WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:40" NOTE recognizability:0.643

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.758$ We just go to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.980$ Yeah. Wow. It is. I mean, it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.880$ I'm speechless. It's really so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.400$ so wonderful to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.423$ I was a little worried that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:12.423 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.017$ might actually tear up a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:14.017 --> 00:00:17.212 bit coming home to Yale where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:17.212 --> 00:00:19.496 you know, I just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:19.496 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.512$ it was like cutting off a limb

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.340$ to leave this institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}00{:}23.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.070$ I spent 18 years here through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:26.156 --> 00:00:28.004 residency training getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:28.004 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.540$ through to associate professor.

 $00:00:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.708$ I was about to go up for full professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}00{:}32.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.034$ and and I had many long conversations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:35.034 --> 00:00:37.197 with John about whether I was doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:37.197 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.132$ the right thing to leave Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:39.132 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.820$ And and I think that there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:41.895 --> 00:00:43.795 about the importance of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:43.795 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.165$ able to move because so much of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:47.165 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.300$ success as a researcher and academic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}00{:}50.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.316$ you know, you have to wonder how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:52.316 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.519$ much of it is the institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:54.520 --> 00:00:56.968 you know, how much of it is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:56.968 --> 00:00:58.360 holding environment that you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:00:58.360 --> 00:00:59.536 quote UN quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:00:59.536 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.385$ raised in as an academic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:01.385 --> 00:01:03.515 And how much is really you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.440$ And I have to say,

 $00:01:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.456$ having left and then being able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:07.456 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.996$ to see that I could succeed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}01{:}09.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.861$ other institutions was also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:11.861 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.478$ a a really wonderful lesson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:14.480 --> 00:01:15.716 And so I have to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:15.720 --> 00:01:16.056 John,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:16.056 --> 00:01:18.744 you did a great job supporting me through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:18.744 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.478$ that transition and I am really grateful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.640$ And it's been 15 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.940$ and I have to say I still to this day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:26.002 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.795$ have such fond feelings for this institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.192$ I cherish so many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:30.192 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.120$ friends that I've made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}01{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.932$ Many have come and seen me and to cheer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:34.932 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.636$ me on today because there's nothing

00:01:36.636 --> 00:01:39.076 like coming home and actually giving a talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:39.080 --> 00:01:41.519 You can start to go, Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:41.519 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.277$ is this going to go OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.610$ And I can be so loquacious that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.560$ it was a little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:45.560 --> 00:01:47.996 I had to cut out some slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:48.000 --> 00:01:48.897 but also too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:48.897 --> 00:01:51.679 I have to say the colleagues I had here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:51.680 --> 00:01:53.288 so many of you really pushed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:01:53.288 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.599$ me to be a better scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}01{:}55.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.178$ And for that I'm grateful for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:01:59.178 --> 00:02:00.984 the mentors and some of them I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:00.984 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.934$ going to highlight today and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}998$ that supervise me over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.744 \text{ I mean}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:05.744 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.976$ people believed in me here when

 $00{:}02{:}07.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.013$ they had absolutely no evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:10.013 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.834$ at all because I don't have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:02:12.840 --> 00:02:14.240 PHDI didn't do research, really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.160$ in medical school or you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.990$ I came to research kind of late in my career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.790$ And I had many mentors who believed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.438$ in me without any evidence that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:24.438 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.509$ going to have a successful research career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.030$ And I have to say it's truly an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.346$ honor and privilege to be able to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:02:33.346 --> 00:02:36.002 the Henninger lecturer today and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:36.002 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.582$ recognize one of those mentors who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:02:38.590 --> 00:02:39.910 I don't know what you saw in me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.930$ George, I really don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.269$ But you were an amazing mentor in many ways,

00:02:46.270 --> 00:02:48.846 not just in what you would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.650$ but and how you led your life as a physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

00:02:51.650 --> 00:02:53.810 scientist, as many of you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.454$ And John highlighted, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:55.454 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.509$ Henniguro has been in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8418704

 $00:02:57.509 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.968$ department for 50-6 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:02:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.380$ And I have to say, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.210$ John highlighted some of the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}03{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.205$ in which you're truly foundational

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

00:03:05.205 --> 00:03:07.350 to this department. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}03{:}07.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.810$ biological psychiatry was not a thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.946$ I mean, I mean the idea that psychiatrists

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}03{:}12.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.009$ should be concerned about how the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:16.009 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.230$ works outside of the manifestation

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.900$ of the workings of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.570$ Obviously, we were concerned about

00:03:22.570 --> 00:03:25.100 behavior and and sort of how the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.220$ you know, manifests those behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.304$ But the understanding of the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:30.304 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.406$ basis brain regions that are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:33.406 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.292$ and and producing the behaviors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:36.292 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.835$ we treat that was relatively new and

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:38.835 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.420$ it was just kind of transitioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.580$ Even when I got here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.486$ And I always saw George as foundational

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:46.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.968$ to that concept of biological psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}03{:}48.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.847$ and that we as physicians or if

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}03{:}51.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.528$ you're a PhD or you're a clinician

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:03:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.610$ that we are meant to think of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}03{:}57.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.489$ brain as our organ of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.362$ You know that we are meant

 $00:04:02.362 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.210$ to understand and be curious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.914$ Even if you don't study the mechanisms for

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:06.914 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.050$ the psychiatric disorders that we treat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:09.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.220$ you should have an understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.368$ and appreciation for the central

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

00:04:13.368 --> 00:04:16.000 nervous system and how it leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.780$ the manifestation of these illnesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.964$ And you were an amazing example

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}04{:}19.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.370$ of a physician, scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.540$ And I have to say that that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.820$ to me meant the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.930$ And so I'm going to talk about my

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00{:}04{:}29.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.240$ career based upon some of the lessons

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:32.306 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.246$ that I learned from you, George.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:34.246 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.176$ I hope you don't mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4350049

 $00:04:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.573$ And I think we need to give Doctor

 $00{:}04{:}38.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.938$ Henniger another round of applause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}04{:}46.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.520$ So again, today is my my goal is to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:04:49.589 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.137$ some examples from my own research over

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:04:52.137 --> 00:04:55.810 the years to highlight lessons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}04{:}55.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58.150$ I've learned from George in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:04:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.139$ And I'm going to also mention some of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:00.139 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.186$ other mentors and colleagues along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}05{:}02.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.881$ And I apologize ahead of time if I miss

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:05:04.881 --> 00:05:07.119 anybody because there were so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:07.119 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.569$ people who greatly influenced on my work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}05{:}09.570 --> 00{:}05{:}11.138$ But I do have to also show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:05:11.138 --> 00:05:11.850 my disclosure slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}05{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.690$ So I figured this was a nice segue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.970$ So life lessons from Doctor H, basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.930$ How do I. Yeah. Or do I do this down here?

 $00:05:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.970$ Ah, yes. There we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:05:28.970 --> 00:05:31.400 Like I said, psychiatrists should

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.690$ seek to understand the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.440$ the mechanisms by which the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.990$ produces the illnesses that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.308$ and then be curious about our interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.478$ not just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:05:45.478 --> 00:05:47.230 do they make our patients better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.590$ but how do they make our patients better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:49.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.510$ And this practice in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:51.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.046$ research has been fundamentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}05{:}53.046 \to 00{:}05{:}54.948$ informing my research over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}05{:}54.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.666$ as well as my decision to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.506$ chair of a department of Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:05:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.310$ Hopefully you'll see how those are connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}06{:}02.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.486$ I also say that George took me out

 $00:06:04.486 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.922$ to lunch one day when I was making

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:06.922 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.610$ this decision to leave and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.060$ about don't get too comfortable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:12.060 --> 00:06:14.895 Sometimes you need to try new scientific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:14.900 --> 00:06:15.872 scientific techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:15.872 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.816$ go to new places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.276$ try new things in order to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:21.276 --> 00:06:22.140 greater impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.059$ And I took that advice very seriously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.649$ And then one other thing that George

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:26.649 --> 00:06:27.900 H and I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}06{:}27.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.404$ George A also really spoke to me about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.209$ I remember when I would see them

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:34.209 --> 00:06:36.101 at the elevator and I was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:36.101 --> 00:06:37.436 pregnant with my children because

 $00:06:37.436 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.367$ I had two kids while I was here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}06{:}39.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.114$ And you all would say very you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:42.114 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.169$ always expressed concern and support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:44.170 --> 00:06:46.447 But also do you have supports in your life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.808$ Because to be a successful academic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}06{:}48.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.098$ you really do need not to have only

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:51.098 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.168$ mentors in your professional life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.990$ but you need to have people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}06{:}54.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.645$ your personal life that can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:06:56.645 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.005$ support you and help you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}06{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59.501$ Because it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:06:59.501 --> 00:07:03.214 it is no small thing to give birth to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:07:03.214 --> 00:07:05.491 another human being and then have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:05.491 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.747$ raise those human beings into adulthood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.125$ So I always appreciated your

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}10.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.025$ thoughts about those things.

 $00:07:12.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.024$ So I came to Yale in 1992 after

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:15.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.409$ having done of a pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:17.409 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.610$ internship at Bridgeport Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:07:19.610 --> 00:07:22.389 And this was before I actually fell

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}22.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.873$ under the influence of Doctor H But

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:24.873 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.834$ basically I came to yell into psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

00:07:27.834 --> 00:07:31.379 thinking I was going to be a child

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:31.379 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.049$ and adolescent psychiatrist and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}34.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.767$ going to go into private practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.999$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:36.999 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.602$ those of you who know me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}38.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.134$ you've heard from John that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}40.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.409$ didn't either of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:41.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.410$ So what the heck happened?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00:07:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.050$ And this is where I don't know how

 $00:07:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.142$ many trainees are on the on the zoom

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}49.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.710$ or or your trainees in this room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80387837

 $00{:}07{:}50.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.070$ But I think most people ever who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:07:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.494$ clinician researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:07:55.494 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.148$ they wind up really experiencing their

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}07{:}59.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.678$ patients and their interactions with

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:01.678 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.834$ their patients with a level of curiosity

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:04.834 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.904$ that often stimulates their research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}08{:}06.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.502$ So it was my third year of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:09.502 --> 00:08:11.439 psychiatry training and I was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:11.439 --> 00:08:13.263 Duh with Alice Papsen and Anjali.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.615$ You weren't at Duh where the No.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.020$ CNRU. Yes, that's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.280$ That's where we were, Pgy twos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.860$ But in that third year residency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.779$ I was one of the only women

 $00:08:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.474$ residents who was at Duh at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}08{:}26.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.499$ time or the Mental hygiene clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.856$ What do we call it now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:29.860 --> 00:08:33.152 What do we call Duh now at Yale Health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:33.152 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.296$ OK, there you go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:34.300 --> 00:08:36.740 Well, I'm using old terminology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.820$ but the bottom line is that I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.820$ my head referred to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:40.820 --> 00:08:42.878 a Yale faculty member who was only

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}08{:}42.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.980$ a couple of months postpartum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}08{:}44.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.260$ And I was told she had postpartum depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.744$ And I was like, OK, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}08{:}49.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.208$ I've never seen a case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:51.208 --> 00:08:51.696 postpartum depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.620$ So, all right, I'll, I'll see this lady.

00:08:54.620 --> 00:08:55.364 And they thought, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:08:55.364 --> 00:08:56.520 you know, you're a woman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.300$ she's a woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.896$ Maybe you guys are bond or something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:08:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.500$ It'll be a good clinical little.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.700$ Did I know that this woman was going

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}04.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.650$ to set me on a career of investigation

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.410$ about how hormones affect the brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}10.410 --> 00{:}09{:}12.090$ So she came, sat down in my office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.970$ and she was indeed very distressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}14.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.825$ But I realized pretty quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:16.825 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.104$ into the interview that what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}19.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}21.610$ true problem was is that she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.970$ having infanticidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.388$ She was avoiding her children because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:25.388 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.130$ she had thoughts of killing them or

00:09:28.130 --> 00:09:30.162 maiming them in some way, shape or form,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}30.162 \to 00{:}09{:}32.570$ and she was horrified by these thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:32.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.258$ And they were like these intrusive images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.820$ And John mentioned that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.860$ an interest in OCD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.620$ And I was just struck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.180 \text{ I was like, wow,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.740$ this is really different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.070$ This woman's not psychotic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.934$ these are egotystonic thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.860$ and what is going on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}49.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.636$ And so I started reading and

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}09{:}51.636 \rightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.820$ little did everybody know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:09:52.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.420$ I was actually pregnant at the time myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:09:55.420 --> 00:09:57.940 And so I started reading about

00:09:57.940 --> 00:09:59.572 what causes infanticidal ideation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:09:59.572 --> 00:10:02.180 like how common is this, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.860$ and back in the 1990s there

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.638$ was some literature on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:10:05.640 --> 00:10:08.237 but I fell across this one hormone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.064$ oxytocin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:09.064 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.960$ And I was like oxytocin is important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.360$ milk let down and uterine contractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:10:16.360 --> 00:10:16.666 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:16.666 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.808$ little did I know that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:18.808 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.980$ actually being studied in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.100$ initiation of maternal behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:10:23.100 --> 00:10:24.480 And I thought, wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}10{:}24.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.860$ this is really fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.905$ And so basically I took the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}10{:}28.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.804$ truism from George that as a

 $00:10:31.804 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.314$ psychiatrist I started reading about

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:34.314 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.496$ the mechanism behind what I was seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:10:37.500 --> 00:10:38.044 Now again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:38.044 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.948$ I don't think we know the true

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:39.948 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.620$ mechanism of infanticidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

00:10:41.620 --> 00:10:44.735 We think that maybe oxytocin is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.064$ but in any case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:46.064 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.050$ it was something that was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}10{:}48.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.240$ got me interested in hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.806$ It also got me interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}10{:}51.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.850$ hormones because people don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00{:}10{:}52.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.158$ mind talking about hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:54.160 \dashrightarrow 00:10:55.960$ They don't like to talk about mental illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5709162

 $00:10:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.760$ But you can talk about hormones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:10:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.517$ And when you tell a patient that,

00:10:59.520 --> 00:11:01.487 hey, it's not that you're a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:01.487 --> 00:11:03.679 mother or that you're going to have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:03.680 --> 00:11:05.360 you know, be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:05.360 --> 00:11:06.944 horrible to your children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.320$ you've undergone a huge hormonal shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:09.320 --> 00:11:11.518 We can't tell you the exact mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.520$ but we can say that it is related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:13.520 --> 00:11:15.602 You can just see the relief

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:15.602 --> 00:11:16.990 that falls over them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:16.990 --> 00:11:19.710 that they don't feel like all of a sudden it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:19.772 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.428$ is my fault that I'm having these feelings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:22.430 --> 00:11:23.432 Luckily, Jim Lachman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:23.432 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.717$ who as many of you know at Yale Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:26.717 --> 00:11:29.030 Studies Center was also interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.950$ in oxytocin and obsessionality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}11{:}30.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.134$ and he's an ex world expert

 $00:11:33.134 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.226$ in tick disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.184$ which are often comorbid with OCD symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.286$ And he gave me a book that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:40.286 --> 00:11:43.232 full of papers about oxytocin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}11{:}43.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.652$ maternal behavior and how estradiol

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}11{:}45.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.151$ during pregnancy is important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:48.151 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.099$ expression of oxytocin receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:50.100 --> 00:11:51.540 And I just got fascinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.180$ but it was way over my head because I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:54.249 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.699$ never read any kind of rodent studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:11:56.700 --> 00:11:57.964 molecular studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:11:57.964 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.484$ Prairie bowl studies, sheep studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:00.484 \dashrightarrow 00:12:03.840$ I mean it was all in these non human mammals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:03.840 --> 00:12:06.480 And I remember saying to Jim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.724$ this is really hard.

 $00:12:07.724 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.279$ I don't understand these techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}12{:}09.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.184$ I don't know anything about these brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:11.184 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.679$ regions that they're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:12.680 --> 00:12:14.199 And he just said keep with it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:14.200 --> 00:12:14.563 Neil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:14.563 --> 00:12:16.741 He said reading this work will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:16.741 --> 00:12:19.194 get easier and that was the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}12{:}19.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.904$ advice I had to stick with as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:21.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.040$ clinician without a Bhd to stick

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.491$ with reading basic science research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}12{:}26.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.953$ And so that was incredibly helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.599$ So basically, I did have two children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.992$ And George was right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:33.992 --> 00:12:36.803 I needed lots of support and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:36.803 --> 00:12:39.092 lucky to have that support with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:39.092 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.160$ spouse as well as our families.

 $00:12:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.300$ And then in 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:42.300 --> 00:12:45.480 I did go to where I would have better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}12{:}45.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.676$ more impact. And that was something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:47.680 --> 00:12:49.714 George took me out to lunch and he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:49.720 --> 00:12:51.799 you know, I know this is a hard decision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.258$ he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:52.258 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.090$ but I think that you will do well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00{:}12{:}54.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.000$ at the University of Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:12:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.208$ And so I went.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:57.208 --> 00:12:58.114 And then again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:12:58.120 --> 00:13:00.752 I took his advice and chose to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:13:00.752 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.600$ a chair of a department in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:13:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.944$ And a lot of this has been because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

 $00:13:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.999$ of my mission and vision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9354834

00:13:09.000 --> 00:13:10.152 I don't know how many people

 $00:13:10.152 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.599$ think they have a career mission.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:13.640 --> 00:13:14.920 I actually think it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.200$ to actually lay it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:16.200 --> 00:13:18.160 Yeah, lay it out, write it out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:18.160 --> 00:13:21.030 So my career mission has been to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}13{:}21.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.431$ promote the centrality of the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}13{:}23.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.986$ with respect to all areas of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:25.990 --> 00:13:27.887 And I did that through the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}13{:}27.887 \longrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29.430$ of psycho neuron terminology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.206$ which is the study of hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:31.206 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.390$ effects on the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.430$ Because, again, when you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:34.430 --> 00:13:36.470 talking with people about something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.828$ hormones have such profound effects in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:38.828 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.150$ so many different fields of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.692$ that it's easy for our colleagues

00:13:42.692 --> 00:13:44.297 in other fields of medicine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:44.297 --> 00:13:46.110 relate to what we're trying to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:46.110 --> 00:13:48.747 It's easier for our patients to relate to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:48.750 --> 00:13:51.396 And again, I never understood why

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:51.396 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.870$ the brain was a stigmatized organ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.342$ I mean, it just the brain is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:13:56.342 --> 00:13:57.428 most fascinating organ in the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.306$ I mean, why do we stigmatize it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:13:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.870$ So my vision has been that we would,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:02.870 --> 00:14:04.310 through all of this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.871$ that we would be able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:05.871 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.790$ brain health for all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:06.790 --> 00:14:09.388 for life because people would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.262$ we wouldn't be stigmatizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:11.262 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.666$ this organ system.

 $00:14:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.748$ And the top is the weighting of the scales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.174$ Are we ever going to have true parity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.925$ And I always feel like if we can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:20.925 --> 00:14:23.098 emphasize the importance of psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:23.100 --> 00:14:23.626 psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:23.626 --> 00:14:26.256 mental health to all health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.355$ that we ideally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:27.355 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.815$ and this is aspirational,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.388$ that we will have parity before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:31.388 --> 00:14:33.544 hopefully or for I'm George's age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}14{:}33.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.098$ I hope that we will have parity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.964$ I don't know and I hope that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:37.964 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.094$ we will have reduced stigma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:39.100 --> 00:14:41.764 But I've done this through really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:41.764 --> 00:14:44.161 focusing on the endocrine system

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}14{:}44.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46.329$ and psycho neuron chronology.

00:14:46.330 --> 00:14:48.370 So John mentioned reproductive psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.250$ and this is what we refer to when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:51.250 --> 00:14:54.354 sort of a area of psychiatry where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:14:54.354 --> 00:14:57.470 are thinking about and you're applying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:14:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.152$ the psychoneurin endocrine sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:00.152 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.607$ knowledge that knowledge base to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.650$ the assessment and treatment of women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:04.650 --> 00:15:07.722 particularly reproductive time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:07.722 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.430$ Now, what percentage of a woman's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.140$ life do does she spend pregnant?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.139$ Very small, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:14.139 --> 00:15:16.046 It's about 4% if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:16.046 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.254$ on average two children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:17.260 --> 00:15:18.300 And some people don't choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.340$ to have children at all.

 $00:15:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.760$ Some people have one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:20.760 --> 00:15:22.180 Obviously people have more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.700$ but it's on average about 4% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.340$ the entire life of the female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.642$ So why is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:28.642 --> 00:15:31.246 and I refuse to call reproductive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:31.246 --> 00:15:33.660 psychiatry perinatal mental health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:33.660 --> 00:15:36.285 Because it really is about sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}15{:}36.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38.880$ the broad aspects of hormonal effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.004$ on the brain and behavior in women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}15{:}42.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.256$ And so I'm fascinated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:44.256 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.600$ this particular area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}15{:}45.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.718$ And I started out in perinatal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}15{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.160$ And this is Fred Naphtalon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:49.160 --> 00:15:51.824 Fred Naphtalon was chair of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:51.824 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.008$ department of OBGYN when I first got

 $00:15:55.008 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.928$ interested in perinatal depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:56.928 --> 00:15:57.984 And George,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:15:57.984 --> 00:15:59.040 I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:15:59.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.232$ Fred was very interested in estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:16:02.232 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.360$ effects and the hypothalamus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:16:04.446 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.684$ and neuronal spine and dendritic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:16:06.684 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.594$ connections and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:16:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.592$ And so he was fascinated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00{:}16{:}10.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.348$ I was interested in estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:16:12.348 --> 00:16:14.466 effects on other areas of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:16:14.466 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.680$ brain and was very supportive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:16:16.680 --> 00:16:18.565 It is incredibly helpful when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:16:18.565 --> 00:16:20.073 you're a young whippersnapper

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

 $00:16:20.073 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.885$ coming up to have not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9149146

00:16:21.885 --> 00:16:23.255 your own chair be supportive

00:16:23.319 --> 00:16:24.700 of you, but a chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:24.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.680$ another field of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.668$ And again, I was trying to make the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:28.668 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.857$ relevant to all areas of healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:16:30.860 --> 00:16:33.100 And then David Rubenow and Peter Schmidt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.216$ who are at the NIMH, Peter still there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:16:35.216 --> 00:16:37.898 David went on to be chair at UNC Chapel Hill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:16:37.900 --> 00:16:40.156 my alma mater and is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:40.156 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.660$ kind of semi retired.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:16:41.660 --> 00:16:44.780 But I see him quite frequently in meetings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}16{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.730$ And again, they were incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.514$ helpful at the time because they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:49.514 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.806$ showing that it's not about hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:16:51.806 --> 00:16:54.852 levels when it comes to these issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:16:54.852 --> 00:16:58.344 depression and perinatal period or menopause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:16:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.382$ that it has to do with how the

 $00:17:00.382 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.497$ brain is responding to normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}17{:}02.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.545$ fluctuations and gonadal steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:04.550 --> 00:17:05.510 And you can say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.630$ we know that now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.190$ Well, this was the 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.790$ and people didn't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:09.790 --> 00:17:13.020 And Peter and David's work were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.880$ incredibly influential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}17{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.890$ They also showed us how to manipulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:16.956 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.612$ hormone levels safely and then be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:19.612 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.429$ study the behavior and biological effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.910$ And so, again, very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:24.910 --> 00:17:28.039 Kathy Wisner, Barb Perry and Lee Cohen

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:28.039 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.660$ were also subject matter experts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:30.660 --> 00:17:32.644 I actually just was on a call with

 $00:17:32.644 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.597$ Kathy because we're going to be on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}17{:}34.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.576$ panel together at ACMP and she mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}17{:}36.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.562$ that George Anderson and she are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:38.562 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.178$ collaborating on research together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:40.178 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.160$ And so it's always kind of interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:43.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.352$ how full circle Linda Mays who as

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:45.352 --> 00:17:47.650 you know is the head of the Child

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:47.650 --> 00:17:49.612 Study Center now was also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}17{:}49.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.538$ helpful in getting me thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:51.538 --> 00:17:54.022 about the of women who are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}17{:}54.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.876$ through pregnancy and maybe having

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:17:55.876 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.246$ depression or other kinds of stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:17:58.250 --> 00:18:00.968 And then trace of John Crystal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.054$ I have to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:02.054 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.079$ the one thing I always say about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:04.079 --> 00:18:06.526 John I always say about you is that,

00:18:06.526 --> 00:18:08.434 you know, those people who know

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:08.434 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.760$ something about everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.400$ Sean knows a lot about everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.423$ I mean it it would just like

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:14.423 --> 00:18:15.720 blow my mind that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:15.720 --> 00:18:16.530 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:16.530 --> 00:18:18.555 he's not a reproductive psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.918$ He doesn't study psycho neuro endocrinology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.639$ And I would come out of a meeting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:22.639 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.627$ him and he would be telling me something

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}18{:}24.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.200$ about estrogen and ampereceptors or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:26.200 --> 00:18:26.668 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}18{:}26.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.838$ and I'd be just like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.040$ how in the world do you even know about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:31.040 --> 00:18:31.640 You know,

 $00:18:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.040$ I'm supposed to be the hormone expert and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:34.107 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.880$ instead people always taught me something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}18{:}35.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.120$ So it was really remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.320$ Very humbling I would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:40.320 --> 00:18:42.400 And then Angela Capiello,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014 00:18:42.400 --> 00:18:42.920 yes, NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}18{:}42.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.948$ I mentioned you because you started

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:44.948 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.575$ the menopause research when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}18{:}46.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.335$ were at the VA and how you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:48.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.925$ doing menopause at the VA is

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:49.925 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.556$ like was especially in the 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:51.556 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.504$ was beyond me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

00:18:52.504 --> 00:18:54.992 But I always wanted to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:54.992 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.564$ whole reproductive lifespan

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:18:56.564 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.970$ because the things that you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}18{:}57.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.430$ if you're really interested in

00:18:59.430 --> 00:19:00.890 how hormones affect the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00{:}19{:}00.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.724$ you don't need to study just one

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:19:02.724 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.889$ area of the reproductive lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:19:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.571$ You need to be able to interrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:19:07.571 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.330$ questions across the reproductive lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:19:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.466$ And Angela is the one that got her

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:19:12.466 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.809$ menopause research up and running.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92909014

 $00:19:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.730$ I think you got a grant from Eli Lilly that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:19:16.730 --> 00:19:18.570 you know, really helped it to get going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:19:18.570 --> 00:19:19.882 And then Tracy Bale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:19:19.882 --> 00:19:22.001 was John mentioned again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:22.001 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.986$ really such an amazing collaborator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}24.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.355$ I think part of my bucket list

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}26.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.821$ is I always wanted to collaborate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:27.821 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.933$ with the basic scientists

00:19:28.933 --> 00:19:30.749 because as a clinical researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:19:30.750 --> 00:19:32.718 you can only get so far when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}32.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.726$ comes to mechanism and it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:34.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.904$ drive me bananas that everything was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:36.968 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.352$ associative or correlative, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}39.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.879$ And the idea that you could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:41.879 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.266$ get in and do more basic science

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}44.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.130$ research was in this area was terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}47.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.970$ So Tracy and I have been focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.742$ preconception and intergenerational

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}19{:}51.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.226$ transmission of stress and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:19:54.226 --> 00:19:56.470 stress hormones as well as gonadal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:56.546 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.130$ steroids are involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:19:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.298$ So you can see I've had a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:01.298 --> 00:20:02.958 support and George was right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}20{:}02.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04.593$ it's really important to have.

 $00:20:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.384$ These professional colleagues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}20{:}06.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.862$ kind of help you get your start and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:09.862 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.655$ support you all along the way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:12.655 --> 00:20:14.500 basically premenstrual dysphoric

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.295$ disorder effects about 5% of misrating women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:18.295 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.181$ although more than 50% will have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:21.181 --> 00:20:23.383 kinds of what we call premenstrual

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:23.383 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.398$ symptoms or premenstrual distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.829$ About 20% of women will have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}20{:}27.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.680$ level of perinatal depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.350$ and menopause is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.418$ interesting transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:33.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.140$ And if all of us are who identify as female

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:36.210 --> 00:20:38.618 and have our ovaries live long enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.938$ we will go through this transition.

00:20:41.940 --> 00:20:43.375 And I don't know if you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}20{:}43.380 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.512$ but basically if you've never had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:47.512 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.899$ depressive episode in your entire life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:49.900 --> 00:20:51.616 as you go through the perimenopause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.900$ you're at threefold increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:53.900 --> 00:20:56.588 of having your very first episode

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:20:56.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.932$ in the perimenopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:20:57.940 --> 00:21:00.956 It was a very powerful time in people's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}00.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02.232$ lives with respect to hormones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:02.232 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.932$ and that is if you go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:03.932 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.859$ a natural process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.898$ So I studied the whole reproductive lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:21:07.900 --> 00:21:10.216 So clearly I took George's advice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}10.220 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.812$ and I never allowed myself to get too

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:12.812 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.020$ comfortable in any one particular area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}15.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.603$ I also never allowed myself to get

00:21:17.603 --> 00:21:20.148 too comfortable when it came to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}20.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.200$ techniques I used to interrogate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:22.271 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.085$ questions that I had about how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:25.085 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.256$ hormones were affecting the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:27.256 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.124$ I would do pharmacologic manipulations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}30.124 --> 00{:}21{:}32.769$ proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.288$ functional imaging network analysis early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.970$ and I'll talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.690$ the serotonin work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:21:39.690 --> 00:21:40.623 But, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}40.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.489$ going and attending a grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:42.489 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.900$ as a junior faculty member here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}21{:}44.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47.245$ Yale and hearing somebody talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:47.245 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.077$ the platelet serotonin transporter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.180$ I knew nothing about the platelet

00:21:51.180 --> 00:21:51.880 serotonin transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.460$ I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.490$ I had no idea that your platelets

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.398$ had a serotonin transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:56.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.480$ And then to hear in that talk that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:21:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.400$ that transporter is identical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:22:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.800$ to the transporter on neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:22:03.800 --> 00:22:04.616 And at that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}22{:}04.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.258$ I was about to start a postpartum

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:22:06.258 --> 00:22:08.436 depression treatment study with an SSRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00{:}22{:}08.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.000$ and I wanted to allow people to breast feed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:22:11.000 --> 00:22:12.425 And I thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:22:12.425 --> 00:22:15.300 I can use that system to test

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:22:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.050$ whether this is going to have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:22:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.158$ impact on the infants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:22:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.918$ And I'll show you those data.

 $00:22:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.595$ I've done a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:22:21.595 --> 00:22:22.600 stress Physiology tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:22:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.049$ everything from threatening

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

00:22:24.049 --> 00:22:26.464 people with shock and measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.7730151

 $00:22:26.464 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.430$ their psychophysiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:27.489 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.409$ response to a threat of shock

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:29.409 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.040$ to the trirosocial stress test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.128$ And now we've moved the trirosocial

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:33.128 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.910$ stress test during the pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.796$ to a virtual model for the TSST.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.992$ I've done a number of hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:40.992 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.120$ manipulations and again psychophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}22{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.508$ And then came my interest in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:22:46.508 --> 00:22:49.376 concept of why do some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:49.376 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.609$ experience their very first episode of

 $00:22:52.609 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.454$ depression or psychosis or cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:55.454 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.718$ change or obsessionality in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:22:57.718 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.828$ context of these hormonal changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:22:59.830 --> 00:23:02.602 And it's not just about the biology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:02.602 --> 00:23:04.787 hormones and how they affect the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:04.790 --> 00:23:07.107 but we know that early life adversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:07.110 --> 00:23:08.246 childhood adversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:08.246 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.950$ changes the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}23{:}09.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.860$ And so I started wondering about

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:12.860 --> 00:23:15.150 whether the patients I was seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}23{:}15.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17.450$ if adverse childhood experiences set

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:17.450 --> 00:23:21.045 them up for having this risk that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:21.045 --> 00:23:23.481 the hormones change later in life

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:23.481 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.403$ that that would unmask some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:26.403 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.365$ impact of these adverse experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:28.365 --> 00:23:31.988 So that it was like a when a perfect storm,

 $00:23:31.988 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.266$ if you will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.706$ And perhaps because I'm married to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:35.706 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.569$ child and adolescent psychiatrist who

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:37.569 --> 00:23:40.222 does trauma work with youth and adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}23{:}40.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.830$ I started measuring the adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.038$ childhood experiences in all of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:45.038 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.790$ patients and all of my research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.948$ And for those of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:47.950 --> 00:23:48.886 the ACE question naire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:48.886 --> 00:23:51.470 it's a 10 item scale that's still being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:23:51.470 --> 00:23:53.990 you know, used in multiple states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:23:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.515$ It has a very strong epidemiologic sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}23{:}57.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.350$ of reliability and it's used in mint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.843$ like I said in many states as part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:02.843 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.257$ their risk assessments for individuals

 $00:24:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.700$ measures three types of abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.500$ neglect and house five times

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.180$ of household dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:24:11.180 --> 00:24:11.650 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:24:11.650 --> 00:24:14.940 this concept that you want to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:24:14.940 --> 00:24:17.820 brain health relevant to all health

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.818$ people have shown that average shotted

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:19.818 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.605$ experience has not only increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}24{:}21.605 \longrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.575$ the risk of psychiatric illnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.418$ but a number of medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:24:26.420 --> 00:24:29.456 even loss of your first pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.508$ If you have four more Aces on your

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:31.508 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.780$ childhood before the age of 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.250$ you're at greater risk of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.780$ loss of that first pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:24:35.780 --> 00:24:39.460 So there's migraines, endocrine disorders,

 $00:24:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.236$ obviously metabolic disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:41.236 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.420$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.382$ it was a way to think about can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:44.382 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.496$ use instruments that will help other

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:46.496 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.331$ clinicians from other fields of

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:48.395 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.975$ medicine understand what we're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.419$ And I'm sorry but the CTQ is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:53.419 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.964$ bit too sophisticated for the internist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:24:55.964 --> 00:24:59.228 but an ACE questionnaire is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:24:59.228 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.860$ easy to administer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}25{:}00.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.750$ So I'm just going to highlight some

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.856$ of the areas of research that my my

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}25{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06.498$ that I have touched over my career,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}25{:}06.500 \to 00{:}25{:}08.740$ focusing first on the perinatal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.252$ So I promised that I would talk about

 $00:25:11.252 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.718$ work all over the my career and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}25{:}13.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.738$ that I did here and in other institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:25:16.740 --> 00:25:18.600 But because I was interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.088$ in perinatal mental health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.775$ people weren't sure whether postpartum

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:21.775 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.898$ depression was the same as major

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:23.898 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.166$ depressive disorder occurring at other times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.145$ And so I was really interested again

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:29.145 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.440$ in that question of obsessionality

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.236$ and I wanted to use antidepressants

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00{:}25{:}34.236 \to 00{:}25{:}37.695$ as a way to tease apart whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

 $00:25:37.695 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.970$ obsessionality is more serotonergic based

NOTE Confidence: 0.24615589

00:25:40.970 --> 00:25:44.453 like we were seeing with OCD at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:25:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.776$ at least with respect to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:25:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.328$ But and so I had designed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}25{:}49.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.394$ NARSAD grant that was really

 $00:25:51.394 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.619$ kind of probably not feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:25:53.620 --> 00:25:55.900 And and Larry Price said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:25:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.660$ Neil, I'm sorry if there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}25{:}58.660 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}26{:}00.200$ place bo-controlled study in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.740$ treatment of postpartum depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.518$ That's the study that you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:03.518 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.579$ do is the antidepressant study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.310$ I said, but that's so boring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:26:08.310 --> 00:26:09.870 I said, you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.229$ can't I do the other one he gives me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:26:11.230 --> 00:26:12.504 How are you going to recruit women?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.938$ You're going to put out advertisement

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}26{:}13.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.819$ in in the new spaper that says if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:26:15.819 --> 00:26:17.145 have thoughts of killing your child,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.710$ come see me, you know,

00:26:18.710 --> 00:26:20.330 He said you're you're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:20.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.950$ you can measure that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.318$ but you're going to have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:23.318 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.590$ do the SSRI study first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.879$ And so we showed that Sir Trilling

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:26.879 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.942$ was more effective than placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}26{:}28.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.107$ and the treatment of depression

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:26:31.107 --> 00:26:33.673 with onset according to DSM 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}26{:}33.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.325$ criteria for postpartum onset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.670$ So that's onset within

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.010$ four weeks of delivery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.230$ And I have had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}26{:}39.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.600$ I had a number of people who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:41.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.210$ really involved in that work and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.093$ have to thank Larry Price for sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}26{:}45.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.849$ guiding me in the right direction.

00:26:46.850 --> 00:26:48.128 And that's the thing about I've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:26:48.130 --> 00:26:50.328 I've noticed with some of my mentees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.804$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:50.804 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.463$ people have that pie in the sky

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:52.463 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.236$ idea for what they want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:54.236 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.590$ as their first research study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.986$ Dear God, listen to your mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:26:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.450$ They know what they're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.910$ about when they say no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.310$ you should do this study first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}27{:}04.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.830$ So I used to lie in bed at night and go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.060$ Oh my God,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.930$ I'm letting mom's breastfeed and take SSRIs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.953$ And at that point we had no

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:12.953 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.890$ idea for sure whether SSRIs.

 $00:27:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.110$ We knew that they got into

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.600$ the baby at low levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:27:18.600 --> 00:27:20.350 There were low levels in the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:20.406 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.009$ milk and low levels in the baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.550$ but I didn't know whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.090$ they had a biological effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.365$ So our first study was with sertraline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:27.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.394$ You can see here that the moms had

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}27{:}30.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32.770$ a dramatic drop in their platelets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.770$ serotonin levels because the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}27{:}34.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.989$ blocked the seroton in transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.966$ And you can see that in this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}27{:}38.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.555$ small sample of babies that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:40.555 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.103$ had very little effect at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.902$ And so then we went and did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:43.902 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.269$ in a larger sample.

00:27:45.270 --> 00:27:45.770 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:27:45.770 --> 00:27:48.982 moms have a dramatic drop in platelets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:48.982 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.598$ serotonin levels because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:50.598 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.810$ blocked the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}27{:}51.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.150$ They're getting enough of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.490$ drug to block the transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:27:54.490 --> 00:27:56.688 But our babies on average did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:27:56.688 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.766$ have a sufficient amount of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:27:58.766 --> 00:28:00.551 medication in their bloodstream to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:00.551 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.488$ block the seroton in transporter on platelets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:28:03.490 --> 00:28:04.100 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.930$ that's a proxy for what we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00{:}28{:}05.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.946$ or at least we were saying is proxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.378$ for what might be happening at

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:09.378 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.630$ the central nervous system level.

00:28:10.630 --> 00:28:12.163 And it turns out that Kathy Wisner

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:12.163 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.840$ and George are going to be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.050$ this now with pregnant women

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:28:15.050 --> 00:28:16.548 because obviously during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

00:28:16.550 --> 00:28:19.077 women get exposed the baby and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:19.077 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.994$ fetus gets exposed to more medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3527479

 $00:28:20.994 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.490$ And so there are a number of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:28:23.490 --> 00:28:26.730 Chris McDougal, who's long left Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}28{:}26.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.935$ was very supportive to me early in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:28.935 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.265$ my career as well. And and George

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}28{:}31.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.890$ and Peter Jatlow were terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:32.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.672$ And that most of you might

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:28:34.672 --> 00:28:35.563 remember Catherine Zarkowski,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:28:35.570 --> 00:28:38.930 who basically was, I have to tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.354$ the people who work for you and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:28:41.354 --> 00:28:43.649 and partner with you on this journey,

00:28:43.650 --> 00:28:46.410 they're really incredibly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:46.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.850$ So then I went to Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:28:47.850 --> 00:28:48.582 I mean, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:48.582 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.121$ I went to Penn and I wanted to study sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:53.121 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.726$ of maternal early childhood adversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:54.726 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.880$ and how it might be transmitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.264$ That information might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:28:58.264 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.994$ transmitted to the next generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}00.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.440$ There's been plenty of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.880$ from like the Dutch hunger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.905$ winter and other sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}04.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.120$ large epidemiologic studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.910$ We know that maternal stress during

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:29:08.910 --> 00:29:11.577 pregnancy can have a negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:29:11.577 --> 00:29:14.437 impact on infant neurodevelopment,

 $00:29:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.320$ even sort of their stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:29:16.320 --> 00:29:17.824 response later in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.926$ But our hypothesis was that women

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:20.926 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.476$ who've been adversely affected in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:23.476 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.894$ childhood come into the pregnancy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:29:25.894 --> 00:29:28.782 a way of responding to environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}28.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.506$ stressors that is likely to be unique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.974$ And so we wanted to study how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}34.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}36.837$ might impact fetal development and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:36.837 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.050$ wanted to do so in a sex specific fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}40.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.490$ So we basically measured on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.502$ borrowed from the OBGYN and maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}45.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47.510$ fetal medicine literature and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:29:47.586 --> 00:29:50.056 research using 3D ultrasound to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}50.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.526$ measure the fetal adrenal volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:29:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.770$ We measured it over two time points.

00:29:54.770 --> 00:29:57.010 Eileen Wong was at OBGYN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:29:57.010 --> 00:29:58.720 Debbie Kim was a psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}29{:}58.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.283$ researcher at the time and Lisa Hanso

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:01.283 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.248$ is assistant professor at Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.806$ So this was a team effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:05.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.730$ and again, to George's point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:30:07.730 --> 00:30:09.860 beware of getting too comfortable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.814$ try new techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:10.814 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.722$ and really think about how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:12.722 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.773$ can use technology from other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:30:14.773 --> 00:30:16.863 fields of medicine to potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:16.863 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.697$ interrogate the questions you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:18.700 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.940$ So because I'm showing you these data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.908$ they must have come out positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:22.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.884$ You need a really good biased

 $00:30:24.884 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.390$ statistician to do a lot of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.190$ Mary Sammel and her mentee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:30:29.190 --> 00:30:30.102 Rachel Johnson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:30.102 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.750$ and then Karina Duffy is a science writer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.110$ Now that I'm a chair of a department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.703$ I just don't have as much time to write.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.390$ I have to tell you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:30:37.390 --> 00:30:39.665 I hired 2 science writers and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}30{:}39.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.038$ have been a mazing at helping us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:42.038 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.703$ stay as productive as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}30{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.826$ So I'll walk you through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.350$ This is weight adjusted fetal adrenal volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.660$ You have to weight adjust because baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.397$ boys have larger adrenals and they're bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.333$ By and large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:30:53.333 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.510$ this is the female group and these

 $00:30:55.583 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.446$ moms either had high amount of adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}30{:}58.446 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}31{:}00.520$ childhood experiences or low amounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.012$ And we looked at whether that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}31{:}03.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.896$ there a fetal sex by maternal ace

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:05.896 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.200$ interaction and the answer is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:31:08.200 --> 00:31:10.760 And if you look at who's mostly affected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.771$ not the females,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:11.771 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.456$ the low and highest females

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:31:13.456 --> 00:31:14.680 look pretty similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.280$ The low and highest males

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:31:16.280 --> 00:31:17.240 look very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.316$ The highest males have a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:31:19.316 --> 00:31:20.700 smaller weight adjusted fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:20.759 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.799$ adrenal volume to the point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00:31:22.799 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.159$ they look more feminized.

00:31:24.160 --> 00:31:26.530 And actually that's their data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

 $00{:}31{:}26.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.117$ animal studies that do talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7276675

00:31:29.117 --> 00:31:31.437 feminization of the male phenotype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:31:31.440 --> 00:31:35.920 Often they're looking at their sex behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.200$ but there are data to suggest that maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.000$ stress can have this kind of impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:31:42.000 --> 00:31:44.226 And so our question is, is OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:31:44.226 --> 00:31:46.277 does that play out later in life?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}31{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.760$ And we looked at six months of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:48.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.853$ we took babies away from their moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}31{:}50.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.285$ and did a stress test where basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:53.285 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.880$ it was hold the baby's arms down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.800$ don't look at the baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.628$ have built a bullhorn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:31:59.628 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.913$ make three loud noise bursts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.560$ So it is pretty stressful.

 $00:32:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.648$ And the moms would have to sit in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:32:05.648 --> 00:32:07.533 another room while their babies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:07.533 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.838$ were undergoing distressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:32:08.840 --> 00:32:11.452 And with 95 infants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:11.452 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.717$ we basically showed that overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:14.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.028$ there's no significant maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:17.028 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.913$ ace bifetal baby sex interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}32{:}19.920 --> 00{:}32{:}21.950$ But if you take it apart and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:32:21.950 --> 00:32:23.639 you actually look at the high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.212$ the girls of high ace moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:25.212 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.199$ and the boys of high ace moms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}32{:}27.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.516$ you see this kind of dampened

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}32{:}29.520 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}32{:}32.430$ response in those boys who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.020$ the more small fetal adrenals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.640$ And so again,

 $00:32:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.902$ suggesting that you know what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:32:38.902 --> 00:32:40.740 going on and what you could say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.098$ well, wait a minute, wait a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.956$ These women had adversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:43.956 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.740$ Were they more stressed during pregnancy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:32:46.740 --> 00:32:47.176 Luckily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:47.176 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.356$ we found that their perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:32:49.356 --> 00:32:51.555 stress was not different between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:51.555 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.460$ the high and lowest moms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.770$ And so we're really thinking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}32{:}55.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.041$ this has some relationship to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:32:58.041 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.015$ happened to moms earlier in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.637$ And one of the ways in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:33:01.637 --> 00:33:02.948 we might have intergenerational

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:02.948 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.637$ transmission of stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:33:04.640 --> 00:33:05.184 Now, you could say,

 $00:33:05.184 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.320$ well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.036$ what's the clinical significance of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.320$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.000$ the one thing about moving around

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.235$ a lot is we weren't able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:11.235 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.740$ follow those children until like

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.240$ four and five years of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.532$ But there are data in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:33:16.532 --> 00:33:18.060 literature that when children

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}18.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.059$ have attention attention deficit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}20.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}22.939$ disorder kinds of symptoms that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}23.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.237$ have a dampened stress response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}25.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.556$ There's also data from college students,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.560$ whether you're male or female,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.150$ that even in normal populations,

 $00:33:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.778$ that psychopathy symptoms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}33.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.220$ greater in those that have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}36.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.549$ dampened response to a stressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.136$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:39.136 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.480$ we need to follow this up to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:41.549 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.629$ the sort of clinical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:33:43.630 --> 00:33:44.526 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:44.526 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.870$ as George says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}45.870 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}33{:}48.342$ you need to be thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:48.342 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.522$ mechanisms that could lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}50.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.346$ risk for psychopathology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:52.346 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.626$ offspring due to these events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}33{:}54.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.945$ And mom also thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:33:57.945 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.120$ working with a basic scientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.478$ I'm going to walk you through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:01.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.928$ This is a project that I did with

00:34:03.928 --> 00:34:06.865 Tracy early in our career work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}34{:}06.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.675$ together and Katie Morrison is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}34{:}09.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.600$ now an assistant professor at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:34:12.600 --> 00:34:13.874 How much more time do I have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:13.880 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.994$ I'm just trying to figure this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:34:16.000 --> 00:34:19.840 OK, OK, OK, good. OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:19.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.850$ So basically these moms we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:34:21.850 --> 00:34:23.860 having their babies taken away

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:23.932 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.997$ from them to go do that stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}34{:}25.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.330$ test that was just talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}34{:}28.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.170$ and our research coordinator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.102$ we were having a whole lab meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00{:}34{:}32.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}33.973$ sort of basic science and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:34:33.973 --> 00:34:36.170 clinical lab was meeting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.726$ the the our research coordinator

 $00:34:38.726 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.825$ said you know we can tell which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

 $00:34:41.825 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.370$ mothers are high A's moms versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709834

00:34:44.370 --> 00:34:46.410 low A's moms based upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:34:46.498 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.730$ how they act when we take their child.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:34:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.610$ And we were like, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:34:50.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.320$ will tell us more about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:34:52.320 --> 00:34:53.640 They were like, well, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:34:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.272$ the moms that are lowest did

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:34:56.272 --> 00:34:57.360 not have childhood diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:34:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.920$ asked a lot of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:34:58.920 --> 00:35:00.848 They make us promise that if the baby

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}35{:}00.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.676$ cries for a minute straight that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:02.676 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.838$ we will bring the baby back right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}35{:}04.840 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}35{:}07.690$ They're much more concerned and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:35:07.690 --> 00:35:10.560 of agitated about this separation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.120$ And then the other moms,

 $00:35:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.560$ the moms that underwent a lot of adversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.160$ we're not as concerned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.304$ They were sort of yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:19.304 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.750$ fine here, here's the baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}35{:}22.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.840$ So, so basically we said wow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.400$ maybe we should start looking at the moms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.760$ So we basically started testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:29.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.120$ the mom salivary cortisol response

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:35:32.192 --> 00:35:34.477 to having the infant separation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:35:34.480 --> 00:35:35.143 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:35.143 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.030$ so we had them come in and rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.692$ and relax just like what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:35:38.692 --> 00:35:40.079 were doing with the baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.708$ And you can see the sort of the paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:42.708 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.038$ as we measure salivary cortisol.

00:35:45.040 --> 00:35:47.254 And what you can see here is what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:47.254 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.338$ would expect based upon the phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}35{:}49.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51.811$ and the behavior is that the women

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:35:51.811 --> 00:35:54.650 in the lowest group had a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.251$ cortisol response to the stressor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:35:57.251 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.077$ infant separation than the moms in

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:00.077 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.138$ the more adversely experienced group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:36:03.138 --> 00:36:05.256 And Katie said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:36:05.260 --> 00:36:05.582 well, NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:36:05.582 --> 00:36:08.158 I can model that and I wrote it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}08.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}10.736$ So she created this little box here

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:10.736 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.398$ where these moms are either controlled

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.998$ rat moms or they were stressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}16.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.119$ the peripubertal window with a 14

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:20.119 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.599$ day chronic variable stress model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.156$ And then they separated the moms.

 $00:36:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.552$ After they let the moms grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:26.552 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.480$ up and have babies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.000$ they separated the moms from their pups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}30.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.716$ The moms could smell the pups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}31.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.126$ The moms could hear the pups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}34.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36.811$ and I can tell you normal maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:36:36.811 --> 00:36:39.479 mouse mom behavior is to go and scurry

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:36:39.479 --> 00:36:42.009 and get that pup and bring it back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:36:42.010 --> 00:36:44.323 sniff it, lick it, put it in the nest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.030$ make sure it's warm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.730$ That's normal maternal behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.340$ So we hypothesize that the moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}50.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.910$ with early life or peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}36{:}52.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.918$ stress wouldn't would just kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:54.918 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.504$ of give up or maybe not try as

00:36:57.504 --> 00:36:59.340 hard and that they would have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:36:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.678$ they would have this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:00.678 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.052$ behavior that it just showed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:02.052 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.578$ they they kind of like you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.060$ they kind of gave up in that situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}37{:}06.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08.734$ And what we found is very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.420$ They basically travelled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.106$ they made the control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}37{:}12.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.804$ Moms kept going around and round

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:13.804 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.545$ and the more they kept going

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:15.545 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.935$ around and around the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:16.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.222$ they produced corticosterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:18.222 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.354$ And then the moms that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:21.354 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.312$ peripedal stress did start to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:24.312 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.011$ they produced less corticosterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00{:}37{:}26.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.748$ and did not travel around as much

 $00:37:28.748 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.949$ to get the pups and their overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:31.949 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.825$ corticosterone levels look very

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:33.825 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.147$ similar to what we saw in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:37:36.150 --> 00:37:39.942 Now I last time I checked moms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:39.942 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.118$ human moms don't like you to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

00:37:42.118 --> 00:37:44.998 piece of their brain so that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:44.998 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.715$ measure any kind of protein expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:47.715 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.900$ or gene changes or things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42088047

 $00:37:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.590$ And so Tracy and them were able to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:37:53.657 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.170$ in the mice and they definitely saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00{:}37{:}56.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.080$ in the peripuperally stressed rat

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:37:58.080 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.314$ mothers or mice mothers that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00{:}38{:}00.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.743$ were changes in up regulation and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:02.743 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.244$ number of genes and many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:05.244 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.049$ genes were immediate early genes

 $00:38:07.049 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.457$ that are going to be responsible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00{:}38{:}09.460 \to 00{:}38{:}11.296$ various protein expression particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:11.296 \dashrightarrow 00:38:14.300$ related to the stress response and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.644$ So again, it's a model that we created

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:16.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.109$ that we can now use again to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:19.109 \dashrightarrow 00:38:23.720$ to study what might be happening with

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.404$ peripuperal stress and how it impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:27.404 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.840$ actual changes in the brain of the mom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00{:}38{:}30.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32.600$ All right, so moving on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00:38:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.323$ menstrual cycle studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00{:}38{:}33.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35.294$ I know it's a Tour de force when

NOTE Confidence: 0.44621158

 $00{:}38{:}35.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.918$ you when you do the whole life span.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.445$ So this is early premenstrual dysphoric

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:43.445 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.659$ disorder research that I did hear at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.900$ And I have to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.582$ this was me actually going against

 $00:38:50.582 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.458$ what a mentor suggested at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:53.460 \dashrightarrow 00:38:55.140$ Dennis Charney was still here, I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:55.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.740$ So it feels like a long time ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.554$ And he really thought that I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:38:59.554 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.740$ study estrogen effects on the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}39{:}01.740 --> 00{:}39{:}02.540$ And I'm like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.300$ well dude, that's really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}39{:}04.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.204$ But did you read the Women's Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:06.204 --> 00:39:07.740 Initiative study that just came out?

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:07.740 --> 00:39:11.124 I don't think the NIH is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39{:}11.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.886$ funding any grants to study estradiol

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:13.886 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.745$ and the brain for a number of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}39{:}16.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.702$ because we're all reeling from what

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:18.702 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.827$ happened with Women's Health Initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.238$ So I was very interested in the fact

 $00:39:23.238 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.110$ that progesterone gets converted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}39{:}25.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.316$ allopregnanolone and allopregnanolone

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:26.316 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.430$ is a very potent gastric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.020$ a receptor agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.140$ And at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.220$ Jerry Sanacora and a number of other people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:35.220 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.065$ Graham Mason and Doug Rothman and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:38.065 --> 00:39:39.490 John Crystal were all involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.900$ in building out the Mrs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.392$ program here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:41.392 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.191$ And what we were able to show is that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:44.191 --> 00:39:46.136 women with premenstrual dysphoric disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:46.140 --> 00:39:46.722 GABA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:46.722 --> 00:39:49.050 concentrations varied across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.104$ menstrual cycle in a menstrual cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:39:52.104 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.459$ dependent and diagnosis dependent manner.

 $00:39:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.827$ So that we saw these differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:39:57.827 --> 00:40:01.339 how the brain of a woman with PNDD

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}01.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.911$ responds to this neurosteroid and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:05.911 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.857$ understanding mechanisms of what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.540$ So this work also supported

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.076$ research done internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:40:13.076 --> 00:40:15.170 with Toby Backstrom's group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.845$ Looking at sopranolone which actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:17.845 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.385$ blocks the effects of allopregnanolone

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}20.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.934$ at the GABA A receptor and we showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:23.934 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.742$ that that it decreased the premenstrual

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:40:26.742 --> 00:40:29.566 sport disorder symptoms and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}29.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.398$ SAGE the rapeutics basically started

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:40:31.398 --> 00:40:34.570 studying a GABA A receptor agonist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:34.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.251$ allopregnanolone,

 $00:40:35.251 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.656$ brexanolone in the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}38.656 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}40{:}40.922$ postpartum depression and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}40{:}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.191$ We also looked at sleep and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:44.191 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.352$ see that with a basically a 60 hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:47.352 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.070$ infusion that there's a separation from

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:50.152 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.379$ placebo with this GABA A receptor agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}53.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.236$ The IV version is really almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}40{:}56.236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.664$ identical to all opregnanolone

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:40:57.664 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.620$ that's naturally occurring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:40:59.620 --> 00:41:01.979 The oral Zuranolone that is now FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}41{:}01.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.396$ approved for the treatment of postpartum

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:04.396 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.072$ depression and is an oral preparation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.976$ It just has a little modification

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:08.976 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.240$ so that it's bioavailable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:41:10.240 --> 00:41:13.558 But again potent GABA A receptor agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.094$ And it appears that again that the

00:41:16.094 --> 00:41:18.759 GABA A receptor as obviously seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:18.759 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.748$ be really important in some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:41:21.748 --> 00:41:24.096 reproductive mood disorders having the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:41:24.096 --> 00:41:26.640 So when I first started research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}41{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.196$ PMTD was actually not in the DSM 5 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:41:29.196 --> 00:41:31.835 of the DSM 5 wasn't even developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.040$ but it wasn't in the DSM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:41:33.040 --> 00:41:34.720 It was a mood disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.019$ not otherwise specified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

00:41:36.019 --> 00:41:39.050 But because of some of this research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.810$ mine and that of others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.470$ we were able to really get together

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}41{:}43.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.240$ as a team and encourage the DSM 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}41{:}47.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.125$ version to include PMDD as a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893 00:41:51.130 --> 00:41:51.445 OK,

00:41:51.445 --> 00:41:53.965 that was a quick run through menstrual cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}41{:}53.970 --> 00{:}41{:}56.781$ Let's go to menopause. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00{:}41{:}56.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.760$ I want to make it very clear that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:41:59.842 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.326$ experience in menopause is not a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:42:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.282$ you know, one-size-fits-all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:42:04.282 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.394$ It's really depends a lot on

NOTE Confidence: 0.3240893

 $00:42:06.394 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.450$ whether you go

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:07.509 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.097$ through a natural menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}42{:}09.100 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.500$ It depends on whether you

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.620$ go through it prematurely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:11.620 --> 00:42:14.077 I've, you know, worked with women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:14.077 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.899$ were on oral contraceptives, age of 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:16.899 --> 00:42:18.767 I'm going to go off my oral contraceptives

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:18.767 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.979$ because I want to have a baby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:20.980 --> 00:42:21.808 And guess what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:21.808 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.188$ They have hot flashes and

00:42:23.188 --> 00:42:24.832 night sweats and they're post

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:24.832 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.940$ menopausal and they didn't know it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:26.940 --> 00:42:28.380 That's obviously not what

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.820$ people expect at the age of 28.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.108$ I also work with a lot of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:33.108 --> 00:42:35.676 who undergo inferectomy for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:35.676 --> 00:42:38.808 risk reduction and it's it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:38.808 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.324$ remarkable the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:40.324 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.695$ what they go through and somebody's

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}42{:}42.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.363$ going through a natural menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}42{:}44.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.109$ So I tend to think impairing

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:46.109 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.489$ menopause is the perfect storm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}42{:}47.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.992$ You have this fluctuating mass of

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:49.992 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.738$ fluctuations in estradiol, progesterone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:51.738 --> 00:42:53.690 forget about it, alopregnanolone,

 $00:42:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.330$ who knows what's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:42:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.102$ But eventually you have a dearth of

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:42:59.102 --> 00:43:02.489 any kind of this hormonal production.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.986$ I've often seen childhood adversity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:43:04.986 --> 00:43:08.242 some of my research put women at risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:43:08.242 --> 00:43:10.522 for mood disorders during this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.357$ We've also seen that it puts women

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:12.357 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.054$ at risk for cognitive issues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:14.054 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.500$ that I don't have time to get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.490$ current life stress and inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}43{:}18.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21.330$ But we these are some other areas that

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.423$ we're investigating and have seen some

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:23.423 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.403$ relevance to what we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}43{:}25.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.090$ So this is just like sort of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.194$ primary places that estradiol exerts

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:30.194 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.836$ its effects in the human brain.

 $00:43:32.836 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.720$ We know that cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.880 \mod \text{regulation}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.780$ stress perception and reward processing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.496$ estradiol impacts all of those brain regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.655$ I was particularly interested in the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:44.655 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.700$ of the brain for working memory because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:43:47.700 --> 00:43:49.940 again, patients are our muses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}43{:}49.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.760$ And when you sit down with women

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}43{:}51.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.356$ who come to you with complaints

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:53.356 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.372$ that my memory is not very good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:43:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.700$ am I going to have dementia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}43{:}56.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.722$ Because we know that women are

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}43{:}58.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.563$ at increased risk of Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:00.563 --> 00:44:03.100 disease compared to men or males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.300$ and they're worried about that.

00:44:05.300 --> 00:44:06.215 And you're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:06.215 --> 00:44:07.740 this doesn't sound like dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.218$ This sounds like ADHD to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:10.220 --> 00:44:12.020 And so while I was still at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.244$ I started working with Tom Brown who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:14.244 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.697$ the Brown Attention Deficit Disorder scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:16.700 --> 00:44:18.257 He saw a lot of patients and he goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:18.260 --> 00:44:20.360 yeah, I do keep getting these

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:20.360 --> 00:44:22.457 middle-aged women coming in and telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:22.457 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.655$ me that these things are going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}44{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.660$ And he created the subscale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:26.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.172$ and I really love it because it addresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:29.172 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.939$ organization and activating for work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.166$ So that that momentum that it takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:33.166 --> 00:44:36.100 to do the things that you need to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.020$ sustaining the tension and concentration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143 00:44:39.020 --> 00:44:39.540 alertness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.620$ effort and processing speed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:41.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.804$ managing affective interference

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:43.804 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.988$ and working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:45.990 --> 00:44:47.438 So my doctoral student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:47.438 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.248$ who then became a postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:49.248 --> 00:44:50.869 of Ted sutterweight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:44:50.870 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.726$ Sheila Chen Moogan and I worked with

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:53.726 --> 00:44:55.978 Susan Domchek to recruit a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}44{:}55.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58.120$ of women from the bachelor center

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:44:58.191 --> 00:45:00.386 bras clinical care and research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:45:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.253$ And they completed the not only the

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}45{:}03.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.430$ Adverse Childhood Experiences Questionnaire,

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00:45:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.027$ but we got assessment of their mood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

00:45:08.030 --> 00:45:09.746 They could have major depressive illness.

 $00:45:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.790$ They could be on medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740777592857143

 $00{:}45{:}10.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.870$ It was a large sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.610$ So we kind of allowed all comers

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.700$ to come in and in that sample 202,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.860$ we're in our highest group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:45:18.860 --> 00:45:21.359 And we measure not only their self

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:45:21.359 --> 00:45:23.300 report of executive functioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.142$ but we also measured 2 aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}45{:}26.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28.618$ executive functioning in an objective way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:45:28.620 --> 00:45:31.700 working memory using the N back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.700$ then a continuous performance task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.896$ which is really boring, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:45:35.900 --> 00:45:38.259 So you want to test people under

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:38.259 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.119$ conditions that are really hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.786$ and you want to test people under

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:41.786 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.214$ conditions where there's not going to be

 $00:45:43.214 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.839$ a lot of salience to what they're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}45{:}44.840 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}45{:}47.304$ And it can be kind of boring because

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:47.304 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.917$ that's when our attention wants to go off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.635$ And we looked at the ACE effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.488$ And if you look over here for

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:53.488 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.280$ the total score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.436$ the green bars are the highest group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.802$ And you can see that across

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:57.802 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.160$ not only the whole measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:45:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.142$ but across every domain except for

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}46{:}02.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.633$ managing affective interference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.865$ that the highest group reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}46{:}05.865 --> 00{:}46{:}06.755 \ \mathrm{more \ symptoms},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}46{:}06.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09.550$ more problems and those particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:09.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.782$ areas of executive functioning.

00:46:11.790 --> 00:46:14.429 And then when we looked at objectively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.268$ we saw that they performed worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.544$ if they were in the highest group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.174$ So they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:46:19.174 --> 00:46:21.390 And again, we control for everything BMI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.323$ you know, education,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:22.323 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.902$ all of the kind of things that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:46:24.902 --> 00:46:27.350 should control for in a study like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.582$ race, ethnicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:46:28.582 --> 00:46:30.430 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.332$ we can see not only subjectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:32.332 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.310$ they were having more complaints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}46{:}34{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36{.}686$ but we also saw that on these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}46{:}36.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.639$ tests that they perform worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.279$ Now you can say, well that doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.132$ So what?

00:46:42.132 --> 00:46:45.114 I mean, you measure depression and anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}46{:}45.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.000$ So maybe Aces are contributing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:46:48.000 --> 00:46:51.280 depression and anxiety and that depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:46:51.280 --> 00:46:53.320 anxiety is having a negative effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.680$ on these cognitive measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.598$ And so we did a mediation analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.588$ And yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:46:57.588 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.552$ it is true that depression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:47:00.552 --> 00:47:03.280 anxiety mediated A portion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.104$ ACE effect on self report as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:47:06.104 --> 00:47:08.014 as the continuous performance test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}08.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.564$ but it did not mediate relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:10.564 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.700$ with the working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.095$ So we also had funding for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:17.095 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.420$ National Cancer Institute to study.

 $00:47:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.976$ Well, this was during the pandemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.716$ So during the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:23.716 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.977$ we focused on doing an online

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:25.977 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.210$ study because we wanted to see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:28.275 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.657$ many women that are not treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}30.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.504$ seeking are developing these kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:32.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.812$ of executive functioning issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:33.812 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.090$ and how much does mood play a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}36.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.820$ And you can see here this

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.730$ premenopause baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.257$ You can see the perimenopause has worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:42.257 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.090$ complaints of executive functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}44.090 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.204$ These are attenuated a little bit once

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}46.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.449$ people are in the natural menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.728$ But you can see here again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}47{:}49.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51.530$ the surgical menopausal group

 $00:47:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.780$ is having the greatest problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:47:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.809$ with executive functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:47:55.810 --> 00:47:57.808 And if you control for depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:47:57.810 --> 00:48:00.090 ADHD diagnosis, sleep problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.940$ you see the same pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.524$ although it's somewhat dampened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:04.524 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.739$ So what this tells me is that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.266$ menopause has an impact and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00{:}48{:}10.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.453$ surgically menopausal women are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:12.453 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.021$ to have a more sustained worsening

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:15.021 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.099$ of executive functioning on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:48:17.100 --> 00:48:19.256 but that if we can manage depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

00:48:19.260 --> 00:48:19.810 anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.910$ sleep problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.48689485

 $00:48:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.660$ we can dampen those effects.

00:48:23.660 --> 00:48:26.222 And in women who've undergone uferectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}48{:}26.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.205$ that are complaining of new onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:48:29.205 --> 00:48:31.008 executive functioning difficulties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:48:31.010 --> 00:48:33.150 we've also used Lisdexamphetamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:48:33.150 --> 00:48:36.360 which is a psychostimulant Vyvanse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}48{:}36.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39.537$ shown that these women do have a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:48:39.537 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.927$ response than they do with placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:48:41.930 --> 00:48:43.960 And it basically this was a crossover

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}48{:}43.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46.402$ study with a good sample size and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:48:46.402 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.643$ saw this effect within three weeks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:48:48.643 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.323$ treating them with a psychostimulant

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:48:50.323 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.332$ and they had very few adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:48:53.332 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.464$ symptoms or dropouts in the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}48{:}56.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.170$ So it's again psychostimulants can sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:48:59.244 --> 00:49:01.939 be a stigmatized medication so to speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.820$ but we should be feeling free to use

 $00:49:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.300$ them in our folks if they need them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}49{:}08.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.456$ This I'm going to run through really

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:10.456 --> 00:49:12.408 quickly because I think that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:12.408 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.703$ going to probably about 5 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}49{:}14.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.298$ Again, we were very interested in the impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:18.298 --> 00:49:21.706 of ACE history actually on the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:21.710 --> 00:49:24.134 So we recruited a group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:24.134 --> 00:49:25.346 naturally menopausal women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.947$ They were within 10 years of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:27.947 --> 00:49:29.842 final menstrual period and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:29.842 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.990$ underwent brain imaging as well as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:31.990 --> 00:49:35.239 yes, tryptophan depletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.680$ For those of you that were here in the 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}49{:}37.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.055$ you know that we tryptophan

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:40.055 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.480$ depleted many people.

00:49:41.480 --> 00:49:41.706 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}49{:}41.706 \longrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.514$ this was a study that I did at

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:43.514 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.239$ Penn with tryptophan depletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:45.240 --> 00:49:47.389 Again a number of colleagues that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:47.389 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.916$ can see here and this is the paradigm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:49:49.920 --> 00:49:51.824 women came in hypogonadal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:51.824 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.728$ meaning they were postmenopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:53.728 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.680$ low estrogen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.816$ They either got an active tryptophan

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:49:56.816 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.290$ depletion or a sham depletion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}49{:}59.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.340$ then underwent brain imaging using

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:01.340 \dashrightarrow 00:50:03.844$ the N back task and then they crossed

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}50{:}03.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05.420$ over a week later and came back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.640$ So it was counterbalanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.860$ So that's phase one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:50:07.860 --> 00:50:08.596 Everybody's hypogonadal,

00:50:08.596 --> 00:50:10.804 they go through a sham depletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:10.804 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.579$ and an active depletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:50:12.580 --> 00:50:13.252 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:13.252 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.461$ the reason to do this is that we're very

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:16.461 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.560$ curious about if the estrogen effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.890$ but also the estrogen serotonin interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.130$ they all got either estrogen or placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.330$ So they were randomized 8 weeks of estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.090$ 8 weeks of placebo and came back for

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.755$ phase two where they again underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}50{:}32.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.130$ the active and sham depletion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.803$ So this is the working memory task we used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.404$ So basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:38.404 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.889$ this is the hardest version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.275$ You're lying in the magnet and you see this

00:50:42.275 --> 00:50:44.642 image and you have to press the button when

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:44.642 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.138$ the image you're seeing there is the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:47.138 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.436$ image that you saw three times before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.358$ So it's not easy and it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:51.358 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.039$ at you quick and furious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.115$ People do better than they

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:54.115 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.520$ think they do by and large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}50{:}55.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57.104$ But we know that this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:50:57.104 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.160$ area of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:50:58.160 --> 00:51:00.836 the DLPFC and middle frontal gyrus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}51{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}03.280$ are very important or robustly

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:51:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.232$ activated with this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:51:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.064$ And So what we did is we took

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:51:07.064 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.231$ then a whole brain analysis and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:51:09.231 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.307$ looked at the effects of tryptophan

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:51:11.307 --> 00:51:13.473 depletion and how it might differ

 $00:51:13.473 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.308$ on the whole brain analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:51:15.308 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.552$ whether the woman has high levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:51:17.552 --> 00:51:20.210 aces in her childhood or low levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00{:}51{:}20.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.640$ And what we found is that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

 $00:51:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.070$ brain region where we saw the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.45813844

00:51:25.070 --> 00:51:27.850 contrast that held up to multiple compare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:51:27.850 --> 00:51:29.274 you know, comparisons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:29.274 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.410$ analysis that you have to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.769$ So we took the BOLD signal from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}51{:}33.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.538$ this particular brain region and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}51{:}35.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.602$ I'm going to go through really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:37.602 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.820$ quickly here and show you down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}51{:}39.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.152$ So this is the lowest group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:41.152 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.820$ and this is the highest group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:42.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.108$ I hope that you can look at

00:51:44.108 --> 00:51:45.554 those two even if you don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:45.554 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.820$ which is the act of depletion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.410$ which is the sham depletion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:51:48.410 --> 00:51:50.452 they don't look the same, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:50.452 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.796$ They don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:51:51.796 --> 00:51:54.244 The depletion did something very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:54.244 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.452$ different in the highest versus low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:51:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.360$ but there were baseline differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}51{:}58.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00.260$ even during the sham condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.507$ the women in the highest group had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}52{:}02.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04.630$ to activate the brain region more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.150$ in order to get the to get the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.825$ the to do get correct number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}52{:}10.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.706$ choices and so they had to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:12.706 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.309$ harder if you want to say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:14.310 --> 00:52:14.904 All right,

 $00:52:14.904 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.983$ so then they go through the randomization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:16.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.754$ This is phase two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:17.754 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.469$ So this is what I just showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:19.470 --> 00:52:22.350 Pre randomization, again low estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.014$ the highest women had to activate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:25.014 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.790$ far more at baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:26.790 --> 00:52:28.309 And then when they got tryptophan depleted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.344$ they did just the opposite to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:30.344 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.040$ what the lowest women did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.440$ Placebo, There's not a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.840$ difference between here and here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.982$ But then let's just focus on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:38.982 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.800$ happens when they got estradiol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.158$ Do they look the same now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.168$ It is remarkable.

00:52:44.168 --> 00:52:46.120 I mean, it's almost like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}52{:}46.120 \to 00{:}52{:}47.720$ estradiol and the highest women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.664$ So the estradiol and the lowest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:49.664 --> 00:52:51.660 women didn't do very much so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.560$ but in the highest women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:53.560 --> 00:52:55.045 they performed different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:55.045 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.025$ the brain acted different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:57.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.350$ and they had to work harder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:52:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.998$ so to speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:58.998 --> 00:52:59.430 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:52:59.430 --> 00:53:00.945 activate more area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:00.945 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.883$ more of the prefrontal cortex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:03.883 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.948$ then basically giving them estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:53:05.950 --> 00:53:07.822 help them to look like they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:07.822 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.070$ didn't have aces anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.835$ So to me,

00:53:09.835 --> 00:53:11.365 that's suggesting that if we want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:11.365 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.225$ to say is there a brain reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.830$ reason to give women estradiol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.314$ perhaps it's because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:18.314 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.427$ have childhood diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.654$ Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:19.654 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.039$ I think it's going to be a long time before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:53:22.039 --> 00:53:24.188 our OBGYN colleagues will agree to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:53:24.190 --> 00:53:26.512 but that's OK All right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:53:26.512 --> 00:53:27.400 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.514$ I just took you through a very long lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}53{:}30.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.720$ The lifespan of the female and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}53{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35.880$ doctor HS truisms have played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.000$ critical role in this research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.436$ encouraging us to focus on mechanism.

00:53:40.440 --> 00:53:42.420 Don't get too comfortable with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}53{:}42.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.400$ one particular area of research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.310$ Psycho neuroendocrinology is pretty broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.720$ range of research topics and methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.400$ And then collaborate with basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.626$ scientists that can help you become

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}53{:}52.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.356$ more molecular in your focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.704$ And then you should go where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}53{:}56.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57.960$ you have the greatest impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:53:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.480$ Move to Penn and then to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}54{:}00.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.053$ University of Colorado predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:54:02.053 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.518$ to pursue my career mission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:54:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.752$ promote the centrality of the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}54{:}06.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09.399$ with respect to all areas of health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:54:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.891$ understanding hormonal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:54:10.891 --> 00:54:12.879 not just gonadal steroid,

 $00:54:12.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.204$ but stress hormone impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:54:14.204 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.792$ on the brain and function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:54:15.792 --> 00:54:17.568 And I really believe that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

00:54:17.568 --> 00:54:19.754 helps us to bridge the brain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00{:}54{:}19.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21.289$ other organ systems and ideally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:54:21.349 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.059$ I'd like to think that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8534209

 $00:54:23.059 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.199$ helps to reduce stigma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581706805714286

 $00{:}54{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.346$ So, and I want to thank you Doctor Henniger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581706805714286

 $00:54:27.346 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.761$ for this wonderful career that

NOTE Confidence: 0.581706805714286

 $00:54:29.761 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.736$ and I'll let you did to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.581706805714286

 $00:54:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.456$ really support me along the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581706805714286

 $00:54:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.020$ Thank you very much and.