## WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:06:24" NOTE recognizability:0.861

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.31098902

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.470$  Well. While people are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.31098902

 $00:00:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.365$  logging in, we have 60, so I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.31098902

 $00{:}00{:}08.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.380$  get started with the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:00:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.809$  Most people don't need an introduction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:00:16.809 --> 00:00:20.440 Doctor Gibson, Doctor Gibson, but so my

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:00:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.320$  introduction is going to be very brief.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:00:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.359$  Dr Gibson did her bachelor's in science

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00{:}00{:}28.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.062$  from University of Minnesota and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:00:32.062 --> 00:00:37.230 went on to do MD PhD at the Mayo Clinic's

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:00:37.230 --> 00:00:40.230 School of Medicine. Following that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00{:}00{:}40.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.046$  she went to Brigham and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:00:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.438$  For a pathology residency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:00:46.438 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.765$  and she must have liked the northeast

 $00:00:50.765 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.820$  so much that she decided to pursue

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00{:}00{:}54.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.620$  further career in the Northeast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:00:57.620 --> 00:01:00.826 finishing up with a chief residency at

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:00.826 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.040$  Brigham and Women's and then a GI Fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.700$  She followed this with a brief stint

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:07.700 --> 00:01:10.534 back at Mayo Clinic and came right

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:10.534 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.044$  back and joined our department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:14.044 --> 00:01:18.275 In 2011, as assistant professor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953799011111111

00:01:18.275 --> 00:01:22.305 now Joanna Gibson is an associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:22.305 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.923$  professor in GI pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:24.923 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.214$  She serves on many committees and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:29.214 --> 00:01:32.262 currently the director of Quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:32.262 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.098$  and Patient Safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953799011111111

00:01:34.098 --> 00:01:36.026 In Joanne's practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:36.026 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.658$  she combines molecular pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:38.658 --> 00:01:40.632 and GI pathology,

 $00{:}01{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.165$  and today Doctor Gibson will

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:43.165 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.948$  share her insights. Indu.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:45.948 --> 00:01:51.020 Oncology and molecular pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.297$  A brief housekeeping notice that from today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:55.300 --> 00:01:55.876 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:01:55.876 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.908$  there has been a change to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:01:59.908 --> 00:02:03.975 CMA credit is given when you text

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:02:03.975 --> 00:02:06.012 your CMA credit number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:02:06.012 --> 00:02:10.129 If your CMA profile is up to date

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00{:}02{:}10.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.219$  with regards to disclosure of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7953799011111111

00:02:13.219 --> 00:02:17.040 conflicts of interest and other stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:02:17.040 --> 00:02:19.800 you would get CMA credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111 00:02:19.800 --> 00:02:20.370 Otherwise, NOTE Confidence: 0.79537990111111100:02:20.370 --> 00:02:21.510 you may.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:02:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.500$  Get a message that your profile is

 $00:02:25.613 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.584$  not up to date and Susanna has now

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:02:29.584 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.180$  found this out just today and she sent

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:02:33.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.270$  an email to the entire department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

 $00:02:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.730$  So it's a simple fix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:02:38.730 --> 00:02:42.030 Just update your CMA profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795379901111111

00:02:42.030 --> 00:02:45.038 With that, I'll let Joanna take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.790206436666667

00:02:46.190 --> 00:02:49.238 Thank you Manju. Share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895555935

00:02:54.350 --> 00:02:56.420 Go to presentation mode. Hopefully you

NOTE Confidence: 0.895555935

 $00:02:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.979$  guys can see that presentation mode.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

00:03:01.130 --> 00:03:03.044 Yes, great. I'm going to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

00:03:03.044 --> 00:03:04.950 to use this laser pointer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.275$  See if that works for highlighting things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.611$  So Andrew, thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

00:03:10.611 --> 00:03:12.598 the introduction and thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

00:03:12.598 --> 00:03:14.446 much for the opportunity to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00{:}03{:}14.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.839$  and give Brian Rounds and in our

00:03:16.839 --> 00:03:18.435 own department it's definitely a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:18.435 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.570$  privilege to be able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.167$  So I just want to come back to my early

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

00:03:24.167 --> 00:03:26.729 education and pathology and sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00{:}03{:}26.729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.639$  explain a few things that sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.312$  Have led to where I am today so my

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:33.312 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.898$  interested oncology started early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00{:}03{:}35.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.820$  In my, you know when I got to the Mayo

NOTE Confidence: 0.777844734545454

 $00:03:37.871 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.735$  Clinic for my for my MD PhD training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

00:03:41.940 --> 00:03:43.536 You know, I started to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:03:43.536 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.600$  interested in cancer biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00{:}03{:}44.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.696$  and I succinctly remember a lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00{:}03{:}47.696 \to 00{:}03{:}50.462$  that Doctor Brookhart gave when he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:03:50.462 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.332$  there at that time, and I I really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

00:03:52.332 --> 00:03:54.239 he was my first example of sort of,

00:03:54.240 --> 00:03:55.738 you know, how do you study cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00{:}03{:}55.740 --> 00{:}03{:}57.030$  How do you look at it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:03:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.706$  I think it triggered my interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:03:59.706 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.697$  in pathology and once I got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

00:04:02.697 --> 00:04:04.506 residency and into my fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:04.506 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.556$  I started to sort of submit my interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:07.556 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.766$  specifically more in GI cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:09.766 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.030$  and specifically colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.840$  And so yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.098$  I kind of went back and forth the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

00:04:15.098 --> 00:04:17.552 East and West Coast and just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:17.552 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.736$  to do one thing here and move now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922901777

 $00:04:20.736 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.310$  I can't do it just one second here guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890193559090909

00:04:28.240 --> 00:04:30.921 The zoom window is interfering with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.890193559090909

 $00:04:30.921 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.037$  view of the slides and it was I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.890193559090909

 $00:04:34.037 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.460$  move it out of the way. And so I.

00:04:41.150 --> 00:04:42.416 So when I arrived at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

00:04:42.420 --> 00:04:44.856 I already had a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}04{:}44.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.650$  interest in oncology and cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:04:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.630$  specifically colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:04:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.408$  And you know, when I got into

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

00:04:51.408 --> 00:04:53.175 the Yale practice, that's sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

00:04:53.175 --> 00:04:55.732 where I focused my efforts and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}04{:}55.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57.937$  Hopefully throughout this talk you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}04{:}57.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.772$  get to see how that intersection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:00.772 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.752$  Of oncology pathology molecular pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

00:05:03.752 --> 00:05:07.427 occurred for one GI pathologists practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:07.427 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.985$  myself and specifically how does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}05{:}10.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.860$  intersection sort of lead to patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.285$  Management changes and and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:17.285 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.230$  know how we impact patient care.

 $00:05:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.246$  So conceptually, this is how I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}05{:}22.246 \to 00{:}05{:}24.774$  to sort of present my grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:24.774 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.162$  I'm going to use patient examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

00:05:27.162 --> 00:05:29.968 to highlight different biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.116$  different technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:31.116 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.554$  and different effects on patient impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}05{:}34.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.378$  So hopefully throughout this entire talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.925$  they'll be sort of a common theme of

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:38.925 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.975$  that progression of of data sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667 00:05:42.980 --> 00:05:43.378 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:43.378 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.766$  so starting with patient number one

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.678$  this was a 45 year old man who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:05:47.678 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.474$  his first screening colonoscopy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}05{:}49.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.754$  was diagnosed with colon cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

00:05:51.818 --> 00:05:53.876 you can see here on the endoscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}05{:}53.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.850$  picture and on the Histology image,

 $00:05:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.714$  and so I'll invite the residents to

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00{:}06{:}01.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.548$  use the chat if they want to and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.952518356666667

 $00:06:05.548 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.238$  molecular tests should be ordered and why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91240495

 $00:06:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.456$  Open the check my other screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8473419

00:06:17.940 --> 00:06:18.930 And. NOTE Confidence: 0.8890275325

 $00:06:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.660$  So the answer should be MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.8890275325

 $00:06:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.390$  testing exactly excellent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.575$  Somehow something is getting stuck

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:06:34.575 --> 00:06:38.760 in my PowerPoint, I apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:38.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.657$  I have to quit one more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.340$  There we go alright? So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.945$  Colon cancer remains one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}06{:}49.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.415$  the most common cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:51.415 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.990$  That's third common in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.870$  men and women after prostate,

00:06:54.870 --> 00:06:56.865 in Latin or breast and lung cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:06:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.180$  and it also remains a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:07:00.180 --> 00:07:03.342 You know high high contributor to

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:03.342 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.200$  cancer deaths in both men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.105$  and so it still remains a major problem in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:07:11.110 --> 00:07:13.288 In the United States and worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.180$  and I wanted to make the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.149$  the age at 45 because recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}17.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.333$  reports have shown that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:07:19.333 --> 00:07:21.340 rising incident saying patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.034$  and I I really wanted to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:23.034 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.200$  this into this presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.085$  to just make everybody aware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}26.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.410$  And although we have done a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.050$  really good job in decreasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.230$  cancer rates in older populations,

 $00:07:33.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.926$  we it had been noted that the younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}36.926 \to 00{:}07{:}41.360$  patients are having increasing rates and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.552$  And the problem is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:42.552 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.630$  is that the young populations are not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:07:44.630 --> 00:07:45.384 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:45.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.646$  not being screened as screening age

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:47.646 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.000$  was greater than 50 for many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.296$  and most recently because of this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}52.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.165$  the American Cancer Society has

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}54.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.518$  recommended that people at age 45

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}07{:}56.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.230$ start undergo regular screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.514$  for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:07:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.942$  And this is true for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:00.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.653$  with average risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:01.660 --> 00:08:02.121 Obviously,

 $00:08:02.121 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.348$  if there's any other risk factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}08{:}05.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.395$  age of of screening might might also change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.630$  And so diagnosis in colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.900$  cancer is something that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.720$  every day as GI pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.387$  Here I have an example of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:18.387 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.877$  malignant polyp that shows a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}08{:}20.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.394$  bit of residual benign adenoma on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:23.394 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.313$  the side of this of this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:26.320 --> 00:08:28.440 But bulk of this small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:28.440 --> 00:08:30.124 malignant polyp is composed

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:30.124 --> 00:08:31.387 of invasive adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.180$  so we recognize the Mulligan glands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:34.180 --> 00:08:36.408 but they're atypia irregularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:36.408 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.193$  and it doesn't plastic stroma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:39.200 --> 00:08:42.256 And when I see a slide of cancer,

00:08:42.260 --> 00:08:44.645 I automatically just my mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}08{:}44.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.030$  comes to the molecular pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}08{:}47.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50.489$  of colorectal carcinoma and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:08:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.170$  What's exciting about colorectal personal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:52.170 --> 00:08:55.789 It's it's one of the first examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:55.789 --> 00:08:58.910 of a carcinogenesis pathway of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:08:58.910 --> 00:09:02.333 showing how cancer can go from benign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.060$  Lesions to invasive lesions that impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:06.060 --> 00:09:09.580 patient health and patient mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.015$  and there are multiple pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:12.015 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.062$  that colorectal cancer can form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:14.062 --> 00:09:16.099 and so when I'm sitting and looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}09{:}16.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}18.520$  at these slides of colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:18.520 --> 00:09:20.740 I'm always thinking is this a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.320$  you know, conventional pathways.

 $00:09:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.720$  This is the rate of pathway and for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.912$  purpose of MSI testing of why that's there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:27.912 --> 00:09:29.717 it's because we do have a familial

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:29.717 --> 00:09:31.229 pathway of colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.526$  And there's two main.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:32.526 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.850$  Pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}09{:}32.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.950$  Faps and Lynch Syndrome FAP is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.807$  generally fairly easy to diagnose

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:36.807 --> 00:09:38.767 once you get to colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.530$  This is a phenotype that is quite dramatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.840$  There's hundreds and hundreds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:44.840 --> 00:09:47.198 turbulent moments within the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:47.198 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.910$  and so when patients get their

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.590$  first colonoscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.050$  the diagnosis can be made relatively easily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

00:09:53.050 --> 00:09:54.736 Lynch syndrome, on the other hand,

 $00:09:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.990$  does not have a polyposis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}09{:}55.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.706$  The old name for Lynch syndrome was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:09:58.706 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.725$  non polyposis colorectal carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00{:}10{:}01.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.530$  And. And so this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125 00:10:07.530 --> 00:10:07.810 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:10:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.210$  so being able to recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.95840699125

 $00:10:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.330$  Lynch syndrome is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:10.393 --> 00:10:11.877 important component to try.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:11.880 --> 00:10:13.910 You know, to try to identify whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.855$  the patients that we are seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}10{:}15.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.207$  have a genetic predisposition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:17.210 --> 00:10:19.580 which then will impact not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}10{:}19.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.210$  that patient but also their family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}10{:}22.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.192$  And Lynch syndrome is the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:25.192 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.950$  form of heritable colorectal cancer.

 $00:10:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.834$  Probably accounts up to two to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}10{:}29.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.626$  3% of all colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:31.626 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.840$  It has an autosomal dominant inheritance

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:33.905 --> 00:10:35.529 pattern and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:35.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.005$  it is associated with cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:37.005 --> 00:10:38.990 outside of the GI tract as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:38.990 --> 00:10:41.470 primarily in the mutual cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:41.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.390$  but various other ones to a lesser degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.842$  And for many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:46.842 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.348$  diagnostic criteria or based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}10{:}49.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.997$  the Amsterdam features which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:50.997 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.199$  Which really mostly looked at family

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:53.199 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.228$  history to be able to make a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:10:56.228 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.412$  at this particular syndrome and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:10:58.412 --> 00:11:01.180 happened in the 90s and into the 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:01.180 --> 00:11:04.340 which is kind of when I was getting my PhD.

 $00:11:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.956$  Some of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:05.956 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.380$  some really important discoveries were made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:08.380 --> 00:11:11.240 One was that Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714 00:11:11.240 --> 00:11:13.110 Was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.160$  Was discovered to be related

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:16.160 --> 00:11:17.990 to microsatellite instability

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.187$  in the tumor cells and the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}11{:}21.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.274$  Set of four mismatch repair proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:24.274 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.943$  were identified as the genetic cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:27.943 --> 00:11:30.690 large syndrome and in at least one study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}11{:}30.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.222$  the most common mutation that's found

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}11{:}33.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.618$  in Lindstrom is MSH 2 and MLH 1 being

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}11{:}36.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.026$ a close second common with the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}11{:}39.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.870$  two being less frequent and just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.010$  remind everybody what are microsatellites.

00:11:44.010 --> 00:11:45.252 Microsatellites are

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:45.252 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.736$  repetitive regions of DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:47.740 --> 00:11:49.462 They can be found through they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:49.462 --> 00:11:50.610 found throughout the genome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714 00:11:50.610 --> 00:11:51.191 including. NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:11:51.191 --> 00:11:54.677 Within exons of of important genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.110$  and they are particularly sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}11{:}57.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59.540$  to replication errors to mismatches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:11:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.230$  So here you can see a mismatch occurred in

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.620$  this particular area and these proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}12{:}07.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09.995$  These mismatch repair proteins repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:09.995 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.760$  that mismatch and if they are absent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:12.760 --> 00:12:15.128 If these proteins are not able to function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:15.130 --> 00:12:17.139 this mismatch is not repaired and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:17.139 --> 00:12:19.584 get you end up with variably sized

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}12{:}19.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.870$  alleles at that particular Microsoft like.

 $00:12:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.726$  So focus which can then be seen an

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}12{:}25.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.786$ old-fashioned gels which you know don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:28.786 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.340$  don't get done really anymore that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.752$  So how does that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:34.752 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.070$  really occur in more detail?

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.085$  So basically the mismatch is

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:40.085 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.497$  originally recognized by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:42.497 --> 00:12:44.908 heterodimer of MSH 2 and MSH 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.394$  Which then recruits another

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:46.394 --> 00:12:48.940 heterodimer of MLH one and PMS two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:12:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.843$  and these heterodimer

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:49.843 --> 00:12:51.047 formations are really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}12{:}51.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.508$  And once once this mismatch is recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00{:}12{:}54.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.634$  other proteins get recruited to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

00:12:56.634 --> 00:12:58.890 site of this particular DNA mismatch,

 $00:12:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.040$  which then excised the region of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:13:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.300$  DNA that is involved and that gets re.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919879264285714

 $00:13:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.549$  Be synthesized and the heterodimers can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74578455

00:13:13.660 --> 00:13:14.520 Can be. NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:17.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.030$  And the reason that the heterodimers

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:13:21.030 --> 00:13:24.308 are important is because when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:24.308 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.308$  a missing part of the heterodimers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:13:26.308 --> 00:13:28.759 So let's say there's a mutation and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:28.759 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.684$  of the genes that forms a heterodimer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.972$  The heterodimer is unstable and both

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00{:}13{:}33.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37.080$  proteins end up getting degraded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:13:37.080 --> 00:13:39.110 So if you have like a truncating

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:13:39.110 --> 00:13:40.838 mutation or something like that of 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.717$  the other protein becomes unstable as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.988$  and so we can see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.626$  In the IHC that we do every day for

 $00:13:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.269$  four MMR proteins that we see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:52.269 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.118$  predominant pattern that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:13:54.118 --> 00:13:56.068 is the paired loss of expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:13:56.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.790$  and that's because of this biologic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.620$  Process of of the heterodimers

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.350$  being unstable when one is mutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:07.350 --> 00:14:07.874 And conversely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00{:}14{:}07.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09.708$  when we see paired loss of expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:09.710 --> 00:14:10.838 you know the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:10.838 --> 00:14:12.248 The other header dimers usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:12.248 --> 00:14:13.630 shows preserved expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.295$  although there are rare examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00{:}14{:}15.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.500$  where all four might be missing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:17.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.798$  And so how does colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:19.798 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.330$  develop and Lynch syndrome?

 $00:14:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.612$  There the model is an accelerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00{:}14{:}24.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.800$  carcinogenesis model that adheres

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:26.882 --> 00:14:29.244 to nuisance hypothesis for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:29.244 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.806$  hit is the germline mutation in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:31.806 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.158$  of the four at the margins and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:34.158 --> 00:14:36.920 second hit is a acquired somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:36.920 --> 00:14:40.220 mutation of the paired MMR gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.112$  usually within a adenoma that forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:43.112 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.040$  sporadically within within these

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:45.111 --> 00:14:47.445 patients and the thought is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:47.450 --> 00:14:48.842 Sporadic I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:48.842 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.476$  Must have formed an Ellis in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:51.476 --> 00:14:52.907 Lynch syndrome background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.715$  Will progress the cancer much

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

 $00:14:54.715 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.355$  faster than they would in a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.842628699333333

00:14:57.355 --> 00:14:58.498 Lynch syndrome patient.

 $00:15:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.380$  And here you can see an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00{:}15{:}02.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.048$  an adenoma that has lost so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:04.048 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.820$  knowledge one and PMS 2 not all of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.948$  Not all adenomas will show that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:07.948 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.820$  Lynch syndrome it's partly depends on

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:09.820 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.680$  the extent of you know of the pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

00:15:12.680 --> 00:15:14.051 That's that's progressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:14.051 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.880$  at which time we actually see the biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00{:}15{:}17.880 \rightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.696$  And so the current guidelines have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:21.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.832$  refined over the last decade or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.130$  and now there's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.684$  very strong recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00{:}15{:}27.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.274$  That there should be universal

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:30.274 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.918$  screening of all colorectal carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

00:15:32.918 --> 00:15:35.966 to maximize the ability to identify

 $00:15:35.966 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.280$  patients with lips syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.628$  And you know, and the mutual carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

00:15:41.628 --> 00:15:43.570 are part of this recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

00:15:43.570 --> 00:15:44.306 And I'm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:44.306 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.684$  I'm going to leave it to others

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00{:}15{:}45.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.359$  to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

00:15:46.360 --> 00:15:49.290 End of mutual adenocarcinoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.634$  and those you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00{:}15{:}51.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}53.540$  Special features that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.787858124565217

 $00:15:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.915$  associated with that tumor type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

00:15:58.100 --> 00:15:59.699 But more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:15:59.699 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.364$  the guidelines have also expanded

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:02.364 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.170$  the tumor types that should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.145$  included in that screening process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.900$  and that those tumors you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.316$  Consider doing screening for

00:16:13.316 --> 00:16:15.532 other GI tractors. Small bowel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00{:}16{:}15.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.728$  gastric pancreas, and you know, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

00:16:17.728 --> 00:16:19.463 And another important aspect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:19.463 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.911$  the new recommendations is that an

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:21.911 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.280$  infrastructure needs to be in place

NOTE Confidence: 0.887828775

 $00:16:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.130$  to handle the screening results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.562$  And the guidelines are actually interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:30.562 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.491$  because they don't really come down on

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00{:}16{:}33.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.826$  any particular method of screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00{:}16{:}35.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.336$  The two methods that are discussed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

00:16:38.336 --> 00:16:39.962 those guidelines are immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:39.962 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.906$  and the preliminary chain

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00{:}16{:}41.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.400$  reaction or PCR, and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.430$  So both are accepted methods of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.377$  screening and both have their own.

 $00:16:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.110$  Pluses and minuses in terms of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:55.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.239$  information and how sensitive they

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:57.239 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.897$  are for for capturing the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:16:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.180$  Immunohistochemistry is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:17:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.200$  preferred initial method in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:17:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.792$  so most labs have ability to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00{:}17{:}08.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.060$  immunostains that so this is an expensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

00:17:11.120 --> 00:17:13.130 and it's widely available and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:17:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.473$  fairly easy to express to assess for

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:17:15.473 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.657$  the expression of them are proteins the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

00:17:17.660 --> 00:17:19.830 turn around time is also very quick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:17:19.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.685$  and and as we'll see the pattern of MMR loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453

 $00:17:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.360$  And also suggest Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904293453 00:17:27.360 --> 00:17:27.970 And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70450518

 $00:17:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.975$  And guide any additional testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.70450518

00:17:32.975 --> 00:17:34.930 manshu I see your question.

 $00:17:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.173$  So I do not know specific associations

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

 $00:17:41.173 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.200$  with head and neck tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

00:17:44.200 --> 00:17:46.028 With Lynch syndrome specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

00:17:46.028 --> 00:17:48.991 but if you do, you know I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

00:17:48.991 --> 00:17:51.253 I'm sure there have been reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

 $00:17:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.370$  but I'm not aware of any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

 $00:17:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.080$  and if anybody else knows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897152508333333

 $00:17:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.050$  feel free to chime in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:17:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.963$  The PCR method is used mostly at

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:00.963 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.529$  least in the realm of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8618871211111111

00:18:03.529 --> 00:18:05.979 cancer as a confirmatory method,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.760$  complementary method.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.490$  It's not the primary method of screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.500$  and it does require a

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:18:12.500 --> 00:18:14.366 molecular laboratory ability,

00:18:14.366 --> 00:18:18.490 so this limits its its availability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:18:18.490 --> 00:18:20.740 although these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.744$  Most academic centers have a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:22.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.596$  laboratory where this can be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:24.596 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.428$  the turn around time is a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.429$  longer because there is a step of

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:18:28.429 --> 00:18:30.036 DNA extraction that needs to be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:30.036 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.929$  and and for a long time people sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00{:}18{:}31.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.963$  of argued in literature about which

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:33.963 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.676$  microsatellite markers are the best, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00{:}18{:}35.676 --> 00{:}18{:}38.098$  And you know, I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:18:38.098 --> 00:18:40.649 go into those kind of details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.914$  but usually at least five microsatellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:18:43.914 --> 00:18:47.372 markers are tested to look for instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:18:47.372 --> 00:18:48.336 And, importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:48.336 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.710$  the PCR reaction will not be able

 $00:18:51.789 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.049$  to distinguish inherited forms

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.090$  and sporadic forms of MSI cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.629$  And we'll look at that a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.464$  So here's result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86188712111111100:18:58.464 --> 00:18:59.020 Number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:18:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.396$  Here we have an add no carcinoma that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:02.396 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.564$  shows clearly shows loss of MSH 6 at MSH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:05.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.400$  2 in the tumor with preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.080$  of MLH one and PMS 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.815$  One important aspect of interpreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8618871211111111

 $00:19:12.815 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.134$  MMR stains is that you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:19:16.134 --> 00:19:18.414 look for intervening benign cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00{:}19{:}18.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.880$  that have preserved staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:19:20.880 --> 00:19:24.520 So in the MSH 16 that's a little bit faint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.560$  but you can see that there's some brown

 $00:19:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.630$  staining of the intervening stromal cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00{:}19{:}28.630 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}19{:}30.740$  mostly lymphocytes it looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.378$  and in the MSH 2 stain that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:32.378 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.920$  is a little bit stronger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.216$  so there is some variability in in these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:19:38.220 --> 00:19:40.061 And and how you know what this

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

00:19:40.061 --> 00:19:41.370 looks like with results?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861887121111111

 $00:19:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.496$  And So what should happen next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8618871211111111

00:19:44.500 --> 00:19:45.588 Anybody have an idea?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870500851

 $00:19:48.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.705$  I'll give residents half a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870500851

 $00{:}19{:}49.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.310$  second to think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00{:}19{:}56.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}20{:}02.135$  So the next step is well, so you can look

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

00:20:02.135 --> 00:20:04.893 for any algorithms that there's many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:04.893 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.350$  many algorithms that exist to help you

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:07.414 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.934$  figure out what to do with those results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.924$  So with the loss of MSH 2 and

 $00:20:12.924 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.556$  MSH 6 or isolated loss of PMS 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00{:}20{:}16.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.490$  The patients should be referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.648$  to cancer genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.280$  No additional testing is needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.300$  They should just go to cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.110$  genetics and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.000$  Genetics will take care of the rest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:25.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.360$  We don't need to worry about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.426$  And we are really lucky at

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00:20:31.426 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.605$  Yale because we do have that

NOTE Confidence: 0.967368852

 $00{:}20{:}34.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.950$  infrastructure in place that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815315428181818

 $00:20:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.980$  That sorry, somebody is direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.815315428181818

 $00{:}20{:}42.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.150$  messaging me for the CMU code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815315428181818

00:20:46.150 --> 00:20:47.938 That should be, uh, that's already

NOTE Confidence: 0.815315428181818

 $00:20:47.938 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.699$  been sent a couple of times.

00:20:49.710 --> 00:20:52.710 Yeah, just just ignore. Ignore, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:20:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.718$  And so we do have an infrastructure in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:20:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.310$  We do have a cancer genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.105$  and prevention program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:21:01.110 --> 00:21:03.728 They're located at on the SRC campus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.922$  and the one of the directors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:05.922 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.942$  program is Shabbir Lor, who's endoscopist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:09.942 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.010$  And and so, like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00:21:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.606$  we're lucky that we have this infrastructure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.952$  and they've actually at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}21{:}17.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.496$  This infrastructure has actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:19.496 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.470$  changed over over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:21:21.470 --> 00:21:23.984 Initially, you know, we had universal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.245$  testing for colorectal cancer for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:26.245 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.840$  number of years now and initially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.028$  But it was dependent on the provider,

 $00:21:34.028 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.636$  you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:34.636 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.240$  So we see the biopsy of the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.680$  We do the immunostains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.840$  We write a report of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:21:40.840 --> 00:21:44.192 and it goes back to the endoscopist to have,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.892753072222222\\ & 00:21:44.192 --> 00:21:44.764 \text{ you know,} \end{aligned}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00:21:44.764 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.861$  to have that result and then it

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}21{:}46.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.727$  depended on that endoscopist to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}21{:}48.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.189$  able to refer the patient to genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:21:51.190 --> 00:21:53.645 Well, this this genetics clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

00:21:53.645 --> 00:21:55.609 decided you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.214$  Screw that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00{:}21{:}56.214 --> 00{:}21{:}58.630$  Let's let's see if we can get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:21:58.706 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.967$  this data a little bit more a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:00.967 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.040$  little bit faster and so they've.

00:22:03.040 --> 00:22:05.284 They've you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}22{:}05.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.199$  created some automated review at

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:08.199 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.564$  First pathologist review and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:10.564 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.110$  an automated review for colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00:22:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.570$  cancer and and it's turned out

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:22:15.570 --> 00:22:17.990 that with this strategy where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:17.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.720$  get an automated report of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.977$  patients that meet certain criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00{:}22{:}22.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.685$  with the results they've been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:22:25.685 --> 00:22:27.860 to identify eleven additional Lynch

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}22{:}27.860 \to 00{:}22{:}30.648$  syndrome patients in in this time frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89275307222222200:22:30.648 --> 00:22:31.680 And it it. NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00:22:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.780$  Seems to be a cost effective way

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.199$  of doing this material and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:36.199 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.655$  this data had been presented at

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:38.655 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.880$  a couple of different meetings.

 $00:22:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.956$  Over the last couple of years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.620$  and so we you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.284$  we again all we need to worry as

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:48.284 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.992$  well exists is that we report the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:50.992 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.644$  results and those results will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00:22:53.644 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.534$  automatically sent over to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:55.534 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.460$  genetics clinic for them to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:22:57.533 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.591$  able to reach out to the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}22{:}59.591 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.115$  and to the provider of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}23{:}02.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.758$  to create that genetic consult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:04.758 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.030$  For confirmation of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:23:09.030 --> 00:23:13.119 And MRI is usually pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}23{:}13.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.958$  straightforward to interpret.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.759$  There's you know it's really just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:16.759 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.848$  the is there standing as they're not,

 $00:23:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.930$  but there are a couple of cautious

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.967$  cave ats that should be kept in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:23:24.970 --> 00:23:27.985 If you have a very small amount of tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222 00:23:27.990 --> 00:23:29.320 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.310$  be cognizant that limited tumor samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.130$  May have, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.950$  maybe under you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.351$  maybe over called for absence of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:39.351 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.482$  staining if you're only looking at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}23{:}41.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43.890$  a few glance of tumor and also some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00{:}23{:}43.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.870$  unusual patterns have been reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.618$  Some of those are things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:23:48.618 --> 00:23:50.450 this dot like powder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:23:50.450 --> 00:23:52.075 Majority of these are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:52.075 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.375$  with lots of protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.060$  It's an abnormal expression pattern

 $00{:}23{:}55.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57.628$  and so if if you're ever in doubt

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:57.628 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.553$  you can always order the PCR to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:23:59.615 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.100$  confirm that there's presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:24:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.140$  microsatellite stability and false

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:24:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.740$  negative results are pretty rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927530722222222

 $00:24:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.370$  They will occur in less than 10% of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:24:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.778$  Of patients and that can occur because

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:24:12.778 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.760$  depending on the mutation type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:24:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.660$  it may not be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00{:}24{:}15.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.785$  Activity can still be preserved

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

 $00:24:16.785 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.163$  even though there is actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.892753072222222

00:24:18.163 --> 00:24:19.267 dysfunction of the protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.690$  so it it can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.175$  It's a biological phenomenon that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:23.175 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.722$  quite possible and more recently with

 $00:24:25.722 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.050$  one of our residents not allowed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.435$  Smote. Oh, I have done a small study to

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

00:24:32.435 --> 00:24:36.350 look at our MMR staining here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.765$  and we looked at 150 patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:38.765 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.530$  had two or more MMR IHC results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.050$  And it turns out that most of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.300$  MMR results are quite reproducible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.746$  and so it's a robust test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.487$  and we did find this coordinate MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.947275824

 $00:24:50.487 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.978$  HC patterns and 6% of patients and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:24:56.240 --> 00:25:01.030 And. Those 6% primarily were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00{:}25{:}01.030 \to 00{:}25{:}02.785$  independent primary tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:25:02.790 --> 00:25:04.846 so if a patient had a primary of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:25:04.850 --> 00:25:06.830 you know colon and a primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:25:06.830 --> 00:25:09.224 you know of breast, they might show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.591$  different patterns of IHC because they

 $00:25:11.591 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.726$  will arise through different mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00{:}25{:}13.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.862$  And then I have a question from Doctor Robert

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:25:16.862 --> 00:25:20.850 about utility of them are in adenomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.238$  And so yes, adenomas can show loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:26.238 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.368$  of of MMR proteins, but not always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:25:29.370 --> 00:25:31.610 It's, you know, if you find loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.430$  that's great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.604$  and you can certainly use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:35.604 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.766$  information to suggest genetic counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

00:25:38.766 --> 00:25:42.140 But if you find preserved IHC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.120$  it does not rule out the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.770$  possibility of Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00{:}25{:}45.770 --> 00{:}25{:}46.544$  In that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:46.544 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.092$  I don't have a slide specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9217447

 $00:25:48.092 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.019$  addressing this question.

00:25:52.160 --> 00:25:54.380 Alright, so here is another result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:25:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.976$  so this is another possible outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:25:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.616$  Here we have a mucinous adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00{:}25{:}59.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.149$  You can see a lot of you know mucin

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:02.149 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.645$  production with the malignant lens

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

00:26:03.645 --> 00:26:06.243 here and we see loss of MLH one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:06.243 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.126$  PMS two and again we always want

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.656$  to look for positive staining in

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:10.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.892$  the intervening normal cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:12.892 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.157$  are present within the around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00{:}26{:}15.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.540$  The tumor within the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.860$  And we have preservation of MSH 2 and MSH 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:18.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.534$  So what's the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898589208333333

 $00:26:20.534 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.766$  Next step here anybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.926$  So I'll just move on to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.298$  let's see. There you go.

 $00:26:34.298 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.090$  So the answer is that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:26:36.147 --> 00:26:37.939 go to MLH 1 methylation and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:37.939 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.185$  reason why we need to do that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:40.185 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.605$  because we need to distinguish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:26:41.610 --> 00:26:43.714 Tumors that occur in the setting of Lynch

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:43.714 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.729$  syndrome from those that occur sporadically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.286$  So here is our algorithm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:48.286 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.868$  so now we have lots of MLH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.915$  one with or without loss of PMS

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:26:52.915 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.948$  two this should trigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}26{:}55.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.718$  Ordering MLH 1 metalation PCR to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}26{:}59.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03.118$  determine what happens and the MLH 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}27{:}03.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.003$  methylation specific PCR is performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:27:05.003 --> 00:27:07.899 in our Yale Molecular Diagnostics Lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.724$  so it's pretty easy to to do that

00:27:11.724 --> 00:27:15.960 ordering and depending on what the MLH

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}27{:}15.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.650$ 1 methylation results show you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:27:20.650 --> 00:27:23.856 you would refer the patient to genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.770$  so if no methylation is seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:27:26.770 --> 00:27:29.262 Of MLH one, then the patient should

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}27{:}29.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.539$  be referred to cancer genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.780$  and so that's the reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.808$  Why is because there's two pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:34.808 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.640$  to MSI and colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.140$  and they're actually both quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}27{:}38.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.094$  So we've talked at length about Lynch

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:41.094 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.117$  syndrome and I wish I see your answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:27:44.120 --> 00:27:46.830 I I, you know, I, I didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.190$  I didn't see it fast enough to read

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:49.263 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.759$  it as I started to explain the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}27{:}51.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54.064$  But you're absolutely correct,

00:27:54.064 --> 00:27:54.640 methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.770$  So the Lynch syndrome we've already

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:27:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.480$  talked about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:27:57.480 --> 00:28:00.096 We talked about how patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}28{:}00.096 \to 00{:}28{:}02.490$  germline mutations and they get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.010$  second hit in their adenoma which can

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:05.091 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.019$  then lead to deficient mismatch repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}28{:}08.020 --> 00{:}28{:}08.574 \ Ultimately,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:08.574 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.236$  microsatellite stability and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:10.236 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.898$  with microsatellite stability

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}28{:}11.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.403$  come secondary mutations and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:14.403 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.959$  variety of coding microsatellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.298$  And it can lead to and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:18.298 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.118$  of that leads to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:28:20.120 --> 00:28:23.857 Sporadic MSI cancers are quite different,

 $00:28:23.857 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.776$  although the end result is very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}28{:}26.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.498$  meaning that they do end up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:29.498 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.318$  the same deficient mismatch repair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.218$  But the mechanism and the process is

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:34.218 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.810$  very different and so MSI high sporadic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:28:38.810 --> 00:28:41.630 Cancers occur through the serrated pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:28:41.630 --> 00:28:44.499 of carcinogenesis and in this pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.944$  sessile strated polyps are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:28:46.944 --> 00:28:49.500 thoughts develop a intermediate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.830$  dysplastic steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.825$  Most SSPS will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:28:52.830 --> 00:28:56.687 This will have beer after 600 imitation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:28:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.062$  so these tumors also very commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:00.062 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.080$  have fee 600 mutations and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.005$  what happens and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.845$  It's it's really unclear how

 $00:29:06.845 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.398$  this starts or why you know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:09.398 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.659$  is it within an SSP that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:11.659 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.787$  for this mechanism to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.072$  But what is that to happen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:16.072 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.083$  that the MLH 1 gene is silenced

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:19.083 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.528$  in an epigenetic fashion through

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:21.528 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.188$  methylation of the promoter of MLH one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.024$  So here's all of these CPG islands

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:26.024 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.950$  that end up getting methylated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}29{:}27.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.786$  and once it is methylated the chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:29:31.786 --> 00:29:36.147 closes up and is inaccessible to being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}29{:}36.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.014$  Transcribed and the protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}29{:}38.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.344$  can no longer be expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}29{:}40.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}46.698$  So virtually all cases of sporadic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:29:46.700 --> 00:29:49.420 MSI high cancers will have

00:29:49.420 --> 00:29:51.284 MLH 1 promoter methylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00{:}29{:}51.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.174$  which we can test for and the result

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:55.174 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.813$  is that patients get MSI high cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:29:58.813 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.939$  These tend to be poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:30:01.939 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.228$  or mucinous in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

 $00:30:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.218$  They also have other associations such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.945369157142857

00:30:06.218 --> 00:30:08.236 more commonly being found on the right side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919368495

00:30:08.240 --> 00:30:10.958 more commonly being found in older

NOTE Confidence: 0.919368495

 $00:30:10.958 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.770$  patients and female patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919368495

00:30:12.770 --> 00:30:15.443 They do tend to have a good prognosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919368495

 $00:30:15.443 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.670$  there are some specific. Therapeutic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.919368495

 $00:30:19.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.570$  Options for these patients. And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914795324

00:30:26.430 --> 00:30:28.860 Going back to our paradigm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914795324

 $00:30:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.624$  this is where we would determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.914795324

 $00:30:30.624 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.522$  if if a patient needed referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.914795324

 $00{:}30{:}32.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.832$  to genetics or if they have a

00:30:34.832 --> 00:30:36.608 sporadic form of MSI high cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.914795324

 $00:30:36.608 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.818$  when it comes to loss of MLH 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

00:30:46.740 --> 00:30:50.225 And so moving out patient two patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:30:50.225 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.970$  two is a 50 year old woman she had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:30:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.679$  She was diagnosed with a right sided add no

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:30:55.679 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.076$  carcinoma and we already had testing done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:30:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.016$  She had MLH one and PMS two loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:31:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.450$  So you can see those there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00{:}31{:}04.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.838$  She was positive for MLH 1 methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:31:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.820$  So we think it's sporadic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:31:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.600$  It's a sporadic I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:31:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.816$  It's like I can't, Sir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:31:12.816 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.868$  but the note was that she had

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

00:31:15.868 --> 00:31:18.970 greater than 50 polyps in her colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866319956666667

 $00:31:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.811$  So what is a possible diagnosis and

 $00:31:20.811 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.057$  the hint is that she ended up with a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6918929376

 $00{:}31{:}25.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}28.235$  Total colectomy and majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6918929376

 $00:31:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.630$  her polyps versus ulcerated polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917919565

 $00:31:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.682$  And if any of the residents

NOTE Confidence: 0.917919565

 $00:31:35.682 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.998$  have an idea of. Of a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:31:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.926$  Alright, so the possible diagnosis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:31:46.926 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.260$  that the patient has severe polyposis

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:31:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.221$  syndrome and this continues to be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:31:52.221 --> 00:31:53.818 underrecognized colorectal predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:31:53.818 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.578$  polyposis syndrome that is specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}31{:}56.578 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}32{:}00.690$  associated with MSI high MLH one loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.650$  and MLH 1 methylated carcinomas, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.498$  Men and women tend to get this equally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.188$  This can be seen at any age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:32:12.190 --> 00:32:14.910 And it's usually diagnosed unexpectedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:14.910 \dashrightarrow 00:32:17.950$  at screening colonoscopy or or at.

00:32:17.950 --> 00:32:21.643 Or colectomy, there's two main variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:21.643 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.108$  Type one and Type 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:23.110 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.147$  based on sort of the location and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:32:25.147 --> 00:32:27.243 number of polyps that are found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:27.243 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.989$  and these sort of correlate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:32:28.990 --> 00:32:34.000 The Who criteria for SPS diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:32:34.000 --> 00:32:35.626 so criterion one is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.652$  more than five strated polyps proximal

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:37.652 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.410$  to the \*\*\*\*\* and they have to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:39.410 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.929$  you know, greater than 5 millimeters and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:40.929 \dashrightarrow 00:32:42.786$  two of them have to be greater than one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.590$  I mean that gets a little bit wordy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.110$  Where's the Criterion 2 is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:32:46.110 --> 00:32:48.810 you know greater than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.386$  23 polyps anywhere in the colon with no

00:32:52.386 --> 00:32:55.337 particular size criteria and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:32:55.337 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.971$  even though this is a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:32:57.971 --> 00:33:00.140 distinct polyposis syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.628$  genetics are not understood, not known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.060$  Have not been, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.358$  specific Gene has not been discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.600$  That sort of explains

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.840$  majority of these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.308$  although some some have been proposed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:33:14.310 --> 00:33:15.470 At the Scopic Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.210$  these patients just have multiple polyps

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:17.263 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.118$  and they tend to have this characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:33:19.118 --> 00:33:21.250 mucus cap so so they can be recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:21.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.470$  and the scopic Lee somewhat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.402$  If there is ability to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:33:25.402 --> 00:33:26.368 confocal laser endoscopy,

 $00:33:26.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.050$  which you know most places

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.394$  don't have that ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.505$  there are some unique features

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:31.505 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.634$  that can be that can be detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:34.634 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.964$  such as these thin branching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.730$  \*\*\*\*\* and dystrophic goblet cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:33:39.730 --> 00:33:41.946 When you look at the specimens grossly again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}33{:}41.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.094$  there's no distinctive features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:33:43.094 --> 00:33:44.810 The polyps tend to be sessile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.677$  and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}33{:}45.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.441$  so if you notice one of these types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:48.441 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.162$  phenomena in the in the colon cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}33{:}51.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.270$  that you gross sampling of multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.030$  polyps is crucial for the deduction of SPS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.030$  and the reason why is,

 $00:33:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.070$  if you have a partial colectomy and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:33:59.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.088$  patient has serrated polyposis syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.650$  it might be that they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.393$  you know, continues to be a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:04.393 --> 00:34:05.530 risk for developing cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.226$  and their remaining colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:07.226 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.924$  That they have and in one small

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:09.924 --> 00:34:12.999 study that had done a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:13.000 --> 00:34:16.140 we found in a cohort of 22 patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:16.217 --> 00:34:19.360 colectomy and were found to have polyposis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}34{:}19.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.416$  we actually found SPS as the cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:22.416 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.839$  the polyposis in about 1/4 of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:24.840 --> 00:34:26.046 And furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:26.046 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.458$  in a separate study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.028$  we looked at a population of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:31.028 --> 00:34:33.799 over 2000 patients who had at

00:34:33.799 --> 00:34:35.767 least one pseudopolyps diognosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}34{:}35.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.306$  And we found that one point 4% or 32

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:40.306 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.771$  patients met criteria for serrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:42.771 --> 00:34:45.530 polyps syndrome and these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.070$  had a variety of polyp types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}34{:}49.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.052$  Many of them had advanced neoplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:52.052 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.490$  features, so they either had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85167357844827600:34:53.490 --> 00:34:53.960 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

00:34:53.960 --> 00:34:56.020 dysplasia within their serrated polyp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}34{:}56.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.350$  or frank invasive carcinoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:34:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.680$  what's interesting is that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:35:00.757 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.942$  carcinomas actually had a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00{:}35{:}02.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.319$  of augmentations within them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851673578448276

 $00:35:04.319 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.510$  So even though the prevalent thought is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

00:35:06.567 --> 00:35:08.968 that these. Cancers all lead to you know,

00:35:08.970 --> 00:35:11.454 one type of MSI high tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:11.454 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.696$  with direct mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.450$  We actually did find that there's you

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.232$  know one with a key reputation among

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:16.232 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.490$  these and some did not have the reputation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:18.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.320$  so it's sort of an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.190$  question still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.306$  And so all of this together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00{:}35{:}26.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.249$ you know we can put together a

NOTE Confidence: 0.907215903333333

 $00:35:28.249 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.080$  basic molecular classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.9072159033333333

 $00{:}35{:}29.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30.080$  of colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

 $00{:}35{:}32.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.914$  OK, so now kind of going to shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

 $00{:}35{:}34.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}37.047$  gears and start talking a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

00:35:37.047 --> 00:35:39.072 bit more about mutation testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

 $00:35:39.072 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.916$  So here's another patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

 $00{:}35{:}40.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}35{:}42.786$  Newly diagnosed metastatic

 $00:35:42.786 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.262$  colorectal cancer to the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

 $00:35:46.262 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.982$  Prior testing showed microsatellite stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.938062296470588

 $00:35:49.982 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.920$  tumor and what should you order next?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:35:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.966$  And so in order to, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:35:59.966 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.579$  So this is where we come back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:36:02.579 --> 00:36:04.727 NCCN guidelines and sort of start

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:04.727 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.333$  to understand how is information

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}36{:}06.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.331$  used and what sort of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}36{:}08.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.520$  is needed for continued treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.244$  and so patients who have metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:13.244 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.060$  colorectal cancer should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:15.134 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.718$  genotyping for eston draft mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.588$  And the reason for that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:20.588 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.820$  is because there is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.108$  Antibody that's frequently used as part

 $00:36:26.108 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.740$  of chemotherapy for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:36:28.740 --> 00:36:31.396 The targets. The rest raft pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:31.396 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.379$  and so in order for this antibody to work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.090$  rest, and draft have to be wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.456$  And so this is where this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:42.456 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.189$  where this pathway comes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:36:44.190 --> 00:36:48.744 So here we have EGFR, which then

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:36:48.744 --> 00:36:52.266 signals downstream into grass and RAF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}36{:}52.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.804$  RAF happens to be the most mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:54.804 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.510$  gene in colorectal cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:36:56.510 --> 00:36:57.782 Approximately, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:36:57.782 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.516$  up to even 50% of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:00.516 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.992$  cancers will have RASK mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:02.992 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.354$  and they're in order to use setx map as

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:07.354 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.239$  a component of the chemotherapeutic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.020$  Regimen.

 $00:37:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.028$  We have to rule out mutations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:15.028 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.092$  tumor because this this antibody will

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:18.092 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.003$  have no efficacy of downstream of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:22.003 \dashrightarrow 00:37:26.706$  of of EGFR is an activating mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.265$  But you can see that we also have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:29.265 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.840$  you know there's mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.308$  various other genes as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.906$  some of which may be targetable

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:33.906 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.970$  and others are not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.638$  So how do we test for driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:38.638 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.750$  driver for forreston ref?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}37{:}40.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.958$  Mutations in colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}37{:}42.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.166$  This has evolved overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:37:45.170 --> 00:37:45.964 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:45.964 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.949$  ten years ago would have

 $00:37:47.949 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.099$  been PCR of some sort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:37:50.100 --> 00:37:51.675 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:51.675 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.210$  but that has evolved quickly through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:37:56.210 --> 00:37:59.080 Into real time PCR and now primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:37:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.098$  next generation sequencing being the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:01.098 \dashrightarrow 00:38:03.625$  standard for doing this type of testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.166$  And so I so after coming to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.510$  I got interested in colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}38{:}08.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.382$  molecular testing at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:38:10.390 --> 00:38:12.534 and I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:12.534 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.606$  sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:13.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.545$  Intersected with with our molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}38{:}15.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.382$  labs and try to find out like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}38{:}18.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}20.989$  is going on and so we have two main

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:20.989 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.355$  labs that do CRC molecular testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:23.355 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.863$  and they don't overlap too much

 $00:38:25.863 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.002$  with each other in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:28.002 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.550$  the specific test that they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.262$  So the molecular diagnostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:38:31.262 --> 00:38:33.830 lab does a lot of PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.494$  For MSI and also single gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:38:36.494 --> 00:38:38.720 testing like graph 4K Ras,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.766$  they also performed the MLH fund

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:40.766 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.728$  methylation and I'm here just focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:42.728 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.420$  on the role of this lab and CRC testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.260$  I'm not at all going to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.288$  you know all the other wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:49.288 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.743$  things that that lab does and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}38{:}51.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.482$  developed in recent years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:38:53.482 --> 00:38:55.594 then we have the tumor profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:38:55.594 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.652$  laboratory and the tumor profiling

00:38:57.652 --> 00:38:59.520 laboratory primarily uses next

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}38{:}59.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02.319$  generation sequencing as a method for

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:02.319 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.469$  detecting mutations and tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.129$  And I'll talk in a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:06.129 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.527$  detail about that lab, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:07.527 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.029$  You know when I first arrived

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:10.029 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.850$  at Yale in 2011,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.350$  this was our GI Group back then and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}39{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}17.186$  I was primarily doing GI pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:39:17.186 --> 00:39:21.330 but I I really wanted to expand my

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00{:}39{:}21.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.255$  clinical practice and I started

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:39:23.255 --> 00:39:26.278 thinking about maybe I I could join the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

00:39:26.280 --> 00:39:27.002 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:27.002 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.168$  one of the molecular labs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907137524

 $00:39:29.168 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.890$  after discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.871654207647059

 $00:39:29.961 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.474$  with various people I got support from.

 $00:39:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.623$  There we go. I got support from Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:39:42.623 \dashrightarrow 00:39:45.041$  Walter and the tumor profiling lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:39:45.041 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.856$  and with doctor Marrows and Doctor so

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:39:47.856 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.108$  Nards and Doctor James support as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:39:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.206$  I was able to join the tumor profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:39:54.206 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.328$  laboratory as a faculty member.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:39:56.330 --> 00:39:58.770 And you know, how did I do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00{:}39{:}58.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01.020$  I mean, this was not something

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.145$  that happened overnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.006$  I joined the laboratories

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:04.006 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.398$  Case Review conference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.250$  Just sort of a consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00{:}40{:}08.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.772$  conference type review of cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00{:}40{:}10.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}13.700$  I went to the precision Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:40:13.793 --> 00:40:15.790 tumor board for, you know,

00:40:15.790 --> 00:40:17.990 a while before then joining the roster of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:40:18.051 --> 00:40:20.158 people who present at that tumor board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.436$  I shadowed the faculty at that time in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:40:23.436 --> 00:40:26.176 German profiling lab and then finally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:26.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.898$  I was able to get a block of six

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:28.898 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.405$  weeks to be able to do a rotation

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:40:31.405 --> 00:40:33.594 sort of identical to what a fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:33.594 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.075$  would do and learned all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:37.075 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.460$  aspects of the tumor profiling lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.518$  And so primarily next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00{:}40{:}43.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.474$  sequencing is the platform that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:45.474 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.260$  used by the tumor profiling lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.060$  this is an ultra high throughput,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:40:49.060 --> 00:40:52.000 scalable, fast method of sequencing DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.468$  and it's, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.662$  I I fit the whole sequence of events

 $00{:}40{:}56.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.533$  of how next generation sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:40:58.533 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.209$  works on one slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:41:00.210 --> 00:41:02.738 but it's it's a very busy slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.090$  and so there's there's different

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.740$  steps that have to be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.528$  First sample has to be prepared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.740$  DNA has to be extracted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00{:}41{:}09.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.156$  Library preparation and templating

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00{:}41{:}12.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.968$  has to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.884$  Sequencing has to occur in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:15.884 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.426$  sequencing is sort of a bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

 $00:41:18.426 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.760$  magical step where it's actually, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872011747142857

00:41:21.760 --> 00:41:22.430 It's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.430$  Electric, you know it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.418$  It's a electronic signaling

 $00:41:28.418 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.909$  or electronic sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.800$  Basically that that occurs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.570$  it generates a ton of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.526$  by informatics is a big big

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:36.526 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.949$  step and we have several people

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.290$  working on that who actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:42.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.082$  Go through all of these steps and

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:44.082 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.994$  give us the annotated mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:45.994 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.686$  are found in a particular tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.378$  and then we have to interpret

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:50.378 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.780$  those those variants and we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.081$  different types of databases to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:41:55.081 --> 00:41:57.273 do that and just to sort of make

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:41:57.273 --> 00:41:59.469 sure that everyone sort of knows

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:41:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.339$  most of the stuff happens in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:01.339 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.610$  Department of Laboratory Medicine.

00:42:02.610 --> 00:42:04.053 The variant interpretation

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:04.053 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.458$  is what the faculty do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.556$  and we do that and and it's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:09.556 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.360$  pathology faculty who do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.388$  And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:42:12.388 --> 00:42:14.958 How is NGS actually used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.520$  and what are the advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:42:16.520 --> 00:42:18.080 of it so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}42{:}18.080 --> 00{:}42{:}20.545$  with NGS you can sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:20.545 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.460$  really any area that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:42:24.460 --> 00:42:27.043 Almost any area that is of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}42{:}27.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.969$  and the advantages that multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}42{:}28.969 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}31.573$  areas can be sequenced all at once,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.264$  like massively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:32.264 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.500$  and so you know when you look at crass.

 $00:42:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.905 \text{ Yes},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}42{:}35.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.930$  there are some common hot spots

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:37.930 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.550$  that are really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:39.621 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.357$  and recur quite frequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.075$  like a code on 12 or 13,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.397$  but there's a lot of other smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:42:45.397 --> 00:42:48.380 hotspots at 146 at 1:17 at 61,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}42{:}48.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.620$  and so with NGS we're able to sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}42{:}50.620 --> 00{:}42{:}52.618$  all of the hot spots at once,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.488$  and so we have one panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422 00:42:55.490 --> 00:42:57.630 For.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.922$  That looks at 50 different hotspots

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:42:59.922 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.298$  or I should say looks at 50 genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}43{:}03.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.452$  at various hot spots and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:07.452 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.117$  panel that's used for diagnosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}43{:}10.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.714$  Metastatic colorectal cancer and how

00:43:13.714 --> 00:43:16.770 does the entry of data come back to us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:43:16.770 --> 00:43:19.100 It's actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.554$  Comes back in an Excel style

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:21.554 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.673$  spreadsheet which can be somewhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:23.673 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.055$  you know this is a simple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.468$  Summary of that result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:43:27.468 --> 00:43:30.676 So here we have, you know, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:43:30.676 --> 00:43:35.136 TP 53 has a C DNA mutation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:43:35.136 --> 00:43:39.980 replaces G2AG to an A at nucleotide 818,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.500$  which then leads to a change in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:43.595 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.944$  protein of arginine to 73 to a histidine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}43{:}46.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.986$  And what's also important is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}43{:}49.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.540$  this particular position was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}43{:}53.540 --> 00{:}43{:}54.215 \ \mathrm{Stop}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:43:54.215 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.265$  It was read almost 2000 times,

 $00:43:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.598$  so this position was was found in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:44:02.600 --> 00:44:04.968 Let's look was seen at least 2000 times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.626$  and 20% of those reads showed this variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.638$  and so here you can see what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:44:12.638 --> 00:44:16.970 looks like in in the I GB program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.034$  So this is the genomics viewer that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:19.034 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.190$  used to look at the actual mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.190$  So here we have the K rest gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}44{:}23.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.246$  With this particular mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:25.246 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.330$  and there's each of these bars

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}44{:}28.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.864$  represents one of these reads

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:30.864 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.309$  that forms the coverage and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.614$  That she represents the change at

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00{:}44{:}35.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38.015$  that particular meeting, and we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

00:44:38.015 --> 00:44:40.090 And they're color coded for

NOTE Confidence: 0.572236422

 $00:44:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.130$  reverse sent forward reads.

 $00:44:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.586$  And so this is what a cancer mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00{:}44{:}47.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.010$  hotspot panel result looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00{:}44{:}50.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52.315$  There's an add no carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:44:52.315 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.622$  estimated 30% malignant cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:44:55.622 --> 00:45:00.890 we have a BRAF V600E mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:00.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.330$  And our other pathology records

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.880$  show that this was an MSS cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00{:}45{:}06.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.827$  and so this brings up an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:09.827 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.659$  subject of the reputation in MSS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:12.660 --> 00:45:13.428 Colorectal cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:13.428 --> 00:45:16.116 And this is an interesting subtype of

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:16.116 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.396$  cancer that does not neatly fit into

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00{:}45{:}18.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.700$  our current models of personal genesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:20.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.028$  These tumor types are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:25.030 --> 00:45:27.370 Relatively rare on the order of,

00:45:27.370 --> 00:45:30.226 you know, maybe 5-4 to 7% depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:30.226 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.202$  on what study you read, and you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:34.202 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.410$  Unlike other tumor types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.650$  that kind of neatly fit into our

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.860$  knowledge of of precursor lesions, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.510$  We don't really know how these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:43.510 --> 00:45:46.389 arise and what is the what is the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:46.389 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.618$  sort of starting point for those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:48.618 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.435$  But the most interesting thing is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:51.435 --> 00:45:53.969 they do now have a therapeutic option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:45:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.610$  and so recognizing these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:55.610 --> 00:45:58.670 Is important and I'm working

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

00:45:58.670 --> 00:46:02.400 with our current fellow Dr each,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802340514307692

 $00:46:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.400$  as well as some others and looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824044827142857

 $00:46:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.828$  MSS CRC's. Looking at some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.824044827142857

00:46:09.828 --> 00:46:12.050 molecular features to add to this knowledge,

 $00:46:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.354$  and this is this is the most recent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.552$  This is that this is a study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:21.552 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.420$  described that tablet therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.300$  the doublet therapy includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00{:}46{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.408$  this EGFR targeted treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:28.408 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.078$  such as a tax map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.240$  combined with a BYREF inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.274$  Initially, this was also had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

00:46:34.274 --> 00:46:36.400 component of a MEK inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.376$  but within a few months of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

00:46:39.376 --> 00:46:41.360 this paper being published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.852$  they've they've decided that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00{:}46{:}42.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45.090$  the doublet has just as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:45.156 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.246$  efficacy as the triplet therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8939981275

 $00:46:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.903$  And so this is now becoming a

 $00:46:49.903 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.338$  standard of of care for BRAF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:46:54.550 --> 00:46:58.498 Mutated by MSS tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:46:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.988$  Alright, so moving on to a different patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.131$  This was a patient #4 who had a combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:04.131 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.760$  hepatocellular cholangiocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.892$  It was MSS and now his

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:08.892 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.458$  progressive disease and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:47:10.460 --> 00:47:13.134 As a pathologist, do you order anything?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}47{:}13.140 --> 00{:}47{:}15.816$  The answer is no, you don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.878$  It's kind of a trick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}47{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.352$  It's the oncologist who may order

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:47:20.352 --> 00:47:22.397 a comprehensive NGS panel and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:22.397 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.658$  that panel at Yale is on combine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.958$  And so the anchor mine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:26.958 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.110$  a much bigger panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:47:29.110 --> 00:47:34.218 It sequences 87 jeans at various hotspots.

 $00:47:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.304$  There's also 48 genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:36.304 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.388$  that are fully sequenced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.520$  These are mostly the tumor supressors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.704$  We were also able to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:42.704 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.566$  amplification of a number of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:44.566 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.968$  as well as a number of gene fusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:46.968 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.476$  and so the anchor mine panel is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:47:49.476 --> 00:47:51.591 used primarily in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}47{:}51.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.420$  have stage four disease and have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.300$  Failed conventional chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.370$  it's really to identify mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:47:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.610$  may be the rapeutic therapeutically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}48{:}01.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.030$  Targetable in a specific manner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.020$  or may may have implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.010$  for clinical trials as well,

00:48:10.010 --> 00:48:12.460 and we what what's unique about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}48{:}12.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.816$  Yale practices that we use a germ

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}48{:}14.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.858$  line control to determine the somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:16.858 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.454$  status versus hereditary status

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:18.454 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.870$  of any variance that we identify.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.538$  And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:21.538 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.542$  so this was actually two patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:23.542 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.655$  with in this example, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}48{:}25.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.080$  And we ended up publishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:28.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.080$  this short case series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.618$  With a former fellow at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.338$  so one of the patients was at 59 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}48{:}36.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40.080$  old woman and she had a combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.346$  cholangio carcinoma carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:41.346 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.300$  You can see that HTC component here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.812$  The collector component there.

 $00:48:45.812 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.080$  She had a personal history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:48.146 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.072$  breast cancer in the past and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:50.072 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.740$  the thyroid cancer as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.973$  and then she had a fairly extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:53.973 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.029$  family history of cancer of various

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:56.029 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.759$  cancers and then patient #2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:48:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.152$  excuse me is a 62 year old man

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:00.152 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.940$  and he didn't have prior cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.636$  But he did have a sister who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:04.636 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.080$  both breast and uterine cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.650$  who had died of those cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}49{:}09.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.966$  And these are the alkaline results

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:49:11.966 --> 00:49:13.510 for those two patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:49:13.510 --> 00:49:15.995 Both patients ended up having

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:15.995 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.100$  a bracket 2 mutation.

 $00:49:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.370$  And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.506$  Patient one has this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:49:24.506 --> 00:49:26.108 pathogenic nonsense mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:49:26.110 --> 00:49:28.234 so it's premature leads to premature

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:28.234 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.650$  termination of the protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.539$  and this was found to be both in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:32.539 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.194$  germline and in the tumor and patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}49{:}35.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.979$  Two had two Broadcom mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.458$  One of these mutations is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:40.458 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.344$  pathogenic splice site mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.216$  this was found to be again in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:49:44.216 --> 00:49:45.566 patients germline sample as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:45.566 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.478$  as the tumor sample and then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:47.478 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.098$  was a second somatic mutation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:49.098 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.210$  bracket two as well and so based

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:51.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.160$  on these results a diagnosis of

00:49:53.226 --> 00:49:55.150 heritage Terry breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.350$  In a variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.750$  syndrome can be made in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:49:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.956$  patients and you know it's it's one

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:50:00.956 --> 00:50:02.749 of these situations where I'm like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:50:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.566$  well, you know people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:50:03.566 --> 00:50:04.790 could you know maybe the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00{:}50{:}04.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.170$  with breast cancer should have been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:50:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.207$  you know, found to have this previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:50:08.210 --> 00:50:11.450 I don't know. Not really sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:50:11.450 --> 00:50:13.240 You know what, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

 $00:50:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.380$  When patients get screened for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85856925

00:50:15.380 --> 00:50:16.664 breast cancer exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00{:}50{:}16.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.750$  For the syndrome, but looking at you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.325$  know malignancy risks and patients

 $00:50:22.325 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.665$  with this particular syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00{:}50{:}24.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.005$  combined cholangiocarcinoma HCC or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:27.084 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.814$  is not really anywhere on that list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

00:50:29.820 --> 00:50:31.260 So you know, maybe they're related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.388$  you know, pancreatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

00:50:32.388 --> 00:50:35.020 You know it's a biliary type cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.966$  Maybe that that that might be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:36.966 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.078$  hint that that could be part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859277637777778

 $00{:}50{:}39.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.727$  So here's an example where we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

00:50:42.727 --> 00:50:46.050 really impact patient results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.750$  patient families by detecting mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

00:50:51.750 --> 00:50:53.214 And you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00{:}50{:}53.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55.044$  The risks that are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:55.044 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.849$  with them in tumors that are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:50:57.849 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.120$  necessarily expected for that syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:51:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.510$  And in addition to that,

 $00:51:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.946$  this also leads to the possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:51:04.946 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.608$  of a specific type of therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:51:07.608 \dashrightarrow 00:51:10.380$  and so in brocco mutated tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:51:10.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.638$  the use of carp inhibitors is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85927763777778

 $00:51:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.848$  Is a possibility where you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:51:18.650 --> 00:51:22.260 Where you know if you Park is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.900$  enzyme that is involved in DNA repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00{:}51{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29.345$  and if you include if you add up our

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00{:}51{:}29.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31.591$  PIN hitter and hit this enzyme in

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:31.591 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.877$  tumors that have a mutated BRACA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.496$  2 homologous recombination cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:36.496 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.420$  be done and these patients undergo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.716$  You know these tumors undergo cell death

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:42.716 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.840$  and something called synthetic lethality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.779$  And there are now a lot of

 $00:51:46.779 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.920$  carpet hitters that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:51:47.920 --> 00:51:49.812 Clinical use primarily for

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:51:49.812 --> 00:51:51.704 ovarian and breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.738$  but they definitely would be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:53.738 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.921$  option for both of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:51:55.921 --> 00:51:57.806 if if they should recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:51:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.026$  For their tumor, and this is another example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.040$  That was a different publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.144$  that was also interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:04.144 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.732$  and this was a 63 year old man who

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00{:}52{:}06.732 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}52{:}08.192$  had a gallbladder respected and

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:08.192 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.704$  this was initially seen else not at

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:10.704 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.852$  not centrally Yale and the initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:12.852 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.390$  diagnosis that was made was Edna carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:52:15.390 --> 00:52:18.280 Several, you know months later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.868$  The page you know,

 $00:52:20.868 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.456$  the clinician oncologist ordered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00{:}52{:}23.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.497$  and she S testing and MSI testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.810$  and it came to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:27.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.878$  For assessment and between the morphology

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:31.878 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.998$  and the results from the oncoming testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.806$  the diagnosis was revised to Miso,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.280$  thi Lio Ma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.641$  and that's because this particular tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:42.641 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.720$  one of the reasons was that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:52:44.775 --> 00:52:46.989 particular tumor showed about 1 mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00{:}52{:}46.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48.550$  and again there's two of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.461$  There's one mutation that was seen both

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00{:}52{:}50.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.759$  in the tumor and in the patient's blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.072$  and a second back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:54.072 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.456$  one mutation that was found

 $00:52:55.456 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.424$  in just the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:52:56.430 --> 00:52:58.537 So here's an example of somebody again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:52:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.468$  Has a germline first hit and that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

00:53:01.468 --> 00:53:04.675 and the tumor there's a second hit in

NOTE Confidence: 0.742855642

 $00:53:04.675 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.150$  in the in the second allele of BAP one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.858$  Doctor Shelper I see your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.960$  I can come back to it a little bit later

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.018$  and so for this particular patient we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}53{:}18.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.924$  can make a diagnosis of Bab 1 trimmer

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

00:53:20.924 --> 00:53:22.970 for Disposition syndrome and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.420$  looking at this patient pedigree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.219$  there was actually quite a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:26.219 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.960$  cancer in this patient syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}53{:}27.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.972$  And you know, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:28.972 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.065$  you know we can sort of allow this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}53{:}31.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.512$  family to be able to be tested for it

00:53:33.512 --> 00:53:35.724 and and now they can start potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:35.724 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.680$  screening for some of these cancers, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.290$  And and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

00:53:43.290 --> 00:53:45.474 You know the concept of being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:45.474 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.934$  to do genetic findings that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:47.934 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.870$  discovered through online testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.586$  Is is something that we are working

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}53{:}52.586 \to 00{:}53{:}55.101$  on in collaboration again with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

00:53:55.101 --> 00:53:58.878 genetics clinic and we looked at 123

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:53:58.878 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.226$  patients who had a known pathogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.668$  variant and you know 2/3 of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.938$  We're not known prior to the testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:09.938 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.915$  with alkaline that that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:11.915 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.438$  had in pathogenic mutation in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

00:54:14.438 --> 00:54:17.594 germ line that will impact either

 $00:54:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.652$  their family members or options for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:19.652 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.550$  therapy and then doctor Shelper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}54{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.335$  You mentioned that in this case the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

00:54:25.335 --> 00:54:27.630 germ line was it is a little bit low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.395$  It would be expected to be at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00.54:30.400 \longrightarrow 00.54:33.456 40\%$  or 50% if it was a heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:33.456 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.220$  germline variant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.660$  it's within range of what we see with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.360$  With.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00.54:40.360 \longrightarrow 00.54:41.602$  With MGS testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}54{:}41.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.500$  so sometimes there can be a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:44.588 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.458$  bit of skewing that happens with NGS

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00:54:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.828$  and you may not get a perfect number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81358908

 $00{:}54{:}49.830 \to 00{:}54{:}53.806$  but we we typically accept these results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:54:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.356$  And Doctor Robert asked another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00{:}54{:}59.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01.160$  The diagnosis of change from the

00:55:01.160 --> 00:55:02.996 adenocarcinoma to miso, thi Lio Ma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:02.996 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.556$  It was based by both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00{:}55{:}04.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06.918$  Really it was based on both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.881$  So when they add note when this

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:09.881 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.508$  gallbladder came over we were able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

00:55:12.510 --> 00:55:15.885 So I'll say this was all Doctor Ilkay who

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:15.885 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.759$  initially raised alarm about the diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.226$  She she thought it was an odd looking add,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00{:}55{:}21.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22.720$ no carcinoma, quote, UN quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00{:}55{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24.655$  and so she ordered additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:24.655 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.816$  immunostains to check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00{:}55{:}25.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28.692$  And and at the same time NGS was

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00{:}55{:}28.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.918$  being done with anchor mine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

00:55:30.920 --> 00:55:31.924 So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:31.924 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.438$  when all of those results came out,

00:55:35.440 --> 00:55:37.590 you know it was coordinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

00:55:37.590 --> 00:55:39.740 and the change was made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.080$  So sort of a combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63366647

 $00:55:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.627$  It was not one or the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:55:46.250 --> 00:55:49.431 OK, so in the last three minutes last

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:55:49.431 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.328$  patient, so this was a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}55{:}51.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.566$  had a new diagnosis of metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:55:53.566 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.611$  non different carcinoma and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:55:55.611 --> 00:55:57.350 question is what do you order?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:55:57.350 --> 00:56:00.276 And really again it's a tricky question

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}56{:}00.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.005$  because NGS does not really have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:56:03.005 --> 00:56:05.771 huge role in the diagnosis of any

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:05.771 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.099$  neuroendocrine tumors or neoplasms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.905$  Neurocrine tumors tend to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:09.905 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.293$  diagnosed by morphology as either well

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:12.293 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.389$  differentiated or poorly differentiated.

00:56:14.390 --> 00:56:16.995 And you know, poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:16.995 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.795$  ones tend to have a lot of P53RB

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:56:20.795 --> 00:56:23.420 mutations or CDKN 2A mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.766$  whereas the mtor pathway tends to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:25.766 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.306$  be altered in the wild if tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:28.306 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.798$  and so NGS that targeted therapy does

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:30.798 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.169$  not really have a huge role in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.702$  Nevertheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:33.702 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.426$  on combine was ordered for this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.929$  And so this is from the hepatitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:56:39.929 --> 00:56:42.498 specimen and we estimated 90% malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:42.498 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.778$  cells within this tumor sample and over

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}56{:}45.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.886$  150 mutations right outside in this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:56:48.890 --> 00:56:51.088 And you know, this is something that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.602$  like when we get one of these results

 $00:56:53.602 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.035$  on the tumor profiling lab service.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:56:56.035 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.320$  We just like want to start crying because how

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:56:59.391 --> 00:57:03.170 do you assess 150 importations in one tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:57:03.170 --> 00:57:05.690 And you know you've got like 20 other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.982$  tumors to look at, so you kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:07.982 \dashrightarrow 00:57:10.019$ You know you sort of gain experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:57:10.019 --> 00:57:12.552 and you figure things out anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:12.552 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.164$  so we had 15 mutations there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:57:16.170 --> 00:57:17.290 That were, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.130$  sort of significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.890$  There's 140 others that were maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.816$  like a little bit more vus types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}57{:}23.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.900$  and so basically this is a tumor that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.970$  consistent with hypermutation and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.876$  How does hyper mutation occur in cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}57{:}32.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35.206$  There's multiple causes of it.

 $00:57:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.058$  MSI is one of those we've already spoken

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:38.058 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.985$  about MSI quite a bit and others could

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:40.985 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.040$  be mutations and pull or pull D and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:44.040 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.590$  Neurocrine tumors are not commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.530$  Do not commonly show MSI high status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:57:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.869$  Maybe only a handful of those cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:57:54.870 --> 00:57:58.380 And maturity of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}57{:}58.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00.402$  You know MSI high tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00.58:00.402 \longrightarrow 00.58:01.413$  sporadic in nature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.364$  so you know we want to execute lynchings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.595$  M in this patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:04.595 --> 00:58:05.575 thankfully none of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}58{:}05.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.692$  None of these mutations were germ

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:07.692 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.260$  line in this particular patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.560$  just looking deeper into literature

 $00:58:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.480$  you know what do we know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}58{:}16.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}18.080$  hypermutation and nerve tumors you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:18.142 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.256$  know and does MSI contribute to that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.116$  And at least then one study there seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:22.116 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.140$  to be a contribution of them aside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:24.140 --> 00:58:26.305 hypermutation in our consumers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:26.305 --> 00:58:28.999 another study also found a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:28.999 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.588$  high number. Tumors that had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313 00:58:32.590 --> 00:58:33.056 Mr. NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:33.056 --> 00:58:35.852 That was unstable but not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:35.852 --> 00:58:38.289 lot of detail about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}58{:}38.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40.602$  and the point is that maybe it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:40.602 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.743$  really matter what the cause of the MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:42.743 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.720$  in this patient is, but now we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.623$  Or the hypermutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:46.623 --> 00:58:48.730 But now we know that there's a

00:58:48.795 --> 00:58:50.246 response to PD one inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

00:58:50.246 --> 00:58:52.276 That's an option for him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.108$  and this is an example of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:55.108 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.980$  patient who responded to Pembroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:58:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.850$  You know who had a MSI High Nordic rent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}59{:}02.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}05.640$  tumor and this is something that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00:59:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.059$  you know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92627313

 $00{:}59{:}07.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10.370$  This expanding utility for using MSI to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00{:}59{:}10.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}13.511$  predict response to PD one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:13.511 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.543$  a relatively recent finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:15.550 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.856$  And we can also think about MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.936$  As measured by NGS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59{:}21.936 \dashrightarrow 00:59{:}23.900$  this is an emerging by informatics tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.545$  There are numerous computational methods

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

00:59:25.545 --> 00:59:27.950 that can be used for NGS detection

 $00:59:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.774$  and just in the interest of time I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:29.774 \longrightarrow 00:59:31.647$  going to skip through some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.846$  We did look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:32.846 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.185$  We did look at one of these methods to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.590$  able to tell NGS with our former fellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.942$  and we did find that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00{:}59{:}41.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}43.972$  specific but non sensitive method,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:43.972 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.310$  at least using the oncoming NGS data and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

00:59:48.310 --> 00:59:49.830 In this particular patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.410$  although the mantis was negative for MSI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.960$  you know we still have questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.960$  about you know what might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00:59:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.200$  been the cause of this patience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $00{:}59{:}59.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}01.168$  Anyway, I'm going to skip the next couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:01.168 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.117$  of slides here and just get to the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:03.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.450$  If any of you are interested in

 $01:00:04.450 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.020$  in more of these types of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01{:}00{:}06.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08.180$  please come to the precision Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:08.180 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.490$  tumor board where we review all kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:10.490 --> 01:00:12.520 of tumors with all sorts of findings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:12.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.384$  both germline and somatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:14.384 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.180$  and determine what best causes are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:17.180 --> 01:00:18.023 So, in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:18.023 --> 01:00:20.374 I hope you guys have seen how I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01{:}00{:}20.374 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}21.964$  gone through this mandering path

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01{:}00{:}21.964 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}24.749$  of being a GI and liver surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:24.749 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.673$  pathologist into incorporating molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:26.673 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.620$  pathology into my practice and into my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:30.620 --> 01:00:33.120 Research and focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:33.120 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.400$  patients results and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:36.400 --> 01:00:37.298 And outcomes,

 $01:00:37.298 \longrightarrow 01:00:39.543$  and hopefully in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:39.543 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.404$  we can coordinate some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:42.404 --> 01:00:44.320 information more seamlessly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:44.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.980$  have an integrated practice where.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:46.980 --> 01:00:49.940 This information flow happens easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:49.940 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.470$  and accessibly to everyone and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:00:54.470 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.013$  I'd like to thank everyone I you know, AM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:00:58.013 --> 01:01:01.486 Moving on to some new new things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:01.486 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.454$  in the department as the Director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:01:03.454 --> 01:01:05.482 of Quality and Patient Safety cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:01:05.482 --> 01:01:07.450 be leaving behind some of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:01:07.450 --> 01:01:09.266 some of this research that I'm doing here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:09.270 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.854$  but I'm sticking around and I'm looking for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

01:01:14.860 --> 01:01:18.120 You know collaboration with everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:18.120 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.990$  and in this new endeavor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:20.990 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.662$  And that's it.

01:01:21.662 --> 01:01:22.110 That's yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:22.110 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.966$  I'm sorry for going over a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:24.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.626$  but I'm happy to take some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8851997185

 $01:01:26.626 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.040$  additional questions at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636027378181818

 $01{:}01{:}30.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}33.350$  So we have about 84 people logged in

NOTE Confidence: 0.636027378181818

 $01:01:33.350 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.506$  and we are well over the time limit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636027378181818

01:01:37.506 --> 01:01:41.790 but maybe a quick one or two questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636027378181818

 $01:01:41.790 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.280$  Please unmute yourself and ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

01:01:47.110 --> 01:01:50.096 So I can start with Joanne, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

 $01:01:50.096 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.126$  Joanne, thank you for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

01:01:52.126 --> 01:01:54.506 Very interesting you know seminar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

 $01:01:54.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.688$  I think this is you as your last set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

01:01:56.690 --> 01:01:59.448 So I point out this is exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

 $01:01:59.450 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.436$  probably the future direction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823035436666667

 $01:02:01.436 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.418$  future practice of our pathologies.

 $01:02:03.820 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.990$  So my question to you is if we are from from

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:06.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.178$  your personal experience and do you feel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:09.180 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.664$  I mean if whatever you can share with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:12.670 --> 01:02:14.130 some of your personal experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:14.130 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.110$  you know when you are not about

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:16.110 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.435$  certain that you did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:17.440 --> 01:02:18.904 Through the Molecular Pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:18.904 --> 01:02:21.266 fellowship you are just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01{:}02{:}21.266 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}23.954$  surgical pathologists and then decided to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:23.960 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.332$  You know to practice molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01{:}02{:}25.332 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26.660$  pathology what you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:26.660 --> 01:02:28.536 What's your experience you think is doable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:28.540 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.640$  I mean certainly it's doable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:29.640 --> 01:02:30.540 You prove it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:30.540 --> 01:02:32.216 But what I'm saying and it obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:32.216 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.760$  you know and it hurdles any sort of

01:02:34.834 --> 01:02:37.480 insights you may have for other sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01{:}02{:}37.480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}39.548$  of a spiring surgical pathologies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:39.548 --> 01:02:42.134 may thinking that goes through the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:42.140 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.968$  you know, the way you have guns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:43.968 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.480$  Yeah, yeah, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:45.480 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.256$  I mean, I hope other pathologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:47.256 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.740$  can join me in this way as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:49.740 --> 01:02:52.062 I I think challenge is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:52.062 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.070$  there is more molecular work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:02:54.070 --> 01:02:56.126 Then there are molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:56.126 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.668$  trained molecular pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:02:57.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.478$  so there is need for you know additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:01.478 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.408$  expertise in this area and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:04.410 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.810$  in addition the world of molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:06.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.628$  pathology I think is changing rapidly

 $01:03:09.628 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.418$  more rapidly than the fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:12.418 --> 01:03:15.842 training can sort of keep up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:15.842 \longrightarrow 01:03:19.063$  and you know a lot of these end results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:19.063 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.150$  You know this is all in the last five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:22.150 --> 01:03:23.374 Fellowships can change fast

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:23.374 --> 01:03:24.904 enough to sort of incorporate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:24.910 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.160$  That aspect, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:29.160 --> 01:03:30.918 And you know, I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:30.920 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.280$  I personally had a strong interest in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:33.280 --> 01:03:35.240 That I, you know, goes back to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:35.240 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.756$  know my PhD days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:36.756 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.697$  So I was sort of primed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:39.697 --> 01:03:41.989 learn this material quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:03:41.990 --> 01:03:46.348 But it can be done, I think with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:46.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.965$  You know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:46.965 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.116$  So one thing that I did I I always like

01:03:49.116 --> 01:03:51.252 to think I always like to say that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:51.252 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.128$  actually did exactly what a fellow does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:53.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.500$  I did a six week rotation at TPL at that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:55.558 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.924$  what a fellow in molecular pathology does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:03:57.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:00.289$  They do a six week rotation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:00.289 --> 01:04:02.922 TPL and I'm focusing on just that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:02.922 --> 01:04:04.450 aspect of molecular pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:04.450 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.602$  I'm not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:05.602 --> 01:04:07.650 I I let others worry about you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:07.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.216$  know the fish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:09.216 --> 01:04:12.348 The MLH 1 methylation the PCR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:12.350 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.638$  you know, I, I trust the you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01{:}04{:}14.638 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}16.838$  other labs to do their part so I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571 01:04:16.840 --> 01:04:17.696 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:17.696 --> 01:04:20.264 with a narrow focus like that,

01:04:20.270 --> 01:04:22.321 I think it's quite possible for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:22.321 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.228$  pathologists sort of interact with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:24.230 --> 01:04:25.530 and I think in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:25.530 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.050$  we really need to expand our teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:28.050 \longrightarrow 01:04:31.500$  so that everybody can sort of chip in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:31.500 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.098$  small things in in this in this endeavor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:36.100 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.380$  So just by increasing our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:38.380 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.908$  you know our ability to teach the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:40.908 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.539$  generations some of this material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:42.540 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.518$  I think it's just it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

01:04:43.520 --> 01:04:47.250 It's a rapidly evolving field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878107091428571

 $01:04:47.250 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.938$  And and I think we need to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913217235384615

 $01:04:51.350 \longrightarrow 01:04:53.506$  Creative and how we approach all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.913217235384615

 $01{:}04{:}53.506 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55.698$  work that this field is generating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905584825

 $01:04:58.190 \longrightarrow 01:04:58.660$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928757385

 $01:05:07.620 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.760$  Well, if there are no questions. I'll let.

 $01:05:13.760 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.830$  It was a great shock Joanna I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.930157747142857

 $01:05:16.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:18.520$  as a surgical pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930157747142857

01:05:18.520 --> 01:05:21.206 I really appreciate the bringing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.930157747142857

 $01:05:21.206 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.766$  of integration with molecular and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930157747142857

 $01:05:23.766 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.610$  I think we would all welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.916243268333333

01:05:25.920 --> 01:05:28.160 that integrated report and

NOTE Confidence: 0.916243268333333

 $01:05:28.160 \longrightarrow 01:05:30.490$  the learning that we can gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.916243268333333

 $01:05:30.490 \longrightarrow 01:05:32.440$  from you and your colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916243268333333

 $01:05:32.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:34.676$  So I I think that we will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.916243268333333

01:05:34.676 --> 01:05:36.128 very supportive of this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951481355454545

 $01{:}05{:}36.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38.214$  I want to thank you for giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.951481355454545

 $01:05:38.214 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.400$  such an interesting talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951481355454545

 $01{:}05{:}39.400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42.700$  So patient focused. That I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951481355454545

 $01:05:42.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.643$  makes it quite clear that the time is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.931565046875

 $01:05:45.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.103$  to do this, yes, so you and I will

 $01{:}05{:}48.103 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}50.556$  have to talk about the colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931565046875

 $01{:}05{:}50.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52.450$  integrated reports and how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.931565046875

 $01{:}05{:}52.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54.828$  bring that to our service for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64989476777778

 $01:05:57.280 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.926$  And we also want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.64989476777778

 $01{:}05{:}59.926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}01.249$  about integrated report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64989476777778

 $01{:}06{:}01.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03.840$  In reports in head and neck cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889952935

 $01:06:03.870 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.510$  absolutely all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943713785

 $01:06:06.490 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.698$  Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886903056666667

01:06:10.380 --> 01:06:12.972 Alright, thank you everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886903056666667

 $01:06:12.972 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.800$  thank you. Thank you.