385$ my when I mentor is retired so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:32.390 \rightarrow 00:02:34.270$ Today I'm going to speak with you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:34.270 \rightarrow 00:02:35.997$ things no one wants to speak about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:36.000 \rightarrow 00:02:38.320$ so hopefully you guys have some lunch the

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}02{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}158$ the the worst part about zoom is I really do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}02{:}41{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42{.}658$ I really do Miss meeting in person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

00:02:42.660 --> 00:02:43.560 I'm sure everybody is sick

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.280$ of hearing that already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:44.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.300$ but it is really different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.620$ especially when talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:46.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.380$ about these difficult things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

00:02:48.380 --> 00:02:49.811 Having a interpersonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:49.811 \rightarrow 00:02:51.719$ connection is always nicer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}02{:}51.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.780$ so feel free to reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:02:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.570$ out to me after or doing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:02:56.570 \rightarrow 00:02:57.830$ After you know the talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}02{:}57{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}374$ if there's anything you want us to discuss,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:03:00.380 --> 00:03:03.116 can you see my screen OK?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:03:03.120 --> 00:03:03.397 Alright,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:03:03.397 --> 00:03:04.228 I'll keep nodding,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:04.228 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.262$ so I have a friend who's a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:06.262 \rightarrow 00:03:07.817$ professor at the Business School.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.374$ He told me people take 2 minutes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:09.374 \rightarrow 00:03:10.304$ to decide whether they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:10.304 \rightarrow 00:03:11.600$ going to listen to or not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:11.600 \rightarrow 00:03:13.882$ so this is my two minutes and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:13.882 \rightarrow 00:03:15.260$ and you're competing with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:15.260 \rightarrow 00:03:16.620$ I used to have this thing where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}03{:}16.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}17.577$ were competing with people phones
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}03{:}17{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18{.}914$ like everyone is sitting in the room
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:18.957 \rightarrow 00:03:20.085$ likes checking their phones and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

00:03:20.085 --> 00:03:21.756 I feel like you're just look out at

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:21.756 \rightarrow 00:03:24.070$ a sea of like black boxes of people names,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:24.070 \rightarrow 00:03:25.426$ which is so hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:25.426 \rightarrow 00:03:27.460$ but I know everyone's so busy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30{.}680$ So what I'm gonna do to compel you is tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:30.759 \rightarrow 00:03:33.424$ you about real disasters that happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:33.424 \rightarrow 00:03:36.720$ At in hospital in the hospital I worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

00:03:36.797 --> 00:03:39.032 at due to errors in our department

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:39.032 \rightarrow 00:03:41.010$ and their different flavors of error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}03{:}41{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43{.}125$ So I thought that might be compelling to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:43.125 \rightarrow 00:03:45.410$ to sort of if any of them rang true to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}690$ I'd be very interested to hear about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:48.690 \rightarrow 00:03:50.202$ So here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}714$ Case one is entitled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333

 $00:03:51.720 \rightarrow 00:03:53.888$ Are you sure this is the right patient?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:53.890 \rightarrow 00:03:56.644$ So I hope your blood pressure isn't going up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:56.650 \rightarrow 00:03:58.370$ and it's of course it's a prostate biopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.426$ It's always either a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:03:59.426 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.746$ prostate biopsy or GI biopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:04:00.750 --> 00:04:01.682 I, by the way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:04:01.682 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.847$ I don't have any disclosures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:04:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.244$ Maybe my disclosure should be that I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:04:05.250 --> 00:04:08.071 That I'm not a Geo pathologist but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- 00:04:08.071 -> 00:04:09.478 I am very familiar with this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:04:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.224$ so this is a case that showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:04:12.224 \rightarrow 00:04:13.008$ prostate cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:04:13.010 \dashrightarrow 00:04:15.458$ Do we have some trainees on the call to?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00:04:15.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.747$ Should we maybe?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.755999153333333
- $00{:}04{:}16{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19{.}750$ Maybe we can make it a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:04:19.849 \rightarrow 00:04:21.900$ interactive? People are awake,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.450$ so this shows prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

00:04:23.450 --> 00:04:24.826 I'm going to ask a question about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.150$ but not about the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:26.150 \rightarrow 00:04:28.840$ so hopefully folks are caffeinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

00:04:28.840 --> 00:04:30.728 It showed cancer really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

00:04:30.728 --> 00:04:32.144 very routine diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:32.150 \rightarrow 00:04:33.926$ No one thought anything about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:33.930 \rightarrow 00:04:36.430$ The patient underwent a prostatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00{:}04{:}36{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}176$ You can see by the year that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:39.176 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.904$ harmful errors don't happen so often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00{:}04{:}40{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42{.}667$ which is like nice. But then again

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:42.667 \rightarrow 00:04:44.517$ I would say then we're sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

00:04:44.520 --> 00:04:45.774 We're not very nimble in knowing

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:45.774 \rightarrow 00:04:47.318$ how to deal with them, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:47.318 \rightarrow 00:04:50.006$ So the patient underwent a prostatectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545

 $00:04:50.006 \rightarrow 00:04:52.719$ and the prostatectomy was entirely benign.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:04:52.720 \rightarrow 00:04:55.060$ Totally benign.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- 00:04:55.060 --> 00:04:57.450 And no masses, no cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:04:57.450 \rightarrow 00:04:59.080$ The entire specimen was submitted,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:04:59.080 \rightarrow 00:05:01.896$ much to the chagrin of the Sino pathologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:01.900 \dashrightarrow 00:05:05.967$ 53 blocks. That's almost twice the alphabet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.150$ So invasive cancer, and so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- 00:05:09.150 00:05:10.228 I guess if any of the trainees,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:10.230 \rightarrow 00:05:12.330$ what what would you do if that was your case?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:12.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.434$ What's the next step?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- 00:05:13.434 --> 00:05:13.986 Of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:13.990 \dashrightarrow 00:05:15.404$ you're worried that it's the wrong case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.765$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- 00:05:15.765 --> 00:05:18.605 But so how do you figure that out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936300745454545
- $00:05:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.150$ maybe?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80912006
- $00{:}05{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.410$ So I guess the first question would be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913049728

 $00:05:26.410 \rightarrow 00:05:30.249$ is that the only cord that was positive?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913049728

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32{.}240$ And then the other question would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949112431666667

 $00{:}05{:}33.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.640$ Look at the cases that were gross

NOTE Confidence: 0.724508035

 $00{:}05{:}36{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}083$ and I guess accessioned before

NOTE Confidence: 0.724508035

 $00{:}05{:}39{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40{.}700$ it to see if there was some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9517591475

00:05:40.710 --> 00:05:42.130 kind of crossover event

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:05:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.625$ that's exactly perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:05:43.625 \rightarrow 00:05:45.620$ so I think looking at the grossing

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47{.}431$ log from that day is probably the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:05:47.431 \dashrightarrow 00:05:48.762$ first step 'cause you automatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:05:48.762 --> 00:05:50.346 are like jumping to a OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:05:50.350 \rightarrow 00:05:51.830$ we messed it up at the grossing bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}05{:}51{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}132$ Of course it could be in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:05:53.132 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.783$ the urologist office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}05{:}53.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.043$ It could be a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:05:55.043 \rightarrow 00:05:56.029$ places where that can happen,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:05:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.242$ but of course the gross thing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}05{:}57{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}470$ log is easy to look at,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:05:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.561$ so we actually did do that and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}06{:}01{.}561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03{.}127$ we found that it was mislabeled.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:06:03.130 --> 00:06:03.966 It was pretty. Easy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:03.966 \rightarrow 00:06:06.398$ it was just a mix up at the microtome, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}06{:}06{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}462$ So we could tell when we re cut
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:08.462 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.286$ from the block that was wrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}06{:}10.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.820$ So that patient had had surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:11.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.876$ He didn't need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:12.876 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.636$ This was his actual core,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}06{:}14.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.220$ which was totally negative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:06:17.220 --> 00:06:17.763 Interestingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}06{:}17.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.564$ you know he was quite young and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:21.564 \rightarrow 00:06:24.940$ he had all a lot of the you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:24.940 \rightarrow 00:06:26.560$ bad things that happen when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}06{:}26{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28{.}518$ have a a radical prostatectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.446$ so that was pretty awful and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:30.446 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.102$ pretty traumatic for all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:32.102 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.617$ care care members as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.769$ The other patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}06{:}34.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.979$ the one who was told that he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:37.979 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.219$ fine but actually had cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}06{:}40.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41.450$ He opted for watchful waiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}06{:}41.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.011$ and this is an interesting part

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:43.011 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.436$ about the patient safety movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.372$ So if you go by the strictest

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:46.372 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.200$ definitions of harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:06:47.200 --> 00:06:48.480 Nothing happened to him right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:06:48.480 --> 00:06:50.538 'cause he was just gonna wait anyway

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:06:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.108$ and so it didn't have an impact

- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:52.108 \rightarrow 00:06:54.161$ like the way you might sort of do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}06{:}54{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}050$ wrong site surgery or something like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:56.050 \rightarrow 00:06:58.234$ But and he was followed up with biopsies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:06:58.240 \rightarrow 00:07:01.544$ they all showed the same thing and confirmed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:01.550 --> 00:07:02.756 You know his most recent biopsy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:02.756 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.930$ was the exact same grading.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:03.930 --> 00:07:04.785 You know, uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:04.785 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.640$ five years later,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:05.640 00:07:09.245 but in reviewing his chart for QA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:09.250 --> 00:07:11.931 he actually had had a bunch of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:11.931 -> 00:07:14.355 anxiety events in the in the years
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}07{:}14.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.010$ following this medical mix up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:16.010 \dashrightarrow 00:07:18.446$ And he got better with medication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:18.450 \dashrightarrow 00:07:20.004$ But I just thought it was interesting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:20.010 00:07:22.018 and it's a good side note on how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:07:22.018 --> 00:07:23.577 these types of psychological events

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:07:23.577 --> 00:07:25.894 can really can harm people and can

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:07:25.958 --> 00:07:27.873 make them distrustful of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:07:27.873 --> 00:07:29.788 system and just generally anxious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:07:29.790 --> 00:07:31.368 So I thought that was interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}370$ --> $00{:}07{:}33{.}498$ and it hinges on some some other NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}33.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.203$ academic work that's been done

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

00:07:35.203 --> 00:07:36.671 around extending the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}36.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.640$ safety movement to things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.114$ psychological harm and we're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:07:40.114 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.500$ into that a little bit again now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:07:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.616$ with the Cures Act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}42.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.097$ which I'll talk about at the end in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}45.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.329$ terms of reporting results and whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}07{:}47.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.070$ psychological harm is a real thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:07:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.642$ So Creek?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:49.642 --> 00:07:49.928 Oh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:49.928 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.358$ that's our malpractice insurer in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:51.358 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.901$ the car captive Harvard system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}07{:}52{.}901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54{.}737$ settle the suit on our behalf.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:54.740 --> 00:07:56.876 The Department Public Health CMS came to us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:07:56.880 --> 00:07:58.060 which is, like, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:58.060 \rightarrow 00:07:58.830$ not relaxing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:07:58.830 \dashrightarrow 00:08:01.140$ and we did a border registration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}08{:}01{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}356$ and medicine report and we had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:08:03.356 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.728$ some Qi related changes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- 00:08:04.730 --> 00:08:05.164 Interestingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}08{:}05{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}768$ one of our QA related changes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00:08:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.934$ which is just that you all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}08{:}08{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}710$ know that we're human,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075
- $00{:}08{:}09{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11{.}084$ was that on every requisition 'cause
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:08:11.084 \rightarrow 00:08:13.008$ this was in the in the time when

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00{:}08{:}13.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.208$ we still had paper requisitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904208075

 $00:08:14.210 \rightarrow 00:08:15.350$ we used to write our right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:08:15.350 --> 00:08:16.662 our diagnosis on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}08{:}16.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.246$ and someone would transcribe it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}08{:}18.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.034$ We had to sign the little

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:20.034 \rightarrow 00:08:21.354$ thing saying, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:21.354 \rightarrow 00:08:23.526$ we attest slides match the requisition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.710$ and you know what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:24.710 \dashrightarrow 00:08:25.984$ If you months after, people would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:25.984 \dashrightarrow 00:08:27.388$ sign that as part of their sign out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.358$ and they wouldn't actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:28.358 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.326$ be checking it anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:29.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.702$ And anyway it wouldn't have helped in

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:30.702 \rightarrow 00:08:32.087$ this case 'cause it was labeled wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:32.090 \rightarrow 00:08:33.504$ So I was thinking about this when

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:08:33.504 --> 00:08:34.980 I was reading the New York Times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:08:34.980 --> 00:08:38.300 A couple years ago and I saw and if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:38.392 \rightarrow 00:08:41.262$ exact same case reported exactly the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:41.262 \rightarrow 00:08:44.059$ it was a 69 year old prostate cancer mix up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:08:44.060 --> 00:08:44.852 so I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:08:44.852 --> 00:08:46.040 Maybe I don't know if you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:08:46.084 --> 00:08:47.358 ever had mix UPS in your lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.630$ but it certainly happens with

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.446$ these small batched biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:51.450 \dashrightarrow 00:08:53.520$ So many people come to me and they say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.710$ well, we just need barcoding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:08:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.730$ What we need is barcoding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57{.}490$ We need RFID codes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}045$ We need to barcode everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}159$ and I guess what I would say to that is,

- 00:09:01.160 00:09:02.990 yeah, it makes things better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.222$ But remember that Pete.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:04.222 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.454$ There's still a person.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- 00:09:05.460 00:09:08.034 It has to affix the label to a specimen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- 00:09:08.040 --> 00:09:08.401 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:08.401 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.484$ So, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00{:}09{:}09{.}484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.650$ we reported a case where there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00{:}09{:}11.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.136$ was a frame shift error in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00{:}09{:}14.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.208$ cytology specimens where he had 20.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:16.208 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.110$ Someone batched out all the labels
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- 00:09:18.176 --> 00:09:19.976 and started one specimen off,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:19.980 \dashrightarrow 00:09:23.770$ so we misdiagnosed 25 patients that day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.060$ and online cytology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.084$ So it's not perfect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- 00:09:26.084 --> 00:09:26.340 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571
- $00:09:26.340 \rightarrow 00:09:27.834$ You have to think about how you're doing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.670$ so that's the first case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.240$ was a preanalytic error case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.870$ The second case is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.174$ not really an error,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.428$ and I'm interested in your thoughts about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.102$ These are all real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:38.102 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.708$ These are all real cases so

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:40.708 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.248$ so haven't gotten fired yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:43.250 \dashrightarrow 00:09:44.570$ but I'll let you know if that type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:44.570 \rightarrow 00:09:46.370$ I'm looking for a job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:46.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.226$ so this is a case where it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:48.226 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.368$ reported out on the treating clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:50.368 \rightarrow 00:09:51.988$ actually misunderstood the report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:09:51.990 --> 00:09:52.293 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}09{:}52{.}293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54{.}111$ which I'm sure has never happened

00:09:54.111 -> 00:09:56.060 to anyone in your department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:09:56.060 --> 00:09:57.362 So it was a very young man

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:57.362 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.679$ and he had neck swelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:09:58.680 \dashrightarrow 00:10:00.206$ He was noted to have a submandibular

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01{.}592$ mass and he was referred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}954$ a surgeon to get it biopsied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}10{:}02{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06{.}088$ He had an SNA totally routine by this

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:10:06.088 --> 00:10:09.456 surgeon and and the SNA showed many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:10:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.148$ many fragments that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:10:11.148 \rightarrow 00:10:12.836$ exactly the same of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:10:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.956$ Do we have any site of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:10:13.956 \rightarrow 00:10:14.700$ pathologists on the call?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:10:14.700 --> 00:10:17.118 Anyone interested in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00{:}10{:}17{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}024$ Thinking of a word to describe these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

00:10:21.030 --> 00:10:22.335 Another disclosure I don't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.894410621428571

 $00:10:22.335 \dashrightarrow 00:10:23.640$ that I don't do psychopathology.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.918902586
- $00:10:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.860$ Joe, I saw your name.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8247935875
- $00{:}10{:}33{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35{.}785$ He's like I had my camera off and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8247935875
- $00{:}10{:}35.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.690$ left message and also leave me alone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- $00:10:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.388$ Form their bland.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- 00:10:40.388 00:10:42.880 They have brown nuclei on the right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- 00:10:42.880 --> 00:10:44.560 It looks almost like it has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- $00:10:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.400$ asked their architecture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- $00:10:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.182$ Now wonder if it's normal last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- 00:10:47.182 --> 00:10:49.313 night I'd like to see more and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- $00:10:49.313 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.059$ see if they have this little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- $00:10:51.059 \rightarrow 00:10:52.934$ aster architecture or if it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- $00:10:52.934 \rightarrow 00:10:54.829$ neoplastic with a very uniform.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.700423969
- 00:10:54.830 --> 00:10:57.630 Population of epithelial cells. Yep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409
- $00{:}10{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.365$ here's another section or another
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409
- $00:11:00.365 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.529$ photo of another aggregate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.252$ So I mean, I guess the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:04.252 --> 00:11:06.140 thing was you can't really tell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:06.140 --> 00:11:07.494 I think that's what you're getting at, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:07.494 \rightarrow 00:11:09.060$ So then it gets to the point of like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:09.060 --> 00:11:11.125 how do you express uncertainty in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}500$ differential diagnosis in a report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.612$ which is a real challenge in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:14.612 --> 00:11:16.020 all of anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}16.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.520$ but certainly in psychopathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.770$ which probably in our community does

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:19.829 \dashrightarrow 00:11:21.755$ the best of anyone in standardizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:21.760 --> 00:11:24.600 reporting and categorizing risk right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.896$ Joe feel free to to jump in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.699$ This is how it was signed out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}28{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30{.}324$ Basaloid proliferation with stromal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:30.324 \rightarrow 00:11:31.948$ fragments cannot exclude celebrate

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409
- 00:11:31.948 --> 00:11:33.629 glenlea plasm so any pathologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:33.629 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.989$ knows that's kind of like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:34.990 \rightarrow 00:11:36.768$ OK, it's like a descriptive thing where

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:36.768 \rightarrow 00:11:38.508$ they're like we don't know whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}38{.}510$ --> $00{:}11{:}41{.}960$ and we're thinking you know, could it be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}41{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43{.}706$ There's a long list of things that could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.688$ Some of them are malignant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:44.688 --> 00:11:45.816 some of them are benign, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}45.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.384$ And that's what we think when we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:47.384 --> 00:11:48.913 at it and it gets to the point of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.310$ you know, in the note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:11:50.310 - 00:11:51.912 Do you list all the things it could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}320$ but then people will get worried if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}53{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}595$ see things that are sort of low risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}11{:}54{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}480$ So this went back to the primary care

 $00:11:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.618$ physician open to any comments in real time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:11:59.620 \rightarrow 00:12:00.592$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:12:00.592 \rightarrow 00:12:03.580$ feel free to unmute very and very flexible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:12:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.700$ and they decided look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}12{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.857$ I don't know what this is, I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}12{:}06.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.180$ It means we're going to watch and wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:12:08.180 --> 00:12:11.028 It's probably nothing, alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:12:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.789$ So they did that and six years later

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}12{:}14.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.847$ he came back with neurologic symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

00:12:16.850 --> 00:12:17.096 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}12{:}17.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.326$ this is a real patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:12:18.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.710$ He's 45 at this point here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:12:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.440$ which I consider very young since

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00:12:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.260$ that's my current age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90163409

 $00{:}12{:}24.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.480$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796137

 $00:12:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.218$ there's one comment that came up

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88796137
- $00:12:27.218 \rightarrow 00:12:29.749$ at asking is this a way of saying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88796137
- $00:12:29.749 \rightarrow 00:12:31.419$ specimen in inadequate for diagnosis?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00:12:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.228$ No, I don't actually think it is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- 00:12:33.230 --> 00:12:34.586 I think it was more than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00:12:34.586 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.264$ adequate for diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- 00:12:35.270 --> 00:12:37.370 To me, adequacy is more about like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00:12:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.682$ the amount of cells and the types
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00:12:39.682 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.318$ of tissue that's there, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00{:}12{:}41{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43{.}622$ So like if you have a myocardial biopsy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- 00:12:43.630 --> 00:12:45.751 you need like four to six fragments
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00:12:45.751 \rightarrow 00:12:47.530$ of actual myocardium renal biopsies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00:12:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.189$ You need like 10 to 20 glomeruli.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- 00:12:49.190 --> 00:12:50.800 You can do it unless it's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00{:}12{:}50{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52{.}230$ that there wasn't enough tissue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00{:}12{:}52{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}350$ It's that in that issue that you saw.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

- $00:12:54.350 \rightarrow 00:12:55.130$ There's a pattern,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857
- $00{:}12{:}55{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56{.}170$ and there's a differential.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:12:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.680$ That pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:12:56.680 \rightarrow 00:12:58.210$ so it's not that it's inadequate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00{:}12{:}58{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}420$ it's that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

00:13:00.420 --> 00:13:01.572 I mean, I guess it gets it gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:13:01.572 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.623$ to the interesting philosophical

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

00:13:02.623 --> 00:13:03.956 question of adequacy, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

00:13:03.956 --> 00:13:05.236 Like if you need architecture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:13:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.440$ does that make it inadequate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}380$ I don't think it's inadequate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:13:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.828$ I think it's just like this is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}277$ we can tell from this specimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:13:10.280 \rightarrow 00:13:12.197$ and maybe you should excise it if you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

00:13:12.200 --> 00:13:15.390 If you wanna really know, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.895505137142857

 $00:13:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.158$ But that kind of gets to the point

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8110380375
- 00:13:17.170 -> 00:13:19.431 of maybe the better term would be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8110380375
- $00:13:19.431 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.349$ the limitation of the technique.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8110380375
- 00:13:21.350 --> 00:13:26.880 Yeah, maybe? Sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}13{:}26.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.831$ That's an option.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:13:27.831 --> 00:13:30.800 I don't know if I've considered a limitation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00:13:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.568$ it's it's just that's the type of test.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:13:32.570 00:13:35.654 It is right that and, and there are some.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}13{:}35{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}400$ It's sort of like in radiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}13{:}37{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}798$ There are certain certain tests that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00:13:38.798 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.040$ are better for different things,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}13{:}40{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}832$ and if you get into a certain category
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:13:41.832 --> 00:13:43.334 of diagnosis you need to do additional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:13:43.334 --> 00:13:45.195 tests or you need to sort of understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}13{:}45{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46{.}540$ what risk you're getting into.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}13{:}46{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}080$ And I think the problem here was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:13:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.349$ that they didn't understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}13{:}49{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50{.}759$ risk they were getting into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}13{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.022$ so the patient came back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:13:53.022 \rightarrow 00:13:54.530$ they had brain Mets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57{.}170$ And metastases throughout the body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}980$ they excised a submandibular and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:13:58.980 --> 00:14:01.108 of course it showed adenoid cystic carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:14:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.483$ which was like a tiny chance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}660$ what that would that showed up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:14:05.660 --> 00:14:07.080 and it was scary, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:14:07.080 --> 00:14:08.504 It was widely metastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}14{:}08{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10{.}284$ and and what's interesting about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}14{:}10{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12{.}280$ this case is when we went back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}14{:}12.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.258$ talk to the pathologist they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:14:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.388$ They were sort of like look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:14:15.390 --> 00:14:16.710 I didn't do anything wrong like

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:14:16.710 --> 00:14:17.370 I described it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}17{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}728$ and I released the case and it was right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:14:19.730 --> 00:14:21.470 So, like if they didn't understand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}21{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22{.}454$ that's not my problem,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00:14:22.454 \rightarrow 00:14:24.789$ so I don't know how people feel about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:14:24.790 --> 00:14:26.454 But I think interestingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}26{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28{.}950$ there was an Institute of Medicine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}28{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}248$ now called the National Academy of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:14:31.248 --> 00:14:33.582 Medicine report in 2015 called around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00:14:33.582 \rightarrow 00:14:35.988$ diagnostic error for the first time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}35{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}093$ and they define diagnostic error
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}38.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.541$ for the first time and interest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}40{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42{.}975$ for pathologists and and for and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- 00:14:42.975 --> 00:14:43.830 for someone wrote.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176
- $00{:}14{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.795$ That's why we have the Milan system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:14:45.795 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.127$ which I love as a sa a fan of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:14:48.127 --> 00:14:49.807 the team behind the Milan system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:14:49.810 --> 00:14:51.290 But that's right, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}14{:}51{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}510$ Like standardized reporting is really clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:14:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.034$ Anyway, it diagnostic error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:14:55.034 \rightarrow 00:14:56.558$ About the definition actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:14:56.558 --> 00:14:57.320 include commute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:14:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.665$ proper communication of the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}14{:}58.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.539$ in the definition for the first time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}150$ and that was a report written for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}840$ pathologists and radiologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:02.840 \rightarrow 00:15:04.820$ which really changed things for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:04.820 \rightarrow 00:15:07.166$ like we had never really considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}120$ that before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:15:08.120 --> 00:15:08.724 So anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:08.724 \rightarrow 00:15:11.140$ I'm going to shift to something more current.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.356$ I don't know how you guys are doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}13.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.461$ with open notes and with the Cures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17{.}730$ Act and with whether or not you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:17.730 \rightarrow 00:15:19.535$ hold EM atomic pathology results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:15:19.540 --> 00:15:20.401 you must not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22{.}123$ because it's the Cures Act went

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:22.123 \rightarrow 00:15:23.921$ live right about a couple a year

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:23.921 \rightarrow 00:15:25.922$ or two ago and now, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27{.}777$ all the mass General Brigham

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}27.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.706$ patients get immediate access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31{.}214$ their anatomic pathology reports

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:15:31.214 --> 00:15:33.459 like the the literally the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:33.459 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.154$ you click finalize or release,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:35.160 \rightarrow 00:15:36.714$ or whatever it is in your system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.310$ It comes to their portal.

00:15:38.310 --> 00:15:40.109 And it's created a lot of thanks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.545$ so let me tell you a story

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}41{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}350$ that started with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:42.350 \rightarrow 00:15:44.429$ This is again a real real story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}44{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}026$ A young ish woman who presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}46.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.850$ to the Ed with new ascites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:15:47.850 --> 00:15:51.400 She had a diagnostic tap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53{.}680$ Some paracentes is that showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:15:53.680 --> 00:15:54.820 metastatic adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:54.820 \rightarrow 00:15:56.848$ What's really kind of is interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}56{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58{.}200$ from a systems perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:15:58.200 \rightarrow 00:15:58.928$ is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00{:}15{:}58{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}748$ It came actually under hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

 $00:16:00.748 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.110$ because the CP people actually noticed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8787176

00:16:03.110 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:16:05.896 in the tap that there was metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:05.963 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.014$ adeno and put it in the comment

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:08.020 \rightarrow 00:16:09.835$ and the patient actually received
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:09.835 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.984$ this diagnosis via text like on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:11.984 \rightarrow 00:16:13.640$ her in her hospital bed right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.820$ 'cause she got a notification
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:14.820 \rightarrow 00:16:15.764$ that something was finalized.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}16{:}15{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}162$ She clicked on it and that's how she
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}16{:}18{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}736$ found out and the clinician when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:19.736 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.650$ they went to go search them in epic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:21.650 \rightarrow 00:16:23.240$ Actually couldn't even find out where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.121$ it was and the patient was really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:16:25.121 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.906$ distraught and it was kind of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}16{:}26{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28{.}919$ disaster so we were able to take a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}16{:}28{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}038$ screenshot of how it appeared on her phone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}16{:}32{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}944$ And it was sort of like a loophole
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:16:33.944 --> 00:16:35.767 like it was a it was a smear
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:35.767 \rightarrow 00:16:37.309$ review right of a hematology where

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}16{:}37{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}39{.}175$ they put it in the comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}16{:}39{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40{.}797$ And so when the when the clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:40.797 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.891$ went to go search it out and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:42.891 \rightarrow 00:16:44.540$ cytology had been withheld was held.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}16{:}44{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46{.}460$ At that point they couldn't even find it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

00:16:46.460 --> 00:16:48.868 So it's really sort of a Swiss cheese

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:48.868 \rightarrow 00:16:51.794$ of like what could go wrong in in an IT

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:51.794 \rightarrow 00:16:54.215$ system and then you know there's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:54.215 \rightarrow 00:16:56.718$ many other things that can go wrong for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

00:16:56.720 --> 00:16:58.040 It's amazing things go right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:16:58.040 \rightarrow 00:17:00.250$ the the amount they do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}050$ you know we lose things all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

00:17:02.050 --> 00:17:03.625 I know your separate AP and CP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}17{:}03.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.990$ but I'm sure there's a lot of shared

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:04.990 \rightarrow 00:17:06.070$ specimens that are really difficult.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}17{:}06{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08{.}100$ Those unknown lesions that could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:17:08.100 --> 00:17:10.714 be micro or AP auto verification,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:10.714 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.190$ Miss process assessments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.710$ critical values,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.270$ people argue about what is what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:14.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.149$ should get a call.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.420$ What shouldn't get a call,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:16.420 \rightarrow 00:17:17.428$ challenges with interface, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:17:17.428 --> 00:17:19.214 Like you form at something in a specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:17:19.214 --> 00:17:20.600 way because you think it's safe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:20.600 \rightarrow 00:17:22.384$ and then when it goes to the portal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:22.384 \rightarrow 00:17:23.512$ it's like completely unformatted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:23.512 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.390$ and then some of the issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}17{:}25{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26{.}908$ we've talked about open notes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:17:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.214$ So I think interestingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:28.214 \rightarrow 00:17:30.543$ from a safety perspective you know when

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}409$ do we actually analyze these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}17{:}32{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}570$ We do a class analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.088$ When do we tell people about

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:35.088 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.629$ it and to whom by whom?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.870$ Meaning like is it the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:37.870 \rightarrow 00:17:38.862$ pathologist calling the patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.328$ Is the pathologist called the treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:40.328 \rightarrow 00:17:41.948$ clinician like how do we manage this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.886$ And I think one of the main problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

00:17:43.886 --> 00:17:45.856 we have in pathology is we're so

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}17{:}45.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.991$ overwhelmed and many of our issues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:47.991 \rightarrow 00:17:50.259$ so complicated and shared with so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}17{:}50.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.690$ different non pathology team members.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:52.690 \rightarrow 00:17:56.421$ Is we really only disclose when there's harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:17:56.421 \rightarrow 00:17:56.788$ right?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:17:56.788 --> 00:17:59.636 So there's this like vast, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:17:59.636 --> 00:18:02.206 untapped risk of like you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:18:02.206 --> 00:18:03.874 imagine you have two GI biopsies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:18:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.200$ And they're like switched.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:18:05.200 \rightarrow 00:18:07.180$ But you know they're both benign
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:18:07.237 --> 00:18:08.903 and you realize it, so you're like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:18:08.903 \rightarrow 00:18:09.908$ alright, we switch it back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:18:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.624$ We relabel it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:18:10.624 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.052$ There's maybe like a day delay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00:18:12.052 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.390$ in the turn around time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:18:13.390 --> 00:18:14.580 But you haven't harmed anyone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}18{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.980$ And I bet you don't report that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- 00:18:15.980 --> 00:18:17.580 Or if you do, you're surely not gonna do it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}18{:}17{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19{.}461$ Complicated root cause analysis on it, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148
- $00{:}18{:}19{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}868$ 'cause you just don't have the bandwidth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:18:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.294$ So how do we tap into that risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00{:}18{:}23.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.458$ to make the systems better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

00:18:25.460 --> 00:18:25.886 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831165558148148

 $00:18:25.886 \rightarrow 00:18:27.590$ not just at the very top of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:27.644 \rightarrow 00:18:29.618$ like when we're really harming people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.110$ like in this prostate case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.634$ like how can you get at

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:32.634 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.080$ the learning and I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}18{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35.466$ Part of the other issue is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:35.466 \rightarrow 00:18:36.524$ labs are different, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:36.524 \rightarrow 00:18:37.980$ Like all those systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:18:37.980 --> 00:18:39.860 the hospital level, graphical quality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}18{:}39{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41{.}456$ the RL solutions, all these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:41.460 \rightarrow 00:18:43.840$ They were all designed for clinical medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.000$ They were not designed like for

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:18:46.000 \rightarrow 00:18:47.440$ our production line manufacturing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:18:47.500 \rightarrow 00:18:49.020$ type systems engineering and how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}18{:}49.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.317$ we move back and forth from like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:18:51.317 \rightarrow 00:18:53.097$ automated systems to human systems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:18:53.100 \rightarrow 00:18:55.130$ Very nuanced diagnosis like people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:18:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.558$ Think of it as right or wrong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:18:56.560 --> 00:18:57.848 And in anatomic pathology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:18:57.848 \rightarrow 00:19:00.116$ anyone who's ever thought about how you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:00.116 \rightarrow 00:19:01.772$ cognitively come to a diagnosis knows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}19{:}01.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.110$ that it can be much more Gray than that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.480$ The other thing that's different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.190$ about us so is people really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}19{:}07{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}924$ don't understand what we do like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:19:08.930 --> 00:19:10.028 We'll go to a, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}19{:}10{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}710$ some bad thing happens and you go to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:11.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.232$ present it to the board or something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:13.232 \rightarrow 00:19:14.881$ and you have to spend 10 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:14.881 \rightarrow 00:19:16.246$ explaining how it normally happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:16.246 \rightarrow 00:19:18.800$ before you even talk about what went wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:19:18.800 --> 00:19:19.268 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:19:19.268 --> 00:19:21.140 Like there's no understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.544$ of our processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:19:22.550 --> 00:19:24.476 We do have this production line

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:24.476 \rightarrow 00:19:26.210$ type workflow batching is common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}19{:}26{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27{.}658$ you know we do we just because that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}19{:}27.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.220$ the way we do things which every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}627$ knows this dangerous but it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:19:30.627 --> 00:19:31.905 how can you handle it otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}19{:}31{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}180$ especially now with the national.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}19{:}34{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36{.}252$ Technologist shortage shortage that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:36.252 \rightarrow 00:19:38.972$ we're all facing incredibly high

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:19:38.972 \rightarrow 00:19:41.877$ volume people don't understand right?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:19:41.880 --> 00:19:43.032 And you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:43.032 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.336$ I think the subjective versus the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:19:45.336 --> 00:19:47.451 objective result. You know people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}19{:}47.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.436$ You'll see something that says
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:49.436 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.420$ like what are the results?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}19{:}51{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}772$ You know the results are not finalized as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:53.772 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.226$ if it's like a potassium you know not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.546$ So I think that's a really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:19:58.546 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.704$ interesting perception right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}19{:}59{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01{.}807$ And and it puts a lot of stress on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:20:01.807 --> 00:20:03.927 us multiplicity of events right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:20:03.930 --> 00:20:04.442 Like so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:20:04.442 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.212$ In that case, best case scenario,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}20{:}06{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}548$ it affects 2 patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:20:07.550 --> 00:20:08.878 There's two swapped events,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:08.878 \rightarrow 00:20:11.208$ but you can imagine a scenario we

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}20{:}11{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13{.}133$ had a case where we miss diluted

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}20{:}13.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.887$ our formal in for like six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:14.890 \rightarrow 00:20:16.695$ We were buying concentrated formalin

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}20{:}16.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.914$ and diluting it at the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:18.914 \rightarrow 00:20:20.972$ concentration to say to save money

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:20:20.972 --> 00:20:23.108 like we weren't buying prefab10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:23.110 \rightarrow 00:20:25.965$ buffered formalin, which we now do anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}845$ we realize that none of the IHC had

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:27.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.748$ been validated for that concentration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.250$ and it was like a total.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

00:20:31.250 --> 00:20:32.678 You know, it's like for six months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00{:}20{:}32.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.231$ and if you think about it, even the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:35.231 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.699$ Dedicated patient safety professional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.975$ Like the volume of events that happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625

 $00:20:38.975 \rightarrow 00:20:41.338$ in pathology is is really a challenge.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:20:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.108$ We had another time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:20:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.639$ We had a vendor who was bringing us and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:20:46.639 \rightarrow 00:20:49.410$ GI endoscopy specimens from an offsite,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:20:49.410 --> 00:20:50.649 like private endoscopy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:20:50.649 --> 00:20:51.888 Come, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}20{:}51{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55{.}495$ practice and they just lost an entire.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:20:55.500 \rightarrow 00:20:57.030$ They three it away by accident.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:20:57.030 --> 00:20:58.530 An entire bag of specimens,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}20{:}58{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}650$ and it was like 46 patients or something.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:21:00.650 \rightarrow 00:21:02.450$ You had to go through every single provider,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00:21:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.290$ figure out what was retrievable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- $00{:}21{:}04{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}005$ what wasn't, so you know it's different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:21:06.010 --> 00:21:06.910 Labs are different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912209205625
- 00:21:06.910 --> 00:21:09.010 It's not the same kind of events.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335
- $00{:}21{:}09{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}284$ This new legislative transparency I see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:11.284 \rightarrow 00:21:13.828$ Vanita wrote in the chat that patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

00:21:13.828 --> 00:21:15.718 Do not get immediate notification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.025$ so that's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

00:21:17.025 --> 00:21:19.635 I wonder how that was circumvented,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

00:21:19.640 - 00:21:21.878 maybe maybe there's a slight delay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}880$ --> $00{:}21{:}24{.}162$ but so I think what's interesting about NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

00:21:24.162 --> 00:21:27.084 us is we do need special systems, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:27.084 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.280$ And they need to be able to have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}21{:}29{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31{.}277$ capacity to deal with this volume,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.058$ and we also, you know we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:33.058 \rightarrow 00:21:35.252$ talk to people like we're sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:35.252 \rightarrow 00:21:36.972$ pathologists aren't exactly known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:36.980 \rightarrow 00:21:40.216$ the most the best communicators, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

00:21:40.216 --> 00:21:42.942 Susie Densis in Seattle did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}21{:}42{.}942{\:}-{:}>00{:}21{:}44{.}820$ bunch of studies like focus groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:44.884 \rightarrow 00:21:46.420$ and things on disclosure.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335
- $00:21:46.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.425$ Of of of pathology error

 $00:21:48.425 \rightarrow 00:21:50.780$ and she put quotes in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:50.780 \rightarrow 00:21:52.244$ It was an archives paper some years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:52.244 \rightarrow 00:21:53.794$ and one of them said something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}21{:}53.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.189$ we became pathologist 'cause we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56{.}617$ want to talk to people or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:56.620 \rightarrow 00:21:58.804$ So that's kind of very telling about

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:21:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.802$ how motivated we'd be as pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}699$ to have difficult conversations if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:22:02.699 \rightarrow 00:22:04.498$ don't want to have like any conversations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00:22:04.500 \rightarrow 00:22:06.700$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859545335

 $00{:}22{:}06{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}524$ So I'm going to shift gears a little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

00:22:11.690 --> 00:22:13.823 Johnson Art says I'm pretty sure they do now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00{:}22{:}13.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.030$ but they didn't used to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00{:}22{:}15{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18{.}320$ Yeah, I think actually they do not

 $00:22:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.900$ since I've been in conversation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00{:}22{:}20{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}120$ both Alan Shao and Harlan Krumholz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00:22:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.588$ So when we went live we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00{:}22{:}26{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}560$ for a brief period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00:22:28.560 \rightarrow 00:22:31.808$ But as has been reported in the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

00:22:31.810 --> 00:22:33.478 the number of communications

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00:22:33.478 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.760$ to clinicians went up by 70%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

 $00{:}22{:}36.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.056$ and so the board made a decision to

NOTE Confidence: 0.902015661111111

00:22:39.056 --> 00:22:41.908 not send alerts to patients. Works

NOTE Confidence: 0.897747948

 $00:22:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.100$ are available in the chart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452

 $00:22:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.180$ but the patient are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452

00:22:46.180 --> 00:22:47.996 Patients are not alerted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452

 $00{:}22{:}47{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}102$ and so one of our discussions was

NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452

 $00:22:51.102 \rightarrow 00:22:54.010$ about the disparity of care that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452

 $00:22:54.010 \rightarrow 00:22:56.856$ decision by the system results in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452

 $00:22:56.856 \rightarrow 00:22:58.950$ because obviously educated patients

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892944452
- $00:22:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.525$ are eager and they jump to it

 $00:23:01.525 \rightarrow 00:23:03.240$ and they check their reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9085834

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05{.}110$ but those. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.883290342

 $00:23:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.760$ know, a lot of patients don't have access.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.800$ Super interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}08{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11{.}250$ and I think that's kind of where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}11{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12{.}720$ So you have to make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:12.720 --> 00:23:13.210 available immediately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}13{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14{.}708$ 'cause otherwise you're sort of subject line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.286$ so that was sort of the legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}17{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19{.}820$ sort of interpretive loophole,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.020$ so to speak that we use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}23{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24{.}420$ I think one is that what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:24.420 --> 00:23:25.680 talking about is like a push

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:25.731 --> 00:23:26.956 system versus a full system,

 $00:23:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.304$ so you did away with the push,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}486$ and you have the pull system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:29.490 \rightarrow 00:23:32.808$ which I think we actually did too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:32.810 \rightarrow 00:23:34.868$ at least for anatomic pathology results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}34.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.418$ For CP results we left it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.638$ And so this was a funny loophole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:37.640 --> 00:23:39.158 'cause it was a hematology result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:39.160 \rightarrow 00:23:41.200$ but I don't wanna get too far into the weeds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:41.200 --> 00:23:42.300 I think it's really interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:42.300 --> 00:23:43.400 and I think your disparity

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.280$ comment is really timely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}44{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46{.}116$ Tejal Gandhi has written about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:46.120 --> 00:23:47.320 If you're interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:23:47.320 --> 00:23:49.120 then in the New England Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}23{:}49{.}177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51{.}080$ and other places around how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:23:51.080 \rightarrow 00:23:52.755$ Curious Act can widen disparities.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:23:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.520$ And it is very interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}23{:}54{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}039$ But there's so much to talk about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:23:56.040 \rightarrow 00:23:57.678$ I'm going to move on a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}23{:}57.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.468$ just to show you everyone knows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:23:59.468 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.178$ the three phases of pathology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}24{:}01{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}132$ so I'm not going to harp on it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:24:03.132 \rightarrow 00:24:05.033$ except to say you know everything
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:24:05.033 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.723$ that we don't have access.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}24{:}06{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09{.}670$ We don't have control over its preanalytic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:24:09.670 --> 00:24:11.248 You know analytic is usually what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:24:11.248 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.598$ we control in the lab and then post
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}24{:}13.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.838$ is everything about communicating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}24{:}14.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.516$ and turn around time and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:24:16.516 --> 00:24:19.278 kind of thing and now cures act.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:24:19.278 \rightarrow 00:24:22.670$ What I think is really interesting is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:22.670 \rightarrow 00:24:24.968$ Myself and many others roughneck land.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}24{:}24{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27{.}214$ Others have reported that up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:27.214 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.762 90\%$ of errors in or safety events

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:29.762 \rightarrow 00:24:31.568$ in pathology are preanalytic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}619$ yet we spend all our time really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:33.619 \rightarrow 00:24:35.452$ focused on analytic error, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}904$ Thinking like don't miss the Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:36.910 \rightarrow 00:24:38.170$ Don't miss the signet ring cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.870$ which is really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.309$ but we don't get a lot of training

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41{.}359$ and we certainly don't spend a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}24{:}41{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}588$ lot of resources thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:42.588 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.428$ the preanalytic phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.800$ so I think that's really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:45.800 \rightarrow 00:24:46.790$ Why don't we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:24:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.770$ This was a patient safety event.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:24:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.368$ As a study we did by severity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}24{:}52{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}506$ Which showed that we really don't hurt that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:24:54.506 --> 00:24:56.550 many people like like I was saying before.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:24:56.550 --> 00:24:57.786 Most of it is just risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:24:57.790 --> 00:24:57.988 right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}24{:}57{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}770$ So if you don't have a lot of bandwidth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:24:59.770 --> 00:25:00.890 you're not going to investigate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:25:00.890 00:25:02.589 the no harm in near Miss events,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:25:02.590 --> 00:25:03.950 so I think that's partially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:25:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.665$ you know we have this untapped risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:25:05.665 \rightarrow 00:25:07.450$ at the bottom of what they call
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}25{:}07{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}950$ the Heinrich Pyramid and the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00{:}25{:}09{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}645$ I teach this to our residence is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- 00:25:10.650 --> 00:25:12.690 you know, let's say you're driving.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333
- $00:25:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.370$ The more you sort of speed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:25:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.490$ and do unsafe things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}25{:}15{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}310$ And like the more near Miss Accidents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}25{:}17{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}590$ you're going to have and the more risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:25:18.590 \rightarrow 00:25:19.729$ you're going to have to actually have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}25{:}19{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}566$ like a fatal or difficult accident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:25:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.460$ So what you wanna do is address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:25:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.020$ Your style of driving so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:25:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.654$ you you don't get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00{:}25{:}26.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.994$ top before you do anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

00:25:28.000 - 00:25:30.296 and so that kind of gets to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.857435306333333

 $00:25:30.296 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.860$ our culture is in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}25{:}31{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36{.}128$ right? I think patient safety alone is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:36.128 \rightarrow 00:25:40.076$ relatively new concept and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:40.080 \rightarrow 00:25:42.645$ we used to really focus on not being sued,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}25{:}42.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.365$ right? Risk management was a thing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:44.365 \rightarrow 00:25:46.326$ now the patient safety movement in general

 $00{:}25{:}46{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}096$ is more about actually just optimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}25{:}48.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.477$ things and thinking about patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:49.477 \rightarrow 00:25:51.750$ safety as opposed to just like is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:51.750 \rightarrow 00:25:53.832$ malpractice risk here, and if not like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:53.832 \rightarrow 00:25:56.184$ Too bad kind of thing and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:56.184 \dashrightarrow 00:25:58.417$ lab we always had like QA QC, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:25:58.417 \rightarrow 00:26:00.873$ Like is the fridge at the right temperature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.120$ you know is did we do 20 her two neu?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.109$ Did we you know the whole checklist for CAP

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:26:06.109 --> 00:26:08.156 or don't Commission or whatever you use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.149$ And I think one of the things we shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}10.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11.738$ towards is changing the language to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:26:11.738 --> 00:26:13.780 quality and safety to think about culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}13.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.148$ which is not something we've thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:15.148 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.270$ about often in the lab.

 $00:26:16.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.775$ And to think about continuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:17.775 \rightarrow 00:26:19.280$ improvement as opposed to compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:26:19.280 --> 00:26:20.576 Like I remember when I started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:20.580 \rightarrow 00:26:22.060$ we started these meetings where

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:22.060 \rightarrow 00:26:23.810$ they would show all the CAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.515$ Sort of goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:24.515 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.925$ Back in the day when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:25.925 \rightarrow 00:26:27.290$ had more mandated goals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:27.290 \rightarrow 00:26:28.802$ they've dropped a lot of those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:28.802 \rightarrow 00:26:30.584$ it would just say like 100% a 100%

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:30.584 \rightarrow 00:26:32.066$ compliance and then we were done

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:26:32.066 --> 00:26:34.007 with the meeting and I thought like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:34.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.258$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:26:34.258 --> 00:26:35.734 of course it's 100% 'cause otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}35{.}734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}670$ our lab would be shut down like that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}37.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.233$ an accreditation metric like we're

 $00:26:39.233 \rightarrow 00:26:41.012$ not learning anything here, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:41.012 \rightarrow 00:26:42.979$ So I think the difficulty with getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:42.979 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.669$ people to shift to like let's look

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:44.669 \rightarrow 00:26:46.532$ at the things we do poorly on, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:46.532 \rightarrow 00:26:48.468$ Like let's have all the boxes be red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.073$ So then we can make things better

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:50.073 \rightarrow 00:26:51.448$ like our goal is the delta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:51.450 \rightarrow 00:26:52.810$ Not like we're not going to just look

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}309$ at the things we're already good at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.000$ We're going to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:26:55.000 --> 00:26:55.813 Capacity for growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:26:55.813 \rightarrow 00:26:57.710$ and I think that's been a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}57.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.453$ tough in an environment where people

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}200$ were so focused on compliance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:27:01.200 --> 00:27:03.528 especially like in CP Blood Bank

00:27:03.528 --> 00:27:04.692 in AP psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}27{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.688$ those are the cultures that were like

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}27{:}06.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.314$ very compliance focused to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:27:08.314 \rightarrow 00:27:09.766$ we're actually gonna shine a light

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}27{:}09.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.919$ on the stuff that we feel vulnerable about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}27{:}11{.}920$ --> $00{:}27{:}14{.}090$ so that we can make it better that just took NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:27:14.140 --> 00:27:16.360 a lot of feeling of like it's going to be OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:27:16.360 \rightarrow 00:27:17.854$ and we're not going to be like written up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:27:17.860 --> 00:27:19.540 and it's actually that's our goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:27:19.540 --> 00:27:19.876 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}27{:}19.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.556$ And it it, it works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

00:27:21.560 --> 00:27:24.416 I think we're a little behind in part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:27:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.346$ you know, the rest of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:27:26.350 \rightarrow 00:27:28.478$ They never really used the word quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00{:}27{:}28.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.549$ like it wasn't in the verna cular

NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333

 $00:27:30.549 \rightarrow 00:27:32.112$ of like hospitalists, or actually,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:32.112 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.267$ hospitals weren't even a thing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- 00:27:33.270 --> 00:27:36.202 probably 10-15 years ago, so you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- 00:27:36.202 --> 00:27:38.890 just except for kind of like ID doctors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:38.890 \rightarrow 00:27:41.310$ it wasn't in the vernacular,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.320$ so the quality patient safety movement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.630$ started with kind of like Libby Zion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.388$ For those of you who paid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- 00:27:47.388 --> 00:27:49.438 attention to New York at that time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- 00:27:49.440 --> 00:27:49.970 Betsy Lehman,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.825$ for those of you who know that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00:27:51.825 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.619$ that was the The Globe reporter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.925819983333333
- $00{:}27{:}53.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.160$ who was who was killed at the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00{:}27{:}56{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}318$ At the Dana Farber because of an overdose of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- 00:28:00.318 --> 00:28:03.220 chemotherapy because of a medication error,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:28:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.425$ and ironically she was a medical globe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07{.}648$ reporter and there were a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}09{.}472$ other pretty high profile medical error

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11{.}928$ cases that led people to realize that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}11{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14{.}802$ like you know the there are other NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}14.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.702$ things besides the natural evolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}16.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.814$ of disease that kill patients right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.186$ And and one of those things is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:28:21.190 --> 00:28:24.121 mistakes and so you know, accepting

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}24{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26{.}167$ that and being transparent about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.520$ Became part of the general

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}930$ culture of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}604$ I think what was different about

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:31.604 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.800$ the labs is we use the word

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:28:33.800 --> 00:28:35.448 quality and safety forever, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:35.448 \rightarrow 00:28:37.464$ But for us it meant something different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}445$ It meant compliance and it

- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- 00:28:39.445 --> 00:28:41.025 meant like environmental safety,

 $00:28:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.322$ like the formal in levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:28:42.322 --> 00:28:42.968 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.266$ the eyewash station and this and that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:45.270 \rightarrow 00:28:47.587$ and so shifting that from thinking OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.885$ we need to do all those things

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:28:48.885 - 00:28:50.009 like to stay in business,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:50.010 \rightarrow 00:28:51.554$ but that's not what we're talking about when

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:28:51.554 --> 00:28:53.214 we talk about quality and safety, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:53.214 \rightarrow 00:28:55.038$ We're talking about the broader patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}106$ safety movement and how to apply it to labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}700$ And the broader quality culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:28:59.700 \rightarrow 00:29:02.466$ So one thing we struggle with at Harvard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:29:02.466 --> 00:29:05.690 I mean if you do at Yale also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}29{:}05{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06{.}710$ I'm not a football fan,

 $00{:}29{:}06{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}013$ but I do know that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:29:09.013 --> 00:29:10.610 deep rivalry between the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.106$ at least the tailgating is worth going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:13.106 \rightarrow 00:29:16.930$ alone is that we focus all of our energy on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.036$ sort of the few you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:29:19.040 --> 00:29:21.130 translational and basic science research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.568$ and that's where we put a ton of resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:29:24.570 --> 00:29:25.668 It's like sexy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}29{:}25.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.864$ it's you get tons of publications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:29:27.870 --> 00:29:29.298 It's what we're proud of, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.070$ But I think what's really a mazing to me is

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:32.133 \rightarrow 00:29:34.709$ behind the wall of all this fabulousness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}29{:}34{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35{.}820$ and these are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:29:35.820 --> 00:29:38.040 Publications from mass general faculty from

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:38.040 \rightarrow 00:29:40.644$ cell from Nature from all kinds of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:29:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.994$ the that issue that led to all these

- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:42.994 \rightarrow 00:29:45.110$ publications is still processed like this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:45.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.464$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- 00:29:45.464 --> 00:29:47.588 Like it literally comes in Tupper
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:47.588 \rightarrow 00:29:49.670$ Ware containers in plastic bags in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.987$ you know, labeled in with a label.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00{:}29{:}51{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53{.}290$ Nothing about the preanalytic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:53.290 \rightarrow 00:29:54.265$ phase has changed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.992$ nothing right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00{:}29{:}54{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57{.}158$ And so all the risk that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:29:57.158 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.829$ there is still there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- 00:29:58.830 --> 00:30:00.366 You know you can make it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- 00:30:00.366 --> 00:30:01.390 look pretty good afterwards,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:30:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.586$ but you know very little has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00{:}30{:}03{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}850$ changed in in surgical pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00{:}30{:}05{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}558$ So I think the overarching goal for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:07.558 \rightarrow 00:30:09.852$ us needs to be to change how we even

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:30:09.852 --> 00:30:11.270 think about quality and safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.466$ which originally traditionally was

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:12.466 \rightarrow 00:30:14.260$ like we're gonna just be compliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:30:14.306 --> 00:30:15.386 like we're gonna get cap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}30{:}15{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16{.}968$ That's gonna give us the seal

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:30:16.968 --> 00:30:18.530 of approval to go forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:18.530 \rightarrow 00:30:20.138$ We're going to like take care of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}30{:}20{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20{.}920$ And then we're going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:20.920 \rightarrow 00:30:22.828$ Yeah, maybe we'll like manage operations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.412$ will firefight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:23.412 \rightarrow 00:30:25.449$ And then if we have any energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:25.449 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.430$ left whatsoever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:30:26.430 --> 00:30:28.670 we'll do quality improvement, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00{:}30{:}28.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30{.}857$ And what I would say is it needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:30:30.857 - > 00:30:32.669 change like the base needs to be.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625
- $00:30:32.670 \rightarrow 00:30:35.166$ The culture, like people need to feel safe.

 $00{:}30{:}35{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}717$ They need to feel like they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:36.717 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.159$ bring forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

00:30:37.160 --> 00:30:38.900 Those risks and that somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.901461625

 $00:30:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.292$ will be there to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

00:30:40.300 --> 00:30:40.990 you, know, listen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00:30:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.060$ they need to be involved in the solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00:30:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.020$ If they call it events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00{:}30{:}44.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.460$ you actually have to follow up with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

00:30:46.460 --> 00:30:48.294 Tools need to kind of be disseminated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

00:30:48.300 --> 00:30:49.872 like root, cause analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00:30:49.872 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.036$ safety events, eminems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00{:}30{:}51{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}540$ whatever you wanna do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00{:}30{:}52{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54{.}340$ Those tools need to sort of be pushed

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00{:}30{:}54{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56{.}503$ out to the front line and they need

00:30:56.503 - 00:30:58.230 to feel comfortable using them or or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

00:30:58.230 --> 00:31:00.090 you know, get some assistance or

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

00:31:00.090 --> 00:31:01.020 standardized because obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00:31:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.699$ no one resource to create a

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00{:}31{:}02.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.014$ division to assist every single

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00{:}31{:}04.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.478$ person with every single risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878850513636364

 $00:31:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.910$ And I don't want you to think we are either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.463$ I think the other thing about labs

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00{:}31{:}11{.}463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13{.}530$ that's kind of overwhelming is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:31:13.530 --> 00:31:15.319 you know, when I say, oh, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:15.319 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.784$ don't worry about the compliance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:16.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.700$ That should just be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.780$ small part of what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:31:18.780 --> 00:31:20.223 Well, easy for me to say, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:31:20.223 --> 00:31:21.315 There's like 400 compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:21.315 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.951$ agencies that you need to keep

- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:22.951 \rightarrow 00:31:24.463$ up with just to stay in business.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:31:24.470 --> 00:31:27.629 Now I know AP and CPR separate at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:31:27.630 --> 00:31:30.030 but you know, even if you just count
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:30.030 \dashrightarrow 00:31:32.150$ cap and Joint Commission which you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.654$ which you have to do, it's still.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:31:34.654 --> 00:31:36.907 It's still like 400 checklist items, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:31:36.907 > 00:31:38.286 So I don't want to minimize that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:31:38.290 --> 00:31:41.350 I know it's hard to to keep up with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:41.350 \rightarrow 00:31:42.500$ The other thing that's different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:31:42.500 --> 00:31:43.544 I think, is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:43.544 \rightarrow 00:31:45.980$ People at the hospital level think of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:46.052 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.420$ us as like the smaller labs in AP.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:48.420 \rightarrow 00:31:50.636$ You know, they think it's like lower volume.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00{:}31{:}50{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}116$ It's like a bunch of people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:31:52.116 \rightarrow 00:31:53.620$ and sometimes in the basement,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:53.620 \rightarrow 00:31:55.004$ sometimes in that window.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:55.004 \rightarrow 00:31:57.080$ What are they doing down there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:31:57.080 --> 00:31:58.420 But what's interesting about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:31:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.636$ When you look at at risk the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:32:01.636 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.240$ proportion of error or of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:32:04.240 \rightarrow 00:32:06.808$ in anatomic pathology is way way

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:32:06.897 - 00:32:08.817 way higher than in CP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:32:08.820 --> 00:32:12.043 And that's not because we're stupid, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:32:12.043 \rightarrow 00:32:15.424$ It's because everything we do is manual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:32:15.430 --> 00:32:17.210 Right, and so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00{:}32{:}17{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19{.}145$ if you put a thing in a machine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:32:19.145 \rightarrow 00:32:21.224$ it sort of gets gives you a result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:32:21.230 --> 00:32:23.606 it's gonna fail at a very reliable rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:32:23.610 \rightarrow 00:32:23.962$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

00:32:23.962 --> 00:32:24.666 But humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667

 $00:32:24.666 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.426$ you know it's a combination

- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:32:26.426 --> 00:32:28.190 of patient related factors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.874$ tissue diagnostics and everything.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:29.874 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.137$ We just delete.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:32:31.140 --> 00:32:33.524 Our job is hard and I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00{:}32{:}33{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}450$ people need to accept that so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.410$ I'm going to shift gears in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.342$ second half of my talk and speak
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00{:}32{:}39{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41{.}379$ a little bit about some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00{:}32{:}41{.}379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43{.}039$ tools we've used around culture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- 00:32:43.040 --> 00:32:44.762 If that's OK and high reliability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:44.762 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.267$ is something that the Trump
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00{:}32{:}46{.}267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}777$ Commission and others have written
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:47.777 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.360$ about in terms of there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00{:}32{:}49{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}537$ are there are principles of high reliability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.947857494166667
- $00:32:51.537 \rightarrow 00:32:53.529$ which and here are the principles?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

- $00:32:56.800 \rightarrow 00:32:57.480$ So interestingly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}32{:}57{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00{.}200$ the first one is the toughest for labs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:00.200 \rightarrow 00:33:02.172$ The preoccupation with failure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:02.172 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.460$ You know. People think of us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.037$ as the gold standard, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:06.037 \dashrightarrow 00:33:08.253$ They think of us as like the result,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}33{:}08{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.612$ the answer and for us to focus instead
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:33:10.612 00:33:13.180 on what we do poorly is really tough
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}33{:}13.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.679$ culturally and from a moral perspective,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:15.680 \rightarrow 00:33:18.879$ and certainly again in this after COVID.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:33:18.880 --> 00:33:20.860 You know, the labs were just like you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:20.860 \dashrightarrow 00:33:23.104$ murdered during COVID from a you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:33:23.104 --> 00:33:24.998 know everyone was between being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:24.998 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.984$ sick and out the lab shortage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:33:26.990 --> 00:33:28.310 And just like you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:28.310 \rightarrow 00:33:29.654$ the actual testing platforms,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:33:29.654 \rightarrow 00:33:31.875$ it's been a terrible people say, oh,

 $00{:}33{:}31.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.515$ it's great people know what we do now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.056$ which is like a nice like

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:33:35.056 --> 00:33:36.589 it's a silver lining I guess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:33:36.590 --> 00:33:38.230 but everyone is exhausted, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}33{:}38{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40{.}710$ People are exhausted and in AP we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.838$ major financial hits because of shutdown

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:42.838 \rightarrow 00:33:45.370$ of elective surgeries and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.858$ So it's been tough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:33:48.860 --> 00:33:50.540 I think you know thinking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:50.540 \rightarrow 00:33:52.309$ bandwidth to make things better is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:52.309 \rightarrow 00:33:54.220$ hard when you're just trying to survive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.602$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}33{:}54.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56.420$ So I just wanna put in a note about

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:33:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.065$ that and just make sure that you

 $00:33:58.065 \rightarrow 00:34:00.164$ take that with a grain of salt while

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:00.164 \rightarrow 00:34:01.720$ we're discussing this or reluctance

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.260$ to simplify interpretations is

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.150$ really hard when you're busy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:34:05.150 --> 00:34:06.780 So for you to say, oh, I know what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.527$ It got switched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:34:07.527 --> 00:34:09.270 The microtone like Susie Q was like

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.895$ talking and it's she takes all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}34{:}10.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.282$ stuff at the same time. And that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:13.282 \rightarrow 00:34:15.214$ So that's not curious, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:15.214 \rightarrow 00:34:16.984$ That's like you just wanting

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:16.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.889$ to be done with it so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:18.890 \rightarrow 00:34:21.458$ I think figuring out usually there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:34:21.458 --> 00:34:23.907 of different reasons why something happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:23.910 \rightarrow 00:34:25.222$ and understanding the complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:34:25.222 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.190$ of the reasons helps you be

- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:27.249 \rightarrow 00:34:29.109$ successful in solving the problem.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:34:29.110 --> 00:34:30.865 Resilience is like your capacity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:30.865 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.289$ to fail and then get up again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:33.290 \dashrightarrow 00:34:37.866$ So that is to me that's a more valuable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}34{:}37.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.752$ and more honorable and more successful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:40.752 \rightarrow 00:34:43.548$ trait than existing excellence, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:43.548 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.338$ Which is really tough for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:45.338 \rightarrow 00:34:46.770$ an organization like mass,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:34:46.770 --> 00:34:48.710 general or Harvard Medical School.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:34:48.710 --> 00:34:49.168 To say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}34{:}49{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49{.}397$ yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}34{:}49{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50{.}771$ we actually fall down and we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:34:50.771 00:34:52.186 going to share with you how we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}34{:}52{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53{.}852$ fall down and how we get better as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:34:53.852 \rightarrow 00:34:55.148$ opposed to like we're the best.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57{.}229$ You know people who are the best are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:34:57.229 --> 00:34:59.390 going to tell you how they messed up, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:34:59.390 - 00:35:00.670 But everyone messes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}35{:}00{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02{.}050$ And so if we can do it and we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:35:02.097 - 00:35:03.864 share what we learned, isn't that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:35:03.864 \rightarrow 00:35:05.130$ Isn't that valuable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:35:05.130 --> 00:35:05.654 You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:35:05.654 \rightarrow 00:35:07.226$ And the other thing that's useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:35:07.230 --> 00:35:08.808 I think the deference to expertise

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:35:08.808 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.612$ has to do with deferring to

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}35{:}10.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.910$ the frontline order meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:35:11.910 \rightarrow 00:35:14.310$ so I'll give you an example we had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00{:}35{:}14{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17{.}109$ We had a case where a patient died because

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:35:17.109 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.750$ they didn't get blood products in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

00:35:19.750 --> 00:35:23.050 The pneumatic tube system failed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008

 $00:35:23.050 \rightarrow 00:35:23.998$ and so you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:35:23.998 \rightarrow 00:35:25.420$ we went through many different iterations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}35{:}25{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27{.}214$ where we couldn't figure out why it failed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:35:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.984$ We sent the blood,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:35:27.984 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.748$ they never received it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00{:}35{:}28.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29.610$ We sent it again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:35:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.470$ They never received it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- $00:35:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.186$ so we ended up having the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.908518008
- 00:35:32.186 --> 00:35:33.330 buildings and grounds guys
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- $00:35:33.393 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.329$ come through M&M.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- $00:35:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.430$ Turns out that one wing of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- 00:35:36.430 > 00:35:38.020 hospital where this patient was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- 00:35:38.020 -> 00:35:39.910 was built at a different time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- $00:35:39.910 \dashrightarrow 00:35:41.730$ and the pneumatic tube system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- 00:35:41.730 --> 00:35:43.550 was like 3 millimeter smaller
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769
- $00:35:43.617 \rightarrow 00:35:45.465$ than the rest of the hospital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.416$ and the lab techs were sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:47.416 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.635$ overstuffing the pneumatic tube

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00{:}35{:}48.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.270$ containers because the patient was

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:50.270 \rightarrow 00:35:51.884$ crashing and needed massive amounts

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:51.884 \rightarrow 00:35:53.378$ of blood and they were getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00{:}35{:}53{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54{.}859$ stuck and we actually had them

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:54.859 \rightarrow 00:35:56.560$ present like a map of the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

00:35:56.610 - > 00:35:58.080 pneumatic tubes of the hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:58.080 \rightarrow 00:35:59.895$ and they're different diameters which

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:35:59.895 \rightarrow 00:36:01.710$ like talk about different expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00{:}36{:}01{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03{.}229$ There's no way anyone in the pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00{:}36{:}03.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.498$ department would ever know that, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06{.}024$ The the The Blood bank texts were

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:06.024 \rightarrow 00:36:07.181$ blaming the nurses the nurses

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:07.181 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.519$ were going to the blood bank

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:08.519 \dashrightarrow 00:36:09.993$ text and it turns out there was

 $00:36:09.993 \dashrightarrow 00:36:11.202$ like a total system explanation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:11.202 \rightarrow 00:36:13.614$ So that was really interesting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00{:}36{:}13.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.609$ us and sort of reaching out to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:15.609 \rightarrow 00:36:17.137$ buildings and grounds guys who normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:17.137 \rightarrow 00:36:18.733$ don't get involved in anything clinical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.048$ Certainly not an Eminem

NOTE Confidence: 0.952282659230769

 $00:36:20.048 \rightarrow 00:36:21.029$ was really interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.575$ So I was thinking about telling you

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}25{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}273$ about a couple of things we've done to

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}28.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.694$ try and address some of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}611$ One of them is create and implement

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}31{.}611$ --> $00{:}36{:}32{.}798$ pathology, Eminem Realms and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:32.798 \dashrightarrow 00:36:34.590$ other is a new thing we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}505$ called diagnostic error or diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:36.505 \dashrightarrow 00:36:37.654$ learning opportunity grounds,

 $00:36:37.660 \rightarrow 00:36:41.755$ and I hope we'll have time for another day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:36:41.760 --> 00:36:44.266 I can and I think I spoke with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:44.266 \rightarrow 00:36:46.746$ some team members this morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:36:46.750 --> 00:36:48.766 including Andrea and Carla to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:48.766 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.322$ about some of our performance dashboards

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}51{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53{.}606$ and patient safety dashboards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:53.610 \dashrightarrow 00:36:55.710$ So and Chi in process redesign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:55.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.162$ But today we're going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}36{:}57{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58{.}962$ more about M&M rounds and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:36:58.962 \rightarrow 00:37:00.274$ other rounds we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}467$ So if you think back on our cases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:02.467 --> 00:37:04.659 I showed you one was preanalytic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:04.660 -> 00:37:06.585 one was post analytic and one was

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:06.585 \rightarrow 00:37:08.669$ this like it legislative problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.140$ So you can tell I made a real effort not

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:11.205 \rightarrow 00:37:13.424$ to focus on something that was like,

 $00:37:13.430 \rightarrow 00:37:15.166$ oh look at this Melanoma that was missed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:15.170 \rightarrow 00:37:15.412$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:15.412 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.380$ 'cause that's something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.590$ you get a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}17.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.650$ So I really think we have to extend beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:20.650 \rightarrow 00:37:23.125$ worrying about what we're taught to worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:23.125 \rightarrow 00:37:25.579$ about and and develop some new tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:25.580 \rightarrow 00:37:27.536$ So we developed these Eminem rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}27{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29{.}540$ It was partially in response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.610$ We were already doing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}878$ but we integrated residents because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:32.878 \dashrightarrow 00:37:36.312$ new AC GME guidelines and pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:36.312 --> 00:37:37.840 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}457$ we love to go to other people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}39{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}976$ M&M's and like shame them.

00:37:40.976 --> 00:37:42.710 You know, like this is the answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00{:}37{:}42.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.565$ this is what you missed but we

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:44.565 --> 00:37:46.340 frequently don't have our own EMS right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:46.340 --> 00:37:46.788 do you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

 $00:37:46.788 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.356$ I should say I should pause and

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:48.356 --> 00:37:50.161 if I were there in person I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.946784939285714

00:37:50.161 --> 00:37:52.048 ask if you have your own eminems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

 $00:37:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.160$ We currently do not have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

00:37:55.160 --> 00:37:57.547 Departmental Eminem it's in the works of

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

 $00:37:57.547 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.080$ being developed and and we're you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

 $00:38:00.080 \rightarrow 00:38:02.173$ we're tasked by the hospital to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

 $00{:}38{:}02{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04{.}130$ such a program in our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

00:38:04.130 --> 00:38:06.006 Awesome, and I really looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.902550272

 $00{:}38{:}06{.}006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07{.}860$ forward to the next few slides. Oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.010$ well, this is a very very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:09.010 \rightarrow 00:38:13.350$ This is like headlines only so I'm happy to.

00:38:13.350 --> 00:38:14.488 I think I was telling you earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.470$ We have like an 8 to 10 year experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17{.}904$ That's about to come out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:17.904 \rightarrow 00:38:19.425$ we're going to publish all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00{:}38{:}19{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20{.}973$ templates and tools so people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:20.973 \rightarrow 00:38:22.380$ just download them and use them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.140$ I see Marcelo there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

00:38:23.140 - 00:38:24.670 I don't want to call out Marcelo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00{:}38{:}24.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.290$ but Marcelo participated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00{:}38{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}27.910$ more than one M&M.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

 $00:38:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.160$ He did a great job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858474948333333

00:38:29.160 --> 00:38:29.640 He's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.674853791176471

 $00:38:29.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.408$ now that I know that I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.674853791176471

 $00{:}38{:}31{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33{.}133$ to have to go after Marcelo

NOTE Confidence: 0.674853791176471

 $00{:}38{:}33{.}133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}740$ to get his experience. He's

 $00:38:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.330$ like I'm done with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

00:38:37.330 --> 00:38:39.610 but I don't know where. I'm happy to help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

00:38:39.610 --> 00:38:42.010 Yeah, I mean you were there when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:42.010 \rightarrow 00:38:44.359$ when we did that for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:44.360 \dashrightarrow 00:38:46.216$ And it it was a total game changer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

00:38:46.220 --> 00:38:48.040 I mean, I don't want to overstate

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:48.040 \rightarrow 00:38:49.719$ people were really nervous about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.036$ like they didn't want to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53{.}119$ and we invited like the we invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:53.119 \rightarrow 00:38:54.464$ everyone like the secretaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:54.464 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.419$ were there or the assistants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:56.420 \rightarrow 00:38:58.644$ the transcriptionist, the residents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:38:58.644 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.696$ the technicians, the chairman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

00:39:00.696 --> 00:39:02.632 And it. It, it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

00:39:02.632 --> 00:39:04.124 Really, I don't know. I didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566

 $00:39:04.124 \dashrightarrow 00:39:05.608$ I don't think I realized how unique

- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- $00{:}39{:}05{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}227$ it was at the time until I started
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- $00{:}39{:}07{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08{.}880$ working in a different organization,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- $00{:}39{:}08{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10{.}357$ and I realized that it's just not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- $00:39:10.357 \rightarrow 00:39:11.532$ the culture, right? I don't know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- 00:39:11.532 --> 00:39:12.272 Marcello, if you have any,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- $00:39:12.272 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.100$ I'm sorry to call on you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- 00:39:13.100 --> 00:39:14.090 But if you had any.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918811566
- $00{:}39{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}14.999$ Memories of it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- 00:39:15.620 --> 00:39:17.245 Actually I I would point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- $00:39:17.245 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.150$ out that it it was it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- $00:39:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.830$ It was great 'cause it didn't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- 00:39:20.830 --> 00:39:23.100 It didn't feel putative and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- $00{:}39{:}23.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.926$ it didn't feel like you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- $00{:}39{:}25{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27{.}230$ You know people were looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075
- $00:39:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.694$ to assign blame, blame.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075

 $00:39:28.694 \rightarrow 00:39:32.270$ It was really a clear exploration

NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075

 $00{:}39{:}32{.}270$ --> $00{:}39{:}34{.}525$ of system related factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910527075

00:39:34.525 --> 00:39:36.400 I I as a resident,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459049766666667

 $00:39:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.348$ I found it to be really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459049766666667

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}958$ A good a great learning experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459049766666667

 $00{:}39{:}39{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.470$ and like a confidence builder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459049766666667

 $00:39:41.470 \rightarrow 00:39:43.258$ Honestly I thought it was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:39:44.450 --> 00:39:46.130 OK, not a planted comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:39:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.045$ Thank you, Sir.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:39:47.045 \rightarrow 00:39:49.462$ Thank you so much. I think it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}39{:}49{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51{.}696$ We tried very very hard to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:39{:}51.696$ --> $00:39{:}54.279$ curiosity be our focus and to select NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:39:54.279 \rightarrow 00:39:56.771$ cases that had the biggest capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:39:56.771 \rightarrow 00:39:59.285$ for systems learning and to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}39{:}59{.}290$ --> $00{:}40{:}01{.}434$ open things up like to take away the NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:01.434 \rightarrow 00:40:03.380$ safety net review behind closed doors

 $00:40:03.380 \rightarrow 00:40:06.029$ and among leadership and make it more open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}06{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07{.}758$ And we found that also increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:07.758 \rightarrow 00:40:09.335$ reporting events 'cause people felt like

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11{.}479$ oh this is this would be a good Eminem right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:11.480 \rightarrow 00:40:14.800$ So we openly and honestly looked at cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}14.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.809$ Figured out what happened and tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:16.809 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.115$ fix them and promoted open discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:19.115 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.495$ and transparent culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}20.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.188$ We had a model where how we identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:23.188 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.820$ cases very standardized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}24.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.366$ We did RCA's and as I said we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}28.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.898$ a whole conference guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}29{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}460$ We had like a slide deck template which

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:40:32.460 --> 00:40:34.380 we're going to get out there and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}34{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36{.}376$ be happy to share two and then we just

00:40:36.376 --> 00:40:38.346 did it the same way every time so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}38{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{.}052$ never felt sort of like a witch hunt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:40.052 \rightarrow 00:40:41.960$ It always felt like this is what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.756$ This is our system and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:40:44.756 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.154$ became totally normalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.900$ And we shifted things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:40:46.900 --> 00:40:48.010 I know in pathology we never

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:40:48.056 --> 00:40:49.040 had our traditional Eminem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:40:49.040 --> 00:40:51.727 but for those of you who who did

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}51.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.022$ grow up in clinical medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}53.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.698$ and in pathology in Canada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}54.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.702$ you have to do a surgical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}56.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.834$ medical residency internship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}40{:}57{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00{.}788$ So I remember like standing at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}00.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.435$ front of those amphitheatres and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:03.435 \rightarrow 00:41:05.575$ feeling like completely grilled,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931
- $00:41:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.970$ right like it wasn't a friendly

 $00:41:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.895$ place and we really only looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}10.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11.979$ at harmful events in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:41:11.980 --> 00:41:12.643 In our case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:12.643 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.969$ we really were trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:13.969 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.578$ at near misses or close calls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.740$ With the capacity for learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.120$ I've been talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.424$ In the traditional Eminem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:20.424 \rightarrow 00:41:22.380$ the case information is presented by

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:22.432 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.133$ a person for the new pathology ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:24.133 \rightarrow 00:41:26.520$ it was like gathered from all stakeholders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.406$ More systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}27{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28{.}292$ You'll see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}28{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30{.}950$ It's really just from like person

 $00:41:31.029 \rightarrow 00:41:33.285$ to systems and the top down punitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:33.285 \rightarrow 00:41:35.720$ goes from the bottom up curious right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:41:35.720 --> 00:41:36.800 Like where you have frontline

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:41:36.800 --> 00:41:37.880 people who are like yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.360$ we don't understand what happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.200$ or here's how we normally do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}41{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42{.}512$ That kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:42.512 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.152$ And so again shifting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}44{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45{.}600$ culture from frustration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:41:45.600 - 00:41:47.990 anxiety to learning the denied

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}47.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.902$ offensive to transparent just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.342$ Culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}50{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}502$ which is a balance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:52.502 \rightarrow 00:41:54.182$ accountability and systems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}41{:}54{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}666$ then the top down like go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:41:56.670 \rightarrow 00:41:58.272$ Figure out what happened and get

 $00{:}41{:}58{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00{.}431$ back to us to you know engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02{.}483$ and ownership from the bottom up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.110$ These are real fish bones from

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:04.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.719$ real eminems we showed we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:05.719 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.129$ a breast you're going to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:07.129 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.519$ we never got a case right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}580$ Ever this was a breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:42:10.580 --> 00:42:12.228 biopsy diagnosis mix up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.846$ I think we did catch it though so

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:13.846 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.838$ was a mere mess and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:15.838 \rightarrow 00:42:18.307$ this was a very like our most senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:42:18.307 -> 00:42:20.307 breast pathologist at the bye.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

00:42:20.310 --> 00:42:22.290 Who had probably done millions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00{:}42{:}22{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.846$ cases over his career and look how

NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931

 $00:42:24.846 \rightarrow 00:42:26.906$ many contributing factors there were.

- 00:42:26.910 --> 00:42:27.414 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82124931
- $00{:}42{:}27{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28{.}926$ it just goes to show how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- 00:42:28.930 --> 00:42:31.958 complicated things are, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.906$ And we tried to make the ones
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:33.906 \rightarrow 00:42:35.703$ bigger that were, you know, more.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- 00:42:35.703 --> 00:42:37.308 And everyone knows don't put
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:37.308 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.917$ different flags on the same tray,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.688$ but I don't know about you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- 00:42:39.690 --> 00:42:41.190 But our Histology lab is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.690$ always running out of trays.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.207$ We had another case really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:45.207 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.216$ unfortunate and all explain it to you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.859$ We had looking at the time we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:49.859 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.635$ have time we had a case where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00{:}42{:}52.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.220$ there was a patient who went.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- $00:42:55.220 \rightarrow 00:42:56.316$ It was a frozen,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- 00:42:56.316 --> 00:42:57.792 busy frozen day, lung cancer,

 $00{:}42{:}57.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.748$ lung a denocarcinoma and there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:42:59.748 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.375$ junior resident learning how to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:01.375 \rightarrow 00:43:03.370$ frozen so they were in the frozen lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}03{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05{.}344$ Just cutting all kinds of Frozen's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:05.344 \rightarrow 00:43:07.696$ to get it right onto blank slides and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:07.696 \rightarrow 00:43:09.569$ putting them on top of the cryostat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.950$ Then they stained one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:10.950 \rightarrow 00:43:13.399$ finish the frozen whatever and they got

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}13.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.935$ called away to the OR for another emergency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}15{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18{.}061$ So there was a neuro patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}18.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.870$ our neuro workflow with separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.378$ We had different neuropathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}21.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.075$ covered their own cases who was called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

00:43:24.075 --> 00:43:26.050 rule out metastatic lung cancer.

 $00:43:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.370$ So already you can see what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.250$ going to happen right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}28{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}30{.}614$ So another Rep trainee gets called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:30.614 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.564$ in starts picking up what they think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:32.564 \rightarrow 00:43:34.806$ are blank slides off the top of the cryostat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}235$ But of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

00:43:36.235 --> 00:43:38.135 They're unstained lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:38.135 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.280$ slides and cuts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}39{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41{.}625$ Little pieces of brain onto them stains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}41{.}630$ --> $00{:}43{:}43{.}359$ It looks under the microscope and says NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

00:43:43.359 --> 00:43:44.780 it's metastatic lung adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.796$ and they do a pretty big resection of NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}46.796$ --> $00{:}43{:}49.834$ the patient's brain and they find out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}49{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51{.}391$ that actually it's not in the block

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}057$ and it was reactive gliosis and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:53.057 \rightarrow 00:43:54.630$ tissue was from the previous patient

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357
- 00:43:54.630 00:43:56.196 and it was a different resident,

00:43:56.200 --> 00:43:56.904 different attending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

00:43:56.904 --> 00:43:57.608 different patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:43:57.608 \rightarrow 00:44:00.033$ same day and just 'cause they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:44:00.033 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.839$ label the slide right and look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}44{:}01{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}471$ There were so many issues that led

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:44:03.471 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.882$ to that this is a real real story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:44:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.700$ All true stories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}500$ So we actually got the the Eminem

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731357

 $00:44:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.290$ conference at crowded at VHS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}15{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17{.}148$ And we awarded risk management credits

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}17{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19{.}782$ which improved attendance in the days when

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}19.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}22.536$ people could come in person and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}22.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.757$ thought carefully about how we moderated it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:26.760 \rightarrow 00:44:28.056$ Encouragement of frontline workers

 $00:44:28.056 \rightarrow 00:44:30.000$ and trainees and the other thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

00:44:30.055 - 00:44:31.882 we did once we were comfortable is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:31.882 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.060$ we started inviting guests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:33.060 \rightarrow 00:44:36.231$ So let's say we had a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}36{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37{.}590$ that like affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.109$ You know the OB guy in team?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}39{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41{.}206$ We would have the OB guide people come

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:41.206 \rightarrow 00:44:43.405$ and that really at the beginning people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}43{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45{.}810$ stress people out like they did not want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.160$ It was like inviting guests to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}47.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.646$ the family dinner table and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}48.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.730$ talking about family problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

00:44:49.730 --> 00:44:50.570 You know, like they didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.124$ they didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:51.124 \rightarrow 00:44:53.063$ They like can we just talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:53.063 \rightarrow 00:44:54.849$ it and then tell them later?

00:44:54.850 --> 00:44:56.635 And they were worried like they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}44{:}56.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58.335$ worried that our treating clinicians would

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:44:58.335 \rightarrow 00:45:00.730$ think like we don't know what we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:00.730 \rightarrow 00:45:02.690$ I would say from our surveys and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}02.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.194$ from feedback from those people

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}04.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.006$ over like a decade long period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.510$ It did just the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.358$ like they were actually able to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}09{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11{.}308$ us bake into our proposed solutions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:11.308 \rightarrow 00:45:13.770$ poke holes in some of our pro solutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

00:45:13.770 --> 00:45:14.078 Like, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:14.078 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.450$ if you do that then when we order it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}15{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16{.}740$ we're not gonna be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.882$ see this or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}17.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.850$ and they were also able to just have

 $00:45:19.907 \rightarrow 00:45:21.605$ empathy for how complicated our work

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}21.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.707$ is and feel like they were a team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.285$ So it really changed things

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:25.285 \rightarrow 00:45:26.545$ for us having folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}26{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}197$ Fair, so we created this guide which we sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:31.197 \rightarrow 00:45:33.560$ of tweaked every time we had an Eminem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.050$ Which I'm happy to share,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}230$ and that's kind of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.772$ That's a very like 40,000 foot

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}37{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}949$ view of like a 10 year experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}42{.}317$ So there was a lot of work that went

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:42.317 \rightarrow 00:45:44.958$ into it and it was really transformative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.520$ So since I got to MGH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.551$ I'll shift for my final little story

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:49.551 \rightarrow 00:45:52.329$ about what we've done differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:45:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.274$ To be quite honest,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- 00:45:53.274 --> 00:45:54.690 I didn't feel like MGH was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- 00:45:54.751 --> 00:45:56.089 ready for an M&M just yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- 00:45:56.090 --> 00:45:56.521 Culturally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00{:}45{:}56{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}618$ that takes kind of a big feeling of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00{:}45{:}59.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.888$ psychological safety to get every one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00{:}46{:}00{.}888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}987$ in a room and start talking about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00:46:02.990 \rightarrow 00:46:05.708$ So we started doing something different,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00{:}46{:}05{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}894$ which is we called the diagnostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- 00:46:07.894 --> 00:46:08.986 Learning Opportunity Conference.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00:46:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.090$ And what we did was we took
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- 00:46:11.090 --> 00:46:11.990 very senior people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- 00:46:11.990 --> 00:46:13.605 full professors who were very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00:46:13.605 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.220$ well regarded in their fields
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00{:}46{:}15.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.029$ and we went to them and we said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398
- $00{:}46{:}17.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.966$ tell us about a time you missed a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:18.966 \rightarrow 00:46:20.390$ diagnosis and the interesting thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:20.390 \rightarrow 00:46:22.448$ was these are like people in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.930$ 60s seventies, sometimes greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.350$ Who literally were like in 1992.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.200$ I had this case, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}30{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31{.}910$ like it was like 2 seconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}31{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34{.}178$ They could remember exactly the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:34.178 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.300$ right and everything that happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}756$ Which is kind of a mazing and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}37.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.044$ goes to how powerfully emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

00:46:39.044 --> 00:46:40.874 like you know how you remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:40.874 \rightarrow 00:46:42.409$ things when they're traumatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}42{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45{.}122$ So we would have people come and talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}745$ about their mistakes and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00:46:47.745 \rightarrow 00:46:50.090$ partially to strengthen safety culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91096398

 $00{:}46{:}50{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52{.}458$ but also to teach trainees it was made.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:46:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.120$ It's for trainees about what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:46:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.300$ to do when this happens to you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:46:56.300 \rightarrow 00:46:57.875$ 'cause it's inevitably gonna happen to you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:46:57.880 --> 00:46:59.889 Maybe not in such a dramatic way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667
- 00:46:59.890 --> 00:47:01.138 but like you're gonna miss something.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:47:01.140 --> 00:47:02.030 How do you document it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:47:02.030 --> 00:47:03.776 How you disclose it? You know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:47:03.780 --> 00:47:05.316 How do you do in RCA?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}47{:}05{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}064$ And we built it on this New England
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}47{:}07{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08{.}378$ Journal article that came from Joe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}47{:}08{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}867$ Shapiro where those of you who know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}47{:}09{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}559$ did a lot of work on the second victim.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}47{:}11.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.092$ She was a Brigham surgeon who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}47{:}14.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.434$ basically invented peer support.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:47:16.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.348$ Which is dumb.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:47:18.350 --> 00:47:20.786 You know, I think clinicians do where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00:47:20.786 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.780$ they help each other after they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}47{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.400$ been involved in a harmful medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:47:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.052$ error and support one another and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:47:26.052 \rightarrow 00:47:27.642$ they call that the second victim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}47{:}27.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.762$ So this is the sort of circle app

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}47{:}29.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.584$ framework from that New England Journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:47:31.584 \rightarrow 00:47:33.432$ that basically is a very fancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:47:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.156$ sophisticated way of saying like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00{:}47{:}35{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36{.}846$ should talk about things after they

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}47{:}36.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.334$ go wrong and support one another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00:47:38.334 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.008$ like it's that's their whole like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00{:}47{:}40.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.618$ I wish I could write a New England

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00{:}47{:}41.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.329$ Journal paper that had that obvious tagline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:47:43.330 - 00:47:44.830 Anyone who's ever been in the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:47:44.830 - 00:47:46.422 knows that's like obvious, but anyway,

 $00:47:46.422 \rightarrow 00:47:48.198$ they they created this whole framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:47:48.200 --> 00:47:50.258 It was great around speaking up teamwork,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}47{:}50{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51{.}886$ making things better and supporting each

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:47:51.886 \rightarrow 00:47:53.860$ other, and also empowering people right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00:47:53.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.702$ So letting people say this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}660$ a disaster and I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:47:57.660 - 00:47:59.315 be empowered to make change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:47:59.320 \rightarrow 00:48:02.416$ and that happened during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00{:}48{:}02{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04{.}170$ I think the interesting thing coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:48:04.170 --> 00:48:06.567 to MGH for me as a Canadian who like didn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00{:}48{:}06{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08{.}018$ I did a fellowship there for a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

00:48:08.020 --> 00:48:09.876 but I didn't grow up there is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}48{:}09{.}876$ --> $00{:}48{:}11{.}637$ was looking back and there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}48{:}11.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.368$ case records for those of you who

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}48{:}13.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.200$ read the CPC's and then we England

 $00:48:15.200 \rightarrow 00:48:16.968$ Journal where they basically said

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}48{:}16.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.790$ you know this is a really famous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.060$ These are where they show

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:21.060 \rightarrow 00:48:22.076$ famous case reports right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.384$ Like a 38 year old woman with renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:24.384 \rightarrow 00:48:26.072$ failure and thrombocytopenia or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00:48:26.072 \rightarrow 00:48:28.160$ whatever and incredibly famous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.737$ And then it took them 100 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.516$ To present their first case of a mistake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00{:}48{:}35{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}728$ and it's the most downloaded CPC

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:37.728 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.931$ in the history of the CPC's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00:48:39.931 \rightarrow 00:48:42.517$ and they haven't had one since,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00{:}48{:}42.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}43.978$ and I find that super interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667

 $00:48:43.980 \rightarrow 00:48:45.600$ it was a wrong site surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:45.600 \rightarrow 00:48:47.928$ Greg Meyer came and he he was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:48:47.928 \rightarrow 00:48:49.891$ discussant for this wrong site surgery

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:48:49.891 \rightarrow 00:48:52.040$ and it's amazing to me that this is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:48:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.372$ It's just that that we haven't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:48:53.372 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.260$ had more of those,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667
- $00:48:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.185$ but I'm thrilled they did and it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667
- $00{:}48{:}56{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}087$ sort of followed on the heels of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:48:58.087 \rightarrow 00:48:59.938$ many people quote the sort of to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}48{:}59{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01{.}856$ air is human and the patient safety.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:49:01.860 --> 00:49:03.680 Kind of movement and it's our turn,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8423168416666667
- $00:49:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.808$ which was a call to action for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00:49:05.808 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.056$ pathologists that I described to you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:49:08.056 --> 00:49:09.756 earlier around diagnostic error.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- 00:49:09.760 --> 00:49:12.685 So I think as I said before and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}49{:}12.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.517$ might skip some of these slides is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}49{:}15{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17{.}564$ I think in places like Yale and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667
- $00{:}49{:}17.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.303$ Harvard and others where we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:49:19.303 \rightarrow 00:49:21.420$ this like idea of what we should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:49:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.863$ it's almost harder for us to admit

NOTE Confidence: 0.842316841666667

 $00:49:23.863 \rightarrow 00:49:24.910$ when we're wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.318$ I took I took a screenshot of my I do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:49:27.318 --> 00:49:29.686 New York Times crossword puzzle and it said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:49:29.690 --> 00:49:31.086 Boston flagship Medical Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}49{:}31.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33.180$ and the answer was mass general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}49{:}33{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35{.}178$ And I was like it didn't like that's hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:35.178 \rightarrow 00:49:37.147$ when you think you're more the flagship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.946$ like really, so it's hard like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.390$ How do you then say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.660$ hey I missed this right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:49:42.660 --> 00:49:44.088 Like this is Harvard Medical School,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.854$ it's Yale. It's whatever it is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:45.854 \rightarrow 00:49:48.147$ I think in the end pathologists are

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:48.147 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.987$ that to treating clinicians right.

 $00:49:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.610$ You're like the answer giver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:51.610 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.234$ So how do you then admit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:49:53.234 \rightarrow 00:49:54.661$ you made a mistake, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}49{:}54.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.068$ Like when we're the gold standard and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:49:56.068 --> 00:49:57.954 you're using, you're giving an opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}49{:}57{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}889$ you're not like an instrument or a machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03{.}088$ So we use the dlow terminology which

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:03.088 \rightarrow 00:50:05.759$ we took from the Pediatrics literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}50{:}05{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07{.}811$ which we thought would be like more

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:07.811 \rightarrow 00:50:09.639$ friendly than saying diagnostic error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.576$ I don't know if that worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}50{:}10{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12{.}358$ I have to ask people and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}50{:}12.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.155$ we really wanted to train our

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}50{:}14.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.780$ trainees on disclosure of events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.768$ So we have simulations.

 $00{:}50{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.114$ Think I'm gonna come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:20.114 \rightarrow 00:50:21.688$ Looking at the time, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:50:21.688 --> 00:50:22.600 I think I'll continue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:22.600 \rightarrow 00:50:25.680$ So this was a case where we lost a part

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.917$ of a specimen and basically we couldn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:50:28.920 --> 00:50:29.764 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:29.764 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.718$ we the clinicians sent it to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.129$ not in formalin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:35.130 \rightarrow 00:50:36.650$ We got to generate it and we couldn't do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.468$ so it was a joint event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:50:38.470 --> 00:50:39.532 You know we didn't know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.063$ to investigate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:40.070 \rightarrow 00:50:41.564$ We didn't know what to people often call me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.984$ What should I put in the report

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:42.984 \rightarrow 00:50:44.729$ like I need to finalize the report?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:50:44.730 \rightarrow 00:50:47.058$ What do I put it in and they want to sort of?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:50:47.060 \rightarrow 00:50:49.069$ They either don't want to write anything
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:50:49.069 \rightarrow 00:50:51.385$ or they want to write like a 12 page
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:50:51.385 \rightarrow 00:50:53.509$ summary of the root cause analysis right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00{:}50{:}53{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{.}043$ Which is really tough and we don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:50:55.043 \rightarrow 00:50:56.201$ train our trainees or pathologists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00{:}50{:}56{.}201 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}804$ on what to do in these situations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:50:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.098$ So that's what we.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.042$ Aimed this deal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- 00:51:02.042 --> 00:51:02.670 Oh conference,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:02.670 \rightarrow 00:51:03.935$ as creating more training around
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:03.935 \rightarrow 00:51:05.660$ how to deal with these problems,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- 00:51:05.660 --> 00:51:07.886 which are inevitable and Susie and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:07.886 \rightarrow 00:51:10.968$ wrote a paper about how to disclose in 2018.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00{:}51{:}10.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.060$ If you're interested,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00{:}51{:}12{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16{.}540$ we created an algorithm of when to disclose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:51:16.540 - 00:51:18.682 Which had to do a little bit with harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:51:18.690 --> 00:51:19.790 but as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.582$ even when there was no harm in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:21.582 \rightarrow 00:51:23.289$ a probable or possible error,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.175$ we still wanted to capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:25.175 \rightarrow 00:51:26.590$ opportunities for improvement, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}51{:}26{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27{.}990$ Root cause analysis or discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.830$ with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.994$ Even if there was no harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:30.994 \rightarrow 00:51:32.578$ And it's not just because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:32.578 \rightarrow 00:51:34.400$ are sort of like open, honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

00:51:34.400 --> 00:51:36.350 Kumbaya, it's kind of practical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:36.350 \rightarrow 00:51:38.246$ like for example, a patient gets a biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:38.250 \rightarrow 00:51:39.420$ you realize something is wrong and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00{:}51{:}39{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40{.}919$ it takes you three weeks to sign up

NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316

 $00:51:40.919 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.155$ the report 'cause you need to do

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00{:}51{:}42{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43{.}394$ fish in molecular and figure out if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:43.394 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.914$ there's a mix up like in that case
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:44.914 \rightarrow 00:51:46.410$ somebody's gonna have to tell the patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:46.410 \rightarrow 00:51:47.640$ Like why is it taking three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- 00:51:47.640 --> 00:51:49.198 weeks to sign out your GI biopsy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933265580526316
- $00:51:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.280$ You might not have harmed them,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:51:50.280 \rightarrow 00:51:53.744$ but you know you might want to consider
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- 00:51:53.744 --> 00:51:55.402 a conversation. OK, someone says I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:51:55.402 \rightarrow 00:51:56.590$ hope there's enough time for QA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:51:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.754$ so maybe I'll skip forward a little.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- 00:51:59.760 00:52:02.648 Oh look takehomes perfect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00{:}52{:}02{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05{.}530$ So we I think we have to redefine quality and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:05.599 \rightarrow 00:52:08.420$ safety and join the patient safety movement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.180$ Better expanding our responsibility
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:10.180 \rightarrow 00:52:13.273$ to involve all phases of testing and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:13.273 \rightarrow 00:52:15.933$ collaborate with those outside of the labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00{:}52{:}15{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}576$ We need standardized tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:17.576 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.621$ Really bad beyond what's required

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

00:52:19.621 --> 00:52:21.489 for regulatory compliance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:21.490 \rightarrow 00:52:25.696$ so much unexplored risk and opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00{:}52{:}25{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27{.}320$ Especially challenging with

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

00:52:27.320 --> 00:52:29.480 events with joint ownership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.398$ which is very frequent in the labs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}056$ We need to figure out how to work up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00{:}52{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34.794$ document and communicate error and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00{:}52{:}34{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37{.}457$ we need to be trained on how to oversee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:37.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.668$ teach and publish in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00{:}52{:}39{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}518$ And so this is kind of a wheel

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:41.518 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.137$ we create with like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:43.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.500$ pathology, quality and safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175

 $00:52:44.500 \rightarrow 00:52:46.250$ It's all these things, right?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- 00:52:46.250 --> 00:52:48.590 It's it's compliance, it's AC health.

- 00:52:48.590 --> 00:52:49.781 It's patient safety,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:49.781 \dashrightarrow 00:52:51.766$ but there's also a scholarship
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:51.766 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.990$ and education piece.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- 00:52:52.990 --> 00:52:55.393 Lots of people on my team over the year,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:55.400 \rightarrow 00:52:57.584$ so I'm grateful to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- 00:52:57.584 --> 00:52:59.735 And I will take questions now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.938874175
- $00:52:59.735 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.720$ and hoping for the spring soon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84222849
- $00{:}53{:}03{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07{.}068$ Wow, thank you, that was fantastic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84222849
- $00{:}53{:}07{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10{.}054$ I I can tell that people are excited
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84222849
- $00:53:10.054 \rightarrow 00:53:12.573$ for questions so I will hold off on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84222849
- 00:53:12.573 --> 00:53:15.100 mine and open it up to to folks I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.409892045125
- $00:53:15.110 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.870$ and this is sort of file a I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.409892045125
- 00:53:16.870 --> 00:53:19.926 I am at a pediatric pathologist but I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.409892045125
- $00:53:19.926 \rightarrow 00:53:22.044$ am also associate director of autopsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.409892045125

 $00{:}53{:}22.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.714$ I want to clarify that what Joanna say

NOTE Confidence: 0.409892045125

 $00:53:25.714 \rightarrow 00:53:28.179$ actually is incorrect. We do have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85808349

00:53:28.690 --> 00:53:30.238 M&M's. We do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:30.810 \dashrightarrow 00:53:33.120$ M&M's for pediatric mainly but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.128$ in the past we have used autopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41{.}090$ That being a. Hospital autopsy are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:41.090 \rightarrow 00:53:43.930$ the baseline for quality assurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.855$ We have used this in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00{:}53{:}45{.}855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47{.}830$ and in very distinctive way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:47.830 \rightarrow 00:53:51.150$ Contacting the Medicine Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00{:}53{:}51{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53{.}694$ or whatever to senior with

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:53.694 \rightarrow 00:53:56.204$ possible error to be discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

00:53:56.210 --> 00:53:59.140 Unfortunately, the feedback was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:53:59.140 \rightarrow 00:54:02.410$ you know, our resident are not interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:54:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.830$ anymore to participate in into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846

 $00:54:04.830 \rightarrow 00:54:07.800$ molecular informatics is more interesting,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846
- $00:54:07.800 \rightarrow 00:54:10.754$ so there is a little bit of pushback.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846
- 00:54:10.760 --> 00:54:14.732 Inviso older way to do quality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846
- $00{:}54{:}14.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.048$ as surance that you should be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846
- $00:54:17.048 \rightarrow 00:54:18.684$ reinstated and we still use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810065256153846
- $00{:}54{:}18.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.990$ Actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- 00:54:19.000 --> 00:54:20.224 Raphael. I can I just thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- $00:54:20.224 \rightarrow 00:54:21.360$ you for the double check.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- 00:54:21.360 00:54:23.772 I I was referring specifically to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- $00{:}54{:}23.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26.091$ an Eminem within pathology only to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- $00:54:26.091 \rightarrow 00:54:28.338$ discuss some of the types of errors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- 00:54:28.338 --> 00:54:30.609 that Doctor Hager just presented.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- 00:54:30.610 -> 00:54:33.136 Yes, we do have Eminem's and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- $00{:}54{:}33{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}820$ other departments for traditional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- $00:54:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.362$ sort of presentations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364
- $00:54:36.362 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.418$ Let's let's ask Doctor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364

 $00:54:38.420 \rightarrow 00:54:41.367$ Lu raised his hand very politely so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42{.}738$ Will will let him take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835949383636364

 $00{:}54{:}42.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}43.780$ next comment about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:54:45.310 \rightarrow 00:54:48.350$ Yeah, yeah yeah, this is a fantastic lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}54{:}48{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50{.}138$ I think this is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:54:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.478$ I think it's right. You know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:54:52.478 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.637$ is exactly what we want to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:54:54.640 \rightarrow 00:54:57.256$ You know, as a department store where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}54{:}57{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00{.}006$ know we are thinking about this all along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:00.006 \dashrightarrow 00:55:01.950$ But I think that you know we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}55{:}02.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.923$ want as Joanna is now taking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:03.923 \rightarrow 00:55:05.686$ leadership role for the you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:05.686 \rightarrow 00:55:07.444$ quality and safety in our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.938$ Certainly there will be a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:08.938 \rightarrow 00:55:10.642$ of things we wanted to you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:10.642 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.168$ to do and this is really great.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375
- $00:55:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.910$ So I really like the concept.

 $00:55:13.910 \rightarrow 00:55:15.576$ You know you were talking about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:15.580 \rightarrow 00:55:16.870$ Within the department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:16.870 \rightarrow 00:55:19.020$ pathology literally is openly discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}55{:}19{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20{.}780$ You know the the errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}55{:}20{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23{.}559$ and I mean as we know is you know you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:23.559 \rightarrow 00:55:25.020$ mentioned the word is not just the culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:25.020 \rightarrow 00:55:27.644$ Lots of things that you know error happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:55:27.644 --> 00:55:30.839 is not really individuals personal failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.580$ It's a lot of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:55:31.580 --> 00:55:33.120 It's a systemic failure, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}55{:}33{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}316$ It's just the the way how you do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.592$ So in your experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:36.592 \rightarrow 00:55:38.500$ in my question to you is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.700$ And when you do this,

 $00:55:39.700 \rightarrow 00:55:41.956$ how what's your experience so far?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:55:41.960 --> 00:55:45.586 For example, how open your faculty staff?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:45.590 \rightarrow 00:55:48.630$ Actually talking about the errors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:55:48.630 --> 00:55:49.904 I mean, you know, in a way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.837$ it's they themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}55{:}50{.}837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}289$ We always want to try to find a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:55:53.289 - 00:55:55.490 way how people can be so she ate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:55:55.490 --> 00:55:57.140 Yeah people, person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.290$ that person versus event right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:55:59.290 \rightarrow 00:56:01.210$ Because you know The thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.230$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:02.230 \rightarrow 00:56:05.290$ have you thought about developing develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}05{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08{.}180$ like rewarding system to the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:08.180 \rightarrow 00:56:10.868$ who actually openly talk about error?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.728$ And mistakes, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}11.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.586$ But that's hard.

00:56:12.590 --> 00:56:14.270 You know, I'm saying we are living

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:56:14.270 --> 00:56:16.089 in a very peer review system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.152$ and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:56:17.152 --> 00:56:18.214 people promotion people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:18.214 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.630$ reputation is dependent on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:19.630 \rightarrow 00:56:22.017$ We always say how great a pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}22.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.791$ how great staff member is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:56:23.791 - 00:56:26.027 in the you know in this department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}26{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28{.}151$ but we rarely talk about say how

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:28.151 \rightarrow 00:56:29.950$ open how actually sharing those

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

00:56:29.950 --> 00:56:32.010 kind of mistakes actually probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}32.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33.932$ more important than people going

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}33{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35{.}786$ around and say how great I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37{.}058$ doing one thing or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77792857375

 $00:56:37.058 \dashrightarrow 00:56:38.570$ I just want to hear your perspective.

- 00:56:38.570 --> 00:56:38.680 I.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.310$ Well, first of all the thing you need is an.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:56:41.310 --> 00:56:42.594 Openly supportive leader,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:42.594 \rightarrow 00:56:45.590$ so already you're like that comment alone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:45.655 \rightarrow 00:56:48.527$ your you know or at least benevolent neglect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:56:48.530 --> 00:56:50.036 Like if you weren't here but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:56:50.036 --> 00:56:50.789 you weren't obstructionist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.270$ that would be good too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}56{:}52{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53{.}800$ But the fact that you're open,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}56{:}53{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}460$ I would say so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.285$ I'll give you an example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:55.290 \rightarrow 00:56:56.530$ People are a little nervous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.522$ about talking about it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.802$ We started over the first two years of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:56:59.802 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.918$ the 10 years of day identifying it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:57:01.918 --> 00:57:03.086 and I actually presented

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}57{:}03.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04.787$ all the cases to begin with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:04.790 \rightarrow 00:57:07.366$ And at the beginning people were nervous.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}57{:}07{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09{.}404$ But then when they saw the tone of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}57{:}09{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11{.}071$ conference and they became more and more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:11.071 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.739$ relaxed and they saw we were really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.032$ What our goal was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:14.032 \rightarrow 00:57:15.324$ People started self identifying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:15.324 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.569$ like they were like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:57:16.570 00:57:17.710 Actually that was my case and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.119$ can I tell you what happened?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.412$ What actually you know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:57:20.412 --> 00:57:22.782 I mean so it's. But it took years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:22.782 \rightarrow 00:57:25.168$ You know people had to see that it was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}57{:}25{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26{.}826$ They had to see how the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}57{:}26.826$ --> $00{:}57{:}27.654$ conference was moderated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:57:27.660 \rightarrow 00:57:29.076$ They had to see what happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:57:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.550$ They had to see what the culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}30{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32{.}047$ was and they had to feel trust

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:57:32.047 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.277$ and they had to feel safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}33.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35.938$ So around your comment about promotions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

00:57:35.940 --> 00:57:37.434 I mean no one's more familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}37{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39{.}340$ with the pain of promotions than

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

00:57:39.340 --> 00:57:42.870 Harvard Medical School faculty, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}42.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44.030$ You know there's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:57:44.030 \rightarrow 00:57:45.770$ There's actually a promotion path for

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}45{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47{.}805$ quality and safety now even at Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}47.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.910$ so if that's your academic interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

00:57:49.910 --> 00:57:51.482 you could potentially actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:57:51.482 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.447$ publish on changes in safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}57{:}53{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54{.}850$ culture or numbers of diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:57:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.610$ error and that kind of thing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.570$ So that's a huge shift like that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:57:58.570 \rightarrow 00:58:00.788$ not just we're going to talk about it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}58{:}00{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}528$ but in private,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:58:01.528 \rightarrow 00:58:03.250$ that's we're going to actually reward it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}58{:}03{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}03{.}500$ Now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}58{:}03{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05{.}250$ When you say you couldn't reward it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:58:05.250 --> 00:58:06.890 you can be sort of glib and give,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:58:06.890 \rightarrow 00:58:09.290$ like you know, good catch ords or whatever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}58{:}09{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}11{.}270$ But I actually think that's not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:58:11.270 --> 00:58:12.880 I mean, we're professionals and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- 00:58:12.880 --> 00:58:15.120 That kind of thing can be glib if,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:58:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.360$ like the rest of your system doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00:58:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.774$ take it seriously, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}58{:}18.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.363$ So I think the answer to rewarding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841
- $00{:}58{:}20{.}363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22{.}212$ it is making people feel like it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:58:22.212 \rightarrow 00:58:24.280$ valuable and like it's making a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:58:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.496$ And then if you have people who where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:58:25.500 \rightarrow 00:58:27.492$ it's their scholarly interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:58:27.492 \rightarrow 00:58:29.982$ promoting them on that track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}58{:}29{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31{.}364$ But otherwise I think it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00{:}58{:}31{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}065$ needs to be baked into the culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

00:58:33.065 - 00:58:34.971 and people need to feel like part

NOTE Confidence: 0.855724841

 $00:58:34.971 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.176$ of our job as respected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

00:58:39.370 --> 00:58:41.655 As respected, you know leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00{:}58{:}41.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}45.060$ is to is to do this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

00:58:45.060 --> 00:58:46.564 Doctor Prasad Prasad says

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:58:46.564 \rightarrow 00:58:48.444$ you still have to publish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:58:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.382$ We promoted that's 100% correct and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53{.}069$ you can publish in this area and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:58:53.069 \rightarrow 00:58:54.739$ working with multiple journals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:58:54.739 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.032$ sort of create new tracks and new

 $00:58:57.032 \rightarrow 00:58:58.762$ areas to publish quality and safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:58:58.762 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.686$ Jeez your hand up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:58:59.690 \rightarrow 00:59:01.448$ Did you want to say something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:59:01.450 \rightarrow 00:59:05.480$ Yeah I did wanna share a couple of thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00{:}59{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}790$ One is I really like your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00{:}59{:}08{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12{.}164$ You talk about moving it from blame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00{:}59{:}12{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15{.}573$ We do focus on the analytical factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00:59:15.573 \rightarrow 00:59:19.480$ when we discuss quality QC conferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922715859166667

 $00{:}59{:}19{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22{.}509$ we talk about who missed a carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.5204744066666667

 $00:59:22.520 \rightarrow 00:59:23.579$ in frozen section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5204744066666667

 $00{:}59{:}23{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26{.}290$ or a Melanoma and stuff like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5204744066666667

 $00:59:26.290 \rightarrow 00:59:28.828$ And it's it's very hard to separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.5204744066666667

 $00:59:28.828 \rightarrow 00:59:31.970$ blame from just curiosity and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.777801418

 $00{:}59{:}31{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34{.}553$ would be on Joanne's plate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777801418

 $00{:}59{:}34{.}553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}37{.}255$ make sure we move and we are

 $00:59:37.255 \rightarrow 00:59:39.090$ curious about how it happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777801418

 $00{:}59{:}39{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}41{.}218$ And how we can figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.903462215714286

00:59:41.230 --> 00:59:45.620 out how not to let it happen? I also like

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00:59:45.620 \rightarrow 00:59:48.588$ like what you presented about the deal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00{:}59{:}48{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50{.}354$ Sorry for one second, it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00:59:50.354 \rightarrow 00:59:52.310$ on everyones plate to do that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00:59:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.410$ Like it's a joint family decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00:59:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.034$ to to sort of feel safe. Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00{:}59{:}57{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58{.}996$ it's up to the leaders to make to hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $00:59:58.996 \rightarrow 01:00:00.486$ people accountable to that safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $01{:}00{:}00{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}03{.}070$ but it's everyone, I'm sorry, go on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.657567104285714

 $01:00:03.070 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.710$ And also the pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.679001013333333

01:00:05.090 --> 01:00:05.900 M&M. It seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.73731897

01:00:05.910 --> 01:00:08.224 like you decided to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.73731897

 $01{:}00{:}08{.}224 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10{.}600$ it the. Or conference and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75299211

 $01:00:10.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.010$ invite the senior most people to

 $01:00:13.020 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.120$ talk about their mistakes or

NOTE Confidence: 0.824810596

 $01{:}00{:}15{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}18{.}190$ misses. And I was invited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.45554952

01:00:18.460 --> 01:00:21.370 and ask App Companion Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01:00:21.690 \rightarrow 01:00:23.340$ meeting where the entire head

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}23.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}26.120$ and neck platform was dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01:00:26.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.360$ to things that you missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}29{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}32{.}191$ And then I realized I was the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}32{.}191 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}34{.}222$ one who talked about something I

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}34{.}222 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}36{.}500$ really did miss and I started with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}36{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}38{.}969$ I'm really embarrassed about this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

01:00:38.970 --> 01:00:40.880 But anyway, that's the topic I

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01:00:40.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.010$ will share all the other speakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}43.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}44.849$ talked about cases that someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}44.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.430$ else best and they got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714

 $01{:}00{:}47.430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.140$ So that's just my generation

- $01:00:50.150 \rightarrow 01:00:52.340$ where they would.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.750242644285714
- $01:00:52.550 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.518$ They are used to

 $01:00:53.530 \rightarrow 01:00:56.100$ catching other peoples missing stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:00:56.230 --> 01:00:57.518 Yeah, I want to just make 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:00:57.520 \rightarrow 01:00:59.389$ Thank you so much for that comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:00:59.390 --> 01:01:01.588 2 slight adjustments to your second comment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}05{.}325$ One is the M&M is different than the DLO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:05.330 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.028$ The Eminem was a systems based

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:01:07.028 --> 01:01:08.976 10 year experience where we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:08.976 \rightarrow 01:01:10.488$ methodically through systems issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:10.490 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.306$ In all parts of the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:11.310 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.206$ including transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:12.206 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.998$ that like transport error,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:14.000 \rightarrow 01:01:16.312$ I told you about Dlo is a totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:01:16.312 --> 01:01:17.585 different conference that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01{:}01{:}17.585 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}18.869$ focused on diagnostic error.

 $01{:}01{:}18.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}20.514$ But what's different about it than

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:01:20.514 --> 01:01:22.360 what you're describing is we focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01{:}01{:}22.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}23.758$ the management of the error rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:23.758 \rightarrow 01:01:25.228$ than just preventing the error,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:25.230 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.310$ so we don't focus on like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:27.310 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.690$ is it about a decimal plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:28.690 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.770$ Melanoma that makes it hard?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:01:29.770 --> 01:01:31.324 Or was it about Signet ring cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:31.324 \rightarrow 01:01:32.787$ that you can do to avoid it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:32.790 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.500$ What we focus on is this is going to happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01{:}01{:}35{.}566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38{.}070$ to you and when it happens, what do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:01:38.070 - 01:01:39.690 How do you write up the report?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

01:01:39.690 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:40.974 How do you have a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:40.974 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.616$ with your clinician?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271

 $01:01:41.620 \rightarrow 01:01:43.018$ How do you file safety report?

- $01:01:43.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.948$ What are the reporting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- 01:01:44.948 --> 01:01:46.876 requirements to DPH form?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- 01:01:46.880 --> 01:01:47.428 You know?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01{:}01{:}47{.}428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}48{.}798$ How do you document it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01:01:48.800 \rightarrow 01:01:50.210$ What are the legal implications?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01{:}01{:}50{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52{.}842$ So we focus on managing an error which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- 01:01:52.842 --> 01:01:55.379 no one gets any training on, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- 01:01:55.379 --> 01:01:56.933 And I I doubt that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01{:}01{:}56{.}933 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}58{.}619$ discussed at the USCAP platform.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- 01:01:58.620 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:02:00.594 We're really people focus more on on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01:02:00.594 \rightarrow 01:02:02.349$ like preventing the diagnostic error,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01:02:02.350 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.282$ which is super important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01{:}02{:}03{.}282 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}04{.}680$ and we should all do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01{:}02{:}04.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}06.576$ But we're great on that training
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- 01:02:06.576 --> 01:02:08.090 like that's what we do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01:02:08.090 \rightarrow 01:02:09.512$ So I just want to make a slight adjustment.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.71040271
- $01:02:09.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.890$ It's a little bit different.

 $01:02:11.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.280$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:17.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.920$ Any other we have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:19.920 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.395$ We have had some comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

01:02:22.400 --> 01:02:24.184 I don't know if everybody saw Doctor Robert,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

01:02:24.190 --> 01:02:26.114 Gary mentioned psychological safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:26.114 \rightarrow 01:02:28.880$ in her comment and says that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:28.880 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.443$ the benefits of such a culture are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

01:02:30.443 --> 01:02:31.693 important and extent well beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:31.693 \rightarrow 01:02:33.276$ the realm of quality and safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:33.276 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.884$ to be beneficial for a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.85718922444444

 $01:02:34.884 \rightarrow 01:02:36.060$ educational training environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887875802857143

 $01:02:38.410 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.561$ And you know, we we have a another

NOTE Confidence: 0.887875802857143

 $01{:}02{:}42.561 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}44.127$ question came up from Doctor M.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887875802857143

 $01{:}02{:}44{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47{.}750$ I'll let you go ahead. Terrific talk,

- 01:02:49.000 --> 01:02:50.099 I want to follow up a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884465355
- $01{:}02{:}50{.}099 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51{.}980$ bit on what Rafiella said.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93574437
- $01:02:51.980 \rightarrow 01:02:54.390$ That is. How do you compete for interest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904785061111111
- $01:02:54.400 \rightarrow 01:02:55.605$ with the residents when they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904785061111111
- $01{:}02{:}55{.}605 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}57{.}150$ want to do molecular analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:02:57.160 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.048$ or next Gen sequencing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:02:58.048 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.942$ or something like that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:02:58.942 --> 01:03:00.713 And do you have some pointers for us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}03{:}00.713 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02.630$ to how to sort of make it more sexy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:02.630 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.240$ Yeah, so the first of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:05.240 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.724$ I just want to take a minute.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:06.730 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.470$ Rafael mentioned.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:07.470 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.320$ I think she was mentioning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:09.320 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.430$ fetal autopsies basically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:10.430 \longrightarrow 01:03:14.198$ which in itself is incredibly difficult
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:03:14.200 \rightarrow 01:03:15.898$ from a root cause analysis perspective.

 $01:03:15.900 \rightarrow 01:03:17.700$ Like there's the patient who's brave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:03:17.700 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.507$ That social worker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:18.507 --> 01:03:20.700 The the the legal weight cut offs

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:03:20.700 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.443$ at age cut offs like it's basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}22.443 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}24.154$ like an accident waiting for happen NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}24.154 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}26.136$ to happen in terms of safety events

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:26.136 --> 01:03:28.024 and autopsy is like on the other end

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}28.024 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}29.883$ of the spectrum from like molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}29.883 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}31.765$ and all these like a mazingly like

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}31.765 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}33.564$ and cool things like as a mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:33.564 --> 01:03:36.080 general person I get that right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}36.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}38.278$ What I would say about residents in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:03:38.278 \rightarrow 01:03:40.037$ general trainees is they're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:40.037 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:42.676 frontline workers and as much as they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}42.676 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}45.054$ interested in that kind of work for research,

 $01:03:45.060 \rightarrow 01:03:47.559$ they're pretty good at calling out problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}47.559 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}49.999$ like that's like part of their culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:03:50.000 \rightarrow 01:03:52.436$ Is like being frustrated with operational

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:52.436 --> 01:03:54.560 things or with cultural things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:54.560 --> 01:03:54.938 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:03:54.938 --> 01:03:57.584 So how do you somehow like leverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}584 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}487$ that into learning and change for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:04:00.487 --> 01:04:01.972 system 'cause they're they're like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}04{:}01{.}972 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}04{.}784$ They get sort of a toxic dose of where the NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

01:04:04.784 --> 01:04:06.460 dysfunctions are in the workflow, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:04:06.460 \rightarrow 01:04:07.420$ 'cause they're constantly trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:04:07.420 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.911$ to manage it, especially in AP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01{:}04{:}08{.}911 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}10{.}453$ And so the Eminem was good

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:04:10.453 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.737$ for us in that regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:04:11.740 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.266$ 'cause they were able to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

 $01:04:13.266 \rightarrow 01:04:14.700$ place where they called that out.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:14.700 --> 01:04:15.588 You know where they were like?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:15.590 --> 01:04:17.790 Listen, I was on call and like XYZ
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:17.790 --> 01:04:19.457 happened and it was a disaster,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:19.460 --> 01:04:20.204 you know or?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:04:20.204 \longrightarrow 01:04:22.260$ I went to go do this thing and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}22{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}24{.}492$ there was no X and it was a patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:04:24.564 \rightarrow 01:04:26.514$ safety issue like they're actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}26.514 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}28.870$ pretty good at at doing that and so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}28.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.713$ involving them in the root cause and NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:30.713 --> 01:04:32.525 involving them in the solution and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:32.525 --> 01:04:34.214 actually making it easy for them to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:34.214 --> 01:04:37.380 call it events like I was talking to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:04:37.380 \rightarrow 01:04:39.711$ Some of your folks before about like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:39.711 --> 01:04:41.787 creating a distribution list instead of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:41.787 $-\!>$ 01:04:44.160 having residents file reports 'cause it just,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707

- 01:04:44.160 --> 01:04:46.590 it's like prohibitive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:46.590 --> 01:04:47.670 So they can just sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:04:47.670 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.390$ email someone and say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:04:48.390 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.715$ hey,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}48.715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50.990$ we notice this like we notice this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}50{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}53{.}690$ risk and then having like an Eminem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}53.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55.320$ platform or or something like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}04{:}55{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}57{.}267$ that where they can be involved in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:04:57.267 --> 01:04:59.556 the solution I think can be really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:04:59.556 \rightarrow 01:05:01.386$ transformative for them and quite healing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:05:01.390 --> 01:05:02.500 'cause it's it's a difficult
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:05:02.500 --> 01:05:03.388 period of your life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:05:03.390 \rightarrow 01:05:04.962$ And remember you're only a couple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}05{:}04.962 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}06.870$ years away from being a faculty member.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}05{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08.366$ So many of those folks as I was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:05:08.366 \rightarrow 01:05:09.880$ saying to some people earlier are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:05:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.245$ like very very thoughtful and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}05{:}11.245 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}12.848$ mature and and allowing them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}05{:}12.848 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}14.123$ to participate instead of sort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}05{:}14{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}16{.}594$ of paternal ising or them can be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- 01:05:16.600 --> 01:05:17.645 Really great for everyone and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:05:17.645 \rightarrow 01:05:19.239$ then the ones who do really well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:05:19.240 \rightarrow 01:05:22.684$ Of course then you wanna recruit right so?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01{:}05{:}22.684 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}25.676$ I think it's about it's not just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86130707
- $01:05:25.676 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.020$ about making it sexy for them to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- $01:05:27.065 \rightarrow 01:05:28.150$ use your term, it's about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- 01:05:28.150 --> 01:05:29.400 making it sexy for everyone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- $01{:}05{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}31{.}296$ It's about making it so that you wanna
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- 01:05:31.296 --> 01:05:33.029 do it because it actually results
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- 01:05:33.029 --> 01:05:35.177 in change as opposed to like you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- $01{:}05{:}35{.}177 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}37{.}417$ just checking a box or you just like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827

- $01:05:37.417 \rightarrow 01:05:40.290$ complaining into the ether, you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- 01:05:40.290 --> 01:05:42.000 Autopsies also, like you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- $01{:}05{:}42.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}43.530$ we could have a whole other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- $01{:}05{:}43.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}44.295$ conversation about autopsies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- 01:05:44.300 --> 01:05:46.046 Maybe I won't, 'cause it's 137,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91141827
- $01{:}05{:}46.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}46.778$ but I have time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794013283636364
- 01:05:48.910 --> 01:05:51.010 Let's say you've got 66 people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794013283636364
- 01:05:51.010 --> 01:05:53.080 still on and listening intently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794013283636364
- $01{:}05{:}53{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54{.}697$ We got what, let's take one last
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794013283636364
- $01:05:54.697 \rightarrow 01:05:55.870$ question from Doctor Shelburne,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794013283636364
- $01{:}05{:}55{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56{.}818$ who raised his hand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- 01:05:57.210 --> 01:05:58.188 time. I can stay on like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- $01:05:58.188 \rightarrow 01:05:59.259$ if I were with you guys.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- $01{:}05{:}59{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}01{.}283$ I would be at the podium hanging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- $01:06:01.283 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.600$ around like sipping coffee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- $01:06:02.600 \rightarrow 01:06:05.736$ so I may be driving an hour and a half home.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- 01:06:05.740 --> 01:06:07.628 So I'm my day is for you if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9147943575
- 01:06:07.628 --> 01:06:09.110 you wanna hang on, hang on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.877069928
- $01:06:10.040 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.950$ Thank you very much for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.741140135
- $01:06:10.960 \rightarrow 01:06:12.974$ talking that I'm one question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.741140135
- $01{:}06{:}12.974 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}14.366$ you know that I have that I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.741140135
- 01:06:14.370 --> 01:06:16.380 ultimately it's all about priority.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.741140135
- $01{:}06{:}16.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}17.008$ You know there's so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823172992857143
- $01:06:17.020 \rightarrow 01:06:18.620$ many competing things that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823172992857143
- $01:06:18.620 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.250$ That residents and faculty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823172992857143
- $01:06:20.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:21.048$ we don't know where to go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823172992857143
- $01:06:21.050 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.108$ We have to prioritize.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823172992857143
- $01{:}06{:}23.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}25.970$ Do you have a a system or metric
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823172992857143
- $01{:}06{:}25{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}28{.}520$ or how you can evaluate the impact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894513396
- $01{:}06{:}28.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}30.170$ of all everything you're doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894513396
- $01:06:30.170 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.274$ so that you know we can make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894513396

- 01:06:32.274 --> 01:06:33.726 a claim and raise the priority
- NOTE Confidence: 0.894513396
- $01:06:33.730 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.440$ and and and show real impact. So
- NOTE Confidence: 0.889089855
- $01:06:35.450 \longrightarrow 01:06:36.558$ how are you evaluating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:36.850 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.570$ the impact of all this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:38.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.810$ That's a great question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:39.810 \longrightarrow 01:06:41.050$ so there's different ways
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:41.050 \longrightarrow 01:06:42.800$ you can evaluate the impact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:42.800 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.288$ and I think you can't like boil the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}06{:}44.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}45.690$ That's a really insightful question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:06:45.690 --> 01:06:48.399 by the way, so I think you need to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}06{:}48{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}49{.}996$ Pick something like you can't when NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:06:49.996 --> 01:06:51.380 you say everything you're doing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:06:51.380 --> 01:06:53.060 I just I just shared with you like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:06:53.060 --> 01:06:54.959 a 10 to 15 year experience, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:54.959 \rightarrow 01:06:56.632$ Like we didn't do that like overnight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:56.632 \rightarrow 01:06:58.096$ we did one thing at a time.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:06:58.100 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.692$ Very slowly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:06:58.692 --> 01:07:00.696 First of all, second of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:00.696 \rightarrow 01:07:02.928$ we measured things like safety culture,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:02.930 \rightarrow 01:07:04.974$ but we also measured safety event reporting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}07{:}04.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}185$ We measured number of safety events in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}07{:}07{.}185 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09{.}447$ different areas and then we also even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}07{:}09{.}447 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}11{.}337$ looked at things like financial metrics.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:07:11.340 --> 01:07:11.780 So like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:07:11.780 --> 01:07:13.100 let's say we're looking at efficiency.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:07:13.100 --> 01:07:14.660 I didn't talk about any of the projects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:14.660 \longrightarrow 01:07:17.026$ but we we looked at things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}07{:}17.026 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}19.168$ You know you have to think about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:19.170 \longrightarrow 01:07:20.338$ What's your outcome is?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:20.338 \dashrightarrow 01:07:21.798$ And then what you're measuring.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:21.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.400$ I think that's exactly right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:23.400 \rightarrow 01:07:25.497$ and you don't probably need to do that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01{:}07{:}25.497 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}27.229$ everything like some things are no brainers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:27.230 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.514$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

01:07:27.514 --> 01:07:28.366 Like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:28.366 \rightarrow 01:07:30.829$ you don't have to measure every single thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01{:}07{:}30{.}830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32{.}930$ If something is obviously better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

01:07:32.930 --> 01:07:34.288 But if you want to publish it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:34.290 \rightarrow 01:07:36.850$ you probably do, and if you're not sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

01:07:36.850 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:07:39.279 you should baseline before you make an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01{:}07{:}39{.}279 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}41{.}410$ implementation and and measure again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:41.410 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.538$ So I think you're absolutely right that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01{:}07{:}43.538 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}45.948$ do need to create some kind of measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01{:}07{:}45{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48{.}686$ We usually we use the smart goals

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:48.686 \longrightarrow 01:07:51.310$ or the smart framework, which is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

01:07:51.310 --> 01:07:53.290 Specific, measurable, attainable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:07:53.290 \rightarrow 01:07:56.143$ I forget what are is relevant and time bound,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:56.150 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.550$ and that's widely published.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:07:57.550 \longrightarrow 01:07:59.110$ I didn't. We didn't make that up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}07{:}59{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}01{.}028$ It's it's a very again motherhood and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:08:01.028 --> 01:08:02.825 apple pie approach to like it's like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}02{.}825 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}04{.}748$ when people say of the answer to that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}04.748 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06.463$ is getting beaker and you're like OK
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}06{.}463 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}07{.}868$ great but that's like not gonna happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:08:07.870 --> 01:08:08.969 You know you know what I mean.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:08.970 \longrightarrow 01:08:11.130$ So that's like the you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:11.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.050$ attainable section.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:12.050 \rightarrow 01:08:14.350$ It fails the attainable thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}16.066$ Specific is like when you say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:08:16.066 --> 01:08:18.090 we're going to reduce, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:08:18.090 --> 01:08:19.410 frozen section discrepancies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:19.410 \longrightarrow 01:08:22.040$ by 10% over a one year period.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

- 01:08:22.040 --> 01:08:22.265 Bubba,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}22.265 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}23.840$ and then you make like an intervention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:23.840 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.397$ and you measure before and after.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:25.400 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.672$ So I think you're right that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}26.672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27.520$ you should do that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:08:27.520 --> 01:08:28.705 The cultural pieces are a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:28.705 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.653$ little tougher to measure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:29.660 \rightarrow 01:08:32.360$ but there are safety culture surveys
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}32{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}35{.}340$ and you can get in RM&M package.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}35{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}37{.}300$ We actually have a survey that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01{:}08{:}37{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}39{.}480$ use for CMAS where we measured rates NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- 01:08:39.480 --> 01:08:41.430 of like how comfortable people felt,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:41.430 \longrightarrow 01:08:42.636$ how much they felt that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:42.636 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.680$ changed their practice and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:43.680 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.655$ we had a narrative comment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:44.660 \rightarrow 01:08:45.915$ So we were measuring how

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582
- $01:08:45.915 \longrightarrow 01:08:46.919$ we were doing overtime.

 $01:08:46.920 \rightarrow 01:08:48.400$ It's a little qualitative research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:08:48.400 \rightarrow 01:08:50.116$ It's like a little more tricky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01{:}08{:}50{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}51{.}412$ but there are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.83445582

 $01:08:51.412 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.704$ validated tools that exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

01:08:53.740 --> 01:08:57.720 Thank you, it's hard though. Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}08{:}57{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}00{.}508$ well in the interest of people needing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01:09:00.508 \rightarrow 01:09:03.850$ to move on with the rest of their day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}09{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}07.270$ I would like to just give a very since re

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}09{:}07{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}10{.}789$ thank you doctor here for coming to us and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}09{:}10.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}14.102$ Bringing an introduction to safety culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01:09:14.102 \rightarrow 01:09:18.226$ to us in such a understandable and clear way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}09{:}18.226$ --> $01{:}09{:}21.964$ and I thank every body who asked questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}09{:}21{.}964 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}26{.}600$ so I'll you know if people want to log off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525

 $01{:}09{:}26.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}28.448$ That's fine, but if any body wants

- $01:09:28.448 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.678$ to stay behind for a few more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525
- $01{:}09{:}30.678 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}32.538$ minutes and ask some more questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525
- 01:09:32.540 --> 01:09:34.220 Doctor Hager said she'd stay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525
- $01:09:34.220 \longrightarrow 01:09:37.538$ so thank you so much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7497099525
- $01{:}09{:}37{.}538 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}39{.}748$ really really appreciate this session.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860836429538462
- $01:09:41.210 \longrightarrow 01:09:42.452$ Megan honor I hope that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.860836429538462
- $01{:}09{:}42{.}452 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}44{.}139$ can do it in person one day.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.962119735
- $01:09:44.810 \longrightarrow 01:09:45.738$ I hope so too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01{:}09{:}48{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}50{.}930$ I actually would be really interested
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01:09:50.930 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.822$ in getting that slide deck template that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01:09:53.822 \dashrightarrow 01:09:56.540$ you mentioned for your M&M conference.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01:09:56.540 \longrightarrow 01:10:00.120$ No problem. I think it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01:10:00.120 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.738$ I you know it is something that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01{:}10{:}02.738 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}04.824$ hospital has cost us with to do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01{:}10{:}04.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}06.965$ and I've been talking to the other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143
- $01:10:06.965 \rightarrow 01:10:09.300$ departments at Yale to kind of gauge of

 $01:10:09.300 \rightarrow 01:10:11.400$ what they're doing for their peer review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

01:10:11.400 --> 01:10:14.829 M&M conferences and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

01:10:14.830 --> 01:10:17.728 You know it's it's kind of a new concept.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

 $01:10:17.730 \rightarrow 01:10:20.005$ You know the traditional eminems are still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

 $01:10:20.010 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.610$ you know, obviously, how a place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

01:10:23.610 --> 01:10:25.040 For for doing this stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

 $01:10:25.040 \rightarrow 01:10:27.497$ but this is something that's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

01:10:27.497 --> 01:10:29.538 bit more introspective and looking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

01:10:29.538 --> 01:10:32.502 you know, internally into our own

NOTE Confidence: 0.942279072857143

 $01:10:32.502 \rightarrow 01:10:34.220$ practices and discussing these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86510512375

 $01:10:37.230 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.674$ So any remaining people

NOTE Confidence: 0.86510512375

 $01:10:39.674 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.118$ have any other questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86510512375

 $01:10:42.120 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.297$ But it looks like people ran it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86510512375

 $01{:}10{:}44{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}45{.}416$ Oh, there's Christine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86510512375

01:10:45.416 --> 01:10:47.508 Hi no, I'm I just wanted to

- $01:10:47.510 \longrightarrow 01:10:48.830$ say thank you again. I really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01:10:48.840 \longrightarrow 01:10:50.405$ have to get going because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01{:}10{:}50{.}405 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}51{.}392$ I'm severely behind.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01{:}10{:}51{.}392 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}54{.}080$ But thank you so much for this morning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01:10:54.144 \rightarrow 01:10:56.100$ and for the great lecture today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01{:}10{:}56{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}58{.}292$ So and then Joanne and I need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01{:}10{:}58.292 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}00.740$ catch up because we haven't started.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- $01:11:00.740 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.262$ But it it really is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.96286064
- 01:11:02.262 --> 01:11:03.106 There is some great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.676489
- $01:11:03.120 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.710$ ideas in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80422287
- $01{:}11{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}05.988$ that I think so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8191588
- 01:11:07.090 --> 01:11:09.758 OK, take care. Thanks, Christine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.792430502
- 01:11:13.400 --> 01:11:14.490 No one has a question. I'm going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87167162
- $01:11:14.500 \longrightarrow 01:11:17.100$ to end with a story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87167162
- $01:11:17.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.044$ It's not an error,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87167162
- 01:11:18.044 --> 01:11:19.460 but I just wanted to share.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87167162
- 01:11:19.460 --> 01:11:20.972 I forgot to tell I forgot to tell you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87167162
- 01:11:20.980 --> 01:11:23.062 I love this. When we were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87167162
- $01:11:23.062 \longrightarrow 01:11:24.150$ talking earlier that it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890327141818182
- $01{:}11{:}24{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}26{.}876$ really a problem coming to Yale and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890327141818182
- 01:11:26.876 --> 01:11:29.840 knowing Yale because my iPhone just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.93707902
- $01:11:29.840 \longrightarrow 01:11:31.210$ can't get that straight.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605960866666667
- $01{:}11{:}31{.}700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}33{.}254$ And every time I would tell someone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605960866666667
- 01:11:33.254 --> 01:11:35.640 by Yale, it would come up gaelle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8605960866666667
- $01{:}11{:}35.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}37.418$ and I had to retrain my iPhone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5825976
- 01:11:39.580 --> 01:11:42.168 Problem because people every
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5825976
- $01{:}11{:}42.168 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}43.748$ time someone needs they're like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770965902
- 01:11:43.750 --> 01:11:46.870 oh, Yale at Harvard. Haha, I'm like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770965902
- $01:11:46.870 \longrightarrow 01:11:48.790$ yeah good one like never before.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770965902
- 01:11:48.790 --> 01:11:50.170 Also my name blood GAIL.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.770965902
- $01{:}11{:}50{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}53{.}194$ Like every single time for like 30 years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.633536117857143

 $01:11:53.380 \rightarrow 01:11:55.242$ Yeah well tell Apple that you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.633536117857143

 $01:11:55.242 \rightarrow 01:11:56.957$ according to them your name is Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

01:11:58.370 --> 01:11:59.171 Perfect, I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

01:11:59.171 --> 01:12:01.040 I named my kids like really boring

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

01:12:01.095 --> 01:12:03.076 things because like my husband like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01:12:03.076 \rightarrow 01:12:05.129$ about this day and I'm like no no no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01{:}12{:}05{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}07{.}389$ It needs to be like the most obvious name

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01{:}12{:}07{.}389 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}09{.}200$ possible and needs to be pronounceable

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

01:12:09.200 --> 01:12:10.598 in every language. He's like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01:12:10.598 \rightarrow 01:12:12.550$ Why do you care so much about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

01:12:12.550 --> 01:12:14.854 Like because my whole life like

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01:12:14.854 \rightarrow 01:12:16.632$ what is it like? Yeah exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01:12:16.632 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.038$ Joanna Joseph, that's a good one

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

 $01:12:19.038 \rightarrow 01:12:21.388$ that can't go wrong with Joseph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842024577692308

01:12:21.390 --> 01:12:24.660 Good biblical name. Pardon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931204007777778

01:12:25.330 --> 01:12:27.310 yeah, no. I I got lucky 'cause you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931204007777778
- 01:12:27.310 --> 01:12:30.124 being Polish born at least Joana
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931204007777778
- 01:12:30.124 --> 01:12:32.000 translates easily to English.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3723214425
- $01:12:33.240 \longrightarrow 01:12:34.588$ Enough is that Polish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62026704
- 01:12:34.600 --> 01:12:37.230 it's pronounced you on now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62026704
- 01:12:37.230 --> 01:12:42.660 But it's. It also lends itself to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.62026704
- $01:12:42.660 \rightarrow 01:12:43.930$ lots of good nicknames like Joe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79057948111111
- $01:12:47.180 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.890$ Well, thanks so much. I was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79057948111111
- $01:12:48.890 \longrightarrow 01:12:51.780$ lovely seeing you and be well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.711596348
- $01:12:52.250 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.210$ Yeah, same to you all, be well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.692584944
- $01:12:54.470 \longrightarrow 01:12:57.115$ I'll definitely hound you with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.692584944
- $01:12:57.115 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.850$ some emails for some details. I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92856661444444
- 01:12:59.860 01:13:01.088 send you whatever templates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92856661444444
- $01:13:01.088 \longrightarrow 01:13:02.623$ I'm always happy to share.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928566614444444
- 01:13:02.630 --> 01:13:04.220 I'll, I'll send you like a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92856661444444
- 01:13:04.220 --> 01:13:05.280 like a downloadable package
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95111301375

 $01:13:05.850 \longrightarrow 01:13:07.330$ that would be wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95111301375

 $01:13:07.330 \longrightarrow 01:13:08.810$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95111301375

01:13:08.810 --> 01:13:11.318 So take care and have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95111301375

 $01:13:11.318 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.468$ safe rest of your February.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95111301375

01:13:13.468 --> 01:13:15.380 Hopefully spring is coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.43311286

 $01:13:17.860 \longrightarrow 01:13:20.000$ Bye bye.