WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:52:07" NOTE recognizability:0.836

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.836$ And welcome to the grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}00{:}01.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}03.985$ that's sponsored by the Division of

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}00{:}03.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.725$ Women's Behavioral Health Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:05.730 --> 00:00:07.518 The Department of Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:07.518 --> 00:00:09.306 I am Carolyn Missouri.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.770$ As the director of the division,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.834$ it's a pleasure to welcome members

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:13.834 --> 00:00:15.970 of the Department of Psychiatry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.110$ some of whom we've said

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:17.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.022$ hello to this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}00{:}18.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.320 \ {\rm Harvey, \ Heathers,}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}00{:}20.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.595$ and it's a pleasure to also welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:22.595 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.549$ colleagues from other key departments,

 $00:00:24.550 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.205$ both across the medical school

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}00{:}26.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.529$ and across the university,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:27.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.490$ as well as collaborators that we have in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.508$ a variety of settings clinical settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.920$ Search settings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.854$ The division is designed to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:36.854 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.248$ together investigators who study the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:39.248 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.384$ health of women and the influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:41.384 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.863$ of sex and gender on mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:43.863 --> 00:00:46.088 health and on the intersection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}00{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.796$ Of mental health with a wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:48.796 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.600$ variety of other disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:00:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.162$ We also have the opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:53.162 --> 00:00:54.443 invite outstanding scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:54.443 --> 00:00:56.537 leaders to speak with us about

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:00:56.537 --> 00:00:58.142 critical issues in the field.

 $00{:}00{:}58.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.810$ And so it's my privilege to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.961$ today's grand round speaker who is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:03.961 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.897$ outstanding and accomplished researcher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.688$ At a translational epidemiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.330$ She focuses on understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:01:12.330 --> 00:01:14.442 etiology and epidemiology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:14.442 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.300$ neurodegenerative and aging related

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.405$ diseases and sex specific differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}01{:}18.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.249$ as well as gender differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:21.249 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.430$ risk and progression of these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:01:25.430 --> 00:01:26.774 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}01{:}26.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.462$ Doctor Michelle Milky focuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}01{:}29.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.478$ on identifying biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.819$ For accelerated aging and for the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.445$ prediction,

 $00:01:35.445 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.570$ and progression of Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:01:38.570 --> 00:01:40.635 And she does this really for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:40.635 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.030$ purpose of enhancing precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.814$ medicine initiatives and providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:43.814 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.460$ better care for both women and men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:01:47.460 --> 00:01:47.783 Previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}01{:}47.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}49.721$ Doctor Milky was a professor at

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:01:49.721 --> 00:01:51.135 the Department of Quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:01:51.135 --> 00:01:53.090 Health Sciences in the division

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.654$ of Epidemiology and Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}01{:}54.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.552$ of Neurology at the Mayo Clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:56.552 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.470$ College of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:01:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.844$ Today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}01{:}57.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.210$ April 1 marks the start of her new position,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:01.210 --> 00:02:04.306 which is at Wake Forest School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.694$ where she is now the chair of the

 $00:02:06.694 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.140$ Department of Epidemiology and Prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}02{:}09.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.420$ As well as professor of Epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:11.420 --> 00:02:14.020 Gerontology and geriatric medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.320$ and neurology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}02{:}15.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.981$ So you can already tell there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:18.981 --> 00:02:20.550 very significant interdisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:20.628 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.350$ flavor to Michelle's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.440$ Doctor Milky received her bachelor's

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:25.440 --> 00:02:27.112 degree in neuroscience from

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:27.112 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.129$ the University of Pittsburgh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.265$ She then went on to Johns Hopkins

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}02{:}31.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.996$ University to the Bloomberg School

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:32.996 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.642$ Public Health where she received her pH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}02{:}35.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.146$ D and she did a postdoctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:38.146 --> 00:02:40.167 fellowship in the epidemiology of

00:02:40.167 --> 00:02:43.014 aging and then subsequently was

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}02{:}43.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.578$ awarded elidia against Gillespie

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:45.578 --> 00:02:48.039 Postdoctoral Fellowship in Psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.140$ Among her many achievements

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.715$ in leadership positions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.842$ she is currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:02:52.842 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.086$ I'll just mention the current ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:55.086 --> 00:02:57.619 in which she is very involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}02{:}57.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.854$ the broader scheme of understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:02:59.854 --> 00:03:01.398 Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}03{:}01.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03.404$ Doctor Melki is currently a member

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:03:03.404 --> 00:03:05.323 of the Alzheimer's Drug Discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}03{:}05.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.399$ Foundation Scientific Review Board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.137$ The Alzheimer's Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:09.137 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.453$ International Society to advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:11.453 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.950$ Alzheimer's Research and treatment.

 $00:03:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.298$ The global Biomarker Standardization

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}03{:}16.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.646$ Consortium and the standardization

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:03:18.646 --> 00:03:21.049 of Alzheimer's blood biomarkers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:03:21.050 --> 00:03:24.386 She's also on the CDC's World Trade Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:24.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.510$ Cognitive aging and impairment

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.100$ scientific working group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.580$ And finally, one other example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.198$ She's one of the members of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:33.198 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.139$ External Advisory Board for Brigham

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}03{:}35.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.788$ Harvard's NIH funded U 54 score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00:03:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.358$ which studies neural processing of stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

00:03:41.360 --> 00:03:43.715 which is strongly linked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.921264905

 $00{:}03{:}43.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.070$ health outcomes in aging women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00{:}03{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.855$ She also served on many NIH as

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:03:47.855 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.325$ well as FDA and DoD review panels

 $00:03:50.325 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.965$ focusing on Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00{:}03{:}51.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.310$ Research has done extensive mentoring in

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:03:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.647$ this area with a large number of students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:03:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.876$ And she has provided service on

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:03:59.876 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.850$ a variety of editorial board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.222$ She's a member of the editorial board

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:03.222 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.211$ of the journal, Neurology and senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:05.211 --> 00:04:07.546 editor of Alzheimer's and dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.182$ the Journal of Alzheimer's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:10.182 --> 00:04:12.156 the Alzheimer's Association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:12.160 --> 00:04:12.569 Consistently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:12.569 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.614$ she's been funded throughout her

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:14.614 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.600$ career with multiple oral ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8057977961111111

 $00:04:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.876$ You owe one RF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:17.876 --> 00:04:20.398 one NIH grants on topics such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00{:}04{:}20.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.542$ reproductive risk factors for

00:04:22.542 --> 00:04:24.188 Alzheimer's disease, dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:24.188 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.460$ and pathology, and sex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.245$ Specific effects of endocrine disruption

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:29.245 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.030$ on aging and Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:32.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.330$ Most notably to me, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:34.330 --> 00:04:36.002 beyond her many achievements

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:36.002 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.838$ and contributions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.910$ is the quality of her work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.150$ As well as the strength of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:41.150 --> 00:04:42.649 commitment in informing our view

NOTE Confidence: 0.8057977961111111

 $00:04:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.107$ on the influence of sex and gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

00:04:45.107 --> 00:04:47.150 on human health and behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8057977961111111

 $00:04:47.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.250$ we're thrilled to have doctor Milky

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.534$ here today to talk about sex and gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:52.534 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.350$ differences in Alzheimer's disease.

00:04:54.350 --> 00:04:57.402 Epidemiology, risk factors, genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00{:}04{:}57.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.738$ brain structure and pathologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:04:59.738 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.366$ And with that, I turn it over to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805797796111111

 $00:05:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.080$ Doctor milk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.030$ Well, thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.310$ Doctor Missouri really appreciate that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.350$ The wonderful opportunity to present

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.290$ with you to you today and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00{:}05{:}14.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}16.228$ I, I apologize. As you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.350$ it's my first day and so we're we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.008$ hiring out a lot of the kings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.920$ It is my background too loud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.946$ No, you're good. I'm good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

00:05:24.946 --> 00:05:27.627 OK, alright great so hopefully there won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:27.627 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.656$ be any more interruptions and and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.730$ I apologize.

 $00{:}05{:}32.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.900$ So yes, I'm I'm really excited to

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00{:}05{:}34.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.160$ talk with you today and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.735$ talk after this as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.840$ Focus today will be on sex and

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.440$ gender differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

00:05:41.440 --> 00:05:43.858 but as Doctor Missouri had mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.652$ I do do quite a bit with blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:45.652 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.100$ based biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

00:05:46.100 --> 00:05:48.100 which I'm not going to talk about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00{:}05{:}48.100 --> 00{:}05{:}49.850$ but I'm happy to have a call

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:49.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.215$ with anybody to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.815164174

 $00:05:51.215 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.877$ those down the road as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94192168

 $00{:}05{:}58.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.970$ And these are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}06{:}02.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.539$ So what I thought I would do today was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:05.539 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.691$ to give more of a a general outline

 $00:06:07.691 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.528$ covering a variety of topics and ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}06{:}09.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.026$ in which we need to think about sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:12.026 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.816$ and gender differences in Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:13.816 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.971$ disease and related dementias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:15.971 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.553$ From incidents to understanding of brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:06:19.553 --> 00:06:21.276 structure and neuropathology's genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:21.276 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.664$ as well as risk factors including

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:23.664 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.949$ both sex differences in the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}06{:}25.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28.091$ factors and sex specific risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.524$ And as you, I'm sure all can understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:31.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.688$ You know, once you start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:32.688 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.750$ dive deeper in this topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.468$ you realize that there are a lot of areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}06{:}36.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.495$ a lot of gaps, a lot of things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:38.495 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.958$ are not yet understood and, and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:06:40.958 --> 00:06:43.730 I hope to put forward that the

 $00:06:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.506$ appreciation of that today and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:46.506 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.696$ need for much additional research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:49.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.382$ So the first thing I'm going to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:06:52.382 --> 00:06:55.480 with is are women affected by a D more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.024$ than men and or women are at greater risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:06:59.030 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.014$ A lot of times we hear through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:02.014 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.070$ media as well as some of the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:07:05.070 --> 00:07:06.902 Newspapers, New York Times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:06.902 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.818$ Washington Post.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:07.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.420$ Variety of others that women are at greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.943$ risk and sometimes I've even heard that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:12.943 \dashrightarrow 00:07:15.820$ Alzheimer's disease is is a woman's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}07{:}15.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.726$ But I think when you start to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:19.726 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.876$ the numbers and also how you define risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.556$ this is a little bit questionable.

 $00:07:25.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.890$ So if we start to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:26.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.019$ the frequency of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.600$ so that's the the count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.959$ The number of people with a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.114$ It's absolutely true that more women can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:07:35.114 --> 00:07:37.376 a clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.250$ and this graph here comes from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:07:40.250 --> 00:07:41.860 Alzheimer's Association awhile back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}07{:}41.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.670$ but it generally has maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:07:44.670 --> 00:07:46.800 throughout the past ten years that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}07{:}46.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.600$ about 2/3 of those with the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.780$ of Alzheimer's disease are women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:07:51.780 --> 00:07:52.181 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:52.181 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.186$ when we think of frequency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:07:54.190 --> 00:07:56.800 essentially any aging related disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:07:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.808$ there's more women than men at older ages.

 $00:07:59.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.127$ And so there's going to be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}08{:}02.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.264$ women than men with most chronic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:05.264 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.799$ conditions and aging related diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.284$ So what about when we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:11.284 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.526$ about the prevalence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:12.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.738$ So this is a graph that was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:16.738 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.800$ in The Lancet by the GBS 2016

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.800$ Dementia Consortium and I just want

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.742$ to highlight here that women are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:25.742 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.594$ blue and men are in red and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:08:28.594 --> 00:08:30.229 is looking at Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}08{:}30.229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.759$ as well as other related dementias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}08{:}32.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.432$ And there is a higher prevalence so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:08:35.432 --> 00:08:37.875 number of women for example with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:08:37.875 --> 00:08:40.390 disease divided by in a certain age

 $00:08:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.987$ group divided by the number of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

00:08:42.990 --> 00:08:44.206 In that age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:44.206 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.660$ So when we take that into account,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.560$ there is slightly higher prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.080$ for women than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.388$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00{:}08{:}50.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.852$ there's a lot of overlap and and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321223075

 $00:08:52.852 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.040$ it's not statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

 $00{:}08{:}57.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.649$ So then the next question then is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

 $00:08:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.950$ are women at greater risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

 $00:09:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.434$ Do they have a greater incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

00:09:03.434 --> 00:09:05.090 of developing Alzheimer's disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

 $00:09:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.706$ And what's really interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

 $00{:}09{:}07.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.362$ when you start to look at various

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

00:09:10.362 --> 00:09:12.186 countries and regions of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8438418

 $00:09:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.620$ we start to see different answers.

 $00:09:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.330$ So if we look at the top of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.314$ This is kind of covering this up

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00{:}09{:}20.314 --> 00{:}09{:}22.576$ it so I I think it's a stock home,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:09:22.580 --> 00:09:25.622 the UK, Southwest France as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:25.622 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.682$ as the pooled eurodam data we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:28.682 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.649$ do see that there is a greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:09:30.649 --> 00:09:32.577 incidence for women compared to men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.632$ and this generally starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:34.632 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.197$ around the age of 85.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.640$ However, when we look at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.980$ studies in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.846$ including the Framingham Heart study as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:41.846 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.041$ well as some early work that was done in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:09:45.041 --> 00:09:46.900 Rochester Epidemiology project in Rochester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.644$ MN, we don't see it a sex difference.

00:09:50.650 --> 00:09:52.852 Now, you'll probably notice right away

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:52.852 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.558$ that this paper was published in 2002,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:09:55.558 --> 00:09:58.414 and there's been a lot of additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:09:58.414 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.599$ epidemiological studies in the past 20 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00{:}10{:}00.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.344$ I I'd like to show this because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:03.344 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.784$ does split it out by country and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:05.784 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.699$ and show some of these differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.800$ But for the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.760$ of studies since 2002.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:13.760 --> 00:10:15.148 Particularly in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00{:}10{:}15.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.101$ we do not see sex differences in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:18.101 --> 00:10:20.507 of the incidence of Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.808$ and these are just some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:22.808 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.810$ the studies listed down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.498$ The only one that I'm aware of in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:26.498 --> 00:10:28.300 the US is Cache County study of

00:10:28.300 --> 00:10:30.050 memory and aging in Cache County,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125 00:10:30.050 --> 00:10:30.576 Utah, NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:30.576 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.732$ which did identify a greater incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:33.732 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.630$ for women after the age of 85.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125 00:10:36.630 --> 00:10:37.037 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:37.037 --> 00:10:39.886 the 90 plus study recently in California

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:39.886 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.558$ had did not find that difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.190$ When we look at systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:45.190 --> 00:10:47.294 reviews and meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.844$ there was one that was funded by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:49.844 --> 00:10:51.279 Canadian government feast at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00{:}10{:}51.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.004$ which is a compilation of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:54.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.820$ than 20 different studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:10:55.820 --> 00:10:58.220 The vast majority of them in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:10:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.428$ North America and again for both

00:11:00.428 --> 00:11:01.836 the prevalence and incidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:11:01.840 --> 00:11:03.737 They did find a trend for women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:11:03.740 --> 00:11:05.840 but it wasn't statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:11:05.840 --> 00:11:07.940 significant and by statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:11:07.940 --> 00:11:10.658 significant the P values were about .6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:11:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.725$ so it's it wasn't even that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:11:12.725 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.020$ it was necessarily close.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:11:14.020 --> 00:11:14.828 But interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00{:}11{:}14.828 \to 00{:}11{:}17.656$ roughly a year after this was funded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:11:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.236$ or this was published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

00:11:19.236 --> 00:11:21.206 there was another meta analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:11:21.206 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.878$ completely of European studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:11:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.917$ And again they did show that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00{:}11{:}24.917 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}11{:}26.584$ was a higher incidence overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.7290100603125

 $00:11:26.584 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.768$ for women as compared to men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:11:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.620$ So what about trends overtime?

00:11:34.620 --> 00:11:36.335 Here we're showing the cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00{:}11{:}36.335 \to 00{:}11{:}38.540$ function and aging studies one and two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:11:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.820$ So the first incident wave was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

00:11:40.820 --> 00:11:44.920 between 1989 and 19, roughly 92,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:11:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.464$ and the 2nd incidence wave was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

00:11:47.464 --> 00:11:50.402 between 20 or 2008 and 2011,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

00:11:50.402 --> 00:11:53.172 and there's some some interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00{:}11{:}53.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.130$ findings in the United Kingdom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00{:}11{:}56.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.506$ Man on the left and women on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00{:}11{:}58.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.534$ And of course the first wave is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:00.534 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.630$ blue and the 2nd wave is in purple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.485$ And what we can see to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00{:}12{:}04.485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.438$ with was that the incidence was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00{:}12{:}06.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.622$ actually higher in men than women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:08.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.606$ During this first wave.

00:12:10.606 --> 00:12:11.576 However, interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

00:12:11.576 --> 00:12:13.480 about 20 years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.951$ during the second wave there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:15.951 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.153$ a large decrease in the incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:18.153 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.394$ of dementia for men and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

00:12:20.394 --> 00:12:22.429 increase or maintenance for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

00:12:22.430 --> 00:12:23.965 And So what happened subsequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:23.965 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.825$ was that the incidence for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.95060555

 $00:12:25.825 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.445$ ended up being higher than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

 $00:12:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.518$ Now when we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

 $00:12:32.518 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.150$ Framingham Heart study here again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

 $00:12:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.250$ we do find that the trends for

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

 $00{:}12{:}36.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.452$ dementia and this is all caused

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

00:12:38.452 --> 00:12:39.920 dementia have decreased overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

 $00:12:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.480$ but the decrease has been much sooner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.964429866666667

 $00:12:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.666$ much greater in women compared to men.

00:12:47.570 --> 00:12:50.378 So I I just showed you a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:12:50.378 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.130$ discrepancies, a lot of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:12:53.130 --> 00:12:55.650 For me. This is actually really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:12:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.248$ because as an epidemiologist it suggests

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:12:58.248 --> 00:13:01.134 that if we're seeing differences by

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:01.134 --> 00:13:03.818 countries or even by regions of countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:03.820 --> 00:13:06.520 Potentially modifiable risk factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.220$ and possibilities to intervene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}13{:}09.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.278$ and so I get really excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:11.278 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.251$ this and and thinking about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:13.251 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.297$ some of the causes might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.226$ and whether there are some biases

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:17.226 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.794$ that may play a role in interpreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.406$ some of these results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.842$ So I mean, one thing we we do

 $00:13:23.842 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.420$ have to keep in mind is that from

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}13{:}26.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.100$ the studies that I did show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.660$ there was a sex difference in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:29.660 --> 00:13:30.605 particularly in Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:30.605 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.240$ it tends to be after the age of 80,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.164$ and by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:34.164 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.470$ Point in time nobody is going to have peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:37.470 --> 00:13:39.618 Alzheimer's disease dementia anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:39.620 --> 00:13:41.699 It's going to generally be mixed pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:41.700 --> 00:13:43.416 so you might have vascular dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:13:43.420 --> 00:13:45.664 Lewy bodies, Alzheimer's pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:45.664 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.152$ TDP 43 and and also general brain aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:50.152 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.224$ that are are being mixed together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.568$ That there is the possibility that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:55.568 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.610$ could be a differential diagnosis by sex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:13:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.506$ and this is something that I I will

00:14:00.506 --> 00:14:02.686 go into a little bit more depth later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.518$ but it does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:03.518 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.174$ It is something that worries me

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:05.174 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.921$ when we see all these headlines

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:06.921 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.670$ of women are at greater risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:14:08.670 --> 00:14:10.770 Melissa Murray from Mayo Clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:14:10.770 --> 00:14:12.445 Jacksonville had published a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}14{:}12.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.867$ where they looked in the Florida brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:14.867 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.290$ bank and about 3000 different brains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.954$ And they compared both the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:19.954 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.480$ diagnosis and the pathological diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:14:22.480 --> 00:14:23.666 And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}14{:}23.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.631$ they found for men generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:26.631 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.709$ between the ages of 60 and 70.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:14:29.710 --> 00:14:32.722 There were men were more likely

00:14:32.722 --> 00:14:34.814 to have Alzheimer's pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:34.814 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.998$ but to have a different dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:37.998 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.806$ diagnosis so they they weren't clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}14{:}40.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.154$ diagnosed as Alzheimer's disease despite

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:43.154 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.410$ the theology and then at older ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:45.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.074$ there were generally past the age of 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:48.074 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.100$ that tend to be is like over clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:14:51.100 --> 00:14:53.170 diagnosis for women compared to men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.794$ and so women were a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:55.794 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.279$ automatically determined to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}14{:}57.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58.428$ Alzheimer's disease clinically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.180$ even though pathologically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:14:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.930$ That may have not been the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:00.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.577$ or it was a very mixed apology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:15:04.580 --> 00:15:06.540 And then you know lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.078$ and I think a very important

 $00:15:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.684$ consideration here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.194$ as we're looking at these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.830$ is that these sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.828$ across the regions of the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:14.828 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.658$ is that there are many social,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:15:16.660 --> 00:15:17.185 cultural,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:17.185 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.860$ and historical events that have taken place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.000$ Certainly the women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}22.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.280$ for example in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}24.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.400$ experience World War Two much

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}26.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.520$ differently than those in Europe

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:15:28.595 --> 00:15:30.460 did similarly to the Cold War.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}30.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.370$ And I really like to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:33.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.825$ with Walter Rocha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.918$ Who's at mail clinic as well?

00:15:36.920 --> 00:15:39.472 His family grew up in Italy and hearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}39.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.704$ about kind of Faustus was regime and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:41.704 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.049$ the effect and the the stress and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:44.049 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.293$ and implications on that on disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.628$ And certainly that plays a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}48.628 \longrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.263$ for women and men right now that

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}51.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.429$ are at greatest risk of dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00:15:53.429 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.068$ and older age ranges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

 $00{:}15{:}55.070 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}57.056$ So a question is whether some

NOTE Confidence: 0.841066002

00:15:57.056 --> 00:15:58.380 of these European countries

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00{:}15{:}58.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.401$ will see similar sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:00.401 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.577$ in future generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.008$ And we also have to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00{:}16{:}03.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.990$ other countries and regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:03.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.170$ There's a variety of countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.180$ They're going through stressful situations.

 $00:16:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.030$ Wars, variety of other things as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.336$ And in addition, there are one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00{:}16{:}16.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.770$ the things we're thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.755$ These country differences is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00{:}16{:}19.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.740$ there are social cultural factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:21.803 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.060$ that the impact of gender that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

00:16:24.060 --> 00:16:26.080 particularly affect risk and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:26.152 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.546$ differ across regions of the world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

00:16:28.550 --> 00:16:30.566 but that also differ, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.742$ within the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:31.742 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.500$ So we think about North versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.852782003888889

 $00:16:33.554 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.019$ South in terms of education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}16{:}37.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.580$ So as we're thinking about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:16:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.900$ gender differences historically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:16:41.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.060$ Women have had less access to

 $00:16:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.500$ education compared to men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}16{:}45.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.660$ and as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:16:46.660 --> 00:16:48.758 this does vary by different or does

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}16{:}48.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.264$ differ by country as well as culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:16:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.790$ but also region within the USI know

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:16:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.670$ some states women were able to get high

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:16:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.460$ school education sooner than other states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:16:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.076$ There was a nice study that was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}17{:}02.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.802$ published in Lancet public health

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:03.802 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.313$ by Bloomberg ET al using two studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}17{:}06.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.300$ from England consisting of over $15{,}000$

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.362$ participants born between 1930 and 1955

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:17:12.362 --> 00:17:15.596 who had over 19 years of follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.288$ and they found that there have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:18.288 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.080$ been significant trends in

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.474$ memory performance overtime.

00:17:22.474 --> 00:17:24.070 So historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:17:24.070 --> 00:17:26.005 women tended to perform better

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:26.005 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.166$ on verbal memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:17:27.170 --> 00:17:30.150 which is generally well known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.785$ but the performance was much

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:31.785 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.843$ better for those that were later

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:33.843 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.628$ born compared to earlier born.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}17{:}35.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.162$ And the memory decline was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:17:38.162 --> 00:17:41.284 faster in men versus women after

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:41.284 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.996$ considering these educational differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.580$ So these results further suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}17{:}45.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.652$ a role of education and secular

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00{:}17{:}47.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.797$ changes in education in determining

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:49.797 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.513$ cognitive performance and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:17:51.520 --> 00:17:52.268 And it, you know,

00:17:52.268 --> 00:17:54.060 as we think about in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.830$ where now there are more women

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.959$ than men in four year colleges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:17:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.272$ it will be interesting to see how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:18:00.272 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.226$ might affect the sex differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

00:18:02.226 --> 00:18:04.200 terms of the incidence of dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:18:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.385$ particularly Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.835042964444444

 $00:18:05.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.570$ down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:08.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.700$ Another aspect that is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:18:10.700 --> 00:18:12.132 really important to consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.185$ but until recently there have

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:14.185 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.720$ been a few studies on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.646$ Is the effect of work and family

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:19.646 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.229$ experience on subsequent risk of dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:18:22.230 --> 00:18:24.710 And made it all and made a colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.298$ using the health Retirement Study examined

00:18:27.298 --> 00:18:30.148 the life course patterns of employment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.590$ marriage and child bearing between

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.210$ the ages of 16 and 50 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:34.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.585$ And then memory decline after the age of 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.411$ And overall what they found was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:40.411 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.920$ women who worked outside the home

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:18:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.768$ had less decline after the age of 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00{:}18{:}45.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.690$ Whether it's memory decline or

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:18:47.690 --> 00:18:50.488 or even global cognitive decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889 00:18:50.488 --> 00:18:51.840 Now this. NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:18:51.840 --> 00:18:53.946 It impact was regardless of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00{:}18{:}53.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.470$ women took time off to have their

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00{:}18{:}56.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.927$ children and went back into IT workforce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:18:58.930 --> 00:19:01.178 Some women took up to 20 years off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:19:01.180 --> 00:19:03.637 went back and and still had benefits,

 $00:19:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.504$ and it was also regardless of marital status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00{:}19{:}06.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.540$ so there there was some concern that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.803$ those women who were single that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:19:10.803 --> 00:19:12.473 also raising families and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:12.473 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.707$ that would result in more stress and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:19:14.707 --> 00:19:16.243 more negative impact on cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:16.243 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.061$ But that actually was not found

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:18.061 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.320$ to be the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:19:19.320 --> 00:19:20.439 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:19:20.439 --> 00:19:22.677 you know in terms of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00{:}19{:}22.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.000$ culture and gender experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

00:19:25.000 --> 00:19:27.856 gender roles these are changing over

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.667$ time and the impact of those on

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.956$ cognitive decline and and risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.934070618888889

 $00:19:32.956 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.266$ dementia still need to be examined further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:19:37.670 --> 00:19:40.196 So I I've given you, you know,

00:19:40.196 --> 00:19:42.044 obviously it's not a straight answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:19:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.709$ Are women at greater risk than men?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:19:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.850$ There does appear to be a lot of caveats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:19:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.860$ I have been asked multiple times

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:19:49.860 --> 00:19:52.828 that if women are not at greater risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:19:52.828 --> 00:19:54.768 of Alzheimer's disease than men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:19:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.338$ why do we have to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:19:56.338 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.740$ sex and gender differences?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:19:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.068$ And this you know particularly bothers

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}00.068 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}20{:}02.659$ me because you shouldn't have to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:02.659 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.615$ a greater prevalence or incidence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:04.615 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.705$ one sex forces another in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}06.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.004$ look at sex and gender differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}09.004 --> 00{:}20{:}11.914$ I mean, if we take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.172$ We take cardiovascular disease,

 $00:20:14.172 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.298$ for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.845$ Cardiovascular disease is the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:16.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.839$ one killer for both women and men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.760$ but we know that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.720$ different risk factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.285$ There are differences in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:20:24.285 --> 00:20:26.337 of morbidity and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.280$ There are differences in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}28.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.816$ of heart attack symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:29.816 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.726$ There are differences in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:20:31.726 --> 00:20:33.319 of response to treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}33.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}35.126$ and so even if the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:35.126 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.700$ and incidence is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.572$ there still are a lot of other factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:20:38.572 --> 00:20:40.269 that we need to think about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:40.269 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.110$ terms of sex and gender differences.

 $00:20:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.302$ Both for the incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}44.302 \to 00{:}20{:}47.042$ prevalence and treatment of Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:47.042 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.369$ disease and related dementias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

00:20:49.370 --> 00:20:51.278 So I'm next going to transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:51.278 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.310$ to talking about what some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.710$ these differences might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.223$ and so one is potential sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00{:}20{:}57.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.502$ in brain structure as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.935304768

 $00:20:59.502 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.397$ different types of neural pathologies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}03.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.230$ So it is well known that men have a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}06.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.698$ head size and through volume than women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}08.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.046$ and this has historically been put

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}11.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.798$ forth as women having a smaller brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.792$ Therefore, they're more susceptible

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

00:21:15.792 --> 00:21:18.282 to Alzheimer's disease and other

00:21:18.282 --> 00:21:21.080 types of of dementia, but really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}21.080 \rightarrow 00{:}21{:}23.520$ among cognitively normal individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.248$ men have greater age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

00:21:25.248 --> 00:21:26.976 associated brain volume decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.328$ as compared to women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}28.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.760$ There are also some differences in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.818$ Gray and white matter percentages such

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

00:21:32.818 --> 00:21:34.836 that women have a higher percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:34.836 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.650$ of brain matter and men tend to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:37.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.630$ higher higher percentage of white matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00{:}21{:}39.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.335$ However, how these differences contribute

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

00:21:42.335 --> 00:21:45.040 to susceptibility of dementia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.859801465294118

 $00:21:45.117 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.499$ dementia types are not yet clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:21:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.560$ To further highlight some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:21:51.560 --> 00:21:54.360 sex differences, I I'd like to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:21:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.090$ this particular study by Kotani ET al.

 $00:21:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.114$ Looking at language lateralization so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:00.114 --> 00:22:04.070 they brought in a group of men and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.085$ looking to understand whether language

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:07.085 --> 00:22:10.792 lateralization tended to be at strong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:10.792 --> 00:22:13.648 left, or bilateral, and in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:13.648 --> 00:22:15.484 what they thought or what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:15.484 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.149$ found among all individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.662$ Was that about 2/3?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:18.662 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.020$ Had a strong left lateral isation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.835$ about 20% either had bilateral with left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:25.840 --> 00:22:28.268 predominant or bilateral symmetrical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:28.268 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.303$ both right and left lateralization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00{:}22{:}31.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.566$ But what was interesting is when they met,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.878$ then looked at sex differences so men

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:36.878 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.550$ are in blue and women are in pink.

 $00:22:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.154$ They found that men were primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:42.154 --> 00:22:43.890 strong left lateral isation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.515$ whereas women were pretty much split equally

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:46.515 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.699$ between these three different groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.526$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

00:22:49.526 --> 00:22:52.004 how this might predispose women versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:52.004 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.938$ men to certain types of dementias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.468$ such as primary progressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00{:}22{:}56.468 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}22{:}58.378$ aphasia is not understood yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:22:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.242$ but could be a reason for some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7981560725

 $00:23:00.242 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.569$ of these differences or risks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:03.870 --> 00:23:07.086 In terms of biomarkers of amyloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.710$ there are really no consistent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.038$ consistently reported sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:12.038 --> 00:23:16.457 in amyloid pet CSF amyloid beta 42 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:16.457 --> 00:23:18.983 even blood amyloid beta 42 levels.

 $00:23:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.930$ However, there have recently been

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.870$ a couple studies that suggest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:22.870 --> 00:23:27.028 for a given CSF amyloid beta level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:27.030 --> 00:23:29.808 women have greater declines in memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:29.808 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.229$ and hippocampal volume that men do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:32.230 --> 00:23:35.296 It may also have a greater increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:35.296 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.870$ in CSFP tell now again the results

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00{:}23{:}37.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.499$ are not consistent and I I can say

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

00:23:40.499 --> 00:23:42.844 within the Mayo Clinic study of Aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00{:}23{:}42.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.027$ We do not find that pattern either,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.238$ but it could depend on the samples that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:47.238 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.214$ are used and it's certainly something

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00{:}23{:}49.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}51.829$ to consider because if that is the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.014$ this could have an effect on cut points

NOTE Confidence: 0.884554223333333

 $00:23:54.014 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.321$ and there could be a need for sex

 $00:23:56.321 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.239$ specific cutpoints in terms of prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.960$ Richard Buckley and and colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.820$ as well as several other groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

00:24:04.820 --> 00:24:06.836 have really been looking at sex

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

00:24:06.836 --> 00:24:09.100 differences in terms of Tau pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

00:24:09.100 --> 00:24:11.450 Of course, Tau, being associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.860$ with neurofibrillary tangles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.080$ The other homework pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.745$ of Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.372$ and again here there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:19.372 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.640$ conflicting results what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.980$ He has has suggested was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.384$ for a given level of amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

00:24:25.384 --> 00:24:28.557 women do have Tau in more Tau and

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:28.557 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.047$ some brain regions than men do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.350$ and these are highlighted up here in red

 $00:24:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.750$ such that female have greater levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.700$ Now there's been another study

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.650$ that has not replicated this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.878$ and there's currently an

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:42.878 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.549$ ongoing meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

00:24:44.550 --> 00:24:46.720 Buckley is leading combining a

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.432$ variety of our studies and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

00:24:49.432 --> 00:24:51.030 hopefully by combining and increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00{:}24{:}51.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.082$ the sample size will be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00{:}24{:}53.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.222$ really understand whether there

NOTE Confidence: 0.71135454

 $00:24:54.222 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.059$ is a a sex difference or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:24:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.992$ In addition, there are sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

00:25:01.992 --> 00:25:03.860 in cerebral vascular disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.595$ and so this is a courtesy of my colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

00:25:07.595 --> 00:25:10.699 Prashanti Burberry and has been published,

00:25:10.700 --> 00:25:12.640 and I, I believe neurology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

00:25:12.640 --> 00:25:14.800 but looking within our population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.320$ we find that women have a greater probability

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.278$ of having white matter intensities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

00:25:21.280 --> 00:25:22.868 Hyper intensities across ages

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:22.868 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.250$ and a greater number of white

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00{:}25{:}25.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.539$ matter hyperintensities than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.012$ Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:28.012 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.704$ when we look at subcortical infarcts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:30.704 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.116$ we don't see a sex difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00{:}25{:}33.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.696$ But when we look at cortical infarcts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.350$ there are more cortical infarcts

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.760$ among men than there are among women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.394$ Some further research that I haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:42.394 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.510$ shown here is using DTI and assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.054$ white matter integrity and we do

 $00:25:48.054 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.884$ see less white matter integrity

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:49.884 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.380$ or or more problems in that area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.150$ typically across the age for

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.920$ women as compared to men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00{:}25{:}55.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.738$ But again it it does specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.74323927

 $00:25:57.738 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.950$ depend on the region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:26:01.640 --> 00:26:03.845 So next time I'm going to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:03.845 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.269$ some of the genetic differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}26{:}06.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08.526$ And of course, we all know that Apple

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}26{:}08.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.816$ we for a Leo is the greatest risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:26:10.816 --> 00:26:13.444 factor for us or genetic risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}26{:}13.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.388$ for sporadic Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}26{:}15.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.660$ But interestingly, there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.599$ sex differences in in terms of risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.802$ So here, when the first papers

 $00:26:22.802 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.060$ that were published on this by

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.668$ far and colleagues up here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:26.668 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.129$ we have men and women with two E 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:29.129 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.676$ alleles and down here with one E 4 allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:31.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.713$ And here in the diamonds we can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:26:34.713 --> 00:26:37.285 women have a greater odds of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}26{:}37.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.879$ dementia with two E 4 Leos compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:39.879 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.810$ to men starting around the age of 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:26:42.810 --> 00:26:45.680 And even for one E 4 allele,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.740$ women have a greater odds of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}26{:}49.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.209$ Alzheimer's disease compared to men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.530$ Now there has been a lot more work

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:26:54.530 --> 00:26:57.040 on this and there you know further

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:26:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.584$ in terms of prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:26:58.590 --> 00:27:00.605 So among cognitively normal individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:27:00.605 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.561$ it's also found that women with an E4

 $00:27:03.561 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.612$ allele compared to men are at greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:27:05.675 --> 00:27:07.810 risk of developing mild cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:27:07.810 --> 00:27:10.530 impairment and also progressing from Mayo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:27:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.850$ cognitive impairment to dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:27:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.861$ Now of note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:27:13.861 --> 00:27:16.692 pretty much all of these studies has have

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

00:27:16.692 --> 00:27:19.104 been done on white Caucasian samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}27{:}19.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.512$ and the role of appellee in risk of dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}27{:}22.520 \to 00{:}27{:}24.896$ Non African Americans and some Hispanics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:27:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.320$ depending on origin are appearing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:27:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.710$ be less so whether we would see similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00:27:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.974$ sex differences and those racial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909464476666667

 $00{:}27{:}33.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.050$ ethnic groups is not yet known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}27{:}39.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.224$ Most of the genetic work that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:27:41.224 --> 00:27:43.490 been done for Alzheimer's disease,

 $00:27:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.028$ if they look at sex differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:27:46.030 --> 00:27:48.476 it's been primarily focused on Autozone's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:27:48.476 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.924$ There is very little work to date that

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:27:50.924 --> 00:27:53.184 have focused on the X or Y chromosomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:27:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.248$ and obviously as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:27:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.408$ looking at some of the factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:27:58.408 --> 00:28:00.490 and genes on the X chromosome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.625$ there are several that affect the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:02.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.315$ There are also several that

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:28:04.315 --> 00:28:04.989 affect cardiovascular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.484$ endocrine and immunological

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:06.484 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.476$ function which themselves can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}28{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.520$ Also contribute to Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.872$ and other types of of dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:12.872 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.940$ and so there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.726$ There's certainly a very important need to

00:28:16.726 --> 00:28:20.018 look at some of these X chromosome genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.724$ and even more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:28:21.724 --> 00:28:23.854 or maybe not more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.040$ but to go beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:28:26.040 --> 00:28:29.280 you know women are complex and in that way

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:28:29.280 --> 00:28:32.597 in terms of the role of X inactivation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.978$ where not all of the chromosomes will

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}28{:}35.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.884$ be inactivated for 1X versus the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.350$ But many of them are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.476$ and it's that the randomization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:44.476 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.060$ the X chromosome that is inactivated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.217$ It is independent across a variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}28{:}51.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54.869$ of tissues as well as organs and cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:28:54.870 --> 00:28:58.104 And so how this might play into

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:28:58.104 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.490$ susceptibility of developing

 $00:28:59.569 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.958$ cognitive impairment and dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}29{:}01.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.926$ again is is not well understood and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:04.926 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.510$ really has not been looked at yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.940$ And just I'm going to put this out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:29:09.940 --> 00:29:11.851 This is something that I I saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:11.851 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.060$ a few years back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:13.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.433$ which I've been really intrigued in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:29:15.433 --> 00:29:17.150 I've been encouraging my neuropathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:29:17.150 --> 00:29:19.397 colleagues to take a look at more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.920$ There was a this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.432$ this study here that was done in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:23.432 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.289$ looking at the laterality of X inactivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.234$ And interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:27.234 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.066$ the the red dots indicate paternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:30.066 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.582$ inheritance and the green dots

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:32.582 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.085$ indicate maternal inheritance

 $00:29:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.570$ and what was interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:29:35.570 --> 00:29:37.050 particularly about this figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.950$ is, as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.225$ is that there tend to be laterality

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:40.225 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.691$ in in terms of paternal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:42.691 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.110$ maternal her inheritance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:29:44.110 --> 00:29:47.710 And so whether this is a common aspect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.852$ whether this is unique and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:49.852 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.286$ how this might affect risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}29{:}52.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.158$ cognitive decline and Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

00:29:54.158 --> 00:29:56.030 disease is completely unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00:29:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.794$ But something that absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.914228080833333

 $00{:}29{:}57.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59.558$ needs to be investigated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:02.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.620$ Next thing or more lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:30:04.620 --> 00:30:06.573 I'll talk a little bit more about

 $00:30:06.573 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.744$ sex and gender differences in risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}30{:}08.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.956$ factors for Alzheimer's disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:30:10.956 --> 00:30:13.744 before I dive into just talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:13.744 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.554$ about some of these differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:30:15.560 --> 00:30:18.665 I do want to highlight that we're at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:18.665 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.913$ point where we need to move beyond just

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:20.913 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.863$ saying that there are sex differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}30{:}22.863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.019$ or there are gender differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.020$ But to understand what some

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.020$ of the overall impact is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.280$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.750$ there are four different ways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.340$ in terms of of frequency and effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:35.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.796$ that there can be sex and gender differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.352$ So one or risk factor could have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:40.352 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.499$ same frequency but a different effect.

 $00:30:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.820$ And an example of that is what I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}30{:}44.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.039$ just shown with the Apple E4 allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:47.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.390$ The E4 allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.640$ The frequency is exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.098$ same for men versus women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.417$ but it looks like women with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:30:55.417 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.407 \to 4$ Leo may be at greater risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:30:58.410 --> 00:31:00.810 You can also have a factor that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:00.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.510$ the effect, but a different frequency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.800$ So in terms of education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}31{:}06.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.240$ There's low education is similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}31{:}09.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.680$ associated with risk of dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:11.762 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.437$ for both men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}31{:}13.440 --> 00{:}31{:}15.204$ However, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:31:15.204 --> 00:31:17.409 historically women have had less

 $00:31:17.409 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.610$ access to education and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.760$ there's more women that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.039$ affected by the risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.644$ There can also be factors that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:26.644 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.540$ both different frequencies and effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.528$ and one interesting example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:30.528 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.864$ there is brain trauma and there there's been,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:33.864 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.536$ you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.690$ Generally we think of TBI as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:31:37.690 --> 00:31:40.060 more prevalent among men than women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}31{:}40.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.728$ particularly at younger ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:41.728 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.724$ although many of these studies do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:44.724 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.274$ take into account violence against women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:47.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.924$ But there is more research coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:48.924 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.928$ out of at least college athletics

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00{:}31{:}50.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.053$ and soccer suggesting that women

 $00:31:53.053 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.699$ who sustained concussions actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:54.699 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.577$ have more long term effects on

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

00:31:56.577 --> 00:31:59.420 their brain than men do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:31:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.503$ And then lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:32:00.503 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.308$ there are those factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:32:02.308 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.760$ are restricted to one sex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:32:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.665$ So such as pregnancy for ectomy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.521500302

 $00:32:06.665 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.988$ women and then such as prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.314$ So we were interested in a while back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

00:32:15.320 --> 00:32:17.434 I'm trying to understand what some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:17.434 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.623$ the sex differences in risk factors were

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:19.623 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.465$ for the development of mild cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:21.523 \dashrightarrow 00:32:23.747$ impairment in the Mayo Clinic study of 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

00:32:23.750 --> 00:32:26.336 and this is among Olmsted County

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:26.336 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.129$ residents that were aged 70 and older,

 $00:32:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.209$ and so we looked at factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00{:}32{:}31.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.590$ were equally important for both

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.397$ women and men and then those factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

00:32:35.397 --> 00:32:37.660 that were unique for women or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.312$ And as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:39.312 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.039$ what we saw solo education was a risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

00:32:43.040 --> 00:32:44.768 Memory concerns stroke

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:44.768 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.496$ and atrial fibrillation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.250$ But really among women we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00{:}32{:}50.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52.125$ that midlife cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:32:52.125 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.809$ conditions had a greater risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00{:}32{:}54.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.375$ mild cognitive impairment in women

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

00:32:57.375 --> 00:33:00.426 compared to men and among men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00{:}33{:}00.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.830$ Some Kitty risk factors were obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:33:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.174$ particularly with BMI greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:33:06.174 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.350$ than 30 and those.

 $00:33:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.168$ Of men that were never married

NOTE Confidence: 0.88095397875

 $00:33:10.168 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.380$ or widowed or divorced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:33:13.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.934$ We subsequently start to look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:16.934 --> 00:33:20.238 among earlier ages and I I I I do a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}33{:}20.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.350$ of work with Women's Health and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:23.350 --> 00:33:26.438 A passion of mine, but in Full disclosure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:33:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.135$ both my father in law and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:29.135 --> 00:33:30.960 father had Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}33{:}30.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33.312$ and so I've also been very interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:33:33.312 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.638$ trying to identify risk factors that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:35.638 --> 00:33:39.104 be more specific for men and in mid life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}33{:}39.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.168$ Of course, men have more cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:42.168 --> 00:33:44.336 risk factors than women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:33:44.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.436$ and so I had a postdoc fellow man,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:47.440 --> 00:33:50.871 Hugh, who then went on to examine, well,

 $00:33:50.871 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.679$ men have a higher prevalence of all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}33{:}53.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.450$ cardiovascular risk factors in midlife.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:33:55.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.330$ Does that result in greater cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:33:58.330 --> 00:34:01.968 decline for them over that period of time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.441$ And as this shows, looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:04.441 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.428$ ages of 50 to 69 men had critters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:07.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.310$ factors of cardiovascular risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.434$ hypertension, diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:10.434 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.806$ dyslipidemia as well as congestive heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:13.806 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.789$ failure and coronary artery disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:34:16.790 --> 00:34:17.852 But interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:17.852 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.571$ we did not find a greater effect of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}34{:}22.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.397$ risk factors and conditions on men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.220$ We actually found that even though women

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.188$ were less likely to have these conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.198$ those women that did in midlife had

00:34:32.198 --> 00:34:34.470 more cognitive decline overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}34{:}34.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.020$ And I, I realized that this is is quite busy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:34:38.020 --> 00:34:39.609 Just to Orient you a little bit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.908$ the farther to the left indicates

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.611$ greater cognitive decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:43.611 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.572$ Red is women and the blue green is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:47.572 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.418$ With men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:34:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.018$ we especially saw differences in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:34:52.018 --> 00:34:55.021 language such that women with hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

00:34:55.021 --> 00:34:55.532 dyslipidemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}34{:}55.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.598$ diabetes as well as coronary heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}34{:}58.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.827$ failure all had greater declines

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00{:}35{:}00.827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.101$ on language as well as some

NOTE Confidence: 0.840992008

 $00:35:03.101 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.870$ global cognition and attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.446$ So in addition to looking at.

 $00:35:11.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.508$ At sex, differences in in factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.814$ Of course there are also a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

00:35:15.814 --> 00:35:17.710 sex specific factors to consider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.170$ and so for females this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

00:35:20.170 --> 00:35:21.846 include pregnancy, whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:21.846 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.130$ hypertensive pregnancy disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.314$ number of pregnancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:25.314 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.811$ Gestational diabetes can include menopause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:27.811 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.546$ so this could be early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

00:35:29.546 --> 00:35:31.310 menopause due to pre menopause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00{:}35{:}31.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33.385$ bilateral for ectomy or ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:33.385 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.178$ insufficiency as well as the transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:36.178 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.806$ through menopause and also hormone use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:39.806 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.558$ So contraceptives which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.410$ Have varied in in dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.740$ medications over the last 4050 years,

00:35:47.740 --> 00:35:49.848 menopausal hormone therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00{:}35{:}49.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.483$ also breast cancer treatments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:52.483 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.420$ prevention manage medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:54.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.650 \text{ I I do want to know we have a paper}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:56.721 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.835$ that is is just going to be submitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:35:58.835 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.131$ now led by a postdoc fellow throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

00:36:01.131 --> 00:36:03.885 car from our group looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:03.885 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.960$ effects of Raloxifene and tamoxifen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00{:}36{:}05.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.940$ on both cognition and brain structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.188$ and we did not find any differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

00:36:12.190 --> 00:36:15.018 Or or really, any effect of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:15.018 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.318$ drugs on cognition in our group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00{:}36{:}17.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.329$ For today's purposes I'm I'm not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:19.329 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.200$ to talk anymore about hormone use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.774$ but again,

00:36:21.774 --> 00:36:23.496 I'm happy to answer questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00{:}36{:}23.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.319$ and talk more about that later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

00:36:25.320 --> 00:36:27.441 I will focus more on work being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:27.441 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.270$ done with pregnancy as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9018442

 $00:36:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.974$ some of our work with menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.160$ So historically, when you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.008$ in the literature and there have

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:36:37.008 --> 00:36:38.508 been more papers out recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.770$ a greater number of pregnancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.746$ with the exception of 1 paper have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:43.746 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.247$ associated with reduced risk of dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.530$ And so a question of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.570$ is is what is the mechanism?

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00{:}36{:}50.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.398$ And most often when

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:36:52.398 --> 00:36:53.769 discussing about pregnancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.074$ the first thing that comes up is that

00:36:56.074 --> 00:36:57.586 during pregnancies women have higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00{:}36{:}57.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59.338$ estrogen levels and this must be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:36:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.140$ you know, one of the reasons for

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:02.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.163$ this reduced risk of dementia

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:04.163 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.104$ or other or Alzheimer's disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.189$ and other types of dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.885$ But really we need to move beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.110$ I mean, certainly hormones are important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.206$ but there are a lot of other factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:15.206 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.739$ that occur during pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.180$ That allow a woman to carry a baby,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:19.180 \dashrightarrow 00:37:21.938$ and so there are significant changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:21.938 \dashrightarrow 00:37:24.218$ inflammation in terms of blood volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:37:24.220 --> 00:37:25.316 Vascular changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:25.316 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.152$ There are also many stress related changes,

 $00:37:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.584$ and so it's really important going

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:31.584 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.001$ forward to understand some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:34.001 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.185$ effects and how they change over

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.631$ the pregnancy period and how those

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:37:38.631 --> 00:37:40.686 changes might influence risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:40.686 \dashrightarrow 00:37:42.196$ cognitive impairment down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:42.196 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.225$ And in one case I I've worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:37:44.225 --> 00:37:46.139 quite a bit with Vesna Jarabeck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.205$ who is an Afro Logest at Mayo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.970$ And I I really like the way she

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00{:}37{:}49.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.882$ looks at this in terms of pregnancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:51.882 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.290$ being a stress test and so there may

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.040$ be women that go in that develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00{:}37{:}57.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.269$ hypertensive pregnancies that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:37:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.594$ because partly of this stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:38:02.594 --> 00:38:04.629 that may have been predisposed,

 $00:38:04.629 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.644$ but otherwise would not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:38:06.644 --> 00:38:07.450 developed hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:38:07.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.424$ This early and so in a way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

00:38:09.430 --> 00:38:11.302 it's it's a it can be seen as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:38:11.302 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.775$ positive because you can identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:38:12.775 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.587$ those women that are having these

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:38:14.642 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.332$ conditions under this stress as

NOTE Confidence: 0.914800355

 $00:38:16.332 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.460$ potentially greater risk down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873776116363636

 $00:38:20.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.442$ We have shown, as well as others that

NOTE Confidence: 0.873776116363636

00:38:23.442 --> 00:38:24.810 hypertensive pregnancy disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873776116363636

 $00:38:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.238$ both gestational hypertension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873776116363636

 $00{:}38{:}26.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.094$ The eclampsia is associated with worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.873776116363636

 $00{:}38{:}29.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.963$ cognitive performance and low brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.873776116363636

 $00:38:30.963 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.260$ volume even in women in their 60s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:38:35.290 --> 00:38:37.250 One question that has come up though,

 $00:38:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.154$ is what the result or what

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:40.154 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.090$ the mechanism might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.468$ Whether this is through vascular pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:45.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.286$ general brain aging or

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:38:47.286 --> 00:38:48.648 even Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.806$ and there have been a couple studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:50.806 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.753$ that have looked at the placentas

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:52.753 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.673$ of women who have had preeclampsia

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:54.673 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.581$ and they find amyloid plaques

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:38:56.581 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.038$ within the placentas and so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00{:}38{:}59.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.435$ that kind of caused us to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:01.435 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.950$ this a little bit further and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.010$ well, you know is this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:04.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.002$ Indicative of maybe blacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:05.002 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.242$ going on in the brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.330$ Or is there something there?

00:39:08.330 --> 00:39:08.718 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:08.718 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.434$ we we are just finishing up these

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:11.434 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.868$ analysis now and we do not see

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:13.868 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.983$ associations between pre clamp C or

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:15.983 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.815$ gestational hypertension with amyloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:39:17.815 --> 00:39:20.505 pathology either amyloid or Tau pet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:20.505 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.270$ But we do with white matter hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00{:}39{:}23.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.770$ intensities and we also do looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:39:25.770 --> 00:39:27.815 at diffusion tensor imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00{:}39{:}27.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}29.993$ white matter integrity and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:29.993 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.103$ several key regions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.184$ There is also a question about Nulliparity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00{:}39{:}36.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38.368$ Some studies suggest that women that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00{:}39{:}38.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40.700$ are deliveries are at greater risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.560$ Some studies suggest that they

 $00:39:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.232$ are at reduced risk in our data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:39:45.232 --> 00:39:47.990 We're finding that it it really depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:39:48.075 --> 00:39:50.706 on education and of course no parity

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:50.706 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.002$ can be due to inability to conceive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:39:53.010 --> 00:39:55.635 but then also many women that choose

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:55.635 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.559$ not to have children and so in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:39:58.559 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.760$ In our study there appeared to be a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:40:01.760 --> 00:40:06.860 Significant education interaction such that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.696$ Who had a greater than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:40:08.696 --> 00:40:09.614 high school education?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:40:09.620 --> 00:40:11.558 Who were nulliparous were not at

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:40:11.558 --> 00:40:13.468 any greater risk of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:13.468 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.660$ cognitive impairment or dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:15.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.375$ But women who had less than a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.549$ high school education were no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00{:}40{:}18.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.358$ Liberals were at at greater risk,

 $00:40:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.173$ and so again it's it's much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:23.173 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.572$ complicated than just staying at

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:24.572 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.294$ whether somebody has children or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

00:40:26.300 --> 00:40:27.960 Trying to understand maybe some

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.982$ of the reasons behind that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:29.982 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.800$ and some of the other societal

NOTE Confidence: 0.901764544285714

 $00:40:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.230$ and social factors at play.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895831857058824

 $00{:}40{:}36.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38.060$ Menopause transition has has gained

NOTE Confidence: 0.895831857058824

 $00{:}40{:}38.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.402$ a lot of attention and I I think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.895831857058824

 $00:40:41.402 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.659$ is really important. There has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895831857058824

 $00:40:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.488$ Some announcements or or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:40:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.548$ Things that have come out suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00{:}40{:}50.548 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}40{:}52.482$ that menopause may be a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:40:52.482 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.806$ factor for Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:40:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.922$ and it's certainly true that there

00:40:55.922 --> 00:40:58.256 are many changes over the menopausal

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00{:}40{:}58.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.396$ transition in terms of cardiovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:00.396 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.690$ changes and fat redistribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.210$ And it's still a bit unclear how

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.875$ these might affect subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:06.875 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.410$ cognitive decline down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.533$ There's of course a lot of reports during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:41:12.533 --> 00:41:14.929 menopausal transition of cognitive changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.630$ but at least in Moscone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:41:16.630 --> 00:41:18.898 as as well as a lot of Pauline Mackey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.700$ Works suggest for the majority of women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.766$ This does tend to be temporary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.746$ What time I think it's important to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:41:27.746 --> 00:41:29.870 about menopause and the transitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:41:29.870 --> 00:41:32.510 but I I don't like the idea of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:41:32.510 --> 00:41:34.285 say, menopause or risk factor

 $00:41:34.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.060$ for Alzheimer's disease or risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:36.128 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.168$ factor for other types of diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.006$ because all women go through menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.010$ But not all women develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.810$ Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:41:42.810 --> 00:41:43.710 And so again, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.077$ I think this is a one of those advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:46.077 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.970$ that we have with women similar to pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00{:}41{:}48.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.484$ where you've got this biological and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.700$ emotional transition kind of distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.101$ Past and someone when we'll do better

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:57.101 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.178$ over their transition than others will.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:41:59.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.800$ And there might be clues during

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.340$ that transition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.260$ such as more severe hot flashes,

 $00:42:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.024$ more severe mood changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:05.024 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.670$ other types of sleep abnormalities that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:07.741 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.660$ someone might have more severe than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:42:10.660 --> 00:42:13.840 but this then might indicate who

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.080$ may be at greater risk of certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00{:}42{:}16.080 \longrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.770$ diseases and those women that do

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.140$ have these more severe symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

00:42:19.140 --> 00:42:19.404 Hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:19.404 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.780$ if we can follow them up and treat them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.076$ then we can delay some of these diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00{:}42{:}24.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25.205$ so it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:25.205 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.455$ It is also an exciting window

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277365

 $00:42:27.455 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.708$ of opportunity as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:42:31.780 --> 00:42:34.720 Now Walter Rocca, my colleague,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.670$ and and I and several others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:36.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.426$ have suggested that early

 $00{:}42{:}38.426 {\: -->\:} 00{:}42{:}40.621$ menopause is associated with later

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00{:}42{:}40.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.638$ cognitive impairment and dementia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.858$ and this is a paper that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:42:43.860 --> 00:42:46.244 recently published using data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:46.244 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.332$ the Mayo Clinic Study of Aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.196$ So red is global cognitive decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:42:51.196 --> 00:42:53.582 among women who underwent bilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:53.582 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.758$ reflect me less than the age of 40.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:42:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.280$ Blue is 40 to 45 and orange is 46 to 49.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.800$ And generally what we see is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.212$ for women who undergo bilateral for

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:07.212 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.570$ ectomy prior to natural menopause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.178$ less than the age of 45,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.708$ they have about a two fold greater risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:13.708 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.090$ of having mild cognitive impairment.

00:43:16.090 --> 00:43:18.330 When we look at the less than 40 group we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:18.330 --> 00:43:21.284 it's actually about a threefold greater risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:21.290 --> 00:43:25.100 And so you know, historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:25.100 --> 00:43:27.290 women who were undergoing or had

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:27.290 --> 00:43:29.148 their uterus removed for fibroids

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:29.148 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.128$ or or for several other conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:31.128 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.720$ also had their ovaries taken out at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.167$ time because the general thought was that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:36.170 --> 00:43:37.458 well, you're not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:37.458 --> 00:43:38.424 through reproduction anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.358$ You don't need the ovaries and so if

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00{:}43{:}40.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.262$ you take out the ovaries then there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:42.262 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.490$ no way you can develop ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.650$ But we're now finding that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:43:46.650 --> 00:43:48.090 it's really critically important

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:48.148 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.716$ to keep these ovaries ovaries

 $00:43:49.716 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.260$ in particularly for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00{:}43{:}51.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.696$ That are not at great family risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.280$ for example that have BRCA mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.076$ mutations in their family or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:43:59.076 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.578$ strong family history and so it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:44:01.578 --> 00:44:03.774 it's really important going forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:03.774 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.026$ highlight the need to keep ovaries in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614 00:44:06.026 --> 00:44:06.408 Now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:06.408 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.936$ On a side note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.390$ interestingly to thinking about estrogen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.970$ we often come back to memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00{:}44{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}15.963$ performance and in our studies both

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:44:15.963 --> 00:44:18.589 this study as well as a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:18.589 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.369$ other cohorts we're looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.889$ there really seems to be in effect.

 $00:44:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.410$ And attention as opposed to memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:44:24.410 --> 00:44:25.403 And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:44:25.403 --> 00:44:27.720 this kind of gets at the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:27.799 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.596$ of if bilateral refractory is

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:29.596 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.666$ a risk factor for dementia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:44:31.670 --> 00:44:33.550 Is it through Alzheimer's pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.650$ vascular pathways or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.225$ aging related pathways?

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

00:44:37.230 --> 00:44:38.850 And that's something that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.085$ we're trying to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.515560614

 $00:44:40.085 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.073$ and understand right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:44:44.060 --> 00:44:47.760 So I I talked a little bit about this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:44:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.240$ but we do have a grant right now with Mayo

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}44{:}50.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52.756$ Clinic study of Aging where we've tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:44:52.756 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.375$ take more much more of a lifespan approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:44:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.403$ So historically in the literature you might

 $00:44:57.403 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.800$ see a paper on hypertensive pregnancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}44{:}59.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.392$ You might see a paper on bilateral fracta me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:45:02.400 --> 00:45:05.165 Maybe just on the number of pregnancies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.564$ but if you think about a 56 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}45{:}07.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.398$ old woman coming in and wine or

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:09.398 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.346$ no at risk of future disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.718$ she is the accumulation of all the factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:45:13.720 --> 00:45:16.393 That she went through and when we look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}45{:}16.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.936$ for example, the Framingham risk score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.140$ we know that it does not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.700$ Is not as good in women as compared to men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.651$ and so our hope is that if we understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:25.651 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.388$ what some of these specific factors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.539$ and incorporate them into risk scores,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:30.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.720$ particularly for cognitive impairment,

 $00:45:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.990$ that will be better than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:36.073 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.699$ current risk scores.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:45:37.700 --> 00:45:40.430 Now it it has been very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.538$ We have a a median medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:42.538 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.228$ records of about 45 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.342$ It took us over four years to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:47.342 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.406$ and abstract all the information from

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:49.406 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.688$ the medical records for the women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.832$ But we also realize the difficulty

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:53.832 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.850$ in terms of societal aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:55.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.984$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:56.984 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.630$ we found that tubal ligation attended to

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:45:59.702 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.267$ be protective for Alzheimer's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.680$ and we couldn't figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.850$ the reason for that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:46:04.850 --> 00:46:06.730 Our concern was that with two ligation there,

 $00:46:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.211$ maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:46:07.211 --> 00:46:09.135 Manipulation of ovaries and

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:09.135 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.059$ that could be detrimental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.859$ but we found it to be protective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.086$ Well when we looked at it

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:15.086 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.010$ more and and what the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:46:17.010 --> 00:46:18.822 Medical practice was at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:18.822 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.146$ at really women who had a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:21.146 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.718$ children were the only ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}46{:}22.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.523$ were allowed to have tubal ligations

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:24.523 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.704$ in the 50s or 60s in Rochester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:26.704 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.070$ MN, and in fact actually if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:46:29.143 --> 00:46:31.309 had it at Saint Mary's Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:31.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.080$ which was run by the Sisters

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:46:33.080 --> 00:46:34.260 of Saint Francis you're,

 $00:46:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.268$ you're never going to find tubal ligation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:37.268 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.594$ effective or prescription of contraceptives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:39.594 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.990$ If women were seen in that area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.626$ so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:43.626 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.534$ there's a lot of societal aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:45.534 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.560$ that have changed overtime that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.678$ We need to think about as

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:49.678 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.760$ we're looking at these factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}46{:}51.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.938$ Before I go into my final slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.780$ I I am often asked ahead of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.174$ What about transgender men and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:46:59.174 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.227$ and and what are the effects of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:02.227 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.446$ hormones and and what not on on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:47:04.446 --> 00:47:06.700 risk of dementia down the road?

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:47:06.700 --> 00:47:07.748 And really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:07.748 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.844$ there's very little information

 $00:47:09.844 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.726$ out at this period at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:12.726 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.560$ One of the reasons when I I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:14.629 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.717$ talked to researchers historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:47:16.720 --> 00:47:20.650 they've stated that you know hormone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}47{:}20.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}23.212$ Doses and things like that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

00:47:23.212 --> 00:47:25.482 transgender men or women have

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:25.482 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.406$ not been uniform overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.567$ and so trying to separate those doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}47{:}30.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.724$ and differences in hormone levels as

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}47{:}32.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.609$ well as all the stress that goes in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.590$ To get to the stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.767$ maybe to have surgery or decide to

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00{:}47{:}39.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.442$ become transgender really has a role

NOTE Confidence: 0.799139696

 $00:47:41.442 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.038$ and and it's not clear what those

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:47:43.095 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.425$ are yet. So there there's an absolute

 $00:47:45.425 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.170$ need to understand those factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:47:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.530$ and I've been working with a group the

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:47:49.587 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.143$ diversity and disparities professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:47:51.143 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.210$ interest area on sex and gender differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:47:54.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.310$ and have also been trying to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:47:56.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.427$ forward to think about sex and gender

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

00:47:58.427 --> 00:48:00.830 is not just being a binary construct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:48:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.508$ and so we certainly need to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00{:}48{:}03.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.776$ forward there from a continuous aspect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:48:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.642$ And then also not just think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00{:}48{:}07.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09.839$ it from a US centric standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:48:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.560$ because clearly these many cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00{:}48{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.960$ around the world are different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

00:48:13.960 --> 00:48:15.801 There are some that are more accepting

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00:48:15.801 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.452$ some that are less accepting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.878143208181818

 $00{:}48{:}17.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.328$ it's going to be important to look

 $00:48:19.386 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.108$ at this from a global perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}48{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.953$ So in conclusion, as I first talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:25.953 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.259$ about in terms of the epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.612$ more women than men have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:48:30.612 --> 00:48:32.180 diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.045$ However, the prevalence and incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:48:34.045 --> 00:48:36.820 is a little bit more equivocal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.396$ may depend on area of the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:39.396 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.088$ as well as area of the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.490$ As a field I I do get concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:48:44.490 --> 00:48:46.896 in terms of how we present this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.056$ because as an epidemiologist to and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}48{:}49.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51.139$ the blood based biomarker work I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:48:51.140 --> 00:48:53.788 I tend to think more from a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:53.788 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.068$ care perspective and so if primary care

00:48:56.068 --> 00:48:58.764 providers who are the ones that are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:48:58.764 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.256$ going to see these dementia patients first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:49:01.260 --> 00:49:02.740 If they automatically think oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:49:02.740 --> 00:49:04.920 a woman memory impairment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:49:04.920 --> 00:49:07.645 it's probably Alzheimer's disease may

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}49{:}07.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.570$ be losing out on some other factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.620$ or treatments that could potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.758$ Help sustain their their cognitive decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84627652461538500:49:15.760 --> 00:49:16.344 Similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:16.344 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.016$ we don't want men to be misdiagnosed either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385 00:49:21.020 --> 00:49:21.381 Overall, NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:21.381 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.908$ there still are two few studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:23.908 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.158$ examine sex and gender differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.272$ and the vast majority of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:49:28.272 --> 00:49:30.399 still typically adjust for it instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.720$ and so it's it's not.

 $00:49:31.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.869$ It would be difficult to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:33.869 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.740$ meta analysis until we would have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.008$ for example, uniform reporting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:37.008 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.219$ and so it's possible that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:39.219 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.725$ the papers that are being reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:40.725 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.364$ on with sex differences are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:42.364 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.032$ they just happen to find it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}49{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.050$ and many other papers they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.565$ look or or they they didn't see

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:48.565 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.148$ anything and so they didn't report it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.243$ So it really in need for whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:53.243 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.990$ studies to report whether there

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}49{:}54.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56.950$ are sex or gender differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.480$ And there's also a need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:49:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.245$ more diverse cohorts.

00:49:59.250 --> 00:50:00.165 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:00.165 --> 00:50:03.266 most of this work has been done on White,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385 00:50:03.266 --> 00:50:04.032 Caucasian, NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:04.032 --> 00:50:06.330 European background cohorts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.166$ and I've been working with Nila

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:08.166 --> 00:50:10.290 major wall at Rush University in

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:10.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.385$ the Chicago Healthy Aging project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:12.390 --> 00:50:14.290 Looking at pre menopausal bilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:14.290 --> 00:50:16.911 reflect me on both white and black

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:16.911 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.214$ women and the average age of bilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}50{:}19.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21.506$ for ectomy for white women was 49.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:21.510 --> 00:50:24.624 And the average age for black women was 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.150$ and so there are some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385 00:50:26.150 --> 00:50:27.310 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.385$ definite key differences that we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:30.385 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.500$ to consider by race ethnicity as well.

 $00:50:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.135$ As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}50{:}35.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}37.738$ even if there the prevalence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:37.738 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.739$ disease is the same for men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.556$ the mechanisms and factors can differ by sex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.324$ and so we we shouldn't have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:44.324 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.100$ say men are at greater risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:50:46.100 --> 00:50:48.436 But women are at greater risk to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:48.436 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.674$ look at sex differences and hone in

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}50{:}50.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}53.200$ that we should be doing it regardless.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.384$ As I mentioned there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:54.384 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.934$ there is a need to examine more of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:50:56.934 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.151$ these sex and gender differences from

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}50{:}59.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.406$ a diversity and disparities culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:01.406 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.750$ and social determinants of health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.090$ And as Doctor Missouri had mentioned earlier,

 $00:51:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.788$ really important to look at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00{:}51{:}09.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11.478$ sex differences from a precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:51:11.478 --> 00:51:12.488 medicine standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.524$ But I I also want to argue that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:14.524 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.977$ also important to look at it from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

00:51:16.977 --> 00:51:18.409 socio cultural standpoint as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.146$ Because as we look at some of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:22.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.560$ Look at dementia around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.846276524615385

 $00:51:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.970$ world and some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

00:51:25.030 --> 00:51:26.622 countries where women have

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00{:}51{:}26.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.214$ significantly less education and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:28.214 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.179$ their family roles are different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.660$ There are opportunities to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:32.660 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.694$ and lower their risk for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:34.694 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.050$ of a sociocultural perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.840$ so both precision medicine and

 $00:51:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.820$ social medicine is is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.012$ So again, I'm I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

00:51:43.012 --> 00:51:44.502 I'm sorry I don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:44.502 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.097$ what the time frame is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

00:51:46.100 --> 00:51:48.300 I hope I didn't go over too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.148$ but I'm I'm very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:49.148 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.921$ very happy to be here and and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00{:}51{:}50.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52.253$ to answer questions I I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:52.253 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.037$ have a Wake Forest email address,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:54.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.744$ but you can get a hold of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:55.744 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.540$ me by my Gmail account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890772616521739

 $00:51:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.010$ Thank you.