WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:10:08.1260000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.832913 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.445 My name is Michelle Hampson. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913 00:00:01.445 --> 00:00:03.512 I'm going to be talking today about NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:03.512 \rightarrow 00:00:05.776$  some work that our group has been doing NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:05.835 \rightarrow 00:00:07.635$  using real time fMRI neurofeedback to NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:07.635 \rightarrow 00:00:09.758$  try to treat and study mental illness. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:09.758 \rightarrow 00:00:12.110$  So what do we mean by real time? NOTE Confidence: 0.832913 00:00:12.110 --> 00:00:12.686 fMRI neurofeedback? NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:12.686 \rightarrow 00:00:14.702$  Well, this is a picture of somebody NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:14.702 \rightarrow 00:00:16.230$  receiving this form of neurofeedback. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913 00:00:16.230 --> 00:00:18.018 They're lying in an MRI scanner NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:18.018 \rightarrow 00:00:19.568$  and we're monitoring their brain NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:19.568 \rightarrow 00:00:21.356$  activity patterns and we give them NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:21.356 \rightarrow 00:00:23.287$  feedback over the course of the scan, NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:00:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.132$  showing them how some aspect of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:00:25.132 \rightarrow 00:00:26.678$  their brain activity is changing

 $00:00:26.678 \dashrightarrow 00:00:28.774$  over the course of the of the scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}28.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.902$  and they get lots of practice trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}30{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32{.}395$  to control that brain activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}32.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.105$  Using the feedback that we give

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}34.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.910$  them as a training signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37{.}710$  So there's many different applications

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:00:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.851$  using this technique across the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:00:39.851 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.867$  to try to train people to control

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}41.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.727$  specific aspects of their brain function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:00:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.019$  I'm going to talk today about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}46.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.574$  specific application where we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:00:47.574 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.352$  this to try to train people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50{.}910$  control contamination anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

00:00:50.910 --> 00:00:53.510 So why am I focused on contamination anxiety?

- 00:00:53.510 --> 00:00:53.836 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- 00:00:53.836 --> 00:00:55.466 it's a major symptom dimension
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- 00:00:55.466 --> 00:00:56.770 of obsessive compulsive disorder,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:00:56.770 \dashrightarrow 00:00:59.052$  and it's also common in the healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:00:59.052 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.378$  population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:00:59.380 \dashrightarrow 00:01:01.858$  An regardless of whether you're a piece
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:01:01.858 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.597$  of patient with OC D or a healthy person.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- 00:01:04.600 --> 00:01:06.230 If you're experiencing contamination anxiety,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00{:}01{:}06{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}318$  we know that you have hyperactivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00{:}01{:}08.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.880$  And your orbital frontal cortex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00{:}01{:}09{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}977$  So this is an area right at the front
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:01:11.977 \rightarrow 00:01:14.450$  of your brain which provides kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:01:14.450 \rightarrow 00:01:16.430$  a biomarker of contamination anxiety.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:01:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.774$  And we were wondering if we train people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- 00:01:18.774 --> 00:01:20.800 to control activity in this region,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00:01:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.672$  will that help them to better

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832913
- $00{:}01{:}22.672$  -->  $00{:}01{:}23.920$  control their contamination anxiety?

 $00{:}01{:}23{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25{.}714$  So that's the study I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:25.714 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.350$  to describe to you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.222$  In this study we collected three

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:29.222 \dashrightarrow 00:01:30.158$  different outcome measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

00:01:30.160 --> 00:01:31.051 First of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:31.051 \rightarrow 00:01:33.130$  we assessed how well the training enabled

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:33.189 \rightarrow 00:01:35.457$  people to control their target brain area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.020$  We also monitor brain connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.606$  pattern changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:37.606 \rightarrow 00:01:39.657$  So we collected resting state data before

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41{.}709$  the intervention after the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:01:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.732$  To see if the training basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}01{:}43.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.421$  rewired their brain connectivity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}01{:}45{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}46{.}821$  then Thirdly and most importantly

 $00:01:46.821 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.028$  we had a measure of control over NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00{:}01{:}49{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50{.}743$  contamination anxiety that we collected NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00{:}01{:}50{.}743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52{.}514$  before the training and after the NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:01:52.514 \rightarrow 00:01:54.140$  training to see if the neurofeedback NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:01:54.188 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.078$  training improved people's ability to NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:01:56.078 \rightarrow 00:01:57.590$  control their contamination anxiety. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:01:57.590 \dashrightarrow 00:01:59.438$  The last thing I'll mention before NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:01:59.438 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.757$  going on is that in addition to NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00{:}02{:}01{.}757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03{.}791$  the subjects who got real neural NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:02:03.791 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.038$  feedback in this study, NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:02:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.007$  we had a control group that received NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:02:07.007 \rightarrow 00:02:09.569$  a fake or sham form of neurofeedback. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:02:09.570 \rightarrow 00:02:12.940$  So just so you have a sense of what the. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:02:12.940 \rightarrow 00:02:14.230$  Neurofeedback is like for participants. NOTE Confidence: 0.832913 00:02:14.230 --> 00:02:16.036 I'm going to show you a video, NOTE Confidence: 0.832913  $00:02:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.594$  which is exactly what one of our

 $00:02:17.594 \rightarrow 00:02:19.648$  subjects saw in the neural feedback training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:02:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.514$  On the left is an arrow that cues

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:02:21.514 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.258$  the mess to their current task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00:02:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.868$  When it's a white arrow pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

00:02:24.868 - > 00:02:26.609 forward that tells them just to rest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}02{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.521$  relax and look at the neutral image

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}02{:}28.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.727$  at other periods of time it will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}737$  red arrow pointing up or a blue arrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.832913

 $00{:}02{:}32.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.345$  pointing down and that skewing them NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.862$  to try to increase or decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}35.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.449$  activity in the target brain area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}37{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}615$  And during those periods of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:02:38.615 --> 00:02:39.547 provocative images shown depicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40{.}798$  some contamination related thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:40.800 \rightarrow 00:02:42.258$  So I've started playing the video

 $00{:}02{:}42.258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.090$  and you can see the feedback is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.710$  provided here in this line graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}570$  At the bottom of the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:02:47.570 --> 00:02:48.720 And right now they're just

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.870$  resting and looking at what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}308$  happening in their orbital cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:02:51.310 --> 00:02:52.798 but now it's an increased block,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.050$  so now they're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}54.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.540$  get the line to go up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.986$  They can look at this picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:56.986 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.529$  of a dirty stove and think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}02{:}58{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}775$  oh that's a food surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:02:59.775 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.020$  that really should be clean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.721$  Whatever is going to be helpful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:02.721 \rightarrow 00:03:03.993$  activate this anxiety related brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:03.993 \rightarrow 00:03:05.743$  area Now it's going to decrease block,

 $00:03:05.750 \rightarrow 00:03:06.990$  so they're going to decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}06{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}418$  Block is to bring the activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09{.}939$  in this area back down there

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:09.939 \rightarrow 00:03:11.469$  supposed to look at the image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.059$  but they can be appraised it you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:03:13.059 --> 00:03:14.709 know you're in is usually sterile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:14.710 \rightarrow 00:03:16.210$  There's nothing to be worried about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:16.210 \rightarrow 00:03:18.274$  Whatever they think is going to be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}18.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.552$  For controlling that region and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:19.552 \rightarrow 00:03:20.959$  it's arrest block so they basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:20.959 \dashrightarrow 00:03:22.254$  cycle through these three blocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}22{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23{.}838$  getting lots and lots of practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:23.838 \rightarrow 00:03:25.599$  trying to get activity in the target

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}25{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}139$  brain area to increase in the red

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:27.194 \rightarrow 00:03:28.976$  blocks and decreasing the blue blocks.

 $00:03:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.008$  And the sham subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}32{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}962$  Our match one to one to the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}35{.}111$  neurofeedback subjects an are shown NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:35.111 \rightarrow 00:03:36.539$  at the time courses from the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:03:36.588 --> 00:03:38.008 neural feedback subjects brains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}38{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}480$  but misled to believe that getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}760$  feedback from their own brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.552$  So to the extent that the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}42.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.581$  neurofeedback subject got the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:03:43.581 --> 00:03:45.079 line higher in the red than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}03{:}45{.}079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46{.}369$  blue blocks there Matcham subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:46.369 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.714$  is misled to believe they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:47.714 \rightarrow 00:03:49.224$  having a similar level of success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:49.224 \rightarrow 00:03:51.757$  So that was the kind of control that we used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.260$  If you want to know anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.260$  else about our methods,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:03:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.926$  we have a job paper with a

00:03:55.926 --> 00:03:57.260 cheesy video you can watch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.760$  but I'm going to present to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.760$  you now the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:03:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.788$  So first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:00.788 \dashrightarrow 00:04:02.330$  did the training help them better

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:02.381 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.817$  control their target region?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.100$  We evaluated that ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.380$  control the target region at

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}04{:}06{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}918$  four points throughout the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}04{:}07{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09{.}468$  The important thing to note is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}458$  that at the end of the training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:04:11.460 --> 00:04:12.588 they had statistically significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

00:04:12.588 --> 00:04:14.280 greater control than they did at

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.560$  the beginning of the training.

- $00:04:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.110$  So indeed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00{:}04{:}16.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}17.485$  it seemed like the neurofeedback
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- 00:04:17.485 --> 00:04:18.886 training did improve their ability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:18.886 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.464$  to control their target brain area,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:20.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.289$  which is encouraging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00{:}04{:}21.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.927$  But the more important question is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.200$  does it actually translate into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:24.200 \rightarrow 00:04:25.805$  anything outside of the scanner so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00{:}04{:}25.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.527$  they actually get better at controlling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:27.527 \rightarrow 00:04:28.388$  their contamination anxiety?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.107$  So for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00{:}04{:}29{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30{.}541$  we turn to our behavioral measure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:30.541 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.083$  that we collected before and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:32.083 \rightarrow 00:04:33.025$  after the intervention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- 00:04:33.030 --> 00:04:33.302 Indeed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364
- $00:04:33.302 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.118$  what we found.

 $00:04:34.118 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.750$  Is that the training did improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37{.}266$  ability to control contamination

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:37.266 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.730$  anxiety in the neurofeedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.635$  subjects and it had no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:40.635 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.035$  effect and the control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.540$  So this was really exciting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}04{:}43.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.262$  us because it implies that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00:04:45.262 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.922$  training can help people better

NOTE Confidence: 0.80956364

 $00{:}04{:}46{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}918$  control their contamination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:04:47.975 --> 00:04:49.229 anxiety and contamination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:04:49.230 \rightarrow 00:04:52.047$  Anxiety is a major symptom dimension of OC D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}04{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.138$  so that means that it has the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}120$  effects in the clinical population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}368$  This intervention would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:04:57.368 --> 00:04:58.304 direct clinical utility,

00:04:58.310 - > 00:05:00.188 but it raises the question well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}996$  what's going on in the brains of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:01.996 \rightarrow 00:05:03.535$  these people that they're better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:05:03.535 --> 00:05:05.295 able to control their contamination

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:05.295 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.870$  anxiety after the training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.190$  So for that we looked at the resting NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:05:09.190 --> 00:05:10.818 state connectivity changes we used

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:10.818 \rightarrow 00:05:12.468$  to measure of global connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:12.470 \dashrightarrow 00:05:14.654$  where we evaluate which parts of the NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}14.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.334$  brain changed their global amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:05:16.334 --> 00:05:18.374 of connectivity over the course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:05:18.374 --> 00:05:20.458 the training and what we found is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}20{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}079$  that these areas shown with red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:22.079 \rightarrow 00:05:22.637$  yellow colors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}22.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.753$  whereas it had significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:23.753 \rightarrow 00:05:25.002$  increases in global connectivity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518
- $00:05:25.002 \dashrightarrow 00:05:26.458$  in the neurofeedback subjects.

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}875$  These are prefrontal control areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}27.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.044$  and the areas shown in blue had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:30.044 \rightarrow 00:05:31.436$  decreases in global connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.498$  so these are a lot of limbic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:33.498 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.768$  emotion processing parts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:34.768 \rightarrow 00:05:36.096$  the brain including insula,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:36.100 \rightarrow 00:05:37.304$  substantia nigra, amygdala, hippocampus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:37.304 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.540$  So it seemed like this is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.671$  consistent with neurobiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:05:40.671 --> 00:05:42.179 models of emotion regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}42{.}180$  -->  $00{:}05{:}44{.}085$  whereby prefrontal control areas come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}44.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.990$  online to help downregulate processing NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}46.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.058$  in limbic or emotion parts of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:05:48.060 --> 00:05:50.370 And it seemed like the neural feedback NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:05:50.370 \rightarrow 00:05:52.282$  was strengthening that circuitry an I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}291$  should note that this is these effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}54{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56{.}568$  were specific to the neurofeedback group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}570$  -->  $00{:}05{:}58{.}746$  We did a similar analysis in the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}05{:}58.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.895$  group and there were no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02{.}443$  changes in global connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}02.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.970$  But this is the pattern we see in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:06:04.970 --> 00:06:07.736 group of neurofeedback subjects as a whole.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:06:07.740 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:06:09.668 An within this group there are a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:06:09.668 --> 00:06:10.999 of differences between subjects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}11.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.136$  so some subjects really get a lot from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}13.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.855$  the intervention and other subjects find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}14.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.990$  it frustrating and get very little from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}16{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18{.}990$  So we wanted to see what's happening in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.799$  the brains of those good responders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.075$  and we computed correlation map

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518
- $00{:}06{:}22.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.692$  examining which parts of the brain

 $00{:}06{:}23.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.147$  had changes in global connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}25{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27{.}047$  and the people who really responded well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:27.050 \rightarrow 00:06:28.682$  And what we found jumping out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:28.682 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.498$  of this analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:29.500 \rightarrow 00:06:31.222$  This is analysis that treats every

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:31.222 \rightarrow 00:06:33.307$  part of the brain in the same way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.930$  but what popped out of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}34{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}395$  analysis was our target region

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:06:36.395 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.030$  of the orbital frontal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}38.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.614$  So the people who were rewiring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:06:39.614 --> 00:06:41.365 this target area where the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}41.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42.975$  who are really gaining control

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}42.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.390$  over their contamination anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}44.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.935$  which is encouraging that we're

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48{.}029$  targeting a reasonable part of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}48.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.446$  If you manage to rewire the brain area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}564$  you get better control over your anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}52{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}705$  So we thought all this data was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}54.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.617$  really promising and we decided we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}56{.}617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}483$  wanted to move forward in studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}147$  this in an OC D population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:07:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.558$  So we applied for funding from NIH and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}07{:}02.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.299$  we're now running a clinical trial in OC T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}322$  This is a randomized double blind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:07:07.322 --> 00:07:09.025 place<br/>bo controlled trial which were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.789$  actually close to wrapping up now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:07:10.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.662$  It's very similar to the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:07:12.662 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.910$  I just described to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:07:13.910 \dashrightarrow 00:07:15.620$  except that we're running OC D

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:07:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.760$  patients and we're monitoring

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518
- $00:07:16.816 \rightarrow 00:07:18.481$  symptoms with classic symptom scales

 $00{:}07{:}18.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.146$  rather than a behavioral measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

00:07:20.150 --> 00:07:21.435 But one interesting new aspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8354518

 $00:07:21.435 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.720$  of the study is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:07:22.773 --> 00:07:24.801 we're following these subjects up clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:24.801 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.009$  after they receive the neural feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.818$  So in the study I just described to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.068$  we assess their ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:31.068 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.004$  their, their contamination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:32.004 \rightarrow 00:07:34.500$  symptoms of a few days after the training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.372$  and we do that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}36{.}372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37{.}620$  clinical trial as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.762$  But in addition, we bring them back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}39.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42.067$  two weeks later and assess them again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}42.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.848$  Then we also bring back a month

 $00:07:43.848 \rightarrow 00:07:45.391$  later and assess their symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:45.391 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.839$  amonth after the training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}46.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.676$  So in this clinical trial we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}48.676$  -->  $00{:}07{:}50.257$  actually following them from month

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}50.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.063$  post neurofeedback and the reason we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}52.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.396$  doing that as we wanted to rule out NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}54.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.043$  the possibility that the effects we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:56.043 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.884$  are inducing were just transient so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:07:57.884 \dashrightarrow 00:07:59.650$  wouldn't be super clinically useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}07{:}59.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.318$  If you know participants who got

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:08:01.318 --> 00:08:02.430 real neurofeedback got better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}02{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}050$  while they're receiving the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:08:04.050 --> 00:08:05.306 feedback and their symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:08:05.310 --> 00:08:06.114 you know decreased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:06.114 \rightarrow 00:08:08.889$  and then as soon as you know feedback ended,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.380$  they regressed back to baseline.

 $00:08:10.380 \rightarrow 00:08:12.306$  That wouldn't be super clinically useful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:12.306 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.299$  'cause you kind of need something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:14.299 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.255$  that persists in a person's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:16.260 \dashrightarrow 00:08:18.244$  What we were hoping to show by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:08:18.244 --> 00:08:20.214 this follow up is that the improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22{.}290$  in symptoms that we induced with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}22.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.790$  training were maintained during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:23.790 \rightarrow 00:08:25.722$  month that we were following up afterwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:25.722 \rightarrow 00:08:27.780$  but we found was actually quite surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.904$  The subjects got better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:28.904 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.747$  during the neurofeedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}374$  but they continued to get better for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}088$  a month after the neurofeedback so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34{.}693$  that the biggest differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:34.693 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.502$  the control group and the real

 $00:08:36.502 \rightarrow 00:08:37.618$  neurofeedback group were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}37{.}618$  -->  $00{:}08{:}38{.}734$  a month after training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:38.734 \rightarrow 00:08:40.119$  So this was totally surprising

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:40.119 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.549$  to us and we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}41.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.391$  is this specific to OC D is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:43.391 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.640$  this like an idiot?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:44.640 \rightarrow 00:08:46.050$  Syncratic phenomena for this application?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}46.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.887$  But then we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}46.887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.840$  We went and looked at another study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:08:48.901 --> 00:08:50.875 we were running in Trett syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:50.880 \dashrightarrow 00:08:52.668$  which showed exactly the same pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:52.670 \rightarrow 00:08:54.458$  This was a different population being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:08:54.458 --> 00:08:56.249 trained on a different brain area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}56{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57{.}735$  but we are assessing different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58{.}626$  type of symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:08:58.630 \rightarrow 00:09:00.716$  But we saw the same qualitative pattern,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.473$  so we thought,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:01.473 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.724$  well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:01.724 \rightarrow 00:09:03.590$  maybe this is not an uncommon pattern
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:03.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.402$  in neurofeedback experiments and so we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:05.402 \rightarrow 00:09:07.867$  wrote this up and published in neuroimaging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00{:}09{:}07{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09{.}430$  It since heard from multiple groups
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.887$  that they are seeing similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:10.887 \dashrightarrow 00:09:12.339$  patterns in other applications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00{:}09{:}12.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.956$  So it's a really important for the people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:14.956 \rightarrow 00:09:17.108$  designing studies in our field to know this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- 00:09:17.110 --> 00:09:18.680 Because if you're going to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- 00:09:18.680 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:09:20.168 Running her feedback study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:20.168 \rightarrow 00:09:22.400$  it's very important to follow people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00{:}09{:}22.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.663$  up for a month or you might be missing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556
- $00:09:24.663 \dashrightarrow 00:09:26.717$  the time point of greatest effect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.704$  So this that I've described here is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:28.704 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.008$  one of the studies were running at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:09:31.010 --> 00:09:32.504 There are many different ongoing studies NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:32.504 \rightarrow 00:09:34.490$  looking at all sorts of different disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.086$  If you're interested in using real

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00{:}09{:}36{.}086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}731$  time after a feedback to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:09:37.731 --> 00:09:38.779 or study mental illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.500$  please come talk to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

00:09:41.500 --> 00:09:43.594 I want to just quickly acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:43.594 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.990$  contributions of the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:44.990 \dashrightarrow 00:09:47.198$  This I can't possibly show every body

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:47.198 \dashrightarrow 00:09:49.179$  doing their feedback research at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:49.180 \dashrightarrow 00:09:51.749$  This is the subgroup that was involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8355556

 $00:09:51.749 \rightarrow 00:09:54.406$  specifically in that sub clinical OC D study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75988865

 $00:09:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.806$  our primary clinical collaborator

NOTE Confidence: 0.75988865

 $00:09:55.806 \rightarrow 00:09:57.900$  in that setting is Chris Pittenger.

00:09:57.900 --> 00:10:00.138 Teodora Stoica collected all that day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75988865

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}121$  That I presented to you and Dustin

NOTE Confidence: 0.75988865

 $00:10:02.121 \rightarrow 00:10:04.089$  Chinese has been really involved in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75988865

 $00{:}10{:}04.090$  -->  $00{:}10{:}06.100$  you know, creating and maintaining the NOTE Confidence: 0.75988865

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}124$  real time imaging systems that we use.