WEBVTT NOTE duration:"01:11:16.6080000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.328 Because my introduction is much less NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021 00:00:02.328 --> 00:00:04.220 important than Doctor Calipari's talk, NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00:00:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.670$  which will be eagerly awaiting. NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021 00:00:06.670 --> 00:00:09.772 So it's it's my absolute pleasure NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00{:}00{:}09{.}772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.573$  to introduce Doctor Erin Calipari NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021 00:00:12.573 --> 00:00:15.768 who's today's grand round speaker. NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00{:}00{:}15.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.934$  She is some one who has really been NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021 00:00:18.934 --> 00:00:21.653 a pioneer and incredibly prolific NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00:00:21.653 \rightarrow 00:00:25.439$  scientists already in the area of NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00:00:25.439 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.819$  addiction and using a rodent models to NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00:00:29.819 \rightarrow 00:00:32.231$  understand the neurobiological basis NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00:00:32.231 \rightarrow 00:00:34.625$  of important constructs underlying NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021  $00:00:34.625 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.600$  addiction that are relevant to. NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021 00:00:37.600 --> 00:00:39.705 Human subjects she did her 1

 $00:00:39.705 \rightarrow 00:00:41.810$  undergraduate degree at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:41.876 --> 00:00:43.688 of Massachusetts in Amherst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:43.690 \rightarrow 00:00:46.876$  where she has got her BS in both psychology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:00:46.876 \rightarrow 00:00:49.717$  and biology and already began working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:49.717 --> 00:00:53.259 with Doctor Gerald Meyer using rodent models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}00{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.824$  And then she did her graduate work at Wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:00:56.824 --> 00:01:00.217 Forest School of Medicine with Sarah Jones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:00.220 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.288$  where she began to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:03.290 --> 00:01:06.146 It really immerse herself and neurochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:06.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.496$  an other aspects of in vivo manipulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}880$  and measurements related to behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.384$  She then went on as a postdoctoral fellow NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:15.384 --> 00:01:19.410 and then a instructor at the Mount Sinai's NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:19.410 --> 00:01:22.848 Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:22.850 \dashrightarrow 00:01:25.706$  where she worked with Eric Nestler.

 $00:01:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.410$  And there she began to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:28.410 --> 00:01:31.429 a number of lines of research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.224$  including examination of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:33.224 --> 00:01:35.018 Particularly molecular consequences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:35.018 --> 00:01:36.812 of drug addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}36{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38{.}761$  and particularly intracellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:38.761 \rightarrow 00:01:42.643$  signaling as a consequence of drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:01:42.643 --> 00:01:46.316 addiction that may maintain long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}46.316$  -->  $00{:}01{:}48.664$  structural and behavioral adaptations NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:48.664 \dashrightarrow 00:01:51.935$  to drugs of abuse and also was really NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:51.935 \rightarrow 00:01:55.214$  key in a number of important papers NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:01:55.214 \rightarrow 00:01:58.460$  on sex specific effects of addictive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}01{:}58{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01{.}260$  addictive substances like cocaine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:01.260 \rightarrow 00:02:03.500$  those experiments are particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}03.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.040$  notable because they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:05.040 --> 00:02:07.440 Involved many, many levels of evaluation,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:02:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.240$  not only in rodents but also in

 $00:02:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.040$  human subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:11.040 \rightarrow 00:02:14.240$  She has won a number of awards already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:14.240 \rightarrow 00:02:17.440$  I want to note a few notable ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.040$  In particular she is an awardee of the DP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:21.040 --> 00:02:23.040 One Avenir Award in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:23.040 \rightarrow 00:02:25.040$  and epigenetics from the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:25.040 --> 00:02:26.640 Institute on Drug Abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}26.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.028$  and that's someone who the director

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:29.028 \dashrightarrow 00:02:31.984$  of Naida pulls out as having research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:31.984 \rightarrow 00:02:34.124$  that is extremely innovative and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:34.124 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.939$  at the edge of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37{.}648$  Molecular basis of addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}37.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.700$  She's an associate member of the AC NP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}40.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.814$  Oh I should have noted that she's

 $00:02:42.814 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.688$  also an assistant professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}44.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.492$  the department's particularly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:46.492 --> 00:02:48.296 the Department of Pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:48.356 --> 00:02:49.838 at Vanderbilt University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.615$  but also has appointments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}51{.}615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53{.}035$  the departments of Psychiatry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:53.035 --> 00:02:54.599 and Behavioral Sciences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:02:54.600 \rightarrow 00:02:56.634$  Of course relevant to our Department

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}02{:}56.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58.579$  and the Department of Molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:02:58.579 --> 00:03:00.157 Physiology and Biophysics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.536$  So again, even with her appointments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}02{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}753$  you can see how her work spans areas of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:05.753 \rightarrow 00:03:08.040$  investigation from the very molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:08.040 \rightarrow 00:03:10.830$  and cellular through the pharmacological too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:10.830 --> 00:03:13.010 The area of drug addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:13.010 \rightarrow 00:03:14.754$  relevant to psychiatric illness.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00{:}03{:}14.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.950$  So back to her awards.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:16.950 \rightarrow 00:03:20.009$  Just because they're so notable she is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00{:}03{:}20{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22{.}680$  has been awarded a Whitehall Foundation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:22.680 \dashrightarrow 00:03:25.306$  research grant and are said Young
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00{:}03{:}25{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}628$  Investigator Award and a K99 to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- 00:03:27.628 --> 00:03:30.059 R00 pathway to Independence Award,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.682$  and even back in her days
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:32.682 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.430$  as a graduate student.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- 00:03:34.430 --> 00:03:36.170 The Knighted Director's Award,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.910$  which acknowledged her innovative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.670$  work from the beginning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00{:}03{:}39{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40{.}538$  an addiction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00{:}03{:}40{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42{.}708$  She's an editorial boards relevant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00{:}03{:}42{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44{.}010$  to this Department.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021
- $00:03:44.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.700$  Both the editorial board of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:45.700 \rightarrow 00:03:46.376$  Neuropsychopharmacology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

00:03:46.376 --> 00:03:48.070 the Journal of Neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00:03:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.490$  and she's been incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8314021

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}620$  prolific at every stage of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}686$  -->  $00{:}03{:}54{.}212$  career, showing how which I love that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}03{:}54{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}929$  not only does she have great ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}03{:}56{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59{.}354$  but she carries them through all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:03:59.354 \rightarrow 00:04:01.720$  to really publishing beautiful papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03{.}904$  and it's been exciting to watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}03{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05{.}780$  the evolution of the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08{.}370$  Do you want to say something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.265$  is not exactly science related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:10.265 \rightarrow 00:04:12.390$  as she was recounting during?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00{:}04{:}12.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.686$  The first discussion she does have one area

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:14.686 \rightarrow 00:04:17.070$  that she's interested in outside of science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.813$  or that she's able to devote time

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- 00:04:18.813 --> 00:04:20.809 to as an assistant professor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00{:}04{:}20{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23{.}612$  and that is she is a power lifter and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- 00:04:23.612 --> 00:04:27.300 I have seen a video of her doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- 00:04:27.300 --> 00:04:29.456 Plus, which I'm very, very envious of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00{:}04{:}29{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}244$  but I do want to highlight that her most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00{:}04{:}32{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33{.}787$  recent achievement in powerlifting is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00:04:33.787 \dashrightarrow 00:04:35.950$  that she has just deadlifted 300 pounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- 00:04:35.950 --> 00:04:37.810 Now if that isn't an achievement,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00{:}04{:}37{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}350$  I don't know what is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- 00:04:39.350 --> 00:04:41.513 So thank you very much for being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00:04:41.513 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.440$  with us here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- 00:04:42.440 --> 00:04:42.992 Erin Dr.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00:04:42.992 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.268$  Calipari,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00{:}04{:}43.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.200$  it is a pleasure to welcome you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}177$  to the Department and I'm looking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

 $00:04:47.177 \rightarrow 00:04:49.161$  forward to hearing we're all looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:49.161 --> 00:04:50.776 forward to hearing your talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87306535

00:04:50.780 --> 00:04:51.710 Thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}04{:}51{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}260$  much. That was so nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}04{:}53{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}416$  And yeah, I know I tried it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}025$  You know the pandemic has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:04:57.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.259$  made me start to try to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}04{:}59{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}311$  Things to occupy my time that aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}311$  -->  $00{:}05{:}03{.}423$  just sitting at home and working and NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:03.423 \dashrightarrow 00:05:05.580$  so that's that's what I've been doing. NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:05.580 --> 00:05:07.296 But I'm I'm actually really excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:07.296 \dashrightarrow 00:05:09.489$  to present for a number of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:09.490 \dashrightarrow 00:05:11.826$  One, because I get to see some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}11.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.310$  outside of my immediate family in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:14.310 \dashrightarrow 00:05:16.846$  But to this, this work is some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:16.846 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.062$  of work that's been developing in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:05:19.062 \dashrightarrow 00:05:22.060$  my lab of the last couple of years.

 $00:05:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.268$  That focuses on kind of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:24.268 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.372$  dopamine is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:25.380 \dashrightarrow 00:05:28.071$  and so I think you know for some body who

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:28.071 \rightarrow 00:05:30.548$  studies addiction and psychiatric disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32{.}866$  The reason this is so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}32.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.164$  is because domains at the core

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:35.164 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.186$  of a lot of these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.930$  and you know specifically addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:38.930 \rightarrow 00:05:41.250$  where you see deficits in dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:41.250 \rightarrow 00:05:43.386$  and I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:43.386 \dashrightarrow 00:05:45.258$  to understand for people what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46{.}410$  those deficits mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:05:46.410 --> 00:05:48.426 You know if dopamine is encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}48.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.260$  reward and reduction in dopamine

 $00:05:50.260 \rightarrow 00:05:52.410$  may mean something very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}174$  Then if it don't mean is encoding

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:54.174 \rightarrow 00:05:55.968$  some other aspect of learned behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:55.970 \rightarrow 00:05:59.634$  which I'm probably going to show you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:05:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.465$  So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03{.}079$  I'll kind of show some stuff in the weeds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:03.080 --> 00:06:05.264 but also kind of tide into big

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:05.264 \rightarrow 00:06:07.292$  picture and so please like stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}07{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}672$  me if things aren't clear or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:09.741 \rightarrow 00:06:12.170$  have thoughts or comments as we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.525$  OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:12.525 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.365$  so the focus of my lab is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:15.365 --> 00:06:17.994 understanding if you can see my slides right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:18.000 --> 00:06:18.354 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}18.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.124$  good is understanding how neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:06:20.124 --> 00:06:21.976 experience or how neural circuits

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:06:21.976 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.464$  integrate experiences to drive

00:06:23.464 --> 00:06:25.963 behavior and so you know in life

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:25.963 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.993$  or in animals you know we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:27.993 \rightarrow 00:06:29.810$  experiences that have negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.710$  Things that have positive outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.776$  and what happens is that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:33.776 \rightarrow 00:06:35.765$  experiences change the way our brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:35.765 \dashrightarrow 00:06:37.949$  response to stimuli in the future to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}37{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}654$  increase the probability of behaviors

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:39.654 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.444$  that result in good outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}41.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.405$  And decrease the probability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}43.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.970$  behaviors that result in negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48{.}562$  And the reason I'm so interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:48.562 \rightarrow 00:06:51.727$  in this is because this is kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:06:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.552$  you know,

- $00{:}06{:}52{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}018$  the fundamental core of how we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00{:}06{:}55{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}840$  make decisions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- 00:06:55.840 --> 00:06:57.484 But it's also dysregulated,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- 00:06:57.484 --> 00:06:59.950 and almost every psychiatric disease state,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:06:59.950 \dashrightarrow 00:07:02.410$  and so you know for somebody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.640$  who studies addiction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- 00:07:03.640 --> 00:07:05.700 you know drug associated stimuli,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.344$  or overvalued relative to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:07.344 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.166$  negative consequences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:08.170 \dashrightarrow 00:07:09.810$  An alternative reinforcers depression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00{:}07{:}09{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}11{.}450$  You have reduced motivation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.470$  Valuation of rewards.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.170$  Reward learning or things like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.052$  anxiety and stress disorders where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:16.052 \rightarrow 00:07:17.962$  these negative outcomes may be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175
- $00:07:17.962 \rightarrow 00:07:20.168$  overgeneralized to neutral cues and contexts,

 $00:07:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.878$  which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:20.878 --> 00:07:22.648 you know things like PTSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.030$  and so you know this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26{.}897$  fundamental process by which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:26.897 --> 00:07:29.219 attribute value to things that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}29{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31{.}344$  environment is really a core of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}31{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33{.}264$  how we should be thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}33{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35{.}350$  treating people with disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.430$  where this is dysregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

00:07:37.430 --> 00:07:39.116 So you know, I'm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.806$  going way back to the simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}40{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}42{.}784$  you know half of my lab studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:42.790 \dashrightarrow 00:07:45.038$  You know how to drug change the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00{:}07{:}45{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46{.}726$  but the other half of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.86387175

 $00:07:46.726 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.850$  labs that he's just

 $00:07:47.918 \dashrightarrow 00:07:49.840$  kind of. How do these same systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.250$  work in a normal situation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:51.250 \rightarrow 00:07:53.498$  And so the first thing is kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:53.500 \rightarrow 00:07:55.670$  you know, how do we learn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:55.670 \dashrightarrow 00:07:57.320$  make these adaptive decisions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.448$  And so we use things in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:07:59.448 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.940$  Virat environment to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:00.940 \dashrightarrow 00:08:02.415$  So maybe there's contextual cues

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:02.415 \dashrightarrow 00:08:04.553$  that help you figure out when things NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:04.553 --> 00:08:06.377 are dangerous and when they aren't, NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:06.380 \dashrightarrow 00:08:08.708$  and so if you have something like the NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}708$  -->  $00{:}08{:}11{.}033$  sound of a helicopter in a hometown NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:11.033 \dashrightarrow 00:08:13.577$  may be very different than the cell of NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}13.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.741$  sound of a helicopter in a war zone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:08:15.741 -> 00:08:17.547 We have things like discrete cues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:17.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.489$  which would be the sound of a

 $00:08:19.489 \rightarrow 00:08:21.179$  helicopter itself with drug addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}21.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.818$  It's these cues that are associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:22.818 \rightarrow 00:08:24.500$  with the drug taking experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:24.500 \rightarrow 00:08:26.607$  and so we learn to associate those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.548$  And then there's also, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:28.550 \rightarrow 00:08:30.886$  What we do in response to these stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:30.890 \rightarrow 00:08:32.535$  and so you know my background is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:32.535 \dashrightarrow 00:08:33.619$  really focused on reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}569$  learning and how these stimuli in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}226$  the environment drive animals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:37.226 \rightarrow 00:08:38.806$  make decisions in different context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.854$  So what are they going to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.606$  Are they going to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}42.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.441$  are they reinforce?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}43{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45{.}380$  Are they going to do something or

 $00:08:45.439 \rightarrow 00:08:47.009$  they going to avoid something?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}47.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.579$  And how we can understand the neural

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:49.579 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.056$  circuitry that underlies this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}51{.}056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}560$  decision to kind of seek out or avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:53.560 \rightarrow 00:08:55.498$  different things in the environment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}55{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}564$  And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:56.564 \rightarrow 00:08:59.270$  I think this is a really important thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:08:59.270 \rightarrow 00:08:59.570$  right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}970$  Is that we learn to make predictions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:01.970 \dashrightarrow 00:09:04.605$  so our actions have some sort of outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}04.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.794$  It changes the state of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:06.794 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.564$  environment and basically what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}08.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.572$  do is we learn to do something and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:10.572 \dashrightarrow 00:09:12.765$  so this is kind of guiding these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:12.770 \rightarrow 00:09:14.340$  These associations aren't just there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:14.340 \rightarrow 00:09:16.490$  they're guiding how we navigate

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416
- $00:09:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.358$  an environment. And so.

 $00:09:18.358 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.878$  How do we do this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:20.880 --> 00:09:21.227 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:21.227 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.656$  we need to encode the value or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:23.656 \dashrightarrow 00:09:26.153$  salience salience is kind of like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}26.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27.888$  attention grabbing something is of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:27.955 --> 00:09:30.843 unexpected outcomes and so you get ice cream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.688$  That's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:31.688 --> 00:09:32.526 It's awesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:32.526 \rightarrow 00:09:35.406$  We need to know whether it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00:09:35.406 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.962$  or bad and how good or bad it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:37.970 --> 00:09:40.458 How attention, yes, are you advancing slides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:40.460 --> 00:09:41.194 Yes, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}41.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.763$  this has happened to me before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

 $00{:}09{:}43.763 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.509$  I have no idea why it does this.

 $00:09:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.950$  Let me try this again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87806416

00:09:48.950 --> 00:09:50.987 It's OK if you guys followed them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:09:50.990 --> 00:09:53.609 Yeah, I thought the intro was all very clear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:53.610 \dashrightarrow 00:09:55.644$  but I thought maybe you were dancing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:55.644 \rightarrow 00:09:57.390$  We didn't know. Yeah, this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:09:57.390 \rightarrow 00:10:00.502$  this is happened to me before. I have no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:00.502 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.680$  Idea when or why this does this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.074$  Let me try this one more time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:06.080 --> 00:10:08.824 So where I made it 'cause it's on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}08.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.828$  zoom on the shorter side because

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:10.828 --> 00:10:12.923 I don't think people love watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:12.923 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.074$  zoom for two hours. So OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:15.074 --> 00:10:19.019 So now if I move the slides they move OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:19.020 \rightarrow 00:10:20.778$  Well, there were pictures you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:20.778 --> 00:10:22.700 have experience with all of this stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.588$  so that's fine.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}10{:}23.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.364$  So now we're into the important

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27{.}512$  bit so it's good you saw this. OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:27.512 \rightarrow 00:10:29.768$  so nothing like this is like pandemic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:29.770 --> 00:10:30.336 Like everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}30{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32{.}317$  something has to go wrong every time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:32.320 --> 00:10:34.018 Otherwise, like you know, it's not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:34.020 \rightarrow 00:10:35.430$  It's not real, so OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}418$  so you have to encode some information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}352$  We need to know whether it's good

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:39.352 \rightarrow 00:10:41.377$  or bad and how intense it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.790$  Is this something we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.918$  really pay attention to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:43.920 \rightarrow 00:10:46.184$  Or is this something that's not as important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.665$  We need to make predictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}47.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.530$  about when that's going to occur.

 $00:10:49.530 \rightarrow 00:10:52.330$  And so you know you have an ice cream truck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:10:52.330 --> 00:10:53.730 You predict whether the ice

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}10{:}53.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.410$  cream will be there or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.930$  But not only do we need to make predictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.750$  we need to be able to update

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:10:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.010$  these when they change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:01.010 \rightarrow 00:11:02.970$  So when something no longer is associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.930$  we need to be able to adapt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}04{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}027$  If the update this so that we can change

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}07{.}027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08{.}670$  our behavior when the environment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.089$  not the same as we learned previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}510$  and so this is a really, really critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}13{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15{.}360$  aspect of learning and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.236$  So I'm going to kind of go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:17.240 \rightarrow 00:11:19.376$  There's going to be some computation in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:19.380 --> 00:11:21.060 but what I'll tell you is most of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:11:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.125$  it is is more of a framework for

 $00:11:23.125 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.921$  how people think about how these

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:24.921 \rightarrow 00:11:26.616$  these computations are being done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:26.620 \rightarrow 00:11:28.756$  and if you don't care about the computation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:28.760 --> 00:11:30.636 which I've met, many people who say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.907$  oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:30.907 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.242$  whatever we've used these to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:32.242 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.776$  design experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}32{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34{.}322$  and so it's not like you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:34.322 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.730$  need to know the math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00:11:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.585$  It's more of a kind of framework

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}37{.}585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39{.}740$  for how we designed experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}540$  So this kind of prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

 $00{:}11{:}41{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42{.}980$  based learning was formalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857993

00:11:42.980 --> 00:11:43.700 You know,

- 00:11:43.700 --> 00:11:45.860 originally by Rescorla Wagner in 1972,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}11{:}45{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}380$  and there's been a bunch of kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}11{:}48{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}50{.}588$  of adaptations of this and allow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:11:50.588 \rightarrow 00:11:52.700$  the model to do other things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- 00:11:52.700 --> 00:11:53.406 But really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}11{:}53{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55{.}877$  what this is is it's a mathematical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}11{:}55{.}877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}100$  model that allows us to kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:11:58.100 \rightarrow 00:11:59.900$  of formalize how animals learn,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}11{:}59{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}965$  and So what happens in this model
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:01.965 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.252$  is that if you have something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- 00:12:04.252 --> 00:12:06.020 like an unexpected outcome,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.180$  that is an error in prediction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:08.180 \rightarrow 00:12:10.436$  you predicted nothing, something was there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- 00:12:10.440 --> 00:12:12.827 You made an error and what happens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}12{:}12{.}827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.770$  overtime is your prediction gets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:14.770 \dashrightarrow 00:12:17.326$  better and then there's less error.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:17.330 \rightarrow 00:12:19.742$  So essentially what happens is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:19.742 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.796$  associative strength or how well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:21.796 \rightarrow 00:12:23.676$  you how well something predicts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}12{:}23.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.321$  something goes up and the error in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}12{:}26{.}321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28{.}463$  that prediction goes down and so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}12{:}28.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.818$  basically the way the model works is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}12{:}30{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33{.}519$  that as you learn the prediction of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00{:}12{:}33.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.093$  that Q and the outcome increases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- 00:12:36.100 --> 00:12:38.865 But any errors you make go down
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857993
- $00:12:38.865 \rightarrow 00:12:40.050$  and so essentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:12:40.132 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.138$  you get this. Increase in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- 00:12:42.138 --> 00:12:44.094 predictive response and a decrease in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:12:44.094 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.309$  the error or the mistakes from that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}12{:}46{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49{.}208$  and so this is kind of how animals learn.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:12:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.956$  It can map learning rates in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:50.956 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.750$  a lot of different contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:12:52.750 --> 00:12:54.038 You know learning about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:12:54.038 --> 00:12:55.004 Accuen award extinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:12:55.010 \rightarrow 00:12:58.090$  All of these and so people have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}12{:}58.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.529$  been searching for what is a circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}00{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}929$  in the brain that does this math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}02{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}538$  And that's been a kind of really big

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:05.538 \rightarrow 00:13:08.578$  focus of specifically the dopamine field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09{.}536$  And other fields too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:09.536 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.328$  I think a lot of people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}11{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13{.}414$  starting to see that these kinds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:13.414 \rightarrow 00:13:15.178$  computations are done in a variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}568$  of circuits across the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}16{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18{.}826$  So the dopamine system is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}18.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.260$  for any a lot of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.108$  These neurons you know that we focus on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:13:24.108 \rightarrow 00:13:26.738$  originate in the ventral tegmental area,

00:13:26.740 --> 00:13:29.068 so we're focusing on more reward

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:29.068 \rightarrow 00:13:31.068$  associated circuits rather than things

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:31.068 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.988$  that are associated with motor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.336$  So we're looking in for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:35.336 --> 00:13:36.118 particular project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:36.120 \rightarrow 00:13:37.293$  The nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:37.293 \rightarrow 00:13:38.466$  the core region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40{.}672$  and So what these dopamine neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:13:40.672 \rightarrow 00:13:42.770$  are really important for survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:42.770 --> 00:13:44.334 Lesioning them present prevents

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}44{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46{.}289$  this kind of associative learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}46{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48{.}990$  An also reinforcement learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}48{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}566$  And the thing people been kind of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}019$  focus on is that this domain neurons

 $00:13:54.019 \rightarrow 00:13:56.619$  respond in a fashion that mimics this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:13:56.620 --> 00:13:59.049 This mathematical model I just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}048$  and so essentially this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}01{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03{.}516$  originated and within a lots of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}03{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}05{.}296$  people have shown these patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}05{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}388$  So this originative with Wolfram Schultz

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}808$  and I'm just showing the the original.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.520$  But people within the domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}11{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13{.}643$  field have done this with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}13.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.707$  kinds of other approaches as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}710$  But essentially what they see

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.200$  is this kind of same math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.578$  We went hoping that this didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:22.580 \rightarrow 00:14:24.160$  just move because OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.511$  the slides are still advancing, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:26.511 --> 00:14:27.624 Yeah, OK, OK.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}14{:}27.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.479$  So essentially what happens is

 $00:14:29.479 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.679$  you have an unexpected reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.596$  Dopamine firing goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00{:}14{:}33.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.470$  You predict that reward dopamine firing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:36.546 \rightarrow 00:14:39.687$  now goes up to the queue that predicts it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:39.690 \rightarrow 00:14:41.066$  but not the reward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:41.066 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.786$  because the prediction of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:42.786 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.468$  reward is basically perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:44.470 --> 00:14:47.046 And now if the reward is omitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.935$  what happens is the dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:48.935 \rightarrow 00:14:51.100$  response to the queue goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

00:14:51.100 --> 00:14:53.884 but there is now a decrease in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:53.884 \rightarrow 00:14:55.878$  domain response when it's omitted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:55.880 \rightarrow 00:14:57.720$  signaling the negative error that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153

 $00:14:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.400$  uh, from that prediction.

- $00:14:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.500$  And so this is they.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- 00:15:01.500 --> 00:15:02.836 You know, originally this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:02.836 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.838$  this first paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.845$  They said, wow,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}04.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.190$  that looks a lot like reward prediction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- 00:15:07.190 --> 00:15:07.820 error learning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}07{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}340$  and so this is kind of formed the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}10{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12{.}994$  basis of the domain field dopamine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}12{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}14{.}718$  does reward prediction learning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:14.720 \rightarrow 00:15:18.770$  So here's the kind of maybe issue with that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- 00:15:18.770 --> 00:15:21.020 If you do stress work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:21.020 \rightarrow 00:15:23.492$  anything else you know the domain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:23.492 \rightarrow 00:15:26.240$  does not only respond to rewards
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.770$  and reward predictions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:27.770 \rightarrow 00:15:30.470$  it's involved in things like punishment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:30.470 \rightarrow 00:15:33.170$  which is an aversive learning parameter.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- 00:15:33.170 --> 00:15:35.454 Motivation, fear, safety transitions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:35.454 \rightarrow 00:15:36.596$  aversive learning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}36{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}250$  All kinds of there's been a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.075$  lot of Association,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}39{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}184$  aversive learning and these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}40{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41{.}564$  fields have been kind of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:41.570 \rightarrow 00:15:42.674$  It was a separate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00:15:42.674 \rightarrow 00:15:44.330$  but there's kind of the reward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- $00{:}15{:}44{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45{.}705$  Prediction people and then the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87582153
- 00:15:45.705 --> 00:15:46.805 people who studied anxiety,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}15{:}46{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47{.}910$  depression looking at Microdialysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}15{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49{.}010$  showing that dopamine does
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:15:49.010 \rightarrow 00:15:50.400$  go up to aversive stimuli,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}15{:}50{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}488$  and so these kind of have been a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}15{:}52{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}539$  little bit at odds with each other.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

- $00:15:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.920$  But they kind of are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}15{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}304$  you know, different fields,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:15:57.304 \rightarrow 00:15:59.034$  so people haven't really looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:15:59.034 \rightarrow 00:16:00.837$  at them in the same context.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}16{:}00{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}728$  And so essentially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:16:01.728 --> 00:16:03.800 I think some of the disconnect also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}16{:}03.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.759$  comes from this kind of fundamental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}16{:}05{.}759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}520$  process of about domain neurons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:16:07.520 --> 00:16:09.200 That's actually my favorite
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}16{:}09{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10{.}880$  part of GOP neurons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:16:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.352$  Many studies have looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:16:12.352 --> 00:16:14.192 at VTA cell body firing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:16:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.337$  They use electrophysiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:16:15.337 00:16:18.260 They say we don't need it goes up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:16:18.260 --> 00:16:19.265 It goes down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:16:19.265 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.940$  And there's this inference that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:16:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.690$  that means dopamine release.

 $00:16:22.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.424$  That is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:23.424 \rightarrow 00:16:25.993$  projection targets is going to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:25.993 \rightarrow 00:16:28.585$  same as what the firing looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.912$  But dopamine terminals are so cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:30.912 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.850$  because they're regulated at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.490$  terminal level by \*\*\*\* synaptic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.010$  So things that are regulated by Domi

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:37.010 --> 00:16:38.928 itself but also header, synaptic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:38.928 --> 00:16:40.400 regulators things like glutamate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}40{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40{.}766$  GABA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:40.766 --> 00:16:42.596 A favorite of this Department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.960$  acetylcholine and so these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}43.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.660$  These things actually can elicit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47.389$  dopamine release from the terminals,

00:16:47.390 --> 00:16:48.506 independent of cymatic firing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}48.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.642$  And so if you want to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}50{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}262$  what dopamine release another

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:52.262 --> 00:16:53.882 projection target is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:16:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.600$  you need to actually record

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}310$  dopamine and the ultimate wrists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:16:57.310 --> 00:17:00.038 You have a few of those as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:00.040 \rightarrow 00:17:03.118$  have been doing this for a really long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.752$  but there's a lot of kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:05.752 \rightarrow 00:17:07.552$  limitations to voltammetry and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:07.552 \rightarrow 00:17:09.960$  kind of talk about those as we go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.498$  but our goal was really too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.152$  Record dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:13.152 --> 00:17:14.782 but be able to dissociate

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}14.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.230$  these kind of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.234$  People have seen in the aversive

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:17:18.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.972$  field with the things people

 $00:17:19.972 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.988$  have seen in the reward fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:21.990 - 00:17:24.433 Why is dopamine look like it's doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:24.433 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.737$  both of these at the same time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:26.740 --> 00:17:28.505 So my background isn't reinforcement

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:28.505 \rightarrow 00:17:31.207$  learning and what we did is we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}31{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}947$  like to develop behavioral tasks to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:32.947 \rightarrow 00:17:35.206$  parse the things that we're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.910$  So we developed this task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.434$  which is not really the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}997$  itself is an innovative behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:17:39.997 --> 00:17:41.989 pharmacology and reinforcement learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:41.990 \rightarrow 00:17:44.769$  People have been doing this for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}17{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.234$  Essentially what we do is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:17:46.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.739$  have a queue that comes on.

- $00:17:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.649$  In one phase,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:17:48.649 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.467$  that tells animals if they know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:17:50.467 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.284$  spoke during this Q and in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:17:52.284 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.739$  this example is white noise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}17{:}53.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.240$  But we counterbalance and change
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}17{:}55{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}740$  that they will get sucrose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:17:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.540$  This is like normal positive reinforcement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:17:58.540 --> 00:18:00.640 You know you treat your teacher dog,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:18:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.310$  that's it. They get a reward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:18:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.936$  What we taught the animals in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00{:}18{:}04{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}911$  the other face is that a separate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:18:06.911 --> 00:18:08.573 queue comes on and they have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:18:08.573 \dashrightarrow 00:18:10.350$  the same behavioral response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:18:10.350 --> 00:18:11.166 They know spoke,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- 00:18:11.166 --> 00:18:13.070 but they know spoke to prevent a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:18:13.128 \rightarrow 00:18:15.240$  series of shocks from being delivered,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783
- $00:18:15.240 \rightarrow 00:18:17.920$  so it's called negative reinforcement.

 $00{:}18{:}17{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}240$  The reason that using these is so cool

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}20{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}22{.}779$  is because they have the exact same action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

00:18:22.780 --> 00:18:24.305 So if dopamine just encodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:24.305 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.220$  the motivated response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.600$  these will look the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:27.600 \rightarrow 00:18:29.538$  They have the same outcome value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.836$  The outcome is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}30{.}836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}456$  Avoiding something negative is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00:18:32.460 \rightarrow 00:18:34.080$  Getting something positive is positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}34{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}860$  but there's different stimuli maintaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.83455783

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}640$  these behavioral events and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}558$  essentially what we've associated here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:18:39.558 --> 00:18:41.731 the kind of motivated action from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}41.731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.477$  stimulus value in the outcome value,
$00:18:43.480 \rightarrow 00:18:45.888$  and the question is in this sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:18:45.888 --> 00:18:47.360 more complicated than it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}47{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49{.}560$  and I'm going to tell you the story

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}49{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}407$  is that we're going to be able to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:52.407 \rightarrow 00:18:54.542$  if dopamine responds to just rewards

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}18{:}54{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56{.}756$  if it's just involved in motivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:56.760 \rightarrow 00:18:59.756$  or if it's doing something maybe slightly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:18:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.464$  It's a more complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}01{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03{.}168$  but it's actually simpler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:03.170 \rightarrow 00:19:05.508$  We need a way to record dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:05.508 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.510$  during this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:06.510 \dashrightarrow 00:19:08.676$  Aversive foot shocks are electrical signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}08.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.762$  All of the previous domain recording

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:10.762 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.588$  techniques on fast time scales

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}12{.}588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14{.}468$  were used on electrical systems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.934$  and so the problem with this is all

- NOTE Confidence: 0.865027
- $00:19:16.934 \rightarrow 00:19:18.407$  the voltammetry techniques people

00:19:18.407 --> 00:19:20.397 use before you couldn't record

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:20.397 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.080$  responses to foot shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:22.080 \rightarrow 00:19:24.502$  and So what we've been using is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}24{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}060$  a fluorescent dopamine sensor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.870$  This one is called delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:27.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.362$  It was developed at UC Davis by

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:30.362 --> 00:19:32.983 Lindsay Angela and what this is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:32.983 --> 00:19:35.203 it's a modified D1 dopamine receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}35{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}700$  that when it binds to dopamine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:37.700 --> 00:19:38.378 Laura says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}38{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}412$  And so this fluorescent sensor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:40.412 \rightarrow 00:19:42.205$  really great because we can inject

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:42.205 --> 00:19:44.429 it in with a virus into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}44{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45{.}960$  We build fiber, photometry, systems.

 $00{:}19{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48{.}714$  I know a lot of people are using these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:48.720 --> 00:19:50.890 but what it allows us to do is in a wake

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52{.}878$  and behaving animals during these

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

00:19:52.878 --> 00:19:55.140 discrete aspects of this behavioral task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}55{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56{.}868$  is record fluctuations in joking that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}19{:}56{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58{.}769$  happened through this kind of fluorescent NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:19:58.769 \rightarrow 00:20:00.177$  response that isn't interfering?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}01{.}715$  Electrical signals and also the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}01.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.250$  great thing about these optical

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}03{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04{.}848$  sensors is they have really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}04.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.904$  signal to noise and so you can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:06.904 \rightarrow 00:20:08.119$  single trial responses which with

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:08.119 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.008$  a lot of voltammetry in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.914$  which is my backgrounds you didn't get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00{:}20{:}11{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13{.}600$  you had to average responses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.848$  what I'll show you is a lot of what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.865027
- $00:20:15.848 \rightarrow 00:20:17.603$  we see is these really rapid changes

 $00{:}20{:}17.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}19.481$  in dopamine that are happening on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:19.481 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.290$  the trial by trial basis that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.470$  think are really critical for

NOTE Confidence: 0.865027

 $00:20:22.522 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.659$  this behavioral response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.225$  So we started with kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:27.225 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.600$  of what everyone is done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:28.600 \rightarrow 00:20:30.497$  Before this you know it's always good

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}534$  when you start with like new tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}32{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}649$  make sure you see what every body else's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}34.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.882$  And So what we did is we recorded domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}36.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.778$  responses during the pre training session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}38{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}614$  The first time the animals had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:20:40.614 --> 00:20:42.322 in these operating chambers and post

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}42{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44{.}068$  training after the animals had learned NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}44.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.981$  and so not surprising animals learn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:20:45.981 --> 00:20:48.094 know spoke during a queue for sucrose we

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}48.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.303$  can change the length of the queue to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}303 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}242$  make the task more or less difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54{.}572$  We kind of did this so we had some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}54{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56{.}749$  dynamic range of whether they did the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:20:56.750 --> 00:20:57.642 Miss trials or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:20:57.642 \rightarrow 00:20:59.470$  and so we did some machine learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}700$  I won't show you then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:00.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.935$  This was actually a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:01.935 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.923$  great tool for that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.270$  but what we do is we see kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:05.335 \rightarrow 00:21:07.477$  same thing everyone else is seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:07.480 --> 00:21:08.120 Early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:08.120 \rightarrow 00:21:10.680$  when the animals go into the sucrose port,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.787$  so red in here this is more

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}21{:}12.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.200$  domain response over trials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:21:14.200 --> 00:21:16.440 When they go into the Super sport,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.475$  you get this robust domain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}21{:}18.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.103$  response to the sucrose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.034$  Overtraining this signal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}21{:}21{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}574$  moves back to the cube.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:22.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.492$  That's very predictive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:23.492 \rightarrow 00:21:25.620$  So now you get this really robust
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:21:25.673 --> 00:21:27.218 domain response to the queue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.782$  but not as much of a domain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:29.782 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.650$  response to the sucrose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:21:31.650 --> 00:21:33.918 Great, it looks just like that equation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.886$  I showed you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}21{:}34.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.388$  Dopamine goes up to the Q goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:21:37.388 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.590$  down to the error signal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.558$  All is well in the reward domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}21{:}42.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.189$  does reward based learning field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}21{:}45{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47{.}262$  But then we moved on to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:47.262 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.150$  other behavioral task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.778$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:48.778 --> 00:21:50.348 the animals can know spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}21{:}50{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}609$  during this Q and they do it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:52.609 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.370$  avoid a series of foot shocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}21{:}54{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}984$  So if they don't press during

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:21:55.984 \rightarrow 00:21:57.620$  the Q they get shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}330$  There's a series of shocks they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:21:59.330 --> 00:22:00.830 can press any<br/>time during this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}355$  series to terminate the shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.362$  and so we did the same thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:04.362 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.909$  we recorded early in Leanne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:05.910 \rightarrow 00:22:07.058$  Learning animals actually learn.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}22{:}07.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.760$  When I started my lab a bunch of behavior.

 $00:22:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.235$  People told me that animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:11.235 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.415$  will never do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.900$  Mice do this really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:13.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.084$  They'll learn really rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:15.084 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.564$  to press on the nose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.130$  poke that active know spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.378$  to avoid the shocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.904$  And they actually at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:20.904 \rightarrow 00:22:22.559$  of these trials are doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}22{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}680$  that they are not getting shots at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}24.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.535$  and so they learn this very fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

00:22:26.540 --> 00:22:28.868 And it's actually really robust task

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00{:}22{:}28.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.420$  for generating motivated behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176

 $00:22:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.762$  Um?

- 00:22:30.762 --> 00:22:31.104 OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:22:31.104 \rightarrow 00:22:33.840$  so the first thing we saw which goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:22:33.927 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.357$  in the face of dopamine encoding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:22:36.357 --> 00:22:39.390 rewards is that you get this really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:22:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.498$  robust positive response to a foot shock.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}22{:}42{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45{.}270$  So dopamine goes up when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}22{:}45{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}47{.}486$  aversive stimuli are encountered.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:22:47.490 --> 00:22:47.786 Um,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}22{:}47.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.266$  other people have seen this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:22:49.270 \rightarrow 00:22:51.004$  but what's really interesting is I'll
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:22:51.004 \rightarrow 00:22:53.408$  remind you of what that model looked like.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:22:53.410 --> 00:22:56.189 If dopamine is doing reward based learning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:22:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.170$  We didn't get this robust
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00{:}22{:}58{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}876$  response to the Q like we did with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- 00:23:01.876 --> 00:23:02.966 sucrose overlearning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:23:02.970 \rightarrow 00:23:05.466$  We did get a decrease in the response.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85444176
- $00:23:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.680$  We have the safety queue

 $00{:}23{:}06.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07.890$  that came on when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}07{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}10{.}167$  animals avoided the negative consequences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.040$  So at the end of the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:13.040 --> 00:23:14.909 It did go down over learning like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}14{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16{.}640$  you'd expect of an error signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.792$  but here's the problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}17.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.232$  The safety queue domain response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}19{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}20{.}888$  was the biggest on the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:20.888 \rightarrow 00:23:22.208$  trial they ever encountered it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:22.256 --> 00:23:23.840 before they could know its value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}23{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25{.}928$  and so we were kind of a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}170$  bit hesitant about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}27{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}940$  But we said OK, but may be this looks kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.903$  Maybe it fits.

 $00{:}23{:}30{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}610$  But then what we found was that Adobe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}914$  response to the foot shot during

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:35.914 \rightarrow 00:23:38.398$  these trials was not only positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.720$  it actually increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}39{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43{.}110$  Animals got better at the task and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.650$  We've looked and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:44.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.190$  OK, this doesn't really fit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:46.190 \rightarrow 00:23:48.255$  People had seen this safety Q response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:23:48.255 --> 00:23:50.142 before and said look doping doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}50{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}52{.}032$  work of RP in a versive contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}623$  But we looked at the other parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:54.623 \rightarrow 00:23:57.010$  that this doesn't really make sense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59{.}740$  So now we have this situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:23:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.266$  where dopamine responses in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}02.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.750$  nucleus accumbens track these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:04.750 \rightarrow 00:24:07.234$  prediction error based computations.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799
- $00:24:07.240 \rightarrow 00:24:09.662$  But only in contexts that are reward

 $00:24:09.662 \rightarrow 00:24:12.501$  based and so everyone has really kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}12.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.087$  of design these experiments to parse

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}15.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.634$  weather domain does RP not does all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:17.634 \rightarrow 00:24:21.000$  of what doping does fit these computations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.875$  So we had a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}23.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.930$  This reward based Association model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}25{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}399$  was just too simplistic to account

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}28{.}399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31{.}199$  for what domain was doing in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}31{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}33{.}210$  animals in his behavioral tasks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:33.210 \rightarrow 00:24:35.022$  And what we started looking through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}35{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}058$  the literature is a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}37.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.337$  What they did is once we have this RP

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}39{.}337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}910$  hypothesis reward prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:24:40.910 --> 00:24:42.390 Apophysis people started saying OK,

 $00:24:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.160$  well reward fish based dictionary does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}44{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45{.}936$  This. Does dopamine look like this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.788$  And the issue with that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}47.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49.934$  dopamine does a lot of stuff that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:49.934 \rightarrow 00:24:51.554$  reward prediction error cannot do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.562$  and so we ended up doing all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:53.562 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.820$  this broad prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:24:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.300$  Modeling those that math cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57{.}188$  do negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}054$  So we ended up at this problem where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}24{:}59{.}054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}334$  if we wanted to understand what domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}01{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}480$  was doing from a computational model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}03{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05{.}545$  These models didn't even make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}197$  the computations we needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07{.}658$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}07{.}658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10{.}406$  We decided to I have a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799
- 00:25:10.406 --> 00:25:11.780 postdoc who's fantastic,

 $00{:}25{:}11.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.108$  who's a computational psychologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:13.108 --> 00:25:15.100 I will not take credit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:15.155 \rightarrow 00:25:16.280$  developing the model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:16.280 \rightarrow 00:25:18.010$  This is not my backgrounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}18.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}20.086$  but we've had a really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:20.086 --> 00:25:20.778 synergistic relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}20.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23.498$  And So what we did is we created a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

00:25:23.498 --> 00:25:25.970 complex model of learning and memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27{.}950$  You have the theoretical components of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}27{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30{.}468$  this model that are developed from site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}196$  Many years of psychology research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}186$  and then what we can do is we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:35.186 \rightarrow 00:25:37.231$  record domain responses and many many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:37.231 \rightarrow 00:25:40.290$  Contacts and we can map the domain responses.

 $00:25:40.290 \rightarrow 00:25:42.439$  On to the parameters of this model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:42.439 \rightarrow 00:25:44.997$  that we know is capable of modeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46{.}957$  the behavioral outputs we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00:25:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.962$  So I'm not going to go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}48{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}390$  details like super details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}441$  but I'm really happy for people if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}188$  they have questions to talk more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}814$  essentially with the model does is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}55{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}879$  it models the behavioral responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58{.}504$  the outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}25{:}58{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}688$  the predictions like people have done before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}26{:}00{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}245$  We actually have those prediction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8690799

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03{.}178$  based learning algorithms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.259$  But one thing it has that's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}547$  was been has been found over years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:07.550 \rightarrow 00:26:09.734$  So you really critical component of learning.

 $00:26:09.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.300$  Is this perceived salience term?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}11.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.559$  And so this is kind of you know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}13.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.229$  attention grabbing something is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:15.229 \rightarrow 00:26:17.269$  so it's really highly influenced by

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:17.332 \rightarrow 00:26:19.510$  things in the environment like novelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}19{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21{.}554$  The first time you experience an unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:26:21.554 --> 00:26:23.090 aversive foot shock or something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:23.090 \rightarrow 00:26:24.620$  it's it's more attention grabbing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.360$  the 10th time you present it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}344$  and so this that with this term does

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}28{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30{.}591$  is it influences how we learn based

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}30{.}591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}636$  on these other factors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}32.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.412$  not included in these other models

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}34{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37{.}230$  and what it does is it's able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}37{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39{.}160$  make really accurate predictions of

 $00{:}26{:}39{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}41{.}649$  what animals will do in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}41.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.594$  Again, we use this model to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}43.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.086$  what experiments would dissociate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}45.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.686$  different factors from one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:46.690 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.370$  So after I show you this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.966$  you can ignore the model stuff

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:49.966 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.244$  if you want and just look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:52.244 \rightarrow 00:26:53.689$  experiments we run to parse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}53.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.210$  Kind of what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:26:56.210 \rightarrow 00:26:58.226$  The really important thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}440$  model is the simulations from the model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01{.}412$  The behavioral output

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:01.412 --> 00:27:02.708 simulations are in grey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.235$  and the behavioral data itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}04{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}002$  is in blue and you can see it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:07.002 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.760$  start to model these things that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823
- 00:27:08.825 --> 00:27:10.509 couldn't be modeled before,

 $00{:}27{:}10.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.652$  so things like the animals to train

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:12.652 --> 00:27:14.961 to know spoke for sucrose and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:14.961 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.947$  we have we introduce an aversive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:17.014 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.639$  foot shock all the sudden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:18.640 \rightarrow 00:27:20.260$  The animals responding goes down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:20.260 \rightarrow 00:27:21.890$  That's what the model suggests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}21.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24.221$  We can model how animals will learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:24.221 --> 00:27:25.618 and negative reinforcement Contacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}25.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.688$  the removal of an aversive stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:27.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.682$  And so we're now able to model the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:30.682 --> 00:27:32.646 behavioral outcomes of these more

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:32.646 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.932$  complex behaviors but use these same

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}34{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38{.}018$  kind of computations to not punch to me so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}38.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.004$  What I'm going to show you is that

00:27:40.004 --> 00:27:41.606 dopamine does not track reward

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}41.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.308$  prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:42.310 --> 00:27:43.570 It tracks perceived salience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:43.570 --> 00:27:45.865 which is just kind of like how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00{:}27{:}45.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.600$  attention grabbing a stimulus is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:47.600 \rightarrow 00:27:50.510$  Perceived salience is the perception

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

 $00:27:50.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.250$  of housing question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85464823

00:27:52.250 --> 00:27:52.830 Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77778655

 $00{:}27{:}52.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.030$  just a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77778655

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}330$  How different is this model from

NOTE Confidence: 0.77778655

00:27:58.436 --> 00:28:01.536 Pierce Hall Macintosh model of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:02.200 --> 00:28:03.690 It's it's actually very similar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.734$  so it includes the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:04.734 \rightarrow 00:28:06.630$  So the thing about the Pearsall Macintosh

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}838$  models for the people who don't know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:08.838 \rightarrow 00:28:10.807$  they include these attentional terms,

 $00:28:10.810 \rightarrow 00:28:12.295$  which are really actually important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:12.295 --> 00:28:13.780 for things like Leighton ambition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}13.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.859$  things that these other models can't do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.642$  It includes the same kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}17.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.182$  computational terms, and this perceived

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:19.182 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.752$  salience term is essentially kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:20.752 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.689$  of what was added to that model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:22.690 --> 00:28:24.769 It's based on a neural net model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.443$  so it's a little bit different in the math,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.515$  but it's the same kind of idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.040$  and that's it that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}31{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}256$  attentional value of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.988$  Are going to influence the associative

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}460$  strength and how animals learn,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}532$  and so that's that's what we're adding

 $00:28:37.532 \rightarrow 00:28:39.538$  that allows us to do these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}144$  And then on top of that, we've added these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:42.144 --> 00:28:43.584 They operate probabilistic responses because

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}43.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.357$  pavlovi and learning is not probabilistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47{.}390$  and so we've added that to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}28{:}47{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}850$  To that kind of framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:48.850 \rightarrow 00:28:50.880$  So it's very, very similar to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.340$  Thank you for that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:28:52.340 --> 00:28:54.476 I don't go into too much detail 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:54.476 \rightarrow 00:28:56.697$  people don't always know about these models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.482$  but that's actually kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:28:58.482 \rightarrow 00:29:00.199$  framework by which we're using it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}00{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01{.}940$  More appears Hall that the Macintosh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.200$  though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:04.200 --> 00:29:06.999 OK, so we can model the behavior we need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:07.000 \rightarrow 00:29:07.840$  so so again,

 $00{:}29{:}07.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09.520$  this perceived salience is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:09.520 --> 00:29:11.174 like a driving animals attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:11.174 --> 00:29:13.148 towards States and so it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}13{.}202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15{.}074$  highly affected by the in physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}15{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17{.}042$  intensity of a stimulus and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:17.042 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.466$  novelty and environment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:18.466 \rightarrow 00:29:20.739$  changes how you attend to stimulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.676$  And So what we did is we started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:23.680 --> 00:29:24.784 We said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:29:24.784 --> 00:29:26.992 if it's tracking just the saliency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}29{:}27{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28{.}835$  it should increase with physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:28.835 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.303$  intensity of a stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:30.310 \rightarrow 00:29:32.150$  Whether it's positive or negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.412$  And so this is a increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:29:34.412 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.929$  series of foot shocks on the left.

- $00:29:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.678$  That is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}37.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.548$  the simulations from that perceived
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- 00:29:39.548 --> 00:29:41.350 salience term of our model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00:29:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.996$  and on the right is the actual
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}43{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45{.}566$  dopamine recorded responses to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}45{.}566$  -->  $00{:}29{:}47{.}421$  increasing intensities of foot shocks NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}47{.}421 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50{.}269$  and what you see is that dopamine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00:29:50.269 \rightarrow 00:29:52.424$  increases with foot shock intensity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54{.}850$  It also increases when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.270$  increase the volume of sucrose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}29{:}57{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}00{.}258$  So a better than expected appetitive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- 00:30:00.260 --> 00:30:02.430 Reward or the volume of quiet Night,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00:30:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.290$  which is a bitter taste scent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00:30:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.840$  and so everyone always asks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00:30:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.390$  And it's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00:30:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.940$  Foot shocks are kind of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504
- $00{:}30{:}08{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}870$  weird a versive stimuli,

 $00:30:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.022$  so for many of these tasks we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:12.022 \rightarrow 00:30:14.518$  worked in other things that are aversive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:14.520 --> 00:30:15.760 But not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.070$  painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.093$  We can argue all day about whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

00:30:18.093 --> 00:30:20.408 foot shock is pain or something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}30{:}20{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22{.}181$  but we see the same pattern with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:22.181 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.130$  other types of aversive stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.300$  and so this is ruling out simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:26.300 \dashrightarrow 00:30:27.612$  rewarding coding by dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:27.612 \rightarrow 00:30:29.252$  because dopamine in the incumbents

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:29.252 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.136$  is going up to both appetitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00{:}30{:}31{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{.}036$  and a versive stimuli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83179504

 $00:30:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.188$  So it cannot be just rewards.

 $00:30:36.420 \rightarrow 00:30:38.766$  So the other thing that influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:30:38.766 --> 00:30:40.330 perceived salience is novelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.894$  So how much experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:41.894 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.458$  you have with something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.164$  So what we did is we took foot

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:46.164 \rightarrow 00:30:48.539$  shocks of the same intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:48.540 \rightarrow 00:30:51.172$  Intensity is not changed and we repeated

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:51.172 \rightarrow 00:30:54.408$  them in a series on a fixed interval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:54.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.320$  so every every 60 seconds

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.710$  the animals got a foot shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:30:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.502$  What we found is that doping goes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:01.502 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.368$  to the foot shots even though the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.010$  intensity of the foot shock stays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:07.010 --> 00:31:09.980 Constant, so it's also not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:09.980 --> 00:31:11.960 encoding only the intensity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:11.960 \rightarrow 00:31:13.940$  it's encoding other aspects

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776
- $00:31:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.920$  like novelty as well,

 $00:31:15.920 \dashrightarrow 00:31:19.310$  and so you get decreased domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:19.310 \rightarrow 00:31:21.570$  responses to repeated exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:21.666 \rightarrow 00:31:24.090$  of stimulate both aversive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:24.090 --> 00:31:25.245 And neutral simulate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:25.245 \rightarrow 00:31:27.940$  and so this is an auditory tone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.250$  so we've done this with tones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.750$  lights, and white noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:31:31.750 - 00:31:34.490 This is an example from white noise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.626$  but what you see which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}36{.}626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}546$  important is the first exposure

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}030$  of a stimulus that is neutral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:41.030 \rightarrow 00:31:43.515$  elicits dopamine and repeated exposures

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}43.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.780$  of that stimulus go down overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}46.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.840$  So you're getting decreased dopamine

 $00:31:48.840 \rightarrow 00:31:50.900$  when animals are exposed repeatedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}31{:}50{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}677$  to stimuli in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.640$  regardless of whether they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:54.640 \rightarrow 00:31:56.584$  positive value, negative value,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:31:56.584 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.200$  or are what we think of as neutral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.727$  I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:01.727 -> 00:32:04.889 So another aspect that I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.816$  and this is really important experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}06{.}816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08{.}851$  because it really rules out this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}08.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.596$  reward prediction error based learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:32:10.600 --> 00:32:13.120 So what we did is we trained animals to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}13.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.636$  know spoke during a discriminative cue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.320$  So auditory cue comes on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:17.320 \rightarrow 00:32:20.848$  If they respond they get sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.924$  Without any signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:21.924 \rightarrow 00:32:25.479$  we now switch it to the same auditory cue.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776
- $00:32:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.638$  If they press,

00:32:26.638 --> 00:32:27.796 they get shocked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:27.800 \rightarrow 00:32:30.404$  so we're switching that the Q is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:30.404 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.103$  the same and it now represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}33{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35{.}588$  a worse than expected outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.270$  And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.310$  What should happen in a task

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}38{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40{.}767$  like this is that the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:40.767 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.467$  in this grezar simulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.434$  the animal should reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}44{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45{.}907$  their behavioral responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}45{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46{.}994$  They do not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}46{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48{.}349$  this is a traditional kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}48{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}49{.}469$  of punishment task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51{.}830$  Animals will reduce their behavior.

 $00:32:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.750$  But what our model predicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:53.750 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.402$  this perceived salience model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57{.}467$  Is that because there's unexpected

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:32:57.467 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.739$  information and it's novel?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}32{:}58{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00{.}588$  There should be increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:00.588 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.898$  in dopamine to this Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:02.900 \rightarrow 00:33:04.680$  Prediction error responding with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:04.680 \rightarrow 00:33:07.350$  say it should be decreased because

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}07{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09{.}750$  it's a worse than expected outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:09.750 --> 00:33:12.006 A reward prediction error, excuse me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.990$  So what we're going to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.550$  at here is this Q,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:15.550 \rightarrow 00:33:18.126$  which is the last Q that predicted sucrose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}18{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20{.}062$  so this is before the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}20.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.028$  got gotten shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:21.030 \rightarrow 00:33:23.598$  So this Q still has that predict succose,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776
- $00:33:23.600 \rightarrow 00:33:26.822$  and then we're going to look at the next

00:33:26.822 --> 00:33:30.086 Q right after the first foot shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:30.090 --> 00:33:32.688 And what we find is first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}32.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.850$  this foot shock causes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

00:33:34.850 --> 00:33:36.146 positive domain response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:36.150 \dashrightarrow 00:33:39.614$  So it doesn't matter what kind of task,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:39.620 \rightarrow 00:33:42.104$  but shocks are being presented and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00:33:42.104 \rightarrow 00:33:43.760$  they're always words resulting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}43.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.678$  in positive domain responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}45.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.374$  What the dopamine response to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}48{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50{.}440$  discriminative cue actually goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}50{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}134$  even though it's it represents a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}53{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}458$  worse than expected outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}55{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57{.}703$  so dopamine is increasing any time

NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776

 $00{:}33{:}57.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.984$  information is novel or salient

- $00:33:59.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.199$  to the animal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776
- $00:34:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.940$  And it's increasing even if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84336776
- $00:34:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.680$  the outcome is worse than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.920$  expected or better than expected,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00{:}34{:}06{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09{.}840$  and one of the key aspects of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:09.840 \dashrightarrow 00:34:12.247$  experiment is dopamine is going up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.774$  even though the animal's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- 00:34:13.774 00:34:15.298 behavior is going down,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00{:}34{:}15{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17{.}322$  so increases in dopamine don't just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00{:}34{:}17{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19{.}118$  mean motivated behavior or approach
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:19.118 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.073$  because we're getting increases in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:21.073 \rightarrow 00:34:23.532$  dopamine here that correlate with animals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:23.532 \rightarrow 00:34:25.200$  inhibiting a behavioral response,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.486$  and so this kind of saliency.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00{:}34{:}27{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30{.}698$  What it'll do, is it helps animals make
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:30.698 \rightarrow 00:34:32.839$  adaptive updating of responses were.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016
- $00:34:32.840 \rightarrow 00:34:35.568$  List of what the context of those responses

 $00{:}34{:}35{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}640$  are or the behavioral response necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.292$  So I'm gonna show I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:41.292 \rightarrow 00:34:43.060$  I've one more experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.824$  so this experiment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:34:44.824 --> 00:34:46.147 actually really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}46.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.406$  because it's kind of shows how much these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}49{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52{.}930$  kind of novel salients events that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}300$  necessarily acquire value R to animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}34{:}56{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}288$  So what we did is we did an experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:34:59.288 \rightarrow 00:35:02.416$  where we associated ECU with a foot shock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}02{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03{.}968$  so just fear conditioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}03{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}180$  But what we did is on some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:07.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.001$  the trials we just put a random

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:10.001 \rightarrow 00:35:11.699$  irrelevant house light on.

 $00:35:11.700 \dashrightarrow 00:35:14.076$  And what the model predicts is that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}14.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.278$  there is novel ty in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18.422$  there will be an increase in dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.489$  response on these trials where novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}20{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22{.}661$  information is added even though previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}22.661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}24.949$  work has shown that novel irrelevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:24.949 \rightarrow 00:35:26.814$  information will not acquire value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:26.820 \dashrightarrow 00:35:29.444$  So the Q that we're adding this random

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}29{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31{.}437$  irrelevant light won't acquire value

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}31{.}437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33{.}557$  because the animals already associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{.}35{.}716$  the previous Q with the foot shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}35{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}312$  And So what we find is on trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:38.312 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.700$  where we add this novel light.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

00:35:40.700 - 00:35:42.836 There is a very large increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:42.836 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.260$  in the domain response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:44.260 \rightarrow 00:35:46.040$  even though that novel light

00:35:46.040 - 00:35:47.464 won't acquire value itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}35{:}47{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49{.}798$  And So what this does is it rules

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:49.798 \dashrightarrow 00:35:51.966$  out the simple Attribution of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:51.966 \rightarrow 00:35:54.704$  sort of balance to a queue or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:54.704 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.719$  associative strength of that Q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.570$  It means that you're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:35:58.570 \rightarrow 00:36:00.050$  increases in dopamine responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00:36:00.050 \rightarrow 00:36:02.490$  They don't necessarily correspond with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}36{:}02{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04{.}930$  the animal making Association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.85146016

 $00{:}36{:}04.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07.628$  that queue and the outcome in some cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:10.660 --> 00:36:12.274 The last thing, which again for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}12.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.059$  people who are are really deep

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}14.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.674$  in the prediction based field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.155$  One thing that people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:17.155 \rightarrow 00:36:18.901$  say at this point is, well,

 $00:36:18.901 \rightarrow 00:36:20.527$  maybe doping is doing prediction error

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}20.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.170$  but not reward prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:22.170 --> 00:36:23.760 So it's going up every time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.710$  there is an error in prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.992$  This is actually really good good thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}30{.}429$  and we thought this too and we said OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.670$  Well let's let's see if that is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}32.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.148$  case and we were kind of Gnostic here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:35.150 \rightarrow 00:36:36.302$  We were saying, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:36.302 \rightarrow 00:36:38.390$  let's just figure out what it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.534$  We're not trying to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}36{:}39{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}128$  Pusha theory we're saying, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:41.128 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.816$  how does the data fit together?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:43.820 --> 00:36:45.810 So saliency or perceived salience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:45.810 \rightarrow 00:36:48.372$  What it would suggest is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:48.372 \rightarrow 00:36:51.759$  you have a stimulus like a foot shock,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796
- $00:36:51.760 \rightarrow 00:36:54.539$  you should have the biggest opening response,

 $00{:}36{:}54{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56{.}375$  because when the stimulus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:36:56.375 --> 00:36:58.725 present and there it's the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:36:58.725 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.489$  salient 'cause most intense.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}178$  But if you have a prediction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}37{:}03.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.260$  that during extinction or omission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.636$  there should still be a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:37:07.636 --> 00:37:08.428 doping response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}37{:}08{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11{.}174$  but it should be lower than when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:11.174 \rightarrow 00:37:14.078$  stimulus is physically there a prediction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

00:37:14.080 -> 00:37:15.760 Error hypothesis would be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}37{:}15{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17{.}440$  when you have an omission,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}37{:}17{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19{.}095$  this response should be higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00{:}37{:}19.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.145$  than when the stimulus is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86010796

 $00:37:21.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.820$  because it signals an error.
$00:37:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.610$  We did this experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29.065$  What we found was that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

00:37:29.065 --> 00:37:31.141 positive domain response at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:31.141 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.346$  of the predicted foot shock when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:33.346 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.348$  it's omitted, so it's not there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}711$  but the response of the foot shock

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40{.}427$  itself is higher than when it's omitted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}40{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42{.}548$  And so this also rules out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00:37:42.548 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.607$  other competing theories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.370$  which is that domain does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

00:37:45.370 --> 00:37:46.426 prediction error learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8327354

 $00{:}37{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48{.}200$  but it's not reward based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54{.}340$  So. And I showed you a lot of stuff and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00{:}37{:}54{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56{.}364$  well, I kind of rule kind of come

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00{:}37{:}56{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}678$  back and say like why should you care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896

 $00:37:58.680 \dashrightarrow 00:38:00.512$  So essentially what we did is we did

- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:00.512 \rightarrow 00:38:02.500$  a number of experiments to rule out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00{:}38{:}02{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04{.}586$  these kind of competing factors of what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:04.586 \rightarrow 00:38:06.525$  dopamine is doing in learning and memory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:06.530 \rightarrow 00:38:07.890$  Don't mean release is doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:07.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.516$  I'm not saying the VTA cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00{:}38{:}09{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10{.}600$  bodies don't do this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.449$  Maybe they do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:11.449 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.864$  but there's integration of information
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00{:}38{:}12.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.828$  at the level of the terminal that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- 00:38:14.828 --> 00:38:16.173 dictates how doing is actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:16.225 \rightarrow 00:38:17.475$  releasing these brain regions and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00{:}38{:}17{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19{.}272$  what I am saying is that dopamine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:19.272 \rightarrow 00:38:20.627$  release in the nucleus accumbens,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00{:}38{:}20{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22{.}050$  core Maps on true perceived.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835218896
- $00:38:22.050 \rightarrow 00:38:24.600$  Salience not prediction error or value.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:26.760 --> 00:38:28.250 Our models are modeled behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}112$  we just use it to generate experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}30{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31{.}972$  we should run to parse different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:31.972 \rightarrow 00:38:33.316$  aspects of domain encoding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}33{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}152$  and So what you can do is use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}114$  these kind of predictions to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:37.114 \rightarrow 00:38:39.280$  experiments with other circuits as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.136$  which I think is kind of an interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}42.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.638$  way to approach the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:44.640 \rightarrow 00:38:46.698$  But what I'm showing you is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:46.698 --> 00:38:48.080 even in reinforcement context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.019$  pavlovi in context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:49.019 \rightarrow 00:38:51.210$  this isn't a value based prediction signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52{.}525$  and these same signals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53{.}840$  there in punishment tasks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:53.891 \dashrightarrow 00:38:55.280$  negative reinforcement tasks,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132
- $00:38:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.845$  and so it's actually really

 $00:38:56.845 \rightarrow 00:38:58.097$  interesting that you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:38:58.097 - > 00:38:59.657 this kind of dopamine signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:38:59.660 \rightarrow 00:39:01.538$  It's very critical in driving behaviors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:01.540 --> 00:39:04.494 just not in the way that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}04{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06{.}520$  think we predicted before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.350$  So why should we care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}08{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10{.}522$  I think understanding what domain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}10.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.729$  doing is really important for disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}12.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.442$  and so if you want to understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}15{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18{.}032$  dopamine is doing and what deficits in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}18.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.120$  dopamine in a patient mean for that patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:21.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.310$  it really requires a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:23.310 --> 00:39:24.040 holistic understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}24.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.284$  What domains doing across contexts and

00:39:26.284 --> 00:39:28.420 internal States and things like that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}28{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}520$  and so you know when you have a model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:31.601 \dashrightarrow 00:39:34.625$  you say does ken dopamine fit this model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.540$  the answer might be yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}36{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}483$  But it kind of leaves out that a spect of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}39{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.702$  But what is domain doing in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}41.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}44.266$  contexts of the model can't fit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:44.266 \rightarrow 00:39:46.201$  so understanding the components that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:46.201 \rightarrow 00:39:48.806$  dry of these behaviors is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:48.806 \dashrightarrow 00:39:50.526$  critical to understanding this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}50{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}672$  But I think that may be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:52.672 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.810$  important thing for for kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:39:54.810 --> 00:39:57.046 human health is is from, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:39:57.046 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.636$  my primary field which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}39{:}58.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}320$  addiction an it's understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.960$  The difference between a dopamine

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132
- $00:40:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.600$  signal that signals were worn

 $00:40:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.328$  and what a salience signal does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06{.}510$  So a reward signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:06.510 \rightarrow 00:40:09.400$  If you have a deficits in a reward signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:40:09.400 --> 00:40:11.206 you may say you know we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:11.206 \rightarrow 00:40:13.138$  want to increase those and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:13.138 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.963$  suffering from substance use disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:14.963 \rightarrow 00:40:17.269$  because if we do that may increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}17.269 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.784$  the rewarding value of stimuli

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.150$  in the environment like drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}21.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.446$  But the issue is with the salience signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}24.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.882$  If you have deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:25.882 \rightarrow 00:40:29.073$  it's going to slow the rate of learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}29.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}31.478$  for everything in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}31{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33{.}766$  so it could explain why people

 $00:40:33.766 \rightarrow 00:40:35.826$  are compulsive because they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}35.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37.670$  respond to negative outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}37.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.554$  It would explain why they have trouble

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}209$  learning. The adaptive alternatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}42.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.448$  Are there an?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:43.450 \rightarrow 00:40:45.515$  It would explain why extinguishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}45{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}580$  drug associations is much slower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.884$  and so in if it's a saliency signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}142$  we may want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}52.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.020$  Increased opening so that people can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}54.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.608$  learn adaptively in all of these contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.522$  And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:40:57.522 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.650$  I'm not saying that like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}40{:}59{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01{.}891$  this is the end all be all dopamine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:01.891 \rightarrow 00:41:04.135$  in lots of projection targets and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}41{:}04{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06{.}640$  does lots of things in different areas.

 $00:41:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.470$  And we're in one single area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:08.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.254$  but I think kind of taking a step

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}41{:}10.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.137$  back and thinking about what these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:12.137 --> 00:41:13.517 domains signatures really mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}41{:}13{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}15{.}284$  and what those deficits would

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:15.284 --> 00:41:16.979 mean to a behaving individual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.290$  as I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

00:41:19.290 --> 00:41:20.445 component of conceptualizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00{:}41{:}20{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}246$  what these you know psychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.8711132

 $00:41:22.246 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.274$  deficits mean to people and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.448$  to best treat them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}25{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27{.}010$  Anyway, so with that I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.570$  end with thanking my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}28{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30{.}130$  so Ganesh clue his background

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31{.}378$  is in computational psychology,

 $00:41:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.578$  so he's like the modeler and he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:33.578 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.430$  really like driven this you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:35.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.990$  Together he's a Pavlovian guy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:36.990 --> 00:41:38.550 I was a reinforcement person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:38.550 --> 00:41:40.419 I think this was like one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:40.419 --> 00:41:42.243 those projects that was this great

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:42.243 --> 00:41:43.853 synergism between two people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:41:43.853 \rightarrow 00:41:45.728$  just we're really excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}45{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47{.}907$  Kind of figuring out what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}47{.}910$  -->  $00{:}41{:}49{.}860$  Jennifer, Zachary and Patrick and NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:49.860 --> 00:41:51.810 Stephanie were are grad students

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:51.874 --> 00:41:53.542 that were working on this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}53{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55{.}657$  and put a lot of time into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:41:55.660 --> 00:41:57.886 Cody Siciliano and Lindsay Ann Lynn

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}41{:}57{.}886$  -->  $00{:}42{:}00{.}945$  was was really nice and was helping us

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:00.945 \rightarrow 00:42:03.207$  get the delight these optical sensors

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842202
- $00:42:03.282 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.543$  up and running in the lab fairly

 $00:42:05.543 \rightarrow 00:42:07.459$  early in Cody's at optical engineer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:42:07.459 --> 00:42:10.164 So he helps a lot at Vanderbilt with

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:42:10.164 --> 00:42:11.948 getting these working correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

00:42:11.950 --> 00:42:14.316 validating them an my funding and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00{:}42{:}14.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.020$  can take any questions you may have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842202

 $00:42:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.830$  So thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.130$  Thank you so much Aaron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:23.130 --> 00:42:25.020 If any<br/>body doesn't want to pipe

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}25.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.228$  up with the just asking questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.093$  please put them in the chat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}30{.}093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33{.}267$  I can read them out for Aaron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.116$  Like can I start with one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}35{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38{.}356$  Go for it. So in terms of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}38{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}39{.}800$  projections you were discussing

 $00:42:39.869 \rightarrow 00:42:41.867$  and you're looking in the core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}41.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.152$  do you think that just with predictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}44.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.045$  of what the core versus shell of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

00:42:47.045 --> 00:42:49.323 incumbents does in activations of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}49{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51{.}459$  core versus Shell does to behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.798$  Do you think that one might be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:53.798 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.002$  more important than the other in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:56.002 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.136$  the prediction error?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00{:}42{:}57{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58{.}556$  This is actually really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8725846

 $00:42:58.556 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.326$  great question an I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.395$  have pasted this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:01.395 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.525$  Actually I can do it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}03{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06{.}106$  I we have shell data so so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}094$  The answer is probably yes in some contexts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}09{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12{.}184$  although when we we started to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:12.184 \rightarrow 00:43:14.830$  look through the shell data.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342
- $00:43:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.245$  So I just wanted to side when

 $00{:}43{:}17{.}245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19{.}541$  we started to look through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00{:}43{:}19{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21{.}893$  new data or the shell data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

00:43:21.900 --> 00:43:24.132 It did not look like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8017342

 $00:43:24.132 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.620$  I would expect either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.640$  Basically. It still doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:43:30.640 --> 00:43:33.380 look like prediction error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.026$  So we still get a positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}36{.}026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}38{.}420$  domain response to the shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}38{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}800$  We get some scaling with stimulus intensity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.848$  Not quite as much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:43:43.850 --> 00:43:46.208 People have shown decreases in dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}46{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}48{.}712$  and to a versive stimuli and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}48.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.101$  been working out why that would be when

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}285$  we aren't seeing them and we think.

 $00:43:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.162$  And so basically we did show

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}43{:}55{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57{.}329$  decreases in domain in some contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:43:57.330 --> 00:43:59.520 D<br/>opamine goes down when animals don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:43:59.520 \rightarrow 00:44:02.390$  have to do anything or they have to wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}02{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04{.}560$  So what we did is we design

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}04{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06{.}299$  this other experiment that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:06.299 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.775$  like really excited about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}07.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.615$  What we did is we trained animals to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:10.615 --> 00:44:13.240 smoker sucrose and then we switched the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}13.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.588$  contingency so that they had to with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}15{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17{.}886$  Hold a response and wait to get sucrose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:17.890 \rightarrow 00:44:20.203$  So this is kind of like the same reciprocal

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:20.203 \rightarrow 00:44:21.929$  thing to fear conditioning right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:21.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.986$  You have a queue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:22.986 --> 00:44:25.090 The animal just waits to get shocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:25.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.242$  There's nothing they can

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291
- $00:44:26.242 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.394$  do during that period.

 $00:44:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.052$  They wait,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:28.052 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.008$  we see decreases in dopamine to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:30.008 --> 00:44:31.430 fear conditioning Q and two AQ,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:31.430 \rightarrow 00:44:33.726$  where the animal gets sucrose at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.794$  but they have to wait to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}36{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}39{.}401$  And So what we think is happening is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:39.401 \rightarrow 00:44:41.915$  lot of these decreases in domain people

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}41{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45{.}010$  have seen or not necessarily just value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:45.010 --> 00:44:47.110 They have to do with what animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.091$  are doing and what novelty in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:44:49.091 --> 00:44:50.781 salience do in an environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}50.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52.870$  is they increase exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}52.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.229$  So if you need to decrease exploration

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}44{:}55{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}857$  and just wait for something to happen,

 $00{:}44{:}57{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}934$  domain goes down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:44:58.934 \rightarrow 00:45:01.440$  So we get doping reductions even when

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}01{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}056$  the outcome is positive when the task

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:04.056 \rightarrow 00:45:06.437$  design mimics that of the aversive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:06.437 \rightarrow 00:45:08.867$  tasks where people have seen reductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:45:08.870 --> 00:45:11.174 And a lot of the like you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.175$  there's a lot of great work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}13.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.948$  like Mitchell White Men looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

00:45:14.948 --> 00:45:16.664 a<br/>versive like wine in the mouth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.248$  It's unavoidable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:17.248 \rightarrow 00:45:19.560$  The animals are just waiting there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.288$  And so I think there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:21.288 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.152$  differences in relative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.742$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}22.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.779$  in his designs he has positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:24.779 \rightarrow 00:45:26.497$  negative stimuli in the same task,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291
- $00{:}45{:}26{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}28{.}132$  which are a little bit different

 $00{:}45{:}28.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.680$  than having an animal behaves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00{:}45{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.216$  So obviously there's there is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:31.216 \rightarrow 00:45:32.860$  sort of value based computation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.588$  but we think they're done and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83395291

 $00:45:34.588 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.452$  really specific context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

00:45:37.530 --> 00:45:38.790 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00:45:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.770$  I think the other question go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}43.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.374$  But they are zoom etiquette.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}560$  Go ahead, I'll jump in after you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}48{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}50{.}120$  OK, thanks for bringing talker

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}50{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}996$  and that was really really cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}51{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54{.}536$  So one thing that you can do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

00:45:54.536 --> 00:45:56.986 these models is sort of see if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58{.}230$  can predict particular phenomena

 $00:46:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.298$  becoming more and more prevalent NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00{:}46{:}02.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.194$  about a sort of teleological idea NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:04.194 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.726$  about what the dopamine system NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:05.726 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.598$  might be for is not rewards, NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:07.598 \rightarrow 00:46:09.153$  not punishments as you've argued, NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:09.153 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.404$  but actually the causal NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:10.404 \rightarrow 00:46:11.652$  structure of the world. NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00{:}46{:}11.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}13.521$  Yeah, and so does your model NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:13.521 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.765$  predict things like sensory NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:14.765 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.500$  preconditioning where? NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413  $00:46:15.500 \rightarrow 00:46:16.340$  There was NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043  $00:46:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.628$  no value at all. NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043 00:46:17.628 --> 00:46:19.238 Initially you use that information NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043  $00:46:19.240 \rightarrow 00:46:21.124$  later to imbue or impede value.

 $00:45:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.730$  and one of the ideas I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.90038413

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:21.124 \rightarrow 00:46:23.203$  Yes, yes, so actually this is one

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00:46:23.203 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.349$  of the other powers of this model is

 $00{:}46{:}25{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}610$  it can do sensory preconditioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.194$  Layton addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}28{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30{.}530$  so these are two things that that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:30.589 \rightarrow 00:46:32.767$  the temporal difference models cannot do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35.787$  and the problem is dopamine does them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.495$  So if dopamine does these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:37.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.859$  in a computational model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}38.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40.565$  can't that cannot be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:40.565 --> 00:46:41.929 computation domain is doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.634$  and so we we have our next at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}44{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}040$  once we get this out the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.744$  I'm trying to find my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:46:48.744 --> 00:46:50.450 We haven't started doing sensory

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:46:50.450 \rightarrow 00:46:52.938$  preconditioning yet because of the fact that

 $00:46:52.938 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.250$  it's a little bit more of a pain in mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}56{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}230$  and I think we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}46{:}58{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}000$  have to switch to rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02{.}094$  Mice aren't like the best set

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:02.094 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.750$  like attending to things so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}03.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04.576$  so lame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:04.576 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.228$  Inhibition is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}06{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}880$  our model does do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}560$  So late inhibition is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:09.560 \rightarrow 00:47:10.904$  this really interesting novelty

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}10.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12.506$  based learning constructs were

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:12.506 \rightarrow 00:47:14.118$  essentially pre exposed stimuli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.950$  acquire values slower than simulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}15{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18{.}218$  Have not been pre exposed so familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}18{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20{.}494$  stimuli take longer to because you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:47:20.494 \rightarrow 00:47:22.559$  basically unlearning the no Association.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}22.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.891$  So a lot of these different models
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- 00:47:24.891 00:47:27.699 you know we brought this up earlier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- 00:47:27.700 --> 00:47:30.269 I was asked about Pierce Hall Macintosh.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}30{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}562$  Like all of these models have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}32.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34.821$  added these components to do this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}34.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.110$  and our model does this and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- 00:47:37.110 -> 00:47:38.620 sensory preconditioning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00:47:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.642$  I showed you the dobine goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}40.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.990$  down to repeated shocks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00:47:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.354$  Um?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}42.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}44.902$  We can get pre exposed stimuli to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}47{:}44.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.285$  have less associative value and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00:47:47.285 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.915$  what's really interesting is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- 00:47:49.915 --> 00:47:52.751 the dopamine response to these pre
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- 00:47:52.751 --> 00:47:55.713 exposed stimuli is much lower and it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}534$  also tracks over the pre exposure period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}47{:}58{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01{.}380$  So these these kind of non value based

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:01.380 --> 00:48:02.898 learning constructs were previous

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}02.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.136$  experience is changing the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:05.136 --> 00:48:07.360 stimuli can drive future behavior

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}07{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09{.}715$  or sensory preconditioning were two

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:09.715 --> 00:48:11.500 irrelevant simulate form associations

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.020$  that can then be associated later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}14.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.012$  Our model does it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}15.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.500$  and dopamine still Maps onto that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}16{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18{.}545$  perceived salience term in those contexts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:18.550 \rightarrow 00:48:21.380$  And this is actually why we were so set on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:21.380 --> 00:48:21.934 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:21.934 \rightarrow 00:48:23.319$  the first experiments really well

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:23.319 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.058$  it could be other things too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:25.060 \rightarrow 00:48:26.240$  and then we started going

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043
- $00{:}48{:}26{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27{.}420$  into these latent addition and

00:48:27.471 --> 00:48:28.740 sensory preconditioning ideas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:28.740 \rightarrow 00:48:30.714$  because those really can't be other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

00:48:30.720 --> 00:48:31.286 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:31.286 \rightarrow 00:48:33.550$  it could be there's other components of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.426$  but I think it is more strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:35.426 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.660$  with the other stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}36{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}916$  It does too, that that's what it's doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}38{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}40{.}830$  But it's that's a great, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}40{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42{.}545$  Those are like the killer like knife

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:42.545 \rightarrow 00:48:44.345$  in the coffin experiments, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00{:}48{:}44{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45{.}320$  Because they just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.270$  Those other models cannot do them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81181043

 $00:48:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.510$  so yeah, that's a great great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}48{:}50{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52{.}238$  I think we had a question,

 $00{:}48{:}52{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}54{.}109$  thanks. Those are really great talk here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:54.109 \rightarrow 00:48:56.348$  and thanks for taking us through all that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}272$  And you have touched on my question

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:58.272 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.912$  a little bit because you started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:48:59.912 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.556$  I mean, even with the default

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}01{.}556$  -->  $00{:}49{:}02{.}928$  Pomeranians when he starts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}02{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04{.}298$  look at nucleus accumbens shell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:04.300 \rightarrow 00:49:06.765$  But one thing I was curious about with your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}09{.}505$  model is how how global do you think it is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:09.505 --> 00:49:11.695 And you hinted as we talked about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}11.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.620$  VTA component as well. So you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:13.620 - 00:49:15.524 going back to some of the Bromberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:15.530 --> 00:49:16.902 Martin work about different VTA

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:16.902 --> 00:49:17.724 neurons responding differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}17.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}18.820$  to balance versus silence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:18.820 \rightarrow 00:49:20.782$  You think this Maps onto multiple regions

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291
- $00{:}49{:}20.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.654$  using this sub regions within the.

 $00{:}49{:}22.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.884$  The common score is a global number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:24.884 \rightarrow 00:49:26.797$  as a discrete to specific places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:26.797 \rightarrow 00:49:29.074$  Yeah, so this is a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}29{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31{.}944$  so I'm lucky to have married very well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:31.944 \rightarrow 00:49:35.058$  my life and my partner is a two photon guide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:35.060 \rightarrow 00:49:35.966$  When he does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:35.966 \rightarrow 00:49:38.560$  he does 2 photon imaging through grin lenses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:38.560 --> 00:49:40.444 cranial windows, you name it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:40.444 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.689$  so one thing we're working on now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:42.690 --> 00:49:45.091 The really power of these kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:49:45.091 --> 00:49:46.838 of optical imaging approaches is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}46.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.099$  that you can record as small as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:49.099 \rightarrow 00:49:51.274$  you want or as big as you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:51.280 \rightarrow 00:49:52.920$  and so depending on your.

 $00:49:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.100$  Microscope and resolution so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}49{:}54{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}55{.}280$  we're moving into these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.760$  Either I love slice work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.620$  This is like my background so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:49:58.620 \rightarrow 00:50:00.390$  we're moving into these kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:00.390 --> 00:50:02.352 In vivo in slice imaging approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}02{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}03{.}660$  to understand better domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:03.715 \rightarrow 00:50:05.689$  regulation across big and small areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}05{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07{.}345$  Because the thing about domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:07.345 \rightarrow 00:50:09.000$  neurons that so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:09.000 --> 00:50:10.655 like kind of weird about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:10.655 - 00:50:11.979 them is their projection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:11.980 --> 00:50:13.960 Like you know, Arborization is insane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:13.960 \rightarrow 00:50:16.651$  If you fill a single domain on the PTA

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:16.651 \rightarrow 00:50:19.586$  and look at the field that it populates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:19.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.570$  it's like half the straight up.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291
- $00:50:21.570 \rightarrow 00:50:24.130$  But then if you look at these specific

 $00:50:24.130 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.880$  release sites on these neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}25.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.204$  it's not releasing dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:27.204 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.528$  at everywhere every time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:28.530 \rightarrow 00:50:30.840$  It's depending on all these different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.500$  So this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}31{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33{.}480$  Release structure is so complicated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}33{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35{.}833$  I think part of the reason people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37{.}668$  been so like oh volume transmission

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:37.668 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.404$  is our ability to really look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}40{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41{.}666$  granularities between these components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}41.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.550$  And so we're starting to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:43.550 --> 00:50:44.806 you know, start big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:44.806 --> 00:50:45.748 We're just saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:50:45.750 --> 00:50:46.020 OK,

 $00:50:46.020 \rightarrow 00:50:48.450$  if we do image in a bigger field or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:48.524 \rightarrow 00:50:51.086$  with multiple sites at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:50:51.090 \rightarrow 00:50:52.250$  are we seeing differences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}52{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}659$  We do see differences so I think there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}54{.}659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}801$  are differences in these VTA neurons in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}801$  -->  $00{:}50{:}59{.}245$  what what they're doing in different areas. NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}50{:}59{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}834$  So I don't think like all dopamine is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:01.840 \rightarrow 00:51:04.500$  This I think don't mean to the core is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}04{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06{.}068$  but it also makes sense that domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}273$  in the core that's been tide more to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:08.273 \rightarrow 00:51:10.090$  instrumental responding than like the shell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}10.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11.122$  That's like these acquisition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}11{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12{.}982$  And like Valeant space kind of learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14{.}865$  would look like a perceived salience term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:14.870 --> 00:51:15.109 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:15.109 \rightarrow 00:51:16.782$  That makes way more sense for something

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291
- $00:51:16.782 \rightarrow 00:51:18.330$  that is involved in punishment,

00:51:18.330 --> 00:51:18.862 negative reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

00:51:18.862 --> 00:51:19.394 positive reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00:51:19.394 \rightarrow 00:51:20.990$  which are the same motivated responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}20{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21{.}512$  independent violence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7855291

 $00{:}51{:}21{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23{.}600$  So we're doing some more stuff in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:23.654 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.733$  shell. You know, I'm not sold that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:51:25.733 --> 00:51:27.974 shell doesn't do value because I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}27{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30{.}299$  foot shocks are the best way to do stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}238$  I think foot shocks are weird.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:51:32.240 --> 00:51:34.166 Stimulus that are really powerful initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35{.}780$  but we didn't really evolve

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.390$  to respond to foot shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.412$  so we're starting to go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:39.412 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.260$  more with things like Quy 9.

 $00:51:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.235$  We've been developing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:51:42.235 --> 00:51:44.800 You can make them liquor lic ometer hot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:51:44.800 - 00:51:46.726 so we've been doing like thermal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}46{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48{.}530$  like not pain, but thermal sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}48.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.156$  curves so that you can look at thermal

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:51.156 \rightarrow 00:51:52.524$  stimuli that reduced responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:52.530 \rightarrow 00:51:54.777$  But without this, like foot shot component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.027$  so we're trying to parse this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58{.}302$  I'm not sold that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:51:58.302 \rightarrow 00:52:00.210$  it's just like every dopamine responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:00.269 --> 00:52:02.189 that I think it's more complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}02{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04{.}843$  but I think we need better resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:04.843 \rightarrow 00:52:06.770$  techniques to really parse that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:06.770 \rightarrow 00:52:08.578$  And hopefully over the next I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.745$  However long my career last

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:09.745 \rightarrow 00:52:11.289$  will see will start to get it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066
- $00:52:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.430$  Some of those questions and

 $00{:}52{:}12.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}13.780$  other people are doing that too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:13.780 --> 00:52:14.206 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:14.206 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.271$  there's some really great work

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}15{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16{.}428$  coming out where people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}16{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17{.}618$  using those like single synapse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:17.620 --> 00:52:18.062 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:18.062 --> 00:52:19.388 you know Uncaging and Eli and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:19.388 --> 00:52:20.560 all kinds of crazy stuff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00{:}52{:}20{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}585$  so I'm excited to see where the field goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

00:52:22.590 --> 00:52:22.815 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:22.815 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.715$  that's really excited how

NOTE Confidence: 0.81092066

 $00:52:23.715 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.615$  great you are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.755$  at. I'm glad you're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

00:52:25.755 --> 00:52:28.010 into it. Sounds like

 $00:52:26.660 \rightarrow 00:52:29.370$  you thought about it already. Definitely

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}28.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.370$  I went a little bit. Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.987$  the question is just like do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}562$  have the tools and then the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}32{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}34{.}312$  thing is do we have the month of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}34{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}915$  money and the people that do it? NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.720$  And so it's like you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00:52:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.472$  You you see what you can do and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81791025

 $00{:}52{:}39{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}180$  with the resources you have so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

 $00{:}52{:}44{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}504$  There is a question in the chat by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

00:52:46.504 --> 00:52:47.892 from Denise, Baghdad and Denise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

 $00:52:47.892 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.183$  Do you want to read it out or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302632

 $00:52:50.183 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.856$  would you like me to ask it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:52:53.460 --> 00:52:55.780 Good morning, great talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:52:55.780 \rightarrow 00:52:59.840$  so I just wanted to understand something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:52:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.320$  Maybe it's not a great question.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:53:03.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.220$  Let me just say it.

 $00:53:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.540$  So nicotine reinforcement is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:08.540 \rightarrow 00:53:10.860$  generally considered as positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}10.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.020$  reinforcement enforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:12.020 --> 00:53:14.340 However, it's also discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:14.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.660$  about like the weather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:16.660 -> 00:53:18.400 Nicotine reinforcement is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:53:18.400 -> 00:53:19.560 negative reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}19{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}944$  Because of nicotine with drawal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:53:21.944 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.168$  The compost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}23.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.840$  Open intake and taking is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}26.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29.111$  contributes to nicotine reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}29{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}082$  so I am interested in whether your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}33.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36.496$  model could dissect the positive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}326$  negative reinforcement for the nicotine.

- 00:53:39.330 --> 00:53:43.730 It's I know it's your.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- 00:53:43.730 -> 00:53:46.867 Shock, but this is the one molecule.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- 00:53:46.867 -> 00:53:49.429 You know, so could have both.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:53:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.398$  So how we put the fact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}53{:}51{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52{.}710$  that's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}53{:}52{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}886$  So one of the things in the addiction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}53{:}54{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}409$  field is that there are all these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:53:57.409 \rightarrow 00:53:59.268$  series of negative reinforcement, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}53{:}59{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00{.}580$  Like opioid with drawal alcohol,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}54{:}00{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00{.}907$  with drawal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:00.907 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.542$  All of these are negative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- 00:54:02.542 --> 00:54:03.196 reinforcement concepts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.840$  but no one actually does
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.496$  negative reinforcement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:05.500 \rightarrow 00:54:08.125$  We make the inference that is negative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}54{:}08{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}344$  reinforcement from the fact that it

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.064$  causes with drawn animals are taking

 $00{:}54{:}12.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}14.597$  it with during the withdrawal period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:54:14.600 --> 00:54:15.310 It's OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:15.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.085$  so it's a hard question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.746$  I think the first step would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:18.746 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.758$  be to look at how you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:21.758 \rightarrow 00:54:23.138$  negative reinforcement processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.564$  like for avoiding shocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}24{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25{.}988$  are changed after nicotine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:25.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.950$  So one of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}27{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}30{.}003$  working with with Cody Siciliano is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}301$  looking at how alcohol changes animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:54:32.301 \rightarrow 00:54:34.179$  motivation for negative reinforcers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}665$  and so that's like the first step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38{.}674$  I think this is like it's

- $00:54:38.674 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.590$  a hard thing to parse.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- 00:54:40.590 --> 00:54:44.640 Nicotine is also, I know it's like I do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.588$  A cholinergic regulation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:45.588 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.536$  of dopamine terminals.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}54{:}46{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48{.}745$  So nicotine is like in my mind,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- 00:54:48.750 --> 00:54:50.646 but like we don't do nicotine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.278$  reinforcement stuff.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:51.280 \rightarrow 00:54:52.950$  It's also this really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- 00:54:52.950 --> 00:54:54.286 molecule because it regulates
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00{:}54{:}54{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}829$  like how dopamine is released
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:55.829 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.274$  in a really interesting way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.860$  That's not just like up,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:54:58.860 \rightarrow 00:55:00.440$  it's changing like phasic responses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:55:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.020$  to stimuli in the environment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:55:02.020 \rightarrow 00:55:03.600$  And so thinking about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:55:03.600 \rightarrow 00:55:04.548$  interaction between those,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:55:04.550 \rightarrow 00:55:06.596$  it's like we're doing some work

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}596{\:}-{>}{\:}00{:}55{:}08{.}345$  with sex differences in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:08.345 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.241$  system is like so much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:10.241 \dashrightarrow 00:55:12.128$  complicated than I want it to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:12.130 --> 00:55:13.117 Like with cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}13.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.420$  it's like it binds to the transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:15.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.680$  Show me goes up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}19.287$  Can we reduce that nicotine is like Oh

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:19.287 --> 00:55:21.541 well in some cases domain goes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:21.541 \dashrightarrow 00:55:23.810$  some cases it goes up and so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}23.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.190$  just such a complicated question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}25{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27{.}185$  I think the behavioral stuff we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:27.185 --> 00:55:29.122 can start to parse how processes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}29{.}122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30{.}670$  animals are changing by exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}30{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32{.}602$  and I think that's the first step
$00:55:32.602 \rightarrow 00:55:34.697$  and then the next step is trying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:34.700 --> 00:55:36.155 We're trying to develop task

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:36.155 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.150$  to figure out how to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}38{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40{.}580$  So one thing we've been thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}40{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41{.}795$  about is doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}41{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43{.}810$  Old school drag discrimination so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:43.810 --> 00:55:45.820 animals will actually press before

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}45{.}885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47{.}621$  like to tell you an internal state

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:47.621 --> 00:55:50.005 is X or Y and what we want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}50.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.568$  is we've been thinking about doing NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:55:51.568 \rightarrow 00:55:52.801$  this with optogenetics, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}52{.}801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}847$  Does an optical stimulation of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

00:55:54.847 --> 00:55:56.574 circuit substitute for X drug X

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}56{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58{.}466$  state and I think that some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00{:}55{:}58{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}446$  with drawal effects you could see if,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004

 $00:56:00.450 \rightarrow 00:56:01.338$  like nicotine withdrawal,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7900004
- $00:56:01.338 \rightarrow 00:56:03.410$  substituted for some of these other things,

 $00{:}56{:}03{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05{.}391$  and if that was a critical component

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:05.391 \rightarrow 00:56:06.960$  of reinforcement isn't hard question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.736$  I think that that's it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:08.736 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.920$  start answering that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00{:}56{:}09{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12{.}120$  but I've been thinking about this a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

00:56:12.120 --> 00:56:14.438 and I'm not sure how to specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:14.440 \rightarrow 00:56:16.533$  Parse when an animal is doing something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00{:}56{:}16{.}533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18{.}428$  for two things at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.860$  What component is what I?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

00:56:19.860 --> 00:56:21.570 I wish I had better answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00{:}56{:}21{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22{.}990$  I'm excited about the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8238095

 $00:56:22.990 \dashrightarrow 00:56:25.270$  but I don't have the answer for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}29{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31{.}642$  Is there time for one more Marina

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:31.642 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.317$  or do we directly is that Beth?

 $00:56:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.670$  No, it's less less sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}36{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}728$  I had one too. OK first Liz then Jane.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}41{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43{.}417$  So Aaron, that was such a beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}43{.}417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45{.}592$  talk and I love all the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}45{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46{.}642$  behavioral experiments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:46.642 \rightarrow 00:56:48.330$  were inspired by your model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}48.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.297$  And one of the powers of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:56:50.297 --> 00:56:51.838 model is obviously you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}51{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53{.}428$  take that salience term out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}53{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55{.}158$  And guess how behavior would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:56:55.158 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.030$  altered by it in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}57{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}638$  So I'm curious whether you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}56{:}58{.}638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00{.}435$  to start looking at blocking these

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:00.435 \dashrightarrow 00:57:02.115$  signals and seeing whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}57{:}02.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.734$  match the expectations that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:03.734 \rightarrow 00:57:05.124$  model would make in particular,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553
- $00:57:05.130 \rightarrow 00:57:06.985$  that when you were showing the responses

 $00:57:06.985 \rightarrow 00:57:09.030$  to the light cue during conditioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:09.030 \rightarrow 00:57:11.178$  which shouldn't be involving any learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00:57:11.178 \rightarrow 00:57:13.519$  like what's the point of that signal?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

 $00{:}57{:}13.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}15.446$  Our behavior could come from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6433553

00:57:15.450 --> 00:57:15.770 I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.338$  so excited to just ask me this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.599$  OK, so there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.154$  I'm lazy and I don't want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.504$  Maybe I'll do this why I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:23.504 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.840$  should have put these in here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}24.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.616$  I didn't think people were going to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:26.620 --> 00:57:27.958 Not that I didn't think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}27{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}28{.}850$  would have great questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:28.850 --> 00:57:31.550 but I didn't think you guys were to ask

 $00:57:31.550 \rightarrow 00:57:33.994$  questions that I had like specific data for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:34.000 --> 00:57:37.430 OK, so two things. First thing first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.630$  Wow, that looks terrible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}38{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40{.}130$  We did do experiments to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.090$  eliminate this signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}41.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43.835$  You can see I'm like really crafty with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.890$  This is not my OK so we First things first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:57:46.890 --> 00:57:48.969 Yes, I'll tell you what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}48.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51.159$  that signal is doing and then two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}51{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}51{.}770$  Well, two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:57:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.600$  I'll show you the optic Jenner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.554$  Other we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}54{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56{.}144$  We're almost done with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}56{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}57{.}869$  Histology so take this with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}57{:}57{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}00{.}050$  This is preliminary preliminary ish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:00.050 \dashrightarrow 00:58:01.940$  We did two experiments where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:01.940 \rightarrow 00:58:03.898$  inhibited the signal using what our NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:03.898 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.818$  model would predict as the condition NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:05.818 \rightarrow 00:58:07.264$  response that would dissociate NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:07.264 \rightarrow 00:58:09.124$  it from these other components. NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919 00:58:09.130 --> 00:58:10.655 Injected Halo rhodopsin in TH NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919 00:58:10.655 --> 00:58:12.586 positive neurons in the VTA and NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:12.586 \rightarrow 00:58:13.958$  then inhibited the terminal. NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:13.960 \rightarrow 00:58:15.244$  So we're only inhibiting NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00{:}58{:}15{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16{.}207$  dopamine releasing terminals. NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.634$  Any comments? NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:17.634 \dashrightarrow 00:58:21.906$  We either inhibited during a Q NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:21.906 \rightarrow 00:58:25.000$  predicting fear conditioning. NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:25.000 \rightarrow 00:58:26.504$  Or we inhibited or? NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919 00:58:26.504 --> 00:58:28.384 We know we stimulated during. NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919  $00:58:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.280$  Sorry this is my fault. NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919 114

 $00:58:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.160$  We stimulated during these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}32{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}288$  two different things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}33{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35{.}606$  We stimulated during a fear conditioning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}35{.}606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37{.}720$  Q or we stimulated channel rhodopsin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:37.720 \dashrightarrow 00:58:40.744$  during an emitted but expected shock.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}40.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43.060$  If you stimulate and this gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:43.060 - 00:58:44.820 your questions during a Q,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.300$  that's a fair condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:58:46.300 --> 00:58:48.520 Q You actually get less freezing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}48{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}50{.}976$  so this is the opposite of what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}976$  -->  $00{:}58{:}53{.}328$  would expect from associative strength,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.180$  but it kind of person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}57{.}735$  We basically points to this question when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:57.735 \rightarrow 00:58:59.990$  there's novel stimuli in the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:58:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.100$  you increase exploration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.580$  All of our data,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00{:}59{:}02{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}03{.}948$  like the novel Q.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00{:}59{:}03{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06{.}000$  All of our data show that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:06.086 \rightarrow 00:59:07.758$  when you add novelty,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.950$  you increase dopamine and the increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:09.950 \rightarrow 00:59:12.400$  dopamine is associated with more exploration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.459$  And less freezing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:13.459 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.224$  And so these novelty terms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.855$  what they're doing is they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- 00:59:16.855 --> 00:59:18.155 helping animals to adaptively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:18.155 \rightarrow 00:59:19.829$  learn by increasing exploration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- 00:59:19.830 --> 00:59:21.600 And like here, decreasing freezing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00{:}59{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}23.370$  So in the same animal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:23.370 \rightarrow 00:59:25.946$  the queue that wasn't stimulated has just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00:59:25.946 \rightarrow 00:59:28.330$  as much freezing is the wifey group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $00{:}59{:}28{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30{.}738$  and when it's stimulated they freeze less
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:30.738 \rightarrow 00:59:33.290$  and that's what our model would predict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.610$  The other thing we did is we show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.888$  that we can prevent extinction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.949$  freezing extinction by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:38.949 \dashrightarrow 00:59:40.714$  stimulating dopamine to the Q,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}40{.}720$  -->  $00{:}59{:}43{.}348$  and so we both prevent extinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.235$  We prevent extinction by basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}45{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}47{.}456$  like increasing the salience of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}47{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}49{.}353$  event so that it doesn't go away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:59:49.360 --> 00:59:51.640 which is not the same as you'd expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}51{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}53{.}699$  by these prediction error terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

00:59:53.700 - 00:59:55.338 So what we think is happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}55{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}889$  is that these novel stimuli

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00:59:56.889 \rightarrow 00:59:58.473$  are increasing dopamine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $00{:}59{:}58{.}473 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}453$  increase in dopamine promotes and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:00.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.136$  Exploration term,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919
- $01:00:01.136 \rightarrow 01:00:04.300$  we also did some like deep lab cut based,

01:00:04.300 --> 01:00:04.998 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:04.998 \rightarrow 01:00:06.394$  machine learning algorithms to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:06.394 --> 01:00:07.790 look at orienting responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:07.790 --> 01:00:09.182 It's not associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

01:00:09.182 --> 01:00:10.226 general motor activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01{:}00{:}10{.}230 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}11{.}622$  it's associated with orientation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8257919

 $01:00:11.622 \rightarrow 01:00:13.014$  towards the novel stimulus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}13.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.162$  And so we think that these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}15.162 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}17.049$  this saliency term in the Commons

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

01:00:17.049 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:00:19.149 is changing the way the animals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}19{.}218 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}20{.}926$  interacting with the environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}20{.}926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}23{.}488$  rather than just the associated value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}23.490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}25.688$  But the problem is these are such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}25.688 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}27.680$  complex things to dissociate that.

 $01:00:27.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.455$  I understand why people solve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:29.455 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.875$  the data before instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:30.880 \dashrightarrow 01:00:33.016$  Oh, it's that balance goes up to rewards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}33.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}34.882$  goes down to a fear conditioning Q

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:34.882 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.750$  that looks like violence to me too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:36.750 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.352$  You only start to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}38{.}352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39{.}420$  it can't be balanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:39.420 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.044$  When you do these kind of really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}41.044 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}42.708$  in the weeds like someone showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:42.708 \rightarrow 01:00:44.223$  this in 1950 in psychology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}44{.}230$  -->  $01{:}00{:}46{.}225$  we're gonna do this again with optogenetics NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:46.225 \rightarrow 01:00:47.968$  kinds of experiments which I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01{:}00{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}49.839$  I think those are the fun experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:49.840 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.440$  but does that answer your question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8432272

 $01:00:51.440 \longrightarrow 01:00:52.508$  Yes, thank you, awe some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}00{:}52{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55{.}310$  I think James next and then Rick.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445
- $01:00:55.310 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.748$  Hi that was a great talk.

 $01:00:58.748 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.359$  So my question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}02{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}04{.}650$  You talk about increasing dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}04.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.681$  and in most of your experiments where

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:07.681  $\rightarrow$  01:01:09.670 you're actually measuring dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:09.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.400$  you're looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}12{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}15{.}595$  Using Delight an if you go back to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:15.595 \rightarrow 01:01:18.658$  not the old psychology experiments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:18.660 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.890$  but the 80s dopamine literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}20.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}23.578$  People think about tonic versus phasic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:23.580 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.457$  dopamine and a lot of your experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}26.457 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}29.687$  seem to be focused more on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}29.687 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}32.067$  the phasic dopamine signal is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:32.070 --> 01:01:34.748 An I'm wondering whether you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}34.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}37.516$  some way that you can simultaneously

 $01:01:37.516 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.301$  look at dopamine tone because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:40.301 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.863$  may be that things like novelty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}42.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}44.712$  Might actually be linked to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:44.712 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.142$  of those and you get you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:47.142 --> 01:01:48.650 interactions between the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}48.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}51.030$  and you know when you're you're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01{:}01{:}51{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}52{.}730$  your increase with the delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

 $01:01:52.730 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.430$  What baseline is that on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:54.430 --> 01:01:55.110 This is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80182445

01:01:55.110 --> 01:01:56.470 I'm like you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:01:56.470 \longrightarrow 01:01:58.170$  are like making my day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:01:58.170 --> 01:02:00.210 I have like I'm this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:00.210 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.570$  such a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}01{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}04{.}290$  So this is like our first like thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:04.290 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.990$  We're getting out the door.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}05{.}990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}08{.}978$  We have a bunch of extra data where what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508
- $01:02:08.978 \rightarrow 01:02:11.766$  we've been doing and this is the thing.

 $01:02:11.770 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.120$  OK, so voltammetry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:13.120 --> 01:02:14.470 Is background subtracted?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:14.470 --> 01:02:16.906 So you can't really get both tonan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:16.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:18.998$  phasic stuff in the same experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}19{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21{.}100$  So what people historically done as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:21.100 \dashrightarrow 01:02:22.554$  they said, microanalysis histone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}22.554 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}24.439$  gigha Ruth and voltammetry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}24{.}439 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26{.}678$  is phasic an my the 80s domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:26.680 \rightarrow 01:02:28.666$  Literatures like where I started my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}28.666 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}31.218$  career so I'm very excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:31.220 --> 01:02:33.772 Do you like this kind of nice because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}33.772$  -->  $01{:}02{:}36.031$  you have some photobleaching but you NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}36{.}031 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}38{.}756$  can control for that and you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}38.756 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}41.031$  know what the the problem with it

 $01:02:41.031 \rightarrow 01:02:43.510$  is that you don't know the number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}43.510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}45.617$  So with microdialysis you get an amount.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:45.620 --> 01:02:47.420 With voltammetry you calibrate your probe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:47.420 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.710$  you have an estimated amount with delight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}02{:}50{.}710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}52{.}642$  I haven't found a great way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:52.642 \rightarrow 01:02:54.378$  figure out what the number is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:54.380 --> 01:02:56.642 but you can look at relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:02:56.642 \rightarrow 01:02:58.150$  changes over the session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:02:58.150 --> 01:03:00.526 I don't have this data up and is easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}00.526 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}02.451$  way because we're putting it into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}02{.}451 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04{.}889$  something so we have a manuscript that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}04.889 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}07.211$  we're getting together and its focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:07.211 \rightarrow 01:03:09.630$  on novelty based changes and joking signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}09{.}630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}12{.}246$  and so it's focused on Lane in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.158$  But what we're looking at is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:14.158 - 01:03:15.430 the phasic response relative

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508
- $01:03:15.493 \rightarrow 01:03:17.168$  to longer changes in dopamine,

 $01{:}03{:}17{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}19{.}642$  and I don't want to call it to ne

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:19.642 --> 01:03:21.768 because it's over like 10 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:21.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:22.724$  Not like ours,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:22.724 \rightarrow 01:03:24.632$  but it's definitely not what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:24.632 \rightarrow 01:03:26.690$  would call a phasic fast response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:26.690 \rightarrow 01:03:28.790$  What novelty in the environment does?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:28.790 \rightarrow 01:03:30.668$  Is it increases that phasic response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:30.670 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.230$  But then it does it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:32.230 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.800$  The baseline is much higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}33{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}36{.}000$  So what you have is this shift and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}36{.}000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}38{.}394$  what we think is happening is that NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}38{.}394 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}40{.}825$  the novelty is changing the state of NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}40.825 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}43.185$  the system so that if the next thing NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}43{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}44{.}715$  that's encountered in that situation NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}44.715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}46.630$  the domain response will be bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:46.630 --> 01:03:48.793 Now one of the things I've always

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:03:48.793 --> 01:03:50.670 been interested in over my career

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}50{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}52{.}749$  is what matters for the animal that NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:03:52.809 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.765$  change from baseline or the peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}54{.}770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}57{.}266$  And so we're trying to get into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}57{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}59{.}734$  Now to say like, OK, that increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}03{:}59{.}734 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}02{.}863$  Slide does that just increase the peak?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}04{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}05.246$  Or does that actually still amplify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}04{:}05{.}246$  -->  $01{:}04{:}08{.}733$  more this signal to noise and so these NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:08.733 \rightarrow 01:04:11.013$  novelty terms are definitely changing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:11.020 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.832$  What I would call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:12.832 --> 01:04:14.644 I don't want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:14.650 \rightarrow 01:04:16.340$  but they are definitely changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}04{:}16{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}18{.}030$  these slower baseline fluctuations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:18.082 \rightarrow 01:04:19.870$  dopamine over longer periods of time,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508
- $01:04:19.870 \longrightarrow 01:04:21.760$  and we think that's really important

 $01:04:21.760 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.750$  for the effects of novelty on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:23.750 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.086$  other types of learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:25.090 \rightarrow 01:04:26.390$  and so this dopamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:26.390 --> 01:04:27.040 perceived salience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:27.040 --> 01:04:28.996 perceived failings is influenced by novelty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:29.000 \rightarrow 01:04:30.630$  so anything that changes novely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:30.630 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.260$  will change this as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:32.260 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.432$  and so it's really important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:34.432 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.880$  these novelty based learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:35.950 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.064$  things on these both slow and fast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01{:}04{:}38{.}064 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}39{.}760$  timescales in a way that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:39.760 --> 01:04:40.378 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

01:04:40.378 --> 01:04:42.232 consistent with what people have seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8477508

 $01:04:42.232 \rightarrow 01:04:43.669$  with microdialysis and voltammetry,

 $01:04:43.670 \rightarrow 01:04:46.250$  but in a more you are able to more granularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}04{:}46{.}308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}48{.}070$  relate them with this, But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}04{:}48.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50.320$  you don't have the amount, so I kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:50.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.085$  you know, it's hard because there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

01:04:52.085 --> 01:04:53.570 like calibration like you can't say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:53.570 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.820$  oh, this is the amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}04{:}54{.}820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}56{.}521$  and I think that's where I he sitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:04:56.521 \rightarrow 01:04:58.567$  a little bit to make these really

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}04{:}58{.}567 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}00{.}142$  strong conclusions about like what.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}00{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}02{.}214$  It is, I know that it's changed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}02.214 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}03.890$  the minute one of this session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:03.890 \rightarrow 01:05:06.018$  The question is like exactly what is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}06{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}07{.}980$  But there are those changes and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}07{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}09{.}758$  that's a great point that people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01{:}05{:}09{.}760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}12{.}118$  I think a lot of people have gone into

NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714

 $01:05:12.118 \rightarrow 01:05:14.706$  these kind of optical measurements and they.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01{:}05{:}14.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.901$  That was ignored,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01:05:15.901 \rightarrow 01:05:18.680$  but they're not necessarily rooted in these,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- 01:05:18.680 --> 01:05:19.476 like microdialysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01:05:19.476 \longrightarrow 01:05:20.670$  Will Tanistry fields,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01{:}05{:}20.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22.908$  and so they don't understand that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01{:}05{:}22{.}908 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}26{.}133$  there has been a ton of work parsing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01:05:26.133 \rightarrow 01:05:28.203$  what these tonic changes mean?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01:05:28.210 \rightarrow 01:05:30.658$  How tonic dopamine is regulated relative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.861912214285714
- $01{:}05{:}30.658 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}33.370$  to like fast release like the domain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617
- 01:05:33.370 --> 01:05:35.350 transporters? My favorite protein because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617
- $01{:}05{:}35{.}350 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38{.}932$  I guess the question I guess I'm asking is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617
- $01{:}05{:}38{.}932 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}41{.}739$  would you see some more reward evidence NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617
- 01:05:41.739 --> 01:05:44.641 of more reward prediction error if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617
- $01{:}05{:}44.641 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}47.061$  you somehow subtracted what the?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617
- 01:05:47.070 --> 01:05:49.950 Basil Change is an are you missing some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:49.950 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.110$  of that? Because your tonic salience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01{:}05{:}52{.}110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}55{.}441$  I mean, you started out talking a lot about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:55.441 \rightarrow 01:05:58.228$  how dopamine could be doing this and that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:05:58.230 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.470$  but it also could be doing all of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

01:06:01.470 --> 01:06:03.630 You know it's not mutually exclusive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8083617

 $01:06:03.630 \longrightarrow 01:06:04.710$  It could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}04.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}06.510$  It could be let me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}06{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}08{.}806$  I do have a data that answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:08.806 --> 01:06:10.650 that question because actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}10.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}12.625$  really a stute reviewer asked us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:06:12.630 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:06:16.728 Now I need to find it. It wasn't me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:16.730 \longrightarrow 01:06:18.476$  They they asked us saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:18.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.524$  oh, there it is, we did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:20.530 \longrightarrow 01:06:22.276$  so this is actually a really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}06{:}22.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}23.153$  really good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:06:23.153 \rightarrow 01:06:24.608$  Why is this coming up?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:06:24.610 \longrightarrow 01:06:27.238$  Oh I'm like not looking at the right file.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:06:27.240 --> 01:06:29.580 Maybe if I can get it in like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:06:29.580 --> 01:06:31.335 2 seconds I'm going to write,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:06:31.335 > 01:06:33.105 But basically we did what you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:06:33.105 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.829$  your question is a good one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}06{:}34.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}37.458$  I think one of the things that they ask,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:06:37.460 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.920$  which is a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:06:38.920 \dashrightarrow 01:06:40.380$  We'd already been thinking about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}06{:}40{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}42{.}698$  this so we were like, OK, cool was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:06:42.698 --> 01:06:44.700 If these changes in baseline are the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}06{:}44.766 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}46.656$  reason that we don't see changes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:06:46.660 --> 01:06:50.635 In in a, let's see file new release.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}06{:}50{.}640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55{.}420$  All these will be in here now. Insert.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:06:55.420 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.448$  Side OK. OK, So what we did here?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:07:01.450 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.598$  Is we had that repeated shock
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:03.598 \rightarrow 01:07:05.030$  experiment and their question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}05{.}096 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}06{.}979$  was kind of what yours is like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}06.980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09.248$  Well, maybe the difference is the baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}09{.}250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}11{.}434$  like the baseline is changing overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}11{.}434 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}13{.}895$  and so we calculated the shocks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:13.895 --> 01:07:16.093 the original stuff I showed from like NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:16.161 --> 01:07:18.142 a 2 second window before the event

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:18.142<br/>  $\operatorname{-->}$  01:07:20.252 and then we went back and calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:07:20.252 --> 01:07:22.523 all the shocks from a global baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}22.523 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}24.847$  at the very beginning of each trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}24.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}26.800$  And what we found is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:26.800 \longrightarrow 01:07:28.100$  the data is correlated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}28.100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}29.296$  like very highly correlated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}07{:}29{.}296 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}32{.}110$  And if we look at the baseline change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:32.110 \longrightarrow 01:07:33.965$  Over that trial it is not changing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:07:33.970 \longrightarrow 01:07:35.825$  so it can't explain all of it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:35.830 --> 01:07:36.571 Like I understand,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:36.571 --> 01:07:38.922 I do agree that there is a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}07{:}38{.}922 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}40{.}602$  baseline stuff that's this where we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:07:40.602 \rightarrow 01:07:43.029$  are like kind of taking out here that I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:07:43.029 \rightarrow 01:07:44.826$  think does paint a more complex picture,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:44.826 --> 01:07:46.965 but I think for a lot of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:46.965 --> 01:07:48.340 things that would say OK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:07:48.340 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.930$  this is definitely not our PE.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:49.930 --> 01:07:51.562 The baseline is not the factor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:51.562 --> 01:07:52.860 that's driving all of it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- 01:07:52.860 --> 01:07:54.390 but I think that's something actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}07{:}54{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}55{.}714$  that people should be thinking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:07:55.714 \rightarrow 01:07:57.268$  about in these papers and everyone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01{:}07{:}57{.}268 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}58{.}928$  does imaging now and they're all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758
- $01:07:58.928 \rightarrow 01:08:00.040$  doing everything is baseline,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:00.040 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.415$  But there's like slower changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:01.415 \longrightarrow 01:08:03.229$  that are totally left out of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:03.230 \rightarrow 01:08:04.904$  They're going to change the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}08{:}04{.}904 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06{.}020$  you interpret the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}08{:}06{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}07{.}956$  But that's I mean it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:07.960 \longrightarrow 01:08:09.430$  It will look into this more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:09.430 \longrightarrow 01:08:10.880$  'cause I think maybe it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:10.880 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.926$  All of that is totally reasonable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}08{:}12.930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}14.722$  Explanation and it might be like nice at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01{:}08{:}14.722 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}16.376$  a different synapses and the same area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

 $01:08:16.380 \longrightarrow 01:08:17.990$  and so like how to parse those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695758

01:08:17.990 --> 01:08:18.910 I think it's important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:21.240 \longrightarrow 01:08:23.114$  Last question from Rick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}23.114 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}24.660$  The outstanding thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}26.585$  Very much so this is I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:26.585 \rightarrow 01:08:28.298$  sure exactly this question,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:08:28.300 \longrightarrow 01:08:30.280$  but since there's so much regulation

 $01{:}08{:}30{.}280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}32{.}576$  and release at terminals Strike and dip

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:08:32.576 --> 01:08:34.412 compared to mean cell body activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}34{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}36{.}346$  do you think that other neurotransmitters

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}36{.}346 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}37{.}636$  responsible for the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}37.636 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}39.216$  components of your learning model

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}39{.}216 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}41{.}100$  and like dopamine release the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:41.163 \rightarrow 01:08:42.792$  result and integration of those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:42.792 \longrightarrow 01:08:44.726$  And can you speak this one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:44.726 \longrightarrow 01:08:47.296$  I can think of right off the bat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:08:47.300 \longrightarrow 01:08:49.498$  So one thing we think is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}49{.}498 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}51{.}508$  important for this is a cetylcholine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}51{.}508 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}53{.}516$  regulation of dopamine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}53{.}520$  -->  $01{:}08{:}55{.}806$  We we have started to do some of this NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}55{.}806 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}58{.}145$  where we're starting on the slice level

 $01:08:58.145 \rightarrow 01:08:59.997$  trying to workout these parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}08{:}59{.}997 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}02{.}212$  because it's like calling regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:02.212 \rightarrow 01:09:04.318$  of domain releases actually really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:04.318 \rightarrow 01:09:05.958$  kind of cool regulatory mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}09{:}05{.}958 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}08{.}792$  because it's one of the few that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:08.792 \rightarrow 01:09:10.617$  robustly releases domain from terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:10.620 --> 01:09:12.720 totally independent of the semantic activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:12.720 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.037$  And you can even get this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}09{:}15{.}037 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}16{.}550$  isolated terminals and slices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:16.550 \rightarrow 01:09:19.350$  And so we think that there is probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:19.350 --> 01:09:21.090 you know, maybe it's the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:21.090 \rightarrow 01:09:22.534$  Maybe it's both questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:22.534 --> 01:09:23.978 Maybe Domain was released.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:23.980 --> 01:09:25.790 In response to RP signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}09{:}25.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}27.230$  but also attentional signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:09:27.230 \rightarrow 01:09:29.030$  that are coming from these,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:29.030 \rightarrow 01:09:31.790$  maybe like that's the first thing I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}09{:}31.790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}34.090$  of a cetylcholine is like attention arousal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:34.090 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.534$  but here's the thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:35.534 \rightarrow 01:09:36.978$  Those are all integrated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:36.980 \rightarrow 01:09:39.140$  What the domain signature finally is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}09{:}39{.}140 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}41{.}373$  and so I think that it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:41.373 \rightarrow 01:09:43.470$  probably both in some contexts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:43.470 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.859$  right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:43.859 \rightarrow 01:09:45.415$  It's probably both acetylcholine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:45.415 \dashrightarrow 01:09:47.360$  regulated domain release and RPE
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}09{:}47{.}418 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}49{.}093$  regulated cymatic activity that leads
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}09{:}49{.}093 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}51{.}419$  to this kind of saliency based term.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:51.420 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.220$  One thing I'll tell you some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:53.220 \longrightarrow 01:09:55.889$  ants about it as we see pretty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:09:55.889 \rightarrow 01:09:57.290$  interesting sex differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:57.290 --> 01:09:58.385 In terminal regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:09:58.385 --> 01:10:00.210 mechanisms through the A4 beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:00.210 --> 01:10:01.670 two containing nicotinic receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:01.670 \longrightarrow 01:10:03.495$  that are on those terminals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:03.500 --> 01:10:06.100 and So what we're trying to do first

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}06{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}08{.}627$  is outline the mechanism or how this NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}08.627 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}10.895$  is different and then take that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}10{.}895 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}13.660$  model into this and say do those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}14.841$  differences predict differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}14.841 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}16.405$  in these learning parameters

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01{:}10{:}16{.}405 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}18{.}829$  because it's really hard to get it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:18.830 \rightarrow 01:10:20.625$  Don't mean terminal regulation by

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:20.625 --> 01:10:22.420 acetylcholine in vivo because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

01:10:22.475 --> 01:10:24.245 your calling regulates other cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:24.245 \rightarrow 01:10:26.490$  types that also regulate dopamine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123

 $01:10:26.490 \longrightarrow 01:10:28.400$  So it regulates GABA release.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- 01:10:28.400 --> 01:10:30.280 That regulates terminals and so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- 01:10:30.280 --> 01:10:32.835 like without some sort of like biological
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:10:32.835 \rightarrow 01:10:35.149$  system that you know is different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:10:35.150 \longrightarrow 01:10:37.340$  It's really hard to isolate just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}10{:}37{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}39{.}938$  the effects of cetyl choline from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}10{:}39{.}938 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}42{.}593$  the effects of a cetylcholine media
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:10:42.593 \rightarrow 01:10:44.186$  dopamine terminal regulation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:10:44.190 \longrightarrow 01:10:45.490$  I hope tools come along.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}10{:}45{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}46{.}790$  There's like darts and stuff
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01:10:46.790 \longrightarrow 01:10:47.570$  which are a we some.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- $01{:}10{:}47{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}49{.}390$  Those are coming and like we'll see.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- 01:10:49.390 --> 01:10:51.397 But like anyway I'm like this is a that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85778123
- 01:10:51.397 --> 01:10:53.550 a great question I'm excited about so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683
- $01{:}10{:}54.730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}56.802$  Well thank you were coming up to  $11{:}30$
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683
- $01{:}10{:}56{.}802 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}58{.}838$  and we peppered you with questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:10:58.838 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.016$  we really appreciate the great talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01{:}11{:}01{.}081 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}03{.}153$  and the time that you spent with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:11:03.160 --> 01:11:05.281 Thank you to every one for your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:11:05.281 --> 01:11:07.718 and we hope to hear more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:07.718 \longrightarrow 01:11:09.480$  next steps in the research. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:09.480 \longrightarrow 01:11:10.980$  thank you guys so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

 $01:11:10.980 \longrightarrow 01:11:12.490$  These questions were a we some man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:11:12.490 --> 01:11:13.995 I had a great time

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:11:13.995 --> 01:11:15.199 chatting with every body so

NOTE Confidence: 0.87011683

01:11:15.200 --> 01:11:16.607 thanks. Thanks Erin.