## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:27:39.6480000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.808$  One I'm ready to Sinha and Chief of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:02.808 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.126$  psychology section here in our Department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.850$  and I want to welcome you all to the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}07.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.499$  Sidney J Blad lecture today I've had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}00{:}10.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.259$  honor and privilege to follow Doctor Blad

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:13.259 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.732$  as psychology section chief and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}00{:}15.732 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.188$  have been some very big shoes to fill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:00:19.190 --> 00:00:22.926 In almost his 50 years here at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}00{:}22.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.360$ he grew our psychology section from 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.927$  faculty members 50 more than 50 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:00:29.930 --> 00:00:32.726 Now to over 100 full-time faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:00:32.730 --> 00:00:35.070 more than 200 voluntary psychologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.360$  and we are now among, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}00{:}38.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.760$  the largest psychology section at

 $00:00:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.470$  any medical school in the country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.592$  contributing really in a very diverse way

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:00:46.592 --> 00:00:49.700 to our wonderful psychiatry Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.472$  Sid had a very special warm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:52.472 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.649$  wise approach to leading us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.305$  I know how hard it is to emulate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:00:57.305 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.804$  he has touched and helped so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}00{:}59.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}02.608$  of us in building our careers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:02.608 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.224$  helping us flourish and to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:01:05.224 --> 00:01:06.484 propagate our discipline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:06.484 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.368$  And for that we are eternally grateful

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:01:09.368 --> 00:01:12.269 for those of you who did not know him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.760$  you will hear briefly about his

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.420$  special abilities and qualities

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:16.491 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.135$  and what we miss so much.

 $00:01:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.872$  Even today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:18.872 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.970$  Most of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.308$  I'm very appreciative of the black family

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:22.308 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.010$  for establishing this lectureship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.180$  This is allowed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.740$  USTA celebrates its contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}01{:}26.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.900$  each year to recognize them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.483$  learn from them here from great speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}01{:}31.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.961$  each year and two most importantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}01{:}33.961 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.547$  help us sort of recognize their

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00{:}01{:}36.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.720$  importance and incorporate the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.222$  in our current and future endeavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:01:41.230 --> 00:01:42.532 So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:42.532 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.570$  let me Calapan Doctor John Crystal are

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:01:45.662 --> 00:01:48.734 chair of the Department to say a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

 $00:01:48.734 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.097$  words and also to introduce David Black.

00:01:52.100 --> 00:01:52.485 John,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831987

00:01:52.485 --> 00:01:52.870 yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098686

 $00:01:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.581$  thank you so much. Let me just

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098686

 $00{:}01{:}55.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.910$  add my welcome to this lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098686

 $00:01:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.840$  This is a very special

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098686

 $00:01:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.384$  day for the Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098686

 $00:02:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.320$  Every year in three years

NOTE Confidence: 0.83098686

 $00:02:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.864$  we've had just remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.056$  Presentations and and communal

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00{:}02{:}09.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.401$  discussions that have really elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:11.401 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.865$  discourse in our Department as benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

00:02:13.865 --> 00:02:16.630 Since memory and today will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.677$  no exception, we're very pleased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

00:02:18.677 --> 00:02:19.904 Professor Wampold that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:19.904 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.540$  you've joined us today.

 $00:02:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.235$  I also want to extend my thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00{:}02{:}24.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.450$  to David and Lisa Blatt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00{:}02{:}26.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.130$  who are on the call and who will speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.560$  for creating this opportunity to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.630$  together and both remember sedan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.486$  Look to the future of of psychology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

00:02:37.490 --> 00:02:40.360 I just wanted to say a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.86714

 $00:02:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.988$  of words about Sid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

 $00{:}02{:}44.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}02{:}48.088$  He was he was really quite a remarkable

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

 $00:02:48.088 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.928$  figure this morning I was rereading

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

 $00{:}02{:}50.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.946$  notes from the farewell address of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

 $00:02:53.946 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.833$  Fritz Redlich who was the chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

00:02:56.833 --> 00:02:59.083 of the Department of Psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

 $00:02:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.380$  who stepped down and who credits Sid

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

00:03:02.380 --> 00:03:04.260 particularly for building psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85068774

 $00:03:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.610$  within psychiatry back in 1967.

00:03:08.830 --> 00:03:11.655 Remarkably, Sid led the section

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

00:03:11.655 --> 00:03:15.848 of psychology for 40 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:15.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.160$  So you have what? 35 more years to

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.812$  go rajita continue that legacy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00{:}03{:}25.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.234$ say it's an unspeakable, unspeakable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

00:03:28.234 --> 00:03:32.106 let legacy and and we think of him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.008$  his as model teacher as a mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.528$  As an investigator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:36.528 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.340$  particularly for his work on the study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.239$  the nature and treatment of depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

00:03:43.239 --> 00:03:45.428 And of course, husband,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

00:03:45.428 --> 00:03:48.380 father, colleague, and friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

00:03:48.380 --> 00:03:52.028 I first met said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

00:03:52.030 --> 00:03:55.438 Just a little over 40 years ago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:03:55.438 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.042$  my father, who was a psychoanalyst,

00:03:58.042 --> 00:04:00.980 suggested that I should look him up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:04:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.382$  When I came to Yale for medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:04:04.382 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.706$  and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:04:05.706 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.946$  I had no expectations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78378356

 $00:04:07.946 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.920$  what would come of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.879050225

 $00:04:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.128$  discussion. And yet. From

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566997

00:04:12.130 --> 00:04:15.007 the first meeting, he was so incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566997

00:04:15.007 --> 00:04:18.258 open and kind that I met with him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566997

00:04:18.260 --> 00:04:20.939 You know, many, many times over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566997

 $00:04:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.154$  succeeding years and had the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566997

 $00:04:23.154 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.540$  to work with him more closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8566997

 $00:04:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.214$  When I became chair of the Department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:04:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.738$  And so I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:04:31.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.449$  that's the kind of guy said was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:04:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.145$  which was you could you could easily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:04:37.145 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.525$  find yourself both entrance band adopted

 $00:04:39.525 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.570$  in the context of getting to know him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:04:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.026$  Well. He was a very very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

00:04:46.026 --> 00:04:48.330 special in generative person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

00:04:48.330 --> 00:04:51.476 It's my pleasure today to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

00:04:51.476 --> 00:04:54.096 another COP, not a policeman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:04:54.096 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.766$  Not a cop, but child of psychoanalysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

00:04:57.766 --> 00:05:00.848 And in that is David Blatt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:05:00.848 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.390$  who I've also gotten to know in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

00:05:04.499 --> 00:05:07.793 the context of of saying goodbye

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

00:05:07.793 --> 00:05:11.388 to said before and now and now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:05:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.480$  celebrating this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:05:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.882$  Annual celebration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:05:15.882 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.387$  so it's my tremendous pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:05:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.130$  If you're on the call, David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8583835

 $00:05:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.636$  To introduce you to say a

 $00:05:23.636 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.050$  few words at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367986

00:05:28.190 --> 00:05:29.510 I saw Lisa. I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367986

 $00:05:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.830$  if I if David is on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8870331

 $00:05:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.859$  You're muted David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86606485

 $00:05:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.758$  He is still muted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78217334

00:05:51.530 --> 00:05:53.140 Chris, could you unmute David?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7840158

00:05:55.610 --> 00:05:57.750 I don't see David's name

NOTE Confidence: 0.7840158

 $00:05:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.028$  on the list

NOTE Confidence: 0.7840158

 $00:05:59.030 \dashrightarrow 00:06:03.428$ listed as Lisa Blatt. You are I'm OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7840158

00:06:03.428 --> 00:06:05.758 Yeah OK yes, sorry this. What happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.620$  when you use your wife's computer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:09.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.600$  So thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.580$  Both Doctor Sinha and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}13.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.560$  Crystal for your kind words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.108$  Thank you to the Department of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}18.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.949$  for hosting and thank you Doctor Wampole

 $00:06:20.949 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.475$  for presenting today at this lectureship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

00:06:23.480 --> 00:06:25.796 My dad would have thought these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:25.796 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.840$  to be fairly remarkable times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.396$  He would have had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}29.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.205$  I'm sure in private and certainly with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

00:06:32.205 --> 00:06:34.563 his family some very choice words

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

00:06:34.563 --> 00:06:36.615 for our current political leaders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:36.615 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.800$  but I think he would have been most alarmed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.194$  Yeah, publicly by the rise in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:43.194 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.297$  anxiety and depression and mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

00:06:45.297 --> 00:06:47.009 illness in our society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.802$  and I think he would have been most

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00{:}06{:}49.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.463$  interested in the ways in which

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:52.463 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.818$  individuals have managed to pursue

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:54.818 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.330$  relationships over new mediums like this.

00:06:57.330 --> 00:06:59.730 And through old methods like sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:06:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.328$  on porches and walking with friends.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:07:02.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.266$  So thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:07:03.266 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.992$  Thank you all of you for coming to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:07:05.992 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.347$  this socially distance lecture and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:07:08.347 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.210$  continuing the connections and interactions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:07:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.226$  That my dad enjoyed so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83334875

 $00:07:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.910$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330258

 $00:07:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.760$  Thank you so much David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330258

00:07:17.760 --> 00:07:21.657 Let me ask Doctor K Long to say a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330258

 $00{:}07{:}21.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.608$  few words about said as a mentor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330258

 $00:07:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.070$  friend, scientist and an colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.358$  Yes, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.167$  It's my happy task to say a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:07:35.167 --> 00:07:37.399 few words about Sid Blatt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.500$  whose memory we're honoring today,

 $00:07:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.440$  said, was my teacher, mentor, and friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.960$  More than 30 years in 1986,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:07:44.960 --> 00:07:47.676 I moved from Texas with my young

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:07:47.676 --> 00:07:50.868 family to spend one year in New

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:50.868 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.293$  Haven in the predoctoral psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:53.293 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.728$  training program that said lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.619$  I never returned to Texas as I planned and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:07:58.619 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.500$  Sid had a lot to do with that decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}01.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.070$  Sid was a professor in the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.852$  Psychiatry Department as we've heard for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:05.852 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.000$  almost 50 years for more than 50 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:08:08.000 --> 00:08:09.438 Actually, most of that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}09.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.280$  as the chief of the psychology section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.160$  He was a leading personality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:08:15.160 --> 00:08:17.340 theorist or prolific researcher,

 $00:08:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.428$  a gifted psychoanalytic clinician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}19.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.830$  A master in the almost lost art of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:08:23.830 --> 00:08:25.754 psychodynamic, psychological testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:25.754 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.089$  a revered teacher and mentor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}29.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.854$  Sid was a true Renaissance man whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:08:31.854 --> 00:08:35.166 work was marked not just by the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:35.166 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.296$  achievements in each of these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.849$  but also by his unique ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}40.849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.410$  integrate these interests seamlessly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:08:43.410 --> 00:08:46.068 Since life work of theoretical clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.285$  an empirical contributions began with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:48.285 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.920$  a simple but profound observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:08:50.920 --> 00:08:52.857 During his analytic training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:52.857 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.874$  he saw that the two patients he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:08:55.874 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.930$  treating had similar symptoms of depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}08{:}58.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01.920$  But each was occupied with

 $00:09:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.090$  different concerns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.814$  Said saw that his patients worries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:09:05.814 --> 00:09:07.630 coalesced around two distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.338$  but interrelated poles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.440$  What he termed self definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.680$  and relatedness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.983$  It was a mark of his brilliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:15.983 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.240$  that from this observation he

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.025$  developed groundbreaking theories

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}09{:}20.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.000$  of normal and abnormal development

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:23.072 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.300$  and personality organization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:25.300 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.085$  extensive body of empirical research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.260$  Including the development of widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.430$  used scientific measures and theories

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.690$  and techniques of the rapeutic change,

 $00:09:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.360$  but this particular genius,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.160$  the ability to see the big ideas in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.011$  a simple observation extended beyond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}09{:}42.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.036$  his theoretical and empirical work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.740$  Into his unique ability to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.612$  and nurture the talents in his

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}09{:}50.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.576$  many students and colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.405$  If we measure Sid's achievements

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:54.405 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.697$  in terms of the volume and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:09:56.697 --> 00:09:58.687 quality of his scholarly output.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:09:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.448$  The more than 200. 20 published articles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.472$  The 17 books, one on art history no less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:05.472 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.256$  The result is extraordinary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:07.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.838$  but if we look at the exponential effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:10.838 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.962$  of his impact on generations of scholars,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}10{:}13.962 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}17.547$  many of whom have become who have become

 $00:10:17.547 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.229$  leading figures in the field themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.530$  his contributions are truly remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:10:23.530 --> 00:10:24.809 In Peter Fonagy's words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:24.809 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.930$  Sid was the talent scout of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:10:26.992 --> 00:10:28.708 psychoanalytic academic world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.190$  He had a rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.995$  an life changing ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:10:31.995 --> 00:10:34.260 see not just who you were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.124$  but who you had the potential to become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.998$  He could be demanding intimidating even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.290$  but he was always kind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.314$  Over the years, every time I met was said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}10{:}47.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.072$  I would find myself taking a mental inventory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:10:50.072 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.648$  of what I've done since we last met.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:10:52.650 --> 00:10:54.138 I'd evaluate myself through

00:10:54.138 --> 00:10:56.370 his eyes and worry whether I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:10:56.443 --> 00:10:58.435 had enough to show for myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:10:58.440 --> 00:11:00.300 But our actual encounters

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:11:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.160$  were never like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

00:11:02.160 --> 00:11:04.572 They were filled with warmth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00:11:04.572 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.890$  interest in each other's families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8984649

 $00{:}11{:}06.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.450$  I came to realize that I held him in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

00:11:09.515 --> 00:11:11.210 mind as a prod Tord

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

 $00{:}11{:}11.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.930$  as pirations and achievements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

00:11:12.930 --> 00:11:15.274 And I count myself lucky to be one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

 $00{:}11{:}15.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.820$  of a great many psychologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

 $00:11:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.870$  psychiatrists and psychoanalysts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

 $00:11:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.620$  around the world who can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

 $00:11:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.329$  say with deep appreciation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

 $00:11:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.604$  That's it's confidence in me and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8412985

00:11:24.604 --> 00:11:26.924 his interest in me changed the

00:11:26.924 --> 00:11:29.465 course of my career and my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6461506

 $00{:}11{:}33.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.955$  Thank you K. Let me ask Doctor Matthew

NOTE Confidence: 0.6461506

 $00{:}11{:}37.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.095$  Steinfeldt now to please introduce our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6461506

00:11:41.095 --> 00:11:44.209 speaker for today Doctor Bruce Wampold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:11:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.604$  Thank you Doctor Sinha so I have the great

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:11:48.604 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.250$  honor to introduce our speaker this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:11:51.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.512$  Doctor Bruce Wampold is emeritus professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:11:53.512 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.784$  and previously the Patricia L Wallet

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

00:11:55.784 --> 00:11:57.292 professor of counseling Psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:11:57.292 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.656$  at University of Wisconsin, Madison.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:11:59.656 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.161$  He is a senior researcher at the Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}03.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.226$  Institute Institute at Modem Bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}05.226 \to 00{:}12{:}07.288$  Psychiatric Center in Vickerson, Norway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.362$  and chief scientist at tharavu.com,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.836$  an online platform dedicated to helping

 $00:12:11.836 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.489$  psychotherapists develop and maintain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.138$  the rapeutic capacities and skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}15.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.621$  Doctor Wampold received his BA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:17.621 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.676$  mathematics from the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.336$  Washington Masters in Educational

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}21.336 \to 00{:}12{:}23.814$  Psychology from the University of Hawaii

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:23.814 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.292$  and his PhD in counseling psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

00:12:26.292 --> 00:12:28.603 from the University of California,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

00:12:28.603 --> 00:12:29.929 Santa Barbara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

00:12:29.930 --> 00:12:32.336 His research has sought to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}32.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.940$  psychotherapy from empirical, historical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}33.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.740$  anthropological perspectives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.550$  This work is summarized in his book

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.153$  The Great Psychotherapy Debate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:39.153 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.160$  The evidence for what makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.164$  psychotherapy work published in 2013,

 $00:12:43.164 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.770$  now in second edition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.770$  and which has been cited

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.952$  almost 5000 times since then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:48.952 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.580$  Doctor Wampold is a fellow of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}52.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.930$  American Psychological Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:53.930 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.280$  in Divisions twelve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00:12:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.624$  1729 and 45,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7989912

 $00{:}12{:}56.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58.864$ a diplomat in counseling psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

 $00:12:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.110$  of the American Board of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

 $00:13:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.910$  Professional Psychology. The recipient

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

00:13:02.910 --> 00:13:05.158 of the 2007 Distinguished Professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

 $00{:}13{:}05.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.398$  Contributions to Applied Research Award

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

 $00{:}13{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.644$  from the American Psychological Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

 $00{:}13{:}09.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.440$ 2015 Distinguished Research Career

NOTE Confidence: 0.86316407

 $00:13:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.790$  Award from the

00:13:12.790 --> 00:13:15.680 Society for Psychotherapy Research. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.8713832

 $00:13:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.480$  an honorary doctor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8713832

00:13:17.480 --> 00:13:18.920 social Sciences. Ordered from

NOTE Confidence: 0.844526342857143

00:13:18.920 --> 00:13:20.502 Stockholm University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844526342857143

 $00:13:20.502 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.457$  Doctor Wampold's work is especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337836

00:13:22.520 --> 00:13:24.460 relevant now. During this time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337836

 $00:13:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.788$  when fundamentalisms of all kind pervade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337836

00:13:26.790 --> 00:13:27.948 everything from political

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337836

 $00{:}13{:}27.950 --> 00{:}13{:}29.120$  discourse to ideological

NOTE Confidence: 0.843820266666667

00:13:29.120 --> 00:13:31.475 partisanship. His research orients us

NOTE Confidence: 0.843820266666667

 $00{:}13{:}31.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33.305$  beyond the often constructed fault

NOTE Confidence: 0.843820266666667

 $00:13:33.305 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.585$  lines in our own fields to the deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.843820266666667

 $00:13:35.585 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.261$  humanistic realities that undergird

NOTE Confidence: 0.843820266666667

 $00{:}13{:}37.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.476$  effective psychotherapy across modality in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.920$  context in which have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.360$  the potential to mitigate

 $00:13:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.428$  the truly staggering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

00:13:43.430 --> 00:13:44.870 costs of human suffering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.021$  that all too often go unaddressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

00:13:47.021 --> 00:13:48.820 His research is an ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.330$  invitation for all of us to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.130$  together about where our skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.640$  models of the mind and brain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

00:13:55.640 --> 00:13:56.720 clinical commitments converge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.152$  and how these intersections

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00{:}13{:}58.152 --> 00{:}13{:}59.950$  can illuminate the way forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

 $00:13:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.892$  in the service of others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8458276

00:14:01.892 --> 00:14:03.380 Please join me in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83862376

 $00{:}14{:}03.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.300$  welcoming Doctor Bruce Wampold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.660$  Well, thank you very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.476$  for the introduction.

 $00:14:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.558$  I have to say it's a great honor to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:11.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.368$  Give a lecture in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.328$  A lecture name for Sidney Black.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

00:14:18.330 --> 00:14:22.290 I never had the opportunity to meet him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.455$  but clearly inspired by his brilliant work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.372$  To hear you talk about what he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

00:14:29.372 --> 00:14:32.138 meant to you personally really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:32.138 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.668$  brings another dimension to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00{:}14{:}35.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.850$  It's rare our field that somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.180$  is so brilliant yet so engaged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.890$  so willing to mentor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.058$  bring forth new generations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00{:}14{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.018$  You know long after we're done

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00{:}14{:}50.018 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}14{:}51.990$  contributing to the scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:14:52.079 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.749$  leadership scientific literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00{:}14{:}54.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.020$  It's the contributions of those people

 $00:14:58.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.240$  we mentored really carry the legacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00{:}15{:}01.240 \longrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.314$  so it's just exciting to hear the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:05.314 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.477$  personal stories of what Cindy meant too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

00:15:09.480 --> 00:15:14.900 Many of you so with that I'll start my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.610$  It's a little bit ironic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.556$  I'm talking about the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:20.556 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.228$  social relationships in a pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

00:15:23.228 --> 00:15:25.736 where we're socially isolated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.076$  but I think maybe it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:29.076 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.700$  emphasizes how important this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.036$  I know here at the University was constant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00{:}15{:}36.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.616$  The students are all clamored for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:39.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.926$  More technology and more distance learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.690$  but with the potential pandemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.898$  is shown across education,

 $00:15:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.660$  is that the personal relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

00:15:50.660 --> 00:15:52.316 are absolutely critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.728$  People want to see and interact with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:15:56.728 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.040$  professors, their teachers and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:16:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.891$  So with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218028

 $00:16:01.891 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.976$  I'm gonna share my slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256902

 $00:16:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.280$  And please let me know that they are there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

00:16:16.250 --> 00:16:19.070 Everybody, yeah good thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

00:16:19.070 --> 00:16:24.980 OK thanks. So I'm just going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

00:16:24.980 --> 00:16:28.239 start with this notion that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00{:}16{:}28.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.708$  humans are clearly characterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:30.708 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.410$  by very large and powerful brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.162$  Why did that evolve?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:36.162 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.790$  It's a very expensive organ that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:38.875 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.228$  uses what 20% of our calories?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

00:16:41.228 --> 00:16:44.920 And it weighs about 2% of our body weight,

 $00:16:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.040$  so the brain is very expensive Organism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.596$  But it evolved according to many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.156$  As you will know to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:53.156 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.008$  social relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00{:}16{:}54.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}56.802$  So here's just a graph of the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:16:56.802 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.058$  of the brain compared to the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

00:17:00.058 --> 00:17:02.528 of social networks in primates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:17:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.820$  and you can see there's a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:17:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.019$  Strong positive relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00{:}17{:}08.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11.684$  The larger the social network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:17:11.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.120$  The larger the brain and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:17:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.028$  more capacity we need to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00{:}17{:}17.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.828$  those social relationships.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83798677

 $00:17:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.210$  So we have this remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.236$  Organism organ that manage this

 $00:17:28.236 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.644$  these social relationship so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

00:17:31.644 --> 00:17:34.960 wouldn't be surprising that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.466$  This was involved in healing as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.910$  so two books that really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:40.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.010$  Summarize many important things about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.759$  the social brains are the social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:47.759 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.047$  which is a neuroscience book on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.405$  How we manage social relationships

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

00:17:53.405 --> 00:17:56.360 and connected which is based on the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:17:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.695$  The social contagion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00{:}17{:}57.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.530$  the idea that what are friends are friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

00:18:01.530 --> 00:18:02.452 friends, friends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00{:}18{:}02.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.218$  think and do and believe affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8305595

 $00:18:05.218 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.110$  what we think, do and believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:18:10.740 --> 00:18:13.115 So let's talk about healing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:13.115 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.015$  in a social relationship.

00:18:15.020 --> 00:18:16.920 Actually, ants do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.770$  So this is quite unusual behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.670$  at least mystified scientists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.570$  For some some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.420$  But when there's an Ant in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.320$  the colony that's infected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.638$  it's interesting to know what they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.020$  So if we were together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.956$  I could ask for for input from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:37.956 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.450$  audience about what they think they do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.489$  It might be that the other ants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:18:45.489 --> 00:18:47.904 don't recognize that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:47.904 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.324$  an Ant that's has a disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:51.330 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.361$  Or it might be that the Ant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:54.361 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.660$  become socially isolated.

00:18:55.660 --> 00:18:57.304 Kind of a quarantine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:18:57.304 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.770$  but what happens is the healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00{:}18{:}59.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.555$  ants take time in close physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:02.555 --> 00:19:04.750 proximity to the infected Ant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00{:}19{:}04.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.390$  and this seems kind of counter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00{:}19{:}07.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.641$  intuitive why you would want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:09.641 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.676$  to be in close proximity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:11.680 --> 00:19:12.154 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00{:}19{:}12.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.472$  it turns out what happens is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:15.472 --> 00:19:17.851 physical proximity transmits a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:17.851 --> 00:19:21.410 amount of the pathogen to the healthy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:21.410 --> 00:19:23.645 And the healthy Anthem develops

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:23.645 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.880$  an immune reaction and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:25.965 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.089$  call it social immunization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.946$  So it's a social healing practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.340$  Bees do it as well,

00:19:33.340 --> 00:19:37.148 so if there's an infection in a beehive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00{:}19{:}37.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.966$  the bees will phonetic Lee flap their wings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:40.970 --> 00:19:44.309 which raises the temperature of the hive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.118$  which in a way is a social fever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.708$  So it's interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

00:19:50.708 --> 00:19:53.296 see these social species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8641919

 $00:19:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.268$  Healing and social ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00:19:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.844$  So vampire. Apps which are particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00:20:01.844 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.330$  social species don't do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00:20:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.714$  What they do is if there's an infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

00:20:08.714 --> 00:20:11.900 and they they do this experimentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00:20:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.152$  as well as naturalistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00{:}20{:}14.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.409$  the ants experience fatigue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00:20:17.409 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:799$  lethargy and therefore reduce their

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00:20:20.799 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.282$  social interaction with other

00:20:23.282 --> 00:20:25.526 particularly non kin conspecifics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809641218181818

 $00{:}20{:}25.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.210$  So this is social quarantine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80964121818181800:20:29.210 --> 00:20:30.419 So we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.182$  Ants or insects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:35.182 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.870$  I should say which are very social animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.790$  Healing in the social way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.059$  So one of the questions in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:46.059 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.179$  social healing is how the infected?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00{:}20{:}49.180 --> 00{:}20{:}54.450$  In sect. Signals to the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.398$  Insects that it's six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:56.398 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.833$  So to answer this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:20:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.750$  will actually turn to humans and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

00:21:01.750 --> 00:21:04.210 the facial expression of pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:04.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.626$  so we know when we experience pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00{:}21{:}07.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.995$  We make this facial expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:09.995 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.954$  of pain and it turns out

 $00:21:12.954 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.429$  that this is cross cultural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.478$  Not all facial expressions of emotion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

00:21:18.478 --> 00:21:21.289 or cross cultural but pain is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.390$  so it evolved evolutionist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.015$  Think about 10,000 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.636$  And the purpose is to communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:28.636 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.510$  to other humans that we need help.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.542$  So there are theories that many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00{:}21{:}35.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.967$  symptoms we express when we're ICS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

00:21:38.970 --> 00:21:41.730 Sick is really a way to signal that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7212133

 $00:21:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.348$  we need assistance from others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:21:48.610 --> 00:21:51.810 All human societies have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:21:51.810 --> 00:21:53.730 social healing practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:21:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.150$  so the earliest civilizations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:21:56.150 --> 00:21:59.175 all had designated healers and

 $00{:}21{:}59.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.410$  designated healing practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:22:01.410 --> 00:22:04.610 Of course they differed OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.150$  so the Incas and Aztecs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.392$  Indigenous Americans had very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:22:13.392 --> 00:22:15.376 different healing practices in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:22:15.376 --> 00:22:17.696 the ancient Greeks, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:17.696 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.587$  but what was in common is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:20.587 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.955$  designated healer and culturally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00{:}22{:}22.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.830$  accepted healing practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.435$  So this idea of healing in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

00:22:28.435 --> 00:22:31.779 social context as a lot of basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.172$  not just in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:34.172 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.162$  but in other social species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.732$  So what are the components of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:39.732 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.996$  healing so we can breakdown healing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:42.996 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.908$  into these various components?

00:22:45.910 --> 00:22:48.640 So there's a natural effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.344$  so this is the ability to heal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8330009

 $00:22:52.344 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.728$  resist disease without any intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:22:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.669$  So this is a slide from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

00:23:02.669 --> 00:23:05.629 presentation made in a class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.390$  so we're exposed to pathogens all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.106$  We experience traumas an we heal

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00{:}23{:}15.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.250$  without any intervention course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00{:}23{:}18.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20.465$  The coronavirus is an exception

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:20.465 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.570$  to this ability to heal naturally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.958$  but we have barriers in immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:26.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.040$  blood, coagulations and so forth to fight

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00{:}23{:}30.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.248$  disease and to heal without invention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.670$  so that's the natural effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.606$  we have specific effect.

 $00:23:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.126$  This is Western medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:39.126 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.770$  This is the effects due to the intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.350$  that remediates the pathophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.620$  surgery or or medicines and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:49.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.966$  So the specific effect is a considers

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

00:23:52.966 --> 00:23:56.629 the patient a passive recipient if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:23:56.629 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.960$  receive the surgery or take the medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:24:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.055$  and so the effect is do not to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00{:}24{:}05.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.517$  active engagement of the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:24:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.220$  but to the specific ingredients

NOTE Confidence: 0.79824066

 $00:24:11.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.840$  of the intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:15.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.604$  And of course we use placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:18.604 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.533$  controlled studies to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00{:}24{:}21.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.657$  identify this specific effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.188$  Then we also have contextual effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00{:}24{:}27.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}30.712$  These are due to the psychosocial

00:24:30.712 --> 00:24:33.104 factors healing rituals, symbols.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:33.104 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.224$  These involve a conscious patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

00:24:36.224 --> 00:24:39.507 making meaning of the experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:39.507 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.239$  of the healing practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.602$  Of course it's too lot to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:47.602 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.900$  expectations for benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.315$  It also can be due to condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00{:}24{:}52.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.920$  defect or vicarious learning so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

00:24:54.920 --> 00:24:56.370 You know, I'm really talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00{:}24{:}56.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.530$  about place be effects here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8836011

 $00:24:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.690$  To a large extent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00:25:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.835$  But there's also as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00{:}25{:}03.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.575$  of the contextual effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00{:}25{:}05.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.392$  An effect due to the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00:25:07.392 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.309$  between the healer and the patient,

 $00:25:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.009$  and this is what I will talk about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00{:}25{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.410$  So let's just look at a graph to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00:25:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.149$  show these different effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00:25:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.228$  So here's a naturally improving condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989093

 $00:25:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.807$  Maybe it's a laceration of some kind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

00:25:27.960 --> 00:25:29.772 Person presents for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

00:25:29.772 --> 00:25:31.584 patient presents to a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

00:25:31.590 --> 00:25:34.308 Clinic and there's a suture placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00{:}25{:}34.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.729$  so there is a specific effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00:25:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.338$  There's an intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00:25:39.338 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.482$  There's a natural effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00{:}25{:}41.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.089$  because these wounds were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00:25:44.089 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.925$  to heal naturally anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00:25:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.996$  but there's also a contextual effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

 $00:25:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.065$  and these three combined really

NOTE Confidence: 0.79133415

00:25:52.065 --> 00:25:53.270 characterize. Healing.

 $00:25:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.376$  So if you think of an acute appendicitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:25:58.376 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.635$  and I use this example to show how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:01.635 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.436$  these work together so the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

00:26:04.436 --> 00:26:07.050 presents with abdominal pain, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.200$  but there's also fair discouragement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.056$  it may be happening in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:12.056 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.789$  critical time in a person's life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00{:}26{:}14.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.518$  Starting a new employment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

00:26:16.518 --> 00:26:19.949 and they're going to be absent from work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00{:}26{:}19.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.726$  There's relationships that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00{:}26{:}21.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.680$  going to be difficult because of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.560$  so there's a psychological.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.060$  Aspect of this as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.060$  there's communication with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.560$  clinician which the clinician describes.

 $00:26:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.560$  The diagnosis with the treatment plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00{:}26{:}37.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41.060$  will be, but the clinician also gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.560$  assurance that this is treatable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

00:26:43.560 --> 00:26:47.060 that there won't be any lasting effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:47.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.512$  shows warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:48.512 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.416$  understanding and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.017$  Then and after and Ectomy is performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.988$  So this is a specific effect of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.996$  But then there's also a natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:26:59.996 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.000$  healing of the wound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00{:}27{:}02.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.000$  So here we have kind of the natural,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:27:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.500$  specific and contextual effects working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8887499

 $00:27:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.000$  conjointly for the patients benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.371$  And of course, the same occurs for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

00:27:18.371 --> 00:27:20.120 naturally deteriorating conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.866$  so without intervention,

00:27:21.866 --> 00:27:24.776 the patient would deteriorate quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

00:27:24.780 --> 00:27:28.278 Think of Parkinson's disease, for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.005$  Here the specific effect reduces

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:31.005 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.455$  the rate of deterioration and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:34.455 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.605$  contextual effect further reduces that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.475$  So even though the patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00:27:40.475 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.080$  deteriorating there is a specific effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8728554

 $00{:}27{:}44.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.890$  Anna contextual effect that occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:27:50.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.915$  So let's talk about the relationship then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:27:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.470$  which is the focus here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00{:}27{:}56.470 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00.414$  So the relationship is that face to face

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:00.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.866$  meeting between the healer and the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.722$  And there's two components,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:05.722 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.500$  or at least we think and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.815$  and much of the literature

00:28:10.815 --> 00:28:12.667 talks about two components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00{:}28{:}12.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.518$  There's the cognitive component

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

00:28:14.518 --> 00:28:16.828 we provide as healers information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.885$  so the surgeon explains what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:19.885 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.501$  involved with an appendectomy and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:23.501 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.756$  what the prognosis is and what the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

00:28:26.760 --> 00:28:28.640 Healing experience will involve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.050$  so that's information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.400$  But then there's an emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.810$  component as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

00:28:33.810 --> 00:28:35.220 That's the warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.630$  caring and understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.450$  so we want to look today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8542155

 $00:28:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.330$  at those two components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:28:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.847$  So we're going to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:28:46.847 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.442$  research to see is there a

00:28:49.442 --> 00:28:52.106 relationship effect and to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00{:}28{:}52.110 \to 00{:}28{:}54.726$  I'm going to review relatively quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:28:54.726 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.580$  'cause we don't have too much time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:28:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.219$  The research in the area of placebos

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:29:00.219 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.817$  in which there's quite a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

00:29:02.817 --> 00:29:04.905 research because it's very easy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

00:29:04.985 --> 00:29:07.689 manipulate the relationship variables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:29:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.434$  will also look at the relationships of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8706359

 $00:29:10.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.160$  facts in medicine and in psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.574$  And then finally, at the end I'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:19.574 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.820$  a little bit about some hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.264$  about how relationship is healing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:26.356 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.785$  me as a psychologist, not enough just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.310$  to say relationship is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:29:32.310 --> 00:29:36.828 We want to know what are the mechanisms by

 $00:29:36.828 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.410$  which relationship exerts healing effect so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}29{:}41.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.588$  Let's start with disables and I call

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:44.588 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.997$  it the amazing influence of the mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.256$  And I could say nothing works better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:29:52.260 --> 00:29:55.050 Now I didn't hear anybody laughing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.305$  and if I were there in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:29:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.038$  it might be that. Nobody got it either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:30:02.040 --> 00:30:04.098 'cause I'm not a natural comedian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}30{:}04.100 --> 00{:}30{:}07.726$  but it is a play on words.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:30:07.730 --> 00:30:09.788 Evil works better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:09.788 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.218$  so we know placebo effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:30:13.220 --> 00:30:16.418 Work for pain irritable bowel syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.630$  which we will talk some today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.298$  Depression, we know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:21.298 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.078$  Large placebo effects for anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:24.078 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.755$  depressant medication anxiety

 $00:30:25.755 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.165$  fitness is an interesting one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:30:28.170 --> 00:30:31.374 We won't talk about today taste

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:30:31.374 --> 00:30:32.976 even Parkinson's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.100$  which surprising to many as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}30{:}36.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.596$  well as athletic performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.145$  So very quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:40.145 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.573$  pain is the the model is most often

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:44.573 \dashrightarrow 00:30:48.118$  used to examine place bo effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.408$  We know that if somebody is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:51.408 \dashrightarrow 00:30:54.354$  experiencing acute or chronic pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:30:54.354 --> 00:30:57.639 or even experimentally induced pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:30:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.272$  that giving a pill and and telling the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}31{:}02.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.969$  participant that this will relieve pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:31:05.970 --> 00:31:09.606 relieve's pain, subjective reports of pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.182$  Are affected by receipt and and

 $00:31:14.182 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.230$  involvement in placebo procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:17.351 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.109$  or medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.654$  but there is also a physiological effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.620$  So when somebody experiencing pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:31:28.620 --> 00:31:32.580 and receives a placebo analgesic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.720$  the brain releases opioids so

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.032$  there's a natural opioid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.728$  That affects the pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:43.728 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.338$  So also interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:48.338 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.419$  awareness of receiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.855$  You know analgesics has an

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:53.855 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.840$  effect on the experience of pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:31:56.840 --> 00:31:59.784 So studies that Fabrizio

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:31:59.784 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.728$  Benedetti doesn't in Italy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.562$  Postoperative patients received

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:05.562 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.338$  morphine through a machine.

 $00:32:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.898$  And their Ivy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:32:12.900 --> 00:32:14.385 Under 2 conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:14.385 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.365$  one in which there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104 00:32:16.370 --> 00:32:16.832 Uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:16.832 \dashrightarrow 00:32:20.066$  where they're getting the morphine so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:20.066 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.369$  the clinician comes to the room and says

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:32:23.369 --> 00:32:26.320 you're now receiving a dose of morphine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}32{:}26.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.992$  Doesn't administer the morphine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:32:27.992 --> 00:32:30.500 because it's given through the machine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.428$  but just informs the patient they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:33.428 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.928$  going to receive the dose of morphine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.570$  The heart of awareness condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:39.570 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.276$  The same dose of morphine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:42.276 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.080$  administered by the machine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.928$  but there's no clinician.

 $00:32:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.580$  Present so in the awareness condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}32{:}51.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56.383$  where the clinicians present much greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:32:56.383 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.328$  subjective report of reduced pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.504$  There is reduced time to requesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:03.504 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.647$  additional doses compared to getting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:06.647 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.930$  same dose of morphine out of awareness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.960$  So this is our first hint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.480$  The relationship makes a difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}33{:}15.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.446$  so the presence and the information

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:19.446 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.038$  provided by the clinician has an effect on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:33:24.040 --> 00:33:26.980 The experience of pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.884$  So let's look at some studies on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:33:29.884 --> 00:33:32.620 effects of relationship and placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.771$  and this is a study done at

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:36.771 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.550$  the Harvard placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00{:}33{:}38.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.436$  Unit up Ted Cap Chuck directs,

 $00:33:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.814$  so this is a study of irritable

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:44.814 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.778$  bowel syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.672$  which we know is a prevalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:33:48.672 --> 00:33:50.600 disorder in primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:33:50.600 --> 00:33:53.936 It can be very distant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:53.936 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.604$  disabling for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:33:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.916$  So we know also that IBS

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

00:33:58.916 --> 00:34:00.569 is place bo responsive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:34:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.876$  and in this study the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:34:03.876 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.529$  is acupuncture placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:34:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.285$  so the patients think they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87783104

 $00:34:08.285 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.387$  getting acupuncture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00{:}34{:}09.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.590$  But the needle doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

00:34:11.590 --> 00:34:13.790 actually Pierce the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.550$  So technically it's a placebo,

 $00:34:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.203$  not true acupuncture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:18.203 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.958$  So there were three conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.168$  There's treatment as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:23.168 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.928$  so the IBS patient sees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:25.928 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.418$  their physician as usual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.128$  But receives no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.534$  Acupuncture in the limited interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

00:34:36.534 --> 00:34:40.789 The acupuncture is a very matter of fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00{:}34{:}40.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.461$  so says to the patient your doctor ordered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

00:34:44.470 --> 00:34:45.985 Acupuncture acupuncture is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:45.985 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.015$  effective for the treatment of IBS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.540$  Here's what I will do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:51.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.075$  so it's a very matter of fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.728$  Limited interaction in the third condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:34:58.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.637$  Is the augmented interaction what they call

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:35:03.637 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.078$  the prototypic healthy interaction here the.

 $00:35:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.528$  Acupuncture feels warm, empathic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00{:}35{:}10.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13.588$  caring didn't provide any intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:35:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.130$  No mention of coping skills, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

00:35:17.130 --> 00:35:20.490 You know, called the patient by name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:35:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.538$  Had good eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:35:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.535$  Sympathized with the with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:35:26.535 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.920$  difficulties that IBS causes for my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8376469

 $00:35:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.400$  So in terms of how comes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

00:35:36.620 --> 00:35:38.240 The augmented condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00{:}35{:}38.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.860$  for global improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:35:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.520$  Adequate relief symptom severity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:35:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.845$  and particularly quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00{:}35{:}45.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.305$  Was significantly greater than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:35:49.305 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.069$  placebo limited interaction condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:35:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.726$  which was also. Significantly

 $00:35:54.726 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.046$  greater than treatment as usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:35:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.652$  so the place bo had an effect, but the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:36:02.652 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.416$  Relationship with a warm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:36:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.910$  caring practitioner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00:36:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.172$  Augmented that effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

00:36:10.172 --> 00:36:15.940 So here it's pretty clear another study of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87372494

 $00{:}36{:}15.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.980$  Treatment for low back pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.696$  This inferential current therapy in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00{:}36{:}24.696 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}36{:}27.981$  the place bo condition and the variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:27.981 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.781$  the warm enhanced condition augmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00{:}36{:}30.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34.173$  the outcomes compared to the condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:34.173 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.883$  without that warm caring relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.719$  So another example, both of pasivo effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

00:36:40.720 --> 00:36:43.450 but a placebo like effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.726$  because even for the treatment condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.720$  giving it in the presence.

 $00:36:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.080$  Have a clinician who's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

00:36:53.080 --> 00:36:57.040 Warm and caring. Is greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:36:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.050$  So a final study in the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.676$  area is a very clever one that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:03.676 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.012$  done by Alia Crum at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.880$  Colleagues, so in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

00:37:12.880 --> 00:37:14.825 Undergraduates at Stanford were invited

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:14.825 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.180$  to participate in a medical study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:17.180 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.526$  Now there was no medical study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.316$  but what they told the participants was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:22.316 \dashrightarrow 00:37:25.387$  before we can enroll you in the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.414$  we have to give you a physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:28.414 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.610$  so each of the participants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

00:37:31.610 --> 00:37:34.470 Came for the physical typical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8422971

 $00:37:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.758$  you know vital signs.

 $00:37:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.250$  Heart rate and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:37:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.176$  But they also told the patients we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:37:45.176 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.058$  have to screen you for allergies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:37:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.158$  And they. Prick the skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:37:53.158 --> 00:37:58.180 Many of us have had. Allergy tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:37:58.180 --> 00:38:01.295 but they pricked the skin with histamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.525$  so everybody had an allergic

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:03.525 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.860$  reaction or reaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.018$  And the outcome here was the size

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:09.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.350$  of the wheel. That a Kurd So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:38:14.350 --> 00:38:16.870 Transpired was they said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:16.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.876$  I'm sorry you had allergic reaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:38:19.880 --> 00:38:22.400 You're disqualified from the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.774$  but we have some cream that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:25.774 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.440$  reduce the size of the reaction well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}38{:}29.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.960$  This was actually place bo cream,

 $00:38:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.966$  so it had no specific ingredients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.389$  No anti histamines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:36.389 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.227$  and they looked at how fast

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:39.227 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.018$  the wheel decreased in size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.416$  Now they did this with both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.760$  High and low competent physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:38:47.760 --> 00:38:51.030 and a higher low warmth physician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}38{:}51.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.070$  so they experimentally manipulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}38{:}53.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.110$  both competence and warmth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:38:55.110 --> 00:38:59.046 I'll tell you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:38:59.046 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.550$  about how they did this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.358$  So in the high warmth condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:39:05.360 --> 00:39:08.180 the physician asked the patient's name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.520$  made eye contact, smiled, sat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:39:10.520 --> 00:39:13.810 closed or warm posters on the wall.

 $00:39:13.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.570$  So this is this is kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:17.570 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.759$  prototypic warm and caring physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.800$  The opposite was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.538$  Just the same, just the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:26.538 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.236$  just the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.648$  so didn't use the patients name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:39:31.650 --> 00:39:33.348 Minimal eye contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:33.348 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.744$  Use more of a stern tone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:36.750 \dashrightarrow 00:39:40.146$  Very, very instrumental in their language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.650$  Sat relatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.900$  Distance from the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}39{:}43.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.464$  Participant and there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:45.464 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.419$  no posters on the wall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:39:47.420 --> 00:39:50.507 OK, so that's high and low warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.720$  high and low competence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.046$  the competent condition.

 $00:39:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.260$  The physician use the clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:39:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.164$  confident tone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:39:57.164 --> 00:40:00.328 didn't make any mistakes in the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:40:00.330 --> 00:40:03.138 Teachers the room was well organized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.343$  you get a kick out of the low competence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:40:07.350 --> 00:40:10.758 So here the physician wasn't quite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:40:10.760 --> 00:40:12.233 Well started again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:12.233 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.197$  couldn't quite explain exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:40:14.197 --> 00:40:16.509 what was going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}40{:}16.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.242$  Made mistakes and procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}40{:}18.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.780$  put the blood pressure cuff on incorrectly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.696$  Had to start again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}40{:}23.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.570$  There was a meske messy desk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}40{:}26.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.128$  Couldn't find the stethoscope 'cause it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.162$  Hidden underneath the chart,

 $00:40:32.162 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.894$  so try to induce this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:40:35.894 --> 00:40:38.819 high low competence so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:38.819 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.574$  an interesting experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:40:40.580 --> 00:40:43.880 and again this is a physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.530$  reaction to histamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.904$  and the outcome is how fast did

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:48.904 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.075$  the wheel decrease in size over

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}40{:}52.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.315$  the course after the place bo anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}40{:}55.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.179$  histamine cream was administered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:40:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.440$  So here are the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.162$  And in the. Hi warmth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:06.162 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.670$  Hi competent condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.378$  The size of the wheel became

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:41:12.378 --> 00:41:14.232 much smaller overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:41:14.240 --> 00:41:16.712 so here's a physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:41:16.712 --> 00:41:19.184 reaction affected by placebo,

 $00:41:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.285$  but augmented by both warm

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00{:}41{:}22.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.380$  in competence the the high warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.480$  low competence, and low competence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:41:28.480 --> 00:41:32.188 High warmth were in immediate outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

00:41:32.190 --> 00:41:33.362 but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:33.362 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.706$  both warmth and competence

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:35.706 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.380$  seems to make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.344$  So the place bo studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.567$  show that relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85084784

 $00:41:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.730$  Makes the difference in healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8430887

 $00{:}41{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.194$  Here psychiatrist effects in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8430887

 $00:41:51.194 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.680$  psychopharmacology and this is study that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7854607

 $00:41:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.742$  Professor Black was well aware of and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7854607

 $00:41:59.742 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.648$  wrote some follow up studies for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85121673

 $00:42:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.786$  In the medication arms it was

 $00:42:08.786 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.649$  antidepressant medication versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.85121673

 $00:42:10.649 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.750$  placebo's. The typical double blind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877280975

 $00:42:17.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.584$  Set up. So here the psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.877280975

 $00:42:20.584 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.208$  met with the patients 30 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.877280975

00:42:24.208 --> 00:42:26.936 in weekly clinical management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.042$  In the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:31.042 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.910$  3% of the effect is due to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.480$  so this is the specific effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.705$  Depressant medication more effective than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:42:39.705 --> 00:42:41.930 placebo effect sounds relatively small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00{:}42{:}41.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45.045$  but that's the typical separation it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:42:45.050 --> 00:42:46.830 you know, between antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.610$  medication and placebo pills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.620$  How much of the variability in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:42:50.620 --> 00:42:53.265 outcome was due to the psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:42:53.265 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.729$  giving the antidepressant medication?

 $00:42:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.510$  So here it's 9%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:42:57.510 --> 00:43:00.180 If I work there with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.987$  I could jump up and down 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:02.987 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.070$  this is pretty exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00{:}43{:}05.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07.445$  The psychiatrist blind to whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:43:07.445 --> 00:43:09.345 they're giving the medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:43:09.350 --> 00:43:12.119 Or the placebo?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.856$  Accounted for about 9%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:13.856 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.174$  Of the alcohol and it turns out that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:18.174 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.884$  best psychiatrist got better outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.055$  giving the place bo than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:23.055 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.787$  poor psychiatrist got giving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.182$  With the anti depressants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:27.182 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.770$  So the advice might buy be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:43:30.770 --> 00:43:32.798 But if you're depressed,

00:43:32.798 --> 00:43:34.826 go to a psychiatrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.686$  get a good psych and effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00{:}43{:}37.686 \rightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.400$  psychiatrist and get a placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.712$  So it turns out more of the variability

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:43.712 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.135$  in outcome is to the psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00{:}43{:}47.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.016$  administering another place bo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

00:43:49.020 --> 00:43:50.164 And remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:50.164 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.168$  this is 30 minutes of clinical management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9070583

 $00:43:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.810$  Per week

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:43:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.790$  so there aren't any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00{:}44{:}00.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.255$  Have meta analysis of relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

00:44:04.255 --> 00:44:07.027 effects in placebo administration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.814$  but it does turn out that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00{:}44{:}12.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17.499$  meta analysis of placebo response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:17.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.556$  Two orourke response pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:19.556 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.640$  I didn't say this very well.

 $00:44:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.210$  Response to placebos for pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:25.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.521$  The number of face to face visits

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:28.521 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.236$  in the trial was related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:32.236 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.976$  size of the placebo response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.032$  So the more visits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:37.032 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.084$  more face to face,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.142$  visits of the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00{:}44{:}41.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.707$  the greater the place bo effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.518$  So it looks like for placebos relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121772

 $00:44:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.410$  Is absolutely critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00{:}44{:}51.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54.970$  In medicine you know we have hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:44:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.602$  of thousands of clinical trials of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00{:}44{:}58.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.398$  various medications and procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.635$  Very few studies randomized studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:04.635 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.223$  that have manipulated relationship.

 $00:45:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.518$  But enough to do this meta analysis again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00{:}45{:}10.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.700$  John Kelly and colleagues at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.630$  Harvard Center for Placebo Studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.876$  Meta analyzed those studies that randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:19.876 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.160$  different aspects of the relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.540$  The overall effect positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.920$  So a better relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.699$  better health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:29.699 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.664$  It's small but statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.828$  The studies if you look at them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00{:}45{:}36.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39.800$  are not particularly well done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.770$  It's hard to manipulate relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.216$  factors in actual clinical practice, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

 $00:45:47.216 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.168$  Some evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

00:45:50.170 --> 00:45:51.466 Somewhat convincing 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.89552397

00:45:51.466 --> 00:45:53.626 it's a Mount Meta analysis,

 $00:45:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.216$  but the effect is relatively small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:45:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.740$  Let's now turn to psychiatry psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.950$  So what do we know about psychotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

00:46:06.950 --> 00:46:09.350 Well, it's remarkably effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.754$  It's demonstrated in random

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

00:46:11.754 --> 00:46:13.557 randomized clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.573$  comparing psychotherapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:15.573 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.928$  weightless controls or to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:18.928 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.339$  kind of attention placebos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00{:}46{:}22.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.848$  He is also effective in practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.750$  so it looks like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.810$  Psychotherapist in practice meets the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:31.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.870$  debt benchmarks of randomized clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8401951

 $00:46:34.951 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.847$  trials achieving comparable effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

 $00:46:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.540$  Many trials show the psychotherapies

 $00:46:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.572$  as effective as medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

00:46:44.580 --> 00:46:46.104 You know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

00:46:46.104 --> 00:46:48.136 This research pretty well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

 $00:46:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.180$  so psychotherapies longer lasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

 $00:46:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.730$  so after medication is withdrawn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

 $00:46:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.270$  there's a greater relapse then

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

00:46:55.270 --> 00:46:57.308 there isn't psychotherapy, fewer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84703237

 $00:46:57.308 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.356$  fewer side effects, and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.205$  But the question is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:05.205 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.840$  makes psychotherapy work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00{:}47{:}06.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.136$  So. Will look at this just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.818$  In a few very specific areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.268$  let's start with the rapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:17.268 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.780$  OK, so here's an illustration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.436$  the outcomes of therapists in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

00:47:24.436 --> 00:47:27.686 National Health Service in England,

 $00:47:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.142$  where the service measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00{:}47{:}30.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.820$  outcomes in all of their clinics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.670$  So here's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.678$  Residuals the difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:38.678 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.690$  the average outcome and the outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00{:}47{:}41.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.977$  for each particular the rapist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84947115

 $00:47:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.627$  and you can see the green therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:47:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.945$  Consistently have better outcomes than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00{:}47{:}53.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.140$  average, so the confidence intervals

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:47:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.465$  are relatively great because measuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00{:}48{:}00.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.498$  the rapist effectiveness has has a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:48:03.498 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.739$  of error because much of the variance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00{:}48{:}06.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10.238$  is due to the patient, but even then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

00:48:10.238 --> 00:48:13.290 We can see that the best therapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:48:13.388 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.848$  consistently get better outcomes

00:48:15.848 --> 00:48:19.538 than average and the poor therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00{:}48{:}19.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.700$  The Red Therapist consistently get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:48:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.470$  Our poor outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:48:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.830$  So the question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:48:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.470$  What characterizes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8606222

 $00:48:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.750$  The most effective therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:48:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.085$  Oh, I just want to mention this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:48:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.564$  And it looks like the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}48{:}40.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.880$  between the rapists becomes more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:48:42.979 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.059$  pronounced the longer the therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:48:46.060 --> 00:48:47.896 So interesting Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:48:47.896 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.842$  the red Therapist by session 15 have recovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}48{:}52.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.586$  rate of about 0% after the 15th session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:48:57.586 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.420$  That means that none of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:49:01.543 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.039$  patients will have recovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:05.040 --> 00:49:08.896 If they're still in therapy and session 15,

 $00:49:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.916$  and you can see that the above average

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}49{:}12.916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.940$  the rapist continue to have increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:15.940 --> 00:49:18.508 recovery rates quite remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:18.510 --> 00:49:20.910 To get you involved in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}49{:}20.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.110$  I'm going to have you identified the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:24.110 --> 00:49:28.506 therapist, so I'm going to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:49:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.865$  Several therapists and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:49:30.865 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.443$  you to identify who you think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:34.443 --> 00:49:38.390 the most effective therapist, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:49:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.380$  I can't see the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}49{:}40.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}44.412$  but I know you're ready to look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:49:44.412 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.769$  therapists and tell me which one you think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:49:47.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.718$  Is the most effective?

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:50.720 --> 00:49:56.450 Ready get set. Got up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:49:56.450 --> 00:49:59.030 It's not therapist, it's baseball hitters.

 $00:49:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.164$  These are all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:50:00.164 --> 00:50:02.054 All All Stars the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:02.054 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.310$  hitters in the major leagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.047$  If any of you are baseball fans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:07.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.960$  it's a few years old now 'cause you see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:50:10.960 --> 00:50:14.152 Russo Suzuki in the bottom one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}50{:}14.152 --> 00{:}50{:}17.024$  favorite players 'cause I grew up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:50:17.024 --> 00:50:19.019 Seattle batting champion in Japan

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:19.099 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.507$  as well as in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.577$  Look at his stance very different then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.055$  Stance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00{:}50{:}26.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.905$  He's got his knees close together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.838$  Other baseball players or hitters All

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

00:50:31.838 --> 00:50:35.099 Stars have their knees very far apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.956$  Some hold the bats very high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91436934

 $00:50:37.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.379$  some very low.

 $00:50:41.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.286$  What characterizes the best hitters is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}50{:}44.286 \to 00{:}50{:}47.199$  really hard to tell by looking at them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:50:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.839$  and the same is true in psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:50:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.030$  So I like to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}50{:}53.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}55.400$  It ruins the CBT the rapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:50:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.296$  Here's the psychodynamic therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:50:57.296 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.666$  Here's the emotion focused therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:50:59.670 --> 00:51:01.574 Here's the act the rapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:51:01.574 --> 00:51:05.828 So if you just look at what they do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.722$  they are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:07.722 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.262$  You know, it's remarkable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:10.262 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.446$  that baseball statistics and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}51{:}13.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.650$  Psychotherapy statistics are very comperable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.520$  so a good hitter gets a hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:51:18.520 --> 00:51:21.350 about one out of three times,

 $00:51:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.550$  and that's enough to make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.202 \text{ I don't know millions of dollars}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:51:26.202 --> 00:51:28.839 each year while the NNT for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:28.839 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.576$  psychotherapy is 3 so we get about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:31.576 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.519$  one hit for every three patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}51{:}34.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.600$  So if you delve into the statistics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}51{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39.352$  there's remarkable analogs between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:39.352 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.666$  baseball and psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.970$  But the point here is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.770$  It's very difficult to examine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}51{:}45.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.570$  what the rapists do by watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:48.671 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.367$  their videotapes an identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:51.367 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.737$  with the critical ingredients are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.076$  So in the last 10 years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:51:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.006$  we've made great effort to identify what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:01.006 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.260$  characterizes effective therapists.

 $00:52:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.768$  Here's what does not make a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}52{:}04.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06.440$  difference in the rapists outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.770$  The theoretical approach used in treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:52:09.770 --> 00:52:10.914 Clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:10.914 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.774$  as well as naturalistic settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.996$  Very small or nonexistent differences among

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:16.996 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.080$  the outcomes of different approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}52{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.392$  Experience actually inversely related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:22.392 \dashrightarrow 00:52:24.126$  Psychotherapist outcomes deteriorate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:24.126 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.390$  not by great extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.250$  but they'd iterate over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.538$  course of the careeer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.406$  Longitudinal studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}52{:}33.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}37.138$  shown this age of the therapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:37.138 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.590$  doesn't make a difference.

00:52:39.590 --> 00:52:44.750 Some recent studies Big 5 personality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}52{:}44.750 \to 00{:}52{:}48.190$  characteristics don't predict outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:52:48.190 --> 00:52:51.046 Here's one that I like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:52:51.050 --> 00:52:52.702 Self reported social skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00:52:52.702 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.180$  so if you give the rapists a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:52:55.257 --> 00:52:57.249 standard social psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}52{:}57.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59.570$  social skills test those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

00:52:59.570 --> 00:53:01.890 score higher and social skills

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805375

 $00{:}53{:}01.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04.406$  do not produce better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878042

00:53:06.500 --> 00:53:09.490 In the United States, professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878042

 $00{:}53{:}09.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.290$  degrees psychologist, psychiatrist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878042

 $00{:}53{:}11.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13.090$  licensed professional counselors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8878042

 $00:53:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.890$  clinical social workers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73062885

00:53:17.120 --> 00:53:20.216 All in Chief, almost identical outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73062885

 $00:53:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.795$  So several studies have looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.73062885

 $00:53:22.795 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.860$  at this, no differences.

 $00:53:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.810$  One that's that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:53:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.274$  A little bit disturbing to many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:53:33.274 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.955$  us is that interviews of therapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:53:35.955 --> 00:53:39.033 by experts do not predict outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}53{:}39.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.040$  We all believe that we can talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}53{:}42.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.562$  the rapist and discern who's going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:53:44.562 --> 00:53:47.663 be a better therapist by asking them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}53{:}47.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50.573$  about their clinical work about their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:53:50.573 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.790$  themselves and make this decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:53:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.790$  You know, this is the way we hire therapists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:53:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.813$  We often ask them to come for an interview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.686$  Find manager clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}54{:}02.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.796$  I want to interview potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:05.796 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.728$  therapist so you know in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:54:08.730 --> 00:54:11.619 Industrial organizational psychology,

00:54:11.619 --> 00:54:16.434 but also studies in psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.880$  The scores determined by interviewers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}54{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.815$  Have the rapist do not predict outcomes so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.978$  What does so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:26.978 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.908$  This is an ingenious study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.454$  so Tim Anderson said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:54:30.454 --> 00:54:31.478 well, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:54:31.478 --> 00:54:33.711 it's really hard to look at videotapes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:33.711 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.940$  of the rapists and identify who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.784$  the most effective therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}54{:}37.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41.165$  We know a lot of what goes on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:41.165 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.358$  therapy is determined by the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:44.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.886$  Interpersonally aggressive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:54:46.886 --> 00:54:50.254 Make therapist look relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:50.254 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.249$  less competent, so clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.155$  Showed that competence ratings are

00:54:57.155 --> 00:54:59.824 often more a function of the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:54:59.824 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.906$  than they are of the therapist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:01.910 \dashrightarrow 00:55:05.130$  so Tim said I need a standardized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.478$  Patient so that all the therapists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:08.478 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.160$  can see exactly the same patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.676$  So what he did is because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:15.676 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.020$  that's impossible to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:55:18.020 --> 00:55:21.590 He showed a large sample of therapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.050$  videotapes of a particular therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.394$  particular patient statement so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:27.394 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.738$  these were difficult patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.105$  Tim went around the interpersonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:33.105 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.124$  circle and took.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.070$  Or medix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:55:37.070 --> 00:55:40.864 Pulls from each octant of difficult patients,

 $00:55:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.423$  either interpersonally, aggressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:55:43.423 --> 00:55:45.976 with drawn, so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}55{:}45.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48.245$  Then he showed these vignettes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:48.245 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.461$  to the to the therapist and said

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:51.461 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.464$  respond as if you were the therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.710$  He recorded the therapist responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.552$  encoded them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:55:57.552 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.499$  and wanted to see if he could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}56{:}00.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.189$  discern in their responses what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:03.189 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.914$  predicted the outcomes of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:05.914 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.774$  therapists and he was able to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.740$  So he called this the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:11.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.170$  facilitative interpersonal skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.908$  So here's the scales that differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:17.908 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.067$  the more effective the rapists than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:21.067 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.957$  the last effective verbal fluency.

 $00:56:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.735$  How cogent and how interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:27.735 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.000$  and how persuasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.268$  Were they therapist statements?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.560$  Emotional perception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.586$  Modulation of the therapist own affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:41.586 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.090$  and their expressiveness of affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:45.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.279$  Warmth and acceptance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.475$  I put these in red and blue because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:51.475 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.995$  are the dimensions we talked about earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:56:55.000 --> 00:56:55.694 Competence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}56{:}55.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59.164$  How well can you communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:56:59.164 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.940$  information about the therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.924$  As well as warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:03.924 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.900$  caring and understanding so the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:06.994 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.209$  dimensions we talked about earlier.

00:57:10.210 --> 00:57:10.999 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}57{:}10.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}14.944$  these are interpersonal skills that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:14.944 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.100$  are demonstrated in challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:18.208 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.258$  situations where emotion is difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.148$  If we look at the science for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.983$  these relationship factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:28.983 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.427$  produce relatively large effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.480$  These were all meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:36.920$  that appear in John.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:57:36.920 --> 00:57:39.364 Norcross is book psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00{:}57{:}39.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}41.197$  relationships that work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:57:41.200 --> 00:57:44.866 Compare those to the specific ingredients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:44.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.090$  treatment differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

00:57:46.090 --> 00:57:47.920 adherence, rated adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:49.162$  rated competence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8268046

 $00:57:49.162 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.267$  the relationship factors in psychotherapy.

 $00:57:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.917$  Seem to be critical to the success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:57:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.828$  So in the last few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.218$  let's talk about what is it about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:05.218 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.360$  the relationship that's health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

00:58:07.360 --> 00:58:09.880 Promoting? OK, so very briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.394$  because we don't have too much time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

00:58:13.400 --> 00:58:16.914 But again, as a psychology I'm interested,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.835$  why should an interaction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

00:58:19.835 --> 00:58:22.167 a warm understanding healer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.220$  Who I perceive to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00{:}58{:}25.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.660$  competent be health promoting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

00:58:27.660 --> 00:58:29.952 So four different hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:29.952 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.817$  One is that the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:32.817 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.161$  interacts with specific effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:35.161 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.620$  this is the idea that of adherence.

00:58:38.620 --> 00:58:42.208 A second is that this relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:42.208 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.404$  combats loneliness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.290$  3rd, the Interpersonal Relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.717$  is a very potent way to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

00:58:51.717 --> 00:58:54.648 expectations for success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

00:58:54.650 --> 00:58:55.712 And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:55.712 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.836$  the relationship promotes emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8557805

 $00:58:57.836 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.960$  coregulation so very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00{:}59{:}02.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.895$  If we have a good relationship with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:04.895 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.850$  the healer, more likely to head here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00{:}59{:}08.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}12.066$  To the healing procedure so and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00{:}59{:}12.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.733$  there's meta analysis to these so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:15.733 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.569$  the physician communication leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00{:}59{:}18.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21.405$  to increased patient adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.686$  So there's some evidence for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.074$  but there's also some evidence that

00:59:28.074 --> 00:59:31.798 adherence to a placebo has an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.148$  So those people who follow through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

00:59:35.148 --> 00:59:37.380 taking the placebo medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

00:59:37.471 --> 00:59:41.023 actually in one meta analysis have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:41.023 \longrightarrow 00:59:43.391$  decreased morbidity and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.335$  So this is very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:47.335 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.270$  that both adherence to the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

00:59:51.270 --> 00:59:52.486 Effective medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00:59:52.486 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.134$  but at hindrance to the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877726

 $00{:}59{:}56.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58.969$  results in better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87123215

 $01:00:01.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.800$  Let's look at this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87123215

 $01:00:04.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.990$  loneliness, so we know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87123215

 $01{:}00{:}08.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}12.125$  There are several health indicators

NOTE Confidence: 0.87123215

01:00:12.125 --> 01:00:15.260 that increase risk for mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87123215

01:00:15.260 --> 01:00:18.400 obesity, lack of exercise, smoking.

01:00:18.400 --> 01:00:21.530 We can include excessive drinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87123215

 $01{:}00{:}21.530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}24.670$  environmental, pollutions and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:00:26.680 --> 01:00:29.464 What's a greater or equal risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:00:29.464 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.190$  than any of these factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:00:32.190 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.700$  Yeah loneliness so perceived loneliness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:00:35.700 --> 01:00:39.210 lack of social support increases

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:00:39.210 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.316$  morbidity and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:00:41.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.787$  So one thing that a good relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:00:46.790 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.800$  Does with a hilarious that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:00:49.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.208$  it decreases loneliness long.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01{:}00{:}52.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.816$  This is often exacerbated by disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:00:55.816 --> 01:00:59.428 and illness, so were discouraged for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:00:59.428 --> 01:01:02.438 mental illness were often stigmatized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:02.440 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.767$  may be rejected from social networks so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:07.770 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.202$  Our loneliness is is often greatest

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:11.202 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.529$  when we're suffering from a disease.

 $01:01:14.530 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.210$  Anna relationship with a healer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:18.210 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.890$  helps to reduce that loneliness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:01:21.890 --> 01:01:22.437 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:01:22.437 --> 01:01:26.266 human contact is now a luxury good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:01:26.270 --> 01:01:29.000 and especially during the pandemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:29.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:35.350$  when we're socially isolated. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:35.350 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.402$  Let's look at expectations so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:37.402 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.820$  all learn not to do this right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:01:39.820 --> 01:01:42.564 Stick a metal object in a electrical plug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01{:}01{:}42.570 \longrightarrow 01{:}01{:}45.330$  I want you to think for a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:01:45.330 --> 01:01:47.724 How did you learn to do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:47.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.762$  We're in audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01{:}01{:}48.762 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}51.170$  I can have you raise your hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:51.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.240$  How many learn by classical conditioning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:53.240 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.928$  We stuck the metal object in

 $01:01:55.928 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.580$  the socket and got shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:01:58.580 --> 01:01:59.081 Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:01:59.081 \longrightarrow 01:02:02.087$  When I do this in workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:02:02.090 --> 01:02:05.663 it's maybe one or two people in the audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:02:05.670 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.276$  so Pavlov winner Nobel Prize for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01{:}02{:}09.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}10.438$  Examining the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:02:10.438 --> 01:02:11.210 classical conditioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:02:11.210 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.298$  but that's not how we learned this behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:02:14.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.840$  What about Albert Bandura

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

01:02:15.840 --> 01:02:16.995 and vicarious learning?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01{:}02{:}17.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}20.465$  You watched a sibling or a friend do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:02:20.470 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.400$  while the maybe there's one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87858665

 $01:02:22.400 \longrightarrow 01:02:24.330$  or two in the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8946026

 $01:02:27.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.032$  A third possibility is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8946026

 $01:02:29.032 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.820$  evolved to avoid electrical sockets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8946026

 $01:02:30.820 \longrightarrow 01:02:33.095$  We have a module in our brain

01:02:33.095 --> 01:02:34.869 makes us a fraid of snakes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:37.060 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.308$  Spiders and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:39.308 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.680$  but not enough time has passed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

01:02:42.680 --> 01:02:45.456 To evolve to be afraid of electrical sockets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:45.460 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.478$  how do the rest of us learn?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:49.480 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.940$  Verbal persuasion via trusted other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:52.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.720$  OK, this is where expectations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:55.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.040$  are created and Lieberman in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:02:59.040 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.141$  book on the neural basis of soci

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:03:02.141 \longrightarrow 01:03:05.258$  Ality said our brains are designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:03:05.258 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.928$  to be influenced by others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:03:07.930 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.199$  Most of what we learn and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01{:}03{:}11.199 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.764$  we know comes from the verbal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

01:03:14.764 --> 01:03:17.496 persuasion by trusted others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01:03:17.500 \longrightarrow 01:03:20.100$  And this is what we do in psychotherapy

 $01:03:20.100 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.516$  and in medicine is that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695623

 $01{:}03{:}22.516 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}25.060$  lot due to the verbal persuasion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:03:27.150 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.090$  By the trusted clinician so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:03:31.090 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.450$  The final way that the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:03:34.450 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.632$  may be healing is this idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:03:38.632 --> 01:03:41.692 emotional coregulation you know we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}03{:}41.692 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}45.458$  talk often in mental health about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:03:45.460 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.300$  Disorders due to emotional dysregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:03:48.300 --> 01:03:52.020 either over regulated or under regulated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:03:52.020 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.844$  and this idea that we need to teach people

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:03:56.844 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.547$  skills in regulating on an individual basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:01.550 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.234$  Their relationship is maybe misplaced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:05.234 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.919$  this idea that we regulate our affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:09.920 \longrightarrow 01:04:13.320$  In the presence of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:13.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.506$  People that with whom we're intimate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:16.510 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.234$  So this is the idea of emotional

 $01:04:20.234 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.298$  Co regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:21.300 \longrightarrow 01:04:24.940$  So this gives you some idea about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:24.940 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.672$  different ways that we regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:27.672 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.337$  in dyads or social groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}04{:}30.340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}33.538$  This Co regulation is the unconscious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:04:33.540 --> 01:04:36.200 That's not a conscious process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:36.200 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.282$  but unconscious regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:38.282 \longrightarrow 01:04:41.058$  of two peoples affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:41.060 \longrightarrow 01:04:42.676$  So in a sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:04:42.676 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.538$  this is what we do in healing practices

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}04{:}46.538 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}51.834$  is that we help to regulate the patient's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}04{:}51.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}55.880$  affect in this unconscious process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}04{:}55.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}59.399$  So very quickly I think we have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:04:59.399 --> 01:05:02.818 talk about this study that James Cohn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:05:02.820 --> 01:05:05.858 who was a PhD student at Wisconsin,

01:05:05.860 --> 01:05:08.470 did with his advisor Richie Davidson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:08.470 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.160$  who we probably all know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:05:12.160 --> 01:05:17.921 So Jim work in a PTSD clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:17.921 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.390$  for his predoctoral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:20.390 \longrightarrow 01:05:24.786$  Internship in had a patient with PTSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:05:24.790 --> 01:05:28.810 Longstanding, chronic was a Vietnam

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:28.810 \dashrightarrow 01:05:33.870$ era veteran who refused to do the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:05:33.870 --> 01:05:35.390 Prolonged exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:35.390 \longrightarrow 01:05:38.430$  that was the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:38.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:40.078$  That was administered in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:40.078 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.138$  the clinic at the VA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:42.140 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.017$  so Jim didn't know what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:05:45.020 --> 01:05:46.668 The patient absolutely refused

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:46.668 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.316$  to do the treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:05:48.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:51.749$  so Jim said it will look come back next

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}05{:}51.749 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}54.910$  week and I'll talk to my supervisor.

 $01:05:54.910 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.376$  Will figure out what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}05{:}57.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00.131$  So Supervisor said you just have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:00.131 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.150$  do a better job of explaining it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:03.150 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.210$  Prolonged exposure is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:06:05.210 --> 01:06:07.270 evidence based treatment for PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:07.270 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.358$  so when the patient returned the next week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:06:10.360 --> 01:06:13.307 Jim went out to the waiting room

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:13.307 \longrightarrow 01:06:15.360$  to get him in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:15.360 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.076$  Patient brought along his wife and said

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:06:18.076 --> 01:06:21.648 I want her to attend the session with me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:21.650 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.008$  so Jim said no to himself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:24.010 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.398$  I don't know much about couples therapy, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}06{:}27.398 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.864$  Sure, bring your wife to the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:06:31.870 --> 01:06:33.022 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:33.022 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.670$  Jim explained prolonged exposure, the.

 $01:06:37.670 \longrightarrow 01:06:39.638$  Patient folded their arms across their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:39.638 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.000$  chest and said, Nope, I'm not doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:06:43.000 --> 01:06:44.960 And just at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:44.960 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.050$  the patient's wife without saying anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:48.050 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.852$  Touched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:48.852 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.060$  The the patient's arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:06:52.060 \longrightarrow 01:06:55.764$  Looked at him and the patient said well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:06:55.770 --> 01:06:59.988 Maybe I'll do it and Jim said, holding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}06{:}59.988 \to 01{:}07{:}03.740$  hand of an intimate person has an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:07:03.740 \longrightarrow 01:07:06.090$  So we did this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01{:}07{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}962$  Three conditions with Maritally

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:07:07.962 \longrightarrow 01:07:08.898$  satisfied women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

01:07:08.900 --> 01:07:11.708 He stressed them by putting them

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:07:11.708 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.112$  in a scanner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:07:13.120 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.298$  He also gave them a small shock

NOTE Confidence: 0.7406955

 $01:07:16.298 \longrightarrow 01:07:18.280$  so that they were.

 $01:07:20.900 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.978$  Aroused and agitated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:23.980 --> 01:07:25.928 Three conditions, no handholding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:07:25.928 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.381$  All the women also in one condition

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:07:29.381 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.069$  held the hand of a stranger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:07:32.070 \longrightarrow 01:07:32.848$  the researcher,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:07:32.848 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.182$  and in the third condition they

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:35.182 --> 01:07:37.380 held their husbands and member.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:37.380 --> 01:07:39.840 These were all merely satisfied with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:07:39.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.808$  and it turned out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:41.808 --> 01:07:44.760 Holding the hand of the spouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01{:}07{:}44.863 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48.622$  reduced arousal, it was a calming

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:48.622 --> 01:07:50.774 influence without saying anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01{:}07{:}50.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}55.980$  and the more merrily satisfied the woman was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:55.980 --> 01:07:59.585 The greater the effect, and of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:07:59.590 --> 01:08:02.170 because it's a neuroscience lab,

 $01:08:02.170 \longrightarrow 01:08:06.298$  they they verified this with the brain scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01{:}08{:}06.300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.532$  So again, what we do and in healing

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:08:09.532 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.009$  settings is this metaphorical handholding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:08:13.010 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.880$  It's the coregulation of affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:08:16.880 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.668$  In our sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:08:18.668 --> 01:08:21.794 So in conclusion, relationship is

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:08:21.794 \longrightarrow 01:08:25.129$  an important component of healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

01:08:25.130 --> 01:08:27.970 Two aspects of the relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:08:27.970 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.150$  there's warmth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5491034

 $01:08:29.150 \longrightarrow 01:08:31.510$  And there's also competence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01{:}08{:}33.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}36.680$  So the healer qualities and actions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:36.680 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.540$  are critical in psychotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:38.540 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.716$  but also in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01{:}08{:}40.716 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}43.980$  So attention to relationship is needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:43.980 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.046$  But we need much more research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:47.046 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.868$  on this so very few studies in.

01:08:50.870 --> 01:08:53.174 Placebos and in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:53.174 \longrightarrow 01:08:56.222$  looking at the effects. Of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:56.222 \longrightarrow 01:08:59.190$  Relationship with the healer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:08:59.190 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.244$  So. Hopefully we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:09:03.244 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.999$  little time to ask questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:09:06.000 \longrightarrow 01:09:09.726$  So I'm going to stop sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:09:09.730 \longrightarrow 01:09:11.968$  And I think we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882359

 $01:09:11.968 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.460$  time for those questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

01:09:14.970 --> 01:09:16.442 Great thank you Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:16.442 \longrightarrow 01:09:17.914$  Wampole that was beautiful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

01:09:17.920 --> 01:09:20.242 It really should have brought us

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:20.242 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.921$  down to sort of the key elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:22.921 \longrightarrow 01:09:26.244$  of what goes on in that in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:26.244 \longrightarrow 01:09:28.718$  the rapeutic relationship. So we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:28.718 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.148$  This is open for questions.

 $01:09:30.150 \longrightarrow 01:09:32.145$  I know we have a few minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01{:}09{:}32.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}34.075$  If any body has questions you can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:34.075 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.278$  the chat box or you can also just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:36.278 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.160$  raise your hand and unmute yourself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87652004

 $01:09:38.160 \longrightarrow 01:09:39.870$  That would be OK as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:09:43.500 --> 01:09:46.524 I'm sorry I couldn't be there in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:09:46.530 --> 01:09:49.394 it's it's hard not to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:09:49.394 --> 01:09:51.408 audience and get your reaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:09:51.408 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.866$  to this, so I I hope I made my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:09:54.870 --> 01:09:58.054 my points cogently let let me start as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01{:}09{:}58.054 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}01.048$  people are typing their questions in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:01.050 \longrightarrow 01:10:02.755$  One thing there were two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:02.755 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.660$  thoughts I was having. Bruce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:04.660 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.900$  The first was just how far?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:07.900 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.951$  You know we still have to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:09.951 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.810$  not just with the research,

 $01:10:11.810 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.766$  but also in the clinical space.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:13.770 \longrightarrow 01:10:16.186$  We are challenged in this covid era of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:16.186 \longrightarrow 01:10:18.658$  the need for support and for healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:18.660 --> 01:10:20.704 And yet there's such a drive to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:20.704 --> 01:10:23.075 sort of give somebody a pill or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:23.075 --> 01:10:24.850 a quick session or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:24.850 --> 01:10:26.480 and that is of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:26.480 \longrightarrow 01:10:28.755$  contrary to what what you're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:28.760 --> 01:10:31.049 So that was one thought I had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:31.050 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.650$  and you might have a comment about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:33.650 --> 01:10:36.188 But the second thing that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:36.188 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.230$  was thinking about it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:10:38.230 --> 01:10:40.100 Some of this seems nonspecific,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:40.100 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.876$  and yet some aspects of the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:42.876 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.836$  key ingredients in the relationship

 $01:10:44.836 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.826$  do seem kind of specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:46.830 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.651$  So what about training do are these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:49.651 \longrightarrow 01:10:51.952$  elements that that are can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:51.952 \longrightarrow 01:10:54.304$  learned and or is it very natural?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:54.310 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.928$  Some people have it, some people don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:56.930 \longrightarrow 01:10:58.800$  In terms of the warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

 $01:10:58.800 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.026$  certainly one could say that so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:11:01.026 --> 01:11:02.910 just your thoughts about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438488

01:11:02.910 --> 01:11:03.290 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:03.290 --> 01:11:05.782 great, and I have lots of thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}11{:}05.782 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}08.040$  'cause there are great questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:08.040 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.445$  Very quickly about the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:10.445 --> 01:11:13.074 and in covid times, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:13.074 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.531$  we know and I showed the graph that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:16.531 \longrightarrow 01:11:19.311$  the rapeutic alliance or relationship are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:19.311 \longrightarrow 01:11:22.950$  highly related to outcomes in psychotherapy.

 $01:11:22.950 \longrightarrow 01:11:26.406$  Well, it turns out that alliances is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:26.406 --> 01:11:29.688 a stronger predictor in Internet based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:29.690 --> 01:11:30.977 not Tele medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:30.977 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.122$  but Internet based treatments where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:33.122 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.459$  there's a limited relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:35.460 \longrightarrow 01:11:38.150$  But there is a relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:38.150 --> 01:11:41.306 So don't discount the relationship because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}11{:}41.306 \to 01{:}11{:}44.329$  you're doing treatments at a distance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:44.330 \longrightarrow 01:11:47.200$  It's really important to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:47.200 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.070$  about that relationship is still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:11:50.070 \longrightarrow 01:11:51.634$  Very critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:51.634 --> 01:11:53.980 Not only desired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}11{:}53.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}57.424$  but important for outcomes with patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:11:57.424 --> 01:12:00.876 this covid times OK to the second point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:00.880 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.100$  and I know it this best from

 $01:12:04.100 \longrightarrow 01:12:05.480$  the psychotherapy literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:05.480 \longrightarrow 01:12:08.414$  It there is some basic emotional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:12:08.414 --> 01:12:11.920 intelligence if you want to talk about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:11.920 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.220$  that's necessary to be an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:14.220 \longrightarrow 01:12:15.140$  effective therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}12{:}15.140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}18.172$  Team Anderson as well as the group in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:18.172 \longrightarrow 01:12:20.006$  Germany measured these facilitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:20.006 \longrightarrow 01:12:23.000$  interpersonal skills at the beginning of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}12{:}23.000 \to 01{:}12{:}26.108$  training of clinical psychology students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:12:26.110 --> 01:12:28.190 And it predicted the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:12:28.190 --> 01:12:31.290 up to five years in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:31.290 \longrightarrow 01:12:33.666$  So this is the skills before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:12:33.666 --> 01:12:36.050 they got any clinical training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:36.050 \longrightarrow 01:12:37.343$  so that's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:37.343 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.929$  So that's kind of the substrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}12{:}39.930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}43.386$  Whether this is Geno type or or tutor,

01:12:43.390 --> 01:12:44.686 early childhood experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:44.686 \longrightarrow 01:12:46.846$  and attachment and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:46.850 \longrightarrow 01:12:48.578$  We don't really know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:48.578 \longrightarrow 01:12:51.170$  but it seems that that's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:51.170 \longrightarrow 01:12:53.756$  But training also makes the difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:53.760 \longrightarrow 01:12:56.718$  So we can train these skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:12:56.720 \longrightarrow 01:13:00.010$  So I'm involved in an others are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:00.010 \longrightarrow 01:13:02.470$  involved to breakdown the skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:02.470 --> 01:13:04.382 Verbal fluency affect perception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:04.382 --> 01:13:04.860 warmth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:04.860 \longrightarrow 01:13:07.728$  caring these are all skills that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:07.728 \longrightarrow 01:13:09.162$  can be taught.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:09.170 --> 01:13:10.610 Anna really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:10.610 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.010$  you know more and more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:13.010 \longrightarrow 01:13:14.886$  We deemphasized the relationship

01:13:14.886 --> 01:13:16.762 because in psychotherapy we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:16.762 \longrightarrow 01:13:18.750$  training evidence based treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:18.750 \longrightarrow 01:13:21.150$  You gotta get trained in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:21.150 --> 01:13:23.700 CBT or whatever protocol it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:23.700 \longrightarrow 01:13:26.770$  is and we forget that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01{:}13{:}26.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}28.745$  The rapist delivering it is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:28.745 --> 01:13:29.930 makes it effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:29.930 \longrightarrow 01:13:34.142$  and these are skills we can teach and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:34.150 --> 01:13:35.008 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:35.008 \longrightarrow 01:13:37.153$  in medical training there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:37.153 --> 01:13:38.440 attention to relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

01:13:38.440 --> 01:13:41.020 but it's pretty cursory in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:41.020 \longrightarrow 01:13:43.659$  experience and I think we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.8528431

 $01:13:43.659 \longrightarrow 01:13:45.729$  to break these skills down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853618433333333

01:13:47.810 --> 01:13:52.490 And. Teach them. Using deliberate

NOTE Confidence: 0.853618433333333

01:13:52.490 --> 01:13:54.690 practice, you know chess Masters.

01:13:56.750 --> 01:13:57.586 Performance musicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01:13:57.586 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.258$  athletes all use deliberate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

01:13:59.258 --> 01:14:00.930 practice to become experts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01:14:00.930 \longrightarrow 01:14:03.432$  and we do the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01:14:03.432 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.683$  with interpersonal skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

01:14:04.690 --> 01:14:07.198 It's not just some mystery thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01:14:07.200 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.808$  either God or don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

01:14:08.808 --> 01:14:11.800 We can teach this great well sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01:14:11.800 \longrightarrow 01:14:13.850$  it just gets me going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

01:14:13.850 --> 01:14:15.850 Another another lecture I I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01{:}14{:}15.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}18.898$  retired so I don't have an audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01:14:18.900 \longrightarrow 01:14:21.826$  so I'm glad to be able to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334563

 $01{:}14{:}21.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}25.430$  to profess about any of this. Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.86532813

 $01:14:25.430 \longrightarrow 01:14:27.460$  that's that's great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86532813

01:14:27.460 --> 01:14:29.248 So Sandy Bakens has asked what

 $01:14:29.248 \longrightarrow 01:14:31.028$  is known about the inverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.86532813

 $01:14:31.028 \longrightarrow 01:14:32.591$  relationship between effectiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.86532813

 $01:14:32.591 \longrightarrow 01:14:35.196$  and experience that you described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:14:35.810 --> 01:14:38.195 Well, we've looked at therapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:14:38.195 --> 01:14:41.440 over their careers up to 18 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:14:41.440 \longrightarrow 01:14:44.980$  and it looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:14:44.980 \longrightarrow 01:14:49.390$  Their effects decreased slightly overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:14:49.390 --> 01:14:50.596 On average, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:14:50.596 \longrightarrow 01:14:53.008$  There's some therapists who get better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:14:53.008 \longrightarrow 01:14:55.386$  over the course of their careers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01{:}14{:}55.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}57.390$  but there's some boot deteriorate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01{:}14{:}57.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}59.790$  so on average they're not improving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:14:59.790 --> 01:15:03.390 I would say that the decrease is so small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:03.390 --> 01:15:06.754 let's not focus on that part, but it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:06.754 \longrightarrow 01:15:09.164$  clear that this differentiates therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:09.170 --> 01:15:12.012 From experts in other fields who gradually

 $01:15:12.012 \longrightarrow 01:15:14.947$  improve over the course of their careers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:14.950 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.020$  OK, they ask Pablo Casals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:17.020 --> 01:15:20.316 Why do you practice three or four years?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:20.320 \longrightarrow 01:15:21.559$  You're the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:21.559 --> 01:15:24.454 Tell us in the world, he says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:24.454 --> 01:15:26.514 I think I'm still improving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:26.520 --> 01:15:27.687 So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:27.687 \longrightarrow 01:15:31.060$  we could talk about this in greater detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:31.060 --> 01:15:33.898 But therapists don't get good detailed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:33.898 \longrightarrow 01:15:36.619$  feedback on what they're doing and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:36.620 --> 01:15:39.644 I don't know so much about physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01{:}15{:}39.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}41.815$  but very little feedback about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01{:}15{:}41.815 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}43.980$  the interpersonal part of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:43.980 \longrightarrow 01:15:46.535$  Most of the feedback would be technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:46.535 \longrightarrow 01:15:49.179$  skill rather than interpersonal feedback,

 $01:15:49.180 \longrightarrow 01:15:52.360$  and if you do get feedback.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:52.360 --> 01:15:53.832 It's often very general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:15:53.832 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.144$  You know when I go to a physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:15:57.144 --> 01:16:00.216 I always get the consumer surveys

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:00.216 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.550$  afterwards because it's a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:02.550 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.600$  faith effort to improve this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:04.600 \longrightarrow 01:16:05.836$  What your satisfaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:05.836 \longrightarrow 01:16:09.151$  But the the level of feedback is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01{:}16{:}09.151 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}11.983$  useless just to know on a gross level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

01:16:11.983 --> 01:16:14.069 patients are relatively satisfied

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01{:}16{:}14.069 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}16.824$  or dissatisfied with my interaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:16.830 \longrightarrow 01:16:20.763$  That doesn't tell me what I need to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:20.770 \longrightarrow 01:16:23.674$  It has to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:23.674 \longrightarrow 01:16:24.400$  Observed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353176

 $01:16:24.400 \longrightarrow 01:16:27.460$  Identified and practiced to get better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749816

 $01:16:30.090 \longrightarrow 01:16:31.419$  Great thank you.

 $01:16:31.420 \longrightarrow 01:16:33.200$  These are critical questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8585238

01:16:34.900 --> 01:16:36.588 Other comments or questions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171274

 $01:16:41.340 \longrightarrow 01:16:44.640$  I'm looking here. Please jump in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171274

 $01:16:44.640 \longrightarrow 01:16:46.090$  'cause we can't see everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171274

 $01:16:46.090 \longrightarrow 01:16:47.818$  We still have a pretty large

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171274

 $01:16:47.818 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.570$  number of folks who are here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85256624

01:16:58.510 --> 01:17:01.550 Regina, I don't see any hands up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85256624

01:17:01.550 --> 01:17:03.830 right now you looks like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85256624

 $01:17:03.830 \longrightarrow 01:17:07.650$  one more just to comment in chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:07.650 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.170$  Yes, the comment is. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01{:}17{:}11.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}14.089$  I've been introducing your work to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:14.089 \longrightarrow 01:17:16.790$  Pgy three psychiatry residents at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01{:}17{:}16.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}19.085$  beginning of their outpatient year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:19.090 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.290$  For the past few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:21.290 \longrightarrow 01:17:24.370$  so that's a comment in an gratitude

 $01:17:24.370 \longrightarrow 01:17:27.990$  and referring to work. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:27.990 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.130$  And we have another comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:30.130 \longrightarrow 01:17:33.040$  a question from Amit Oren.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

01:17:33.040 --> 01:17:34.219 Does conducting psychotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

 $01:17:34.219 \longrightarrow 01:17:36.184$  on line dilute those factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

01:17:36.184 --> 01:17:37.878 that contribute to its efficacy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773184

01:17:37.880 --> 01:17:40.477 I know you commented on it briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8415111

 $01:17:40.480 \longrightarrow 01:17:41.818$  Yeah, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8415111

 $01:17:41.818 \longrightarrow 01:17:44.048$  we're just starting to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8415111

01:17:44.050 --> 01:17:47.694 Some outcome data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8415111

 $01{:}17{:}47.694 --> 01{:}17{:}49.516 \ \mathrm{psychotherapy} \ \mathrm{delivered}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:17:52.100 \longrightarrow 01:17:56.150$  Electronically mediated over zoom or other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01{:}17{:}56.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}00.084$  Platforms and it looks like that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

01:18:00.084 --> 01:18:03.499 efficacy of psychotherapy is not decreasing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:03.500 \longrightarrow 01:18:06.950$  so we all thought that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:06.950 \longrightarrow 01:18:09.250$  camera to camera interactions

 $01:18:09.355 \longrightarrow 01:18:12.535$  were going to be less personal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:12.540 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.968$  It's more difficult to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

01:18:15.970 --> 01:18:19.054 Detect and decode affect of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

01:18:19.054 --> 01:18:21.110 patient in these circumstances,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:21.110 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.694$  but it looks like the outcomes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01{:}18{:}24.694 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}28.655$  comparable and it also looks like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:28.655 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.560$  therapeutic factors are as important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

01:18:31.560 --> 01:18:34.998 or even more important because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

01:18:35.000 --> 01:18:37.035 The patient still needs the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:37.035 \longrightarrow 01:18:37.849$  interpersonal relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:37.850 \longrightarrow 01:18:40.304$  Those factors I talked about so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:40.304 \longrightarrow 01:18:42.855$  clearly we're going to do more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:42.855 \longrightarrow 01:18:45.357$  research and I'm involved in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

01:18:45.357 --> 01:18:47.784 projects that are looking at data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:47.784 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.058$  from Tele Health and this way.

 $01:18:50.060 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.244$  But my guess is that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8512346

 $01:18:52.244 \longrightarrow 01:18:54.540$  factors are even more important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

01:18:56.250 --> 01:18:58.567 Thank you, I know some people may

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:18:58.567 \longrightarrow 01:19:01.255$  have to leave, but we are still here

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:01.255 \longrightarrow 01:19:03.200$  and there's some questions coming in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

01:19:03.200 --> 01:19:05.704 So those who have to go obviously will

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:05.704 \longrightarrow 01:19:08.170$  will go ahead and take that leave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:08.170 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.480$  But we have one comment in question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

01:19:10.480 --> 01:19:12.797 It seems like physiologic effects like pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

01:19:12.800 --> 01:19:14.124 antihistamine, IK effect positively

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:14.124 \longrightarrow 01:19:15.448$  related to the relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:15.450 \longrightarrow 01:19:17.655$  What is your sense of general outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

01:19:17.655 --> 01:19:19.419 within primary care like diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:19.420 \longrightarrow 01:19:20.744$  hypertension, and the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:20.744 \longrightarrow 01:19:22.068$  of the relationship there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:22.070 \dashrightarrow 01:19:24.240$  Yeah, well we're getting a little bit

 $01:19:24.240 \longrightarrow 01:19:26.827$  out of my area of expertise which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:26.830 \longrightarrow 01:19:29.370 I don't say very often,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:29.370 \longrightarrow 01:19:32.436$  but you know there was this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:32.436 \longrightarrow 01:19:35.315$  that placebos are going to affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:35.315 \longrightarrow 01:19:38.261$  some kinds of disorders more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:38.261 \longrightarrow 01:19:41.759$  others were surprised by the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.87904936

 $01:19:41.759 \longrightarrow 01:19:44.610$  effect in Parkinson's disease, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:19:48.320 \longrightarrow 01:19:51.200$  There's debate about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

01:19:51.200 --> 01:19:52.380 The effects of placebos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:19:52.380 \longrightarrow 01:19:54.680$  which is a lot due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:19:54.680 \longrightarrow 01:19:56.528$  relationship for some disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:19:56.530 \longrightarrow 01:20:00.040$  I mean you think hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:00.040 \longrightarrow 01:20:01.387$  Would be. Unrelated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:01.387 \longrightarrow 01:20:04.081$  but there are both cultural effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

01:20:04.081 --> 01:20:06.348 or some countries were placebos

 $01:20:06.348 \longrightarrow 01:20:08.952$  were to a greater extent and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:09.031 \longrightarrow 01:20:11.846$  hypertension than in other countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:11.850 \longrightarrow 01:20:14.489$  But I think that in many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01{:}20{:}14.489 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}17.034$  the areas we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:17.034 \longrightarrow 01:20:19.670$  like hypertension and diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:19.670 \longrightarrow 01:20:22.604$  that the effect of the relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:22.604 \longrightarrow 01:20:24.560$  may be through adherence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:24.560 \longrightarrow 01:20:26.616$  because adherence to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01{:}20{:}26.616 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}29.186$  regiments and protocols for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:29.186 \longrightarrow 01:20:31.290$  disorders are really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

01:20:31.290 --> 01:20:32.986 In a good relationship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01{:}20{:}32.986 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}35.106$  Anna with a persuasive position

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:35.106 \longrightarrow 01:20:37.580$  is going to make a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:37.580 \longrightarrow 01:20:39.670$  So I would say relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

01:20:39.670 --> 01:20:42.430 You know I talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:42.430 \longrightarrow 01:20:45.190$  the four ways that that.

 $01:20:45.190 \longrightarrow 01:20:48.106$  Relationship may work in these disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:48.110 \longrightarrow 01:20:51.362$  It may be that the adherence

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:51.362 \longrightarrow 01:20:53.530$  is the primary pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:53.530 \longrightarrow 01:20:54.930$  But I wouldn't discount

NOTE Confidence: 0.84311885

 $01:20:54.930 \longrightarrow 01:20:56.330$  the other pathways either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

01:20:58.350 --> 01:21:01.174 I was really taken up with your emotion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:01.180 \longrightarrow 01:21:03.124$  cool regulation notion and seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:03.124 \longrightarrow 01:21:05.669$  be at the heart of this therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:05.669 \longrightarrow 01:21:08.033$  or the healing relationship and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:08.033 \longrightarrow 01:21:10.143$  point about it being unconscious and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

01:21:10.143 --> 01:21:12.700 I was wondering if it was you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:12.700 \longrightarrow 01:21:15.306$  in in which way are we thinking of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:15.306 \longrightarrow 01:21:17.466$  it as unconscious and nonconscious?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:17.470 \longrightarrow 01:21:20.030$  'cause there seems to be also a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:20.030 \longrightarrow 01:21:22.418$  the way you were talking about.

01:21:22.420 --> 01:21:24.982 It seems like there's a very dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01{:}21{:}24.982 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}26.320$  transactional piece going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:26.320 \longrightarrow 01:21:27.445$  On one level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:27.445 \longrightarrow 01:21:29.320$  There's of course conscious awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:29.320 \longrightarrow 01:21:31.058$  of what's being said and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

01:21:31.060 --> 01:21:33.678 And on, but there's clearly the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

01:21:33.678 --> 01:21:35.520 perceptual effects are happening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:35.520 \longrightarrow 01:21:38.760$  and there's a response to that that occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:38.760 \longrightarrow 01:21:41.880$  So if you could say a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.86859226

 $01:21:41.880 \longrightarrow 01:21:43.210$  more about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:21:43.210 \longrightarrow 01:21:46.348$  yeah, that's interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:21:46.350 \longrightarrow 01:21:49.829$  The people that study emotional Co regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:21:49.829 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.240$  and there are a number of groups who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01{:}21{:}54.240 \to 01{:}21{:}57.140$  studying this in experimental situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:21:57.140 \longrightarrow 01:21:58.685$  They talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:21:58.685 --> 01:22:01.260 It is not unconscious process,

 $01:22:01.260 \longrightarrow 01:22:04.781$  so there isn't a intentional effort by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:04.781 \longrightarrow 01:22:09.476$  one of the partners to calm or to arouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:09.480 \longrightarrow 01:22:15.249$  If there there needs to be some more arousal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:22:15.250 --> 01:22:17.680 We've noticed this in psychotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:17.680 \longrightarrow 01:22:19.835$  There's two studies now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:22:19.835 --> 01:22:23.010 shows that there is Co regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:23.010 \longrightarrow 01:22:25.920$  but I think there is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:25.920 \longrightarrow 01:22:27.375$  an intentional effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:22:27.380 --> 01:22:29.800 So when I do workshops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:29.800 \longrightarrow 01:22:33.584$  one of my favorite videos is Keith Dopson

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01{:}22{:}33.584 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}38.198$  doing a panic induction with a panic patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:38.200 \longrightarrow 01:22:40.850$  And he intentionally uses a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:40.850 \longrightarrow 01:22:43.500$  very calm and soothing voice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:43.500 \longrightarrow 01:22:47.548$  so I think that's an example of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:47.548 \longrightarrow 01:22:50.227$  intentional attempt at emotional

01:22:50.227 --> 01:22:53.716 coregulation He he, as he describes himself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:22:53.720 --> 01:22:55.970 is very anxious about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:22:55.970 --> 01:22:57.299 He's being filmed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:57.299 \longrightarrow 01:22:59.957$  the patients being induced to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:22:59.957 \longrightarrow 01:23:02.738$  a panic attack in the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:23:02.740 --> 01:23:03.930 It's scary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:23:03.930 --> 01:23:07.500 But his voice is very calm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:23:07.500 \longrightarrow 01:23:10.180$  so that's a more intentional

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01{:}23{:}10.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}12.860$  emotional Co regulation I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01{:}23{:}12.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}16.688$  And that's falls under Tim Anderson's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01{:}23{:}16.688 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}19.240$  affected half active modulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:23:19.240 --> 01:23:21.382 So I think it's both in awareness

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:23:21.382 --> 01:23:23.939 or out of awareness unconscious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:23:23.940 \longrightarrow 01:23:25.116$  but also intentional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

01:23:25.116 --> 01:23:25.508 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900514

 $01:23:25.508 \longrightarrow 01:23:25.900$  great,

 $01:23:25.900 \longrightarrow 01:23:26.690$  so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01{:}23{:}26.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}29.427$  have a couple of questions about technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:29.430 \longrightarrow 01:23:32.174$  Patients do not have access to technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:32.180 \longrightarrow 01:23:34.140$  How do you maintain a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:34.140 \longrightarrow 01:23:36.100$  relationship based on voice only?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

01:23:36.100 --> 01:23:38.404 I think your point just now

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:38.404 \longrightarrow 01:23:40.410$  is relevant there and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:40.410 \longrightarrow 01:23:42.635$  Similarly, is there any difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:42.635 \longrightarrow 01:23:44.415$  in the apeutic alliance for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:44.415 \longrightarrow 01:23:45.615$  psychotherapists who provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

01:23:45.615 --> 01:23:47.360 treatment using audio only or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88502157

 $01:23:47.360 \longrightarrow 01:23:49.029$  combination of video and audio?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01{:}23{:}49.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}51.666$  I haven't seen any studies of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01:23:51.670 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.330$  I mean, we're just starting to collect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

01:23:54.330 --> 01:23:58.186 Data I'm involved in a clinic in Calgary,

01:23:58.190 --> 01:24:02.646 which is the biggest provider in in Alberta?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

01:24:02.650 --> 01:24:07.844 And. They're doing most of it video.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

01:24:07.850 --> 01:24:12.630 I'm going to ask them if they do some audio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01:24:12.630 \longrightarrow 01:24:15.612$  but it's interesting we adapt as

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01:24:15.612 \longrightarrow 01:24:18.741$  humans to these technological ways of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

01:24:18.741 --> 01:24:21.376 having a relationship remarkably well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

01:24:21.380 --> 01:24:24.362 I mean, it's surprising to many

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01:24:24.362 \longrightarrow 01:24:27.220$  people that you know there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01{:}24{:}27.220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}33.180$  Relationship using text video audio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

 $01:24:33.180 \longrightarrow 01:24:36.276$  Isn't it remarkable how adaptive we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90779006

01:24:36.276 --> 01:24:39.800 are in our social relationships?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:41.180 \longrightarrow 01:24:43.745$  Yeah, it's as if there is a hunger for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:43.745 \longrightarrow 01:24:46.733$  it and as we get limited one way or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:46.733 \longrightarrow 01:24:49.271$  other that we find alternate ways and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:49.271 \longrightarrow 01:24:52.630$  then we explore them to the to the Max. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:52.630 \longrightarrow 01:24:53.575$  have another question,

 $01:24:53.575 \longrightarrow 01:24:56.130$  let me just one more thing about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

01:24:56.130 --> 01:24:57.720 You know, there's this literary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:57.720 \longrightarrow 01:24:59.662$  theory about the relationship we form

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:24:59.662 \longrightarrow 01:25:02.192$  with authors, and so even though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

01:25:02.192 --> 01:25:05.090 We don't have a relationship with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

01:25:05.181 --> 01:25:08.367 the author by reading their novels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:25:08.370 \longrightarrow 01:25:11.670$  we put ourselves in that relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:25:11.670 \longrightarrow 01:25:14.420$  and it's an interesting idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8389001

 $01:25:14.420 \longrightarrow 01:25:16.420$  About how we form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90416926

 $01:25:18.500 \longrightarrow 01:25:21.320$  Not just relationships in the moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90416926

 $01{:}25{:}21.320 \longrightarrow 01{:}25{:}24.610$  but in our minds in various ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90416926

01:25:24.610 --> 01:25:28.840 we need more, more work in that area, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598997

 $01{:}25{:}29.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}32.056$  With one more question, Clement Hill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598997

 $01:25:32.060 \longrightarrow 01:25:34.604$  what is the lowest hanging fruit in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598997

 $01:25:34.604 \longrightarrow 01:25:37.008$  of improving clinical relationship skills?

01:25:37.010 --> 01:25:39.530 In other words, what one or two things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598997

 $01:25:39.530 \longrightarrow 01:25:42.429$  could you recommend that could be most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8598997

 $01:25:42.429 \longrightarrow 01:25:44.629$  easily implemented with best efficacy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

01:25:45.480 --> 01:25:47.690 Well I contact is 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:25:47.690 \longrightarrow 01:25:50.330$  so that's a relatively easy one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01{:}25{:}50.330 \to 01{:}25{:}53.032$  You got to be a little careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:25:53.032 \longrightarrow 01:25:55.172$  'cause there's some cultural groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

01:25:55.172 --> 01:25:57.860 where I contact in some diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

01:25:57.860 --> 01:26:00.725 for some autism spectrum patients I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

01:26:00.725 --> 01:26:03.551 contact must might be too intense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01{:}26{:}03.560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}06.647$  but eye contact is 1 to monitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:26:06.650 \longrightarrow 01:26:09.296$  One for me is when critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:26:09.296 \longrightarrow 01:26:10.619$  points in treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:26:10.620 \longrightarrow 01:26:12.820$  I used the patients name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

01:26:12.820 --> 01:26:15.790 I never thought of this before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:26:15.790 \longrightarrow 01:26:18.174$  But it's a very intentional thing you can

 $01:26:18.174 \longrightarrow 01:26:20.827$  do and something that's very impactful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01:26:20.830 \longrightarrow 01:26:23.548$  I've noticed it and patient responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8560085

 $01{:}26{:}23.550 \longrightarrow 01{:}26{:}26.147$  So those are two very quick ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:26:28.800 \longrightarrow 01:26:33.170$  Great. Well, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:26:33.170 --> 01:26:35.183 This is very fascinating, important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:26:35.183 --> 01:26:38.080 very much part of the bread and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:26:38.080 \longrightarrow 01:26:40.819$  butter of the work that we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:26:40.820 \longrightarrow 01:26:43.844$  It was also critical in terms of as

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:26:43.844 --> 01:26:46.794 I think about said, an acids work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:26:46.794 \longrightarrow 01:26:48.684$  There were elements in his

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:26:48.684 --> 01:26:50.966 interactions with students, but it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:26:50.966 \longrightarrow 01:26:52.718$  particularly in the therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01{:}26{:}52.718 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}55.330$  relationship which you know as you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:26:55.330 --> 01:26:57.748 came naturally for him there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:26:57.748 \longrightarrow 01:26:59.360$  substrates that were natural,

 $01:26:59.360 \longrightarrow 01:27:01.460$  his warmth, his compassion in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:27:01.460 --> 01:27:02.904 Reaching out verbal fluency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:27:02.904 --> 01:27:03.987 Putting things together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:03.990 \longrightarrow 01:27:05.790$  which he did so brilliantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:27:05.790 --> 01:27:08.303 But the skill with which he obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01{:}27{:}08.303 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}11.323$  then built on that it just it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:11.323 \longrightarrow 01:27:13.188$  comes together beautifully in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:27:13.268 --> 01:27:15.460 the way that you have, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01{:}27{:}15.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}17.060$  broad data and quantified these

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:17.060 \longrightarrow 01:27:18.973$  really important elements of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01{:}27{:}18.973 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}19.869$  psychotherapeutic relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:19.870 \longrightarrow 01:27:21.680$  So it was very apropos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:21.680 \longrightarrow 01:27:24.929$  I think Sid would have loved to hear this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:24.930 \longrightarrow 01:27:27.810$  and would have had a lot to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:27:27.810 --> 01:27:29.254 Thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:29.254 \longrightarrow 01:27:29.976$  Doctor Wampold,

 $01:27:29.980 \longrightarrow 01:27:32.605$  for your presentation for getting us too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01{:}27{:}32.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}34.806$  To think about these really critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01{:}27{:}34.806 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}36.270$  elements of psychotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

 $01:27:36.329 \longrightarrow 01:27:38.044$  for all of you to attend today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551477

01:27:38.050 --> 01:27:39.646 Thank you again.