WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:19:55.6160000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:04.928$ I first was introduced to Doctor Bale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:00:04.930 --> 00:00:08.120 Uh, maybe 5 six years ago at SFN I heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}011$ her give a talk at a women's symposium

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}11.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.105$ and I have to say I was mesmerized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:00:14.110 \rightarrow 00:00:16.926$ There were a lot of strong women speakers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}16{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20{.}575$ but I had an impression on me and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}20{.}575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.869$ followed work for a few years and asked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:00:23.870 --> 00:00:25.868 To actually be able to come and give a NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}25.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.896$ grand rounds talk at Yale and between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}27.896$ --> $00{:}00{:}30.010$ our schedules, this was over a year ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34{.}830$ so now she's finally. Here, Sortof.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}34.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.273$ Seattle is a professor pharmacology and drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}37{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40{.}074$ tour of the center of epigenetic research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:00:40.074 \rightarrow 00:00:42.612$ in child health and brain development.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:00:42.620 \rightarrow 00:00:45.532$ In the School of Medicine and yelling

 $00{:}00{:}45{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48{.}558$ at University of Maryland in Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.464$ She completed her PhD University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:00:51.464 --> 00:00:53.400 Washington Department of pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}00{:}53{.}471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55{.}491$ and her postdoctoral work at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:00:55.491 \dashrightarrow 00:00:57.511$ Salk Institute with doctor Vail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:00:57.520 --> 00:00:59.760 Doctor bail was Professor Neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:00:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.281$ an onion soup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:01:01.281 --> 00:01:04.830 and city in 15 years before moving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}04.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.980$ Her research focuses on understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:06.980 \rightarrow 00:01:10.089$ the role of stress dysregulation in your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}10.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.324$ developmental and your psychiatric disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}12.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.306$ And the sex differences in underlying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:01:15.306 --> 00:01:18.053 disease vulnerability in humans in using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}18.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.813$ the mouse model as it the Monster Model

 $00:01:20.886 \rightarrow 00:01:23.688$ she's interested in developing models of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}23.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.883$ Parenteau stress and the germ cell movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:01:26.883 --> 00:01:28.206 An intergenerational programming

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:28.206 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.529$ of newer development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:29.530 \rightarrow 00:01:32.176$ she serves on many internal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:32.176 \dashrightarrow 00:01:33.499$ external advisory committees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.544$ panels and boards.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:34.544 \rightarrow 00:01:36.980$ She's been the recipient of many many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:01:37.043 --> 00:01:39.403 awards including early career award

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41{.}763$ achievements by Society for neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}41.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.001$ and exceptionally promising an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:01:44.001 --> 00:01:46.173 investigator through Endocrine Society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.010$ And others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:47.010 \dashrightarrow 00:01:49.085$ and especially Metro Matronic Award

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}01{:}49.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.570$ from Society of Women's Health for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:01:51.570 \rightarrow 00:01:53.515$ Outstanding Research that has led

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:01:53.515 \rightarrow 00:01:56.210$ to the improvement of women's help.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:01:56.210 --> 00:01:58.790 She was recently elected president
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}01{:}58.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.370$ of the international brain research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:02:01.443 \rightarrow 00:02:04.327$ organization and it's my honor to welcome
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:02:04.327 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.337$ doctor bill to give grand rounds here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:02:07.340 --> 00:02:08.540 Thanks so much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:02:08.540 --> 00:02:08.940 Irina,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}02{:}08{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.832$ and thank you again for the invitation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}02{:}11.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.504$ and I guess one of the benefits of Kovid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:02:15.587 --> 00:02:18.611 if there can be any is that we're all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:02:18.611 \dashrightarrow 00:02:21.659$ sort of trapped at home with no travel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}02{:}21.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.204$ which makes availability of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}02{:}23.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.134$ scheduling a little bit easier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:02:25.140 --> 00:02:27.360 I think I really excited to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:02:27.360 --> 00:02:29.400 talk about our data today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}02{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}018$ and I think the Yale Psychiatry audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}02{:}32{.}018$ --> $00{:}02{:}35{.}200$ is just such a tremendous group of broad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:02:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.436$ basic clinical translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}02{:}36{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}496$ Are researchers that makes this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:02:38.500 --> 00:02:41.116 Even more exciting for me to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}02{:}41.116$ --> $00{:}02{:}44.389$ to talk science and the translation of NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:02:44.389 --> 00:02:47.700 potential, I think of the of the science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

00:02:47.700 --> 00:02:50.500 and I'd love to hear your feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}02{:}50{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}562$ So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:02:51.562 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.507$ I also know that because there is such NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}02{:}54{.}507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}195$ a broad background of people on the NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:02:57.195 \dashrightarrow 00:02:59.827$ zoom today that rather than waiting NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:02:59.827 \rightarrow 00:03:02.497$ until the very end with questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:03:02.500 \rightarrow 00:03:04.320$ If there's something that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00{:}03{:}04{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06{.}140$ clarification would make my talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062

 $00:03:06.196 \rightarrow 00:03:08.100$ more digestible or understandable,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:03:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.195$ please don't hesitate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}03{:}09{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11{.}020$ To interrupt just for clarification,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:03:11.020 --> 00:03:13.666 points 'cause I'm happy I'm happy to do that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:03:13.670 \rightarrow 00:03:16.376$ especially for a grand wrong talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- 00:03:16.380 --> 00:03:19.691 The topic I decided to put together
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}03{:}19.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.948$ today encompass is a kind of a wide
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00{:}03{:}22{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25{.}894$ area of the research in my lab and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8092062
- $00:03:25.894 \rightarrow 00:03:28.470$ the common theme is going to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00{:}03{:}28{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30{.}485$ about extracellular vesicles and it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- 00:03:30.485 --> 00:03:32.784 actually a timely topic, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00:03:32.784 \rightarrow 00:03:34.836$ But it's also to me personally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00{:}03{:}34.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.781$ relevant to meaningful to Yale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- 00:03:36.781 --> 00:03:39.157 because the first time I started
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00:03:39.157 \dashrightarrow 00:03:40.991$ talking about these extracellular NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00:03:40.991 \dashrightarrow 00:03:43.271$ vesicles was actually about five NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:03:46.896 --> 00:03:48.249 College of Neuropsychopharmacology. NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00{:}03{:}48.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.155$ Meeting and in that session NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:03:50.155 \rightarrow 00:03:52.475$ actually was was organized by one NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:03:52.475 \rightarrow 00:03:54.539$ of the organizers was Ron Duman, NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:03:54.540 \rightarrow 00:03:56.610$ and we had a great discussion NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 00:03:56.610 -> 00:03:58.444 afterwards and he was really NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:03:58.444 \rightarrow 00:04:01.097$ intrigued and excited by this idea of NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 00:04:01.097 -> 00:04:03.417 these extra set of their vesicles, NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:04:03.420 \rightarrow 00:04:06.220$ and so to me it's very meaningful to NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:04:06.220 \rightarrow 00:04:08.598$ be discussing this with the audience. NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 00:04:08.600 --> 00:04:10.430 Again thinking of Ron missing NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 00:04:10.430 --> 00:04:13.433 him so much so many I know it's NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 00:04:13.433 --> 00:04:14.885 a Yale and psychiatry, NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 $00:04:14.890 \rightarrow 00:04:16.804$ especially their interested in stress as NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525 00:04:16.804 --> 00:04:19.329 it relates to nuro psychiatric disorders, $\overline{7}$

 $00{:}03{:}43{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}896$ years ago at the ACM P the American

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00:04:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.258$ so I hope that you find.

00:04:22.260 --> 00:04:22.890 This talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:22.890 \rightarrow 00:04:24.780$ even though sometimes it might get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.327$ down in the weeds a little bit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}27{.}327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29{.}429$ clinicians to think about the translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:04:29.429 --> 00:04:31.835 of value of thinking about Biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}31.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.375$ and I think great conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:04:33.375 --> 00:04:35.939 to have is is the word biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:35.940 \rightarrow 00:04:38.327$ What does biomarker mean in some cases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:04:38.330 --> 00:04:39.665 Biomarker means indicative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:39.665 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.000$ or associated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:04:41.000 --> 00:04:42.722 But a lot of times biomarkers can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:42.722 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.937$ be pushed a little bit further to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:04:44.937 --> 00:04:46.712 talk about mechanisms that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}46.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}48.278$ biomarkers that are identified

00:04:48.278 --> 00:04:50.193 in many clinical studies actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}50{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}704$ have mechanistic or causal value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:51.704 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.952 2,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}51{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}902$ and I think that there's an incredible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:04:53.902 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.932$ value in partnerships between clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}948$ research and basic research that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}258$ those biomarkers can cross cross that divide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:05:00.260 --> 00:05:00.591 Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}584$ here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}577$ Let's do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:05:02.580 --> 00:05:02.890 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}02.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.750$ so before I get started then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}04.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.634$ because I want to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:06.634 \rightarrow 00:05:08.706$ everybody is on the same page of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.412$ what in the world is she talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:11.412 \rightarrow 00:05:12.933$ about with extracellular vesicles?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- 00:05:12.933 --> 00:05:14.898 I'm going to sprinkle this

 $00:05:14.898 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.940$ in throughout the talk today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.061$ but I wanted to just make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:05:19.061 --> 00:05:21.282 everyone's on the same page as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}21.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.142$ what exercise or vesicles are and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}23.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.296$ that they really do hold great

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}079$ translation of value but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}27.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.635$ reverse translation with potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}28.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.802$ toward understanding mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}528$ and causal aspects of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}31{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33{.}235$ So an extracellular vesicle is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}33.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.365$ broadly termed as a small lipid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}507$ and contain draft that is released.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}628$ It is not a cell itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.130$ but it is lipid contained.

 $00:05:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.625$ That contains many proteins in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}44.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47.120$ its membrane's structure and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}47{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}502$ small noncoding RNA's and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:49.502 \dashrightarrow 00:05:52.430$ proteins that are its cargo inside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}160$ These exercise are vesicles you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:54.160 \dashrightarrow 00:05:56.370$ may have heard them called exomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}512$ Exosomes are a small version of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:05:58.512 \rightarrow 00:05:59.583$ an extracellular vesicle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02{.}358$ so exercise vesicle is a broad term that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}06{:}02.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.600$ encompasses all types of these vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

00:06:04.600 --> 00:06:06.580 Whereas eggs om is only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.540$ type of exercise or vesicle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:08.540 \dashrightarrow 00:06:10.688$ I will abbreviate exercise in vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.838$ often in the slides as Yves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}06{:}12.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.588$ Just you know what that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.552$ And so in order for anybody

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525
- $00:06:17.552 \rightarrow 00:06:19.650$ to really say that something,

 $00:06:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.674$ for instance is an eggs ome versus just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:22.674 \rightarrow 00:06:25.180$ classifying it more generally as an EV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:25.180 \rightarrow 00:06:28.140$ you have to actually go to great lengths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}06{:}28{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30{.}015$ And there are actually societies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00{:}06{:}30.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.890$ and rules that determine the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:31.952 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.352$ clarification and the rigor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719525

 $00:06:33.352 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.452$ by which you have to validate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:06:35.518 --> 00:06:38.094 that you're calling something and eggs om.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:06:38.100 -> 00:06:40.660 So my lab we stay away from really

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:06:40.660 - 00:06:42.764 defining these small types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:06:42.764 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.084$ vesicles versus just more grandly

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:06:45.084 \dashrightarrow 00:06:47.130$ extracellular vesicles for that reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}06{:}47.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.210$ The proteins have been characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}06{:}49{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}370$ greatly in the content of these vesicles.

 $00:06:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.668$ All tissues in all mammals secrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}06{:}54.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.710$ exercise their vesicles into circulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:06:56.710 \dashrightarrow 00:06:59.404$ and these vesicles travel in high

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:06:59.404 \rightarrow 00:07:01.550$ concentration throughout the circulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:01.550 \dashrightarrow 00:07:04.609$ They travel in a somewhat specific manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}930$ I like to use the analogy to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.289$ endocrine system whereby you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:10.290 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.755$ for instance, gonadal release of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}12.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.220$ steroid hormones that travel in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:15.305 \dashrightarrow 00:07:18.155$ circulation and act at distant sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:18.160 \rightarrow 00:07:20.624$ EV's are similar to that only in that NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:07:20.624 --> 00:07:22.685 they travel in circulation to distant NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:22.685 \rightarrow 00:07:25.329$ sites or they can act locally as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:25.330 \dashrightarrow 00:07:27.605$ And I'll give you examples of both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}27.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.590$ But TV's also have an incredible

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:29.590 \rightarrow 00:07:31.200$ specificity of where they act.

 $00:07:31.200 \rightarrow 00:07:33.258$ Unlike things in the endocrine system

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}33.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.128$ that can act in many different issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.223$ The way that that specificity happens is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}38.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.191$ that if you actually look at the membranous

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:41.191 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.201$ structure of an extracellular vesicle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}43.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.155$ there are very specific protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}45.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.100$ combinations that determine both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:47.166 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.224$ tissue that the EV is released from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}49{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}51{.}603$ and the tissue in circulation that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:07:51.603 \rightarrow 00:07:54.540$ will act upon that cargo that they deliver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}54{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56{.}658$ both in interacting at the membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}56.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.812$ at local cells, in a tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}07{:}58.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.632$ Oftentimes, the immune system will

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:08:00.632 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.677$ deliver cargo internally to a cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}08{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.450$ and as you can imagine,

- $00:08:04.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.862$ if that cargo contains,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:05.862 \rightarrow 00:08:06.568$ for instance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00{:}08{:}06{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}581$ small noncoding RNA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00{:}08{:}07{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}940$ Lot of Micro RNA's is an example
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00{:}08{:}10.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.448$ that those micro RNA can have an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00{:}08{:}12.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.959$ immediate and profound effect on the NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00{:}08{:}14.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.279$ translation of Gene and transcription
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:17.279 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.958$ translation machinery such that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- 00:08:18.958 --> 00:08:21.576 the more of a given micro RNA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:21.576 \rightarrow 00:08:23.858$ delivered rapidly to a given cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:23.860 \dashrightarrow 00:08:26.588$ the more rapidly it can degrade a given
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:26.588 \rightarrow 00:08:29.518$ targeted M RNA and prevent its translation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.400$ So it's really important I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.904$ think is a biomarker,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.166$ both Association with many disease states.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- $00:08:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.746$ Some of the greatest examples are that

 $00:08:37.746 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.716$ extracellular vesicles are being discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42{.}134$ as being communication within the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:08:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.184$ As well as released from the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:08:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.948$ but they also travel in circulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:08:45.948 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.120$ from many other tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:08:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.933$ The cancer field has really done the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:08:48.933 --> 00:08:50.930 most work on exercise are vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}657$ and they've done a lot of that work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}08{:}53{.}657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55{.}428$ relationship to signals to the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:08:55.428 \dashrightarrow 00:08:57.960$ system so will kind of come back to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}606$ So that's what an exercise or vesicle is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:00.606 \dashrightarrow 00:09:03.240$ to make sure we're all on the same page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.866$ There is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:09:03.866 --> 00:09:04.179 unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:04.179 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.057$ at this time alack of really

 $00:09:06.057 \rightarrow 00:09:07.758$ rigorous tools and that is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}07{.}758$ --> $00{:}09{:}09{.}093$ that labs are working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.221$ My lab is in the process now

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:11.221 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.660$ of making a mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}12.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.410$ That allows us to conditionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}14.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.579$ target and look at release of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:16.579 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.003$ vesicles from specified tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:09:18.003 - 00:09:20.330 and follow them to other tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}20{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22{.}514$ but those are tools that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:22.514 \rightarrow 00:09:23.606$ currently exist unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}23.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.130$ and that limits a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.630$ interpretation of these Eves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}27.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.066$ These eaves have also been associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00:09:30.066 \rightarrow 00:09:32.065$ with many different disease States

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

 $00{:}09{:}32{.}065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34{.}200$ and will will come back to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276

00:09:34.200 --> 00:09:35.790 So as Irina introduced my

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81225276
- 00:09:35.790 --> 00:09:37.380 lab covers a different areas

00:09:37.444 --> 00:09:40.036 around stress and neuro psychiatric disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:09:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.728$ We focus a lot in my lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:09:42.728 \rightarrow 00:09:44.850$ understanding stress across the lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:09:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.885$ And its impacts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:09:45.885 \rightarrow 00:09:47.265$ especially in neuro development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

00:09:47.270 --> 00:09:49.830 I'm going to tell you a couple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:09:49.830 \dashrightarrow 00:09:52.108$ stories from the lab today that focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}09{:}52{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54{.}133$ a lot on understanding both male

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:09:54.133 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.068$ and female experiences with stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}09{:}56{.}068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}964$ in their environment and adversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}09{:}57{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00{.}112$ One of the aspects, a spec.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:00.112 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.428$ And now that I'm in Baltimore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

00:10:02.430 --> 00:10:04.733 which is a majority black city and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}04{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06{.}877$ engagement with the community that we're

 $00:10:06.877 \rightarrow 00:10:09.067$ very interested in in doing service

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}09{.}067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}304$ and understanding and appreciating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:11.304 \rightarrow 00:10:14.010$ health disparities to this community is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:14.010 \rightarrow 00:10:15.940$ understanding the mental health aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.301$ especially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}467$ As you can imagine now adays and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:18.467 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.436$ understanding how it contributes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:20.436 \rightarrow 00:10:22.084$ intergenerational changes in Nuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}22.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.810$ Development and risk for things like neuro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:24.810 \rightarrow 00:10:26.022$ psychiatric neurodevelopmental disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}26.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.446$ So we know that there's disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:28.446 \rightarrow 00:10:30.299$ across mental health especially for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:30.300 \rightarrow 00:10:33.310$ African Americans that now more than ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}33{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35{.}038$ that racism and discrimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36{.}766$ produced profound effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:36.766 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.469$ exacerbate mental health issues.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- 00:10:38.470 --> 00:10:40.790 But what I want to show you is

 $00:10:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.990$ models and translation of potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.570$ for understanding the long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}45{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47{.}338$ consequences and intergenerational

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}47.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.638$ impacts of that discrimination stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.632$ One of the key aspects that my lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:52.632 \rightarrow 00:10:54.516$ is interested in is really modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}54{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56{.}176$ and understanding impacts on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}10{:}56{.}176$ --> $00{:}10{:}57{.}660$ maternal and infant mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.664$ In the long term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:10:58.664 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.919$ risks of even the survivors

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

00:10:59.919 --> 00:11:01.010 of discrimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}01{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.840$ distress and how it's passed on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}02.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.351$ So as you can see from this graph here

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:05.351 \rightarrow 00:11:08.026$ that that only currently goes up to 2013.

00:11:08.030 --> 00:11:10.142 If you compare a white mom versus a

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}10{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}144$ black mom in the United States that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}12{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}992$ they have a four times increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}13.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16.254$ risk for maternal and infant death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.006$ the bottom panel had just shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}18.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.859$ you within the state of Maryland

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:19.859 \rightarrow 00:11:21.749$ that even though this green line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:21.750 \rightarrow 00:11:24.305$ which is black babies has been decreasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}24{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25{.}646$ That infant mortality rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:25.646 \rightarrow 00:11:27.316$ remain still four times higher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}27{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29{.}245$ so a black mom with an advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}29{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30{.}888$ degree so it advanced education

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}30{.}888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33{.}138$ still has a four times increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}33{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35{.}310$ risk for maternal and infant death

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}35{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}672$ within the first year than a white

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:37.672 \rightarrow 00:11:39.664 \text{ mom with a high school education.}$

- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- 00:11:39.670 --> 00:11:42.070 So it is not just related to social

 $00:11:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.679$ economic that there are many

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}43.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.870$ factors we need to understand in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:45.933 \rightarrow 00:11:48.018$ environment and related to stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}48.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.742$ We're very interested in trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}383$ understand the long term influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:51.383 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.697$ of discrimination stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.698$ So this term has been defined,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00:11:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.450$ brought more broadly in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}56{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57{.}870$ The social sphere is weathering

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}11{:}57{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}59{.}670$ and the toll that racism places

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

00:11:59.670 --> 00:12:01.280 as a social psychological tale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}282$ but also a physiological toll on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}12{:}03.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.600$ body and the germ cells as well that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839502

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}229$ give rise to effects for the next generation.

- 00:12:08.230 --> 00:12:09.133 So Arlene Jeronimus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- 00:12:09.133 --> 00:12:10.036 who's in Michigan,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}10.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.252$ has studied this for many years and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00:12:12.252 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.950$ I give her credit for all of her
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}14.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.050$ are incredible work in this area.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}17.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.928$ And an coining the term weather,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- 00:12:18.930 --> 00:12:19.265 rain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}19.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.275$ and the stresses that impact black
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}21.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.053$ individuals are chronic and repeated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}23.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.848$ throughout their whole life course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00:12:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.125$ And one of the aspects that we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00:12:27.125 \rightarrow 00:12:28.944$ particularly interested in trying to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00:12:28.944 \rightarrow 00:12:30.914$ understand those influences in pregnancy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00:12:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.789$ So many of you have heard the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839502
- $00{:}12{:}32.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.590$ term aces or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825
- $00:12:33.653 \rightarrow 00:12:35.558$ adverse childhood experiences,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825
- $00{:}12{:}35{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}165$ you know that Kaiser Permanente

 $00:12:37.165 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.770$ developed this ace protocol for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:12:38.823 \rightarrow 00:12:40.383$ understanding the effects throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:12:40.383 - > 00:12:42.333 the lifespan on health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}42{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44{.}252$ The original aces were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:12:44.252 \rightarrow 00:12:46.164$ really being the California.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}46{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48{.}706$ So can I ask that every body try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}48.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.455$ mute themselves and getting lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}50{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52{.}543$ of feedback for people in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}52{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54{.}769$ offices were coming up on lunch time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:12:54.770 --> 00:12:56.335 Thank you so adverse childhood

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57{.}900$ experiences as they relate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:12:57.957 --> 00:12:59.417 the Kaiser Permanente study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}800$ which was done in the state of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:13:01.800 --> 00:13:03.689 California which many of you I'm

00:13:03.689 --> 00:13:06.034 sure are very aware of his was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:06.034 \rightarrow 00:13:08.049$ in the largely white population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}08.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.178$ Many of those aces are now being NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:10.178 \rightarrow 00:13:12.232$ redone and evaluated in inner city NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:12.232 \rightarrow 00:13:14.027$ and especially in black populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.685$ but there originally done to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}15.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.009$ determine if adversity experienced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:13:17.010 --> 00:13:18.294 especially prior to adulthood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:13:18.294 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:20.615 could predict risks for all kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}20.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.330$ health disparities in health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}22{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25{.}098$ And so they were really qualified as 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}140$ Adverse childhood experiences and those

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:13:27.140 --> 00:13:29.180 childhood experiences give rise to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}29{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}162$ risk for many things that behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:31.162 \rightarrow 00:13:33.658$ outcomes such as drug abuse and addictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:33.660 \rightarrow 00:13:36.859$ as well as physiological and mental risk.

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}906$ But there are also determined that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}374$ the number of these aces prior to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:13:41.374 --> 00:13:43.690 age of 18 was also very productive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}43.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.622$ and in their study they determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}45{.}622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47{.}933$ that the accumulation of four or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}47{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49{.}538$ of these adverse childhood events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}49{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}165$ which include things like divorce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:51.165 \rightarrow 00:13:52.140$ of your parents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}440$ incarce ration of apparent drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.740$ addiction in the household,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.394$ and violence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:13:55.394 --> 00:13:55.721 neglect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57{.}356$ accumulation of four more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}356$ --> $00{:}13{:}58{.}960$ these aces in an individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:13:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.910$ was a strong predictor of a

 $00:14:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.210$ lifetime of health consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}02{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}218$ So that's the number of the cloud software

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:05.218 \rightarrow 00:14:07.398$ they determined was the highest risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.122$ So going back to the interests of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:09.122 \rightarrow 00:14:10.840$ my lab and trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:14:10.840 --> 00:14:12.682 so we can develop better models NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:12.682 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.337$ to look at the mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.524$ If you just look at the term of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}16{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}954$ pregnancy itself and you were

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:14:17.954 --> 00:14:19.592 to look across the United States

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:14:19.592 --> 00:14:21.470 of America and ask all pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.326$ women the number of their adverse NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:23.326 \rightarrow 00:14:24.906$ childhood events so those exposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:24.906 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.760$ to them before the age of 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.560$ now that they're much older and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.350$ pregnant themselves and you can look

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32{.}205$ at the distribution across the US for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}32.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.973$ those experiencing zero all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:14:33.973 --> 00:14:36.038 up to four more highlighted in yellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:36.038 \rightarrow 00:14:38.166$ is that point of increased health risk? NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.890$ So across the US is about $12 \ 1/2\%$

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.930$ of women who are currently pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.630$ that have experienced for more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:44.630 \rightarrow 00:14:46.034$ But understanding the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:14:46.034 \rightarrow 00:14:48.492$ that we are trying to serve and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}48{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}952$ understand the risk factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}49{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}770$ in the city of Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:14:51.770 --> 00:14:53.735 Arecent Baltimore mom study found

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:14:53.735 --> 00:14:56.091 an actual evaluation of inner city

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}091 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}359$ women here that that number is much

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}14{:}58{.}359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}412$ greater and in fact that six more

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}050$ than 61% of pregnant women in inner NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:15:03.050 --> 00:15:05.150 city Baltimore have experienced four NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}217$ --> $00{:}15{:}07{.}494$ more aces and I think I want to take NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}148$ a second just to reflect on what that NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}15{:}10.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.434$ means in terms of trying to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}15{:}12{.}434$ --> $00{:}15{:}14{.}360$ The experiences and the risk factors NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00:15:14.413 \rightarrow 00:15:16.369$ for these women during their pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

 $00{:}15{:}16{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18{.}455$ for both themselves and as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.83556825

00:15:18.455 --> 00:15:20.149 that of the health and the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:15:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.598$ for their their baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}15{:}21.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.408$ and I think that's incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}15{:}23.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.263$ important for us to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:15:25.263 \rightarrow 00:15:27.110$ in terms of the developing brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}15{:}27.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.020$ So back to the translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:15:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.548$ of potential and biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:15:30.548 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.356$ signals that we want to study.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:15:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.240$ So on the left is a schematic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}34{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36{.}318$ from a recent review from Rachel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}36{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}634$ Yehuda's group that I think highlights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:15:38.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.192$ on the human side reflects the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:15:41.192 --> 00:15:42.856 differences across adverse experiences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}42.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.960$ that gives rise and influences on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:15:44.960 \rightarrow 00:15:47.060$ things like the gametes, the cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.160$ the cells that come together at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:15:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.521$ fertilization, egg and sperm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:15:50.521 --> 00:15:52.880 The Neo Nate itself in the developing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}52{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}936$ in fant and all of the experiences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}54{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}077$ of mom during her pregnancy prior
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}15{:}57{.}077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}709$ to her pregnancy and giving rise to NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:15:59.709 \rightarrow 00:16:01.700$ health risks and resiliency as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.823$ for the 1st and next generations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:03.823 \rightarrow 00:16:06.018$ is important to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}324$ It is very difficult in a human and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:08.324 \rightarrow 00:16:10.130$ there really is relatively little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:10.130 \rightarrow 00:16:12.860$ evidence at the mechanistic or causal level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.205$ Especially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}13.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.930$ we're thinking about germ cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:14.930 \dashrightarrow 00:16:17.015$ of what really contributes to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}17.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.327$ differences in brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

00:16:18.330 --> 00:16:20.654 We really have to look at models

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}20.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}23.054$ and largely we have to use again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}23.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.046$ mice and rats and other other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}25{.}123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27{.}545$ models to think about how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}27.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.899$ mimic stress and adversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:28.899 \rightarrow 00:16:31.062$ While of course never being able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00{:}16{:}31.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33.215$ fully model that adverse environment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

 $00:16:33.215 \rightarrow 00:16:35.423$ that someone of color might experience,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.220$ especially in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:16:36.220 --> 00:16:38.590 In inner cities such as Baltimore,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.942$ but in order for us to really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:40.942 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.910$ get at these mechanisms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:16:42.910 --> 00:16:44.875 even going back to thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:44.875 \rightarrow 00:16:46.447$ about the extracellular vesicles,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.180$ we really have to begin to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}16{:}49{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}457$ both the risks and the changes in germ
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:52.457 \rightarrow 00:16:55.090$ cells related to Dadan related to mom,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:16:55.090 --> 00:16:56.086 mom's preconception,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:16:56.086 --> 00:16:56.584 environment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:16:56.584 \rightarrow 00:16:58.576$ pregnancy environment itself and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:16:58.576 --> 00:17:00.476 postpartum environment are all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:00.476 --> 00:17:01.888 encompassing in disease risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:01.890 \rightarrow 00:17:04.417$ So this is a very sensitive subject
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

- $00:17:04.417 \rightarrow 00:17:05.500$ in getting into.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.460$ How do we develop models in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}07{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}730$ mouse to begin to understand those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:09.730 \rightarrow 00:17:12.000$ mechanisms of something so important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.083$ such as discrimination,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}13.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.249$ stress or high aces and adversity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:15.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.654$ So obviously we can't.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}16.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.212$ Mice are not humans and they do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:19.212 --> 00:17:21.456 not live in those same experiences,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.860$ but what we can do is we can begin to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.140$ appreciate the stress axis itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:26.140 \rightarrow 00:17:28.317$ The responses to stress in the environment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:28.320 \rightarrow 00:17:30.497$ whether it be acute or chronic effects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.060$ and begin to understand things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:32.060 \rightarrow 00:17:33.620$ at the very cellular level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:33.620 --> 00:17:35.804 and I think that's an important topic,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.370$ especially for a grand rounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.930$ Is that what is stress?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.490$ So it is psychiatric level
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}40{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42{.}050$ are relative to the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:42.050 --> 00:17:43.610 The perception of stress can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.858$ be psychological in nature,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.420$ can be physical in nature,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:46.420 --> 00:17:47.864 you're hungry, you're cold,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}47.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.947$ those are stresses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:48.950 --> 00:17:50.475 But you're you're feeling chronic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}50.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.340$ stress at work or at home,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:17:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.875$ covid within the black lives
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:17:53.875 --> 00:17:54.489 matter community.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}54.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.250$ Those are all stresses and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}17{:}56{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58{.}590$ they can be chronic in nature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406

- $00:17:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.720$ But we also have to remember
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}18{:}00{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}140$ that the cell itself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:18:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.335$ whether it be in neuron or a germ cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00:18:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.756$ as somatic cell lining
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}18{:}06.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07.818$ the reproductive track,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}18{:}07{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}678$ is an example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}18{:}08{.}678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10{.}394$ We'll come back to that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:18:10.394 --> 00:18:12.061 cell itself doesn't understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- 00:18:12.061 --> 00:18:14.251 it's not connected directly to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8854406
- $00{:}18{:}14{.}251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15{.}989$ the perceptions of the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.850$ So how do we model biologically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:17.850 \rightarrow 00:18:19.890$ the stresses in the environment?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00{:}18{:}19{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}787$ The minimal aspect of this and Jane
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- 00:18:21.787 --> 00:18:23.590 Taylor's work has contributed tremendously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:23.590 \rightarrow 00:18:25.930$ to thinking just about glucocorticoids.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00{:}18{:}25{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}930$ You can't have stress without

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.730$ elevating glucocorticoids.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- 00:18:28.730 --> 00:18:30.686 But there are many other aspects.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.315$ Of course with stress that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:32.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.290$ are beyond glucocorticoids.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.246$ But at the very cellular level,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.880$ the perception of a cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:36.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.836$ whether it be a liver cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.465$ whether it be a reproductive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- 00:18:40.465 --> 00:18:41.765 cell along reproductive tract,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00:18:41.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.726$ or whether it be in neuron,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00{:}18{:}43.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.608$ the perception of stress in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00{:}18{:}45{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}207$ environment minimally has to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00{:}18{:}47.207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.355$ an elevation in glucocorticoids
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- 00:18:48.355 --> 00:18:50.215 that can be acute or chronic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- $00{:}18{:}50{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}411$ in nature and those will change
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:18:52.411 \rightarrow 00:18:53.509$ your intracellular structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}510$ --> $00{:}18{:}55{.}652$ And I'm going to give credit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}18{:}55{.}652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}681$ Bruce McEwen as well for his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}18{:}57.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.763$ incredible career work on the term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}18{:}59{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}656$ Allostasis, which will come back to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}02.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04.562$ But the perception of the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}04.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.592$ of stress of what stress is is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:07.592 \rightarrow 00:19:09.280$ change in glucocorticoids minimally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}09{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11{.}422$ And I'm also going to highlight that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13{.}199$ that change in glucocorticoids is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:19:13.199
 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:19:15.575 really important for the cell when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:15.575 \rightarrow 00:19:18.120$ it's outside the normal circadian rhythm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}18{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20{.}388$ So the Google Corticoids in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}379$ mammals rise and fall in a 24 hour

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:23.379 \rightarrow 00:19:25.479$ cycle that happens every single day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.688$ The cells are aligned in their

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784
- 00:19:27.688 --> 00:19:29.160 r
hythm by those glucocorticoids.

00:19:29.160 --> 00:19:31.778 So really to model stress is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:19:31.778 --> 00:19:32.900 just elevating glucocorticoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:19:32.900 --> 00:19:34.580 Outside that normal rhythm, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}34{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36{.}512$ That's where about so at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:36.512 \rightarrow 00:19:38.260$ at the most cellular level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:19:38.260 --> 00:19:41.149 so I'm going to give you an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}41{.}149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44{.}000$ some of our work of how we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.640$ this at the in terms of pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:19:46.640 --> 00:19:47.678 So moms experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:47.678 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.408$ whether it be preconception or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:19:49.408 --> 00:19:50.832 during pregnancy are manifested

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:50.832 \rightarrow 00:19:52.512$ in intersections at very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}19{:}52{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54{.}511$ issue that gives rise to signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:54.511 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.066$ to the developing embryo and

00:19:56.066 --> 00:19:57.024 fet us throughout gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:57.024 \rightarrow 00:19:59.028$ and that tissue is the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:19:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.074$ so the Internet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}510$ Action of maternal stress and that again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:02.579 \rightarrow 00:20:05.204$ that stress can be psychological in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}05{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}000$ That stress can be changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}07{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}432$ in her diabetic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.570$ That stress can be many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}10.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.422$ factors that influence her perception

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}12{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14{.}654$ and maintenance of homeostasis so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:20:14.654 --> 00:20:17.185 know I'm giving you example here of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:20:17.185 --> 00:20:18.870 showing you that that intersection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:20:18.870 --> 00:20:21.010 of the maternal endometrium and moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:21.010 \rightarrow 00:20:22.810$ cells that have been experiencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25{.}032$ moms life adversity at that first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:25.032 \rightarrow 00:20:26.472$ intersection where the blastocyst

 $00:20:26.534 \rightarrow 00:20:28.598$ these purple cells outlined here are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:28.598 \rightarrow 00:20:30.796$ the trophectoderm that will give rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:30.796 \rightarrow 00:20:33.244$ to trophoblast cells of the Placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:33.250 \rightarrow 00:20:35.620$ And the inner cell mass here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}35.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.189$ which will give rise to the Amber

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:38.189 \rightarrow 00:20:40.359$ developing embryo and eventual fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.260$ These cells are feeding information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}42.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.160$ from moms environment and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}44.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.157$ programming themselves that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}46.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.089$ be remained throughout pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}48.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.780$ These this trophectoderm Here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}49.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.470$ gives rise to the trophoblast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:20:51.470 \rightarrow 00:20:53.190$ will differentiate into all necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}53.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.859$ cells of the Placenta as it invades moms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}860$ --> $00{:}20{:}58{.}170$ and Dmitri 'em and develop developing NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

40

 $00:20:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.812$ the decidua that gives rise to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}21{:}00{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}870$ the different cells of the Placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:21:02.870 --> 00:21:03.530 So why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}21{:}03{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}510$ in a psychiatric psychiatry grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00:21:05.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.850$ are we talking about the placenta?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}21{:}07.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.698$ Well then to is an ender can tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:21:10.700 --> 00:21:11.753 It is critical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

00:21:11.753 --> 00:21:13.157 obviously for survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8434784

 $00{:}21{:}13.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.210$ development of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:21:14.274 \rightarrow 00:21:16.036$ fetus, the trans placental signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}16.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.806$ coming from the placenta are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:21:17.806 \rightarrow 00:21:19.598$ intersecting with that developing fetus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.104$ including the developing brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}23{.}360$ It's going to feed that developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}23{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25{.}515$ fet us all the information about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:21:25.515 --> 00:21:28.291 environment it's about to be born into and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:21:28.291 \rightarrow 00:21:30.277$ throughout the course of pregnancy first,

00:21:30.280 --> 00:21:31.393 second trimesters especially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}31{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}619$ But even into the 3rd trimester.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:21:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.012$ The Placenta provides all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}35.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.404$ the necessary growth factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}36{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}802$ oxygen support and information

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:21:37.802 \rightarrow 00:21:39.542$ that the developing brain needs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:21:39.550 \rightarrow 00:21:42.000$ but it also shapes the developing brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:21:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.436$ So if there's changes in moms stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:21:44.436 --> 00:21:45.486 environment, her glucocorticoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:21:45.486 --> 00:21:47.226 levels are going to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}47{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}360$ That's going to intersect at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51{.}770$ level of the Placenta as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}21{:}51{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}206$ but also of her energy availability changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:21:54.206 --> 00:21:56.309 because of diabetes because of famine,

- $00:21:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.110$ those those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00{:}21{:}57{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}510$ Those clues are also really important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:21:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.770$ for the developing placenta.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.562$ OK, so the way that we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:03.562 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.933$ thought about this in modeling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00{:}22{:}04{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}837$ over the last decade in the lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.370$ this is just giving you an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.780$ example of a rodent model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00{:}22{:}09{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}649$ Here you have F0, which is mom.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00{:}22{:}11.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.980$ You can model this in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.044$ terms of maternal stress,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:14.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.550$ which we've studied in the lab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- 00:22:15.550 --> 00:22:17.518 and I'm going to talk about today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- 00:22:17.520 --> 00:22:18.860 We've also manipulated mom's diet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:18.860 \rightarrow 00:22:20.390$ which I'm not going to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:22:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.800$ time to talk about today,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00{:}22{:}21.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.396$ so that's the F zero generation.

 $00{:}22{:}23.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.528$ Just stating in mom is the F1 generation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26{.}805$ so these are largely somatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:26.805 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.690$ cells as well as germ cells which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.340$ give rise to the F2 generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.279$ So those are the primordial germ cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:22:32.280 --> 00:22:34.398 Those are also programmed during gestation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.605$ so you can imagine a scenario where

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:22:36.605 --> 00:22:38.630 moms stress in her environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:38.630 \rightarrow 00:22:40.748$ be it preconception or during pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.898$ changes in her diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}22{:}41.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.098$ give rise to changes in a developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:44.098 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.158$ placenta that will guide and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}22{:}46.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47.806$ shape these somatic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.575$ putting within a range of

 $00:22:49.575 \rightarrow 00:22:50.634$ risk and resiliency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:22:50.640 --> 00:22:52.400 Because of course you're on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:22:52.400 --> 00:22:53.808 a genetic background here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:22:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.784$ so this is again goes back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}22{:}55{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58{.}049$ RGB Y your genetic background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}22{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.952$ That's mom and dad contributes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}409$ as well as the environment this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.774$ Is a very specific timing window

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}04{.}774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}350$ of a shaping environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}06{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09{.}462$ but it also shapes a lot about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:09.462 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.493$ primordial germ cells that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}11{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13{.}839$ give rise to a next generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.964$ This is intergenerational future

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}15{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18{.}619$ generations make it trans generational.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:23:18.620 --> 00:23:21.044 So I don't have time to go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:21.044 \rightarrow 00:23:23.211$ how this model has developed over

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635
- $00:23:23.211 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.437$ the last 10 to 15 years.

00:23:25.440 --> 00:23:27.827 All of this work has been published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:23:27.830 --> 00:23:29.870 but let me just quickly summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.580$ we developed a mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.285$ We're really wanted to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34{.}990$ chronic stress in moms environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:23:34.990 --> 00:23:35.648 During pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}35{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}293$ we identified a particular window

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:23:37.293 --> 00:23:39.080 which is basically early pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}257$ the equivalent in the human of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}41{.}257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42{.}877$ 1st trimester where moms experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}42.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.054$ with stress in a chronic manner out NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

00:23:45.054 --> 00:23:47.485 of sync with her normal circadian

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}47.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.718$ rhythm produced offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.610$ Where the male offspring because

 $00:23:50.610 \rightarrow 00:23:52.910$ they were doing this in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}820$ so they have a litter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:23:54.820 \rightarrow 00:23:57.480$ were able to study sex differences here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}145$ This in Utoro stress provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}23{:}59{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}301$ male offspring but not her female

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}24{:}01{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03{.}441$ offspring with changes in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}24{:}03{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05{.}153$ adult behavioral stress responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00:24:05.219 \rightarrow 00:24:07.433$ as they grow and develop changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.84614635

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}909$ in their physiological stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}815$ axis. Changes in their stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:24:10.815 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.720$ regulatory genes in their brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:24:12.720 \rightarrow 00:24:14.630$ cognitive learning and memory deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:24:14.630 \rightarrow 00:24:17.012$ as well as some really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:24:17.012 --> 00:24:18.203 neuroendocrine phenotypes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:24:18.203 \rightarrow 00:24:20.050$ their weight gain and changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:24:20.050 --> 00:24:22.156 Post puberty, so again to summarize,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:24:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.920$ this is all published work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}23{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}448$ It is in male offspring of the moms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:24:26.448 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.822$ that were stressed, not female.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:24:28.822 \rightarrow 00:24:30.886$ Why is this relevant?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}30.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33.728$ Because we know that most neurodevelopmental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}33.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36.857$ disorders in humans also show a male bias.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- 00:24:36.860 --> 00:24:38.564 So autism, early onset,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- 00:24:38.564 --> 00:24:40.678 schizophrenia, oh oh, CD, etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- 00:24:40.678 --> 00:24:42.366 Most nuro psychiatric disorders
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- 00:24:42.366 --> 00:24:44.132 related to neuro developmental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}44{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}079$ timing tend to show a male bias.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.796$ There seems to be something in utoro
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:24:49.796 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.556$ that is either extra at risk for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}52{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}836$ males that are in development or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:24:54.915 \rightarrow 00:24:57.730$ resilient or preventative in females,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

- $00:24:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.350$ or both.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00{:}24{:}58{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}304$ We know that if you go into a Nick
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:25:01.304 \rightarrow 00:25:04.020$ you any necu nurse will tell you.

 $00:25:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.500$ They often see more males

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06{.}980$ in the neque than females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}792$ Females tend to be more resilient

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:08.792 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.529$ going home earlier than males do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}10.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.721$ and that there's again seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:25:12.721 --> 00:25:14.380 this protective effect of in utero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:14.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.310$ Insults or females are protected

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}16{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18{.}240$ in males are at risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}18{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20{.}248$ So in modeling that one of the genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}20{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22{.}324$ that we have identified looking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}22{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}209$ the placenta is potentially this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:24.209 \rightarrow 00:25:26.367$ tissue that is protected for females,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:26.370 \rightarrow 00:25:28.164$ we identified 1 gene through many

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- 00:25:28.164 --> 00:25:29.061 different transcriptomic approaches

00:25:29.061 --> 00:25:30.589 called OG tierro glycosyltransferase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:25:30.590 - 00:25:31.890 This is an enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.515$ it's an X linked gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.864$ I'm not going to go into all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:35.864 \rightarrow 00:25:38.069$ work of how we identified it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}38.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}40.318$ but it turned out to be what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}40{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42{.}429$ defined as sort of a Canary in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}42{.}429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44{.}743$ the coal mine gene that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46{.}663$ placenta in the trophoblast cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:46.663 \rightarrow 00:25:48.225$ coming from the developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:25:48.225 --> 00:25:50.250 Embryo that this X linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51{.}870$ Gene Escapes X inactivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}770$ meaning in female placental tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:25:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.056$ So if it's a female fetus,

 $00:25:56.060 \rightarrow 00:25:59.276$ that placenta that is developed out of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}537$ embryo has more of GT than a male placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.440$ So in the rodent world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.656$ because we have litters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}480$ we can look at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}07{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}956$ intrauterine environment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:08.956 --> 00:26:11.296 look at sex specific outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:11.300 \rightarrow 00:26:13.586$ Why is this gene so interesting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:13.590 --> 00:26:13.980 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:13.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.150$ for many reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.259$ one of which is if you were to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.366$ Postulate what gene could produce hosts

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:20.366 \rightarrow 00:26:22.496$ of effects very quickly that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:22.496 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.166$ be relevant evolutionarily to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}24.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.399$ in terms of the developing brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:26.400 --> 00:26:27.780 You would say, Well,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183
- $00:26:27.780 \rightarrow 00:26:29.850$ something related to energy availability in

 $00{:}26{:}29{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}070$ the environment would be very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:32.070 --> 00:26:32.949 Turns out oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:32.949 \rightarrow 00:26:35.000$ GT is an enzyme is regulated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:35.066 \dashrightarrow 00:26:37.018$ glucose and mom's environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}37.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.012$ It's also associated with hosts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:39.012 \rightarrow 00:26:40.779$ effects that are really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:40.779 \rightarrow 00:26:43.035$ for the developing embryo and fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:43.040 --> 00:26:44.810 It is strong epigenetic regulator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:26:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.226$ so it allows it to regulate at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}47{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49{.}241$ level of the trophoblast cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:49.241 --> 00:26:50.576 in the Placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}50{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}445$ Very dynamically responses to moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}310$ environment and for those interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}54{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55{.}675$ in sex differences in outcomes

 $00:26:55.675 \rightarrow 00:26:57.729$ this gene is on the X chromosome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

00:26:57.730 --> 00:26:59.386 and in fact it's located very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}785$ close to the long non coding RNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00:27:01.785 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.607$ exist biochemically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}27{:}02.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.590$ There are interactions of these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}27{:}04.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.590$ genes that may provide again this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83360183

 $00{:}27{:}06.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.054$ extra resilience that will come back to for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:09.111 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.080$ females in Utoro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}10.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.336$ This is all the boring biochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}12.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.699$ than required by law to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:27:14.699 --> 00:27:17.246 that oh GT not only its RNA but its

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:17.246 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.500$ protein levels twice as high in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.720$ female placenta in a male placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.722$ The data I'm showing you here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}23.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.438$ all from mouse, but we've replicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:25.438 \rightarrow 00:27:27.440$ this all in human placenta as well,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:27:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.784$ so it escapes X inactivation twice as high

 $00:27:29.784 \rightarrow 00:27:32.620$ in a female placenta compared to a male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.980$ And as an enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}33{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36{.}506$ what one of the things that Ogede

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}36.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.912$ Duzer by its effects on regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:38.912 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.179$ is it places a sugar mark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:27:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.664$ an oblique inoculation mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}42.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.148$ on Syrian three nine residues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}44.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.566$ So you can think of any of your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:27:46.566 --> 00:27:48.463 favorite enzymes or other proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}48.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.508$ that are regulated by phosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:27:50.508 --> 00:27:51.960 on Syrian training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}578$ and chances are it competes with old

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}54.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.168$ Lincoln alkylation and the take home message.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59{.}252$ Here is that typically Algonac olation

00:27:59.252 --> 00:28:02.378 is a break or phosphorylation is a gas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.977$ so the regulation of hundreds of proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:28:04.980 --> 00:28:06.054 Bio Glick Inoculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:06.054 \rightarrow 00:28:08.202$ Is what I'm showing you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}08{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}220$ If you take out the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.564$ either this is mouse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}11.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.580$ same results in a human placenta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:13.580 \rightarrow 00:28:15.260$ There is way more protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:28:15.260 --> 00:28:16.940 oblique inoculation in a female

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.620$ placenta compared to a male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.378$ and that's important because it says

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}20{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22{.}990$ not only are its protein levels important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}22{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}482$ but it's what it's doing is similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}787$ regulated and what that says is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:27.787 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.303$ the ability to break is much higher in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:30.303 \rightarrow 00:28:32.725$ a female placenta than a male placenta.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:28:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.002$ By this biochemical mark.

 $00:28:34.002 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.910$ So our current model is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:35.978 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.510$ that there's a threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:37.510 \rightarrow 00:28:39.967$ A vulnerability here where if you compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:39.967 \rightarrow 00:28:41.856$ a control versus early prenatally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}41.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.791$ stressed within females and males

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}43.791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.258$ coming out of the same uterus so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:28:46.258 --> 00:28:48.499 can take out a male placenta in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}48.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50.497$ female presenter from the same uterus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:28:50.500 \rightarrow 00:28:52.929$ the same experience and show you that NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}52{.}929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}349$ the levels protein and M RNA levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}317$ and Oakley can alkylation itself are NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}388$ --> $00{:}28{:}59{.}558$ much higher in the female and even NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}834$ though they are all affected by this NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:29:01.834 \rightarrow 00:29:03.850$ stress that the male presented drops NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
00:29:05.738 --> 00:29:08.232 where by its actions the break being
NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
00:29:08.232 --> 00:29:10.640 placed on it is now released such that.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
00:29:10.640 --> 00:29:12.488 The male placenta is going to
NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:29:03.913 \rightarrow 00:29:05.738$ below some threshold of vulnerability

00:29:12.488 --> 00:29:14.072 dynamically respond to changes in

v v I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}29{:}14.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.562$ moms environment where the female NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:29:15.562 --> 00:29:17.320 placenta is much more resilient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:29:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.910$ and that's important for functionality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}29{:}18{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21{.}142$ One of the things locally if we dig

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}29{:}21.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23.445$ way down in the weeds for those who NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

00:29:23.445 --> 00:29:26.218 don't spend a lot of time thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}29{:}26.218$ --> $00{:}29{:}27.805$ about transcriptional regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}29{:}27.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.442$ One of the things that this important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:29:30.442 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.041$ enzyme does broadly is it regulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00{:}29{:}33.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.445$ dynamically the transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124

 $00:29:34.445 \rightarrow 00:29:36.850$ and epigenetic state of a cell.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00{:}29{:}36.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.287$ So GT is a stabilizer of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:40.287 \rightarrow 00:29:42.120$ methyltransferase called Easy H2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:42.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.773$ All you need to take home message
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:44.773 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.819$ from this is that easy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00{:}29{:}46.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.160$ H2 is a predominant methyltransferase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.231$ for histone three at Leising 27 so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00{:}29{:}52{.}231 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54{.}187$ that take home messages if there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:54.187 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.838$ is more of GT around,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- 00:29:55.840 --> 00:29:57.795 it will stabilize more of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:57.795 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.577$ this methyltransferase,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:29:58.580 \rightarrow 00:30:00.164$ resulting ultimately within the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:30:00.164 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.790$ Now of more histone three lysine 27,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00:30:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.155$ what's called Trimethylation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337124
- $00{:}30{:}04{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}340$ and that mark can be shown here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:30:07.421 \rightarrow 00:30:09.833$ by where if there is more of GT in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:09.833 \rightarrow 00:30:12.242$ a female cell compared to a males

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:12.242 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.368$ male cell of a placenta, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:30:14.368 --> 00:30:15.116 Female placenta?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}15.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.986$ Male placenta comparison that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:16.986 \rightarrow 00:30:19.027$ should see more of this trimethylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}19{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21{.}557$ and in fact we do again both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.360$ This is actually from mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}23{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25{.}614$ We see the same effect within human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}25{.}614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27{.}700$ placenta in a female placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.668$ you see way more of the H3K27

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}30{.}668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{.}228$ trimethylation than you do of the Mail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}33{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}126$ And I'm going to go back to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:36.126 \rightarrow 00:30:38.499$ that same take home message,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}38{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}40{.}380$ which is more oblique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.790$ inoculation more trimethylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.200$ is more break.

 $00:30:43.200 \rightarrow 00:30:45.720$ What that break allows is it allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.659$ the female placenta to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:47.659 \dashrightarrow 00:30:50.017$ titrate its responses to an ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:50.017 \rightarrow 00:30:51.660$ changing maternal environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}51.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54.174$ Mails missing that break are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}30{:}54{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56{.}303$ vulnerable because they're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:56.303 \rightarrow 00:30:58.625$ respond there percent is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:30:58.625 \rightarrow 00:31:00.615$ constantly be responding to moms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:31:00.615 --> 00:31:02.543 stress or dietary challenge, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:31:02.543 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.155$ Producing hosts of differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:31:04.155 --> 00:31:05.767 in the transplacental signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}31{:}05{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}188$ making it to the developing brains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}31{:}08{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11{.}270$ developing fetal and fetal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:31:11.270 --> 00:31:12.926 So I'm just going to show you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:31:12.926 --> 00:31:14.513 couple of quick pieces of evidence

- $00:31:14.513 \rightarrow 00:31:15.913$ of why this is important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:31:15.920 --> 00:31:16.271 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:16.271 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.728$ so this is showing you if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:18.728 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.270$ just look at just broadly at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}21{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}23{.}455$ mid gestation of a placenta.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}23{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25{.}434$ And we look at sex differences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}25{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26{.}750$ just broadly in transcription
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:26.811 \rightarrow 00:31:28.126$ that are related to OGT.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:31:28.130 --> 00:31:29.985 So what I'm showing you here for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:31:29.985 --> 00:31:32.639 those again that don't do a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}32{.}639 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}716$ transcriptional regulation studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:33.720 \rightarrow 00:31:35.897$ This is from an RNA sequencing experiment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.742$ This is just asking all genes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:31:37.742 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:31:39.933 expressed in a female placenta at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}39{.}933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.040$ same time point as a male placenta
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:42.100 \rightarrow 00:31:44.291$ from the same uterus and looking at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}44{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45{.}934$ all genes widely being expressed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:45.934 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.750$ So this is plotted here on the Y
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:48.822 \rightarrow 00:31:51.062$ axis is showing you at least in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:51.062 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.775 \log 2$ fold change on the Y axis so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:31:53.780 --> 00:31:54.893 Increased gene expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}31{:}54.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.006$ decreased gene expression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:31:56.010 -> 00:31:58.236 The X axis is showing you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:58.236 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.720$ the level of expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:31:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.200$ so really highly expressed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:32:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.680$ genes are out here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}32{:}02{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05{.}590$ Lowly expressed genes are in here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- 00:32:05.590 --> 00:32:09.286 A red dot is showing you a robust,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:32:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.218$ significant difference
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}32{:}10{.}218$ --> $00{:}32{:}12{.}538$ between a male and female,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00{:}32{:}12{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14{.}820$ both increased and decreased gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:14.820 \rightarrow 00:32:17.630$ expression at this one time point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:32:17.630 --> 00:32:18.048 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:18.048 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.974$ if oh GT is important for titrating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:20.974 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.179$ responses to the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.012$ If we are able to transcriptionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}32{:}26.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.667$ genetically alter of GT in a female placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:32:29.670 --> 00:32:31.518 making her hemizygous meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:32:31.518 --> 00:32:33.828 her level of oh GT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:33.830 \dashrightarrow 00:32:36.220$ because now we're eliminating 1X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:32:36.220 --> 00:32:37.452 Of Oh GT expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:37.452 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.765$ so her levels in a heavy zigas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:39.765 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.105$ environment should be very similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}32{:}42.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.925$ the levels of oh GT in a normal male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:44.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.462$ Now what happens to those differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:47.462 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.150$ between males and females?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:49.150 \rightarrow 00:32:50.840$ They are almost completely eliminated,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147
- $00:32:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.101$ and in fact the jeans that remain

00:32:53.101 --> 00:32:54.910 here that are significantly different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:54.910 \dashrightarrow 00:32:58.540$ between the two or either X or Y linked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:32:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.194$ Suggesting again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}32{:}59{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01{.}156$ those are not going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:33:01.156 \rightarrow 00:33:03.129$ affected by the OG transcription.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:33:03.130 --> 00:33:03.818 This evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

00:33:03.818 --> 00:33:05.882 the take home message here being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:33:05.882 \dashrightarrow 00:33:08.043$ this one gene that we identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}33{:}08.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.149$ shows you as a candidate approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00:33:10.211 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.961$ that is widely important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}33{:}11{.}961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13{.}711$ what happens in the Placenta

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}33{:}13.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.072$ and then altering all of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017147

 $00{:}33{:}16.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17.640$ differences in the transplacental

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}33{:}17.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.982$ signals that are going to happen being

 $00:33:19.982 \rightarrow 00:33:22.429$ relayed to the developing fetal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:33:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.740$ Where's our evidence of that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:33:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.798$ What I'm showing you here is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:33:26.798 --> 00:33:28.390 an RNA sequencing experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:33:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.050$ This is these are heatmaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:33:30.050 \dashrightarrow 00:33:31.710$ These are the identical brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:33:31.710 -> 00:33:33.066 From those placentas whoops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:33:33.066 - 00:33:34.422 sorry from these placentas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36{.}028$ that I just showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}33{:}36{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}254$ So if we take out the fetal brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:33:38.254 --> 00:33:40.265 from the same midpoint of gestation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}33{:}40.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.789$ and we now examine it for gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:33:42.789 - > 00:33:44.661 expression in the brain, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:33:44.661 -> 00:33:46.647 we already know in all mammals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}33{:}46.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.519$ mice, and humans that at any given

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:33:48.519 \rightarrow 00:33:50.121$ time point in brain development

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- 00:33:50.121 --> 00:33:51.981 or fetal development in general
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00{:}33{:}51{.}981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}977$ because males and females develop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- 00:33:53.977 -> 00:33:55.609 it slightly different rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:33:55.610 \rightarrow 00:33:57.085$ Likely accounting for differences that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:33:57.085 \rightarrow 00:33:59.260$ we're seeing here in gene transcription.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:33:59.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.290$ OK, so this is the The Geno
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.910$ type of the placenta.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- 00:34:02.910 --> 00:34:04.238 That transcription I'm showing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:04.238 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.230$ you here is of the brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00{:}34{:}06{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}568$ so a normal female brain had mid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:08.568 \rightarrow 00:34:10.880$ gestation compared to a normal male brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00{:}34{:}10.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.540$ Same pot, same time point.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.033$ You can see that a lot of the jeans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:15.033 \rightarrow 00:34:17.190$ that are really elevated right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:17.190 \dashrightarrow 00:34:19.846$ So each column here is a different brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.836$ Each row is a different gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}21.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.488$ OK so this is this is RNA sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:24.490 \rightarrow 00:34:26.770$ What this is showing you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:34:26.770 --> 00:34:27.010 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}27.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28.930$ is that if we examine this by looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}28{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30{.}781$ at the effects in a normal female

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}30{.}781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32{.}498$ versus a normal male jeans that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:32.498 \rightarrow 00:34:34.053$ are ultimately highly expressed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}34{.}053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35{.}962$ this time point in a female are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}35{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}772$ not so highly expressed in a male

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}37{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39{.}530$ and jeans that are lowly expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}39{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}019$ in the female brain are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:34:41.020 --> 00:34:41.288 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:41.288 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.164$ this is the hypothalamus of the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:43.164 \rightarrow 00:34:45.130$ are more highly expressed in a male.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:34:45.130 - 00:34:46.738 The take home message here is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:34:46.738 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.140$ that we already know this.

 $00:34:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.556$ We know that even before birth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:49.556 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.229$ the male and female brain are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:51.229 \rightarrow 00:34:52.249$ in different trajectories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.972$ But if we now alter the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}34{:}53{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55{.}809$ of oh GT in the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:55.810 \rightarrow 00:34:57.260$ leaving the brain totally normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:34:57.260 \rightarrow 00:35:00.125$ Trance. Altering transplacental signals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:00.125 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.135$ What does her brain look like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}02{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04{.}956$ And I think you can see here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:35:04.956 --> 00:35:06.723 ultimately you're seeing is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:35:06.723 --> 00:35:09.040 this female brain were not her OG

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:35:09.113 --> 00:35:10.973 T levels in Placenta are similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}10{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13{.}616$ to that of a male, not a female.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:35:13.616 --> 00:35:15.710 Her brain becomes more male like

 $00:35:15.787 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.936$ and that tells us is that these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:35:17.940 --> 00:35:18.640 oh GTO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:18.640 \rightarrow 00:35:20.390$ Glick inoculated proteins are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:20.390 \rightarrow 00:35:21.799$ important for transplacental signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:21.799 \rightarrow 00:35:23.647$ guiding the development of the fetus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.330$ and no doubt the rate of the fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.266$ development, and that no doubt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:28.266 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.261$ is important for risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}30{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31{.}360$ neurodevelopmental disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:31.360 \rightarrow 00:35:33.165$ Ultimate thing we've been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:33.165 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.662$ on for the past decade that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}36{.}910$ keep sort of running,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

00:35:36.910 --> 00:35:38.986 beating our heads against the wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}38{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41{.}078$ trying to figure out is OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:41.080 \rightarrow 00:35:42.810$ What are these transplacental signals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:42.810 \rightarrow 00:35:44.748$ We've identified many host of effects

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228
- $00:35:44.748 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.319$ at the level of the placenta itself.

 $00:35:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.408$ We've identified even many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:49.408 \rightarrow 00:35:50.452$ transplacental potential signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:50.452 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.490$ from the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:51.490 \dashrightarrow 00:35:53.919$ but it's really difficult to get at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}53{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}55{.}685$ Are there steroid hormone differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:55.685 \rightarrow 00:35:57.450$ that guide the developing fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:35:57.507 \rightarrow 00:35:58.428$ tissues and brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}35{:}58{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}572$ Are there other proteins that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00:36:00.572 \rightarrow 00:36:02.000$ important information for relaying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}36{:}02{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03{.}975$ Both the rate of development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8349228

 $00{:}36{:}03{.}975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05{.}555$ and fet al brain development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}05{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08{.}073$ One of the signals that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}08.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11.358$ that I'm going to talk to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}11.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}13.058$ is the extracellular vesicle.

 $00:36:13.060 \dashrightarrow 00:36:15.580$ There is no time in million lifespan

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:15.580 \rightarrow 00:36:19.172$ where if you were to take out through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:19.172 \rightarrow 00:36:21.750$ blood and isolate exercise or vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.840$ the highest concentration of extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.930$ vesicles in circulation is pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:25.992 \rightarrow 00:36:27.817$ because the placenta itself produces

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}27.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29.642$ a ton of extracellular vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:29.650 \rightarrow 00:36:32.331$ Those both come from the trophoblast cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}32{.}331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34{.}869$ that interact with the developing fetus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}610$ But also the decidua side that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}36{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38{.}450$ interacts again with moms circulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:38.450 \rightarrow 00:36:40.550$ So trying to understand these extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:40.550 \rightarrow 00:36:42.566$ vesicles and what signal that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:42.566 \rightarrow 00:36:44.512$ might pose and how stress affects them

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:44.512 \rightarrow 00:36:46.857$ could be a really a potential important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:46.857 \rightarrow 00:36:48.524$ biomarker that we're interested in.

00:36:48.524 --> 00:36:50.468 So just to remind you again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.214$ what are extracellular vesicles?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55{.}564$ There are many different types says from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:36:55.564 \rightarrow 00:36:57.902$ review for you from your Sadowski's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}36{:}57{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}940$ He also said ASCII is at Pitt and he runs

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}01{.}021$ --> $00{:}37{:}04{.}277$ the Magee Women's center and is really done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}04.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.000$ The most work in this field and understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:07.000 \rightarrow 00:37:08.180$ placental extracellular vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.370$ There important signals for fetal development

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:10.370 \dashrightarrow 00:37:12.070$ and maintaining homeostasis for mom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}12.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}14.266$ So those exercise the vesicles produced

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}14.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.183$ by the Placenta Act both locally on all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}17{.}183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19{.}265$ different cell types of the Placenta

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}19{.}333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}629$ and also can be found in circulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:21.630 \rightarrow 00:37:23.375$ Larger exerciser vesicles are termed

 $00{:}37{:}23.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.300$ Micro Vesicles and as I told you small

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.155$ exercise vesicles are termed exomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:37:28.160 --> 00:37:28.469 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}28.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.632$ there are many different types that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}879$ can detect that contain different cargo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:37:32.880 --> 00:37:34.352 both intracellular cargo protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}34{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36{.}884$ cargo weather going and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:36.884 \rightarrow 00:37:37.928$ work is being done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}664$ Now to develop these tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:39.664 \rightarrow 00:37:41.697$ try and understand how they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}41.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.329$ released from intracellular stores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.010$ So that's a really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:45.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.018$ and interesting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:37:46.020 - 00:37:48.302 That way in which these vesicles are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}48{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50{.}651$ loaded up and are secreted from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:50.651 \rightarrow 00:37:53.033$ cell differ from the type of vesicle

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886
- 00:37:53.033 > 00:37:55.118 that they are, how they released,

 $00:37:55.118 \rightarrow 00:37:57.134$ and how they travel in circulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:57.140 \rightarrow 00:37:58.855$ So they're super super interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:37:58.855 \rightarrow 00:37:59.884$ and really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}37{:}59{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}410$ Cellular signaling mechanisms for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}01{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}550$ these extracellular vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:38:02.550 --> 00:38:03.594 As I've said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:03.594 \rightarrow 00:38:05.682$ there are many components of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:05.682 \rightarrow 00:38:07.743$ protein content related to coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:07.743 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.387$ from the placenta itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.290$ although none that are truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}11{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12{.}810$ exclusively from the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:12.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.029$ so there's not really any way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:15.029 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.033$ say that they're absolutely from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:17.033 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.509$ the trophoblast cells,

 $00:38:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.142$ but the cargo has also been well

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:21.142 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.216$ characterized of many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}23{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}406$ vesicles and their contribution for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:25.406 \rightarrow 00:38:28.049$ maternal millu and fetal development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:38:28.050 --> 00:38:29.842 So I'm just going to show you touch

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}32{.}003$ on a little bit of work just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:38:32.003 --> 00:38:33.884 Pique your interest in why these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:33.884 \rightarrow 00:38:35.689$ things are so incredibly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}910$ Is that thinking about exercising

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.798$ vesicles and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}38{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40{.}210$ Understanding them as a biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:38:40.210 --> 00:38:41.958 you can both sequence the exercise

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:41.958 \dashrightarrow 00:38:43.680$ of vesicles that you can isolate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:43.680 \rightarrow 00:38:45.689$ This is showing you some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:38:45.689 --> 00:38:46.550 small noncoding RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:38:46.550 --> 00:38:48.216 The thing I want to draw your

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886
- $00:38:48.216 \rightarrow 00:38:49.909$ attention to is that we've sequenced

00:38:49.909 --> 00:38:51.751 by small non coding RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:51.751 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.439$ of the exercise in vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.524$ in circulation in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00:38:54.524 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.744$ You can see that if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}56{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58{.}599$ this heat map that is showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

 $00{:}38{:}58{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}114$ here on the far end is non pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84944886

00:39:01.114 --> 00:39:02.050 females versus pregnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}02{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}528$ that have been control or stressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:04.530 \rightarrow 00:39:06.605$ Obviously the biggest difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}06{.}605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09{.}365$ that the micro RNA content I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}09{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11{.}829$ even showing you all of the data here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:39:11.830 --> 00:39:14.020 I just want to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.115$ that pregnancy itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.424$ just to highlight again the presence

 $00:39:17.424 \rightarrow 00:39:19.385$ of placenta dramatically shift the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:39:19.385 --> 00:39:21.220 content of circulation of extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39{:}21.220$ --> $00{:}39{:}23.741$ vesicle in the micro RNA content and NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:39:23.741 --> 00:39:25.733 that there are some really important NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:39:25.733 - > 00:39:27.948 known micro RNA that can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}27{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30{.}126$ specific clues as to what effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:30.126 \rightarrow 00:39:32.266$ they're having on distal tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.130$ especially intersecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}33{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34{.}850$ with the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:34.850 \rightarrow 00:39:37.610$ And to that point in our proteomics studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}074$ this is work done by Brigid Nugent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:40.074 \rightarrow 00:39:42.788$ when she was a postdoc in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.510$ The protein content of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:39:44.510 --> 00:39:45.886 extracellular vesicles really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:45.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.840$ also because it tells you both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.570$ the tissues it's being released

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:39:49.570 \rightarrow 00:39:51.410$ from and where it's acting,

 $00:39:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.696$ but what's really important here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}39{:}53.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.570$ thinking about the intersection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:39:55.570 - 00:39:57.280 where we found that with stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:39:57.280 \rightarrow 00:40:00.318$ the protein content dramatically shifts in A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}245$ Ocean of immune related protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:02.245 \rightarrow 00:40:04.170$ signaling molecules in these vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:04.232 \rightarrow 00:40:06.297$ to a huge increase in metabolic anan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}06{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08{.}060$ proteolysis and complement activation etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}08{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.748$ Proteins in these vesicles so the take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:40:10.748 - 00:40:13.173 home message here is the cargo both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:40:13.173 --> 00:40:15.766 in terms of its actions at the distal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:40:15.766 --> 00:40:18.258 site for the small noncoding RNA but

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}18.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.620$ also the tissues it's traveling to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:20.620 \rightarrow 00:40:22.620$ seem to significantly change with

 $00{:}40{:}22.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.406$ stress and moms environment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:24.406 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.788$ just one last slide on these 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}26.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}29.180$ I want to move on to other topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.020$ Here is that when we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}31.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.078$ Take out these exercise in vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}33.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.090$ from a mouse who's pregnant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:35.090 \rightarrow 00:40:36.620$ From both stress and controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:36.620 \rightarrow 00:40:38.200$ we fluorescently labeled these vesicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}38.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.579$ and inject him back into a pregnant mom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.750$ To ask the question of is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}42.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.318$ transplacental signaling going from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:44.318 \rightarrow 00:40:46.376$ maternal mil you into the fetal compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}40{:}46{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48{.}210$ We have no evidence that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.430$ actually makes it through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:40:49.430 --> 00:40:50.012 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:40:50.012 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.723$ if you take out the uterus you can see

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:40:52.723 \rightarrow 00:40:55.522$ here by this way this is lighting up here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00{:}40{:}55{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}890$ This is only in the Placenta And if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:40:57.890 \rightarrow 00:40:59.802$ you actually dissect out the Placenta
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:40:59.802 \rightarrow 00:41:02.240$ and fetus you can see that in fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- 00:41:02.240 --> 00:41:04.292 no crossing of maternal produced exercise
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00{:}41{:}04{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06{.}890$ vesicles makes it into the fetal compartment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:41:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.973$ Why that's important?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00{:}41{:}07{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10{.}500$ Because it tells us that the source
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00{:}41{:}10.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.586$ of communication then from mom to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:41:12.586 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.755$ the fetus is likely the vesicles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:41:14.755 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.655$ acting again at the placenta,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:41:16.660 \rightarrow 00:41:18.520$ producing changes that then changed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:41:18.520 \rightarrow 00:41:20.380$ what is targeted and communicated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00{:}41{:}20{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21{.}728$ to the developing fetus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00:41:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.210$ But I want to return back to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:41:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.963$ weathering question and the adversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}41{:}25{.}963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28{.}105$ as detailed by the Aces question naires

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}41{:}28.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.586$ and thinking about the effect of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32{.}230$ racism and discrimination stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:41:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.185$ We've recently developed a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}41{:}34{.}185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36{.}486$ with Tonya Ivanovic as part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:41:36.486 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.347$ the Grady trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:41:37.350 \rightarrow 00:41:39.030$ Project that Carrie wrestler first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:41:39.030 --> 00:41:41.801 started at when he was at Emory and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:41:41.801 --> 00:41:43.511 developing the Grady Trauma project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:41:43.511 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.439$ that when he left for Harvard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:41:45.440 --> 00:41:47.827 Tonya took over and now Tonya has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}41{:}47.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.834$ actually moved herself to wings state

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00{:}41{:}49{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}520$ where she is now starting a similar project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

 $00:41:52.520 \rightarrow 00:41:55.208$ I'm at Wayne State is the greatest trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827

00:41:55.210 - 00:41:57.296 I'm sure many of you are very

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8228827
- $00{:}41{:}57{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58{.}190$ familiar with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:41:58.257 --> 00:41:59.589 Grady Trauma Project.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:41:59.590 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.612$ It's produced some of the best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:42:01.612 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.960$ data looking at discrimination,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}42{:}02{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}976$ stress and effects of the environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:42:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.328$ Long-term health outcomes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:42:06.328 --> 00:42:07.339 neuro psychiatric disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:42:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.651$ especially PTS di.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}42{:}08{.}651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}480$ So in this study, we had developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}42{:}11{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13{.}640$ a mouse model of understanding the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}42{:}13.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.151$ timing specificity of when trauma or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:42:16.151 --> 00:42:18.231 stress happens in development itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:42:18.240 --> 00:42:20.200 Does it produce those lasting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:42:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.160$ effects and so just quickly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}42{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.390$ the current collaboration that we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}42{:}24{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27{.}034$ working on took that mouse model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}42{:}27.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.414$ and asked in a translation away if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:42:29.414 --> 00:42:32.415 we look at a very specific type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:32.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.312$ trauma abbreviated here as IPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:34.312 \rightarrow 00:42:35.880$ This is actually interpersonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:35.880 \rightarrow 00:42:38.226$ not enter certain, not intimate partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.255$ This is interpersonal a type

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:40.255 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.470$ of interpersonal trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}42{:}41.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.263$ Which is the greatest predictor of PTS

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:44.263 \rightarrow 00:42:46.650$ di development and lasting effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}42{:}46.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.242$ We wanted to know if we asked a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:42:49.242 --> 00:42:51.387 specific type of interpersonal trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}42{:}51{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54{.}237$ that being sexual trauma because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:42:54.237 \rightarrow 00:42:57.446$ very easily identified when that happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}42{:}57{.}450$ --> $00{:}43{:}00{.}370$ If that when that timing of that happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:43:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.069$ related to brain developmental timing.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:03.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.423$ So under 14.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:04.423 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.678$ So basically entering into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:06.678 \rightarrow 00:43:09.396$ pubertal Timepoint and before or during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}43{:}09{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11{.}576$ adolescence or into a dulthood or.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.864$ After that adolescent window,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:12.864 \rightarrow 00:43:15.090$ so these three different time points here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:15.090 \rightarrow 00:43:16.980$ could we look at physiological outcomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:16.980 \rightarrow 00:43:18.919$ that were specific to that timing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:43:18.920 --> 00:43:20.828 whether it be adversity in PTS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:20.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.440$ D development,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:21.440 \rightarrow 00:43:22.965$ metabolic outcomes in other health
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}43{:}22.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.340$ issues related to diabetes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.028$ and hypertension?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}43{:}25.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.092$ Could we then look at these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:43:27.092 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.290$ exercise or vesicles or cell free
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:43:29.290 \rightarrow 00:43:31.100$ mitochondrial DNA which will come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}31{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33{.}426$ back to as biomarkers related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}33{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}34{.}946$ these physiological outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37{.}442$ we can again take these exercise or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39{.}260$ vesicles from these human subjects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}39{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41{.}300$ with this specific timing and ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}41{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44{.}027$ related to no trauma or no sexual trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:43:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.322$ In this particular case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}45{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47{.}260$ and even doing proteomics by mass

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}47{.}316$ --> $00{:}43{:}49{.}596$ spectrometry and smaller in a sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}49.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.267$ can we begin to identify what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}52.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.617$ would classify as a biomarker to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}54{.}617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}235$ then go in and ask about causality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:43:57.313 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.837$ in our mouse models?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}43{:}58{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00{.}628$ So related to the timing sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:44:00.628 \rightarrow 00:44:01.224$ of sensitivity,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:44:01.230 --> 00:44:04.220 I'm not going to go into all of this data.

00:44:04.220 --> 00:44:05.720 We're working on with Tonya,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:44:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.709$ but I want to show you that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}44{:}07.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.095$ look at sort of the metabolic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:44:10.095 \rightarrow 00:44:11.148$ physiological outcomes related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:44:11.148 --> 00:44:12.299 to obesity risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}44{:}12{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14{.}218$ these are now women who were recruited

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:44:14.218 --> 00:44:16.180 in through the Grady Trauma Project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:44:16.180 --> 00:44:17.795 whose sexual trauma experiences were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:44:17.795 --> 00:44:19.717 noted were then captured both for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:44:19.717 --> 00:44:21.265 the readout of their body weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

00:44:21.270 --> 00:44:22.956 which then Maps directly on with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00:44:22.956 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.454$ their hip circumference as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}44{:}24{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25{.}750$ as their waist circumference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261

 $00{:}44{:}25.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.787$ which I'm not showing you on here

- $00:44:27.787 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.860$ similar data as well as their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:44:29.860 --> 00:44:30.620 hypertension risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.390$ And what you'll see consistently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}44{:}32{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}860$ is that there is effect of trauma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}44{:}34{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}756$ of sexual trauma in this case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.590$ But if you actually parse it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.510$ out for when it happened,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.210$ you'll see again and again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- 00:44:42.210 --> 00:44:44.244 In this case that women experienced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:44.244 \rightarrow 00:44:45.993$ it prior to adolescence and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:45.993 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.668$ adulthood is driving this data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:47.670 \rightarrow 00:44:50.398$ so both but there by increased body weight,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}44{:}50{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52{.}100$ increased hip and waist circumference,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00{:}44{:}52{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}828$ which I'm not showing you that these women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.202$ also with hypertension risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8268261
- $00:44:56.202 \rightarrow 00:44:58.260$ which is known associations of these

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:44:58.319 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.707$ outcomes compared to no

00:44:59.707 --> 00:45:00.748 sexual trauma divided.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:00.750 \rightarrow 00:45:02.238$ Into elevated hypertension also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:02.238 \rightarrow 00:45:03.726$ there's got cutoff hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.968$ stage one and two on here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.314$ What you see is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:07.314 \rightarrow 00:45:10.218$ I think is fascinating is that if your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:10.218 \rightarrow 00:45:13.053$ sexual trauma experience and this is again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}13.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.260$ these women are all in there and mid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.128$ to late 30s now that their earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:45:18.128 --> 00:45:19.928 traumatic experience related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:45:19.928 --> 00:45:21.638 interpersonal violence or trauma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}21.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.320$ Under 14, they start to escalate or enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}24{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26{.}488$ earlier into hypertension stage two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.474$ which is this?

 $00:45:27.474 \rightarrow 00:45:29.442$ This this green aspect here versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}800$ if it happened during a dolescence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}31{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34{.}698$ Versus if it happened after the age of 17,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}34{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}744$ I think he's very clearly shown here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}36{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}38{.}878$ that this risk related likely again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}38{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40{.}776$ are these women showing a phenotype NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:40.776 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.430$ unique or just earlier onset?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:42.430 \rightarrow 00:45:44.677$ I think is a really important question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.723$ OK, so now if you move that into the NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:45:46.723 --> 00:45:48.227 nuro psychiatric and neurophysiological NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:48.227 \rightarrow 00:45:50.657$ outcomes at Tonya's group is worked NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:45:50.657 - 00:45:52.730 on in the Greater China Project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}52{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55{.}130$ If you start to look at startle related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}55{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}294$ to PTS di outcomes, it's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}45{:}57{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}406$ This is distinct from whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:45:59.406 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.743$ group here was 14 and under that this

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:46:01.743 \rightarrow 00:46:03.957$ group between 14 and 17 is actually

 $00:46:03.957 \rightarrow 00:46:05.425$ showing you unique differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}692$ And baseline of fear potentiated startle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:46:07.692 \rightarrow 00:46:11.220$ as well as skin conductance outcomes as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}46{:}11.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.257$ So the take home message here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:46:13.257 \dashrightarrow 00:46:15.147$ we're starting to see in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:46:15.147 \rightarrow 00:46:16.423$ collaboration with Tonya's group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:46:16.423 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.280$ is that when trauma happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:46:18.280 --> 00:46:20.296 can be a predictor or an Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:46:20.296 --> 00:46:22.459 for unique and distinct health risks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}46{:}22{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24{.}651$ and I think that's interesting when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:46:24.651 --> 00:46:26.950 start to look at our biomarker data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}46{:}26{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29{.}078$ So I'm just going to quickly show you NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}46{:}29.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.652$ some of this data just to just to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}46{:}31{.}652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33{.}832$ provocative here is that we isolated

- $00{:}46{:}33.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.937$ extracellular vesicles from these women.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:46:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.220$ These are all black.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}46{:}37{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}150$ 95% of the cohort is black.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:46:39.150 --> 00:46:40.962 They all have a high background
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:46:40.962 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.990$ of trauma in the environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:46:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.048$ But this is again related specifically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}46{:}45.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47.481$ on a non sexual trauma versus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:46:47.481 00:46:48.969 sexual trauma experience.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:46:48.970 --> 00:46:49.242 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:46:49.242 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.146$ so this is showing you a heat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}46{:}51{.}146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53{.}128$ map of the proteomics data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:46:53.130 --> 00:46:55.244 All of the proteomics was isolated at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}46{:}55{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57{.}841$ the same time is all blinded when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:46:57.841 --> 00:46:59.850 do the proteomics assessment and I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:46:59.850 \rightarrow 00:47:01.770$ telling you that because for having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:01.770 \rightarrow 00:47:04.330$ worked in this field for a decade now,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}47{:}04{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}297$ this is some of the most provocative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:47:06.297 --> 00:47:08.628 data that I've seen in human subjects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}47{:}08.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}10.408$ related to the proteomics outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.804$ So you can see if we categorize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:12.804 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.249$ all proteins here in H,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}47{:}14.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.850$ showing you the non sexual
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:47:15.850 --> 00:47:16.810 versus sexual trauma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:16.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.160$ Overall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.260$ we're seeing thousands of differences in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.278$ the protein content of these vesicles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.653$ If you parse it out by when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}47{:}23.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.670$ the trauma happened,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00:47:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.335$ you'll see that there's this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- $00{:}47{:}26{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28{.}402$ group of proteins down here in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061
- 00:47:28.402 --> 00:47:30.087 pink which are largely reduced,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:47:30.090 - 00:47:32.810 which will come back to in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}47{:}32.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.655$ And there's this really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:47:34.655 --> 00:47:36.865 group of proteins that are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:47:36.865 \rightarrow 00:47:39.249$ increased only in this 14 to 17 group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:47:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.194$ Many of the proteins that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:47:41.194 \rightarrow 00:47:42.980$ seeing that are dramatically changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:47:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.670$ I mean dramatically by either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00:47:44.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.684$ being only present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}47{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.380$ such as these protein cereal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

00:47:47.380 --> 00:47:48.995 come back two or hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.8656061

 $00{:}47{:}48.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.610$ fold changed are related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:47:50.679 --> 00:47:52.734 skin proteins. Even if globulins and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:47:52.734 \rightarrow 00:47:54.510$ other things related to EV function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}47{:}54{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56{.}477$ and if you actually look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}47{:}56{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}770$ three sexual trauma groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:47:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.250$ there was some overlap where

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:47:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.730$ they all show these differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.446$ Some of these proteins in here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:02.446 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.980$ and related I'll talk about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.961$ but there are very unique proteins that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:05.961 \rightarrow 00:48:07.830$ categorized by when the trauma happened.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}48{:}07{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09{.}612$ OK, which ones what I'm showing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- 00:48:09.612 --> 00:48:11.970 you here in KR again log 2 fold,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.450$ change in these proteins and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}48{:}13.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.634$ these are dramatic differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.900$ These are not subtle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.770$ What stands out about these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}48{:}18.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.067$ proteins and why I'm showing them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:48:21.067 \rightarrow 00:48:23.185$ to you across the sexual trauma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}48{:}23.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.040$ and these are these proteins
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}48{:}25.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.520$ down here in pink.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}26{.}520$ --> $00{:}48{:}28{.}688$ There is a robust reduction in a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}28.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.657$ of key proteins and specific types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:48:31.657 \rightarrow 00:48:33.545$ extracellular vesicles secretion broadly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}33{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36{.}134$ So what these proteins in XNA one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}36{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}727$ two and collected three and seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:48:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.578$ They point to specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:48:40.578 --> 00:48:41.964 Types of exercise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:48:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.736$ their vesicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}42.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.417$ but also how the vesicles are released.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:48:45.420 \rightarrow 00:48:47.814$ So that's super interesting and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}47{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}399$ important that we're finding in this cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:48:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.848$ but the piece I want to leave you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}837$ with from this collaboration that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:48:54.837 --> 00:48:57.007 we're currently working on is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}48{:}57.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59.587$ that we found we examined this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:48:59.590 - 00:49:01.888 This blue group here of proteins,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:49:01.890 \rightarrow 00:49:03.655$ some really interesting outcomes we

 $00{:}49{:}03.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}06.480$ found about 24 car at and related proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09{.}161$ so carrot and type one and two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:09.161 -> 00:49:10.310 of cuticular origin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:10.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.208$ Meaning of the hair and skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:13.210 --> 00:49:15.335 And keratin associated proteins again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:15.335 \rightarrow 00:49:17.860$ meaning related to hair and skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}17.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.768$ Only in the sexual trauma experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:19.768 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.420$ in adolescence in this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:21.420 --> 00:49:22.066 Now remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}22.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.309$ these women are now in their mid to late 30s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}25{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}26{.}123$ and this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:26.123 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.020$ These are log 2 fold change meaning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}28.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.626$ there are dramatically increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:29.626 \rightarrow 00:49:31.551$ in expression and likely only

 $00:49:31.551 \rightarrow 00:49:33.409$ found in this one unique group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}33{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}702$ and that's something we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:34.702 --> 00:49:35.994 currently following up on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:36.000 --> 00:49:38.382 But I just want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}38{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}380$ provocative here and remind you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.900$ That the data we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.410$ which is largely driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.618$ this group in fear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}44{.}620$ --> $00{:}49{:}46{.}140$ potentiated startle and skin conductance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.618$ was was driven a lot by this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}48.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.150$ specific group as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.496$ Now this comes back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:49:52.496 \rightarrow 00:49:53.669$ provocative biomarker question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:53.670 -> 00:49:55.234 Some biomarkers are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}49{:}55{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57{.}580$ biomarkers that can predict a risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:49:57.580 --> 00:49:59.188 Some biomarkers are predicted

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:49:59.188 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.394$ actually of Amecon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- 00:50:00.400 --> 00:50:01.432 Sticker causal outcome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}01{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03{.}840$ and that's something we're following up on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:50:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.527$ and this is really gets to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:50:05.527 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.384$ point of the translation and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:50:07.384 \rightarrow 00:50:08.998$ reverse translational potential,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}09{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10{.}918$ because we can now model and are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:50:10.918 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.463$ doing these in keratinocytes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}12.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.787$ culture where we can ask from eaves
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- 00:50:14.787 --> 00:50:16.836 from human subjects applied in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00:50:16.836 \rightarrow 00:50:18.492$ culture with keratinocyte because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}18{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20{.}925$ these turns out all of these proteins
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}20{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22{.}906$ predict a dramatic change in something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}22{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25{.}111$ that happened at the level of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608
- $00{:}50{:}25{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27{.}228$ skin cell called the keratinocyte,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:27.230 \rightarrow 00:50:30.670$ and also fit with some of this data as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}50{:}30{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}690$ so that suggests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.390$ That there may be something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:33.390 \rightarrow 00:50:35.130$ unique to the adolescent window

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37{.}461$ related to the skin and the skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}50{:}37{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39{.}199$ being your greatest tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.150$ The largest organ in the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.879$ barrier and involved highly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:50:42.879 --> 00:50:44.355 Autonomic Regulation is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00{:}50{:}44{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46{.}200$ very important to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.184$ For those biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

00:50:47.184 --> 00:50:47.512 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8610608

 $00:50:47.512 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.480$ so I'm going to jump from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}50{:}49{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52{.}157$ that provocative statement to my last topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}50{:}52{.}157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}947$ that I'm going to peak your interest in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:50:54.950 \rightarrow 00:50:57.400$ which is which is jumping from females,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754
- $00:50:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.416$ whether it be in the studies

00:50:59.416 --> 00:51:01.250 were doing Tonya of women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.178$ specially in the black community at risk and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.686$ And during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:05.686 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.694$ To thinking about dad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.812$ we often times in neurodevelopmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:09.812 \rightarrow 00:51:12.452$ disorders forget about dad's contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15{.}195$ and so it's really within the last five

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:51:15.195 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:51:17.987 going on 10 years that this has become a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:17.987 \rightarrow 00:51:20.468$ very hot topic of discussion about dads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}20{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22{.}598$ Germ cells and his adversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}22.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.549$ in experiences as he passes on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:24.550 \rightarrow 00:51:26.470$ So what about the preconception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}26.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.619$ male stress effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:27.619 \rightarrow 00:51:30.300$ and how this may be passed on,

- $00{:}51{:}30{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}31{.}377$ so Rachel, Yehuda,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754
- $00:51:31.377 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.172$ and others have started doing

 $00:51:33.172 \rightarrow 00:51:34.900$ these asking these questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:34.900 \rightarrow 00:51:36.850$ especially within the community of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}36{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39{.}480$ veterans as well as Holocaust survivors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41{.}140$ Another traumatic life events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.630$ I think it's really important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:51:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.863$ think about the data that goes all

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}45{.}863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}417$ the way back to a spects of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}48{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}50{.}357$ Swedish famine and Chinese famines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}50{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53{.}360$ Data has been really well mind for dads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}53{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55{.}658$ Effects related to the stress and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}55{.}658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57{.}634$ traumatic intersection of a famine

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}519$ itself with incredible records that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:51:59.519 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:52:02.146 have been kept in this overkalix region

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:02.146 \rightarrow 00:52:04.610$ of Sweden for looking at birth and

 $00:52:04.610 \rightarrow 00:52:06.860$ death rates and growth and development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}06.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.785$ there's been some data mined

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}08.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.710$ here with schizophrenia risk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:10.778 \dashrightarrow 00:52:12.798$ adds experiences and the timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:12.800 \rightarrow 00:52:15.047$ Of those experiences and the Dutch hunger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}15{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}507$ winter has also been really well mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}17.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.355$ again for autism and schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:19.355 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.545$ risk for some of that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}21{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}620$ So how do we mechanistically or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}23.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.373$ causally ask questions about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:52:25.373 --> 00:52:26.908 germ cell as neuro scientists?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:52:26.910 --> 00:52:29.547 This was a topic that has piqued a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}270$ of interest and a lot of skepticism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34{.}220$ Shall I say it actually reached

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}34{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36{.}612$ the level of the New York Times

 $00:52:36.612 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.968$ in December of 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}37{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}354$ where I had a New York Times Reporter

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:40.354 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.988$ who is very interested in this area?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.218$ Contact me and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:44.218 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.478$ not just that they wanted to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}46{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}48{.}590$ about the data and the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.030$ importance of the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:50.030 \rightarrow 00:52:53.230$ but you really wanted to talk about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}53{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}358$ Controversy in this field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}54{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}610$ and so it is a It is a difficult aspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}842$ to get at mechanistically in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:52:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.001$ so we have to use a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}02.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.668$ animal models to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:03.668 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.480$ mechanisms about germ cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}416$ And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:06.416 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.019$ it is very difficult to model many of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754
- $00:53:09.019 \rightarrow 00:53:11.095$ these these experiences in a mouse.

00:53:11.100 - 00:53:12.616 Mice are not humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:12.616 \rightarrow 00:53:14.511$ They cannot experience stress or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:14.511 \rightarrow 00:53:16.730$ trauma in the way that a human can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}16.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.716$ but we can begin to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:18.716 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.040$ some of the mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:53:20.040 --> 00:53:23.256 and I'm just quickly touch on a couple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.416$ So in our mouse model that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:25.416 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.345$ been working on for the better

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:27.345 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.910$ part of the last decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.480$ we can expose male mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}30{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31{.}736$ across many different windows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:53:31.740 --> 00:53:33.868 It turns out it doesn't matter the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}33{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35{.}753$ window of development because the germ

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37{.}577$ cells that are affected with about

 $00:53:37.577 \rightarrow 00:53:39.898$ four weeks it requires of chronic stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:53:39.900 --> 00:53:41.156 again getting dad's stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

00:53:41.156 --> 00:53:42.098 levels elevated enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:42.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.686$ requires about four weeks of stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00{:}53{:}44{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46{.}106$ This is a model that his

NOTE Confidence: 0.84522754

 $00:53:46.106 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.050$ offspring show a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

00:53:47.102 --> 00:53:48.614 hyper responsive HPA strikes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:53:48.614 \rightarrow 00:53:50.126$ acid accesses their phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}53{:}50{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}936$ There is no sex difference here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}53{:}51{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53{.}144$ Males and females show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:53:53.144 \rightarrow 00:53:54.348$ the exact same phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:53:54.350 \rightarrow 00:53:55.860$ We've been able to mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:53:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.766$ replicate this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:53:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.786$ I don't have time to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:53:58.786 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.022$ by micro injecting specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:00.022 \rightarrow 00:54:01.894$ micro RNA at the Zagat Level.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047
- $00{:}54{:}01{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}616$ I'll kind of come back to

 $00{:}54{:}03.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.230$ that a little bit later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

00:54:05.230 --> 00:54:07.633 Later on, I want to jump to in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:07.633 \rightarrow 00:54:10.157$ model sort of showing you the phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}10{.}157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12{.}529$ and why this phenotype is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.802$ So mice, like humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:13.802 \rightarrow 00:54:14.756$ like all mammals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.144$ one of the reasons I like studying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}17{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19{.}526$ stress axis is that it's translate rible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}19{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21{.}426$ The jeans and involvement of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}21{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23{.}062$ hypothalamus in the human brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:23.062 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.607$ are the exact same pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:24.610 \dashrightarrow 00:54:25.882$ Circuitry in genes involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}25{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27{.}154$ in the mouse brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29.068$ So it's the most translate Rible,

 $00:54:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.212$ and it's easy in a human error

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}31{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33{.}198$ mouse to stimulate the stress axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:33.200 \rightarrow 00:54:36.053$ So this just shows you from our our paternal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}968$ so we stressed the male mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.506$ We then gave them time off from stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.551$ and that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}41{.}551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42{.}939$ We then bred them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.782$ This is the stress response of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}44{.}782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46{.}660$ their male and female offspring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:54:46.660 \rightarrow 00:54:48.658$ and this is just showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}48.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50.380$ the hypo responsive iti here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}732$ This is a normal in black when a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}52{.}732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}270$ mouse or a human will look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}55{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57{.}140$ when you acutely stress them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}57{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}193$ Their glucocorticoids levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}54{:}58{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00{.}650$ rise and fall in both males and

 $00:55:00.715 \rightarrow 00:55:03.256$ females and if their dad had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}03.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.982$ previously stressed normally or if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:04.982 \rightarrow 00:55:06.704$ we really cranked up his stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08{.}628$ during that same time window and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}08.628$ --> $00{:}55{:}10.313$ then bread him doesn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}10{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12{.}402$ H is male and female offspring show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}12.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.150$ a hypo responsive stress axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.454$ Why is that important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:15.454 \rightarrow 00:55:17.680$ Because we know that in PTS di,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

00:55:17.680 - 00:55:18.000 oftentimes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}18.000 \dashrightarrow> 00{:}55{:}19.600$ in major depressive disorder that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.849$ those individuals show a blunted stress axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:21.850 \rightarrow 00:55:23.460$ Blunted is not necessarily better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}23.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}24.360$ is just different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}24.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.460$ and I want to quickly highlight that

00:55:26.521 --> 00:55:28.266 this work from Jennifer Shannon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.196$ graduate student, which is all published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.503$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:30.503 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.321$ that what's important here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:32.321 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.369$ this is not an acute response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.234$ and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:35.234 \rightarrow 00:55:36.962$ if you breed the animals during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:36.962 \rightarrow 00:55:38.610$ the stress experience that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}38{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40{.}967$ are exposed to or right at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}40{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42{.}717$ end of their stress experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.700$ you don't get this phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

00:55:44.700 --> 00:55:46.343 In fact, if you breed, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00:55:46.343 \rightarrow 00:55:47.981$ if you breed dad during or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}47{.}981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48{.}800$ after immediately after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8100047

 $00{:}55{:}48.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.976$ So one week after the stress is ended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:55:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.282$ Yet no phenotype, so it requires about

 $00{:}55{:}55{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58{.}169$ four weeks to three months and it sorry it

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}55{:}58{.}169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}136$ requires about four weeks of integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:00.136 \dashrightarrow 00:56:02.680$ and lasts out to at least three months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:02.680 \rightarrow 00:56:04.270$ As far as we've gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}04.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}07.132$ So what that means is if we breed dad

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}07{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}09{.}358$ immediately, he doesn't pass on the effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.728$ If we give him time for that post

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:11.728 \rightarrow 00:56:13.489$ stress allostatic setpoint to happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}15.392$ he passes on the effect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

00:56:15.392 --> 00:56:16.343 it's long lasting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

00:56:16.350 --> 00:56:17.622 It does not reverse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}17.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18.894$ and that's really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:18.900 \rightarrow 00:56:21.900$ so we just quickly look at at what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:21.970 \dashrightarrow 00:56:25.034$ currently thinking here as terms of how dad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.140$ Right, that's the mechanism

 $00:56:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.790$ we're looking for in the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}27.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.742$ so we can ask the question in humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}29.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.890$ if we compare at the end of stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.925$ here at this time point that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:33.925 \dashrightarrow 00:56:36.039$ effect is not passed on to offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}36{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}136$ We see very few changes in the small

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

00:56:38.136 --> 00:56:40.169 non coding RNA content of dads sperm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}40{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43{.}818$ If we wait three months and breed him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46.044$ Where we see the effect passed on here NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

00:56:46.044 --> 00:56:48.667 that is required in three months of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:48.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.488$ We in fact now see huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:50.488 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.700$ differences in these dads.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}51.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.566$ So the control versus those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}53{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55{.}148$ experience the stress but have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:56:55.148 \rightarrow 00:56:56.846$ now had three months to recover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}542$ which in the life of a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:56:58.542 \rightarrow 00:57:00.489$ mouse is a very long time.

00:57:00.490 - 00:57:02.604 The take home message here is OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:57:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.862$ so there's a signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:57:03.862 \rightarrow 00:57:05.740$ There's something in the sperm mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}05{.}801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07{.}754$ and I'll show you human data later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}07{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09{.}734$ That is important for possibly transmitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:57:09.734 \dashrightarrow 00:57:12.080$ the signal to the developing embryo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}12.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.789$ So I'm sure this is a little bit cloudy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

00:57:14.790 --> 00:57:17.030 but I just want to quickly say for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}17{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18{.}930$ those who think about the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}18{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21{.}197$ all the time and don't think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}21.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.517$ testes as much as my lab does that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00:57:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.524$ Why is this important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}24{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26{.}030$ The timing is important and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

 $00{:}57{:}26.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.175$ transcriptional inert activation

- $00:57:27.175 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.635$ of sperm is important,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}57{:}28.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.440$ so sperm go through a course
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.340$ of spermatic Genesis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:31.340 \rightarrow 00:57:32.544$ Everybody understands that that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}57{:}32{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34{.}350$ about six weeks in most mammals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.860$ At the end of Schematic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- 00:57:35.860 --> 00:57:37.360 Genesis sperm are not mature,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:37.360 \rightarrow 00:57:39.467$ they cannot swim, and they cannot fertilize.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}57{:}39{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42{.}086$ They are pushed by a fluid motion through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}57{:}42.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44.050$ These DuckTales into the head
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:44.050 \rightarrow 00:57:45.226$ of the epididymis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- 00:57:45.230 --> 00:57:47.190 Epididymis is required portion of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.150$ the reproductive track of dad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}57{:}49{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51{.}574$ These tubules secrete all kinds of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}57{:}51{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}53{.}627$ important factors to mature the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:53.627 \rightarrow 00:57:55.805$ sperm such that they can fertilize.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:55.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.950$ They can swim,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.230$ and they are fully mature into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:57:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.973$ the lumen of many weeks that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:01.973 \rightarrow 00:58:04.430$ sperm in all mammals go through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.566$ In this the capit region of the epididymis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}07{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}307$ There is another factor that is secreted,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}10{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12{.}350$ which is the extracellular vesicle.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.289$ So in this tiny little piece of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}14.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16.329$ Anatomy of males is exercise are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:16.329 \rightarrow 00:58:17.853$ vesicles that are circulating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}17.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.410$ interacting with the sperm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- 00:58:19.410 --> 00:58:20.654 These extracellular vesicles we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:20.654 \rightarrow 00:58:22.209$ know are important for delivering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:22.209 \rightarrow 00:58:23.929$ the signals to the maturing sperm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}23{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}25{.}430$ so it's not transcription happening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006

- $00:58:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.330$ in this firm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}26{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}28{.}346$ It is a signal from cymatics cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:28.346 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.846$ and this is just quickly to show you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.862$ Why would this be?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}31{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}789$ Because I know that as a neuro
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00:58:33.789 \rightarrow 00:58:35.524$ scientist I'm sure within psychiatry
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84546006
- $00{:}58{:}35{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36{.}912$ we often look at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 00:58:36.977 --> 00:58:38.979 animal studies and say OK but why?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 00:58:38.980 --> 00:58:41.438 I know you have an effect. Who cares?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00{:}58{:}41{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43{.}741$ What does it matter and how does
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 00:58:43.741 -> 00:58:46.368 it happen and why would it happen?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00{:}58{:}46{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}48{.}930$ What I'm showing you here is the course
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00{:}58{:}48{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50{.}929$ of embryonic development in birth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00:58:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.970$ and the germ cells that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00:58:52.970 \rightarrow 00:58:55.149$ important to what they go through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 00:58:55.150 --> 00:58:57.166 The take home message here is

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00:58:57.166 \rightarrow 00:58:59.010$ why would this mechanism happen?

00:58:59.010 - 00:59:00.063 Well, because evolutionarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00:59:00.063 \rightarrow 00:59:02.169$ you don't want Dad's germ cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00:59:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.486$ You don't want those primordial germ

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00:59:04.486 \rightarrow 00:59:07.029$ cells or those developing some additives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00{:}59{:}07{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}956$ Where dad's DNA is vulnerable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00:59:08.956 \rightarrow 00:59:11.060$ be impacted by the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

00:59:11.060 - 00:59:13.250 This is occurring in the testes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00{:}59{:}13.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.805$ which is a privileged blood brain barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

00:59:15.810 --> 00:59:17.210 Sorry blood testis barrier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00:59:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.310$ we can make some jokes about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

00:59:19.376 --> 00:59:20.940 blood brain barrier here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00{:}59{:}20{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23{.}004$ but in the blood test is barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00{:}59{:}23.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25.108$ that the environment itself it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $00{:}59{:}25{.}108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}27{.}100$ privileged and these cells that are

 $00:59:27.100 \rightarrow 00:59:28.648$ really required for preservation NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00{:}59{:}28.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30.688$ of the species evolutionarily are NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 00:59:30.688 --> 00:59:32.698 protected from dads environment right? NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:32.698 \rightarrow 00:59:35.032$ This is protection happens through a NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 00:59:35.032 --> 00:59:37.488 course of proteins known as Pi RNA's. NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:37.490 \rightarrow 00:59:39.005$ That are really highly expressed NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 00:59:39.005 - 00:59:40.520 during these periods of time, NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:40.520 \rightarrow 00:59:42.688$ but by the time the sperm leave the NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 00:59:42.688 --> 00:59:45.067 testes and enter into the Capital Region, NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:45.070 \rightarrow 00:59:47.184$ there is still a slight protective barrier, NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.300$ but nothing like the testes. NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:49.300 \rightarrow 00:59:50.970$ And the reason evolutionarily again, NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.322$ this is a hypothesis, NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:52.322 \rightarrow 00:59:54.350$ is likely for those exercise vesicles NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:54.415 \rightarrow 00:59:56.305$ to be interacting with the sperm. NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336 $00:59:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.992$ Here is,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $00{:}59{:}56{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}59{.}720$ this is a way for dads germ cells

00:59:59.807 --> 01:00:02.663 to be impacted in a way that does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:02.663 \rightarrow 01:00:04.279$ not affect dad's DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:04.280 \rightarrow 01:00:06.428$ OK, so sperm are transcriptionally inert,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01{:}00{:}06{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08{.}590$ they are not responding to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:08.590 \rightarrow 01:00:09.310$ active environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:09.310 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.950$ Therefore they need to interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:10.950 \rightarrow 01:00:13.609$ with in the lumen of the epididymis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01{:}00{:}13.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.210$ These exercise are vesicles if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01{:}00{:}15{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.655$ they want to carry a message about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01{:}00{:}17.655 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}19.989$ dads environment and that is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:19.989 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.150$ simply modeled here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01{:}00{:}21.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23.670$ here is the lumen of the epididy mis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01{:}00{:}23.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}25.824$ Here are the sperm that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:00:25.824 \rightarrow 01:00:27.260$ undergoing this maturation process.

- $01:00:27.260 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.642$ They are interacting with these somatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}00{:}29.642 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}31.602$ cell derived vesicles that contain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}00{:}31.602 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}33.786$ all kinds of proteins and micro RNA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:00:33.786 \rightarrow 01:00:35.345$ that are transcriptionally actively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:00:35.345 \rightarrow 01:00:38.809$ changed by dads environment in a lasting way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:00:38.810 --> 01:00:39.544 So quickly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:00:39.544 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.480$ how do we look at this in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:00:42.569 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.850$ of a mechanism?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}00{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}47.146$ Because we cannot ask about a pure population
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}00{:}47.146 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49.783$ of vesicles to test our hypothesis in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:00:49.783 --> 01:00:52.390 vivo because as I told you before,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}00{:}52.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53.930$ every tissue produces vesicles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:00:53.930 \rightarrow 01:00:55.855$ So there's many different cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:00:55.855 --> 01:00:58.222 types in this lumen besides just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:00:58.222 \rightarrow 01:00:59.758$ these epididymal epithelial cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:00:59.760 --> 01:01:02.088 but also, as you can imagine,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:02.090 \rightarrow 01:01:04.400$ the capit region of the epididymis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}01{:}04{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07{.}254$ and a mouse you cannot easily or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:07.254 \rightarrow 01:01:09.720$ really at all isolate a unique.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:09.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:10.947$ Extracellular environment here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:01:10.947 --> 01:01:12.992 So this brilliant graduate student
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:12.992 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.242$ was able to model this in a dish
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}01{:}15{.}242 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}17{.}163$ where she was able to model these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:01:17.163 --> 01:01:18.743 DC 2 mouse epididymal epithelial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- 01:01:18.743 --> 01:01:20.022 cells that secrete extracellular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:20.022 \rightarrow 01:01:21.306$ vesicles into the environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:21.310 \longrightarrow 01:01:23.662$ She was able to develop a model
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:23.662 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.156$ which I'm not going to go into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01:01:26.156 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.262$ because it's way more down the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}01{:}28{.}344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30{.}288$ weeds than you need to be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336
- $01{:}01{:}30.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.607$ She was able to model exactly what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

 $01:01:32.607 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.277$ we see in vivo on dad's sperm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8619336

01:01:35.277 --> 01:01:37.671 environment with what she sees in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:01:37.751 --> 01:01:40.775 vitro with the micro RNA extracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}01{:}40.775 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}42.791$ vesicle content from stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}01{:}42.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}45.047$ This very complicated figure is a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}01{:}45{.}047 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}47{.}043$ of telling you she pharmacologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}01{:}47.043 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}50.417$ worked out the stress conditions with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:01:50.417 --> 01:01:52.389 glucocorticoid treatment and recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:01:52.390 --> 01:01:54.886 This is showing you 11 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:01:54.886 \rightarrow 01:01:56.134$ after stress recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:01:56.140 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.988$ The concentration of stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:01:57.988 --> 01:01:58.912 relevant glucocorticoids

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:01:58.912 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.298$ isolating those vesicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:00.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.508$ And looking at them by proteomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}02{:}02{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}04{.}724$ she was able to model this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:04.724 \rightarrow 01:02:06.200$ beautiful heat map here,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:06.200 \rightarrow 01:02:08.288$ where she looked at the vesicles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- 01:02:08.288 --> 01:02:09.680 in culture versus previously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- 01:02:09.739 --> 01:02:11.283 vehicle treated versus court
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:11.283 \rightarrow 01:02:13.213$ treated but now recovered right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:13.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.428$ This is 11 days and three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:15.428 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.532$ media changes later.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:16.540 \rightarrow 01:02:18.385$ The same vesicle protein changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:18.385 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.960$ we see in vivo and in vitro,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}02{:}20.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}23.592$ and this is just showing you is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}02{:}23.592 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}25.813$ orthogonal report here that they are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}02{:}25.813 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}28.200$ very different and even the size of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}02{:}28.273 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}30.775$ these vesicles is changed long term.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- 01:02:30.780 --> 01:02:31.868 By that court treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}02{:}31.868 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}33.500$ same thing we see in vivo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:02:33.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.313$ This just shows you that if we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:35.313 \rightarrow 01:02:36.717$ label those vesicles and injected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:02:36.717 --> 01:02:38.427 into mouse they again have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:38.427 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.758$ specificity of where they go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:39.760 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.368$ This is showing you your meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:41.368 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.750$ market sort of approach here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}02{:}42.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}44.955$ If you go and look at where all your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}02{:}44.955 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47.418$ meat comes from and in your cow or pig

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:47.418 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.817$ or whatever this is showing you the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}02{:}49{.}820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51{.}772$ If we take out all of these tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:51.772 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.082$ lay them out and look at the DIII

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:54.082 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.258$ where it's been transferred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:55.260 \rightarrow 01:02:57.708$ You can see everything shows up in the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:57.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.070$ Ignore that but it's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:02:59.070 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.158$ to be immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}00{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}01{.}960$ The spleen here and really a mazingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:03:01.960 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.950$ Dad's reproductive track.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:02.950 \longrightarrow 01:03:04.600$ The testes and the capital,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:04.600 \rightarrow 01:03:05.260$ the epididymis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- 01:03:05.260 --> 01:03:06.250 Amazing, profound specificity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:06.250 \rightarrow 01:03:08.560$ But for everybody cares about the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:08.560 \longrightarrow 01:03:10.478$ It turns out it also gets into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:10.478 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.421$ dads brain that's a topic for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}03{:}12{.}421 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14{.}191$ another conversation of how these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:14.191 \rightarrow 01:03:15.675$ capit epididymal pacilio derived
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01{:}03{:}15.675 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}17.470$ vesicles get into an effect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:17.470 \dashrightarrow 01:03:20.440$ Adds brain, but we don't have time for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:20.440 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.624$ What I do want to end with is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:22.624 \rightarrow 01:03:24.787$ the take home message of why
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:24.787 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.707$ these ev's are so important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- 01:03:26.710 --> 01:03:28.702 'cause we're overtime if we actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789
- $01:03:28.702 \rightarrow 01:03:31.144$ take these eaves I was just telling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}31{.}144 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}33{.}244$ you about and perform an experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}33{.}244 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}35{.}050$ where we take out dads sperm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:03:35.050 \rightarrow 01:03:38.114$ From a control mouse divided into 2 pools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:03:38.120 \longrightarrow 01:03:39.281$ Perform fertilization technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:03:39.281 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:03:41.990 Also used in humans where we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}42.053 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}43.718$ incubate the control vesicles with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:03:43.718 \rightarrow 01:03:46.319$ half of dad sperm and the formerly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}46{.}319 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}48{.}489$ stress treated vesicles without sperm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:03:48.490 --> 01:03:51.010 Perform ixi where we're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:03:51.010 --> 01:03:53.026 doing intracytoplasmic sperm injection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}53{.}026 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}55{.}702$ so we can say in this pool versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}55{.}702 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57{.}672$ this pool and transfer them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}393$ the left and right side of the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}00.393 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}03.074$ mom with eggs from a different mom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:04:03.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.510$ So all factors being controlled for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}05{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}07{.}925$ We can look at the outcome both

 $01{:}04{:}07{.}925 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}09{.}756$ during development at mid gestation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}09.756 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}11.940$ for the developing brain and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}11{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}14{.}626$ the long term outcome and this is NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}14.626$ --> $01{:}04{:}16.511$ that capall amazing endpoint year NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}16{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}19{.}427$ to show you that if we look at the NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:04:19.427 \rightarrow 01:04:20.651$ transcriptional mid gestational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:04:20.651 \rightarrow 01:04:23.135$ development of the brain we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:04:23.135 \rightarrow 01:04:25.080$ huge differences only because and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01:04:25.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.174$ in partner to the vesicles that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}27{.}174 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}29{.}370$ those the sperm are incubated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

 $01{:}04{:}29{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}31{.}435$ So the developing brain around

NOTE Confidence: 0.8603789

01:04:31.435 --> 01:04:33.500 synaptic signaling etc likely changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:04:33.564 --> 01:04:35.159 again the rate of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}04{:}35{.}159 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}36{.}435$ brain but ultimately here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}04{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}38{.}642$ Those offspring showing you the same

 $01:04:38.642 \rightarrow 01:04:40.786$ phenotype that stress signal coming from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:04:40.786 --> 01:04:42.921 dads epidemie up at the other cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:04:42.921 \rightarrow 01:04:45.437$ shaped the developing brain of his offspring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}04{:}45{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}48{.}200$ They show the same hypo responsive HPA axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:04:48.200 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:51.323 I don't have time because we're way over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:04:51.323 \rightarrow 01:04:54.430$ tell you all about the human side of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}04{:}54{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}56{.}125$ but in a collaboration long standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:04:56.125 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.481$ collaboration that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:04:57.481 --> 01:04:58.579 with Neil Epperson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:04:58.580 \rightarrow 01:05:01.658$ who is now chair of psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:01.660 \dashrightarrow 01:05:03.375$ At Denver, we're doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:05:03.375 --> 01:05:05.090 human side of this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}05{:}05{.}090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}06{.}752$ and so we've just published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}05{:}06.752 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08.384$ study just came out actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:08.384 \rightarrow 01:05:10.240$ yesterday in scientific reports,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:10.240 \rightarrow 01:05:12.728$ so you can look at all this amazing

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:05:12.728 \longrightarrow 01:05:15.312$ data where we are able to look at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}15{.}312 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}17{.}929$ micro RNA and looking at the small
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:05:17.929 \rightarrow 01:05:20.094$ noncoding RNA content with perceived
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}20.094 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.165$ stress in human subjects and found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:05:22.165 \dashrightarrow 01:05:24.978$ amazing data here for the micro RNA content.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}24.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}27.038$ the T RNA fragment content and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}27.038 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}28.410$ the Pi RNA content.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- 01:05:28.410 --> 01:05:30.378 And I'm just going to point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}30{.}378 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}32{.}490$ out to Q Your interest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- 01:05:32.490 --> 01:05:34.320 If you look within subject here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:05:34.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.252$ so each of these is a different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:05:36.252 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.980$ Mail over time of collection,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:05:37.980 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.810$ so they came in each month.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}39{.}810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}42{.}154$ You start to see patterns in both the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}05{:}42{.}154 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}44{.}769$ Pi RNA's and you can also see the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:44.769 \rightarrow 01:05:46.830$ pattern in the micro RNA content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:46.830 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.600$ So cyclicity of pattern has never

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:05:48.600 --> 01:05:50.422 been shown before in male germ

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}05{:}50{.}422 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}52{.}162$ cells so I think super interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:52.162 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.840$ and this just highlights it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}05{:}53{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}56{.}280$ Again the data is all in the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}05{:}56{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57{.}841$ I'm not going to go through it

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:57.841 \rightarrow 01:05:59.944$ that we were with really rigorous

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:05:59.944 \rightarrow 01:06:00.860$ bioinformatic modeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}06{:}00.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}02.380$ able to identify those specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}06{:}02.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03.596$ within and between subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:06:03.600 \dashrightarrow 01:06:05.544$ So we had 20 subjects with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}06{:}05{.}544 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}07{.}320$ six donations a month apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}06{:}07{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}08{.}950$ We could identify actually patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:06:08.950 --> 01:06:11.274 of specific RNAs T RNA's in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:06:11.274 \rightarrow 01:06:13.194$ garnas that fit with their perceived

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- 01:06:13.194 --> 01:06:13.834 stress outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}13.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.765$ and this is where you taking this back in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:06:16.770 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.611$ then to the mouse where we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}18.611 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}20.784$ now ask if we take those specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}20.784 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}23.564$ targets and ask what do they do to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:06:23.564 \rightarrow 01:06:25.802$ the developing brain is a really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:06:25.802 \rightarrow 01:06:26.876$ reverse translation approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- 01:06:26.876 --> 01:06:28.506 So just to summarize again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:06:28.510 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.322$ there are many different ways for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}30{.}322 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}32{.}286$ these exercise or vesicles to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:06:32.286 \rightarrow 01:06:34.046$ really important for different populations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}34.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}35.838$ whether it be related to maternal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:06:35.838 \rightarrow 01:06:37.557$ health within the black community
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}37.557 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}38.970$ and discrimination stress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}06{:}38{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}41{.}364$ Within moms and dads and how the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:06:41.364 \rightarrow 01:06:43.010$ environment influences their signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:06:43.010 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:06:45.313 And I'm not going to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}06{:}45{.}313 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}47{.}202$ all the conclusions because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:06:47.202 --> 01:06:48.878 already highlighted them all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:06:48.880 --> 01:06:51.365 but I think as as an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:06:51.365 \longrightarrow 01:06:53.280$ of how biomarkers this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:06:53.280 --> 01:06:54.381 extracellular vesicles can

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:06:54.381 \longrightarrow 01:06:56.216$ both serve as a biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:06:56.220 --> 01:06:58.434 but also likely reverse reverse translate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:06:58.434 \rightarrow 01:07:00.620$ Aghbal causal mechanism to be explored,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:07:00.620 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.110$ I think is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:07:03.110 \longrightarrow 01:07:05.207$ I do need to take the time to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:07:05.207 --> 01:07:07.515 all the amazing people in the lab that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01{:}07{:}07{.}515 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09{.}878$ have done all of this incredible work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

01:07:09.880 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:07:11.770 Bridget Nugent worked on all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583

 $01:07:11.770 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.209$ placental studies and started looking

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01:07:13.209 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.232$ at those exercise or vesicles early on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- 01:07:15.240 --> 01:07:16.969 Chris Morgan has been doing the mouse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}07{:}16.969 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}18.887$ work as well as the human studies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81403583
- $01{:}07{:}18.887 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}20.573$ that we're currently working on with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:20.632 --> 01:07:21.718 Neal Epperson's group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:21.720 --> 01:07:23.463 Katie Morrison who is now an assistant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:23.463 --> 01:07:25.388 professor at the West Virginia University,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- $01:07:25.390 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.436$ West Virginia, started the studies in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:27.436 --> 01:07:28.800 collaboration with Tonya Jovanovitch
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:28.847 --> 01:07:30.455 is group who is now at Wayne State.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:30.460 --> 01:07:32.203 We're right in the midst of of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:32.203 --> 01:07:34.377 submitting a grant right now taking those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- $01{:}07{:}34.377 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}36.097$ extracellular vesicles and doing some.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- $01{:}07{:}36{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}37{.}620$ Happy Genomic Studies and again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921
- 01:07:37.620 --> 01:07:38.836 of course Neil Epperson,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01:07:38.836 \rightarrow 01:07:40.660$ who I haven't changed the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

01:07:40.660 --> 01:07:43.084 It looks like who's on here as pen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01:07:43.090 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.230$ but now is moved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

01:07:44.230 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:07:46.230 His chair of psychiatry again or please

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01{:}07{:}46{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48{.}327$ follow us as we really do do a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01{:}07{:}48.391$ --> $01{:}07{:}50.296$ of community engagement around these NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

01:07:50.296 --> 01:07:52.978 topics in Baltimore and around the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01:07:52.978 \rightarrow 01:07:55.666$ especially as I'm now president of Ebro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01{:}07{:}55.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}57.686$ And all of our funding agencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

01:07:57.690 --> 01:08:00.049 including any MH on ahs and NICHD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01{:}08{:}00{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}02{.}746$ and I'm happy to take questions first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01{:}08{:}02.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}05.369$ And I apologize for for going off on too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01:08:05.369 \dashrightarrow 01:08:07.798$ many tangents and take questions now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8093921

 $01:08:07.800 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.480$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:08:14.750 --> 01:08:20.748 Hi. I'm Rafael Perez hanging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}08{:}20.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}22.670$ It was an excellent talk.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}08{:}22.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}25.190$ I have a question about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:08:25.190 \longrightarrow 01:08:27.200$ The sequencing that you had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:08:27.200 --> 01:08:28.808 done when patients overnight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:08:28.808 --> 01:08:30.639 patients against your subjects,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:08:30.640 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.250$ so one of the things that we see in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}08{:}33{.}250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}36{.}381$ the Miz win stresses this segregation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:08:36.381 --> 01:08:38.593 of resiliency and susceptibility,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}08{:}38{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}40{.}910$ and I do see some body ability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}08{:}40{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}43{.}630$ to data that you showed today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:08:43.630 \rightarrow 01:08:46.072$ Have you guys began stratifying the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:08:46.072 --> 01:08:48.649 data looking at kind like Arnold,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:08:48.650 \rightarrow 01:08:51.164$ different outcomes in terms of startle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:08:51.164 \rightarrow 01:08:52.840$ or like psychiatric condition?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}08{:}52{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}55{.}210$ Yeah, so several, great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:08:55.210 --> 01:08:57.737 It is across a lot of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

- 01:08:57.737 --> 01:08:58.820 human subject studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}08{:}58{.}820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}00{.}264$ both the collaboration with

01:09:00.264 --> 01:09:02.430 Neil as well as with Tanya,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:02.430 \longrightarrow 01:09:04.596$ that we started looking at looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:04.596 \longrightarrow 01:09:06.040$ at the different responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}06.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}08.371$ both satisfying it as well as looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:08.371 \longrightarrow 01:09:10.521$ at trying to find associations within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:09:10.521 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:09:13.863 our data set so I didn't have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}13.863 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}16.502$ talk about the cell free mitochondrial DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:16.510 \longrightarrow 01:09:18.315$ which also is an incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:18.315 \longrightarrow 01:09:19.037$ interesting biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}19{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}21{.}364$ especially as has been recently shown by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:21.364 \rightarrow 01:09:24.090$ looking at many outcomes related to suicide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:09:24.090 --> 01:09:25.272 Alice, suicidality risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:25.272 \rightarrow 01:09:26.848$ and major depressive disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:26.850 \longrightarrow 01:09:27.978$ And responsiveness to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:09:27.978 --> 01:09:28.730 antidepressant treatment.

 $01{:}09{:}28.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}31.378$ Also, something really is easy to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}31{.}378 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}33{.}987$ if you're interested in new biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:33.990 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.246$ so trying to look at cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:09:36.246 --> 01:09:37.374 free mitochondrial DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:37.380 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.630$ which you can get from urine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:09:39.630 --> 01:09:40.758 plasma, saliva, hair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:40.758 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.390$ There's lots of ways to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:09:43.390 --> 01:09:46.774 Martin Picard is doing tons of work on this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:09:46.780 \longrightarrow 01:09:48.284$ so it's something really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}48.284 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}50.540$ And Raffield your question about both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}50.602 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}53.045$ looking at the risk and resilience piece,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}53.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}55.318$ but also the associations within the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}55{.}320 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}57{.}399$ So those that have high levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}09{:}57{.}399 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}59{.}562$ changes in protein in Eves or high

 $01{:}09{:}59{.}562 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}01{.}077$ levels of cell free mitochondrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}01{.}077 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}03.238$ DNA does that also associate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:10:03.238 --> 01:10:05.038 any of our physiological outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:10:05.040 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.332$ in those different groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}06.332 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}07.740$ So yes, we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}07{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}10{.}400$ We have begun looking at those outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}10{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}12{.}449$ related to risk and resilience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

01:10:12.450 --> 01:10:13.386 Doctor Bell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}13.386 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}15.726$ there's a question in chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}15.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}17.860$ Are the stress related changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:10:17.860 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.564$ in sperm alleviated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:10:19.564 \rightarrow 01:10:20.890$ pharmacological manipulations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}20.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}23.240$ SSR eyes, cortisol suppression, etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}23.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}24.668$ Great great questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01{:}10{:}24.668 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}27.524$ so we're currently both looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

 $01:10:27.524 \rightarrow 01:10:30.349$ this question in the rodent model

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:10:30.349 --> 01:10:32.614 and inhuman studies with Neil,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:10:32.620 --> 01:10:35.602 so I'll grant that we just submitted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:10:35.602 --> 01:10:38.904 last cycle with Neil is doing another
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:10:38.904 --> 01:10:40.840 recruitment of human subjects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}10{:}40.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}43.859$ to test our bioinformatic model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:10:43.860 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.044$ And so, in one of that Grant is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:10:46.044 \rightarrow 01:10:47.860$ dude really asked the question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:10:47.860 \rightarrow 01:10:49.300$ We've developed this really complex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:10:49.300 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.560 \text{ model if we tested on another cohort,}$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:10:51.560 \longrightarrow 01:10:52.868$ can we replicate it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}10{:}52.868 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}55.173$ But aim two is actually looking if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}10{:}55{.}173 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}57{.}405$ we start to add factors to our model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}10{:}57{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}00{.}173$ So one of the things we're adding is aces,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:00.180 --> 01:11:02.063 but we'd like to also go into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}11{:}02.063 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03.570$ different cohorts of individuals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502

- $01:11:03.570 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.560$ So for instance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:11:04.560 \rightarrow 01:11:06.540$ working with the VA and recruiting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:11:06.540 \rightarrow 01:11:08.190$ individuals with and without PTS di,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01{:}11{:}08{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}10{.}346$ or with and without PTS di treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:10.350 --> 01:11:12.974 So that answers the question of the human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:11:12.974 \rightarrow 01:11:15.307$ subject studies and looking at associations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:15.310 --> 01:11:17.236 We are just now because we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:11:17.236 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.139$ have a culture model as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:19.140 --> 01:11:21.054 So culture model as well as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- $01:11:21.054 \rightarrow 01:11:22.835$ mouse model on this starting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:22.835 --> 01:11:24.985 to ask about reverse ability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:24.990 --> 01:11:26.700 I want to be careful with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8859502
- 01:11:26.700 --> 01:11:27.840 that because I don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}27{.}902 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}30{.}541$ want to suggest that the changes we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:11:30.541 --> 01:11:32.300 seeing are necessarily diseased.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:11:32.300 --> 01:11:34.040 Predicting necessarily there just changes,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:11:34.040 \rightarrow 01:11:36.385$ right? So we see differences in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}36{.}385 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}38{.}907$ offspring and how they respond to stress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:11:38.910 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.339$ That may be a predictor of other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:11:41.339 --> 01:11:42.740 nerve psychiatric disease risk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:11:42.740 \dashrightarrow 01:11:45.516$ but it could be, as Rafael pointed out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:11:45.520 --> 01:11:47.260 a hallmark of resilience, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}47.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}49.696$ We don't know, because there are mice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}49{.}700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}52{.}346$ What we do know is that we can model
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}52{.}346 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}54{.}509$ that stress in the environment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}54{.}509 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}56{.}744$ and resolution of that stress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}56.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}59.204$ Returning the cells in their reproductive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}11{:}59{.}204 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}02{.}184$ track to a new allostatic setpoint alters
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:12:02.184 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:12:05.809 the content of the sperm that I can say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}12{:}05{.}810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}08{.}428$ But these questions of can we reverse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}12{:}08{.}428 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}10{.}868$ them with drug treatment, etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:10.868 \rightarrow 01:12:13.458$ Something we're currently exploring we've.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}12{:}13.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}15.962$ Tossed around the idea in the mice of if

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}12{:}15{.}962 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}18{.}546$ stress and resolution produces these changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:18.550 \longrightarrow 01:12:20.240$ What kind of effector would

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}12{:}20.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}21.592$ reverse them at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}12{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}23.728$ We were looking at already at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:23.728 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.064$ epigenetic the histone modifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:25.064 \rightarrow 01:12:27.248$ that produce these changes long lasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:12:27.248 --> 01:12:29.409 So what would reverse that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}12{:}29{.}409 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}31{.}431$ it be the perception of something

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:31.431 \rightarrow 01:12:33.069$ rewarding environment for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:33.069 \rightarrow 01:12:35.234$ is something we're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:12:35.240 --> 01:12:37.064 It's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:37.064 \rightarrow 01:12:38.768$ OK, there's another question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}12{:}38.768 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}40.848$ There's evidence that in response

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:12:40.848 \longrightarrow 01:12:42.979$ to a maternal infection,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:12:42.980 --> 01:12:44.884 placental inflammatory cytokines such
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:12:44.884 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.650$ as one L-1B can be detrimental to fetal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:12:48.650 \rightarrow 01:12:51.170$ brain development in your stress model.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:12:51.170 --> 01:12:53.440 Do you see evidence of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:12:53.440 --> 01:12:54.348 placental inflammation?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:12:54.350 --> 01:12:56.036 An if so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:12:56.036 \rightarrow 01:12:59.408$ are these responses also sex specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:12:59.408 \rightarrow 01:13:02.069$ correlating with placental oh GT?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:13:02.070 --> 01:13:03.320 'cause am I laughing because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:13:03.320 \longrightarrow 01:13:04.570$ it's such a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:13:04.570 --> 01:13:06.453 It makes me wonder if someone like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:13:06.453 \rightarrow 01:13:08.320$ actually already know our data to ask that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:13:08.320 --> 01:13:09.036 But yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:13:09.036 --> 01:13:11.542 great question and we've done all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}13{:}11{.}542 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}14{.}019$ those in the workers all published.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:13:14.020 --> 01:13:16.484 Uh Stephanie Bronson is first author in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:16.484 \rightarrow 01:13:19.758$ a couple of those papers that we looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:19.760 \longrightarrow 01:13:22.112$ We've done both looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:13:22.112 --> 01:13:23.680 inflammasome and inflammatory responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:23.740 \longrightarrow 01:13:25.868$ in the placenta with her stress model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:25.870 \longrightarrow 01:13:27.660$ So the answer is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}13{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}29.704$ we do see increases and we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:13:29.704 --> 01:13:31.610 see it sex specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:13:31.610 --> 01:13:36.331 So while I showed you data on Strat How

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:36.331 \rightarrow 01:13:39.459$ come we see this time and time again?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:39.460 \longrightarrow 01:13:41.806$ Whether it's giving mom an inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:13:41.806 --> 01:13:43.764 response, giving mom a stressor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:13:43.764 --> 01:13:45.719 giving mom a dietary challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:45.720 \longrightarrow 01:13:46.578$ that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}13{:}46{.}578 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}49{.}581$ the male placenta shows thousands of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:13:49.581 \rightarrow 01:13:52.570$ that respond to that that challenge RE.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:13:52.570 \rightarrow 01:13:54.730$ Little, very selectively that happens in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01{:}13{:}54{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}57{.}200$ the female placenta in this same uterus,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:13:57.200 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.566$ so it fits the hypothesis that oh
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:13:59.566 \rightarrow 01:14:01.828$ GT is titrating that for females.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:14:01.830 --> 01:14:04.318 So again yes we see a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:14:04.318 --> 01:14:05.740 those same outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:14:05.740 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.520$ Anna manuscript that just came
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:14:07.520 \longrightarrow 01:14:09.300$ out in Placenta from Yasmine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:14:09.300 \longrightarrow 01:14:11.436$ See say here she's doing again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:14:11.440 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.550$ This is actually a picture
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:14:13.550 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.238$ right here of Yasmine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:14:15.240 --> 01:14:17.564 She's doing work in both the mouse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- $01:14:17.564 \rightarrow 01:14:19.739$ and the human studies as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:14:19.740 --> 01:14:21.076 In the black community,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945
- 01:14:21.076 --> 01:14:23.080 where we see very similar outcomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:14:23.142 \rightarrow 01:14:25.284$ and that work just published in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:14:25.284 \rightarrow 01:14:26.712$ Placenta shows really dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}14{:}26.775 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}29.113$ changes in the Mail placenta as it NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

01:14:29.113 --> 01:14:30.466 relates to inflammatory responses NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01{:}14{:}30{.}466 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}32{.}196$ and really very subtle differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.858945

 $01:14:32.196 \longrightarrow 01:14:33.580$ in the female placental.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:14:37.770 --> 01:14:40.938 Tracy, I have a question Hygiene

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:14:40.938 --> 01:14:44.435 High that was just a
mazing talk on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}14{:}44{.}435 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}48{.}570$ all fronts and I have a I guess sort NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:14:48.570 --> 01:14:51.738 of basic biology question and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:14:51.738 --> 01:14:55.392 is in terms of your placental oh GT

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}14{:}55{.}392 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}58{.}810$ data in this sex specific effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}14{:}58{.}810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}02{.}602$ When you did you manipulation in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:15:02.602 \rightarrow 01:15:06.375$ the header zygosity of the effects, why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:15:06.375 --> 01:15:09.100 I guess I was thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:15:09.100 --> 01:15:14.660 Why wouldn't X? Inactivation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:15:14.660 --> 01:15:17.204 Mitigate some of the sex specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}15{:}17{.}204 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}19{.}995$ differences or do not have inactivation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:15:19.995 \longrightarrow 01:15:22.530$ at that part of development.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:15:22.530 \longrightarrow 01:15:24.938$ Yep, so this is a great question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:15:24.938 --> 01:15:27.175 and something that we're looking at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}15{:}27{.}175 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}29{.}443$ from a very genetic and molecular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:15:29.443 \longrightarrow 01:15:30.970$ point we've looked at.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:15:30.970 \longrightarrow 01:15:33.994$ Oh GT, we have an OG T conditional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}15{:}33{.}994 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}36{.}850$ mouse that allows us to look at it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}15{:}36.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}40.144$ and in lots of places in including the brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:15:40.150 \rightarrow 01:15:42.621$ the Placenta appears to be the only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:15:42.621 \longrightarrow 01:15:44.550$ tissue that we've found where,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}15{:}44{.}550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}46{.}920$ oh GT escapes X inactivation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:15:46.920 --> 01:15:49.566 I don't have a justification or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}15{:}49{.}566 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}52{.}010$ even a hypothesis as to why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:15:52.010 \rightarrow 01:15:54.453$ So related to the point of why

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:15:54.453 --> 01:15:57.039 we see sex specific effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:15:57.040 --> 01:16:00.352 or we know that oh GT and oblique

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}16{:}00{.}352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}02{.}070$ in oculation intersects with exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:02.070 --> 01:16:04.800 I don't know why oh GT escapes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:16:04.800 \longrightarrow 01:16:07.090$ Axon activation of the placenta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:16:07.090 \longrightarrow 01:16:10.114$ but it clearly does in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:10.114 --> 01:16:11.626 trophoblast cells only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:11.630 --> 01:16:14.246 But oh GT and oblique inoculation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:14.246 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:16:17.690 as I showed you with the changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:17.690 --> 01:16:20.360 in H3K27 Trimethylation is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}16{:}20.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}23.218$ required event for X inactivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:23.220 --> 01:16:26.199 So there is a lot of sex differences that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:26.199 --> 01:16:29.114 end up resulting from the requirement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}16{:}29{.}114 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}31{.}619$ of that increased repressive Mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:16:31.620 --> 01:16:32.880 H3K27 Trimethylation necessity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:16:32.880 --> 01:16:34.980 as part of X inactivation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:16:34.980 --> 01:16:37.920 So it's sort of a circular involvement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:16:37.920 --> 01:16:38.760 So Jane,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}16{:}38.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}41.280$ I think that's a provocative question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:16:41.280 \rightarrow 01:16:42.988$ Is there something evolutionarily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:16:42.988 --> 01:16:46.017 as to why a female trophoblast cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:16:46.017 --> 01:16:48.417 escapes X inactivation for this gene?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:16:48.420 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.940$ So if you look at our,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:16:50.940 --> 01:16:55.000 there's a PNS paper from 2013, I think.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:16:55.000 \rightarrow 01:16:57.310$ From Chris Howerton.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:16:57.310 \longrightarrow 01:16:59.548$ That that we did his initial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}16{:}59{.}548 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}01{.}480$ screening looking for candidate genes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:17:01.480 \longrightarrow 01:17:03.784$ There was about seven or eight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:17:03.784 --> 01:17:05.650 of them that came up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:17:05.650 \rightarrow 01:17:08.674$ and they were all X or Y linked,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:08.680 \longrightarrow 01:17:10.570$ so the placenta seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:10.570 \longrightarrow 01:17:12.082$ be this interesting tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:17:12.090 --> 01:17:12.453 Evolutionarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:17:12.453 --> 01:17:15.357 I don't know why that has very sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:17:15.357 --> 01:17:17.364 specific chromatin regulation that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:17.364 \rightarrow 01:17:19.728$ have identified some of the players

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:19.793 \rightarrow 01:17:21.947$ with no GT beans clearly important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:21.950 \longrightarrow 01:17:23.840$ but it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:17:23.840 --> 01:17:26.150 I wonder if the four core

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:26.150 \longrightarrow 01:17:27.305$ genotyped mice Mount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}17{:}27{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}29{.}522$ Might be a model where you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:29.522 \longrightarrow 01:17:32.187$ look at that right so S or Y for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01{:}17{:}32{.}187 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}34{.}071$ the four cores that James talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:34.071 \rightarrow 01:17:36.577$ about this or why gene is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

01:17:36.577 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:17:38.545 drives those four core that Jane

NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925

 $01:17:38.545 \rightarrow 01:17:39.829$ your group is published.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:17:39.830 \rightarrow 01:17:42.077$ Some really intriguing brain data out of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:17:42.080 \longrightarrow 01:17:44.920$ For that those studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}17{:}44.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}46.968$ The four core are based off of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}17{:}46.968 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}48.650$ SRY that's been placed AutoZone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}17{:}48.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}50.870$ Moe so they can segregate testes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}17{:}50.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}52.362$ development and test osterone production
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}17{:}52.362 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}54.486$ as part of those four cores.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:17:54.490 --> 01:17:56.140 Yeah, it's an interesting question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:17:56.140 \longrightarrow 01:17:58.642$ If you if you made if you took oh
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01{:}17{:}58.642 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}01.487$ GT out of its locus and made it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:18:01.487 \longrightarrow 01:18:03.997$ over expressed in a way that it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- 01:18:03.997 --> 01:18:06.037 wasn't X inactivated or it's X
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:18:06.040 \longrightarrow 01:18:07.292$ levels increased his expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8162925
- $01:18:07.292 \longrightarrow 01:18:09.170$ in the Mail trophoblast cell for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78433484
- $01{:}18{:}09{.}229 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}11{.}405$ instance, would you see the opposite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78433484

- $01{:}18{:}11{.}405 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}13{.}140$ sorts of effects and protective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.78433484
- 01:18:13.202 --> 01:18:14.930 mechanism in males? Great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:17.170 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.822$ There is another question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- 01:18:18.822 --> 01:18:21.750 Will interventions at any specific age group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:21.750 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.655$ for example 13 to 18 year olds,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- 01:18:24.660 --> 01:18:27.295 reduce reverse stress related changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:27.295 \rightarrow 01:18:31.490$ that could be passed on to the offspring?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:31.490 \longrightarrow 01:18:32.738$ It's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- 01:18:32.738 --> 01:18:34.610 I assume that's in reference to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:34.672 \rightarrow 01:18:36.348$ the collaboration with Tonya,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- 01:18:36.350 --> 01:18:38.080 but there's lots of studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- 01:18:38.080 --> 01:18:39.468 certainly not just ours,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:39.468 \longrightarrow 01:18:41.550$ like that field is pretty wide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:41.550 \rightarrow 01:18:43.590$ That adolescence is a unique time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:43.590 \rightarrow 01:18:45.370$ window for specially for women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- 01:18:45.370 01:18:47.770 but not just women but a unique time

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091
- $01:18:47.770 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.227$ window for vulnerability for the brain,

 $01{:}18{:}50{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}51{.}960$ for adversity in the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

01:18:51.960 - 01:18:54.389 I I'm super excited about this data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:18:54.389 \longrightarrow 01:18:56.650$ with Tonya, and this is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

01:18:56.650 --> 01:18:58.990 really brand new data that Tony

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:18:59.068 \longrightarrow 01:19:01.208$ and I've been talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:01.210 \longrightarrow 01:19:02.458$ What does it mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:02.458 \rightarrow 01:19:05.604$ Why is this skin as part of your as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:05.604 \rightarrow 01:19:08.560$ of your wide cast sort of stress network?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:08.560 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.898$ This skin has really ultimately been ignored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:10.900 \longrightarrow 01:19:12.232$ How is that uniquely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:12.232 \rightarrow 01:19:13.564$ vulnerable during that window?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

01:19:13.570 --> 01:19:14.902 I mean, it's there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

01:19:14.902 --> 01:19:15.568 Great questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01:19:15.570 \longrightarrow 01:19:17.240$ haven't really figured it out.

 $01:19:17.240 \longrightarrow 01:19:18.830$ I'm not sure that keratinocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01{:}19{:}18.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}20.795$ maturation or even the stem cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01{:}19{:}20.795 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}22.703$ there have been that well documented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

01:19:22.703 --> 01:19:24.919 changes in your skin over adolescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01{:}19{:}24{.}920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}27{.}258$ I think we can all account for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

 $01{:}19{:}27.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}29.924$ but what it means for the stem cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8999091

01:19:29.930 --> 01:19:30.938 I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9157843

 $01:19:38.350 \longrightarrow 01:19:41.635$ That is it in chat. Unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.9157843

01:19:41.635 --> 01:19:43.610 any
body else has a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779569864

01:19:48.100 --> 01:19:51.470 Alright, thank. Q Doctor bail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779569864

 $01:19:51.470 \longrightarrow 01:19:53.340$ Thank you so much, Tracy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779569864

 $01:19:53.340 \longrightarrow 01:19:55.614$ Thank you. Nice to see everybody.