WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:41" NOTE recognizability:0.826

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.512 1. Today's Grand Ronde

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:00:02.512 --> 00:00:05.652 speaker is doctor Lisa ruper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.956$  Dr Ruper graduated summa \*\*\* laude from

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:09.956 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.268$  Northwestern University in Illinois

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:12.268 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.080$  with a major in communications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}00{:}16.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.250$  She completed MD from University

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:00:19.250 --> 00:00:21.786 of Illinois in Chicago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:00:21.790 --> 00:00:24.720 where she won many awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.032$  including the Alpha Omega

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:00:28.032 --> 00:00:30.516 Alpha Honor Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.720$  Subsequently, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}00{:}31.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.320$  Ruper moved to Baltimore to train

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:00:35.320 --> 00:00:38.518 in pathology at Johns Hopkins.

 $00:00:38.518 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.848$  Where her winning streak continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}00{:}41.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.542$  and she collected several awards

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:45.542 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.672$  for excellence at the institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:48.672 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.139$  level and at national level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}00{:}52.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.745$  Rewards for excellence and research

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:55.745 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.350$  and posters and platform sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:00:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.666$  at the end of her training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:01:01.670 --> 00:01:04.928 doctor Rupert chose to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:01:04.928 --> 00:01:07.100 one year advanced speciality

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:01:07.202 --> 00:01:10.210 training in surgical pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.470$  Instead of a formal fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778 00:01:13.470 --> 00:01:14.166 There,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}01{:}14.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.646$  after Doctor Ruper was recruited

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:17.646 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.590$  as assistant professor in 2017.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}01{:}22.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.110$  And as circumstances called

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.246$  for within three months,

 $00{:}01{:}27.246 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}01{:}29.254$  she was appointed director

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:29.254 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.130$  of head and neck pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.650$  Dr Ruper rose to the challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.680$  Despite the departure of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.630$  mentors in head and neck pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.826$  and in less than five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.424$  Doctor Rupert has emerged as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:46.424 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.570$  star in this subspecialty field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.705$  Her research interest is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}01{:}52.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.213$  salivary and sinonasal tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00:01:55.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.620$  She has published 80 origonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

00:01:58.620 --> 00:02:00.768 research articles, maybe more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614335107777778

 $00{:}02{:}00.768 --> 00{:}02{:}02.738$  but Eddie has a flask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:02:04.800 --> 00:02:06.160 I'm counting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.560$  Many of these articles describe

00:02:09.560 --> 00:02:13.440 new entities such as Biphenotypic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:02:13.440 --> 00:02:16.992 sinonasal, sarcoma deck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:02:16.992 --> 00:02:22.960 F2 carcinomas, AKT 1 E, 17 K,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.585$  mutated, mucinous adenocarcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:25.585 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.835$  She has worked tirelessly to redefine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.670$  characterize, and to lay down the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.890$  guidelines for salivary adenocarcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}02{:}38.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41.745$  I find Doctor Rupert's articles

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:02:41.745 --> 00:02:44.990 very helpful in my practical in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.643$  in my practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}02{:}46.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.949$  and I often find myself quoting

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:02:49.949 --> 00:02:53.309 her or following up my cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.560$  working them up with Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:02:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.010$  Rupert's recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:03:00.010 --> 00:03:04.082 Lisa has a knack for laying out diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}03{:}04.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.919$  and differential diagnostic criteria.

00:03:06.920 --> 00:03:08.160 Not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}03{:}08.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.260$  she has written several invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:11.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.069$  reviews and is on the editorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:03:15.069 --> 00:03:18.154 board of seven pathology journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.310$  including the two top notch

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:03:21.310 --> 00:03:23.200 surgical pathology journals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:03:23.200 --> 00:03:26.420 American Journal of Surgical Pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.720$  and modern pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:03:29.720 --> 00:03:33.026 Doctor Rupert is often sought sought

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:33.026 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.961$  after for speaking engagements at

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:35.961 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.529$  national and international meetings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.165$  She has written 14 chapters in the 5th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}03{:}43.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.325$  WHO blue book on head and neck pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.325$  not to mention contributing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:03:50.325 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.521$  pathologyoutlines and receiving an

 $00:03:53.521 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.380$  award for Path outlined contributions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:03:57.380 --> 00:04:01.730 Despite this phenomenal publishing portfolio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:04:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.405$  she maintains a demanding surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:04:05.405 --> 00:04:08.345 pathology and counsel practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:04:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.532$  a busy medical student and resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:04:12.532 --> 00:04:15.898 teaching program and and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:04:15.898 --> 00:04:17.210 not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00:04:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.410$  she has won several best

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}04{:}20.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.970$  teaching awards in pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

00:04:22.970 --> 00:04:26.260 So with that brief introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}04{:}26.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.704$  I'll hand the floor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763637673333333

 $00{:}04{:}27.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.787$  Over to Lisa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.342$  Alright, thank you. Thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:32.342 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.140$  much for the lovely introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.820$  I am so delighted to be here today and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:36.886 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.438$  and be giving grand rounds for you guys.

00:04:39.440 --> 00:04:41.720 You know I just want to say we've at Hopkins

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}04{:}41.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.805$  have had the pleasure of working with two

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:43.805 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.919$  of your excellent residency graduates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:04:45.920 --> 00:04:48.998 First, Sarah Rudder and and then Ben Mazer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:48.998 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.279$  our surgical pathology assistantship program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:04:52.280 --> 00:04:54.520 Currently wait to have Ben back next year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.280$  and you know, it's just been a delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.996$  And and I, just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:04:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.981$  have the utmost respect for for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:00.981 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.509$  department after after working with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:05:02.510 --> 00:05:04.750 With such excellent, excellent people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:05:04.750 --> 00:05:07.350 So, so today I'm going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}05{:}07.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.034$  some sinonasal evolving concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:09.034 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.486$  in sinonasal tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.444$  which is one of my favorite

00:05:12.444 --> 00:05:15.528 topics in head and neck pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.308$  Nothing to disclose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.028$  And just gonna start with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:21.028 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.934$  little background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.103$  Of course there has been a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:24.103 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.675$  that has changed in the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:26.675 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.645$  years in sinonasal pathology with

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:28.645 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.248$  definition of new entities and better

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}05{:}31.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.383$  understanding of existing tumor types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:05:33.390 --> 00:05:35.230 But I would be lying if I weren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:05:35.230 --> 00:05:36.819 pretending that this were all you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.044$  this were ever easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:38.044 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.574$  The sender nasal tract has

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}05{:}39.574 --> 00{:}05{:}40.620$  always been a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.528$  very challenging diagnostic area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:42.528 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.390$  And that's true for several reasons.

 $00:05:45.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.083$  First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:46.083 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.700$  we often get samples that are small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.768$  crushed and necrotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:48.768 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.260$  They try to bite off a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:51.335 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.261$  tissue in clinic and give us

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:05:53.261 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.250$  the sad pieces to diagnose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455 00:05:55.250 --> 00:05:55.508 Frequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}05{:}55.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.314$  the tumor has a small round blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:05:57.314 --> 00:05:59.270 cell or undifferentiated morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}05{:}59.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.686$  so a lot of different entities have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}06{:}02.686 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}06{:}05.120$  much overlapping histologic features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}06{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.882$  There's also a range of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:07.882 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.160$  you can have diverse tumors of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:09.209 --> 00:06:10.409 multiple lineages you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.810$  including some tumors that occur.

 $00:06:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.218$  Multiple sites that do

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:13.218 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.626$  involve the sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.058$  but there's obvious sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:16.058 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.200$  specific entities as well that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:18.261 --> 00:06:19.966 don't don't occur anywhere else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.472$  and then that we need to keep up on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.180$  So in the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.406$  There's really been a molecular revolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:27.406 --> 00:06:29.300 in classification of sinonasal tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.694$  as molecular testing has been applied to

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:31.694 --> 00:06:34.180 more and more entities as a result of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:34.180 --> 00:06:36.448 I think several things have happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:36.450 --> 00:06:37.533 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:37.533 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.338$  we've recognized some molecularly defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:39.338 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.324$  entities that are known in other sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:41.324 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.629$  for the first time in the sinonasal tract,

 $00:06:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.971$  so that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:44.971 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.653$  We have to find new Sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:06:47.653 --> 00:06:49.944 specific tumor types that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:49.944 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.440$  A lot of them that you hear about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.990$  and then we've also identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.230$  molecular drivers and existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:06:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.427$  tumor types and kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}06{:}56.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.560$  Contributed to understanding of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}06{:}59.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.872$  Now today I'm going to go through a

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00{:}07{:}02.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.661$  few of the areas in insider nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:04.661 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.696$  pathology that I think are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:06.696 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.766$  interesting and important areas of change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.072$  but I just want to start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:10.072 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.449$  a word of word of warning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.442$  I'm focusing all these cool new

00:07:13.442 --> 00:07:14.770 changes in sinonasal carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:14.828 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.488$  is all this new classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

00:07:16.490 --> 00:07:18.380 which I think is very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.640$  and really intellectually fulfilling

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:19.689 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.677$  to us as pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.444$  especially as we try to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.939273134545455

 $00:07:22.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.620$  out why the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:23.683 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.368$  on our microscope stage looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}07{:}25.368 --> 00{:}07{:}27.550$  a little funny and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.720$  large prognostic implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:07:28.720 --> 00:07:30.533 Which is why I do think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:30.533 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.009$  important to classify some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:32.009 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.289$  these new entities correctly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.748$  But the treatment utility of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:34.748 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.970$  carcinomas are are still emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.412$  and a lot of these are still

 $00:07:37.412 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.647$  treated kind of in the same way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.980$  with the standard solid tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.550$  chemotherapy regimen and radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111 00:07:42.550 --> 00:07:43.232 surgery, etc. NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:43.232 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.278$  The most important thing for trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:07:45.278 --> 00:07:47.488 to know in in Sinonasal pathology is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:47.488 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.889$  the big thing you want to distinguish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}07{:}49.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51.490$  Are tumors of different lineages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:07:51.490 --> 00:07:52.438 melanomas, sarcomas, lymphomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}07{:}52.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.334$  those kind of things I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966887411111111

 $00:07:54.334 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.105$  going to spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:07:56.105 --> 00:07:57.041 talking about those today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}07{:}57.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.162$  but those are actually the things that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:07:59.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.388$  drive the massive differences in treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.350$  So if you're working up a sinonasal tumor,

 $00:08:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.600$  really the most important thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}05.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.764$  to do is make sure you have it

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:07.764 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.908$  in the appropriate language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111 00:08:08.910 --> 00:08:09.175 Alright, NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:09.175 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.030$  so we could do go about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.970$  A bunch of different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:08:11.970 --> 00:08:14.609 I've chosen chosen to focus on three

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:14.609 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.276$  key areas that really are transitioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}17.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.186$  as a result of molecular analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.814$  tumors with squamous differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111 00:08:21.814 --> 00:08:22.626 Swiss sniff, NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:08:22.630 --> 00:08:25.450 complex deficient tumors and IDH

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}25.450 \to 00{:}08{:}26.858$ 2 mutant sinonasal carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:08:26.858 --> 00:08:29.562 So I'm gonna start out by talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:29.562 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.167$  about sinonasal tumors that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}32.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.062$  squamous differentiation and it is

 $00:08:34.062 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.766$  really kind of amazing that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:35.766 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.463$  sitting here talking about squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}37.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.863$  cell carcinoma in the head and neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:08:39.863 --> 00:08:42.096 of being an area of great molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:42.096 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.380$  change because in most headed next

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.735$  sites it's been considered that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:08:46.735 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.680$  very limited pathways for squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}48.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.652$  carcinogenesis that are that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}50.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.567$  pretty similar in different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}52.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54.070$  But historically the Center Newsletter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966887411111111

 $00:08:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.340$  Act was sort of an enigma with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}56.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.340$  regard to squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}08{:}58.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.095$  With risk factors that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}09{:}00.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.148$  really poorly understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.944$  it didn't have nearly as strong

 $00:09:02.944 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.510$  of an association with cigarette

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}09{:}04.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.370$  smoking as other headed neck sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.580$  such as the oral cavity or lyrics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.716$  and it also was not associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:10.716 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.140$  with occupational exposures like

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.305$  other sinonasal tumor types like

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:14.305 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.989$  an intestinal type adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:09:15.990 --> 00:09:17.440 sinonasal papillomas were known to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.810$  be a precursor in a subset of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.330$  but the mechanism was unclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}09{:}22.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.990$  and etiology for a lot of cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.130$  was really unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.326$  Now that has changed because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:28.326 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.424$  emerging molecular understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.290$  Kind of in three areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111 00:09:31.290 --> 00:09:32.103 First of all,

 $00:09:32.103 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.458$  the role of human sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:09:33.458 --> 00:09:34.550 squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111 00:09:34.550 --> 00:09:35.034 Second, NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:35.034 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.454$  for mutations in Santa Nasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:37.454 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.798$  Papillomas and finally the emergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:39.798 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.018$  of fusion driven carcinomas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:42.018 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.350$  have squamous differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.126$  So I'm going to start talking about HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:09:45.130 --> 00:09:46.831 and of course we're all very familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:46.831 \dashrightarrow 00:09:49.107$  with HPV as an oncogenic driver and head

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966887411111111

 $00{:}09{:}49.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.050$ neck squamous cell carcinoma in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.325$  but traditionally it was recognized in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}09{:}53.325 \to 00{:}09{:}55.268$  or opharynx and tonsillar and base of tongue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00{:}09{:}55.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.053$  squamous cell carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:09:56.053 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.619$  and it's been thought of as

 $00:09:57.619 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.050$  sort of specific to that site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:09:59.050 --> 00:10:01.987 It does drive up to 80% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:10:01.987 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.335$  oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:10:03.335 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.445$  in the United States with increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:10:05.445 --> 00:10:07.275 incidents in the recent decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

00:10:07.280 --> 00:10:08.617 but outside of that for a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:10:08.617 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.911$  time it was really thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896688741111111

 $00:10:09.911 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.472$  not play a role in other headed

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:11.524 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.436$  next sites and even still the oral cavity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:13.440 --> 00:10:15.666 Lyrics really, HPV is not a major

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}15.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.019$  player and as such we are only

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:18.019 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.455$  recommended to do HPV testing in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:20.455 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.717$  or opharynx and in neck lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.542$  In the last few years, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:24.542 --> 00:10:27.278 HPV has really emerged as a driver of

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}27.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.650$ sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma as well.

 $00:10:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.842$  And if not really regarded as a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:31.842 --> 00:10:33.580 hotspot for HPV involvement in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}33.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.290$  head and neck in most recent studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.850$  about 20 to 25% of squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.300$  carcinoma in the sinonasal tract

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:40.300 --> 00:10:42.146 is thought to be HPV associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:42.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.998$  although there is a very large range

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}43.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.078$  in the literature and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:46.078 --> 00:10:47.486 highest prevalence of involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:47.486 --> 00:10:49.963 in any non oropharyngeal site and

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:10:49.963 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.014$  it is now actually regarded as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}52.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.364$  most common defined risk factor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}54.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.064$ sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}10{:}56.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57.740$  The pathogenesis of HPV driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:10:57.740 --> 00:10:59.833 tumors in the sinonasal tract is

 $00:10:59.833 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.367$  similar to the oropharynx and that its

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:02.367 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.969$  transcriptionally active virus you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.022$  can be identified within the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:06.022 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.866$  cells by by RNA inside 2 hybridization

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:08.866 --> 00:11:10.270 or RNA sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:10.270 --> 00:11:12.976 and it drives viral on proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:12.976 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.200$  to push the cell proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714 00:11:16.200 --> 00:11:16.438 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:16.438 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.628$  one thing that's interesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:17.628 --> 00:11:19.370 we've noticed in the last in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}11{:}19.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.752$  couple years that the rate of HPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:20.752 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.108$  involved in the center nasal tract

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:22.108 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.170$  may actually have gone up over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:24.170 --> 00:11:25.915 I say 20 to 25%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:25.915 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.470$  As as the the prevalence overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.174$  but most of those studies are

00:11:30.174 --> 00:11:31.646 actually dealing with the decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:31.646 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.494$  the 90s and the early 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}11{:}33.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.342$  and we noticed that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:35.342 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.718$  a few recent papers that had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:37.718 --> 00:11:39.158 higher estimate of prevalence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.518$  and so we looked back at our own cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:41.518 --> 00:11:44.233 at Hopkins and actually parsed it out

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}11{:}44.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.709$  over time and the prevalence of HPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:11:46.709 --> 00:11:48.764 and SINONASAL squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.500$  We stained all of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.705$  All of our cases on on tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:53.705 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.546$  microarrays it went up from about

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:55.546 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.697$  10% in the 90s to about 50% now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}11{:}57.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.359$  so almost half of the squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:11:59.359 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.170$  cell carcinomas we're seeing in the

 $00:12:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.720$  sinonasal tractor now HPV associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.710$  So it seems to parallel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.822$  But we'll pharynx in that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:12:05.822 --> 00:12:07.250 involvement is increasing now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.100$  Also parallel to the oropharynx,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.400$  that looks very similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.025$  HP associated squamous cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:12.025 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.050$  in the center nasal tract is non

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.100$  keratinizing and it tends to have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:12:16.100 --> 00:12:17.900 very pushing lobulated pattern of growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:17.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.880$  often very popular as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.735$  And these are these are very characteristic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:21.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.328$  Appearances and very recognizable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.554$  Now of course, is leads to upregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

00:12:29.554 --> 00:12:31.809 of P-16 and P-16 overexpression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.854$  so that's consistently positive.

 $00{:}12{:}32.854 --> 00{:}12{:}34.809$  If you do want to test for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714 00:12:34.810 --> 00:12:35.068 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:35.068 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.874$  it's not as specific in the sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:36.874 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.670$  tract as it is in the oral pharynx,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.253$  because there are a lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:41.253 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.499$  sinonasal tumor types that can overexpress

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:43.499 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.991$  P 16 by non HPV related mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714 00:12:46.000 --> 00:12:46.257 Therefore, NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:46.257 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.313$  if there is a reason to do HPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:48.313 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.838$  testing in the sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.040$  confirming it with specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.010$  testing such as RNA and site 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00{:}12{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.720$  hybridization visualized here is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.278$  way to go to confirm it really is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912479429285714

 $00:12:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.525$  Involvement now the question is

 $00:12:59.525 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.770$  whether we would actually need

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}01.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.788$  to confirm HPV involvement or whether you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}04.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.852$  know whether this is more of an academic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:06.852 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.115$  question that explains pathogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:08.115 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.019$  but is not yet clinically relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:13:10.019 --> 00:13:12.890 And actually it does seem that HPV does lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.610$  to better prognosis than the sinonasal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:15.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.742$  This has actually been

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:16.742 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.874$  controversial for a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.680$  Several single institutional studies showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.480$  either borderline significant or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:13:23.557 --> 00:13:26.427 significant benefit with HPV involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:13:26.430 --> 00:13:28.255 but actually looking at large

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:13:28.255 --> 00:13:29.350 National Cancer database.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}29.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.717$  Studies there does seem to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:32.717 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.388$  statistically significant benefit to HPV

 $00:13:35.388 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.458$  involvement in squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}38.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.508$  I think the real question is still whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:40.508 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.759$  that is a clinically significant benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.714$  The overall survival in the sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.430$  even if it's HPV associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.098$  is still in inferior to orophary ngeal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:49.098 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.754$  squamous cell carcinoma and that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:50.754 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.242$  preclude some of the treatment changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:13:52.242 --> 00:13:54.196 that have been happening in the oropharynx,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.168$  such as D escalation of chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}56.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.480$  or radiation from happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:13:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.974$  And these are still tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}13{:}58.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.670$  need to be treated aggressively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:00.670 --> 00:14:01.156 So really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:01.156 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.857$  the clinical utility of this is unclear.

 $00:14:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.650$  We're not recommended that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.750$  routinely test yet one thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.450$  might change that is emerging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:08.450 --> 00:14:10.564 Serum markers, the ability to track tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.846$  overtime and and track for recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:13.846 --> 00:14:16.315 by by using circulating, hpde,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:16.315 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.025$  and this is something our clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:18.025 --> 00:14:19.630 have been very interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.658$  and we have increasingly been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.777$  testing on tumors for that that end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:26.780 --> 00:14:27.584 It will also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:27.584 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.192$  as as more trials go forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:29.192 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.898$  with more targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.836$  This this may become relevant in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.770$  but routine testing is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.928$  not yet recommended.

 $00:14:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.072$  Now of course I wouldn't be complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}14{:}38.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.340$  talking about HPV and the sinonasal tract

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.716$  without touching on the fact that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:42.716 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.996$  doesn't just cause squamous cell carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:45.000 --> 00:14:47.676 There's a very unique new entity

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.364$  related multi phenotypic sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:14:49.364 --> 00:14:52.446 carcinoma that actually shows a mix of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:52.446 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.418$  squamous and salivary differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.760$  either myoepithelial or ductal combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.244$  Care of it was originally described

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:14:59.244 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.910$  as HPV related carcinoma with adenoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.317$  cystic like features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}15{:}03.320 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}05.329$  but it's not an adenoid cystic carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:05.330 --> 00:15:06.989 and sometimes it doesn't look like much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:06.990 --> 00:15:09.222 much like one, they lack the

00:15:09.222 --> 00:15:11.200 characteristic fusions of adenoid cystic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.853$  and instead harbor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:12.853 --> 00:15:14.506 transcriptionally active HPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:14.510 --> 00:15:16.250 Uniquely HPV type 33,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.365$  which is which is not seen a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:19.365 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.890$  lot in squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.426$  So this tumor you can see its resemblance

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:24.426 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.226$  stagnant cystic carcinoma here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:26.226 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.856$  cribriform architecture and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:27.856 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.660$  ductal in my web ethereal differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}15{:}30.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.670$  But other areas look more basaloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:32.670 --> 00:15:35.081 look more squamous and it even is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:35.081 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.756$  associated with some squamous dysplasia

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:36.756 --> 00:15:39.168 on the surface in a large proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:39.168 --> 00:15:41.424 of cases which really point to it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:41.424 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.146$  probably being a tumor of surface

00:15:43.146 --> 00:15:45.784 origin that just happens to be showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:45.784 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.987$  some salivary differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.379$  Now on stains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:48.379 --> 00:15:50.694 P40 highlights the squamous component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.272$  but it also highlights the myoepithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:52.272 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.709$  component and you can see the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

00:15:53.710 --> 00:15:55.460 Septal component is negative here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:15:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.748$  which is is what you want for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}15{:}57.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59.661$  For this diagnosis to prove that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}15{:}59.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.502$  it has a biphasic differentiation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00:16:02.502 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.458$  true myoepithelial differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}16{:}04.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.986$  And here's our Nancy 2 hybridization

NOTE Confidence: 0.83264559325

 $00{:}16{:}06.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.670$  we happen to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:08.757 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.230$  a a probe that is specific for type 33

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.406$  and that allows us to recognize that

00:16:13.406 --> 00:16:16.069 and in this tumor which is kind of fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}16{:}16.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.023$  Now, this is a tumor HPV related

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:18.023 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.219$  multi phenotypic sinonasal carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:19.219 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.734$  that's important to recognize 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:20.734 --> 00:16:22.709 it actually has a good prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.470$  which is refreshingly different from

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.660$  many other center nasal tract tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:26.660 --> 00:16:28.596 It looks really bad, it looks high grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:28.600 --> 00:16:31.225 but only about a third recur locally

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:31.230 --> 00:16:33.070 and distant metastasis, lymph node,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}16{:}33.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.575$  metastasis and death from disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:34.575 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.779$  are all very rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.708$  so this is 1 where HPV testing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:37.708 --> 00:16:39.188 important to make the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.894$  and helps helps point or it out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}16{:}41.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.718$  better outcomes for the patient.

00:16:43.720 --> 00:16:44.880 Alright, moving it slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:44.880 --> 00:16:46.040 outside the HPV realm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.870$  although will return briefly as

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.700$  the issue of Sinonasal papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:49.700 --> 00:16:52.115 Now this is another pathway of Carcino

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:16:52.115 --> 00:16:54.260 Genesis in the Sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.480$  and as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}16{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.593$ sinonasal papillomas used

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:56.593 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.077$  to be called schneiderian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}16{:}58.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59.942$  They've taken Schneider out of out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:16:59.942 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.897$  the name just to remove the eponym.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}17{:}01.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.190$  Nothing unsavory associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.340$  with him like other eponyms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}17{:}05.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07.722$  but inverted papillomas are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:07.722 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.100$  common exophytic and oncocytic also occur,

 $00:17:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.483$  and carcinomatous transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:12.483 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.249$  Is the thing that everyone worries

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:15.249 --> 00:17:17.330 about with inverted papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.152$  This occurs in up to 15% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:19.152 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.636$  inverted papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:19.636 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.088$  It's probably a little on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:21.088 --> 00:17:22.468 higher end in terms of statistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.606$  probably a little lower these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:24.610 --> 00:17:26.770 5% of Oncocytic papillomas and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:26.770 --> 00:17:28.930 very rare with exophytic papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.808$  And really there's no reliable histologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:30.808 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.761$  features to predict what will what

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:32.761 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.386$  will lead to malignant transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}17{:}34.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.546$  It's nothing that we can see histologically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:36.550 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.906$  based on how the papilloma looks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.046$  and even the number of recurrences

 $00:17:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.878$  clinically doesn't predict the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:41.878 --> 00:17:43.545 development of carcinoma most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:43.545 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.905$  Most times we can make the diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:45.905 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.484$  a carcinoma ex papilloma because we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:48.484 --> 00:17:50.868 see a benign papilloma underlying and

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:50.868 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.794$  and usually the carcinomas are squamous cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:17:53.800 --> 00:17:56.117 So here's just a couple slides illustrating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:17:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.550$  Here's a nice benign inverted papilloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}17{:}58.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.602$ nice rounded nests extending downward

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:00.602 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.157$  into the stroma but no cytologic atypia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}18{:}03.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.572$  whereas the squamous cell carcinoma arising

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:05.572 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.220 \text{ X}$  inverted papilloma shows increased atypia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68709951692307700:18:08.220 --> 00:18:08.686 infiltrative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}18{:}08.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.618$  and expanse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:09.618 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.060$  I'll growth and a lot of.

00:18:15.060 --> 00:18:16.512 Downward extension come on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:18:16.512 --> 00:18:18.327 Civic Center nasal papillomas have

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:18.327 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.940$  a different appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.312$  They're more glandular looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:18:21.312 --> 00:18:23.860 Bilayer \*\*\*\*\* epithelium with

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.660$  microcysts and microabscesses and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:18:27.660 --> 00:18:29.840 carcinoma Exxon caustic papilloma we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}18{:}29.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.381$  In this case we see colonization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:32.381 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.034$  an atypical squamous proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:34.034 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.200$  with that uncle cynic epithelial now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}18{:}38.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.640$  Just to go back to the HPV issue

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.380$  for a moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.500$  There has been historical controversy about

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}18{:}43.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.960$  the role plays in Sinonasal Papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.857$  This is one of these annoying topics

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:18:47.857 --> 00:18:49.359 in the literature that literally

 $00:18:49.359 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.939$  the prevalence ranges from 0%

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.320$  to 100% in old literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.306$  In any role you can imagine

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:55.306 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.299$  has been proposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:18:56.300 --> 00:18:57.760 does it initiate the papilloma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.800$  Is it implicated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:18:58.800 --> 00:18:59.632 growth as a papilloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00:18:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.216$  or does it play a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:19:02.216 --> 00:19:02.952 malignant transformation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

 $00{:}19{:}02.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.816$  Now there's a lot of issues when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:19:04.816 --> 00:19:06.470 dig through this literature and try

NOTE Confidence: 0.687099516923077

00:19:06.470 --> 00:19:08.180 to figure out what's actually going

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:08.233 \dashrightarrow 00:19:10.104$  on here. A lot of the older studies used

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:10.104 --> 00:19:11.860 on PCR based testing that was very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.620$  very sensitive and detected virus

 $00:19:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.018$  at low levels. That was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}19{:}16.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.356$  necessarily biologically relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:17.360 --> 00:19:19.415 Some studies did not separate

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:19.415 --> 00:19:22.350 low risk and high risk HPV types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.793$  Some studies conflated

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:23.793 --> 00:19:25.236 different papilloma types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.208$  and many studies did not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:27.208 --> 00:19:28.192 central pathology review,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.964$  which would particularly allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:29.964 --> 00:19:31.728 separation of papillary squamous

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:31.728 --> 00:19:33.724 cell carcinomas that don't truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:33.724 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.128$  have a papilloma component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}19{:}35.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.930$  From a true carcinoma X kapalama now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.896$  In recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:40.896 --> 00:19:43.150 use of of techniques like RNA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:43.218 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.498$  site 2 hybridization have allowed for

 $00:19:45.498 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.124$  more consensus on the role of HP exited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:48.124 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.488$  Papilloma is at least 25 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.730 55\%$  of them have low risk HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.000$  kind of similar to papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:54.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.226$  Another headed next site, so that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:19:56.230 --> 00:19:56.934 Inverted papillomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:19:56.934 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.398$  about 10% of them do have low

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}19{:}59.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01.774$  risk HPV and it is possible that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:01.774 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.045$  that is associated with a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:04.045 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.837$  risk of malignant transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:05.840 --> 00:20:06.410 Performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:06.410 --> 00:20:08.690 absolutely no association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}20{:}08.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.545$  and multiple studies using RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:10.545 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.400$  insight to hybridization have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:12.466 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.322$  found transcriptionally active high

00:20:14.322 --> 00:20:16.106 risk in any papilloma subtype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:16.106 --> 00:20:18.080 so there really is not any

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:18.144 --> 00:20:20.426 indication for testing at any type

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:20.426 --> 00:20:22.520 of sinonasal papilloma at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.880$  so if not HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:23.880 --> 00:20:25.580 what's causing the sinonasal papilloma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.045$  There's been this excellent series

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:27.045 --> 00:20:29.122 of papers that have come out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:29.122 --> 00:20:30.512 University of Michigan premiere in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:30.512 --> 00:20:32.314 Utica and Noah Brown that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:32.314 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.834$  parse this out really nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.560$  In the past few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:35.560 --> 00:20:37.480 it most inverted papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091 00:20:37.480 --> 00:20:38.440 For now. NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:38.440 --> 00:20:41.518 Recognized to have activating EGFR mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.956$  as do the associated squamous cell

 $00{:}20{:}43.956 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.580$  carcinomas and oncotic papillomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:45.647 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.677$  have consistent care as mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.067$  as do the carcinomas associated with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:50.070 --> 00:20:52.310 So now we have a clear oncogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.948$  driver associated with both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:53.948 --> 00:20:56.246 these types of papilloma that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:56.246 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.753$  of explains their growth now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:20:58.753 --> 00:20:59.146 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:20:59.146 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.897$  that is not those mutations are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:01.897 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.138$  enough for squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:04.140 --> 00:21:05.014 Recently again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:05.014 --> 00:21:07.199 the same group for Michigan

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}07.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.510$  reported that transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}08.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.506$  Squamous cell carcinoma required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:10.506 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.001$  The accumulation of additional mutations

 $00:21:13.001 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.645$  so the squamous cell carcinoma does

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:15.645 --> 00:21:18.370 harbor the underlying EGFR KRAS mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:18.370 --> 00:21:20.280 but it gains additional TP

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.289$  53 or CDKN 2A alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}22.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.595$  In most cases when those additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}24.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.725$  alterations are not seen in matched

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:26.725 --> 00:21:28.210 Sinonasal papilloma and really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.980$  this mutational profile is unique among

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}29.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.790$ head neck squamous cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.250$  so it's a very unique group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}34.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.785$  Now there are some implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:35.785 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.399$  for treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.500$  although this is not yet fleshed

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.692$  out yet either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:39.692 --> 00:21:42.152 EGFR mutations of course broadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:42.152 --> 00:21:43.628 are potentially actionable,

00:21:43.630 --> 00:21:45.772 similar to how they're they're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}45.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.506$  commonly targeted, and lung lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:48.506 --> 00:21:49.010 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.056$  most of the mutations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:21:51.056 --> 00:21:52.660 sinonasal papillomas are exon 20,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.090$  which are often resistant to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.674$  common EGFR inhibitors compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:56.674 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.130$  to exon 19 deletions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}21{:}58.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59.922$  but there are newer drugs that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:21:59.922 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.848$  be more robust to these alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}22{:}01.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.770$  so it's definitely a promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:22:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.250$  Ave for treatment in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00{:}22{:}06.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.834$  Have a last squamous thing I

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:22:07.834 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.890$  want to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:22:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.065$  Our fusion driven tumors that

 $00:22:11.065 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.370$  show squamous differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

00:22:12.370 --> 00:22:14.664 and this is something that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.924386175909091

 $00:22:14.664 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.698$  really flowered in the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:16.766 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.700$  years. So first I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.250$  touch briefly on nut carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:20.250 --> 00:22:21.355 This is something probably people

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}22{:}21.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.023$  are a lot of people are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:23.023 --> 00:22:24.318 with from different organ systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:24.318 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.020$  because this is a tumor type that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.346$  arises kind of throughout the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}22{:}27.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.744$  It used to be called nut midline

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:29.744 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.488$  carcinoma but midline of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}22{:}31.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.426$  has been removed from the name

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:33.426 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.350$  because it can occur anywhere.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:35.350 --> 00:22:37.840 Approximately 35\% of cases are involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.960$  head and neck and.

00:22:38.960 --> 00:22:40.640 Most commonly in the sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.140$  so it really is a hot spot for this tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:43.209 --> 00:22:45.834 to arise and defined by nut translocations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.448$  and this translation is not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:47.448 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.957$  play on to jenik role by blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:49.957 --> 00:22:51.785 epithelial differentiation and meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.658$  maintaining the proliferation of tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:54.660 --> 00:22:56.365 Now here's a beautiful example

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:22:56.365 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.729$  of classic nut carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:22:57.730 --> 00:22:58.434 Very primitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}22{:}58.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.194$  very high grade looking tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}23{:}00.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.020$  All the tumor cells are very monotonous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}23{:}02.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.675$  It is a translocation driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}23{:}03.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.999$  tumor but prominent nucleoli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.550$  Lots of necrosis in mitosis,

00:23:06.550 --> 00:23:08.097 very high grade, and often there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:23:08.097 --> 00:23:09.940 lot of tumor infiltrating neutrophils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.506$  which we're seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334 00:23:11.506 --> 00:23:12.028 Account. NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.410$  Here is what we classically

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}23{:}13.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.790$  think of with nut carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:23:14.790 --> 00:23:16.500 Unfortunately not there in every case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.628$  but when it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}23{:}17.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.320$  it's super helpful in this abrupt

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:23:19.381 --> 00:23:21.451 keratinization where you have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:21.451 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.521$  primitive selves that crossover really

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.228$  quickly to to form keratinized cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:25.228 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.484$  and that can be a really helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:27.484 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.254$  clue to the differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.307$  Now nut carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:23:30.307 --> 00:23:32.750 You know not only has squamous pearls,

 $00:23:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.860$  most cases have expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.014$  squamous markers such as P40I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:37.014 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.870$  add that a small subset of them don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.446$  so it is reasonable to do a nut

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.510$  even if you don't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.730$  Evidence of of overt squamous differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.198$  that does those do exist out there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.330$  but the real clincher is

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.608$  often the immunostain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}23{:}50.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.599$  The nut stain for that can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:53.599 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.290$  confirm the diagnosis now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.162$  A couple years ago I would have said

NOTE Confidence: 0.9103525933333334

 $00{:}23{:}57.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.170$  that this stain was 100% specific for

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:23:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.210$  nut carcinoma and it's not anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:01.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.136$  There's a few hybrid sarcomas that

 $00:24:03.136 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.122$  are also driven by nut translocation

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:05.122 --> 00:24:07.690 so that also picks up on the stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.316$  but a subset of poroid neoplasms

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:09.316 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.293$  of the skin have also been found

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:11.293 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.678$  to have not involved in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:12.680 --> 00:24:13.964 In the translocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:13.964 --> 00:24:16.524 So it's not not 100% specific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:16.524 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.634$  but in the sinonasal differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:18.634 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.760$  diagnosis it is really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334 00:24:20.760 --> 00:24:21.278 Now, not, NOTE Confidence: 0.91035259333333400:24:21.278 --> 00:24:21.796 of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:21.796 --> 00:24:23.091 is important to recognize because

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:23.091 --> 00:24:24.757 it's a highly aggressive malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.839$  median survival of less than a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.444$  There's been some temporary success with

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:29.444 --> 00:24:31.650 BROMODOMAIN inhibitors in clinical trials,

 $00:24:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.582$  but they don't seem to be improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:33.582 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.870$  outcomes that much overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.400$  but it is definitely something

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.914$  if you come across a case to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:38.914 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.492$  point the patients toward now

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:40.492 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.202$  adamantinoma like Ewing sarcoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:42.202 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.396$  another tumor that has recently been

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00{:}24{:}44.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.316$  recognized in the sinonasal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.736$  This is a really weird tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:47.740 --> 00:24:50.158 It's a rare variant of Ewing

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:50.158 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.770$  sarcoma in most cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334 00:24:51.770 --> 00:24:52.458 To date, NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:52.458 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.210$  have been reported in the head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

00:24:55.210 --> 00:24:56.561 We see we found that there they

NOTE Confidence: 0.910352593333334

 $00:24:56.561 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.296$  seem to be more common in the

 $00:24:58.296 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.676$  salivary glands than anywhere else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}24{:}59.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01.227$  But the sinon asal tract is is 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:01.227 --> 00:25:03.002 site where we do see them and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:03.002 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.559$  is another tumor that is defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:04.559 --> 00:25:05.888 by squamous differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.098$  So it's really bizarre.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}25{:}07.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.910$  It has the Ewing sarcoma fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:08.910 --> 00:25:11.792 It's positive for CD99 and NKX 2.2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:11.792 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.759$  but at the same time it shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:13.759 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.238$  diffuse cytokeratin expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:15.238 --> 00:25:17.934 positivity for P63 and P40 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:17.934 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.889$  actually forms overt keratin pearls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.995$  They're often abrupt pearls to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:20.995 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.578$  sort of sort of like we see in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334 00:25:22.580 --> 00:25:23.042 Not so. NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:23.042 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.197$  It's really weird that it's

 $00:25:24.197 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.650$  doing all the viewing things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.350$  whereas the same time staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:27.350 --> 00:25:28.710 much like a carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.925$  and it's something that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:29.925 --> 00:25:31.310 really actively have to think of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.434$  because otherwise you might not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:33.434 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.020$  think of doing the Ewing doing markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.750$  So here's a classic example of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:38.750 --> 00:25:41.186 adamantinoma like Ewing kind of small

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:41.186 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.166$  nest basaloid cells very infiltrative

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:43.237 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.217$  embedded in fibromyxoid stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.516$  These cells also tend to be very monotonous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}25{:}47.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.570$  They're a little bit lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.410$  grade looking than not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.398$  They don't really have

00:25:50.398 --> 00:25:51.139 those prominent nucleoli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.024$  and often there's not quite as

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:25:53.024 --> 00:25:54.850 much necrosis and mitotic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.229$  But you do see a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:56.229 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.570$  of this abrupt keratinization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:25:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.035$  I'll say the keratinization is pretty rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.986$  It's it's not a majority of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:02.986 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.029$  cases that happen, so this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:05.029 --> 00:26:06.238 again, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.016$  something to to keep in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}26{:}08.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.360$  even if it's lacking bad feature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.132$  And here's the stains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:12.132 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.018$  Diffuse keratin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:13.020 --> 00:26:15.099 positive iti more than kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:15.099 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.281$  focal keratin that you can occasionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:17.281 --> 00:26:18.917 encounter in regular viewings.

00:26:18.920 --> 00:26:21.416 P40 really diffusely strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}26{:}21.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.420$  positive CD 99 strongly positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:23.420 --> 00:26:26.458 and again they have the WS R1 fly one

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:26.458 --> 00:26:29.130 fusion that we expect to see in doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.450$  so is this really a sarcoma when it's?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:31.450 --> 00:26:33.268 Staining so much like a carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:33.270 --> 00:26:34.846 it's an excellent question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}26{:}34.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.210$  and it's controversial different there are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}26{:}37.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.975$  There are different opinions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:38.975 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.705$  this in the literature there

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:40.705 --> 00:26:42.280 have been some identical tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.175$  that have been described as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}26{:}44.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.625$  carcinoma with doing like elements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.302$  and it used to be that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:47.302 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.062$  fly one fusion was considered

 $00:26:49.062 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.470$  pathognomonic for Ewing diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}26{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.990$  So clearly if the tumor had that fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:26:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.670$  we considered a Ewing I think now

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:54.670 --> 00:26:56.463 so many more fusions that occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:56.463 --> 00:26:58.158 in different tumors of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:58.158 --> 00:26:59.730 lineages have been recognized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:26:59.730 --> 00:27:00.214 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:00.214 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.908$  it might be time to question question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.878$  However, uhm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:27:02.878 --> 00:27:05.298 It's definitely a discrete entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}27{:}05.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.304$  whichever whichever group you

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:06.304 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.559$  want to put it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.450$  I'll also add that you ain't

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}27{:}09.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.395$  specific chemotherapy regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.540$  which are a little different

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}27{:}11.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.224$  than carcinoma regimens,

 $00:27:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.378$  have led different responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:13.378 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.239$  with several patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.155$  which might argue to keep it in the circle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:27:18.160 --> 00:27:20.056 Alright, so the left's Klamath entity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.278$  I want to talk about is

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:21.278 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.340$  really a brand new one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.398$  which is a new group of carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}27{:}24.398 --> 00{:}27{:}26.500$  that have a deck aft 2 fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.728$  Now it's really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:27.728 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.956$  how these tumors arose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.767$  They actually were described in a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

00:27:31.767 --> 00:27:34.223 report of a patient who had a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}27{:}34.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.198$  dramatic response to immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00{:}27{:}36.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.670$  and they did extensive sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.900053225833334

 $00:27:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.655$  other tumor and found that they happen

 $00:27:39.655 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.495$  to have this decaf 2 fusion on that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:27:41.552 --> 00:27:42.190 they thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:27:42.190 --> 00:27:45.070 Was, you know, a neoadjuvant Neo

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:27:45.070 --> 00:27:47.909 neo antigen against which the tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:27:47.910 --> 00:27:50.256 Other that immune response was occurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:27:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.670$  a couple more cases, though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:27:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.320$  were then reported in the pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:27:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.681$  literature and it seemed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:27:54.681 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.123$  it was not just a random event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:27:56.130 --> 00:27:58.818 it was actually a recurring thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:27:58.820 --> 00:27:59.996 Now, even weirder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:27:59.996 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.740$  the two genes involved in this fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.468$  are not particularly common in Carson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.560$  No ones deck has been upregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.792$  It's been found to be upregulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:28:11.792 --> 00:28:13.536 in various cancer types,

 $00:28:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.172$  and it is rearranged with NHP

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:28:16.172 --> 00:28:18.404 214 in a subset of leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.130$  but really not reported in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:20.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.850$  carcinomas before and after two

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:21.916 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.636$  actually has had not previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:23.636 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.660$  been reported in cancer at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:25.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.970$  although it is closely related

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.650$  to a family of genes which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

00:28:28.650 --> 00:28:29.842 Are implicated in leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:29.842 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.012$  so once these came in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:32.012 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.294$  we were really curious in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00{:}28{:}34.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.552$  finding more of them and and kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00{:}28{:}36.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.216$  figuring out what they look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00{:}28{:}38.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.407$  There were only a couple pictures in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858372003181818

 $00:28:40.407 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.758$  the in the initial papers that that.

 $00:28:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.238$  You know, just kind of describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:28:46.238 --> 00:28:47.440 them as as high grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:28:47.440 --> 00:28:48.481 extensively infiltrative tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:28:48.481 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.910$  and so we were interested in finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:28:50.961 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.209$  out if there was a way we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:28:53.209 --> 00:28:54.080 histologically recognize them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}28{:}54.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.950$  especially if there was potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:28:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.450$  Relevance to immunotherapy response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:28:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.200$  So we found over 3 institutions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:03.200 --> 00:29:05.390 a bunch of non criticizing sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}29{:}05.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07.257$ install based squamous cell carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:07.257 --> 00:29:09.693 that were negative and EVV negative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:09.693 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.587$  these were tumors that previously were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}29{:}11.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14.102$  kind of put in the non keratinizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:14.102 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.310$  squamous cell carcinoma category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:17.310 --> 00:29:19.806 And when we ran RNA sequencing on these,

 $00:29:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.683$  13 of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:20.683 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.310$  almost half had a deck Act 2 fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.446$  So among HPV indeed negative non keratinizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:26.446 --> 00:29:28.710 squamous cell carcinoma that are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}29{:}28.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.210$  you know other fusion related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:30.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.410$  These are actually not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.236$  uncommon and we didn't do a full

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}29{:}34.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.062$  DNA sequencing as well on a few of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:36.062 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.768$  the cases and they didn't have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:37.768 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.300$  other oxygenic driver alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.638$  So it really seems that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:40.638 --> 00:29:41.530 though they're weird jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.272$  Decap 2 seems to be driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:44.272 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.720$  the pathogenesis of this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:46.720 --> 00:29:47.917 After looking at a bunch of them,

 $00:29:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.128$  we found that they really did have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}29{:}50.128 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}29{:}52.119$  some recurrent pathologic characteristics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.366$  They tend to grow in complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:29:55.370 --> 00:29:57.026 Actually should point out really quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.745$  A lot of them were called papilloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.950$  There were three of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:29:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.910$  that were called benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.297$  sinonasal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}30{:}01.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.232$  papillomas and three were called

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:30:03.232 --> 00:30:05.390 squamous cell carcinoma ex papilloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.099$  so they actually in contrast with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:08.099 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.767$  first couple examples which were high grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.130$  A lot of these had a more low

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}30{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.430$  grade appearance and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.838$  as I as I'm getting too they seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:15.838 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.149$  to be pretty recognizable,

 $00:30:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.926$  so a lot of them show kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}30{:}19.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.557$  complex an astomosing lobules kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:21.557 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.862$  of downward growth tend to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:30:23.862 --> 00:30:25.127 deep pushing pattern of invasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.480$  but have a rounded border.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.470$  Often that can mimic a benign process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.114$  They do show some more confluent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:32.114 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.173$  growth and we expect to see in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}30{:}34.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.535$  papilloma as opposed to the Nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:35.593 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.555$  separated lobules we see in papilloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.786$  And one thing that seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:30:39.786 --> 00:30:41.594 pretty helpful for for and pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}30{:}41.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.340$  specific is that they have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:30:43.406 --> 00:30:45.176 central areas of this cohesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.100$  whether they're tumor cells

 $00:30:46.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.480$  just fall apart from each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}30{:}47.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.718$  and that always makes me think

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:49.718 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.837$  of the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.440$  They do tend to have very bland technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.580$  The first examples were on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.880$  higher grade end of the spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:30:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.267$  but the vast majority that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:30:58.267 --> 00:31:00.216 actually were lower grade appearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:00.216 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.994$  and kind of like not carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.602$  They have a lot of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:31:04.602 --> 00:31:05.136 infiltrating neutrophils,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.650$  and that also shows overlap

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.556$  with sinonasal papillomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.335$  which can also have tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:09.335 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.045$  infiltrating neutrophils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.842$  So lots of things kind of kind

 $00:31:11.842 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.843$  of leading to red herrings in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:13.843 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.010$  terms of classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:31:15.010 --> 00:31:17.140 Occasionally they have squamous pearls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:31:17.140 --> 00:31:19.240 but most of them are non keratinizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}31{:}19.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.880$  and they show immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}31{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23.568$  evidence of squamous differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.018$  So interestingly as as we kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:26.018 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.242$  of characterize these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.811$  we notice that they looked a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}31{:}28.811 \longrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.658$  like a group of other tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00:31:30.658 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.350$  had been described in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

 $00{:}31{:}32.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.916$  as low grade papillary schneiderian

NOTE Confidence: 0.92830525

00:31:33.916 --> 00:31:35.426 carcinoma about 14 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:31:35.430 --> 00:31:37.600 literature also had complex papillary

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:37.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.770$  architecture and frequent low grade

00:31:39.832 --> 00:31:42.447 psychology also mimics sinonasal papillomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.601$  We suggested this when we wrote up our paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:44.601 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.690$  and almost simultaneously another group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.007$  Included some of the original tumors reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:31:49.007 --> 00:31:51.108 under that other name and found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:31:51.108 --> 00:31:53.269 they were also had deck asked to fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.511$  so this is all thought to be part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:55.511 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.629$  the deck aft 2 carcinoma special.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:31:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.520$  So do we care clinically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:32:00.520 --> 00:32:02.150 Well, although they're low grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.534$  they can't actually behave

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:03.534 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.226$  pretty aggressively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.330$  A lot of them occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.065$  and a significant subset metastasized

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:07.065 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.610$  and even lead to death from disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.276$  so it's something to recognize is not

00:32:11.276 --> 00:32:13.498 just a very, very low grade tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:32:13.498 --> 00:32:15.383 certainly not just a papilloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.690$  and the real question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:32:16.690 --> 00:32:19.200 Raised by the first recognition of it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.797$  is this a new target for immunotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.368$  Of course there was one patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:23.368 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.839$  did really well on immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.190$  We actually had two in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}32{:}26.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.713$  series who happened to be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:27.713 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.849$  for immunotherapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}32{:}28.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.282$  One responded at first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:30.282 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.072$  although they later recurred and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}32{:}32.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33.357$  unfortunately died of a disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.818$  and one patient did not respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.484$  So it doesn't seem to be a slam dunk.

 $00:32:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.460$  But overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}32{:}38.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}41.370$  it's an interesting addition to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.078$  squamous neoplasia in the sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:32:44.080 --> 00:32:46.423 so in the 5th Edition 8 WHO classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:46.423 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.878$  which hopefully should be coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:47.878 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.740$  out this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.440$  several of these entities have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}32{:}51.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.790$  recognized squamous cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:32:52.790 --> 00:32:54.590 in general is still split into

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.147$  keratinizing and non keratinizing types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}32{:}56.150 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}32{:}58.320$  but associated squamous cell carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:32:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.490$  and deck after carcinomas are

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:00.555 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.787$  going to be recognized as subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:02.790 --> 00:33:04.374 With multi phenotypic carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:04.374 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.750$  and nut carcinoma are recognized as

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}06.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.471$  separate entities and adamantinoma

00:33:08.471 --> 00:33:10.898 like Ewing sarcoma is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}10.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.818$  a subtype of Ewing sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:12.820 --> 00:33:14.512 There's no special recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:14.512 --> 00:33:16.204 for carcinomas X kapalama,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.540$  but that pathogenesis is also reflected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667 00:33:19.540 --> 00:33:19.882 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:19.882 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.276$  so going on to another interesting area

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}22.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.880$  of Swiss sniff complex deficient tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.004$  Now the sweets sniff complex met

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:27.004 --> 00:33:28.420 stands for switched sucrose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.632$  non fermenting belicza,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:29.632 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.440$  chromatin remodeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}30.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32.760$  complex 15 protein subunits that

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.248$  are coded for by up to 29 jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.918$  And there's lots of these that

 $00:33:37.918 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.752$  are very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:38.760 --> 00:33:40.824 The ones we in pathology here about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:40.824 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.958$  lot are smart be one hour I and I-1

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:42.958 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.100$  on smarca 4 or BR G1 and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:45.100 --> 00:33:46.996 the ones that have become important

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}46.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.864$  to pathogenesis in the sinonasal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:33:49.870 --> 00:33:51.510 They play an important role

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}51.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.822$  in remodeling nucleosomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00{:}33{:}52.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.407$  regulating the accessibility of DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78518142666666700:33:54.410 --> 00:33:55.126 which can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:55.126 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.213$  you know have a huge role in cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:33:58.213 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.997$  mutations in at least one of the subunits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

00:34:00.000 --> 00:34:01.680 And of course there's a bunch of subunits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.941$  so lots of opportunities but can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:03.941 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.194$  seen in up to 25% of human cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:06.194 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.124$  so they really seem to play a

 $00:34:08.124 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.509$  very important role arid 1A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.030$  which is of course seen in a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.959$  of a lot of gynecological cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.229$  is the most common implicated in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.306$  But it's really across the board

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.218$  and we have tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:19.218 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.870$  some of them that are defined by

NOTE Confidence: 0.785181426666667

 $00:34:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.065$  the presence of Swiss knife.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}34{:}22.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.930$  Alterations some that frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.325$  have these alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:25.330 --> 00:34:27.460 although they're not exclusive and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:27.460 --> 00:34:29.993 some tumors that kind of have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}34{:}29.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.087$  alterations at the secondary or tertiary

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}34{:}32.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.277$  that as part of of differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.761$  So the tumors we want the complex

00:34:36.761 --> 00:34:38.728 numbers we want to talk about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:38.728 --> 00:34:40.508 are smart B1 and Smart K4 smart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.470$  B1 deficient tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.710$  We're very familiar with many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:43.767 --> 00:34:46.023 that are defined by loss of smart V1

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:46.023 --> 00:34:47.742 rhabdoid tumor, atypical teratoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:47.742 --> 00:34:50.046 rhabdoid tumor, epithelioid sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.690$  renal medullary carcinoma, very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:34:52.690 --> 00:34:53.942 Smacking 4 deficient tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:53.942 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.203$  the most common one defined by that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}34{:}56.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57.838$  is ovarian small cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:34:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.860$  hypercalcemic type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}34{:}58.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01.920$  but it's also seen in undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.155$  uterine and thoracic Neoplan Now in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:05.155 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.914$  past decade it has been recognized as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:07.914 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.410$  important player in the sinonasal tract.

 $00:35:10.410 \dashrightarrow 00:35:12.555$ Smarcb 1 deficient sinonasal carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}35{:}12.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.488$  are a unique and newly recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:15.488 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.618$  sinonasal specific malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.705$  It is defined by recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:35:19.705 --> 00:35:20.956 Mark B1 inactivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.648$  and they don't have any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:35:23.648 --> 00:35:24.992 recurrent oncogenic mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.140$  and they have a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}35{:}27.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.996$  variable immunophenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:28.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.680$  so they have lots of smart view

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.700$  on one by immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:31.700 \dashrightarrow 00:35:33.700$  Some of them are positive for P63 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.804$  P40 and have to be kind of considered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}35{:}35.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.300$  your squamous differential diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.872$  Some of them have synaptophysin positive

 $00:35:38.872 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.755$  ITI so it can be really heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}35{:}40.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.589$  and it really is that smart B1

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.090$  that is central to classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.190$  As a result of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.486$  they were initially reclassified

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:48.486 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.208$  from several categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:50.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.666$  We think of these as being pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:51.666 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.429$  out of the sinonasal undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:53.429 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.820$  carcinoma category, and most of them were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:55.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.506$  but others were called squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}35{:}57.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58.660$  carcinoma, myoepithelial carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.530$  even adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:35:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.140$  So they came from different areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}36{:}02.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.184$  Here's a beautiful example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:03.184 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.124$  most of them kind of show nests

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:05.124 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.714$  and lobules of basaloid cells

00:36:06.714 --> 00:36:07.668 with intermixed cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}36{:}07.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.590$  That sort of have a rhabdoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:36:09.590 --> 00:36:10.550 in plasmacytoid appearance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.210$  and that rhabdoid appearance can

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.243$  really make you think of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:36:14.243 --> 00:36:16.385 diagnosis if you happen to find them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.540$  I have seen cases unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.130$  that don't have that helpful clue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.315$  Here is some cytoplasmic maculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:23.315 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.420$  which is a very frequent finding in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.845$  these tumors and some some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:28.845 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.430$  tumors are more uniformly composed

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}36{:}30.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.970$  of the plasmoid or rhabdoid cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:36:33.970 --> 00:36:34.660 And this, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.270$  is one of these tumors with a

 $00:36:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.620$  recurrent genetic abnormality that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}36{:}37.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.570$  even though it's high grade it

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.335$  hasn't across in a lot of mitosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:41.335 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.950$  the cells still seem to look pretty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.532$  but not as they were all kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:44.532 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.988$  of cut out of the same cloth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.195$  And here is beautiful loss of smart

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:48.195 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.524$  be one with retained staining in

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:50.524 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.704$  endothelial cells as a control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.840$  which again other than the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}36{:}54.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.180$  immune profile, is heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:36:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.700$  But this is the key to the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00{:}36{:}58.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01.164$  Now smart B1 loss has also been

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:37:01.164 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.380$  recently reported in adenocarcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:37:03.380 --> 00:37:05.039 These can actually mimic yolk SAC tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:37:05.040 --> 00:37:06.768 and it's likely that things reported

00:37:06.768 --> 00:37:08.842 as yolk SAC tumors in the sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:37:08.842 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.282$  tractor also are all probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:37:10.282 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.280$  smart B1 deficient adenocarcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:37:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.800$  They even have gotten 3 and sell

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

00:37:14.800 --> 00:37:15.520 for positivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:37:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.350$  and it's not likely that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.852038641428572

 $00:37:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.570$  behave any different than

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

00:37:18.628 --> 00:37:20.458 other smart B1 deficient cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00{:}37{:}20.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.524$  but they actually do make glands

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:22.524 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.767$  and they still do have loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:24.767 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.856$  a smart V1 and then smarca 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00{:}37{:}26.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.800$ you know another switched across non

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:28.867 \dashrightarrow 00:37:31.453$  fermentable complex member has also recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:31.453 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.710$  been implicated in sinonasal cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.334$  Now this seems to be rarer than

 $00:37:35.334 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.979$  the smart B1 deficient tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.924$  There's only about 20 cases reported to date,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.506$  and in contrast, they tend to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

00:37:42.506 --> 00:37:44.310 neuroendocrine phenotype kind of patchy

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

00:37:44.310 --> 00:37:45.786 week positivity for synaptophysin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.410$  less expression of P. 40.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.494$  Most of them were previously classified

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00{:}37{:}51.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.036$  as neuroendocrine carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.412$  Here's a nice example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:54.412 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.045$  Most of them have this very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00{:}37{:}57.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.548$  grade undifferentiated basaloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:37:58.548 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.554$  small cell or large cell morphology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

00:38:01.560 --> 00:38:03.506 but a few of them look substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:38:03.506 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.062$  more rhabdoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:38:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.726$  kind of similar to the smart

NOTE Confidence: 0.789941586666667

 $00:38:05.726 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.554$  P1 deficient carcinoma.

00:38:11.310 --> 00:38:14.635 And here is the smart Smarca 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.676$  which is nicely lost with it

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:17.676 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.194$  retained internal control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.040$  So clinically, these are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.880$  to recognize because they're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:22.935 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.510$  aggressive tumors that really there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

00:38:24.510 --> 00:38:26.880 a few patients who had good outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.444$  had aggressive multimodality therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00{:}38{:}28.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.399$  But the five year disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

00:38:30.399 --> 00:38:32.117 free survival is very poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

00:38:32.120 --> 00:38:33.424 Hopefully they'll eventually become

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00:38:33.424 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.054$  relevant to treatment as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

00:38:35.060 --> 00:38:36.260 There's various potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716760741428571

 $00{:}38{:}36.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38.150$  like small molecule inhibitors, which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:38:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.402$  10 player role in various Swiss

 $00:38:44.402 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.960$  sniff mutated tumors that are under

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:38:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.536$  investigation and hopefully will

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:38:48.536 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.629$  provide new directions in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:38:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.494$  Now really quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:38:51.494 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.213$  I wanna touch base on an unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00{:}38{:}54.213 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.620$  addition to the Swiss Family Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:38:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.620$  nasal treto carcinosarcoma excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.710$  Isn't aggressive neoplasm unique to

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

00:39:03.710 --> 00:39:06.443 the sinonasal tract and it has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:06.443 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.707$  kind of an enigma for years it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:08.782 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.347$  defined by three intermixed components

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.574$  and neuro epithelial, epithelial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:13.574 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.354$  and mesenchymal all mixed together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.974$  which we look at some pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:17.974 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.380$  of and despite its name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.920$  it really doesn't have a

00:39:20.920 --> 00:39:22.152 conventional germ cell component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

00:39:22.160 --> 00:39:24.120 but because it has such diverse Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.970$  it's very difficult to diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.450$  on small biopsy specimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.964$  So here's a beautiful example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:29.964 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.640$  a classic torretto carcinosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:31.712 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.777$  with all of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

00:39:33.777 --> 00:39:35.016 elements mixed together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.220$  No no no no no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:36.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.248$  Let me just explain component that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00:39:39.248 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.920$  kind of a fetal clear cell of appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00{:}39{:}42.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.368$  So I'm more glandular looking area

NOTE Confidence: 0.722714998571429

 $00{:}39{:}45.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.860$  which kind of produces some music.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}39{:}49.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52.230$  Part of the epithelial elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:39:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.174$  here is a spindle component that

 $00:39:54.174 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.909$  tends to be hypercellular kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}39{:}55.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}57.429$  of nondescript spindle cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:39:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.700$  Sometimes it makes matrix either chondroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.483$  or osteoid matrix here and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:03.483 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.007$  Here's a neuroectodermal component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.470$  Primitive cells with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}40{:}07.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.930$  neuropil formation and rosettes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:40:09.930 --> 00:40:12.234 and all of these things are mixed together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.082$  and because this is such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:40:14.082 --> 00:40:15.003 histologically diverse tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}40{:}15.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}16.130$  it's historically been controversial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:16.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.198$  as to how it should be classified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:18.198 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.530$  and where it originates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.217$  Is it truly a germ cell tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:40:21.220 --> 00:40:23.398 Does it originate from some sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:23.398 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.260$  of a pluripotent stem cell,

 $00:40:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.290$  or is it some sort of divergent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}40{:}27.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.953$  differentiation and it's historically not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:28.953 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.167$  well characterized on a molecular level?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.234$  Now we looked at this not because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:33.234 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.420$  thought it was going to have any sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.100$  of sweet sniff related mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:40:37.100 --> 00:40:39.416 but actually because we'd written another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:39.416 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.570$  paper recently about thyroid teratomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:40:41.570 --> 00:40:42.944 totally different tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:42.944 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.234$  but also had multilineage differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.635$  and we'd found dyster mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:46.635 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.835$  in those that was fun and we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}40{:}48.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.323$  wondered if they were there as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:50.323 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.050$  well as enterado carcinosarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.996$  And I only bring that up to

 $00:40:53.996 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.830$  highlight to trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.576$  Sometimes the best research comes when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:56.576 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.332$  you're absolutely wrong and we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:58.332 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.687$  totally wrong about our hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:40:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.805$  But we found something else cool instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.249$  When we ran one case just to test it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.728$  we found lots of smart 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.998$  And we expanded that ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:09.998 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.982$  staining 18 of them and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:12.982 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.880$  immunohistochemical lost in 82% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.295$  them and we did sequencing on three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:18.295 --> 00:41:21.300 of them to start out with and all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}41{:}21.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.408$  them had biolex market for inactivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.290$  So it seems like Toretto

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:26.290 --> 00:41:28.170 Carcinosarcoma does fit into this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:28.170 --> 00:41:31.210 We sniff of deficient complex,

 $00:41:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.359$  and that's interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:32.359 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.274$  mostly in terms of classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:34.274 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.510$  of these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.800$  because it suggests that they're on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.478$  a spectrum with a smart K4 deficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:38.480 --> 00:41:39.150 Sinonasal carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:39.150 --> 00:41:41.830 as opposed to being a germ cell tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:41.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.326$  and that it's a stool for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:44.326 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.574$  for identifying them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.576$  Immunohistochemically when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:46.576 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.568$  the diagnosis is difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.554$  Of course, that is not the entire story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.478$  With these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:51.478 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.008$  there's another report in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:53.008 --> 00:41:54.817 literature of one with beta catenin

00:41:54.817 --> 00:41:56.247 mutation and and several other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:56.247 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.773$  cases in our series actually did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:41:57.773 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.313$  not have smart K four loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:41:59.313 --> 00:42:02.137 So since then we haven't published this yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.716$  but we've sequenced a bunch more of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.816$  14 cases of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:06.816 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.804$  and with known immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:08.804 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.268$  expression of smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.128$  Or or loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:11.128 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.581$  And we did find that most of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}42{:}13.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.849$  had either smart K4 mutations or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:42:15.850 --> 00:42:18.470 ctne B1 beta catenin mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.710$  although there were other genes implicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}42{:}21.710 --> 00{:}42{:}22.990$  I'm in a cluster together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.988$  tumors that had some market for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:24.988 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.550$  lost by amino Histochemistry had

 $00:42:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.605$  smart K4 inactivation or beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}42{:}28.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.660$  caten in mutations and then other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:42:30.731 --> 00:42:32.956 molecular alterations were seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:32.956 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.058$  other tumors and this actually kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:35.058 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.870$  of just expands the link between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:42:36.929 --> 00:42:38.465 Toretto carcinosarcoma and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:38.465 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.385$  sinonasal carcinomas because all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:40.385 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.917$  the mutations seen here have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00:42:42.917 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.585$  reported in sinonasal neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}42{:}44.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.460$  neoplasms and it also beta catenin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}42{:}47.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.840$  provides another helpful tool for diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

00:42:50.840 --> 00:42:51.126 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8142966

 $00{:}42{:}51.126 \to 00{:}42{:}52.270$  it's important to emphasize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:42:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.770$  Try to partner sarcoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:42:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.670$  a morphologic diagnosis.

00:42:54.670 --> 00:42:56.840 You're looking out for all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:42:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.094$  elements together and it is not yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:42:59.094 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.669$  defined by Swiss snapgene involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.250$  So in the next edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.228$  WHO sinonasal carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:03.228 --> 00:43:05.510 there's a new category for Swiss sniff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.530$  Complex deficient sinonasal carcinomas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:07.530 --> 00:43:10.150 includes this mark B1 deficient carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.874$  and adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:10.874 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.408$  as well as the smart K4 deficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:13.408 --> 00:43:14.910 carcinoma and Toretto carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.705$  is lumped under the Toretto.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}43{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.394$  Carcinosarcoma is lumped under

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:18.394 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.078$  the sinonasal carcinoma category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.481$  But it is not formally defined as

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:22.481 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.546$  a Swiss sniff deficient neoplasm.

 $00:43:24.546 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.654$  Alright, just a few more minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:27.660 --> 00:43:29.300 I really wanna hit something

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.612$  quick at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.700$  Definitely new and emerging

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:32.700 --> 00:43:34.780 story in sinonasal carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.818$  and that's tumors with IDH 2 mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.884$  Now we've talked about a lot of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:39.884 --> 00:43:41.700 types that have been reclassified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.310$  and a lot of these have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}43{:}43.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.016$  reclassified out of the sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:45.016 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.456$  undifferentiated carcinoma category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:46.460 --> 00:43:47.730 It's been a shrinking category

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.000$  in the last few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}43{:}49.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.668$  It's a hybrid carcinoma and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:43:50.668 --> 00:43:52.176 always kind of been regarded

 $00:43:52.176 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.856$  as a diagnosis of exclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}43{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.849$  No squamous differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:55.849 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.838$  no glandular differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.868$  Usually no neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:43:58.868 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.640$  I'm going to get back to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:00.640 --> 00:44:02.520 It's been controversial, but formally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.304$  according to the guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:04.304 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.605$  not and rule out all of these other

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:06.605 --> 00:44:07.836 tumor types. Smart one smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:07.836 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.460$  Or not all of the good stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}44{:}09.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.997$  once you rule everything out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:10.997 --> 00:44:12.870 you have a high grade carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.426$  you can call it a sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:15.426 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.278$  undifferentiated carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:16.280 --> 00:44:18.098 And here's an example of 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:18.098 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.770$  sheets of high grade cells,

00:44:19.770 --> 00:44:20.742 lots of necrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:20.742 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.686$  and these cells are a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:22.686 --> 00:44:24.426 bit more polymorphic than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:24.426 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.448$  ones that we see in other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.830$  Some of the translocations

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.865$  driven sinonasal tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.858$  often very prominent nucleoli

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:30.858 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.343$  kind of more nuclear atypia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.490$  Now, interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.632$  a lot of the residual category of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:37.632 --> 00:44:39.649 snuck that has not been reclassified

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}44{:}39.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.057$  was recently found to have IDH 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}44{:}42.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.535$  mutation anywhere between 50 and 88%

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:44.535 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.725$  of residual tumors in that category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.846$  Had IDH 2 hot spot mutations and

 $00:44:49.846 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.826$  what's interesting is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:51.826 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.410$  actually recognizable via mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:53.471 --> 00:44:55.169 specific immunohistochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:55.170 --> 00:44:57.330 which provides a confirmatory marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:44:57.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.895$  so it kind of moves snuck out of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:44:59.895 --> 00:45:02.027 category of a diagnosis of exclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.630$  and lets us actually actively

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.910$  prove what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.326$  So here's a beautiful example of the stain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:07.330 --> 00:45:08.818 It picks up the mutant protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:08.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.464$  so you are looking for positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:10.464 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.170$  expression in the cytoplasm of the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.678$  So that's really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}45{:}13.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.563$  Now the question of neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}45{:}15.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.155$  differentiation and stuck has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:17.155 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.316$  minimal controversial overtime.

00:45:18.320 --> 00:45:20.720 It actually originally was defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}45{:}20.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.640$  as having some neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.200$  differentiation despite its name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.925$  and although most pathologic guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:25.925 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.650$  do not technically allow this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.191$  it has been a source of persistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:30.191 --> 00:45:31.472 confusion because of distinctions

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:31.472 --> 00:45:33.296 with other high grade tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:33.296 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.170$  have neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}45{:}35.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.400$  Large cell neuron during carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:37.400 --> 00:45:39.184 has been particularly challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.450$  mostly because it shows substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:41.450 --> 00:45:42.354 histologic overlap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.118$  So here's a large cell neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:44.118 --> 00:45:44.704 endocrine carcinoma.

 $00:45:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.010$  Nest the cells pleomorphism prominent nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00{:}45{:}48.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.186$  A lot of cytoplasm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

00:45:49.186 --> 00:45:50.950 very similar to what we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:51.014 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.958$  in this knock up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.952$  but it shows substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.6281852575

 $00:45:53.952 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.948$  neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:45:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.595$  Here's one nuclear positivity kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:45:56.595 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.114$  of at a level that currently we

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:45:59.114 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.186$  wouldn't accept and snack. Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:01.186 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.466$  Large scale Neurontin carcinomas have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:03.466 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.475$  also recently been found to have IDH 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:46:06.475 --> 00:46:09.330 mutations up to 83% of them show mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.554$  which suggests that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}46{:}10.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.186$  as their morphology suggests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.615$  they probably actually are on

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:13.615 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.790$  us on a spectrum with sinonasal

 $00:46:15.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.170$  undifferentiated carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:46:17.170 --> 00:46:18.625 And here's an example of, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}46{:}18.625 \to 00{:}46{:}21.055$ nice IDH 2 mutant immunohistochemistry in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:21.055 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.828$  the largest cell neuron during carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.018$  Nicely positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:25.018 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.394$  now beyond morphology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:27.394 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.176$  immunohistochemistry and molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:29.176 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.600$  methylation profiling is something that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}46{:}31.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.240$  starting to emerge in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:34.313 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.449$  to be used for sinonasal tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.454$  and there's lots of different tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:38.454 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.501$  types that have very distinctive

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}46{:}40.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.427$  clustered methylation profiles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.818$  So regardless of Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}46{:}43.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.444$  IDH 2 mutant snuck and large cell

 $00:46:46.444 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.372$  neuroendocrine carcinoma do cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:48.372 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.300$  together on this methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.184$  Profiling with a global

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:46:52.184 --> 00:46:53.126 hypermethylation pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.540$  which is actually very characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.419$  of multiple tumor types that have died.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.926$  2 mutation and this kind of further

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:46:58.926 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.148$  validates that they are similar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}01.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.314$  probably belong in the same group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.060$  Now, does this matter clinically?

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}05.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07.440$  This also has significant prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:47:07.440 --> 00:47:09.820 implications because regardless of Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.520$  either large cell neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:47:11.520 --> 00:47:12.795 carcinoma or knock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.642$  they have better prognosis than snakes

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:14.642 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.318$  that don't have known mutations as well as

 $00:47:17.318 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.053$  smart B1 deficient sinonasal carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:19.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.052$  So it's helpful now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}21.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.614$  Prognostically in terms of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:22.614 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.146$  Of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.942$  I DH two as well as one encodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:26.942 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.890$  isocitrate dehydrogenase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.619$  Which is the enzyme that plays an

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}29.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.188$  important role in the Krebs cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.206$  So we were actually seeing real

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}33.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.918$  live significance of the Kreb

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:34.918 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.184$  cycle here and mutations lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}38.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.848$  Uncle metabolites which cause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}40.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.455$  Cancer and it's pretty common

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:42.455 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.739$  in different tumor types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.064$  Leukemia glioma chondrosarcoma are

00:47:45.064 --> 00:47:47.310 all very well known for having IDH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.718$  one or two mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:47:49.718 --> 00:47:50.320 Fortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.210$  inhibitors have been developed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.100$  can kind of induce differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:54.155 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.830$  and lead to treatment response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.570$  and it's a potential target

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}47{:}57.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.428$  for treating these IDH mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:47:59.428 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.358$  sinonasal tumors in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:01.360 --> 00:48:02.731 So 5th edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}02.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.102$  WHO classification sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:04.102 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.473$  undifferentiated carcinoma large

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}05.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.257$  cell neuron endocrine carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}07.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.949$  are actually still separately

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:08.949 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.840$  classified and it's mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.311$  Under in both disease chapters that IDH

 $00:48:13.311 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.092$  2 mutations are seen but it's not yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}16.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.832$  The classification driver will see

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:17.832 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.176$  how this holds forth in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:20.180 --> 00:48:22.028 Alright, so just to finish up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}22.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.109$ a molecular testing has had a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:24.109 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.247$  impact over the last decade in

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:26.247 --> 00:48:28.212 sinonasal tumors with definition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}28.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.370$  recognition of multiple new diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}30.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.730$  understanding the pathogenesis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:31.730 --> 00:48:33.770 existing tumor types as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}33.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.137$  clarifying relationships between

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:35.137 --> 00:48:36.877 entities that were previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}36.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.710$  regarded as entirely separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125 00:48:38.710 --> 00:48:38.992 Now, NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:38.992 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.966$  right now the practical implications of this,

00:48:40.970 --> 00:48:41.504 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:41.504 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.118$  are sort of at a precipice we like to learn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:44.118 --> 00:48:45.378 Data is sort of partially

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:45.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.469$  integrated into the new WHL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:46.470 --> 00:48:47.094 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:47.094 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.342$  some of these molecularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.590$  defined categories are there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.720$  This, we sniff deficient tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.912$  nut carcinoma, etc, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

00:48:52.912 --> 00:48:55.370 So those are written into the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:48:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.850$  but not all of it has crossed over over yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00{:}48{:}57.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.730$  In terms of shaping the classification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:49:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.295$  what's fortunate at this point

NOTE Confidence: 0.810374003125

 $00:49:02.295 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.860$  is that most relevant molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:49:03.915 --> 00:49:05.735 findings are identifiable through

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}05.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.100$  surrogate immunohistochemical or

 $00:49:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.920$  insight 2 hybridization markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.460$  So we can do a nut immunostain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}10.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.164$  We can do an IDH 2 immunostain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:12.164 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.201$  we don't necessarily have to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:14.201 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.860$  comprehensive sequencing and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.620$  we don't usually comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.080$  molecular analysis is not performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.530$  A standard of care and usually it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}22.530 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.910$  only used around here when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.582$  they're searching actively for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:26.582 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.120$  for end stage treatment options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:49:29.120 --> 00:49:32.594 So you know it's not quite in the mainstream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}32.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.570$  and it's certainly not impacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.860$  on standard of care treatment yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}36.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.225$  Now is further molecular classification

 $00:49:39.225 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.698$  coming?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}39.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}41.268$  I mean, we kind of all watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:41.268 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.629$  other other areas of pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:49:42.630 --> 00:49:43.524 I think neuropathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:43.524 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.610$  right now is is the main one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:49:45.610 --> 00:49:48.496 Switch over to an entirely molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}48.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.939$  driven classification system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.117$  There's actually this very week in ABCP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}49{:}52.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54.852$  There was a proposal for a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:54.852 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.140$  based subclassification of sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:49:57.140 --> 00:49:59.391 squamous cell carcinoma, and you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:49:59.391 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.453$  In some ways this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:00.460 --> 00:50:01.660 If it gives us more information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:01.660 --> 00:50:03.308 but in other ways we we risk making

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:03.308 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.134$  what is already a challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:05.134 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.499$  classification really inaccessible.

00:50:06.500 --> 00:50:07.313 For many pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:07.313 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.210$  if it if it is driven only

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:09.275 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.439$  by molecular testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.526$  and we also are struggling to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:12.526 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.589$  insurers and even Medicare to pay

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:14.589 --> 00:50:16.480 for molecular testing, so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:16.480 --> 00:50:18.300 do we really wanna hinge everything on

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:18.359 --> 00:50:20.655 on testing that we potentially can't even do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:20.660 --> 00:50:22.330 A can't even bill for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.258$  so it's a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}50{:}23.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.354$  I think it's gonna need to be resolved

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:25.354 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.974$  on multiple levels going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00{:}50{:}26.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.462$  And of course new categories still

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

00:50:28.462 --> 00:50:30.109 may be coming down the pipeline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.926$  So that still will be kind of evolving.

 $00:50:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.480$  Evolving changes as we go forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.460$  Alright, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82185596047619

 $00:50:36.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.050$  I'm happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905890574

 $00:50:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.040$  Thank you so much Lisa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905890574

 $00:50:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.196$  So if you have a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905890574

00:50:45.200 --> 00:50:47.660 please unmute yourself and ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905890574

 $00{:}50{:}47.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50.026$  I don't see any questions in chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914951585

00:50:56.920 --> 00:51:00.696 Hi Lisa. It's a really great talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914951585

 $00{:}51{:}00.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02.472$  so I have one question so I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914951585

 $00:51:02.472 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.699$  I'm the molecular pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914951585

 $00:51:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.769$  So here we do the UN command tests

NOTE Confidence: 0.729071692

 $00:51:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.560$  for the for the oncologist and occasion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729071692

 $00:51:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.160$  As I understand that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729071692

00:51:12.160 --> 00:51:14.740 And the smart smart CP1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729071692

 $00:51:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.748$  smart safe for deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729071692

 $00:51:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.870$  So carcinoma is measured based on the

00:51:19.870 --> 00:51:21.038 morphology and the immunostaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.648426692

 $00:51:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.080$  confirmation. Yeah, but occasionally we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6008665975

 $00:51:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.478$  see the molecular result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6008665975

00:51:25.478 --> 00:51:27.656 which is a hint. There's some smart

NOTE Confidence: 0.6008665975

00:51:27.656 --> 00:51:30.108 CP one and smart C4 deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6008665975

 $00:51:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.040$  but morphology doesn't quite fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:51:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.522$  the the what describes how do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:51:35.522 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.442$  explain for this excellent question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

00:51:37.442 --> 00:51:39.409 I don't. I don't know if we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00{:}51{:}39.409 \to 00{:}51{:}43.260$  answered that question yet, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00{:}51{:}43.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}45.900$  The way this tumor was defined, I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:51:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.720$  I'm saying I'm using molecular loosely as

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00{:}51{:}47.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.639$  in kind of molecular related findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:51:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:52.320$  but it really was defined based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

00:51:52.320 --> 00:51:53.811 immunohistochemistry and kind of the

 $00:51:53.811 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.080$  functional like loss of that of that protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:51:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.243$  So you know it's really a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:51:58.243 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.084$  question in terms of what to

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:00.084 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.770$  do with a tumor that doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

00:52:01.770 --> 00:52:04.596 Doesn't fit into into that category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:04.600 \dashrightarrow 00:52:06.840$  not apparently, but has has the loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.178$  I've had a couple of those cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.837$  that I've had some some apparent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:11.837 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.039$  In you know Histochemical findings or

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

00:52:14.039 --> 00:52:16.329 molecular findings and end up end up

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

00:52:16.329 --> 00:52:18.132 signing it out descriptively, but I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:18.132 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.028$  I'm not certain yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:19.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.590$  I think those are those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00{:}52{:}20.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.114$  It's not entirely clear what to

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:22.114 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.730$  do with those and and probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.627$  won't be until we have more clear,

 $00:52:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.356$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:26.356 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.808$  treatment implications for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:27.808 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.650$  what we do with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.727900485

 $00:52:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.160$  So my

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309903333333

 $00:52:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.385$  second question is

NOTE Confidence: 0.766309903333333

 $00:52:31.385 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.600$  regarding your presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:52:32.610 --> 00:52:35.196 and also there's some experts also

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:52:35.196 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.954$  speculate that the AI D18 losses

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:52:37.954 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.782$  also should be in that category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00{:}52{:}40.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}43.603$  but so far no body has discovered any

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:52:43.603 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.842$  case yet, at least in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:52:45.842 --> 00:52:47.890 And So what do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:52:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.889$  So that's interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00{:}52{:}48.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.220$  so I think there's a couple cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:52:51.283 --> 00:52:53.977 that have error 1A mutations in

 $00:52:53.977 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.324$  sinonasal neuroendocrine carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:52:55.330 --> 00:52:57.733 I think there was a paper from out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:52:57.733 --> 00:53:00.886 MSK a couple years ago that sequenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:00.886 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.296$  some neuroendocrine carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.344$  And I think there may have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:04.344 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.969$  one or two mutations in that there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00{:}53{:}06.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.930$  I know we have some emerging data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00{:}53{:}08.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.315$  There's some weird neuro epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:10.315 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.356$  tumors that I didn't get into here

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:12.356 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.180$  that are kind of overlap between

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.489$  olfactory neuroblastoma and carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:15.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.380$  And we have at least one of those that have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:53:17.380 --> 00:53:19.100 I have an error with 1A mutation 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.765$  so I think there's probably more more of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:20.765 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.498$  role for the other partners coming forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00{:}53{:}22.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.300$  I think. I think it's probably I mean,

 $00:53:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.954$  for whatever reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:24.954 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.480$  smart B1 is the most common here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.915$  so that's that's what we figured out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:53:27.920 --> 00:53:29.918 but I think I think there's going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:29.918 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.520$  more kind of figured out going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

00:53:31.520 --> 00:53:33.380 I think it's it's definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.496$  floating around there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.656145915714286

 $00:53:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.250$  OK, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

 $00:53:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.526$  Oh Lisa, do you mind on sharing your

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

 $00{:}53{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.230$  screen so I can see if there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

 $00{:}53{:}44.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}47.368$  any hands that are OK? Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

00:53:47.368 --> 00:53:51.466 I don't see any hands up right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

 $00{:}53{:}51.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.730$  so I'll go ahead and ask my question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

00:53:54.730 --> 00:53:58.648 You said that 83% of Sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

00:53:58.650 --> 00:54:00.106 Lascelles Newland, Ukraine,

00:54:00.106 --> 00:54:02.254 cold snow Mars turned out

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

 $00:54:02.254 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.264$  to have IDH 2 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

00:54:04.270 --> 00:54:06.686 What about the LC next of the lung?

NOTE Confidence: 0.30511427

 $00:54:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.800$  Do they have the same?

NOTE Confidence: 0.26466308425

 $00:54:08.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.570$  They don't. Come early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00.54:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.250$  Yeah, at least most of them don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.058$  I mean, largest owner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:54:15.058 --> 00:54:16.068 different person over the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:54:16.070 --> 00:54:17.984 To my you know somewhat novice

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:54:17.984 --> 00:54:19.719 understanding of it is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:54:19.719 --> 00:54:21.307 of three different pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.126$  and it's probably similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:22.126 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.146$  in the head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.625$  Honestly, it's kind of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:24.625 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.805$  amalgamation of different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.834$  Some of them are more like small cells

 $00:54:27.834 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.554$  and small cells than most most sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00{:}54{:}29.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.770$  not in not in the center nasal tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.170$  Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:54:32.170 --> 00:54:34.826 but most other sites have P53RB mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:34.826 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.794$  some of them some of large

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:36.794 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.386$  hereunder in the lung do have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:39.386 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.508$  same mutations adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.120$  so you might actually find a few with with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:54:43.120 --> 00:54:45.448 Smarca 4 there because that's actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286 00:54:45.450 --> 00:54:46.120 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00{:}54{:}46.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47.795$  reasonably common in lung adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00{:}54{:}47.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49.900$  Stew and then summer like carcinoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00{:}54{:}49.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52.238$  So I think it's probably analogous in

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00{:}54{:}52.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54.859$  the head and neck that large scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:54:54.859 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.794$  neuroendocrine with some of them

 $00:54:56.794 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.908$  are kind of like snuck some of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00{:}54{:}59.908 \to 00{:}55{:}02.680$  I didn't get into that now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:55:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.857$  Some of them also have HPV as

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:55:04.857 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.019$  well as small cell carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:55:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.084$  That's fairly commonly seen in sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

00:55:09.084 --> 00:55:10.460 neuroendocrine carcinomas as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:55:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.940$  so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.903194185714286

 $00:55:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.849$  Probably mixed bag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00{:}55{:}14.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.690$  The other question I have is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

00:55:16.690 --> 00:55:19.990 as of today in your practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00:55:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.228$  how do you pick this deck?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00:55:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.865$  F2 carcinomas because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00:55:23.865 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.045$  Histology is not enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00:55:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.970$  You would suspect it based on the Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00:55:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.834$  Where do you send it out to confirm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8270769

 $00:55:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.000$  and what tests do you request?

00:55:34.740 --> 00:55:36.336 So it's it's super frustrating right

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:36.336 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.239$  now because it's not widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.035$  Testing it is not available

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:40.035 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.180$  on our fusion panel here yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.308$  There is a fish that is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:55:44.308 --> 00:55:45.920 available at PROPATH in Dallas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.824$  TX and and that is is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:48.824 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.176$  place I have have sent one thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:55:52.180 --> 00:55:53.998 I have heard rumors that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:53.998 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.818$  is an immunostain and we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:55:55.818 --> 00:55:57.438 be hearing about it at uscap

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:55:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.105$  that that there's a forthcoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00{:}55{:}59.105 \to 00{:}56{:}01.040$  immunostain that picks up after two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.752$  and I think that that hopefully will help

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:03.752 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.998$  us recognize these more in the future

 $00:56:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.746$  since it doesn't have treatment implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.612$  At this point I have been mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:56:09.612 --> 00:56:11.220 signing these out descriptively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:56:11.220 --> 00:56:13.054 unless the clinicians really want to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.329$  and kind of say it has morphologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:56:16.329 --> 00:56:18.639 features suggestive of decaf too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00{:}56{:}18.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}20.432$  And and we can kind of pursue

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:20.432 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.850$  the testing if necessary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.680$  but I think we're a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.344$  little ahead of ourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.894$  I think in terms of recognizing these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:56:25.894 --> 00:56:28.129 fusions on research based sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

00:56:28.130 --> 00:56:29.760 but not necessarily being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00{:}56{:}29.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.390$  to find them clinically yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.318$  But hopefully they will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95303745

 $00:56:32.318 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.550$  Again,

 $00:56:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.558$  there will be a stain coming coming shortly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70837376

 $00{:}56{:}36.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39.595$  The third question I have is do you order

NOTE Confidence: 0.70837376

00:56:39.600 --> 00:56:44.185 CD 99 on all non keratinizing carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.70837376

00:56:44.185 --> 00:56:47.770 as I do no scared after your talks? Yes

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:56:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.698$  I'm always worried about missing adamantine

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:56:50.698 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.275$  oma like Ewing because that will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

00:56:53.275 --> 00:56:55.125 big treatment change I I think they

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:56:55.125 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.687$  do tend to air here on on doing chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:56:57.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.040$  For that you know. Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00{:}56{:}59.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00.980$  it's controversial whether that's right

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.512$  or that's wrong but but I at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00{:}57{:}03.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}05.760$  want that to be in the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00{:}57{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.890$  So if I do have a non Karen Ising carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:08.963 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.803$  that chose squamous differentiation I

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:11.803 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.268$  or another undifferentiated tumor that

00:57:13.268 --> 00:57:15.349 I'm having a hard time classifying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.870$  I will do a CD 99 for trainees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.262$  At the end, you know NKX 2.2 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

00:57:22.262 --> 00:57:23.966 also a great great Ewing marker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.979$  but there's a lot more overlap with

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:25.979 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.979$  other entities in the sinonasal tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:27.980 \dashrightarrow 00:57:31.325$  so NTX 2.2 tends to stain a lot of things

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:31.325 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.650$  with neuroendocrine differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00{:}57{:}32.650 --> 00{:}57{:}34.330$  and you see it in a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.750$  olfactory neuroblastomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821321155

 $00:57:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.000$  so I tend to air on CD 99 for that reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728688662

 $00:57:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.800$  It's a good good learning. Call message

NOTE Confidence: 0.911978777142857

 $00:57:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.405$  is there another question in

NOTE Confidence: 0.911978777142857

00:57:47.405 --> 00:57:50.530 the chat? Uh, something cropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:57:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.708$  up, yeah? How do you assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

00:57:53.708 --> 00:57:55.292 global DNA methylation?

 $00:57:55.300 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.204$  In your talk, there were a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:57:58.204 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.110$  entities that seemed to show distinctive

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:58:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.214$  patterns of global methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:58:03.220 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.030$  How widely have you applied

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:58:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.278$  this test in tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:58:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.196$  Does it provide classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

00:58:11.196 --> 00:58:14.112 information that is substantially

NOTE Confidence: 0.719996628888889

 $00:58:14.112 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.499$  different than RNA seek?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:17.190 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.890$  That is an excellent question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.794$  We have not used it widely widely at

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:58:20.794 --> 00:58:23.094 all at that point that that that chart

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:23.094 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.855$  that I was presenting was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:24.855 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.710$  from from from MSK who did it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:58:26.710 --> 00:58:28.420 Some generally speaking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.130$  the methylation analysis.

 $00:58:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.605$  It's beginning to be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:58:31.605 --> 00:58:32.490 widely in neuropathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.930$  but it's not widely available

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:33.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.330$  as a test on my understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.325$  in any neuropathologists can can chime in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.398$  Here are neuropathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:39.398 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.169$  as with I think multiple institutions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:58:42.169 --> 00:58:44.588 country send it all to the NIH to get done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:44.588 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.590$  so it's not a test at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.100$  That is, is widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00{:}58{:}49.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50.060$  And I think it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00{:}58{:}50.060 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}51.260$  and I think it provides

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:58:51.260 --> 00:58:52.090 interesting information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00{:}58{:}52.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53.428$  You know it's an interesting Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.060$  Different way to classify it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.580$  and if we're able to do it more,

 $00:58:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.675$  I think it will definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:58:57.675 --> 00:58:59.060 be great to see you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:58:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.223$  Does it add benefits to to classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:59:01.223 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.459$  to answer answer challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:59:02.459 \dashrightarrow 00:59:04.499$  questions or or you know is is RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:59:04.499 --> 00:59:06.360 C giving us everything we need?

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00:59:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.033$  I think that's a that's a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

 $00{:}59{:}08.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}09.843$  question and still a very early in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913606012

00:59:09.843 --> 00:59:11.143 the emergence of that technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5603736

 $00:59:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.420$  OK, thank you so much Lisa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890592248571428

 $00:59:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.220 \text{ I don't see any other hands up.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.890592248571428

 $00:59:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.920$  This was really very very educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.890592248571428

 $00{:}59{:}21.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.260$  for us head and neck with Ologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.890592248571428

 $00:59:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.948$  and I hope others also enjoyed it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966849775714286

00:59:28.760 --> 00:59:31.780 Thank you so much for having me. Thank you.