WEBVTT NOTE duration:"00:08:22.8160000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.532 Hi, I'm young sunshine. NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816 00:00:01.532 --> 00:00:03.447 I'm an assistant professor in NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00{:}00{:}03.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.918$  the Yell Child Study Center and NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00{:}00{:}05{.}918$  -->  $00{:}00{:}07{.}933$  in the Department of Psychiatry. NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:07.940 \dashrightarrow 00:00:09.626$  So my talk is entitled motivation NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:09.626 \rightarrow 00:00:10.750$  improves working memory by NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816 00:00:10.800 - 00:00:12.385 shaping neural signals in the NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:12.385 \rightarrow 00:00:13.653$  prefrontal and parietal cortex. NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816 00:00:13.660 --> 00:00:14.668 And as you see, NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:14.668 \rightarrow 00:00:15.928$  there's a pretty big carrot NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:15.928 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.112$  on the slide which I placed to NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:18.112 \rightarrow 00:00:19.360$  symbolize incentives or those NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:19.424 \rightarrow 00:00:21.179$  things broadly that motivate us. NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816  $00:00:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.986$  And my talk will focus on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- 00:00:22.986 --> 00:00:24.190 motivation and how motivation,

 $00{:}00{:}24.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.506$  effects cognition as a means of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:26.506 \rightarrow 00:00:27.278$  achieving goals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.416$  So to achieve goals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}28.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.836$  one must have both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:29.836 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.209$  desire to achieve a goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.595$  which is the motivation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:00:32.595 - 00:00:34.310 the means to achieve the goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.996$  which here is the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:00:35.996 --> 00:00:37.120 draw on cognitive resources,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.409$  and the overarching question in our work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}39{.}409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42{.}558$  is how do these domains affect each other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.520$  So what is motivation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}43.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.118$  I think of it as a drive that helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}46.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.938$  organize our desires and efforts

 $00:00:47.938 \rightarrow 00:00:49.839$  towards goal directed behaviors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}976$  And here we will specifically talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}51{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53{.}835$  about incentive motivation or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:00:53.835 \rightarrow 00:00:56.079$  motivation that is initiated by incentives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}56.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.440$  Theories of incentive motivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59{.}480$  By Kent Berridge and others suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}045$  that two things have to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:01.045 \rightarrow 00:01:02.750$  together for people to be motivated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:01:02.750 --> 00:01:03.958 External cues signaling incentives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:03.958 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.468$  such as food or money,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}01{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05{.}752$  etc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:05.752 \rightarrow 00:01:07.444$  And an internal physiological state that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:07.444 \rightarrow 00:01:09.409$  is conducive to integrating these cues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.930$  such as hunger or thirst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.440$  So as a concrete example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.295$  here's a child with ice cream in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- 00:01:14.295 --> 00:01:16.080 the ice cream Services Visual,

00:01:16.080 --> 00:01:17.460 an likely olfactory cue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.530$  and he said previous experiences with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:19.593 \rightarrow 00:01:21.505$  ice cream and just by seeing it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:21.505 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.983$  have an internal representation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:22.983 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.490$  ice cream and have an idea of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:25.490 \dashrightarrow 00:01:28.980$  it would be like to taste in the near future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.372$  This creates a motivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:30.372 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.112$  to obtain the ice cream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.380$  or in his case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}01{:}33{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35{.}270$  motivation to sit still and wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}01{:}35{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37{.}814$  for the ice cream and motivation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}01{:}37{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39{.}799$  the broadest sense is disrupted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:01:39.800 --> 00:01:41.196 In many psychiatric illnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:41.196 \rightarrow 00:01:43.290$  including but not limited to schizophrenia,

00:01:43.290 --> 00:01:44.247 depression, substance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:44.247 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.480$  and it's a challenge to know in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:46.535 \rightarrow 00:01:48.350$  which ways motivation is disrupted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.165$  and exactly how this disruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.318$  relates to symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.176$  which is a large part of our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:55.180 \dashrightarrow 00:01:57.340$  Motivation does not occur in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.060$  By definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}01{:}58.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.860$  motivation must affect other processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:01:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.261$  So in our work we focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:02.261 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.864$  how motivation affects the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:03.864 \rightarrow 00:02:05.880$  downstream process of cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.770$  We specifically focus on working memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.798$  which is defined as the temporary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:09.798 \dashrightarrow 00:02:11.150$  maintenance and manipulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:11.205 \rightarrow 00:02:13.130$  information in the service of a goal.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- 00:02:13.130 --> 00:02:14.338 Working memory is important

 $00:02:14.338 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.150$  because it serves as a building

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:02:16.208 --> 00:02:17.848 block of higher order cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}02{:}17.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.730$  It's required for abstraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.140$  and problem solving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:02:21.140 --> 00:02:22.815 So examples of working memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:02:22.815 --> 00:02:24.155 include being verbally told,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.135$  a phone number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:25.135 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.410$  and having to keep it in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:27.491 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.878$  before being able to jot it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

00:02:29.880 --> 00:02:31.896 Calculating a tip at a restaurant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:31.896 \rightarrow 00:02:33.240$  arithmetic requires working memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:33.240 \rightarrow 00:02:35.438$  keeping in mind a set of directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37{.}270$  when traveling to a destination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816

 $00:02:37.270 \rightarrow 00:02:39.405$  Prior work has noted that working memory

- $00:02:39.405 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.479$  engages a number of prefrontal cortex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- $00:02:41.479 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.319$  and parietal cortex brain regions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- $00:02:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.664$  Here shown in orange,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- $00:02:44.664 \rightarrow 00:02:45.000 \text{ red},$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- $00:02:45.000 \rightarrow 00:02:45.670$  and pink,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- $00:02:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.675$  including the dorsal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8306816
- 00:02:46.675 --> 00:02:47.680 lateral prefrontal cortex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:02:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.745$  the pre Motors cortex and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00{:}02{:}49.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.397$  areas the intraparietal sulcus.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- 00:02:51.400 --> 00:02:53.108 Working memory also improves
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:02:53.108 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.816$  throughout childhood and adolescence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:02:54.820 \rightarrow 00:02:56.524$  likely paralleling the ongoing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:02:56.524 \rightarrow 00:02:58.654$  development of the prefrontal cortex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:02:58.660 \rightarrow 00:03:00.610$  This ongoing development means that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:03:00.610 \rightarrow 00:03:03.360$  working memory is dynamic in development,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00:03:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.859$  but also vulnerable.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- 00:03:05.860 --> 00:03:07.904 So how do we achieve our task

 $00:03:07.904 \rightarrow 00:03:09.627$  of understanding the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:09.627 \rightarrow 00:03:11.187$  incentives on working memory?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:11.190 \dashrightarrow 00:03:12.876$  We drew from classic working memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}03{:}12.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}14.800$  tasks that have been critical for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

00:03:14.800 --> 00:03:16.288 understanding of how individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:16.288 \rightarrow 00:03:18.180$  neurons behave during working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:18.180 \rightarrow 00:03:19.845$  However, these tests are typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:19.845 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.177$  used in nonhuman primates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:21.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.496$  so we translated this task to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:23.496 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.820$  use it in human studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}03{:}25.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.794$  The basic premise of this task involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:27.794 \rightarrow 00:03:29.302$  an encoding period where spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:29.302 \dashrightarrow 00:03:31.126$  location is skewed by yellow circle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:03:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.978$  The delay period during which the

00:03:32.978 --> 00:03:34.580 yellow circle is disappeared and NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00{:}03{:}34{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}488$  the participant has to maintain the NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:36.488 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.990$  location they previously saw the NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:37.990 \rightarrow 00:03:39.478$  probe period in which a participant NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:39.478 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.520$  uses a joystick to move the Gray NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549 00:03:41.520 - 00:03:43.380 circle to indicate where they saw NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.221$  the yellow circle and what we care NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:45.221 \rightarrow 00:03:47.013$  about is how closely they place NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00{:}03{:}47{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}825$  that Gray probe circle to wear. NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549 00:03:48.830 - 00:03:50.305 The yellow circle was initially NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:50.305 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.485$  the closer the placement, NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:51.490 \rightarrow 00:03:52.960$  the better the working memory, NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:52.960 \rightarrow 00:03:54.730$  and here we call these working NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.910$  memory trials neutral trials. NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:55.910 \rightarrow 00:03:58.736$  Since there's no incentive linked here. NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549  $00:03:58.740 \rightarrow 00:04:00.630$  And in our version of the task we also

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- $00{:}04{:}00{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02{.}232$  have a control condition in which

 $00{:}04{:}02{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}070$  there is no working memory required.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

00:04:04.070 --> 00:04:05.939 We call this the motor condition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}850$  hear the yellow circle reappears during

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:07.850 \dashrightarrow 00:04:09.600$  the probe phase and participants

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.358$  simply move the Gray circle on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

00:04:11.358 --> 00:04:12.613 top of the yellow circle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}12.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.910$  We then manipulated the tasks

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}13{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15{.}740$  such that on some trials you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:15.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.204$  win or lose money depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

00:04:17.204 --> 00:04:18.649 your working memory performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}18.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.288$  the better the working memory performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}20.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.970$  the more money one and the worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}21{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23{.}310$  the working memory performance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.494$  the more money lost.

 $00:04:24.494 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.655$  So in these types of trials there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}26.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.545$  is feedback given about how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:28.545 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.049$  money is won or lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}30{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31{.}793$  And we named this task meant tag

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}31.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.115$  which stands for motivational

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}33.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.678$  interactions with cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}34{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}672$  We use this task with functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

00:04:36.672 --> 00:04:38.330 magnetic resonance imaging or fMRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}38{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}458$  and in a group of healthy young

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}40{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42{.}381$  adults and all imaging, acquisition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}42{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44{.}847$  and processing was in line with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}44{.}847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}780$  pipelines from the Human Connectome Project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}04{:}47.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.036$  The first thing that we noted was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:50.036 \rightarrow 00:04:51.578$  working memory improves when there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:51.578 \rightarrow 00:04:54.060$  is the possibility of monetary gain or loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:54.060 \dashrightarrow 00:04:55.764$  and throughout the talk the color

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549
- 00:04:55.764 --> 00:04:57.268 red will indicate working memory

 $00:04:57.268 \rightarrow 00:04:58.540$  trials with monetary loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:04:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.346$  The color green will indicate working

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:00.346 \rightarrow 00:05:01.830$  memory trials with monetary gain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:01.830 \rightarrow 00:05:03.435$  and yellow or neutral working

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:03.435 \rightarrow 00:05:05.040$  memory trials without any money

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}090$  at stake on the Y axis is the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{.}399$  angular difference between where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:08.399 \dashrightarrow 00:05:10.457$  the location was kyoudan where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

00:05:10.457 - 00:05:11.700 probe circle was placed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.200$  In the smaller the distance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}13.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.898$  the better the working memory and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}14.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.666$  we see that the gain and loss trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}17.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.631$  have better working memory performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:19.631 \rightarrow 00:05:21.528$  compared to the neutral working

 $00:05:21.528 \rightarrow 00:05:23.328$  memory condition shown in yellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}23.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.346$  We next tested where in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:25.346 \rightarrow 00:05:26.547$  integrates working memory with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00{:}05{:}26{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28{.}275$  incentives and so that brain regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7982549

 $00:05:28.275 \rightarrow 00:05:30.307$  that are involved in both working memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:05:30.310 --> 00:05:31.990 an incentivized working memory are located NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:31.990 \rightarrow 00:05:33.520$  in prefrontal and parietal cortices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}05{:}33{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}626$  and when we look at the bold signal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}626$  -->  $00{:}05{:}37{.}879$  the neural signal within these regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}05{:}37{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39{.}960$  we see that these regions have greater neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:39.960 \rightarrow 00:05:41.948$  signal when working memory is incentivized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.945$  So this is true for both monetary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}05{:}43.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.028$  reward which is shown in green and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:46.028 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.070$  monetary loss which is shown in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:05:48.070 --> 00:05:49.960 Each of these, the green lines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.818$  the green bars and the red lines

 $00:05:51.818 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.768$  in the red bars rides above the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:53.768 \rightarrow 00:05:55.396$  neutral working memory condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}130$  And yellow, so these posterior parietal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:05:58.130 \rightarrow 00:06:00.502$  and prefrontal regions both support

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03{.}394$  working memory and have greater bold

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:06:03.394 --> 00:06:05.929 signal during incentivized working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:05.930 \rightarrow 00:06:07.640$  Next, we wondered whether greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.790$  neural signal could actually be linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:09.790 \dashrightarrow 00:06:11.720$  to better working memory performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:11.720 \dashrightarrow 00:06:13.800$  And we observed areas shown in blue that NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:13.800 \rightarrow 00:06:15.690$  specifically had greater neural signal when NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}15.690$  -->  $00{:}06{:}17.340$  working memory performance was better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}17.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.509$  These were a subset of the regions we saw NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:06:19.509 --> 00:06:21.532 earlier in encompass specific regions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:21.532 \rightarrow 00:06:23.257$  the prefrontal and parietal cortices.

 $00:06:23.260 \rightarrow 00:06:25.628$  When we looked closely at the neural signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}25.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.800$  we did see that trials with better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:27.800 \rightarrow 00:06:29.291$  working memory performance as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}29{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31{.}069$  on the X axis was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:31.069 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.139$  greater neural signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}32.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.216$  This suggested that these regions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:34.216 \rightarrow 00:06:37.333$  key to linking the brain effects for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:37.333 \rightarrow 00:06:39.423$  observed improvement in working memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:06:39.430 --> 00:06:39.750 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.670$  we wanted to know what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.630$  when participants win,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.779$  lose or avoid losing money based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:44.779 \rightarrow 00:06:46.064$  their working memory performance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}06{:}46.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.213$  and what we saw suggested that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:48.213 \rightarrow 00:06:50.308$  impact of this was emotionally felt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.361$  We saw that winning money more money

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:06:52.361 \rightarrow 00:06:53.982$  was associated with greater neural

 $00{:}06{:}53.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.028$  signal in brain regions that process

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:06:56.028 --> 00:06:58.018 pleasure such as the ventral striatum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:58.018 \rightarrow 00:06:59.902$  or nucleus accumbens shown in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:06:59.910 \dashrightarrow 00:07:01.580$  Avoiding losing money was also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:01.580 \dashrightarrow 00:07:03.250$  associated with pleasure and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}03.303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.459$  greater neural signal was also seen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:05.459 \dashrightarrow 00:07:07.269$  the ventral striatum shown in purple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}190$  So there was some overlap here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:07:09.190 --> 00:07:09.521 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11{.}176$  greater amounts of money lost

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}11.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.169$  was associated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}12.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.055$  Greater neural signal in regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}14.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.940$  that process pain and disappointment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17{.}448$  including the habenula periaque ductal

 $00:07:17.448 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.128$  Gray in the insula, as shown in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:07:21.128 --> 00:07:21.670 Overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}21.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.735$  this suggested clear engagement of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:23.735 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.341$  participants in our task and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:07:26.341 --> 00:07:29.173 completing a cognitive task that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:07:29.173 --> 00:07:31.250 incentivized affected internal emotions. NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.518$  So from this work we concluded that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:33.518 \rightarrow 00:07:35.204$  motivation improves working memory by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}35{.}204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37{.}178$  shaping neural signals in the posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:37.178 \dashrightarrow 00:07:38.819$  prefrontal and parietal cortices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.540$  The amount of money won,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.012$  lost or avoided in lost was encoded by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00:07:43.012 \rightarrow 00:07:45.010$  brain regions that process feelings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

00:07:45.010 --> 00:07:46.802 such as pleasure, pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}46.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}47.698$  and disappointment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}07{:}47.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.644$  And our future directions will include

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:07:49.644 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.616$  two related studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:07:50.620 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.608$  In the first will test these effects
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00{:}07{:}52.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.895$  in adolescents with depression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:07:53.895 \dashrightarrow 00:07:55.795$  using a longitudinal study design,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00{:}07{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}879$  and in the second will use a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00{:}07{:}57.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.569$  large publicly available data set
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:07:59.569 \dashrightarrow 00:08:01.419$  to understand how cognitive and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00{:}08{:}01{.}419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03{.}031$  motivational abilities in children
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- 00:08:03.031 --> 00:08:04.803 may affect developmental trajectories
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- 00:08:04.803 --> 00:08:07.018 in risk for psychiatric illness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- 00:08:07.020 --> 00:08:07.660 Thank you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- 00:08:07.660 --> 00:08:09.580 thank you for watching this talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:08:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.856$  and thank you to all who helped
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00{:}08{:}11.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.116$  and supported this study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732
- $00:08:13.120 \rightarrow 00:08:14.404$  especially the research participants
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}08{:}14.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.688$  in Teacher Bitch Lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}08{:}15{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17{.}290$  At the end, three division,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}08{:}17.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.574$  the Department of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8390732

 $00{:}08{:}18{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20{.}179$  and Yell Child Study Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8484971

 $00:08:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.816$  Thank you.