## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:55:44.0640000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.900506555

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.220$  My. Time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:00:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.139$  Where it's time to start, I'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:00:08.139 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.857$  welcome everybody to our grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:10.860 --> 00:00:14.310 Lecture today, which is titled Alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00{:}00{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.610$  adaptations and stress circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:16.610 --> 00:00:19.762 Impact on motivation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:19.762 --> 00:00:22.126 taken treatment outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:22.130 --> 00:00:24.686 Our lecture today is Rajita Sinha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:00:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.945$  who's the foundation funds professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:26.945 --> 00:00:29.200 of psychiatry professor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00{:}00{:}29.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.627$  child study center in neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:00:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.785$  Director of the Yale Interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:33.785 --> 00:00:36.378 stress center, chief of the psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00{:}00{:}36.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38.538$  section in psychiatry and Co.

 $00:00:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.236$  Director of Education for the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:00:42.236 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.700$  Center for clinical investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:44.700 --> 00:00:48.277 I want to remind everybody that during

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:00:48.277 --> 00:00:51.879 the the grand rounds presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00{:}00{:}51.880 \to 00{:}00{:}56.860$  please keep your microphones muted and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:00:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.280$  If you would like to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

00:01:00.280 --> 00:01:01.990 continuing education credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:01:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.046$  Please send in the code 22126.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:01:06.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.514$  Two, the number that's on the screen 203.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00:01:10.520 --> 00:01:18.160\ 4429435\ 26.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.89294636

 $00{:}01{:}18.160 --> 00{:}01{:}20.530 \ {\rm That's\ right\ } 22126.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00:01:23.100 \dashrightarrow 00:01:26.212$  OK. I just wanted to say a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00{:}01{:}26.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.889$  bit about Regina's background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00{:}01{:}28.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.464$  Jeter got her bachelors at Delhi

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

00:01:31.464 --> 00:01:34.136 University and then went to the

 $00:01:34.136 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.321$  University of Oklahoma where she

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00{:}01{:}36.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.990$  trained with Oscar Parsons are very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00{:}01{:}38.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.374$ Famous cognitive neuro scientist

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00:01:41.374 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.354$  and psychologist really wanted The

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00{:}01{:}44.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46.915$  Pioneers and the alcohol field in

NOTE Confidence: 0.863381055

 $00:01:46.915 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.510$  that area and then came to Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:01:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.355$  She's had an incredible record

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:01:55.355 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.983$  of accomplishment at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00{:}01{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.500$ 1<br/>st as clinical director Ann,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.720$  then, director of the substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.940$  abuse treatment unit at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

00:02:05.023 --> 00:02:07.507 Connecticut mental Health Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.294$  founding director of the score grants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:10.294 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.020$  on sex differences in addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.530$  Then just a remarkable achievement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.337$  Director of an NIH road map initiative

 $00:02:18.337 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.546$  on stress of very interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00{:}02{:}21.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.426$  interdepartmental enormous initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:24.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.174$  Of which in its time was the largest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00{:}02{:}27.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.131$  grant ever awarded to a faculty member

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:30.131 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.321$  in the Department of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.508$  And then and then from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:34.508 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.336$  was the founder of the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

00:02:37.336 --> 00:02:39.277 Interdisciplinary Stress Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966771

 $00:02:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.660$  which continues today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00{:}02{:}43.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.284$  Um? Ridgid's work is very much rooted

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:02:49.284 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.560$  in a clinical research on addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:02:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.190$  Going back to the 1990s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:02:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.397$  where she studied stress induced in cue

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:02:59.397 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.350$  induced craving for substances of abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.815$  Leading to a seminal paper

 $00:03:05.815 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.280$  that she wrote in 2001.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00{:}03{:}08.280 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.908$  Asking the question how does stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00{:}03{:}10.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.488$  increase the risk of drug abuse

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00{:}03{:}13.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.738$  and dependence can see this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:15.738 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.758$  been a theme throughout her career.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00{:}03{:}18.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}21.525$  And then in the era of neuroimaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:21.525 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.330$  to try to bring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.958$  This work to the brain by characterizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:28.958 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.080$  neural circuits involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.578$  stress an in craving an other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.06845194

 $00:03:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.568$  Facets of self dysregulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904482

 $00:03:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.096$  And then leading to a variety of important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904482

00:03:45.100 --> 00:03:46.819 Perspectives on treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904482

 $00{:}03{:}46.819 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.820$  including her work, going back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904482

 $00:03:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.620$  the 2000s of mindfulness training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904482

 $00{:}03{:}54.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.680$  And medications, including processing

 $00:03:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.255$  and guanfacine and other medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00:04:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.050$  Jesus really been a leader in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00:04:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.865$  serving on the NI AAA council she is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00:04:10.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.780$  Been nominated for the night

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00:04:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.468$  at Council and she served as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

00:04:15.468 --> 00:04:17.586 advisor in a variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00:04:17.586 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.070$  variety of different organizations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00:04:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.300$  She's also a very visible person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234802

 $00{:}04{:}24.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.287$  Often contacted by the press or for TV shows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00:04:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.518$  She's received a number of honors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00:04:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.360$  I just list 2 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00{:}04{:}34.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.072$  One is the chairman's award from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00{:}04{:}37.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.930$  the L Department of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

00:04:39.930 --> 00:04:41.010 Now unbelievably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00:04:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.790$  20 years ago for 20 years ago

00:04:44.790 --> 00:04:48.180 and this year, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00{:}04{:}48.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.700$  she received the Distinguished

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00:04:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.220$  Researcher Award from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00:04:53.322 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.238$  research society on alcoholism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

00:04:56.240 --> 00:04:58.320 So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00:04:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.440$  then it's a tremendous pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

 $00{:}05{:}01.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.818$  welcome Riggi to Sinha to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.84991026

00:05:04.818 --> 00:05:07.640 our Department grand rounds today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

 $00{:}05{:}10.370 --> 00{:}05{:}12.290$  Thank you so much John.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

00:05:12.290 --> 00:05:14.880 That was I didn't realize you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

 $00{:}05{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.280$  actually go back to the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

 $00:05:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.459$  It does feel like a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

00:05:21.460 --> 00:05:24.134 Many of folks that are still here

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

 $00:05:24.134 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.839$  and others have not been our newer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

 $00:05:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.514$  but yeah, it has been 30 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

 $00:05:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.698$  so it's a It's a pleasure

00:05:31.698 --> 00:05:34.129 to speak to you all today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

00:05:34.130 --> 00:05:35.666 even though it's unzoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

00:05:35.666 --> 00:05:37.970 Guess I should share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86632496

00:05:37.970 --> 00:05:40.280 Let me see if I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:05:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.078$  You don't see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:05:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.000$  OK great. Well thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:05:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.920$  So let me get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:05:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.216$  As John said, I've been sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:05:52.216 --> 00:05:54.606 interested in the in studying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}05{:}54.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.826$  intersection of stress and addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:05:56.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.518$  An in fact as we consider this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:05:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.874$  So let me see why I'm not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}06{:}03.880 --> 00{:}06{:}04.789$  Here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:04.789 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.607$  There has been it's well known

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:06.607 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.866$  that there is this bidirectional

 $00:06:08.866 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.750$  relationship between stress and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.380$  addiction and really stress and reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.760$  And one simple way of thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.655$  it is that when you have increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:06:18.655 --> 00:06:20.905 stress or a traumatic situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.675$  there might be an increase in reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.316$  particularly in vulnerable individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:25.316 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.203$  So by that I mean that high

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}06{:}28.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.018$  stress and anxiety states may

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:30.018 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.000$  enhance the sense of reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.100$  If when you're engaging in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.626$  Any kind of rewarding behavior an

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:36.626 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.560$  and then a number of people started

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.276$  to look at and talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:42.361 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.168$  impact of drugs on stress as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.810$  and therefore that bidirectional

00:06:46.810 --> 00:06:48.270 relationship, and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.370$  as we think about number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:50.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.549$  rewarding substances and behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.370$  This relate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}06{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.190$  Bidirectional relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.420$  has been discussed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:06:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.044$  We are in the period of covid stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}06{:}58.044 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}07{:}01.028$  and there is increasing attention to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:01.028 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.799$  fact that Americans are drinking more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:03.799 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.499$  amid the COVID-19 pandemic and experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}07{:}06.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.879$  warning that relief may be temporary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:08.879 \dashrightarrow 00:07:10.937$  and there may be issues related

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:10.937 --> 00:07:12.800 to greater vulnerability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.444$  especially for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:14.444 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.677$  have the susceptibility.

 $00:07:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.502$  In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:16.502 --> 00:07:18.146 as we can imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.604$  because bars were closed and access

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:20.604 --> 00:07:23.510 was limited on side sales were down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:23.510 --> 00:07:25.976 but ecommerce profits have increased 30%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.662$  The beverage industry is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:28.662 --> 00:07:31.395 out there talking about the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:31.395 --> 00:07:33.981 that their sales overall is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}07{:}33.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.806$  increased and so they should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:36.806 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.156$  some caution around worrying about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.152$  About these increases an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:07:41.152 --> 00:07:44.140 In fact the dialogue around that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}07{:}44.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.114$  with them an at The Who level

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:47.114 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.625$  worldwide has been who's most

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:49.625 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.993$  susceptible to these increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}07{:}51.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.910$  drinking episodes an during covid.

 $00:07:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.150$  So I think this particular topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:07:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.443$  is particularly is especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:00.443 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.875$  relevant in the period of Covid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.162$  So let me just talk about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:06.162 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.210$  I want to cover today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}08.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.020$  Disruption of the stress circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:08:10.020 --> 00:08:11.448 particularly the coping stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}11.448 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}08{:}13.233$  resilient coping circuit as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:08:13.233 --> 00:08:14.709 target pathway for alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:08:14.710 --> 00:08:17.150 compulsive seeking or drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.370$  compulsive seeking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20.550$  Binge alcohol use and disruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}20.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.294$  of the neuroendocrine response

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:22.294 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.260$  to stress and to alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:08:24.260 --> 00:08:25.836 Alcohol related changes in

 $00:08:25.836 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.412$  stress pathways that predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}27.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.319$  relapse and treatment outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}29.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.444$  And can we target this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:31.444 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.888$  what I like to call stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:08:33.888 --> 00:08:36.253 pathophysiology of alcohol to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}36.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.150$  to improve our treatment outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.210$  So we know of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.262$  that there is this dopamine rich region

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}08{:}44.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.906$  circuitry that is called the reward

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:46.906 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.426$  circuitry in the brain going from

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:49.426 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.295$  the VTA to the comments to the Pfc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.446$  the nucleus comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:53.446 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.738$  or ventral striatum is been sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:08:55.738 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.886$  of expanded into the dorsal

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

00:08:57.886 --> 00:09:00.001 striatum for the dopamine rich

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}09{:}00.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.167$  regions and the reward circuitry.

 $00:09:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.194$  So of course the and is beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00{:}09{:}05.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.950$  data showing that this in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:09:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.230$  circuit is activated when you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87839776

 $00:09:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.340$  Participate in dude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}09{:}13.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.004$  Rewarding behavior whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:15.004 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.320$  in imbibing a substance or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:17.386 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.510$  rewarding behavior like gambling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.670$  What about stress related motivation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.256$  Before there were drugs of abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.988$  we obviously have this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:09:25.988 --> 00:09:28.580 This reward pathway in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.468$  And what is it for really is one question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.494$  and in fact it's embedded hardwired for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:09:35.494 --> 00:09:37.288 social reinforcement, social reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:37.288 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.536$  natural rewards as well as if you

 $00:09:40.536 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.888$  have to run when you're faced with a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}09{:}43.890 --> 00{:}09{:}45.574$  With the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:45.574 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.679$  aversive stimulus like large animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:47.679 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.098$  that looks like a Tiger perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.578$  or something it really scared of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.268$  You need to mobilize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:54.268 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.969$  You need to know firstly that that this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:09:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.110$  This is a difficult situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}10{:}00.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.454$  Then you got to move and run and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:02.454 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.535$  just this pathway is very involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}10{:}04.535 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}07.124$  in that it connects to the motor

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:07.124 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.039$  regions and is important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}10{:}09.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.933$  intent even in stress conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:10.933 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.110$  Well, I can say that but let me show

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:10:14.193 --> 00:10:16.641 you what we did and this is now a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:16.641 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.425$  few years ago we wanted to understand

00:10:19.425 --> 00:10:21.638 what does this stress system have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:21.638 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.990$  to do with this sort of coping and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:24.055 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.588$  stress coping circuitry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.684$  So we designed a study where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:27.684 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.080$  we showed really awful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:10:29.080 --> 00:10:30.166 aversive, threatening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:10:30.166 --> 00:10:32.338 challenging pictures in blocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.566$  And those were the stress blocks compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:34.566 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.890$  it to relaxing non stressful pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:10:36.890 --> 00:10:39.320 And that was the neutral blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.940$  these community volunteers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:41.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.838$  we got a very nice rise here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}10{:}42.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.472$  You can see in Stressfulness on a 9

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}10{:}45.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.600$  point scale that was sustained across

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.190$  the period from R1 to R6 years.

 $00:10:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.116$  The period of being exposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:52.116 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.162$  the Stressor there was really high

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:54.162 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.238$  arousal and there was an increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:10:56.238 --> 00:10:57.540 in cortisol response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:10:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.816$  The main point I want to make here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}11{:}00.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.538$  there's a lot going on in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.370$  At when you see red yellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.533$  it means that those regions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}11{:}07.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.507$  brain were activated an in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:09.507 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.780$  the amygdala in the in the hypothalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:11.780 --> 00:11:13.756 The key thing I want to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:13.756 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.742$  is the striatum is really highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:15.742 --> 00:11:17.872 lit up and very much involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:17.880 --> 00:11:19.620 as is the insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:19.620 --> 00:11:23.120 because you're getting a lot of internal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.430$  Perceptual need coming up and signaling.

00:11:25.430 --> 00:11:28.574 Coming up from the body in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}11{:}28.574 \to 00{:}11{:}31.052$  being stressed out and then what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:31.052 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.030$  see here in blue is this region of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.598$  what we call the ventromedial Pfc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}11{:}36.600 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.274$  You're going to hear me talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}11{:}39.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.370$  that quite a bit, so that going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:42.370 --> 00:11:43.910 being blooming deactivated initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:43.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.605$  and this is the dorsal ACC involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:46.605 --> 00:11:48.530 instead of intent, an action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.840$  and when because we were doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:11:50.840 --> 00:11:51.610 concurrent cortisol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:51.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.540$  you can see the circuitry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}11{:}53.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.336$  This is a whole brain cortisol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.532$  Map and what you can see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:11:58.532 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.595$  in fact the ventral striatum

 $00:12:00.595 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.995$  extending into the dorsal striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:12:03.000 --> 00:12:04.040 The hypothalamus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.640$  amygdala are all positively correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.040$  Whoops with cortisol and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.140$  ventromedial Pfc that blunting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}12{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.921$  negatively correlated an then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

00:12:13.921 --> 00:12:16.273 key thing here that I wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:16.273 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.295$  show you is that there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00{:}12{:}18.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.405$ a dynamic change going on during

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:20.405 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.230$  those runs that we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.066$  and in fact the key regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.85015875

 $00:12:24.066 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.290$  where there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.195$  mobilization and you might recall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:27.195 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.259$  this was blue in the ventromedial Pfc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.479$  You start to see that start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:32.479 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.753$  come back up and the ventral

 $00:12:34.753 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.828$  striatum also coming back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.710$  So really perhaps the region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:12:38.710 --> 00:12:40.782 The reward coping region is sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:40.782 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.830$  mobilizing and we started to call

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:12:42.830 --> 00:12:44.670 this the resilient coping circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}12{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.590$  mainly because that dynamic change

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:12:46.590 --> 00:12:48.460 during stress was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.610$  active coping on the Cope scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}12{:}50.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.360$  which subjects had had completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:52.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.138$  that and also how they cope with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:55.138 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.714$  stress in different questionnaires

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:56.783 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.119$  and what we found is that people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:12:59.119 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.430$  would not able to show the dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.941$  response in fact were those who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:03.941 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.089$  more likely to have higher scores

00:13:06.089 --> 00:13:08.248 on emotional eating or those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:13:08.248 --> 00:13:10.312 are reporting that they tend to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.078$  Have more arguments and fights sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:13.078 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.289$  have emotion dysregulation and lashing out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.195$  and with those who happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:17.195 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.719$  to be binge drinkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.100$  so that allowed us to sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.459$  extend into our sort of speculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:23.459 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.979$  that this indeed is an active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:13:25.980 --> 00:13:27.126 resilient coping circuitry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:27.126 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.418$  So we identify this as yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.580$  it's one that's activated by drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.620$  of abuse and natural rewards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.257$  but it is really one that is an active

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:36.257 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.969$  coping motivation circuit that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.578$  Inflexible control of behavior.

00:13:40.578 --> 00:13:42.588 So we started to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:42.588 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.360$  more broadly about well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.240$  so the dopamine rich regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.610$  are activated by drugs of abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.150$  What about other regions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.075$  And of course other systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:13:52.080 --> 00:13:55.554 And in fact, here's a data by Nancy Mellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:13:55.560 --> 00:13:58.968 Put it put together in a review paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:13:58.968 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.948$  showing that high nicotine cigarette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}14{:}01.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.900$  Dramatically activates the HPA Axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:03.900 --> 00:14:07.020 ACTH cortisol as well as an origin ergic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:07.020 --> 00:14:07.820 Arousal Annuar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.620$  active steroids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.088$  and so this started to help us think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:12.090 --> 00:14:12.480 Well,

 $00:14:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.820$  there's more going on than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.990$  dopamine rich regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.940$  When you think about alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.670$  some of this data is now published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.670$  What you see here is that heavy drinkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}14{:}23.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.518$  binge heavy drinkers in the light greys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:26.520 --> 00:14:27.690 a light, moderate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:27.690 --> 00:14:28.470 non bingers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:28.470 --> 00:14:30.465 but binge heavy drinkers just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:30.465 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.460$  basically show a shift and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}14{:}32.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.245$  Increase in their cortisol levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:34.245 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.851$  so that starts to show that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:36.851 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.731$  by the biological stress response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}14{:}38.731 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}41.078$  is adapting and changing as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:41.078 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.610$  function of active drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.866$  These folks are not stopping there,

00:14:44.870 --> 00:14:46.750 just regular binge heavy drinkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:14:46.750 --> 00:14:48.358 They're not dependent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:48.358 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.110$  and you see that in two separate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.335$  Who has recommitted onescu so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:55.335 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.368$  then did a study where we expose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:14:59.368 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.433$  people on three separate days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:02.433 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.759$  to either stressed skew alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}15{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.154$  Q Al correlated trigger or a neutral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:08.154 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.660$  Q and then we presented them with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}15{:}10.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.619$  what we call what we what is well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:13.619 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.977$  known in the alcohol literature as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:15.977 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.458$  the alcohol taste test which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:15:18.458 --> 00:15:20.990 2 beers are shown and individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:21.069 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.979$  are asked to taste them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.948$  To determine whether they are the

00:15:24.948 --> 00:15:28.008 same brand or the same type or different an,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:15:28.010 --> 00:15:29.685 we call this an implicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:29.685 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.690$  alcohol motivation test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:15:30.690 --> 00:15:32.540 Alan Marlatt developed it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.746$  essentially what you find is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00{:}15{:}34.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.755$  people and we tell them you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

00:15:36.755 --> 00:15:39.396 drink as much as you need to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8547592

 $00:15:39.396 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.395$  make that determination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:15:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.410$  they get \$10 for doing it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:15:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.972$  so there were three separate days where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}15{:}44.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.732$  they got either alcohol Q or stress Q

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:15:47.732 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.316$  or neutral Q Context prior to the tray

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:15:50.316 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.769$  with the two drinks showing up and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:15:52.769 --> 00:15:55.241 They get to drink it for 10 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:15:55.241 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.479$  and then we're monitoring them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:15:57.480 --> 00:15:59.846 The key thing is that quite reliably,

 $00:15:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.550$  now in two separate studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.518$  we find that binge heavy drinkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:03.518 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.864$  in this is similar to what Alan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:05.864 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.796$  Marlatt had shown will consume more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:07.796 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.595$  to make the determination whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:09.595 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.011$  the two beers are same or different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:12.011 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.339$  So you see that across all three days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:14.339 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.408$  is really high reliability that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:16:16.408 --> 00:16:19.314 were in fact drinking more to make that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:19.314 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.204$  determination that binge heavy drinkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}16{:}21.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.358$  And we see that post drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.748$  You see a rise in cortisol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:16:25.750 --> 00:16:27.750 Of course, they drank more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.740$  so you see the bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.340$  Heavy drinkers have a

 $00:16:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.540$  bigger cortisol response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:32.540 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.530$  It's smiled because it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.122$  small amounts of cortisol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:16:36.130 --> 00:16:38.518 I mean small amounts of alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.692$  but nonetheless we see a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:40.692 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.910$  increase in cortisol post consumption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:16:42.910 --> 00:16:45.120 Then the interesting thing was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.330$  pre consumption when they folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}16{:}47.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.688$  were exposed to the cues we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:49.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.483$  In fact, a blunted response in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:16:52.483 --> 00:16:53.680 binge heavy drinker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.508$  so remember.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}16{:}54.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.578$  I showed you that baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.580$  They have high responses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:16:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.580$  then in response to stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.690$  they're actually blunted

 $00:17:01.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.540$  compared to the bench compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}17{:}03.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.780$  to the light moderate drinkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}17{:}05.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.412$  An in fact that blunted response in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:08.412 --> 00:17:10.874 cortisol predicts how much they consume

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:10.874 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.979$  in this implicit motivation test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.380$  So cortisol is having an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.732$  I will say we have beautiful effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:17.732 --> 00:17:20.637 of craving in this paper that craving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:20.637 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.379$  predicts intake across all three conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:23.380 --> 00:17:26.180 An cortisol in craving are not connected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359 00:17:26.180 --> 00:17:26.569 so. NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:26.569 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.903$  We start to see separate pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:28.903 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.880$  that are influencing motivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.532$  Once through the subjective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:32.532 --> 00:17:34.597 wanting sailing sort of state,

 $00:17:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.660$  the other through the biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}17{:}36.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.308$  pathway of stress destruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.005$  So we put out this notion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:41.005 --> 00:17:43.097 that would binge heavy drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:43.097 --> 00:17:45.653 or with active alcohol you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:45.653 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.230$  a rise in your basil state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.460$  Sort of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:49.460 --> 00:17:51.920 You'll start to hear and allostatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}17{:}51.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.500$  kind of model or explanation here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.970$  that then there's when you get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:17:56.970 --> 00:17:59.010 When you actually consume alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:17:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.550$  a standard alcoholic drink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.480$  Being heavy drinkers have a blunted response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.520$  I showed the same with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.738$  uh, stress manipulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:06.738 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.366$  An in fact, then,

00:18:08.366 --> 00:18:11.614 in the face of being presented with queues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:11.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.564$  there is a need to drink more to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:18:14.564 --> 00:18:16.908 perhaps bring back this response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:18:16.910 --> 00:18:18.438 bring back your Basil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:18.438 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.348$  State of responding or normalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:20.348 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.799$  the stress response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.830$  so to speak with alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.994$  So that's something we are we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:18:27.000 --> 00:18:29.316 Pursuing and testing in different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.432$  but this was sort of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}18{:}31.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32.840$  speculated heuristic model of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}18{:}32.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34.740$  the role of glucocorticoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.108$  I should say here for those who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:37.108 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.792$  interested in whether we think that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:39.792 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.412$  peripheral glucocorticoids or cortisol

00:18:41.412 --> 00:18:43.639 is actually changing motivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.580$  We do not think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:45.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.510$  We think it's a marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.316$  We think that that's really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00{:}18{:}49.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.520$  marker of Central Activational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

00:18:50.574 --> 00:18:52.080 central glucocorticoid pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.8655359

 $00:18:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.088$  influencing the motivational circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:18:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.345$  So what about in alcohol use disorders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:18:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.050$  We have several treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:18:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.750$  and alcohol use disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}19{:}00.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.770$  Treat alcohol use disorder, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.996$  The treatment impact has been modest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}19{:}05.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.020$  and we all here know this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}19{:}09.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.976$  naltrex one was developed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.260$  There's been a lot of development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.338$  in focus on treatment development

 $00:19:15.338 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.758$  in alcohol use disorders here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.847$  and so we started to think about how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:20.847 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.429$  we improve these treatments and wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:23.429 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.131$  to go back to what happens to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:27.131 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.059$  stress pathway in alcohol use disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.784$  particularly as folks initiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:31.784 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.939$  treatment or start cutting back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.028$  And then the phase of abstinence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.770$  or early abstinence maintaining recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.546$  We know that there are high relapse rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:19:40.550 --> 00:19:42.629 I'm going to show you some data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:42.629 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.315$  of that for that an so we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:45.315 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.040$  to think about whether these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}19{:}47.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.597$  different phases can be broken down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.723$  Could there be a need for as recovery starts?

 $00:19:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.130$  Perhaps this recovery in these in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.875$  these pathways would there be a need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:19:57.875 --> 00:19:59.665 for different types of treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:19:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.192$  Let me read to you. This pace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:02.192 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.298$  Someone who reached out as she

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:04.298 --> 00:20:06.198 was struggling with her recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}20{:}06.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.594$  Anne Rd about it and I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:08.594 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.200$  it was very shows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.096$  Very articulate at 8 weeks without a drop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:13.100 --> 00:20:15.510 I really noticed I'm living

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}20{:}15.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.920$  less on instinct and habit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:17.920 --> 00:20:20.926 I can think much more clearly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:20:930 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.930$  And take time to process thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.930$  mature Lee before acting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:25.930 --> 00:20:28.457 I even notice I'm just talking less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.270$  I haven't had fully formed

 $00:20:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.080$  thoughts for so very long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:32.080 --> 00:20:34.870 It's kind of nice to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.730$  my faculties back again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.677$  In the past it's all been so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:39.677 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.700$  superficial just to get me from A to B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.241$  Just to keep up the veneer of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:45.241 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.940$  being a full human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:46.940 --> 00:20:48.865 but underneath I was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:48.865 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.790$  a slave to the bottle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.710$  Hungover hiding myself very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:20:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.638$  A knee jerk reaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}20{:}55.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.055$  But now I'm no longer feeling silence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:20:58.055 --> 00:21:00.607 is nagging at my kids interrupting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:00.607 --> 00:21:02.539 people while they're talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.938$  I'm just listening.

 $00:21:03.938 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.734$  And not even planning how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:06.734 --> 00:21:08.420 react just sitting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:08.420 --> 00:21:11.416 With the moments and observing, hearing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:11.416 --> 00:21:14.896 feeling quiet and contented myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.917$  I even think I found my chi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:17.920 --> 00:21:19.762 Without even knowing what that word

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:19.762 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.469$  meant a week ago, I felt something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:22.469 --> 00:21:25.427 Like a place inside my soul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:25.430 --> 00:21:27.400 Something I think I remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.917$  discovering as a child and teen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:29.917 --> 00:21:31.809 before alcohol smothered it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:31.810 --> 00:21:35.098 A presence of myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}21{:}35.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.476$  I thought she wrote this quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:37.476 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.664$  articulately about which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.052$  What are the struggles of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:41.052 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.243$  early recovery period.

 $00:21:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.814$  The first 8 weeks as she described an in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.410$  Really she's one of the lucky ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:21:48.410 --> 00:21:50.929 who makes it through eight weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:50.929 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.016$  without without drop as she says and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00{:}21{:}54.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}56.636$  starts to notice the changes in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:56.636 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.996$  lot of which she's talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:21:58.996 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.106$  Is this higher executive function

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:01.106 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.890$  function this sense of self?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.542$  The sense of feeling?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:04.542 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.368$  Do controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.220$  Building back herself control building back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.070$  Her ability to observe and notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:22:11.070 --> 00:22:12.495 people around you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.400$  Maybe even start to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:22:14.400 --> 00:22:16.300 of course, think clearly,

 $00:22:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.200$  but particularly emotional regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:18.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.096$  Emotional intelligence coming back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:22:20.096 --> 00:22:22.466 Thank you for some insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

 $00:22:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.718$  which I thought was was a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8622678

00:22:25.718 --> 00:22:27.110 interesting that capacity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.070$  to have some insight and reflection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.500$  And these are components of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.444$  higher order cognitive function

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:34.444 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.838$  that that folks in addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:22:36.840 --> 00:22:38.940 Our study is starting to characterize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}22{:}38.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.124$  And studies, so I thought it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:41.124 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.580$  captured that pretty well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}22{:}42.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.348$  So we wanted to let me show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:45.348 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.909$  first our data from right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.820$  The substance abuse treatment unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.939$  In one year,

00:22:50.939 --> 00:22:52.804 data from 878 patients outpatients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:52.804 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.150$  classified by different drugs of abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.198$  In the in the green is the alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:22:58.200 --> 00:22:59.018 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:22:59.018 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.881$  at tattoo we use the medications pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:23:01.881 --> 00:23:03.685 religiously that are available for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:03.685 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.200$  alcohol and so you see that effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.974$  But critically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}06.974 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10.070$  what I want to show you here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}10.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.786$  the X axis is time to discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.067$  And on the Y axis is sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:15.067 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.527$  proportion who remained abstinent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}16.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.807$  or who were abstinent at discharge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.029$  So essentially it captures both at both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:21.029 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.570$  the dropout rate as well as being abstinent.

 $00:23:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.466$  An weather weather at drop out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}25.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.638$  they were abstinent and so a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:27.638 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.566$  times when we think about recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:29.566 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.546$  we think about this later period

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:31.613 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.965$  we kind of got obsessed with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}33.965 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.298$  beginning period 'cause there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}35.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.570$  this constant revolving door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.155$  When you're in addiction treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}38.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.050$  you know about the revolving door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.834$  People who show up for one or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}42.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.857$  appointments and can show up after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.120$  That's what's represented here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:23:46.120 --> 00:23:48.391 You see a precipitous drop in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}48.391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.996$  beginning and we really haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:49.996 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.280$  understood that very well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.744$  and then there's this next phase of

 $00:23:53.744 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.369$  where people are falling off the wagon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}23{:}56.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.403$  And you see that in with alcohol as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:23:59.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.426$  and so in some ways we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:01.426 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.442$  ask the question if these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}24{:}03.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.242$  similar processes or could there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:05.242 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.027$  be other things going on as people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:08.027 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.206$  are initiating recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:09.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.238$  Many of you have seen this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.340$  This slide of ours where we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.456$  to bring what people are facing out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}24{:}15.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.691$  in the real world as they struggling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:17.691 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.367$  with early recovery into the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}24{:}20.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.806$  An provoking sort of their triggers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:22.806 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.439$  often talk about when I get stressed out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.130$  I don't know what happens.

 $00:24:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.153$  I start using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}24{:}28.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.140$  And so we started to in provoke stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.210$  compared it to drug keyuan neutral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:24:33.210 --> 00:24:34.987 in a tight experimental situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:34.987 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.985$  and just with five minutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}24{:}36.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.239$  exposure you see sustained increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.075$  And this is what became

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:24:41.075 --> 00:24:42.176 stress induced craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.756$  which has been described numerous Times Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:24:44.760 --> 00:24:47.336 And of course Q and use craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}24{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.608$  which has been described an what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:49.608 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.280$  showed early on was that higher the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:24:52.280 --> 00:24:54.325 stress induced stress induced craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.538$  the provoke craving in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.500$  So right here in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:24:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.940$  Open.

 $00:25:00.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.288$  Squares here and hire

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:02.288 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.636$  the cue induced craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:25:03.640 --> 00:25:05.320 The more quickly people respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.344$  relapse on the X axis is time to relapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.583$  You'll see a lot of these curves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:10.583 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.068$  an on the Y axis is survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.755$  so not relapsing and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:14.755 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.103$  see the precipitous drop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:25:16.110 --> 00:25:18.126 If you were a high Craver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.815$  so we identified that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

00:25:19.815 --> 00:25:21.500 craving does have an impact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00{:}25{:}21.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.824$  but what I wanted in coming back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.250$  to this notion of where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8592372

 $00:25:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.284$  today with this and you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:28.358 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.958$  to see me pointing this out so craving

00:25:30.958 --> 00:25:33.010 then is a predictor variable here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:25:33.010 --> 00:25:34.410 meaning it's a potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:25:34.410 --> 00:25:35.814 Behavioral marker of relapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:35.814 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.079$  But I want to show you the variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:25:39.080 --> 00:25:40.920 OK not everybody craves and

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.749$  in fact we have 0 right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.862$  People who were not craving and in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:46.862 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.585$  about 30% of people when you provoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}25{:}49.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.530$  craving will not report craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:25:51.530 --> 00:25:54.246 Maybe 20 to 25 under provocation states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.742$  but more so in if you're measuring it weekly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:25:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.396$  But most importantly there isn't good

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:26:00.396 --> 00:26:02.967 group of people who are reporting

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:02.967 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.494$  it an in fact it's not just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.702$  Amir rating it seems to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:07.702 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.930$  an impact on on relapse.

 $00:26:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.738$  These folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:10.738 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.950$  By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:26:11.950 --> 00:26:13.865 these early studies were inpatient

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:13.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.260$  when we did the provocation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.932$  manipulations and then they

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:17.932 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.604$  were discharged to aftercare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.515$  outpatient aftercare and we followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:21.515 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.040$  them and so this is relapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:26:24.040 --> 00:26:26.165 During aftercare we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}26{:}26.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.726$  their HPA access response and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:26:28.726 --> 00:26:30.886 fact they High Court ACTH ratio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.704$  which is a measure of adrenal sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}26{:}33.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.530$  This is the Basil measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.020$  And it actually captures there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.480$  blunted responding during stress provocation.

00:26:41.480 --> 00:26:44.630 An that is well predicted relapse here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:44.630 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.216$  with high levels of the ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:47.216 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.953$  leading to very precipitous drop in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:49.953 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.278$  the ability to maintain abstinence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.430$  Again, we see variation in these responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:26:55.430 --> 00:26:56.330 and frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:26:56.330 --> 00:26:58.580 with any neuro biological study

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.930$  that we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:26:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.080$  all of us have been doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.330$  We have variation in there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.700$  and so the question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.476$  How are we going to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:11.476 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.420$  capture variation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.234$  This is a structural analysis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.653$  voxel based morphometry showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}27{:}17.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}19.501$  medial prefrontal cortical region

 $00:27:19.501 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.330$  is smaller the region the worst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.997$  the outcome in terms of time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:27:24.997 --> 00:27:27.340 relapse and then this disrupted

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.564$  functional activation where in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:29.564 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.337$  the neutral condition we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:32.337 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.642$  activation or higher levels and

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:34.642 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.831$  inability to relax in this in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:27:37.831 --> 00:27:40.066 Coping circuit ventral striatal vetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.416$  medial Pfc coping circuit and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:27:42.416 --> 00:27:43.980 distress conditions of blunted

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:44.046 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.500$  responding and once again that being

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.136$  important for predicting future

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}27{:}48.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.068$  relapse again we see variation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.270$  So this variation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.270$  So we have significant findings.

 $00:27:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.730$  We've got great data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.069$  What do we do clinically with this variation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:27:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.457$  So we again got very obsessed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:00.457 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.270$  this in terms of clinical translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.070$  Who is most vulnerable to these changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.105$  And can these bio behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:08.105 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.733$  markers help us identify?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.380$  Those who are most vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}28{:}11.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.501$  We don't just want to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:13.501 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.172$  alcohol leads to these changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}28{:}15.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.254$  and that it's a brain disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.024$  Can we bring that translation back

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:19.024 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.344$  into the clinic to help us improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:21.344 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.149$  treatments for alcohol use disorder?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:23.150 --> 00:28:25.758 And so you might start to think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.687$  well, they should be moderate yrs of.

 $00:28:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.515$  These of our treatment outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:31.515 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.190$  an could we use that to enhance

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.892$  what we now know is it's called

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:36.892 --> 00:28:37.990 personalized medicine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.175$  No precision medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:39.175 --> 00:28:41.150 So in thinking about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:41.150 --> 00:28:43.120 you could think about disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:43.120 --> 00:28:43.515 pathophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:43.515 --> 00:28:46.675 some of the things I've been showing you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:46.680 --> 00:28:47.470 perhaps severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.260$  acute withdrawal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.050$  drug abstinence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00{:}28{:}49.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.698$  the days that you can conjure up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

 $00:28:51.698 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.765$  terms of abstinence may contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.827227

00:28:53.765 --> 00:28:56.866 to the degree of these changes or

 $00:28:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.530$  the lack of recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}28{:}58.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.519$  The lack of normalization that may happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:29:01.520 --> 00:29:03.836 As a function of initiating treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.156$  then there might be folks who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.035$  because of their predisposing factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:08.035 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.420$  such as only trauma or stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.860$  May in fact be more vulnerable to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.449$  of the alcohol related adaptations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}29{:}15.450 --> 00{:}29{:}17.390$  I was showing you earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.285$  It could be that comorbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:29:19.285 --> 00:29:21.619 could in fact be playing an

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}29{:}21.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.444$  intersecting with those changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:23.444 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.290$  the brain an in the stress circuit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}29{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.083$  and then gender plays a role which

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:29:29.083 --> 00:29:32.086 you're not going to hear me talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:32.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.890$  but it's a very important.

 $00:29:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.890$  Factor, and we've shown we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.330$  published data on that as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.760$  and then they may be genetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:29:41.760 --> 00:29:43.484 An Pharmaco Genomic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:43.484 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.836$  I'm just going to show you for in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:46.836 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.588$  the interest of time and just to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:49.674 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.260$  you that these factors do matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}29{:}52.260 \to 00{:}29{:}54.280$  I'm going to stick with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:29:54.280 --> 00:29:55.088 disease pathophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:29:55.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.314$  So how much alcohol folks may have consumed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:29:58.320 --> 00:30:00.744 And how much does acute withdrawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:00.744 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.360$  in abstinence impact this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.378$  This circuitry so using again are newer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.300$  Approach to provoking stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.180$  Q States we now added the

 $00:30:11.272 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.627$  alcohol an in drug studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:13.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.040$  We've added drug block essentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.414$  Now folks in addition to seeing averse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:30:19.420 --> 00:30:21.352 threatening awful images just

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:21.352 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.284$  coming at them continuously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.134$  They also have a block of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}30{:}26.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.600$  alcohol images coming at them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.974$  An of course the neutral relaxing images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.912$  These blocks are randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:33.912 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.878$  in counterbalanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.570$  presented in various ways in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:30:37.570 --> 00:30:39.082 In specific, standardized ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:39.082 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.972$  and the paper showed that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}30{:}40.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.134$  and again we are concurrently monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:30:43.134 --> 00:30:45.150 autonomic an HP access response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}30{:}45.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.558$  What I want to show you is distress

00:30:48.558 --> 00:30:51.585 response during and this is now P1 to P6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.724$  so six runs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:52.724 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.992$  provocation runs and the baseline period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.436$  and that folks is level of stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:30:57.436 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.049$  and what you see is that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:00.049 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.275$  are get highly stressed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:02.357 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.859$  stress condition which is in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.180$  Here an blue is the alcohol Q condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:08.180 --> 00:31:10.118 And like as a neutral condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.058$  what I want you to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:12.060 --> 00:31:14.636 a udi's alcohol use disorder in the bench,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:14.640 --> 00:31:15.872 heavy users here nondependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}15.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.342$  users is that there is a diss Basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:18.342 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.302$  shift in even the level of stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:20.302 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.135$  that the patients are feeling

00:31:22.135 --> 00:31:24.005 these at treatment entering folks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}24.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.855$  they haven't initiated treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:25.855 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.298$  Dave engages the intake period and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:28.298 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.466$  get scanned and he is craving in craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.368$  You see a beautiful very little in the model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.662$  Drinkers are really more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:34.662 --> 00:31:36.277 sustained craving in the bench,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.296$  heavy drinkers an then a Basil

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}38.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.968$  shifting craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:38.970 --> 00:31:40.366 Right, even at baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}40.366 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}31{:}43.136$  when it's assessed in a controlled way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:43.136 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.292$  then an increase in response to stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.298$  And we see a stress induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:47.298 --> 00:31:48.630 craving and Acuna scraping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:31:48.630 --> 00:31:49.958 which you've seen previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:49.958 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.618$  What happens in the brain?

 $00:31:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.916$  A lot of blunted responding in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}53.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.806$  in that resilient coping circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}31{:}55.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58.314$  in our reward circuitry right there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.980$  Under stress neutral stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:31:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.055$  versus neutral conditions in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:32:02.055 --> 00:32:04.180 queue versus neutral conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:32:04.180 --> 00:32:07.620 much more so in the alcohol use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00{:}32{:}07.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.048$  group relative to social drinkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

00:32:10.050 --> 00:32:11.403 And once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:32:11.403 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.207$  this hyperactivity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86203873

 $00:32:13.207 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.560$  neutral relaxed state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}32{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}17.165$  So really a disrupted respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}32{:}17.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.770$  disruption of the brain's functioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:19.848 \dashrightarrow 00:32:22.506$  under under challenge States and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:22.506 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.104$  well as under relaxed States and

 $00:32:25.104 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.088$  here we just you see the beta weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.602$  meaning the region of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:29.602 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.870$  Number of voxels activated and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:32:31.946 --> 00:32:34.270 difference between the AD or the AUD

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.509$  Group and the social drinking group

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:36.509 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.855$  for these target regions of in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.640$  Frustrate him, and the ventromedial Pfc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.016$  The reason why I wanted to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}32{:}45.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.962$  that is that then we also measured very

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:32:47.962 --> 00:32:50.258 carefully how many days people were

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}32{:}50.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.722$  abstinent and you can see the those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:52.722 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.018$  who had a short period of abstinence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:55.018 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.599$  which is marked here by short abstinence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:32:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.318$  Really the mean being 5 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.000$  They, um, relapse or continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.680$  with their heavy drinking during

 $00{:}33{:}03.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.117$  the early treatment phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.154$  This is the first 14 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:07.154 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.240$  and you see that in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.557$  the number of days of abstinence is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:11.557 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.314$  an important clinical marker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}33{:}13.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.408$  this is not surprising to clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:15.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.474$  We know that if somebody drank

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:33:17.474 --> 00:33:19.190 yesterday or two days ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:33:19.190 --> 00:33:21.926 they're going to have a hard time abstaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.272$  Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:33:22.272 --> 00:33:24.324 that's known across substances of abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.242$  and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:25.242 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.869$  what we see here is that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:27.869 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.407$  case they engage in heavy drinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.870$  And the probability of no heavy

 $00:33:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.057$  drinking is much higher with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:33:35.057 --> 00:33:36.909 longer days of abstinence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.554$  So we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:38.554 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.099$  And now when we look into the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.828$  in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:42.828 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.648$  that that pathophysiology I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:44.648 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.287$  showing you a blunted resilient coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:47.287 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.239$  pathway with the ventromedial Pfc and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}33{:}50.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.487$  disruption in the neutral condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.660$  both in the ventral striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:33:54.660 --> 00:33:57.174 An this extends into into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:33:57.174 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.501$  hypothalamus and then some heightened

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}33{:}59.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.777$  striedl activation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.946$  Is associated with a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:03.946 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.750$  absence days actually predicts that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.277$  so this is an important clinical marker?

 $00{:}34{:}08.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.950$  What about with drawal in abstinence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}34{:}09.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.095$  symptoms as we start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:34:12.095 --> 00:34:13.328 about acute withdrawal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.754$  which are listed here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:14.754 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.300$  these are the withdrawal symptoms I've added.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.479$  High craving as one of The Associated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.070$  Symptoms that that we see in folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:34:24.070 --> 00:34:25.180 during acute with drawal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.030$  but also in early abstinence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:34:27.030 --> 00:34:29.454 And I'm going to show you data with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:29.454 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.874$  folks again entering treatment if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}34{:}31.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.059$  were treated for a cute withdrawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}34{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.170$  Needed medical detox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.390$  They're entering treatment post that period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.364$  so everybody is coming in for

 $00:34:39.364 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.147$  outpatient treatment and we evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}34{:}41.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.167$  them for their alcohol with drawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:34:43.167 --> 00:34:44.783 symptoms and their craving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:44.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.750$  and in fact all of us know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:34:47.750 --> 00:34:48.119 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:48.119 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.333$  it from the treatment field that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:50.333 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.990$  there is a pretty high bar for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:34:52.990 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.030$  Of being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}34{:}55.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56.770$  For gaining getting medical detox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

00:34:56.770 --> 00:34:59.245 I think you need an 8 or more on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}34{:}59.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.078$  the Siwa scale for as the criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:02.078 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.730$  for qualifying at SDRC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}35{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.390$  So people are turned away and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00{:}35{:}06.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09.230$  of course they go back out and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.902$  drink and so and or there in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:11.902 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.507$  Ed and they go back out and they

00:35:14.507 --> 00:35:16.529 drink and you have this revolving

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:16.529 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.000$  door and that group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.429$  We tend to ignore when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:20.429 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.123$  about recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.692$  Anne and we believe that in fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:23.692 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.280$  they are the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.458$  Vulnerable and we need to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197616

 $00:35:27.458 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.910$  them for for sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:28.991 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.606$  of improving our treatment outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.986$  Post with drawal and during the relapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:35:33.986 --> 00:35:36.670 or during the early recovery phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.780$  If you look at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}35{:}38.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.046$  alcohol with drawal symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:40.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.020$  they are actually quite correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.972$  with other kinds of what we call

00:35:44.972 --> 00:35:46.796 abstinence symptoms in addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}35{:}46.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.066$  depression, depression, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:48.066 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.754$  craving, poor sleep quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.484$  All of those are associated here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:52.484 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.145$  with with drawal because we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:35:55.145 --> 00:35:57.833 put these together in the same the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.043$  Alcohol with drawal scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:35:59.043 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.048$  The Siwa scores were put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:01.048 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.287$  on a Z score scale here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.890$  and you can see that those who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}36{:}05.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.712$  low SUA scores and this is really two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:08.712 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.094$  or less versus 3 or more are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:12.094 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.956$  different in these other abstinence symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.410$  So right there we have a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.046$  profile or folks that I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:20.046 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.936$  we evaluate this these aspects

 $00:36:21.936 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.509$  very very thoroughly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}36{:}23.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.066$  thoroughly in outpatient treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:25.066 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.400$  and indeed the question would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.072$  as I've shown you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:29.072 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.326$  some data already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.941$  That that the folks who have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:32.941 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.607$  higher or who are showing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}36{:}35.607 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}36{:}38.541$  symptoms of both craving an alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:38.541 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.539$  withdrawal and abstinence associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:40.539 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.383$  symptoms are in fact folks with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}36{:}43.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.698$  the greatest neuro biological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:36:46.700 --> 00:36:48.896 Head so to speak or disruption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.469$  and can we target them for treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:36:51.470 --> 00:36:53.474 So Amy Arnsten Here in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:53.474 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.283$  science is a great collaborated

 $00:36:55.283 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.076$  with many of us and she's been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:36:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.785$  She's a prefrontal cortex physiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:36:59.785 --> 00:37:01.962 Ann has put out this beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:01.962 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.987$  molecular mechanisms of how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:03.987 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.012$  protect the prefrontal cortex or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}06.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.717$  rescue the prefrontal cortex under

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}07.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.822$  high levels of stress and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:09.822 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.384$  of the things she she put out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.826$  This is her work from the late

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:14.826 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.430$  90s and early 2000s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:37:16.430 --> 00:37:18.535 Word than origin ergic pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:18.535 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.219$  in the northern ergic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.036$  Effects disruption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:21.036 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.260$  so to speak,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.522$  in the cellular mechanisms that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:24.522 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.760$  driving stress related Pfc impairment.

 $00:37:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.616$  So we started to look at guanfacine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:29.616 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.432$  and presence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:37:30.440 --> 00:37:33.044 and I'm just going to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:33.044 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.759$  some of our process and data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37.895$  We did a study with prazosin in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:37:37.895 --> 00:37:40.167 just in our lab study provoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:40.167 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.723$  craving under stress in Q Conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}42.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.215$  and found that process and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}45.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.203$  decreases stress induced craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:37:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.842$  Tracy Simpson and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}48.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.290$  Did pilot studies 1st and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}51.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.670$  the largest study with prazosin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:37:53.670 --> 00:37:55.514 for alcohol use disorder?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}37{:}55.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.016$  This is with Murray Raskin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:37:58.016 --> 00:37:59.680 found some positive effects,

 $00:37:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.336$  but there's mixed data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}38{:}01.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.300$  Our own doctor Petrakis at the VA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.093$  did a study with president in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:38:07.093 --> 00:38:09.234 treatment about call use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:09.234 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.209$  in found mixed, found no effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:38:13.210 --> 00:38:16.094 And So what could be going on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:38:16.100 --> 00:38:17.291 Where, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:38:17.291 --> 00:38:19.673 treating everybody with the drug our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}38{:}19.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.870$  data kept pointing to the fact that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.189$  targeting stress induced alcohol craving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.838$  It's helping with normalizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00{:}38{:}26.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28.486$  the disrupted HPA axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.122$  and so we should focus on perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:31.122 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.450$  those who are most affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.510$  Who could be most help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.906$  perhaps?

 $00:38:35.906 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.984$  So we managed to get a grant funded by an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:39.984 \dashrightarrow 00:38:43.360$  I AAA to look at president versus placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.928$  Initially we thought we would focus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.120$  This on anxiety and look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.434$  at anxiety disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

00:38:49.440 --> 00:38:51.780 but we were really not convinced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.270$  that it's really about comorbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.784$  We thought it was much more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466963

 $00:38:57.784 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.290$  alcohol related applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:38:59.371 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.602$  and so we wanted to look at the alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:39:02.610 --> 00:39:04.366 abstinence and withdrawal related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:04.366 \dashrightarrow 00:39:07.000$  effect as a potential moderate are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}39{:}07.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09.200$  So we recruited 112 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.951$  100 folks initiated the study we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:11.951 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.900$  to see what to assess withdrawal.

 $00:39:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.752$  Drinking outcomes are measured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:39:16.752 --> 00:39:19.067 The dose was tightened up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.080$  titrated up over 2 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.698$  and we went up to 16 milligrams a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:39:24.700 --> 00:39:26.710 Mixed effects models were used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.592$  Most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:27.592 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.679$  a lot of alcohol use disorder treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:30.679 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.475$  studies exclude people who are unable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}39{:}33.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.357$  stay abstinent for five days or three days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.620$  and naltrexone study early naltrexone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}39{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.626$  studies did not include those who who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:41.626 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.985$  could not be abstinent for five days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}39{:}43.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}46.000$  We required no abstinence days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.206$  for treatment initiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.722$  so if you were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:48.722 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.020$  Absent Today, you could get started

 $00:39:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.300$  and of course it was a titration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:39:54.300 --> 00:39:55.410 You know protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.630$  so it's not like they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:39:57.630 --> 00:39:59.537 getting full dose right away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:39:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.405$  or this was somehow treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:01.405 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.897$  their acute withdrawal symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.235$  Nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:03.235 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.245$  they got engaged in treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:05.245 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.390$  were able to initiate treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}40{:}07.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.043$  I want to show you the significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:40:10.043 --> 00:40:11.963 moderation of processes benefit by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}40{:}11.963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.117$  alcohol with drawal on the X axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.995$  Here is the alcohol withdrawal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:40:15.995 --> 00:40:18.299 scores at treatment entry in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:40:18.299 --> 00:40:20.477 is percent heavy drinking days Ann.

 $00:40:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.820$  Just any drinking days across

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.979$  the weeks of full dose 3 to 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}40{:}25.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.088$  And you see here that are behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:29.088 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.330$  counseling platform of 12 step

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.400$  facilitation helped in everybody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.527$  was in low in the low category but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}40{:}36.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38.966$  just look at the place bo group just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:38.966 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.470$  ramping up as as you look at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:41.549 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.309$  with higher with drawal scores and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:40:44.309 --> 00:40:46.990 fact prazosin flattening that completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}40{:}46.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.456$  Let's look at that by average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:49.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.652$  Now here this is percent drinking days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:52.652 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.609$  and heavy drinking days and you say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:40:55.609 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.190$  see averaged across weeks 3 to 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:40:58.190 --> 00:41:01.032 A whopping difference in those in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}41{:}01.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.138$  president group PR versus the place bo group.

 $00:41:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.270$  Right here in Week 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.545$  Even more so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:07.545 --> 00:41:10.520 the placebo group going ramping back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:10.520 --> 00:41:13.064 And of course, the president group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:13.064 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.336$  maintaining their abstinence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.470$  Similarly, we looked at improvements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.020$  We looked at the other alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:19.020 --> 00:41:20.294 abstinence symptoms, anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:20.294 --> 00:41:21.990 alcohol, craving and mood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.400$  and once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.280$  alcohol withdrawal intersected and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}41{:}25.280 \to 00{:}41{:}27.122$  interacted with treatment prazosin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}41{:}27.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}29.294$  and showed an impact on anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.370$  Craving and mood and that's presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.487$  in the paper that is impressed

 $00:41:33.487 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.509$  and should be coming out soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:35.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.890$  So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.790$  I want to wrap it up to just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.779$  Conclude that I hope I've shown you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:43.780 --> 00:41:46.336 I know it's gone fairly quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:46.340 --> 00:41:49.266 but that we have evidence of putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}41{:}49.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.023$  alcohol related adaptations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:51.023 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.747$  the stress pathways autonomic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:41:52.750 --> 00:41:55.306 which I didn't show you much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:41:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.299$  but you want trust me on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}41{:}58.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.289$  It looks quite like the HPA axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:42:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.420$  disruption of the HPA axis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:42:03.420 --> 00:42:04.698 neural circuit disruption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:42:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.444$  particularly targeting the instrumental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:42:06.444 --> 00:42:08.188 learning reward motivation circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:42:08.188 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.680$  that are important in resilient coping,

 $00:42:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.359$  important in reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}42{:}13.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.786$  Assessment as well an that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:42:16.786 --> 00:42:19.590 such disruption promotes relapse risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

00:42:19.590 --> 00:42:21.312 jeopardizes alcohol recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00{:}42{:}21.312 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.182$  but there are individual differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:42:24.182 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.087$  and we want to capture those individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8584726

 $00:42:28.087 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.762$  differences and translate that into

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:30.841 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.644$  markers. Bio behavioral markers that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:34.644 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.919$  clinical as well as neural or biological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}42{:}38.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.363$  So we want to utilize those moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}42{:}41.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.183$  yrs and biobehavioral markers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:43.183 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.668$  identify and treat those who are most

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}42{:}45.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.408$  vulnerable for treatment failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.618$  Apply them in the clinical setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.092$  Of course, test whether

00:42:51.092 --> 00:42:52.196 that application works,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.680$  whether it's severely abstinence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.790$  Daisy was scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:54.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.998$  some of these people do clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.322$  but we haven't had treatment options

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:42:59.322 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.794$  as we identify those who are who

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:01.794 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.280$  are more severe and so we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.385$  develop specific treatments to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}43{:}06.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.396$  those who are showing this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:43:09.396 --> 00:43:11.164 stress pathophysiology to improve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}43{:}11.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.560$  Treatment outcomes, so with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.496$  I want to thank you for your attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:17.500 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.118$  I'm happy to answer questions and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.272$  Then have a discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:23.272 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.348$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:43:24.350 --> 00:43:27.325 I should also before I conclude I

 $00:43:27.325 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.818$  want to acknowledge that many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:29.818 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.457$  folks have done this work and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:32.542 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.853$  could not have done it without the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:35.853 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.092$  amazing collaborators of the Elstra

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:38.092 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.934$  Center near Fogleman has done a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:40.934 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.167$  of the more recent analysis you saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:43:44.170 --> 00:43:46.756 Sarah Blaine's papers that were cited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:43:46.760 --> 00:43:48.900 Lizzie Goldfarb has is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.500$  in number of the studies done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:43:51.500 --> 00:43:52.925 Juicio Stephanie Wham.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:43:52.925 --> 00:43:55.164 Vera, Camilla Balvich, Helen Fox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:43:55.164 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.016$  who used to be here, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}43{:}58.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.352$  our imaging partners, constable and Dustin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:44:01.352 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.760$  She knows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:44:02.760 --> 00:44:04.710 New technology that seem ACC in

 $00:44:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.380$  are you staff and the CNR you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:44:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.710$  supporting my work over the years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:44:09.710 --> 00:44:12.182 all of the work that we've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:44:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.577$  we could not have done the carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:44:14.577 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.089$  controlled studies without the CNR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:44:16.090 --> 00:44:17.065 You being there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

00:44:17.065 --> 00:44:18.040 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:44:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.978$  folks at the stress center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00{:}44{:}19.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.939$  the NIH was supporting this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920758

 $00:44:21.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.909$  so thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9423989

 $00{:}44{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.338$  I'm happy to take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.084$  Yes, this is Stephanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:39.084 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.111$  I just want to say that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:41.111 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.320$  a beautiful presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.456$  It was so great to see this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

00:44:44.456 --> 00:44:45.919 well integrated line of research

00:44:45.919 --> 00:44:47.653 that you've been pursuing for so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}44{:}47.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.164$  many years and I think it's certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

00:44:50.164 --> 00:44:52.138 interdigitate's with, as you say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

00:44:52.138 --> 00:44:54.218 some of the clinical information

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:54.218 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.970$  we know as you talked about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.656$  Number of days absence prior to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:44:58.656 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.161$  treatment entry is the strongest

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

00:45:00.161 --> 00:45:01.766 predictor of how people do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.170$  and so the fact that you can work

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}04.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.610$  on some treatments that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}05.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.320$  mitigate that risk for people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}07.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.968$  early absence is really terrific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}08.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.392$  So thank you very much for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

00:45:11.392 --> 00:45:14.278 talk and for the work you're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}14.280 \to 00{:}45{:}17.199$  Regina, you might want to stop screen

00:45:17.199 --> 00:45:19.284 sharing. OK, great, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:19.284 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.309$  Yeah, that helps. Thank you Stephanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:23.309 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.338 \text{ I was very}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.460$  very kind of you to to put that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.272$  in perspective and in fact you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:30.272 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.727$  right the naltrexone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}31.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.592$  I think it was the New England

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

00:45:33.592 --> 00:45:35.717 Journal paper or the JAMA paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00{:}45{:}35.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37.619$  showed that strong predictor of days

NOTE Confidence: 0.85877365

 $00:45:37.677 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.657$  of abstinence on treatment outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86301905

 $00:45:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.430$  Any other thoughts so questions? Regina

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:45:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.700$  this is this is Chris.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}45{:}49.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50.706$  I second stephanie's comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:45:50.706 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.980$  It was beautiful to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:45:51.980 --> 00:45:54.584 though let work put together so nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}45{:}54.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57.595$  I want to ask about the model that

 $00{:}45{:}57.595 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.320$  you presented about halfway through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:45:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.457$  where the chronic drinkers have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:01.457 --> 00:46:03.233 elevated elevated baseline court,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:03.233 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.804$  but a reduced induction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:04.804 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.772$  of court appan alcohol an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:06.772 --> 00:46:09.380 You hypothesize that that that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.655$  have they need repeated drinks

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:11.655 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.609$  to get a higher level of court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:14.610 --> 00:46:16.692 But are you implying that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}46{:}16.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.837$  homeostatic drive to a cheat to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:18.837 --> 00:46:20.713 back to that higher level of court?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.440$  'cause that's not intuitive to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.090$  that there would be a homeostatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:24.090 --> 00:46:26.246 drive to get a higher stress signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.085$  so I wonder if you could help me understand,

 $00:46:30.085 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.780$  yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}46{:}30.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.283$  How that would work as it's an intriguing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:33.283 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.787$  model and it fits the data you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.668$  but I don't understand that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:37.668 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.920$  that further out prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:38.920 --> 00:46:40.798 Yeah, thank you Chris, for asking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.296$  I know I went over that very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:46:43.300 --> 00:46:44.632 Well, you know historically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:44.632 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.549$  The thinking was that we want a blunt or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:47.549 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.558$  reduce stress response is a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}46{:}49.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.056$  So if you don't have a stress response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.316$  that's a good thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:53.316 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.258$  But in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.444$  all of the data that are coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:56.444 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.946$  out in the last 15 to 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:46:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.876$  And as we are thinking about.

00:47:00.880 --> 00:47:02.775 Brazilian circuits what is coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:02.775 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.820$  to the fore is that in fact you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.610$  want a good stress response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:07.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.594$  What we need is a robust stress response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.252$  When we are faced with stressors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:13.252 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.020$  that central glucocorticoids are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:15.088 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.332$  really important to to get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}47{:}17.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.480$  stress circuit going and then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.816$  will need it to come down and even

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}47{:}21.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.424$  outside of central mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.429$  If you look at peripherally

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:25.429 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.060$  and you look at folks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:27.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.556$  even their subjective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:47:28.556 --> 00:47:29.678 cognitive coping mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.670$  you see folks reporting stress.

 $00:47:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.470$  And then they come down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.692$  And so number of folks have looked at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.614$  and the newer thinking is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:38.614 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.762$  we need a robust stress response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:40.762 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.156$  with all aspects of it working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.842$  The rise as well as the down and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:45.842 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.771$  we see now here is a disruption of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:48.771 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.060$  that with chronic alcohol states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.356$  Now we have evidence that early trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:53.356 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.360$  exposure an with repeated trauma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}47{:}55.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.262$  this stress response as we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:47:57.262 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.933$  of the HPA axis or the Autonomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}47{:}59.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.709$  Response Arousal under stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}48{:}01.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.552$  Is disrupted and So what we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:04.552 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.650$  is a shift baseley.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.110$  And then it blunted stress response,

 $00:48:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.390$  even if when you don't think of alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:48:12.390 --> 00:48:14.622 Just think about the shift baseley

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:14.622 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.309$  and then a blunted stress response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:17.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.489$  And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:18.489 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.240$  that's what we saw even with stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:21.328 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.079$  here in the in the bench heavy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:24.079 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.330$  drinkers prior to drinking an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.710$  That is what led us to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.250$  thinking about a dysfunctional need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:48:31.250 --> 00:48:32.070 Because clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:32.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.940$  if your Basil is still up in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.894$  you are trying to get the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00{:}48{:}37.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38.738$  state backup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:48:38.740 --> 00:48:40.666 It's it's going to remain dysfunctional,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.230$  but that there is, in fact a drive.

 $00:48:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.939$  We are starting to go back to the model

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:48:45.939 --> 00:48:49.322 of a drive to come back to have our response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.935$  because in fact having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:50.935 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.540$  response is is innately an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

 $00:48:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.824$  Instinctively the drive that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7751777

00:48:53.824 --> 00:48:55.750 should help us adapt and survive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592585

 $00:48:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.997$  and so that that's the way we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592585

 $00:48:57.997 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.609$  starting to think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86592585

 $00:48:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.780$  Does that make sense? It

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.204$  does that. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:04.204 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.350$  It does make sense. The mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.838$  whereby that drug might happen or going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:08.838 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.698$  be an interesting thing to tease apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.771$  Those aren't clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:12.771 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.913$  but but it makes more sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.056$  Thank you. Yeah, we have evidence.

 $00{:}49{:}17.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.076$  I will say Well haven't shown this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00{:}49{:}19.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.766$  'cause this is all preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:20.766 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.676$  and not preliminary analysis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:22.676 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.050$  suddenly not put out there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.990$  that that blended responding is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.856$  directly associated with the blunted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:28.856 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.666$  response in the resilient coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

00:49:30.666 --> 00:49:32.900 circuit an in the ventromedial Pfc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:32.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.825$  And the straddle systems which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:34.825 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.365$  do in fact show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00{:}49{:}36.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.100$  And it's been written about the hypo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:39.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.989$  dopaminergic state with heavy use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.094$  Heavy drug use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

00:49:42.094 --> 00:49:44.302 heavy alcohol use an in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:44.302 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.302$  has been documented and we're

00:49:46.302 --> 00:49:49.070 picking it up here in various ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00{:}49{:}49.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51.494$  and we're sort of thinking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:51.494 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.110$  that Central court mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8041148

 $00:49:53.177 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.229$  have something to do with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620919

 $00:49:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.184$  Regina, you have a question in the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620919

 $00{:}50{:}01.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03.930$  from Sally's hotel says any speculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620919

 $00:50:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.732$  on what these patients or subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620919

 $00:50:06.814 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.922$  looked like in terms of stress response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620919

 $00:50:09.922 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.589$  before alcohol use was ever initiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84993327

00:50:14.010 --> 00:50:15.458 Yeah, thank you Sally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84993327

 $00{:}50{:}15.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.906$  That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84993327

 $00:50:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.725$  Those are sort of moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84993327

 $00:50:18.725 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.177$  yrs and risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84993327

 $00:50:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.995$  Sort of studies that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.84993327

 $00:50:21.995 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.447$  going down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.096$  I we have a sense of it,

 $00:50:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.836$  we have some sense of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.240$  There are the sex differences start

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.511$  to come in 'cause the stress response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:37.511 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.627$  is highly sexually dimorphic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.920$  So women, girls, an boys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:50:41.920 --> 00:50:44.881 are somewhat different in the way they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:50:44.881 --> 00:50:47.419 are activating the stried pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.810$  and this ventromedial Pfc and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00{:}50{:}49.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.722$  there's amygdala differences as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:51.722 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.792$  well that are feeding into this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:50:54.792 --> 00:50:57.110 of limbic striatal circuit that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00{:}50{:}57.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59.370$  critical for emotion regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:50:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.681$  So I don't have the data out

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:02.681 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.100$  ready to present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:51:04.100 --> 00:51:07.756 but I can say this that we're seeing

 $00:51:07.756 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.248$  really interesting parallels to pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:10.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.194$  For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:51:11.194 --> 00:51:13.554 emotional pain and physical pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:51:13.560 --> 00:51:14.979 and blunted responding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:51:14.979 --> 00:51:16.398 particularly in women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.238$  seems to be a risk factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.320$  so there are some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

00:51:21.320 --> 00:51:25.158 which is why I had that factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:25.158 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.274$  in that there are some sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.950$  Factors and the related biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.021$  that going into the phase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00{:}51{:}33.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.041$  experimenting and drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:34.041 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.081$  is going to make people more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00{:}51{:}36.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.838$  vulnerable towards addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.036$  but there's still a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913043

 $00:51:40.036 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.670$  work to be done in that area.

00:51:48.800 --> 00:51:51.347 You know, I, I really wanted us to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00{:}51{:}51.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.166$  I mean, it's been really close to my heart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

00:51:55.170 --> 00:51:57.144 Work that that we start to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:51:57.144 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.120$  understand the drug related adaptations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:51:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.088$  If we can't sort that out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:01.090 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.076$  it's really hard when we start

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:03.076 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.645$  to do 2 by two or say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00{:}52{:}05.645 \to 00{:}52{:}07.570$  this person has trauma and the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:07.570 \dashrightarrow 00:52:10.060$  we don't really know whether those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.945$  additive effects or synergistic effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:11.950 \dashrightarrow 00:52:14.574$  So if there are ways to design experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00{:}52{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.446$  which is what we've been obsessed

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00{:}52{:}16.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.722$  with to really kind of manipulate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:18.722 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.660$  drug related effects an then bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.917723

 $00:52:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.083$  in other risk factors there maybe it

 $00:52:23.083 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.110$  might help us understand it better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91042244

 $00{:}52{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.989$  The questions comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.916247

 $00:52:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.248$  Everything was clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

 $00:52:57.410 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.218$  And there's a a comment that was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

 $00:53:00.218 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.677$  question that was made to be private.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

00:53:02.680 --> 00:53:04.678 Can you speak to agent cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

 $00:53:04.678 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.439$  decline as moderators of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

 $00:53:06.439 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.289$  response in the early phase?

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

 $00:53:08.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.045$  How do these factors relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.875985

 $00:53:10.045 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.449$  to the stress response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.898$  That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:15.898 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.980$  We don't know very much about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.210$  We do know that age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.165$  an age related declines in in frontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:25.165 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.890$  systems could have an effect suddenly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.662$  I'm not aware of any studies that

00:53:31.662 --> 00:53:33.894 particularly look at the circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00{:}53{:}33.894 \to 00{:}53{:}36.314$  that we're identifying that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:36.314 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.781$  related to emotional regulation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

00:53:38.781 --> 00:53:41.126 self control is resilient coping

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:41.126 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.908$  circuitry that is relevant in sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:45.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.787$  Give having people gain better self control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

00:53:48.790 --> 00:53:51.184 I would expect though we control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:51.184 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.149$  for age in all of our studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00{:}53{:}54.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}56.210$  We are interested in looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.858$  at the age effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:53:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.205$  but we haven't done that as yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:54:00.205 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.737$  in in a direct way in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00{:}54{:}03.737 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}54{:}05.685$  impact on treatment outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:54:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.203$  I know that Ed Sullivan and Alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:54:08.203 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.746$  bomb and others have been looking

 $00:54:10.746 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.518$  at age related declines in cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

00:54:13.518 --> 00:54:16.038 function and its impact in recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8383515

 $00:54:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.416$  But not so much in this early phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90362144

 $00:54:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.795$  John, you may be aware actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.90362144

 $00:54:22.795 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.379$  have some of that work as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90362144

 $00:54:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.384$  I'm not sure if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90362144

 $00:54:26.384 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.250$  anything to add there. No,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00:54:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.194$  but just the just the general comment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00{:}54{:}32.194 \to 00{:}54{:}36.219$  that that as executive cognitive control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

00:54:36.220 --> 00:54:38.831 Begins to decline in an advancing age

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00{:}54{:}38.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42.149$  that you begin to see emergence of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00:54:42.149 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.269$  variety of impulsive behaviors again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00:54:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.426$  Anne, and there's actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00:54:46.426 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.250$  Surge or increased risk for substance abuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

00:54:50.250 --> 00:54:53.372 Again in later life that that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

00:54:53.372 --> 00:54:55.644 haven't really paid that much

 $00:54:55.644 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.619$  attention to that might be related to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87369573

 $00:54:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.980$  What you've described in younger folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9238413

 $00:55:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.270$  Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302989

 $00:55:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.310$  Last chance for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

 $00{:}55{:}24.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.992$  Alright, well Regina was a fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

 $00:55:26.992 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.377$  talk in an awe some amount of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

00:55:29.377 --> 00:55:31.540 and thought by by yourself and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

 $00:55:31.611 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.566$  people that you've brought together

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

 $00:55:33.566 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.884$  to work on these important questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

 $00:55:35.884 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.716$  So thank you so much for sharing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

00:55:38.720 --> 00:55:40.838 A wonderful lecture with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87649214

 $00:55:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.550$  Much appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9210233

 $00{:}55{:}42.220 \to 00{:}55{:}44.062$  Thank you, thanks for having me.