WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:22" NOTE recognizability:0.849

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.100 Epidemic of fentanyl and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:03.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.021$ stimulated addiction overdose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}00{:}05.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.618$ These are two areas where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:07.618 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.210$ really in need of improved treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.868$ and and we have underserved needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.790$ So with this let me turn it over to Tom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:14.790 --> 00:00:18.010 Thank you. Thank you very much, Stephanie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:18.010 --> 00:00:19.844 I just wish my mother was still

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:19.844 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.182$ alive so that she could hear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:22.182 --> 00:00:24.165 wonderful introduction and say, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}00{:}24.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.630$ you finally made it as a doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.338$ Hello. I have to say her and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:29.338 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.357$ my grandmother preferred that

00:00:30.357 --> 00:00:31.779 I would have become a minister,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}00{:}31.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.237$ but she can't have everything in life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.790$ Closest I got with a psychiatrist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:36.790 --> 00:00:39.870 So again, let me move forward with this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}00{:}39.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.976$ I do have a fair amount of stuff I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:41.976 --> 00:00:44.180 going to try to go over and but one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.930$ those things I've learned over time

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.055$ is don't get too wedded to your slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:48.055 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.840$ that you need to present all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.730$ So I'll still be mindful of what time it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}00{:}53.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.893$ This is just a little overview of

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:00:55.893 --> 00:00:58.459 what I'm going to be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:00:58.459 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.424$ today about fentanyl in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.732$ Uh, these are the disclosures, which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:02.732 --> 00:01:05.148 uh, I think the bottom line is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333 00:01:05.150 --> 00:01:05.692 you know,

 $00{:}01{:}05.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.860$ none of these are have anything to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:07.921 --> 00:01:10.224 with vaccines or what I'm talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.020$ The the people who make a lot of money

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.468$ generally aren't interested in addictions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.014$ So that that has been a an advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:18.014 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.710$ and a disadvantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:19.710 --> 00:01:21.061 One of the goals that I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:21.061 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.119$ to try to do today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}01{:}22.120 --> 00{:}01{:}24.584$ I'm going to try to do well

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:24.584 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.070$ before I just get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}01{:}26.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.023$ I mean thank you very much for inviting me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.825$ Stephanie and John.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}01{:}28.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.680$ I think that that was really a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333 $00:01:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.600$ A nice. NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:31.600 --> 00:01:32.980 I don't know.

 $00:01:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.698$ It always feels good to go back to your

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}01{:}35.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.188$ old homestead where I've been for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:38.190 --> 00:01:40.465 let's say, Stephanie, you asked 27 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333 00:01:40.470 --> 00:01:41.463 to be exact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:41.463 --> 00:01:44.340 I'm working on 16 now here in Texas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:44.340 --> 00:01:47.160 So, but I still don't say y'all my kids do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333 00:01:47.160 --> 00:01:48.495 but I don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:48.495 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.275$ As to the goals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.160$ we would like to try to describe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.240$ ways of the opiate overdose epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}01{:}54.240 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}01{:}56.328$ There's certainly began with

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:01:56.328 --> 00:01:57.894 MD's overprescribing opiates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.797$ There was then a switch to heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:01:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.800$ There then was a switch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:00.800 --> 00:02:03.164 Fentanyl and what's happened most recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:03.164 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.549$ is a switch to fentanyl plus stimulants,

 $00:02:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.725$ which has been a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:08.725 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.465$ problem in many ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.310$ I'd like to be sure that we learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.323$ some new therapies for cocaine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:14.323 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.815$ stimulants including some little

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:15.815 --> 00:02:17.884 bit about pharmacogenetics and about

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:17.884 --> 00:02:20.410 vaccines and then learn why buprenorphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.228$ which is our without a doubt

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:22.228 --> 00:02:23.980 our best treatment for opiates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.372$ why it fails to block fentanyl and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:27.372 --> 00:02:31.730 an anti fentanyl vaccine can in fact succeed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.229$ This is when the thing started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}02{:}34.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.029$ This is wave one started about 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.785$ And these are just some

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:38.785 --> 00:02:40.189 quotes from Barack Obama,

00:02:40.190 --> 00:02:43.997 which was when he was giving his sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00{:}02{:}43.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.428$ summary of it all ways into the epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.070$ They were being described addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.130$ to painkillers as the great threat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

00:02:51.130 --> 00:02:54.690 as terrorism, which is astounding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.015$ That they were seeing more

NOTE Confidence: 0.710518698333333

 $00:02:56.015 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.340$ people killed because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:02:57.396 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.276$ opioid overdose than traffic accidents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:02:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.940$ They were going to make the government

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.775$ health insurance policies cover mental

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}03{:}03.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.980$ health and substance abuse on a par

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:05.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.197$ with treatment for physical illness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.976$ Well, they tried as to a grassroots approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:12.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.164$ It was really needed to train physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:03:15.164 --> 00:03:17.100 while they're still residents and how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:17.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.820$ when, why to prescribe opiates

 $00:03:18.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.540$ and and using buprenorphine for

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}03{:}20.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.159$ treatment of this addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.424$ So there was this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:23.424 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.570$ this is the history that's behind us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.732$ Which is summarized by this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:28.732 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.218$ that Barack Obama gave back in 2016.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:03:32.218 --> 00:03:36.474 It it did start with opiate over

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:36.474 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.019$ prescription from 1991 to 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}03{:}39.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.080$ it went from 76 to 207 million prescriptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.023$ So it it really went up quite a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:03:46.030 --> 00:03:49.070 Um, back at around 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.034$ you were seeing 15 million opiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}03{:}52.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.929$ prescriptions just from primary care alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.565$ 2,000,000 Americans had

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:03:56.565 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.290$ prescription opiate abuse in 2013.

 $00:03:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.288$ Physicians were being blamed for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}04{:}01.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.249$ epidemic of opiate addiction as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:03.249 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.977$ as individuals who abuse the opiates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.430$ Important keep that in mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.270$ because that can come up again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:08.270 --> 00:04:10.580 The FDA was talking about forbidding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.550$ marketing of opiates for chronic pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:12.550 --> 00:04:14.746 Now that obviously never entirely happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.360$ but there was a lot of movement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667 00:04:16.360 --> 00:04:17.101 To do that, NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:17.101 --> 00:04:18.830 and the CDC was going to limit

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:18.893 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.939$ opiates to just three days for

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:20.939 --> 00:04:22.303 short term pain management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83662265066666700:04:22.310 --> 00:04:23.492 Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:23.492 --> 00:04:26.447 that did not entirely happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.985$ but there was real guidelines for decreasing

 $00:04:29.985 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.677$ the amount of opiates being given out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:33.680 --> 00:04:35.336 Non medical pain reliever use at

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:35.336 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.054$ that point was 22 million people

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:37.054 --> 00:04:39.262 and you have you know a little over

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:04:39.324 --> 00:04:41.148 300 million in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:41.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.718$ Gives you a little sense of how common

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:43.718 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.962$ this was 3,000,000 to use for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}04{:}45.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47.813$ time with the past 12 months that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:47.813 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.839$ was at that point in aging in 2013

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}04{:}49.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.230$ you were looking 8000 new users per day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.926$ Most of these uh illicit drug users started

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:04:56.926 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.766$ now at that point with pain relievers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}04{:}59.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.480$ One would never believe that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}05{:}01.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.725$ over did you know marijuana as how

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:03.725 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.470$ people would start using drugs.

 $00:05:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.038$ I mean the the days of heroin were

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}05{:}08.038 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.890$ over by that time most of the abusers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:05:10.890 --> 00:05:13.138 which was more 56% of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:13.138 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.890$ younger than 18 and were females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.417$ But once again a very different demographic

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:17.417 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.950$ than it had been with heroin use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.718$ This is just a little graph of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}05{:}21.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.386$ data that I was telling you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.610$ This is back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667 00:05:25.610 --> 00:05:26.350 From.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.790$ 205 and in fact it only got worse over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.190$ But you can see pain relievers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}05{:}31.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.818$ Pain relievers were the more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00{:}05{:}33.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.029$ initiates for drug abuse than was marijuana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:05:37.030 --> 00:05:38.794 And of course anything else that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:38.794 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.660$ you can see on this list.

 $00:05:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.639$ And this continued on for a number of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:43.639 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.590$ and of course was blamed on many things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:46.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.502$ And the Reaper has now hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:49.502 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.287$ many pharmaceutical companies where

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:05:51.287 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.577$ they're getting billions of dollars

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:05:53.577 --> 00:05:56.040 in settlements that they have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667 00:05:56.040 --> 00:05:57.412 Hand out. NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:05:57.412 --> 00:06:00.156 From 1999 to 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:06:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.570$ the opiate overdose deaths were

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

 $00:06:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.576$ quite profound on this was started

NOTE Confidence: 0.836622650666667

00:06:05.576 --> 00:06:08.136 with the MD over prescribing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00{:}06{:}08.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10.198$ but there was a fourfold increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00{:}06{:}10.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.944$ The Reds represent high areas of opiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00{:}06{:}12.944 \to 00{:}06{:}14.808$ overdoses, the blue relatively lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

00:06:14.808 --> 00:06:17.010 Hopefully you can easily see there's

 $00:06:17.072 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.984$ a lot more red on the right than

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:18.984 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.132$ there is on the left, and you'll see

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

00:06:21.132 --> 00:06:22.956 that there are concentrations of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.940$ The most important concentration that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.739$ became of interest was in the Midwest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

00:06:27.740 --> 00:06:29.888 And in the Adirondack, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.704$ the Appalachian Mountain area where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:32.704 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.580$ was just tremendous amounts of overdoses

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.910$ and deaths from prescribed opiates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:37.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.626$ mercy, these were not urban areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.831131808333333

 $00:06:39.626 \dashrightarrow 00:06:41.379$ where they were selling this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:06:43.620 --> 00:06:47.092 All right, so by 2010, the prescription

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:06:47.092 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.354$ drug epidemic was plateauing 10 to 16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:06:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.909$ Wave two started of the opioid epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:06:53.909 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.520$ as doctors began prescribing less and less

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}06{:}57.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.720$ and the overdoses from heroin came back

 $00:07:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.480$ again as an issue that plateaued in 2016.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.951$ And in 2014, wave three of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:06.951 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.700$ epidemic started with fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.316$ It rapidly escalate escalated to pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}07{:}11.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.530$ heroin and the prescription drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.570$ And this fentanyl epidemic continues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:07:16.570 --> 00:07:19.307 What's happened since 2016 is Wave 4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.145$ the epidemic and that started

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:07:21.145 --> 00:07:22.613 with cocaine and amphetamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:22.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.267$ with fentanyl being mixed in with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:26.267 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.342$ white powders and therefore the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}07{:}29.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.882$ becoming causes of drug overdose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}07{:}31.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.746$ And this is continued again and escalated

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:34.746 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.008$ and escalated in some rather surprising ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.894$ So this is simply the same

 $00:07:39.894 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.150$ kinds of graphs again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}07{:}41.150 --> 00{:}07{:}43.670$ the red line or orange.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.742$ Depending on how color blind you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:46.742 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.024$ are that's shown on the left is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:49.024 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.760$ fentanyl going up through the roof.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:50.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.430$ And what you can see on the right is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:07:53.498 --> 00:07:57.146 comparison from 2003 to 2017 and a map

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:07:57.146 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.357$ that has mostly just sort of Gray in it 2003.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:08:01.357 --> 00:08:02.848 But by 2017,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:02.848 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.830$ the fentanyl deaths have got red

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}08{:}05.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.326$ areas all over the Midwest being

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:08.326 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.378$ one of the more surprising areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}08{:}10.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.072$ At least that because that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:12.072 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.680$ not from the big cities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:08:13.680 --> 00:08:14.130 Necessarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.830$ these were in fact often rural

 $00:08:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.266$ areas that we're having this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:19.266 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.270$ fentanyl epidemic of deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.538$ So what about fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:22.538 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.489$ which is again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.880$ this is wave 3 synthetic opiate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.824$ 50 to 100 times more potent than morphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.525$ 30 to 50 times greater than heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.204$ A lethal dose of fentanyl can

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:34.204 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.190$ be as little as 2 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.990$ It just not take very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.890$ which means that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.798$ you can import tiny amounts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}08{:}41.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.700$ this and make plenty of money.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.425$ Selling it fentanyl analogs range

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:08:45.425 --> 00:08:47.557 of potency from 15 times more

 $00:08:47.557 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.282$ potent than morphine to 10,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}08{:}49.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.260$ times more potent than morphine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.808$ That is carfentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}08{:}52.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.388$ And this epidemic again starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:55.388 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.240$ at around 2014.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:08:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.920$ So what did we have to treat this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:08:59.920 --> 00:09:00.185 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:00.185 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.775$ these are the FDA approved treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}09{:}01.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.200$ There's the naltrex one and particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.620$ the long acting injectable naltrexone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:06.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.316$ There's buprenorphine or buprenorphine

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:09.316 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.990$ naloxone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.578$ At that point they were initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}09{:}13.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.272$ using the sublingual form that often

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:16.272 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.442$ with the film and then later on of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:19.442 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.582$ course an injectable became available

 $00:09:21.582 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.157$ and methodone which is a full agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.100$ So this is the three mainstays

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.398$ buprenorphine came the closest to being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.755$ Essentially a way that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:31.755 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.168$ could combat fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.640$ Fentanyl easily overrides

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.110$ methodone for analgesia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:36.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.742$ so it was very unlikely to protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:38.742 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.590$ against a fentanyl overdose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}09{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.234$ Buprenorphine may protect against

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:42.234 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.467$ the fentanyl overdose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.566$ though clinically the bup renorphine

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}09{:}45.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.710$ blood level of five nanograms per

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}09{:}48.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.291$ milliliter was found to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:51.291 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.295$ respiratory distress from about

 $00:09:53.295 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.619$.7 milligrams of fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.688$ This was in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:56.688 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.468$ It's twice the apnea dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:09:58.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.690$ in non tolerant patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:10:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.336$ so this was this was done in an actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:10:03.336 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.723$ human study that got published however.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00{:}10{:}05.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.788$ You've been orphine blood levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

 $00:10:07.788 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.000$ at 24 hours after dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:10:10.000 --> 00:10:12.156 Assuming you're giving it once a day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89963331

00:10:12.160 --> 00:10:15.220 16 milligrams of sublingual buprenorphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.509$ which is the usual dose for addiction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:17.509 --> 00:10:19.868 treatments, produces a level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:19.868 --> 00:10:21.896.67 nanograms per milliliter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.844$ You'll notice that that's at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:23.844 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.878$ 10 fold lower than what you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:25.878 --> 00:10:27.894 going to need to block fentanyl.

 $00:10:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.644$ If you give 44 milligrams of buprenorphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:30.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.584$ which is above the 24 milligram

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:33.584 --> 00:10:35.540 milligram maximum FDA dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.545$ you still only get up to 1.7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:37.545 --> 00:10:38.760 That agreements familiar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:38.760 --> 00:10:40.520 so you're still, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.020$ less than half of what you're going to need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:43.020 --> 00:10:46.492 If you give the 300 milligram Suboxone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:46.492 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.600$ supplicate injectable dose though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:48.600 \dashrightarrow 00:10:51.625$ you can attain a blood level of 6.54

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00{:}10{:}51.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.695$ nanograms per milliliter and you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:53.695 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.580$ maintain that for about 30 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:10:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.729$ This is giving the 300 milligram dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00{:}10{:}57.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.536$ which is not typical to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:10:59.536 --> 00:11:01.532 that every month, but if you do,

 $00:11:01.532 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.143$ you can in fact get close to a level

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:04.143 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.243$ at which you're going to at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.440$ block any respiratory distress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:11:08.440 --> 00:11:09.571 From the usual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:11:09.571 --> 00:11:12.210 let's call it therapeutic dose of fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.705$ obviously overdoses are using dosages

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:14.705 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.109$ that are not the therapeutic dose of .7,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.720$ but are usually twice or three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.025$ times that dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:11:22.030 --> 00:11:23.790 So it's still not perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:11:23.790 --> 00:11:26.062 but it if you want to get buprenorphine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:26.062 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.029$ to work the best for fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.350$ this is probably as good as you can hope for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00{:}11{:}31.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.926$ So as the epidemic moves along

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.955$ and wave 42016 which is when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00{:}11{:}37.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.810$ opiates and stimulants.

00:11:38.810 --> 00:11:41.708 Umm, the red line that I have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00{:}11{:}41.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.630$ as you can see on this graph at the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:11:43.630 --> 00:11:46.430 that's starting to rise and around 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.256$ that's wave four and wave 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:49.256 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.140$ psychostimulants combined with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.490$ opiates and that has gone up and up and up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

00:11:54.490 --> 00:11:58.424 And this data obviously stops at 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:11:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.670$ but the data that comes through with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:12:00.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.561$ 2021 with 2022 data not yet being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8997030175

 $00:12:03.561 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.800$ available continues that upward trend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.060$ So I think some questions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

00:12:11.060 --> 00:12:13.110 the physicians to think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00{:}12{:}13.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14.550$ which was something that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:14.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.305$ you know, pressed physicians about

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:16.305 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.729$ for the last 15 or 20 years,

00:12:18.730 --> 00:12:21.195 is our physician prescribers on

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00{:}12{:}21.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.660$ ethically contributing to the wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:23.742 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.508$ four of this ongoing lethal epidemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

00:12:26.510 --> 00:12:28.300 They certainly contributed to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

00:12:28.300 --> 00:12:30.690 first phase of this opiate epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00{:}12{:}30.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.770$ but there's been a market increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.090$ amphetamine prescribing specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:35.149 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.284$ for adult ADHD patients in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.200$ Five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.632$ The abuse of pharmaceutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00{:}12{:}40.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.064$ amphetamine has become widespread

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:42.064 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.630$ in adolescents and young adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.800$ I'll show you some of that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

00:12:45.800 --> 00:12:49.100 and much is from physician prescribing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:49.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.020$ But recently there have been sales

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00{:}12{:}51.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53.526$ on the Internet and on the street

 $00:12:53.526 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.456$ of counterfeit Adderall pills that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:55.456 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.358$ contain fentanyl at lethal dosages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.257$ And about 1/4 to 1/3 of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:12:59.257 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.039$ pills that are on the street,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:13:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.768$ it's because they're it's not that the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:13:03.768 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.099$ dealers want to have a lot of fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

00:13:06.100 --> 00:13:07.956 They want to have enough that you'd have

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00{:}13{:}07.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10.096$ a good time not necessarily to kill you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

00:13:10.100 --> 00:13:12.648 But their quality control

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:13:12.648 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.196$ is not exactly precise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:13:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.630$ This is the adolescent data

NOTE Confidence: 0.899162484545455

 $00:13:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.728$ from 2008 through 2011.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:22.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.020$ The adolescents age 13 to 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:25.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.959$ what you can see in 2011 is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:27.959 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.660$ the rate of of doctors giving out.

00:13:32.660 --> 00:13:34.022 Adolescence amphetamines

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

00:13:34.022 --> 00:13:36.746 has more than doubled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.950$ so that's what that red line is showing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

00:13:38.950 --> 00:13:40.917 The opiates haven't changed that much and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:40.917 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.590$ the depressants haven't changed that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:42.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.295$ They they're more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:43.295 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.470$ more or less flat lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.894$ but going down somewhat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

00:13:45.894 --> 00:13:46.606 If anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:46.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.370$ when you look at the young adults 19 to 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00{:}13{:}50.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.178$ By 2014, you're seeing a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:53.178 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.050$ divergence that's occurring between

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:13:55.115 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.390$ prescribing opiates to them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00{:}13{:}57.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.210$ prescribing stimulants to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667 $00:13:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.278$ It is again, NOTE Confidence: 0.8540504666666667

 $00:14:00.278 \dashrightarrow 00:14:02.770$ it's reached a plateau again at about

 $00:14:02.847 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.766$ the same time as the younger adolescents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:14:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.521$ but the drop off in the opiate

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

00:14:08.521 --> 00:14:10.171 prescription has clearly had

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:14:10.171 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.136$ a much more traumatic effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:14:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.404$ So doctors are prescribing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:14:15.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.959$ lot of stimulants and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.854050466666667

 $00:14:16.959 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.637$ out there all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.040$ So that's the epidemic stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.790$ I'm now going to start trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.642$ cover a couple of other things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00{:}14{:}26.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.530$ are I think are important in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00{:}14{:}28.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.105$ So what about using stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:31.105 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.650$ for a pharmacotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

00:14:32.650 --> 00:14:34.029 What kind did you want to use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.080$ Well, slow onset and slow

 $00:14:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.310$ release long acting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

00:14:37.310 --> 00:14:39.750 That would be the qualities you'd like with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.208$ that kind of a substitution type therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.702$ I'll then talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

00:14:44.702 --> 00:14:45.770 single gene pharmacogenetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

00:14:45.770 --> 00:14:49.890 again in specifics with DOXAZOSIN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00{:}14{:}49.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.824$ which is A1 blocker and the dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:53.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.510$ beta hydroxylase polymorphism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

00:14:55.510 --> 00:14:57.318 And then finally I'll try to have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:57.318 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.160$ time to talk about immunotherapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:14:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.249$ With the anti cocaine vaccine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:15:02.249 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.694$ then the anti fentanyl vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83945735

 $00:15:03.694 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.000$ which we've developed as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.001$ I guess you could say a daughter

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:09.001 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.127$ or a son of that cocaine vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.342$ So what about the slow onset and

 $00:15:13.342 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.100$ fentanes for stimulant use disorder?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:15:15.100 --> 00:15:17.122 Well is a Swedish registry study

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:17.122 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.302$ that was brought to my attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:15:19.302 --> 00:15:21.207 actually by Stephanie and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:21.207 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.890$ was in a recent presentation 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:15:24.890 --> 00:15:26.668 They did it with lots of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.034$ you know 14,000 patients that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:29.034 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.944$ they had a mean age at 3470% were men

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:15:31.944 --> 00:15:34.145 and it was during the period from 2006

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:34.145 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.305$ to 2018 and it was a within subjects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.540$ Comparing on versus off medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.395$ and lisdexamfetamine which is a form

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00{:}15{:}44.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.398$ of amphetamine that is has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:47.398 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.622$ metabolized before the amphetamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:48.622 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.960$ could have its effect.

00:15:49.960 --> 00:15:51.616 So you're not going to get immediate effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.100$ it's going to be slow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:15:53.100 --> 00:15:55.386 But what they showed were positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:55.386 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.693$ outcomes and relative risk for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:15:57.693 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.829$ people who got list Essick phetamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:15:59.829 --> 00:16:02.448 versus those who were given any kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:02.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.742$ stimulant and that and what they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:04.742 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.200$ looking at was on versus off the medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.531$ What they found was that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:10.531 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.280$ less hospitalization for substance use

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.688$ disorders when they were on the medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:14.688 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.018$ then when they were off the medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:16:17.020 --> 00:16:20.324 Overall there was less of any type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:20.324 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.842$ hospitalization when they were on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:22.842 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.867$ medication versus off the medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:24.867 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.740$ and there was less all cause mortality

 $00:16:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.354$ from the periods when people were on

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00{:}16{:}30.354 \rightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.382$ the medication then periods when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:32.382 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.768$ were off the medication that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:34.768 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.753$ odds ratio obviously relatively large.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.660$ So that's these are important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.564$ Considerations that these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:40.564 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.062$ can in fact be useful even in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:44.062 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.294$ substance abusing populations if

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:46.294 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.968$ they're carefully done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00{:}16{:}47.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.638$ What about the randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00{:}16{:}49.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.306$ clinical trials using stimulants?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.230$ Have they shown some efficacy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286 00:16:53.230 --> 00:16:53.583 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:53.583 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.054$ the because this other was of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:56.054 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.870$ an epidemiological study from Sweden.

 $00:16:57.870 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.989$ The clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:16:58.989 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.600$ there are reviews of them in 2019

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:01.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.190$ and other one in 2016.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.854$ There are 26 different studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:05.854 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.414$ have been done involving over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:17:08.414 --> 00:17:12.086 2000 subjects and what those studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:12.086 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.025$ show is that the cocaine abstinence

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:16.025 \dashrightarrow 00:17:19.790$ relative risk ratio was 1.36 which is good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.530$ I mean it's better than one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.540$ And then the necessary number

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.148$ to treat of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:17:25.150 --> 00:17:26.698 you treat 14 patients to expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:26.698 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.730$ to get one of them that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.602$ have a positive response to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:17:30.610 --> 00:17:33.402 So if it's still that that's not a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:33.402 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.229$ number needed to treat is not great,

 $00:17:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.892$ but it indicates that there can

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:36.892 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.020$ in fact be a role for these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.380$ Slow onset look much lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:17:42.380 --> 00:17:43.886 Abuse liability stimulants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:43.886 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.894$ the short onset ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:17:45.900 --> 00:17:47.838 which is what the patients want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286 00:17:47.840 --> 00:17:50.159 not so good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:17:50.160 --> 00:17:53.409 So I've tried to cover a balanced view of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00{:}17{:}53.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.887$ you know, how stimulants can be used,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.498$ but they have to be used very carefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.848$ They're clearly a very useful treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:17:59.848 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.080$ for attention deficit disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:18:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.532$ But a lot of people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:18:03.532 --> 00:18:04.258 attention deficit disorder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:18:04.260 --> 00:18:07.300 when they develop it somehow at age 25,

00:18:07.300 --> 00:18:08.776 and they now want, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:18:08.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.364$ methamphetamine to or

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:18:10.364 --> 00:18:12.476 amphetamine to treat it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:18:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.078$ one should be a little suspicious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

 $00:18:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.048$ So now let's shift to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.854076325714286

00:18:17.048 --> 00:18:18.032 totally different thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.098$ which is let's talk about pharmacogenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.728$ This is one of my interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.070$ This will be with Doxazosin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.790$ which is an A1 blocker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:25.790 --> 00:18:27.800 And the polymorphism that I'm looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:27.800 --> 00:18:29.910 at is dopamine beta hydroxylase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.892$ which is a gene that converts

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:32.892 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.383$ dopamine to norepinephrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.470$ This is just a little picture of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:36.470 --> 00:18:38.270 So you're seeing dopamine and norepinephrine,

 $00:18:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.750$ and this is the enzyme that

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.378$ makes that conversion possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:43.378 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.820$ And so if you have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.528$ little of that enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:47.530 --> 00:18:49.575 you're going to have dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:49.575 --> 00:18:50.802 released from norepinephrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:50.802 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.679$ neurons instead of norepinephrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:18:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.678$ And if you have a lot of that enzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:54.680 --> 00:18:56.732 you're going to convert a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:56.732 --> 00:18:58.100 of things to norepinephrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:18:58.100 --> 00:19:00.476 Now, clearly not out of the dopamine neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:19:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.936$ because the dopamine neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00{:}19{:}01.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.756$ themselves don't contain this enzyme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:19:03.760 \dashrightarrow 00:19:06.880$ It's in the norepine phrine neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

00:19:06.880 --> 00:19:08.580 And norepinephrine, by the way,

00:19:08.580 --> 00:19:12.059 is part of a reinforcing system also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:19:12.060 \dashrightarrow 00:19:15.570$ and it can, and it of course contributes to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783931143333333

 $00:19:15.570 \dashrightarrow 00:19:18.060$ Craving and stress induced reinstatement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.155$ So there's a genetic variant

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:23.155 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.199$ of this particular gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:25.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.540$ and it's the location is

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.880$ in fact before the actual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:19:31.880 --> 00:19:34.764 Gene itself that codes for the protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}19{:}34.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.970$ so it's a regulatory polymorphism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.530$ It's in the promoter region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}19{:}39.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.174$ it's critically involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:41.174 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.818$ the activity of DBH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:19:42.820 --> 00:19:45.494 There's a total of about 6 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:45.494 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.384$ that have been found to cause this

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:19:48.384 --> 00:19:50.464 DBH deficiency and the normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.841$ which is ATT,

 $00:19:51.841 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.126$ produces about 100 times more

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}19{:}54.126 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}19{:}56.931$ nor epinephrine than if you have one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:56.931 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.510$ these polymorphisms and the CC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:19:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.932$ this particular one is the one that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.240$ This is the lowest levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.478$ but it's also the most common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.622$ And it's been linked to a range

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:07.622 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.540$ of psychiatric disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}20{:}08.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.540$ from psychosis to conduct disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:10.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.048$ It's one of the genetic polymorphisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:20:13.048 --> 00:20:15.587 that's probably been the most widely

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:20:15.587 --> 00:20:17.963 studied in psychiatry for 30 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}20{:}17.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{:}717$ So we looked at a drug, doxazosin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:20:20.717 --> 00:20:22.902 for cocaine abuse and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:20:22.902 --> 00:20:25.320 that indeed that the placebo,

 $00:20:25.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.656$ which you can see in the solid line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}20{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30.516$ if anything there, cocaine use is going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.948$ And the people who got the ducks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.894$ Places in there, cocaine use is going down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.360$ This is a profound effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.209$ Of course not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}20{:}39.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}41.190$ We we have very few medications in

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:41.254 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.798$ cocaine abuse that produce profound effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:20:43.800 --> 00:20:47.166 But you're getting about a 25%

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

00:20:47.166 --> 00:20:49.190 difference between the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.214$ and the active medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00{:}20{:}51.220 \to 00{:}20{:}55.308$ and it's fairly sustained after the 5th week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902426198888889

 $00:20:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.630$ And let's see next one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.526$ So we then looked at these genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:02.526 --> 00:21:04.188 polymorphisms, that is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:04.188 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.658$ how much of the enzyme was actually

 $00:21:06.658 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.437$ there and did that have an effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}21{:}09.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11.928$ Well, what's shown at the top is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:11.928 --> 00:21:14.856 one the people that have the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:14.856 --> 00:21:17.086 polymorphism that in general would

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:17.158 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.918$ produce lower levels of norepinephrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.494$ And what we found is with these lower levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.880$ there really was no significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.784$ difference between the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:26.784 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.920$ and the active medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.880$ On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.080$ shown in the bottom there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.936$ which is the people that had this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}21{:}33.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.032$ polymorphism and therefore had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:36.032 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.432$ reduction in the amount of genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:38.432 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.412$ produced norepinephrine that their

 $00:21:40.412 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.508$ cocaine use significantly dropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:42.508 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.930$ over the 12 weeks of the trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:45.930 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.459$ was in fact showing drops as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:48.459 --> 00:21:50.832 can see beginning at about week five

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:50.832 --> 00:21:53.100 or six and continuing to go down

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:53.100 --> 00:21:55.184 while the placebo group really just

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:21:55.184 --> 00:21:57.039 bounced around and if anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:21:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.080$ increasing their cocaine use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}21{:}59.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.630$ So that the positive urns

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:01.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.789$ are reduced about 40%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.980$ From the baseline in these people

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:22:05.980 --> 00:22:08.370 that had this genetic polymorphism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.332$ we then you know repeated this

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:10.332 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.729$ in a couple of other studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:22:12.730 --> 00:22:15.047 So it looks like it's something there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:22:15.050 --> 00:22:17.444 I didn't bring the data along to show you,

 $00:22:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.928$ but we've also found that a polymorphism

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}22{:}19.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.025$ in the alpha nor adrenergic receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.911$ which leads to less sensitivity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:24.911 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.660$ or difference in sensitivity in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.228$ receptor that that's also associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.744$ response to this blocker that that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:22:33.750 --> 00:22:38.228 The AA1 blocker and those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:38.228 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.580$ the DBH and that other polymorphism

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}22{:}41.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.030$ in the receptor for no repinephrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.935$ that they do act together to have a an

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}22{:}47.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.479$ additive effect in reducing cocaine use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.719$ It's it's unfortunate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:51.719 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.610$ It's been hard to convince that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:22:54.689 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.864$ powers to be that Pharmacogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:22:56.864 --> 00:22:59.540 has any role for substance abuse,

 $00:22:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.195$ but we've found it with a variety of drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.984$ This is for cocaine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}23{:}03.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.768$ So there's a pharmacogenetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:05.768 --> 00:23:07.911 hypothesis that I hope is obvious

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:07.911 --> 00:23:10.403 from this that the DBH is converting

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:10.403 --> 00:23:12.359 dopamine to norepinephrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:12.360 --> 00:23:14.796 And then with Doxazosin which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:14.796 --> 00:23:17.140 actually blocking the A1 receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:17.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.952$ that if there's less norepinephrine there

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:20.952 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.864$ that we find that in fact the block by

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:24.864 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.860$ doxazosin then has a more profound effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.426$ And this is again I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00{:}23{:}32.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.231$ A function that makes at least some

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:35.231 --> 00:23:37.997 sense that the doxazosin would work

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:37.997 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.823$ better in the cocaine use puppet patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:41.823 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.357$ that have this polymorphism that they're.

 $00:23:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.485$ Norepinephrine levels are

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:46.485 --> 00:23:48.735 in fact it says higher GPH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:48.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.640$ That's that that's not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:51.640 --> 00:23:54.139 Lower TBH and it's and they're norepinephrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:54.139 --> 00:23:56.209 levels would be therefore lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

 $00:23:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.238$ and therefore blocking it with Doxazosin

NOTE Confidence: 0.885811125

00:23:58.238 --> 00:24:00.449 would probably be much more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:02.590 --> 00:24:04.262 All right. I'll see if I can manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:04.262 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.905$ to have a couple of other slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:05.905 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.372$ where I write the stuff correctly

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:07.372 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.356$ and that is we're now going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:09.356 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.262$ about the immunotherapies which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}24{:}11.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.740$ the anti drug vaccines to protect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}24{:}13.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.474$ Really to prevent overdose is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:15.474 --> 00:24:17.246 we're thinking about and how that

00:24:17.246 --> 00:24:18.646 mechanism of action might work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.652$ And we're going to start with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:20.652 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.803$ cocaine because we've done a lot of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:22.803 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.820$ with the cocaine vaccine in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.984$ We've been using a variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:28.984 --> 00:24:31.544 proteins as carriers because the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:31.544 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.176$ of abuse are too small to induce

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:34.258 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.820$ a an action of making an antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.570$ So that we've been recently using

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.740$ cream which is derived from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:43.740 --> 00:24:45.228 Let's see, diphtheria toxoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:45.228 --> 00:24:47.460 but we've also used cholera toxoid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.260$ tetanus toxoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:24:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.060$ And this cram is one that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:51.060 --> 00:24:53.798 used in vaccines by Pfizer and GSK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}24{:}53.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.820$ So it's got a lot of safety data on it.

 $00:24:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.692$ And the fentanyl can be attached

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:24:58.692 --> 00:25:01.024 to the code by either succinyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:25:01.024 --> 00:25:03.060 linkages or glycerol linkages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.447$ And it attaches to what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:05.447 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.691$ 12 lysine amino acids on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:07.691 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.596$ outside of the creme protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.376$ With tetanus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}10.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.092$ there's many more lysines on the outside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}13.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.068$ Tetanus is a much bigger protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}15.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.968$ But Cream is a much cheaper protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:17.968 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.090$ in terms of using it as a carrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:25:21.090 --> 00:25:23.365 So we're looking to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}23.365 \to 00{:}25{:}25.185$ in expensive versions of vaccines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.666$ and this is how they work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.548$ If you give the drug ordinarily,

00:25:28.550 --> 00:25:29.786 you take your drug of abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}29.790 \to 00{:}25{:}31.380$ it concentrates in the brain because

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}31.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32.897$ there are receptors in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:32.897 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.513$ for it to bind to give the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.285$ The vaccine makes these antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:36.285 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.050$ that stay in the bloodstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.586$ They don't go into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:39.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.452$ And so when you then take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}25{:}41.452 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43.503$ drug plus you have the vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:43.503 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.478$ there already with the antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.280$ You keep the drug in the bloodstream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:25:48.280 --> 00:25:49.974 Some of it gets into the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.124$ but in fact if you had a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:52.124 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.279$ concentration in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:25:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.194$ the antibodies would pull the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:25:55.194 --> 00:25:58.386 out of the brain and into and onto the

 $00:25:58.386 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.231$ antibodies where they then circulate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.576$ And the cocaine or whatever the drug is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.184$ is eliminated through liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:06.184 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.090$ metabolism and also in the urine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:26:10.090 --> 00:26:12.346 You didn't like that particular picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.160$ how it's working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:26:13.160 --> 00:26:15.340 Here's another picture of how it might work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.052$ And this is particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:26:18.052 --> 00:26:20.086 relevant to monoclonals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.520$ For a monoclonal against fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}26{:}24.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.420$ or against cocaine or whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.940$ they would actually pull the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:27.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.460$ drug out of the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.946$ And so this could be a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:32.946 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.440$ rapid overdose treatment.

00:26:34.440 --> 00:26:35.457 And by injecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:26:35.457 --> 00:26:37.491 but you'd have to inject the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:37.491 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.850$ monoclonal into the person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.894$ there are some monoclonals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:40.894 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.449$ That can be given subcutaneously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.484$ That have been developed for Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:45.484 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.421$ disease and they're ones that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:47.421 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.248$ look like you can give them orally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.695$ but obviously if someone's passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

00:26:51.695 --> 00:26:54.668 out you would probably give them

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}26{:}54.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.344$ by injection and subq as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:26:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.740$ So these are competitive antagonists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00{:}26{:}59.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.445$ So can some one smoke enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:27:01.445 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.809$ to overcome these vaccines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:27:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.202$ Well, yes, they can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869943317333334

 $00:27:04.202 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.290$ but it would take some effort.

00:27:06.290 --> 00:27:08.850 This is not someone who's taking the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.208$ obviously, but someone with a stunt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:27:11.210 --> 00:27:11.912 You'd have to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:27:11.912 --> 00:27:14.229 you'd have to take a lot of cocaine in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.510$ and in particular fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.430$ which we're going to get to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.070$ You really have to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:27:19.070 --> 00:27:20.382 massive amounts of fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.530$ which is not going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:27:21.530 --> 00:27:24.030 So that's why with cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.623$ we never could get it to work that well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.785$ And I'll show you some of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}27{:}26.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.574$ Data because people take in so

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:27:29.574 --> 00:27:31.972 much cocaine compared to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:31.972 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.720$ amount that's taken in a fentanyl.

 $00:27:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.416$ So what are the?

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}27{:}36.416 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}27{:}38.536$ These cocaine vaccines look like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:27:38.540 --> 00:27:40.052 well pharmacologically they looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:40.052 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.320$ sensible and that if you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:42.388 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.188$ a high antibody which we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:44.188 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.066$ develop by giving a larger dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:46.066 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.902$ of the vaccine or low antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}27{:}47.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.850$ from a lower dose of the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.226$ You would find that the blockage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.024$ which of the cocaine and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}27{:}55.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56.706$ we gave either 25 milligrams

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:56.706 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.776$ or 50 milligrams of cocaine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:27:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.380$ This was smoked cocaine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.912$ And you could get an 80% blockage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:03.912 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.024$ So what is the the purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:06.024 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.979$ versus the Gray or not Gray?

 $00:28:07.980 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.320$ I guess brown blocks or bars there

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:28:11.320 --> 00:28:14.092 illustrate how much of a high you got

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:28:14.092 --> 00:28:16.416 or a whole different set of ratings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.413$ good drug effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:17.413 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.737$ In this particular case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.460$ you get an 80% reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.679$ So the the purple was that week

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}28{:}22.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.720$ three before we had vaccinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.352$ week 13 was after we had put in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:27.352 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.140$ three vaccinations into the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:28:29.140 --> 00:28:30.996 If you then doubled the dose of cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.960$ which is shown again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}28{:}31.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35.070$ On this left side, you get a 50% reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.958$ If you had a low level of antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.394$ you only got a 23% reduction with

00:28:39.394 --> 00:28:41.986 the 25 milligram dose of cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.478$ and if you doubled the dose of cocaine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.321$ you only got a 13% reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:45.321 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.876$ Neither of those were statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333 00:28:47.876 --> 00:28:48.387 significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.955$ Both of them were significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:49.955 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.960$ though at the high antibody levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:28:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.095$ which simply meant giving a

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}28{:}54.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.230$ high dosage of the vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:28:56.230 --> 00:28:59.345 So this was good pharmacological

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}28{:}59.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.460$ demonstration of dose response effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:02.460 --> 00:29:04.770 So how did this turn out when we did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:04.837 --> 00:29:07.037 randomized controls outpatient trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.310$ I'm not going to review

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.580$ these studies in any detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.660$ but these are double-blind

00:29:11.660 --> 00:29:12.180 placebo-controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:12.180 --> 00:29:14.298 We vaccinated without actually 5 dosages

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:14.298 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.777$ of the vaccine over a 12 week period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.440$ We did urine toxicology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.515$ We measured antibody levels and

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:20.515 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.082$ we had calculated that a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:23.082 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.157$ cocaine dose could probably be

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}29{:}25.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.187$ blocked by 20 micro 20 micrograms per

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:28.187 --> 00:29:30.693 milliliter of antibody and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}29{:}30.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.159$ block probably 3 doses of cocaine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:33.160 --> 00:29:35.430 Um, with 42 or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}29{:}35.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.320$ because that was blinding about

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00{:}29{:}37.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}41.560$ 80% of cocaine by our calculations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:41.560 --> 00:29:41.884 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:41.884 --> 00:29:44.476 what happened was only a third of the

 $00:29:44.476 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.616$ people reached our threshold of 43

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:46.616 --> 00:29:49.080 and that's what's shown in blue here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.592$ And what you can see is as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:29:51.592 --> 00:29:52.880 repeatedly immunized them over

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.650$ the first looks like 16 weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.760$ but was actually only 12 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.965$ The antibody levels steadily rose

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:29:58.965 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.170$ and then the antibody levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:30:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.458$ steadily go back down again but

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:30:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.896$ for the people in the high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:30:05.900 --> 00:30:06.854 Antibody group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:30:06.854 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.762$ their average antibody levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

00:30:08.762 --> 00:30:11.512 by week eight were in fact up

NOTE Confidence: 0.783211143333333

 $00:30:11.512 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.480$ above 40 and they stayed up

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:13.565 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.395$ above 40 out to week 24,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:30:15.400 --> 00:30:16.680 which would be six months,

 $00:30:16.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.660$ which meant about three months of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:18.660 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.545$ protection you could get and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:20.545 \dashrightarrow 00:30:22.545$ you'd have to give a booster and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:22.611 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.641$ did give boosters to a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:24.641 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.507$ these patients and a single booster

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:30:26.507 --> 00:30:28.481 would push their antibody levels back

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:28.481 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.777$ up to the peak that they had before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}30{:}30.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33.223$ So you'd probably be with this vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}30{:}33.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35.709$ be giving it to responders about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:30:35.710 --> 00:30:37.200 Every three to four months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.916$ the newer vaccines we've got now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:30:38.920 --> 00:30:42.042 it looks like we can go six

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}30{:}42.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.380$ months between vaccinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:30:43.380 --> 00:30:44.640 But you can see you're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.480$ at 2/3 of them.

 $00:30:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.622$ That didn't make it at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}30{:}47.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.099$ as far as what you needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.956$ So we then looked at these three groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.400$ the people who got the placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.863$ the people who had the low levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:54.863 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.939$ of antibodies and the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:55.939 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.346$ that had the high levels of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}30{:}57.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.617$ levels that we thought you need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:30:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.063$ And what we found is the proportion

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:01.063 --> 00:31:03.585 of drug free urones during the period

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}31{:}03.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.441$ of weeks 9 to 17 versus the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:06.441 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.177$ period that there was a 2 1/2 fold

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.172$ reduction in cocaine use for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:11.172 --> 00:31:13.199 people that had attained our levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:13.200 --> 00:31:14.736 For those that didn't attain them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:14.740 --> 00:31:17.921 which was you know, 60% of the vaccinated,

 $00:31:17.921 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.109$ they got some reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.150 \ 1 \ 1/2 \ \text{or so}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:21.150 --> 00:31:22.630 50% reduction and the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:22.630 --> 00:31:24.155 really didn't show any change

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:24.155 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.627$ from what the baseline was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.450$ So this was a nice gun stair

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.070$ step dose response kind of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.912$ Also not enough to convince the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:30.912 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.650$ FDA that this was useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.900$ but enough to give us money

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.025$ for another study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.892$ And this was looking at all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}31{:}38.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}40.216$ different levels of antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00{:}31{:}40.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.759$ that we had in the study versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:42.759 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.669$ the percent of cocaine urones.

00:31:44.670 --> 00:31:47.309 And we found you could reach a

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:47.309 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.610$ level of antibody at which people

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.765$ just simply stopped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.236$ Using cocaine altogether because it wasn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:53.240 --> 00:31:54.540 They didn't have enough money

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.040$ or it just wasn't worth it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.664$ When we asked them why did they stop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:31:57.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.303$ they said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:31:58.303 --> 00:32:00.100 they just couldn't find a good enough dealer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.840$ so nobody seemed to believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.580$ that the vaccine did anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:03.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.740$ even though when we asked them

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.099$ who thought they got the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:08.100 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.684$ 80% of the people thought they

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.740$ got the active vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:32:10.740 --> 00:32:13.818 even though only 50% actually did.

 $00:32:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.578$ I think that was because our

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:32:15.578 --> 00:32:17.599 placebo had some alum in it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

00:32:17.599 --> 00:32:18.914 the alumnae your arm hurt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840266933125

 $00:32:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.560$ so they assumed that was the real thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.320$ So what do we conclude?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:32:24.320 --> 00:32:26.736 That the vaccine was better than a placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.038$ that the cocaine free Urnes increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}32{:}29.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.280$ as the antibody levels increased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.005 40\%$ of the patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}32{:}33.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.040$ effective antibody response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.580$ That's 40% of vaccinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.120$ It was medically safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}32{:}37.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.280$ There was increases in cocaine

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.965$ use above baseline levels that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:41.965 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.210$ could find intermittently in their.

 $00:32:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.275$ There are benzoylecgonine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:45.275 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.405$ well urine levels where we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:32:47.405 --> 00:32:49.988 a number of people that had urine

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:49.988 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.432$ benzoylecgonine levels that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:32:51.491 --> 00:32:53.176 they would not been vaccinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}32{:}53.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.259$ they probably would be dead from

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:32:55.259 --> 00:32:57.593 an overdose at least would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:32:57.593 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.230$ been seriously damaged by it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}32{:}59.230 --> 00{:}33{:}00.980$ But they in fact describe

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:00.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.730$ really no effects at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.054$ A few people described that their heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:05.054 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.040$ went pitter patter a bit but very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.910$ unimpressive as far as they were concerned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.416$ So this LED us to a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:12.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.149$ site cocaine study it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.040$ National study.

 $00:33:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.408$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:33:17.408 --> 00:33:19.984 Basically the same dosage of the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.760$ the urine toxicology was 300

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:21.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.176$ outpatients and we measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}33{:}23.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.281$ the same kind of outcomes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:25.281 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.966$ unfortunately got the same kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:27.025 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.680$ of outcome from the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.438$ which is if you're looking at 40,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.960$ we at best did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.358$ We got maybe half of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.928$ but some of them now because

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:33:36.928 --> 00:33:38.640 we rejuve nated the vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}33{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.304$ we we made new batch of it got up

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:42.304 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.476$ to antibody levels of over 250,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.360$ which is astounding so that there's quite a.

 $00:33:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.094$ Quite a amount of antibody that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:33:50.094 --> 00:33:52.009 can be produced in some people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00{:}33{:}52.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.082$ and the trick of course is to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

00:33:54.082 --> 00:33:55.687 get everybody to move up there or

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:55.687 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.583$ to be able to select ahead of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.90277069

 $00:33:57.583 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.466$ which people are not going to respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.323$ Well, what we did find is, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:03.323 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.490$ this is just the average data from the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}34{:}07.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09.800$ And 59 was our average of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.690$ peak effect at week 16,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:11.690 --> 00:34:14.450 which was better than in the other study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.045$ There was no simple correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:16.045 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.640$ this time with the antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:17.694 --> 00:34:19.369 levels with cocaine for urones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:19.370 --> 00:34:22.034 although we just published a paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}34{:}22.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.868$ that this was looking at IG levels

 $00:34:24.868 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.860$ and we had a paper in nature vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}34{:}27.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.911$ at the beginning of this year showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:29.911 --> 00:34:32.616 that it looks like it's IGA, not IG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:32.616 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.050$ That's really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}34{:}34.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}36.290$ And part of that is because IGA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.154$ that type of antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:38.154 --> 00:34:40.484 not only lines mucous membranes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.598$ it also lines the blood brain barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.000$ And so what's happening it looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.745$ like is that the cocaine or that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:48.745 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.215$ we don't have it for fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:50.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.588$ Yeah, actually we do in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:51.588 --> 00:34:52.272 animals for fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.450$ it's it's binding to the drug as

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:34:54.450 --> 00:34:56.699 it's trying to get into the brain,

 $00:34:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.520$ which is fantastic because now

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:34:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.742$ what we've been chasing after is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}35{:}00.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.412$ adjuvants that will increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:02.412 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.360$ amount of IGA being produced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.676$ And we so we duplicated this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.404$ started the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:07.404 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.938$ the mouse finding that was in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.991$ We then just duplicated it in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}35{:}11.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14.328$ rat study and this was we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:35:14.328 --> 00:35:16.063 back and reanalyzed this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.806$ I found the same thing was true in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.547$ So this is very encouraging and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:21.547 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.685$ exciting discovery that IGA is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}35{:}23.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.764$ fact may be the way this is working

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:25.832 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.787$ and there are some adjuvants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:27.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.195$ particularly some that could be

 $00:35:30.195 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.600$ given intranasally or orally that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:32.680 \dashrightarrow 00:35:35.286$ will markedly increase mucosal IGA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:35.286 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.774$ They're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:35:35.774 --> 00:35:38.214 they're being developed for COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:38.214 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.870$ vaccines at the moment and that's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.330$ you know, as if I have nothing else to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.734$ We actually have a COVID vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:44.734 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.129$ that we've come up with too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.898$ That's some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:35:46.898 --> 00:35:47.282 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:35:47.282 --> 00:35:50.570 focuses on this kind of IGA idea anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.426$ So what did we find in it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:53.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.910$ There was better treatment retention

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.230$ if you got these higher antibody levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:35:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.645$ Three times more participants had

00:35:58.645 --> 00:36:00.412 at least two weeks of abstinence

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:36:00.412 --> 00:36:02.200 if they had these higher levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.128$ than either the placebo or the low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.422$ And there were more cocaine for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:06.422 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.950$ urones compared to baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.110$ But neither are investors nor the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}10.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}12.309$ FDA were impressed with these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.642$ They said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}13.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.307$ every body you vaccinate has to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:36:15.307 --> 00:36:17.245 show a good response or it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.654$ Lousy vaccine that led to all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:36:21.654 --> 00:36:22.930 major pharmaceutical companies who

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}22.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.668$ got similar results with nicotine

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}24.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26.740$ from dropping out from the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.320$ And this is a while ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.040$ So did we learn something from these studies?

 $00:36:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.338$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:32.338 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.126$ we changed our protein carrier from

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:34.126 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.019$ cholera B to a better protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.790$ We got a better agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}37.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.534$ We started using a TLR four and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:40.534 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.318$ five adjuvants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.005$ These are toll like receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}44.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.690$ type adjuvants and agonists that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:36:46.785 --> 00:36:48.459 push these systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.455$ And that we really needed to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}50.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.035$ more patients who attain these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}52.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.690$ higher antibody levels and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00{:}36{:}53.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.699$ would be better to get patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

00:36:55.699 --> 00:36:57.613 who at least can become abstinent

NOTE Confidence: 0.83209703

 $00:36:57.613 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.505$ before we start trying to vaccinate

 $00:36:59.505 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.070$ them so they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:01.138 --> 00:37:02.850 little motivation to want

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.134$ to become abstinent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:04.140 --> 00:37:05.748 And again, with fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:05.748 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.758$ we need much less antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.569$ So what's happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:09.569 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.790$ Why is the fentanyl epidemic turned into?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:13.790 --> 00:37:15.386 Stimulant epidemic well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:15.386 --> 00:37:16.982 stimulants have limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:16.982 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.110$ lethality compared to fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.676$ I mean, it's hard to die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:20.680 --> 00:37:22.130 I don't shouldn't say hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:22.130 --> 00:37:24.970 but deaths from just cocaine

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.810$ or methamphetamine are not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:27.906 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.114$ common compared to fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:30.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.138$ The abusers had returned to stimulants,

00:37:33.140 --> 00:37:34.804 including amphetamines that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:34.804 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.884$ obtained from prescribers and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}37{:}36.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.678$ cocaine bought from the street.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:38.680 --> 00:37:40.276 Because people were recognizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:40.276 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.670$ that taking opiates you were taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:42.732 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.460$ a big risk with the fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:44.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.130$ That's almost always an opiates now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.780$ So the distributor started mixing

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.430$ fentanyl with the stimulants like

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}37{:}50.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52.208$ cocaine powder and methamphetamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:37:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.590$ Why did they do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:53.590 --> 00:37:55.930 You could take very inexpensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}37{:}55.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.825$ crappy cocaine and methamphetamine and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:37:57.825 --> 00:38:00.770 put a little bit of fentanyl in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.386$ and it feels like it's a great drug,

00:38:02.390 --> 00:38:03.558 apparently to the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:03.558 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.018$ so that they're not patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:05.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.408$ but people on the street.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.466$ So that's the reason to put fentanyl in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:08.470 --> 00:38:09.374 And fentanyl, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:09.374 --> 00:38:11.540 a little bit of it goes a very long way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.876$ so your marketing becomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:13.876 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.628$ much more profitable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:17.702$ Then, early in 2021,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:17.702 --> 00:38:19.256 counterfeit Adderall pills

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}38{:}19.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}21.599$ containing fent anyl began to appear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.300$ And this is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.150$ Big problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:24.150 --> 00:38:25.834 In March of 2021,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:25.834 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.161$ the DEA had seized 600,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:28.161 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.084$ counterfeit pharmaceutical pills.

 $00:38:30.084 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.648$ They all contain fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:32.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.892$ All of those at that time

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:33.892 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.190$ were being sold as Adderall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.011$ But there's now also been evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:38.011 --> 00:38:41.310 it's in Percocet and that it's also in Xanax.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.669$ One in four of these pills had

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:43.669 --> 00:38:45.230 lethal fentanyl overdoses in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}38{:}45.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.920$ Now that was not purposeful

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.272$ on the distributors part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:38:48.280 --> 00:38:50.686 There's no point in killing your

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}38{:}50.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.609$ customers but this new rising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}38{:}53.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.274$ Mortality from stimulants is due

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}38{:}55.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.473$ to the fent anyl disguised as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}38{:}57.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.681$ stimulant or mixed with the stimulant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:38:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.525$ The stimulant mortality rate with

 $00:39:01.525 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.175$ this is now rising at twice the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39{:}04.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06.413$ opiate mortality rate due to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:39:06.413 --> 00:39:08.770 the fentanyl adulteration of heroin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.330$ Amphetamines fourfold mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.930$ rise only required five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.920$ versus for the opiates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.654$ With fentanyl it took ten years

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:39:16.654 --> 00:39:18.370 to get this fourfold increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.378$ So the the path of the epidemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:21.378 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.287$ is now much more much steeper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.590$ And much more dangerous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.214$ This is what the counterfeit pills look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.894$ The authentic oxycodone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:32.894 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.242$ the counterfeit one on the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:36.242 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.368$ in the middle is the Adderall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:39.370 \dashrightarrow 00:39:41.850$ Adderall is the the real thing on the

 $00:39:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.688$ top and the counterfeits on the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:39:44.690 --> 00:39:46.280 If anything, the counterfeit pill

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:39:46.280 --> 00:39:48.450 looks like it's cleaner and nicer and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:39:48.450 --> 00:39:51.006 you know, Oh yeah, I'd rather have that more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.810$ And it's got a 30 on instead of 20,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.066$ so it must be a more potent pill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.670$ Xanax, the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.270$ That's the other counterfeit

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:39:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.136$ and the real one that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:40:00.136 --> 00:40:02.366 These are being, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:40:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.342$ distributed on the streets

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:40:04.342 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.807$ and on the Internet sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00{:}40{:}06.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.870$ virtually on the Internet sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:40:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.694$ any of the the dark Internet

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:40:10.694 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.910$ for Adderall at least,

 $00:40:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.358$ is Adderall with fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

00:40:13.358 --> 00:40:15.168 Virtually none of them now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:40:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.894$ or just really Adderall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893219046470588

 $00:40:16.894 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.480$ So this has become extremely big

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:19.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.296$ problem. And what's the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:21.296 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.570$ is that if we as doctors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:40:23.570 --> 00:40:25.440 prescribing Adderall, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.880$ like water and that is happening with kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.434$ this is going to be a problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.864$ Because when you take the drug and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:32.864 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.317$ take it and then a way to get high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.315$ you're going to have to take escalating

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:37.315 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.180$ dosages and those escalating dosages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:40:39.180 --> 00:40:41.637 Sooner or later the doctor is going to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:40:41.640 --> 00:40:43.116 no, I'm not going to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:43.116 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.100$ this to you anymore.

00:40:44.100 --> 00:40:46.386 The doctor shopping may work or may not work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:46.390 \dashrightarrow 00:40:47.804$ which means you go to several different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:40:47.810 --> 00:40:48.700 Not just to get it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:48.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.460$ but sooner or later you're going to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:50.460 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.023$ to either the Internet or the street

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:40:52.023 --> 00:40:53.679 and you're going to be getting you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.070$ I say you in a very general sense that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:56.134 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.360$ abuser is going to be getting Adderall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:40:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.943$ it's got fentanyl in it and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:00.943 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.237$ fentanyl has got a one in four

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:03.237 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.890$ chance is going to be a lethal dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.026$ So fentanyl vaccine that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:41:08.026 --> 00:41:09.628 in current development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.065$ we're trying to apply the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}41{:}11.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.500$ discoveries from an improved cocaine

 $00:41:12.548 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.028$ vaccine to the fentanyl vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}41{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.186$ We've been working on this for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:41:16.186 --> 00:41:19.740 few years now and this was from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.410$ paper that was in nature vaccines

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:23.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.307$ and this is a very complicated slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:41:25.307 --> 00:41:26.770 that's got much more information

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:26.770 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.564$ than you really need to know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.460$ but if you look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.486$ The C&D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:33.486 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.480$ and you look at the one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}41{:}35.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.736$ the the bars that are purple and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:37.736 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.871$ bars that are dark blue all the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:39.871 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.315$ to the right on all of these four

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:42.315 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.373$ different graphs that are shown there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:41:44.380 --> 00:41:47.170 This is what's happening if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.221$ give fentanyl to mice that have

 $00{:}41{:}50.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52.976$ been vaccinated or not vaccinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.129$ The clear bar is the naive mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:41:55.129 --> 00:41:57.220 that have not been vaccinated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.607$ and the blue and the purple bars

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:41:59.607 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.110$ are to the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.037$ That have been vaccinated and given fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.088$ Now the fentanyl dose on the far left

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}42{:}08.088 \to 00{:}42{:}11.305$ bottom which is the C part that's 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}42{:}11.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.135$ micrograms per kilogram that is a you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:14.135 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.851$ might call a therapeutic dose of fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:42:16.860 --> 00:42:19.086 The 100 micrograms per kilogram which

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:19.086 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.110$ is the next set of bars over is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}42{:}22.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.286$ fact the lethal dose of fent anyl and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:24.286 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.095$ what you can see is that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:27.095 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.120$ blocking of of pain from that 100.

 $00:42:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.497$ Who is complete?

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00{:}42{:}32.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.710$ When you have the naive mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:42:35.806 --> 00:42:37.888 you just you, you can't get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:37.888 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.600$ you know, you don't feel any pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.984$ I guess that's one way to put it

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:42:41.984 --> 00:42:44.520 before you die on the blue bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.180$ blue and purple bars.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:46.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.138$ Those are with the vaccination with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:42:49.140 --> 00:42:51.780 I'm not gonna go into the two different

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.100$ types of adjuvants that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:42:54.100 --> 00:42:56.420 the DLT and the LTA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:42:56.420 --> 00:42:59.525 only to tell you that the LTA 1 adjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:42:59.525 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.901$ is the one that produces much more IGA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:43:02.901 --> 00:43:06.128 And it's very clear from this making

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

00:43:06.128 --> 00:43:09.815 more IGA is what's the key to success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364 00:43:09.820 --> 00:43:10.732 So the left,

 $00:43:10.732 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.860$ so the C's are the tail flick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:43:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.172$ the D's or the is the hot plate

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:43:15.172 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.179$ measure in these mice and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.884379793636364

 $00:43:17.179 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.207$ shows exactly the same sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:19.277 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.200$ things, the hot plate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.640$ perhaps more graphically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.425$ that the vaccines are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00{:}43{:}25.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}29.080$ knocking down fentanyl's ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.830$ To act as an analgesic

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:43:30.830 --> 00:43:32.466 and which is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:32.466 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.517$ that's the simplest test one can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.615$ So that's mostly what this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:36.615 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.710$ slide shows in the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.558$ We then looked at the brain levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:40.558 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.823$ also and what you can see on the left

 $00:43:42.823 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.489$ or the brain levels of fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.362$ and on the right of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:46.362 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.610$ blood levels of fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.886$ The open bar is the one that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:50.886 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.814$ got the naive mouse, the dock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:53.814 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.442$ the blue and purple bars are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:43:57.442 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.197$ ones that have the vaccinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:00.200 --> 00:44:03.091 And what you find is very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:03.091 --> 00:44:05.758 fentanyl is getting in the brain now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:05.760 --> 00:44:08.714 yet if you look in the bloodstream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.736$ a lot of fentanyl is getting in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:10.740 --> 00:44:14.856 So it's going from about 10 nanograms per

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:14.856 --> 00:44:18.211 milliliter in the blood up to about 130,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:18.211 --> 00:44:20.710 a 100 or I'm sorry about 170.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.830$ So we have clearly gotten the fentanyl to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.282$ stay in the blood and not go into the brain.

 $00:44:27.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.391$ The brain levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:44:28.391 --> 00:44:31.190 I hope you can see are virtually 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.490$ So this is a big success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:34.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.018$ We then also looked at the type of antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:38.018 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.050$ and this is with the anti fentanyl, IGA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.490$ What's on the left is the brain levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.594$ The brain levels of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:48.594 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.340$ of and of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:52.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.192$ The IG let's see the brain levels of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:56.192 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.427$ fentanyl with the anti fentanyl vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:44:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.770$ The bigger the concentration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.693$ that antibody is which is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:04.693 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.651$ the the collection of diamonds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:07.651 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.596$ and triangles that are up in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:10.596 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.400$ left hand corner on the left side.

 $00:45:13.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.050$ That's the antibody there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00{:}45{:}17.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.970$ you're looking at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:45:19.970 --> 00:45:21.900 The anti fentanyl antibody and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.670$ the amount of IGA as the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.710$ Fentanyl is it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.350$ As it is. Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.119$ those levels are going down of the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.408$ The brain levels of fentanyl are going up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.856$ I said that that's all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:36.856 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.270$ that's this is trying to show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.966$ It's just it, NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:38.966 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.894$ it would be a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.440$ it would be a line except that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.029$ we really just have two clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:45:43.030 --> 00:45:44.983 You either knock the fencing all out

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00{:}45{:}44.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.601$ completely from getting into the brain

00:45:46.601 --> 00:45:48.295 or it completely gets into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.708$ There's just you know nothing in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:45:50.710 --> 00:45:53.286 We then looked at tail flick also and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:53.286 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.006$ found the same association that when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:56.006 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.049$ have had these higher levels of the antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:45:59.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.349$ the anti fentanyl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:46:00.349 --> 00:46:00.782 IGA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:00.782 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.947$ the tail flick doesn't occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.358$ although there there were a few exceptions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.622$ Of animals that we're still getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:07.622 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.895$ some tail flicker effect that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00{:}46{:}09.895 \longrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.001$ because the tail flick also involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00{:}46{:}12.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}14.330$ spinal cord reflexes and we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.664$ clear that this actually keeps fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.430$ completely out of the spinal cord in some,

 $00:46:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.830$ some animals will, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00{:}46{:}22.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}25.910$ So this is brain levels and pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.180$ This is a rat study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

00:46:28.180 --> 00:46:31.388 This in the RAT study is what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:31.388 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.810$ looking at is will rats self administer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.834$ The fentanyl and what you can show is

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:37.834 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.110$ that on the far left with no vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.290$ yes, the the rats will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191 00:46:43.290 --> 00:46:43.832 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867170720476191

 $00:46:43.832 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.000$ knock at the bar a million times to

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:46:46.060 --> 00:46:48.770 get the fentanyl and as you push the dose up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:46:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.018$ you know they get pushed the bar more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:46:53.020 --> 00:46:54.700 Once you vaccinate them though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00{:}46{:}54.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.020$ you just completely knock out that ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00{:}46{:}59.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01.771$ We also looked at it in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:01.771 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.586$ with bup renorphine because we wanted

 $00:47:03.586 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.968$ to be sure that we weren't decreasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00{:}47{:}05.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.640$ the efficacy of bup renorphine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:08.640 --> 00:47:10.159 And what we found is that no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:10.160 --> 00:47:11.835 we did not decrease the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:11.835 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.840$ efficacy of buprenorphine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:12.840 --> 00:47:14.280 If anything, it's enhancing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:14.280 --> 00:47:15.720 of the efficacy of buprenorphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.520$ which we're a little puzzled by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.250$ but you know that's OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:21.250 --> 00:47:24.354 This is a high dose fentanyl and lethality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.740$ this is in the rats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:25.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.128$ Hopefully you can see that on

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:28.128 --> 00:47:30.740 the right side of this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.748$ That's not what a rat should look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:32.748 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.456$ that's that's rigor mortis in the rat.

 $00:47:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.084$ They are basically stiff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:36.084 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.520$ They are basically dead from that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:38.592 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.064$ dose of fentanyl on the left or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:41.064 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.439$ mice that were vaccinated and given

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:43.439 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.959$ the same dose of fentanyl hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:45.960 --> 00:47:47.913 you can tell that they're you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:47.913 --> 00:47:49.266 they're they're walking around and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:49.266 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.883$ they look fine and they are you

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:50.883 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.657$ would not be able to hold them stiff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:47:52.660 --> 00:47:55.342 The way you can on the right side picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.796$ so this vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:56.796 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.206$ we've also measured respirations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:47:59.206 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.905$ the rats and the the respirations

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

00:48:01.905 --> 00:48:04.412 basically go down to 0 if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:48:04.412 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.959$ don't have the vaccine and if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.829660051

 $00:48:05.959 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.519$ do they don't change at all.

00:48:09.640 --> 00:48:12.895 So who should take the fentanyl vaccine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.440$ What's the target patient population?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:48:15.440 --> 00:48:17.396 Well certainly treatment seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:48:17.396 --> 00:48:19.841 opioid who are inevitably fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:19.841 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.280$ users maintained on bup renorphine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}48{:}22.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.184$ they clearly want treatment because but

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:48:25.184 --> 00:48:27.947 people morphine doesn't block fentanyl and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}48{:}27.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.971$ so they all of them should be vaccinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:48:30.980 --> 00:48:33.310 What about stimulant and benzodiazepine

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}48{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}35.640$ users because of this adulteration

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:35.704 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.559$ with fentanyl which is driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:37.559 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.414$ by St rebranding of fentanyl?

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}48{:}39.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.596$ Is a dangerous drug so that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:48:41.596 --> 00:48:43.415 people don't want to take fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:43.415 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.245$ and there's been an increased use

 $00:48:45.304 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.332$ in stimulants and the much lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:47.332 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.430$ production and shipping cost of fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.650$ We think the stimulant and benzodiazepine

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.369$ abusers ought to be given some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:53.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.434$ particularly the stimulant users

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:55.434 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.498$ vaccinations for anti fentanyl

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:57.498 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.968$ because they're going to die from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:48:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.122$ So we think there's a broad need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}49{:}02.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03.805$ this overdose and relapse prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:49:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.610$ vaccine beyond opioid users and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}49{:}05.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07.955$ whether it's going to extend into

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:49:07.955 --> 00:49:09.519 benzodiazepine abusers and virtually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:49:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.460$ Anything else will depend upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00{:}49{:}11.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}13.740$ how the marketing goes with the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

00:49:13.740 --> 00:49:14.404 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73261615

 $00:49:14.404 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.728$ the cartels in Mexico and the producers

00:49:16.728 --> 00:49:19.045 in China who are sending it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:49:21.230 --> 00:49:23.024 Just a quick little summary of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:23.024 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.382$ what I've tried to go over that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:25.382 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.846$ the opioid overdose epidemic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.818$ it started with I think what I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:29.818 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.189$ consider unethical prescribing of opiates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:49:32.190 --> 00:49:34.032 the MD's are over prescribing opiates

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:34.032 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.310$ that led to the illicit fentanyl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.134$ It was something driven by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}49{:}38.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.350$ lot of pharmaceutical companies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}49{:}39.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.698$ There are pharmaceutical companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:40.698 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.504$ I can tell you that are driving people

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}49{:}43.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.304$ to prescribe much more stimulants

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:45.304 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.190$ than they used to prescribe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:49:47.190 --> 00:49:49.310 Why? They're saying it's great

 $00:49:49.310 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.006$ for ADHD and adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.810$ Even adults that didn't seem to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.910$ have it as kids that it's in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.385$ kids that the diagnosis has

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:57.385 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.870$ been markedly increased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:49:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.678$ and there the kids are given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:50:01.680 --> 00:50:03.696 As I showed you from the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:03.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.332$ you know early on these early adolescents

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}50{:}06.332 \to 00{:}50{:}08.737$ are being given more substantial four

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:08.737 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.149$ times the amount of amphetamine or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:11.149 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.740$ what they than they used to be given.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8879758211764700:50:13.740 --> 00:50:14.472 So this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:14.472 \dashrightarrow 00:50:16.884$ And this is wave four and I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}50{:}16.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.117$ have to be very careful as physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:50:19.117 --> 00:50:21.568 that we don't get somehow blamed for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:21.568 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.925$ this epidemic that this is our doing.

 $00:50:23.925 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.875$ And I'm afraid it's it's not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:25.875 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.543$ necessarily coming from psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:27.543 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.678$ it's coming from pediatricians and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:50:29.678 --> 00:50:31.993 it's coming from internists who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}50{:}31.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34.087$ or or general practice doctors who

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:34.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.498$ I see in Texas all the time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:50:36.498 --> 00:50:38.356 are thinking that they see ADHD

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:38.356 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.530$ in adults and they say Oh yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.802$ this is and when they ask for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:42.802 \dashrightarrow 00:50:44.608$ stimulant they give them Adderall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:44.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.039$ that's the the one to give them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:50:47.040 --> 00:50:49.170 And the company that makes it

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}50{:}49.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}50{:}960$ obviously is not discouraging that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.670$ So what about amphetamine for treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.546$ stimulant use 30 yes there can be

 $00:50:54.546 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.135$ and it needs to be particular ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}50{:}56.189 \to 00{:}50{:}57.714$ though lisd examphetamine would be 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:50:57.714 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.656$ to think about others would be long

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:00.656 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.262$ acting slow onset genetic matching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:03.262 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.480$ I I think there's a real role for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:51:05.480 --> 00:51:08.259 I only showed it for doxazosin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:08.259 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.260$ the DBH polymorphism but there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.836$ in fact others that that are coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:12.836 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.963$ out including for the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:14.963 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.395$ of buprenorphine to treat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:51:16.395 --> 00:51:17.490 For pain abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:17.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.619$ it ends up Dave Nielsen and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:20.619 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.177$ published a paper recently showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}51{:}23.177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.097$ how a polymorphism in the the Kappa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647 00:51:27.100 --> 00:51:27.560 System. NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:51:27.560 --> 00:51:29.860 It's in the actually dynorphin,

 $00:51:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.968$ dynorphin polymorphism that produced

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}51{:}31.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.076$ that predicts treatment response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.360$ And then there's the vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.010$ I didn't have time to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:37.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.835$ about cholinesterase enzymes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.120$ These have been around now for a while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.060$ These are high activity colon esterases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:43.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.040$ We published a paper now it's about 810

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}51{:}46.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.420$ years ago where we took our vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.130$ Even our crappy vaccine combined

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}51{:}50.130 --> 00{:}51{:}51.498$ it with the Colonel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:51.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.260$ one of the Colonel esterases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}51{:}53.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}55.400$ and it was phenomenal in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:51:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.112$ that it completely blocked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:51:57.120 --> 00:51:58.840 McCain use and the animals,

00:51:58.840 --> 00:52:01.296 he just could not override it at all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.610$ even though they could override

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:02.610 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.920$ the vaccine and they could

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:03.965 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.229$ override the colon esterase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.914$ because the colony esterase

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00.52:06.914 \longrightarrow 00.52:08.177$ is relatively slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

00:52:08.180 --> 00:52:10.476 but when you put the two things together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:10.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.550$ it's unstoppable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}52{:}13.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.858$ And buprenorphine doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:14.858 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.166$ block fentanyl abuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647 00:52:16.170 --> 00:52:16.872 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647 00:52:16.872 --> 00:52:17.223 sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

1.012 00maonoo. 0.0010100**2**11,011

 $00{:}52{:}17.223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.680$ but and a vaccine can block the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00{:}52{:}19.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.022$ fent anyl abuse and the overdose

NOTE Confidence: 0.88797582117647

 $00:52:22.022 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.287$ and the human fentanyl vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.741$ we've just started

00:52:25.741 --> 00:52:28.026 manufacturing as of this month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:52:28.030 --> 00:52:29.290 We're hoping to get it

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.550$ into people within a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.994$ Depends on the FDA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:31.994 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.799$ but they've accelerated for COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:33.799 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.232$ obviously the approval process

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:35.232 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.260$ and we're hoping to convince them

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}52{:}37.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39.270$ that they should be doing the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:52:39.270 --> 00:52:40.944 thing for an anti fentanyl vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:40.944 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.726$ We're not the only one working

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:42.726 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.800$ on this vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.474$ But we're about at least probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:45.474 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.233$ two to three years ahead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:47.233 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.683$ the other three groups working

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:48.683 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.260$ on this around the country.

00:52:50.260 --> 00:52:54.274 It's not like we're we're competing

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:54.274 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.678$ with them in any real way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:52:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.012$ We're collaborating with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:52:57.012 --> 00:52:58.677 We're trying to work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:52:58.680 --> 00:53:00.132 There's a group at Harvard that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}53{:}00.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.396$ we're working with another one

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:01.396 --> 00:53:02.646 out at University of Washington,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.130$ another one and University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.748$ Maryland and then together plus with

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:07.748 --> 00:53:10.004 of course Niad and night etcetera

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}53{:}10.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.853$ together we think that would come

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:11.853 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.718$ up with this but our particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:13.718 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.301$ Group is is rather aggressive I guess

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:16.301 --> 00:53:18.720 because I know how to do these kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.149$ of clinical studies and nobody else involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:21.150 --> 00:53:22.570 It's done clinical studies

 $00:53:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.990$ with vaccines in addiction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.454$ So I think that is what's giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:26.454 --> 00:53:30.850 us an advantage, alright, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:30.850 --> 00:53:31.970 It's always nice to say,

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.797460120357143 \\ & 00:53:31.970 --> 00:53:32.534 \text{ you know,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:32.534 --> 00:53:33.944 this is not everybody who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:33.944 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.389$ worked with me over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:35.390 --> 00:53:36.150 including, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:53:36.150 --> 00:53:39.190 very few of the Yale people who worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}53{:}39.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.287$ with me on these vaccines are listed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:42.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.070$ But, you know, there are some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:46.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.910$ And anyone who sees my wife in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}53{:}47.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49.890$ young the next few months or whatever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143 00:53:49.890 --> 00:53:50.294 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:50.294 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.150$ tell her to make sure that I did say,

00:53:52.150 --> 00:53:54.316 you know, thank you to my wife, Terry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:54.316 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.646$ Costume and the collaborators are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143 00:53:56.650 --> 00:53:57.378 of course, NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:57.378 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.562$ a bunch of different companies who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:53:59.562 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.999$ worked with us and making these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}54{:}02.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05.198$ And and the National Institute of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:54:05.198 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.079$ Health was both naida and the NIH NIAD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00.54:09.080 \longrightarrow 00.54:10.643$ the Allergy infectious

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

00:54:10.643 --> 00:54:12.206 disease fouchy's group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143 00:54:12.210 --> 00:54:12.822 With that, NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:54:12.822 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.658$ I think I've managed to burn

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00{:}54{:}14.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.017$ up as much time as I'm allowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:54:17.017 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.178$ and I'm happy to address any

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:54:19.178 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.866$ questions that people have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797460120357143

 $00:54:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.000$ So thank you very much.