WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:06:27" NOTE recognizability:0.821

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.7287771

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:00.830$ Boom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896838628

 $00{:}00{:}02.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.240$ OK. We are now recording.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896838628

 $00:00:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.761$ So it's my pleasure to introduce Jerry

NOTE Confidence: 0.896838628

 $00{:}00{:}08.761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.780$ Sanacore and Sam Wilkinson to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.896838628

 $00:00:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.785$ to us about ketamine and psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.896838628

 $00:00:12.785 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.950$ and the treatment of depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896838628

00:00:14.950 --> 00:00:19.880 And just take it away. Alright,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.695$ can you see this? Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:23.695 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.085$ OK, now am I showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:26.085 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.980$ right one or is it the swap?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00{:}00{:}29.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.240$ I can never figure that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.280$ OK all right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.157$ So I'm going to start out and

 $00:00:36.157 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.668$ probably talk for the 1st 1520

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00{:}00{:}38.668 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}00{:}41.557$ minutes or so just about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

00:00:41.557 --> 00:00:43.553 we learned from implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:43.553 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.490$ getting Esketamine approved and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.335$ then trying to implement it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.176$ So I do have some disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.720$ I get money from the federal government,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.340$ nonprofits and some pharma companies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.850$ Yale has an institutional conflict

NOTE Confidence: 0.9286992875

 $00:00:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.470$ of interest with Esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:00:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.390$ So just just to know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:01:00.390 --> 00:01:04.062 So I let's see, I'm going to start out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.561$ it's alright. I'm going to start out

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}01{:}05.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.322$ with a joke that's going to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:07.322 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.657$ it clean because we're recording.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:01:08.660 --> 00:01:13.168 So I'm a very I I consider

 $00:01:13.168 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.100$ myself very practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.418$ I my undergrad major was engineering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.024$ So so there there are three people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:01:21.030 --> 00:01:22.680 There's an engineer, there's a physicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.596$ and there's a mathematician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:01:24.596 --> 00:01:29.158 And they are engaged in a contest to go out

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:29.158 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.800$ and hunt tigers and and capture them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.080$ So before they go out and hunt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.532$ they have to make structures to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}01{:}37.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.431$ keep them in cages out of fencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:39.431 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.886$ materials or something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:40.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.081$ So the physicist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:42.081 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.860$ he creates a circle and he says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.660$ well, I'm creating a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:01:45.660 --> 00:01:47.420 People, because you can get the

 $00:01:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.256$ most area for your, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:49.256 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.307$ linear amount of material and then the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.980$ the engineer says.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.930$ He he makes it an equilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:01:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.811$ triangle and he says this is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:01:58.811 --> 00:02:00.314 structurally sound cage so that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:00.314 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.680$ you know, that's why I'm making this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.970$ And then in the corners, the mathematician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}02{:}03.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.410$ he's fumbling around materials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.790$ it's clear he's never used his

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}02{:}06.790 --> 00{:}02{:}07.710$ hands in his life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.492$ And he somehow manages to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}02{:}09.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.210$ a little fence around himself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.242$ And he says, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:02:13.242 --> 00:02:14.324 hypothetically, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:14.324 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.333$ the internal and external areas in A3

 $00:02:16.333 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.789$ dimensional services are arbitrary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.070$ So I'm therefore defined myself

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.094$ as outside the circle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:20.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.798$ and I've enclosed all the tigers

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:21.798 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.158$ in the world, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:23.158 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.698$ Uh, so that's maybe clever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.480$ but it's not very practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.730$ Uh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:02:27.730 --> 00:02:29.980 So I want to talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}02{:}30.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.991$ what we've learned from Esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:31.991 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.931$ and and other drugs really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.600$ that are kind of psychoactive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.428$ you could say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:36.428 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.360$ and and how they're going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.220$ implemented in the real world.

 $00:02:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.795$ And I think why it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}02{:}41.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.410$ think about it from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.544$ So I'm first actually not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:44.544 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.759$ going to start with the skinny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.776$ I'm going to start with Brexanolone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667 00:02:47.780 --> 00:02:48.117 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:02:48.117 --> 00:02:50.476 I don't know who's familiar with Brexanolone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667 00:02:50.480 --> 00:02:51.344 It is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:51.344 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.368$ There's some really bright ideas behind it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.778$ Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:02:54.778 --> 00:02:56.818 it's an exogenous form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:56.818 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.878$ allopregnanolone used to that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:02:58.878 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.996$ now the first FDA approved therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}03{:}00.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.894$ for post for postpartum depression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:03:02.894 --> 00:03:05.630 I think it's a, it's a really great idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}03{:}05.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.180$ But somewhere along the way the

 $00:03:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.610$ way those great ideas stopped,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}03{:}10.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.334$ this this next one is, is one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}03{:}13.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.966$ It's a 60 hour infusion and it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:15.966 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.010$ to be done in a hospital setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.610$ Now all credit to the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.026$ the folks who were developing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:03:21.030 --> 00:03:22.829 I I think they thought that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:22.829 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.978$ it could be done in a home setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.882$ But because of some adverse events

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:26.882 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.866$ that happened in the later stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:28.866 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.834$ trials where the women who were

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:30.834 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.708$ getting these the treatment kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}03{:}32.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.802$ of fell as leep or dozed off while

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:34.802 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.974$ they were getting the IV infusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:36.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.000$ The FDA being the conservative

00:03:39.000 --> 00:03:41.659 organization it said it is said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00{:}03{:}41.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.856$ this has to be done in a hospital setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

00:03:43.860 --> 00:03:46.877 So it also doesn't help it cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.018$ \$35,000 and and so based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.871618756666667

 $00:03:50.018 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.110$ all these factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:03:52.219 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.728$ the way that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:03:54.728 --> 00:03:56.570 hospitals are reimbursed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:03:56.570 --> 00:03:58.040 A lot of hospitals are are reimbursed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:03:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.618$ in what are called bundled rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:03:59.620 --> 00:04:03.106 So no matter what service they provide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.870$ they're paid the same by government payers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.800$ So they really have no incentive

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.008$ to offer this treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.599$ In fact they they have a disincentive

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:11.599 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.900$ to offer this treatment because

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.604$ they're just going to lose money.

00:04:14.610 --> 00:04:17.058 So Brexanolone is not really being

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:04:17.058 --> 00:04:19.903 used maybe at UNC Chapel Hill where

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:04:19.903 --> 00:04:23.562 they you know they they have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:23.562 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.388$ expertise and and interest in postpartum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.830$ Every part of depression but you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.805$ the the factors aligned here it make

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:04:32.805 --> 00:04:36.119 it almost impossible and to to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:36.119 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.119$ of get this off the ground so let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

00:04:39.119 --> 00:04:41.357 talk a little bit about Esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.275$ So as you as this was a cartoon that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00{:}04{:}45.280 \to 00{:}04{:}47.457$ came about man it's been seven years

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:47.457 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.951$ that's that's kind of crazy just a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:49.951 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.802$ bit about how nonspecific ketamine is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:51.802 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.370$ It has all sorts of actions on different

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:54.437 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.437$ different receptors this was our.

00:04:56.440 --> 00:04:58.392 Little update with bravado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:04:58.392 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.770$ you know it should be given IMS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:00.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.258$ bravados, the ripoff, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.445$ So we've learned a ton about ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:07.445 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.340$ or esketamine in the last 5-6 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.816$ These immediately clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:12.816 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.800$ relevant questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.328$ the optimal dose strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:15.328 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.016$ we have reasonable kind of studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:18.016 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.319$ have addressed a lot of these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.120$ What is the long term effectiveness?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.398$ What is the long term safety?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.136$ There's more and more data coming out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.796$ What are the moderators or response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90910651

 $00:05:26.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.230$ It's not too different than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:05:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.676$ It's not too different than depression

 $00:05:33.676 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.880$ generally but they're you know focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:05:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.093$ on the bottom question can similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:05:38.093 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.378$ drugs be developed and implemented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

00:05:40.380 --> 00:05:42.693 I'm not going to go into a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

00:05:42.693 --> 00:05:44.440 the clinical data for Esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:05:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.545$ I I want to talk about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:05:47.545 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.650$ the implementation principles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}05{:}49.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.994$ So just to give a little bit of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

00:05:52.994 --> 00:05:55.297 context the timeline so the FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:05:55.297 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.660$ approved this ketamine in the US it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}05{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.259$ coming up on three years, so it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:00.259 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.658$ March of 2019, there are two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}06{:}02.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.838$ There's been a subsequent indication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}06{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.538$ The first indication was for TRD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.772$ or treatment resistant depression.

00:06:08.772 --> 00:06:11.351 A subsequent indication was approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

00:06:11.351 --> 00:06:14.760 what some people are calling MSI or

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:14.842 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.087$ major depression with suicidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

00:06:18.090 --> 00:06:19.520 An important thing to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.333$ is that as kenning is subject

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}06{:}21.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.594$ to what's called a Rams or risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:23.594 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.780$ evaluation mitigation strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.514$ This is when this is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}06{:}26.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.670$ the drug safety program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.100$ The FDA requires certain medicines

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00{:}06{:}30.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.530$ with serious safety concerns to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:32.604 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.956$ make sure that the risk benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.448$ We should be balanced so you may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:37.448 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.350$ familiar with this in psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

00:06:39.350 --> 00:06:41.350 Clozapine has around Suboxone

NOTE Confidence: 0.870290748571429

 $00:06:41.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.350$ and and other medicines.

 $00:06:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.596$ All have REMS drug safety programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00:06:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.974$ So what, let me tell you just a

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00:06:51.974 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.110$ little bit about the Esketamine REMS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00:06:54.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.150$ So it can't be used in the take home form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

00:06:57.150 --> 00:06:59.568 There's a 2 hour monitoring period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00:06:59.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.826$ It turns out that this is a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00:07:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.158$ problem to implementing medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

00:07:04.158 --> 00:07:06.406 The FDA judge, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00{:}07{:}06.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.390$ basically said it has to be 2 hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

 $00{:}07{:}08.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.590$ I think that's a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781446102857143

00:07:09.590 --> 00:07:11.116 For most patients it's a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.540$ On the conservative side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00{:}07{:}14.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.215$ there's vital sign monitoring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:16.215 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.558$ has to be done pharmacy and treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:18.558 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.447$ center certification and you have to

00:07:20.447 --> 00:07:22.055 submit forms with with some data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00{:}07{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.636$ So I got together with some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:24.636 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.988$ who are kind of more expert in

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:26.988 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.292$ policy and so forth and we basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:29.292 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.364$ made a an evaluation right after

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

00:07:32.364 --> 00:07:35.330 attending came out how it would do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.318$ what would be some of the challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.210$ So, so again I mentioned the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821302202857143

 $00:07:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.470$ two hour monitoring period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:07:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.016$ That's a that was a huge thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}07{:}45.020 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}07{:}47.150$ partly because it was unclear how

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}07{:}47.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.440$ psychiatrists were gonna get paid for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:07:49.440 --> 00:07:51.536 Now let me take just a step back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:07:51.540 --> 00:07:55.760 I was at an RTP seminar a couple years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:07:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.980$ and I think Chris and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:07:57.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.756$ Bob Mallison were there.

00:07:59.760 --> 00:08:02.670 And Bob, you know, wonderful guy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}02.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.002$ he said something that that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:04.002 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.668$ wish I could have gone back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:05.668 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.120$ and challenged him a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.562$ He said, look, you know, we don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:08.562 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.080$ care how people are going to get paid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.498$ Let's just focus on the science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:08:12.500 --> 00:08:14.948 I I'm gonna push back and say well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.252$ if you don't care about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:08:16.252 --> 00:08:17.589 people are going to get paid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:17.590 \dashrightarrow 00:08:20.090$ it's not going to be used in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}20.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.818$ So I know that these factors aren't the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}22.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.426$ normal things that a lot of us think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.830$ but they are really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.260$ So the two hour monitor

 $00:08:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.690$ requirement is a big issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}29.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.640$ The space, the infrastructure personnel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:08:31.640 --> 00:08:32.870 who's going to monitor it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.954$ how are our psychiatrist

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:33.954 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.309$ is going to get paid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.154$ These were all very new issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:38.154 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.198$ that that not a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:40.198 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.187$ have thought about vital sign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}42.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.567$ Wondering psychiatrists don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:43.567 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.321$ generally do this and then what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}46.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.524$ called buy and Bill model payment

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}08{:}48.524 \to 00{:}08{:}50.734$ psychiatrists also don't do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.720$ What buying Bill model is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.547$ It's it's used in cancer a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:08:55.550 --> 00:08:58.290 So a clinic will buy up a lot of medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:08:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.493$ chemotherapy for instance,

 $00:08:59.493 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.300$ and they will individually resell it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}09{:}02.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.726$ patients and their insurance companies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.475$ This is kind of how a lot of places

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:07.475 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.309$ have to do treatment with Esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:09:10.310 --> 00:09:10.898 It's not you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:09:10.898 --> 00:09:12.556 you don't give a script to a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:12.556 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.229$ and they fill it at the pharmacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.630$ That's not how this works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}09{:}15.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.862$ And so psychiatrists aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:16.862 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.710$ familiar with this sort of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:09:18.710 --> 00:09:22.399 And it's been a you know what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.035$ A structural barrier to implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:24.035 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.069$ this and of course many psychiatrists

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:26.069 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.097$ more than pretty much any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:28.097 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.100$ specialty do not accept insurance.

 $00:09:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.428$ That's not necessarily a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:09:31.428 --> 00:09:32.756 barrier specific test ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:09:32.760 --> 00:09:33.436 but Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:33.436 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.126$ but it's something that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:35.126 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.060$ we have to think about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.840$ And then transportation so

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.510$ patients can't drive on the days

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}09{:}41.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.628$ of treatment after the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:43.628 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.260$ This can be a barrier to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:46.342 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.941$ people getting treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:09:47.941 --> 00:09:50.947 So before S Penniman was approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:50.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.700$ we had watched with interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:52.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.310$ This growing trend of people providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.668$ off label racemic ketamine and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}09{:}57.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.860$ was a a letter we we published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:09:59.933 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.460$ number of years ago and in the

00:10:02.460 --> 00:10:04.368 absolute numbers aren't very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:04.368 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.231$ but the trend was definitely you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:07.231 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.919$ increasing and and so we thought you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:09.919 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.449$ know well maybe Esketamine gets approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}10{:}12.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}14.472$ The economics will be such that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:10:14.472 --> 00:10:16.490 you know the demand for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.555$ this type of thing will be funneled

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}10{:}18.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.154$ towards providers who give Ken

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:20.154 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.478$ Esketamine because insurance carriers

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:21.478 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.837$ are going to pick it up and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.087$ It's not going to be as costly

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

00:10:26.087 --> 00:10:28.009 for the patients and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00:10:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.627$ I don't think that's been the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77763587875

 $00{:}10{:}29.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.696$ This is kind of an update to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:10:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.166$ So that's in the last couple of years and

00:10:35.166 --> 00:10:37.719 this isn't a strict epidemiological study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}10{:}37.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.920$ but just gives us some sense that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:10:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.065$ know these these ketamine clinics off

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:10:42.065 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.369$ label ketamine clinics are continuing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:10:44.428 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.903$ exist and and I'm sure some of them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:10:46.903 --> 00:10:49.145 thriving and part because as ketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:10:49.145 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.480$ really hasn't been implemented very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:10:51.480 --> 00:10:54.301 It's been very, very challenging course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:10:54.301 --> 00:10:56.777 pandemic doesn't make it easy any easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:10:56.780 --> 00:10:58.772 But again just to to think about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}10{:}58.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01.242$ of the treatments that we have and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:01.242 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.774$ the difficulty of implementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:02.780 --> 00:11:05.648 Plus being Suboxone, brexanolone as ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:05.650 --> 00:11:07.414 ECT, cognitive behavioral therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:07.414 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.060$ all of them have serious implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:10.123 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.305$ issues. And so the,

00:11:11.305 --> 00:11:13.490 you know a lot of these are very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.270$ very good and helpful treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:15.270 --> 00:11:18.718 But if we think about how to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:18.718 --> 00:11:21.108 treatments better for our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:21.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.538$ you know we need to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:22.538 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.650$ this from the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:23.650 --> 00:11:27.546 So again I gave the example of brexanolone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.216$ it would have been great if instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:29.216 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.338$ of a 60 hour infusion they started

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:31.338 --> 00:11:33.028 with well one hour infusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.110$ Six days a week or something like that

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}11{:}35.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.582$ and that would have been much easier to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}11{:}37.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}40.558$ implement than a 60 hour continuous infusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:40.560 --> 00:11:45.104 So common barriers again with

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:45.104 --> 00:11:46.714 these new treatments is very,

00:11:46.720 --> 00:11:49.900 very germane to the psychedelic world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:49.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.890$ The existing infrastructure of weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:51.890 --> 00:11:54.273 patients are treated are not suited

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:54.273 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.235$ to these new kind of paradigms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:11:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.680$ extended modern observation times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:11:59.680 --> 00:12:01.375 you know cost and reimbursement

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:01.375 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.730$ ratio and the example for channel 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:12:03.730 --> 00:12:04.090 Uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.250$ there's a disincentive to provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.734$ treatment based on the way that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}12{:}08.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.750$ hospitals are reimbursed and and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667 00:12:10.750 --> 00:12:11.760 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.275$ requiring really specialized

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:13.275 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.790$ training or skills.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:12:14.790 --> 00:12:17.270 I know some people in the psychedelic world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.286$ there's this thinking that it has to

 $00:12:19.286 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.894$ be a very specific way that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:21.894 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.984$ are guided through this experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.478$ And the more specific that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.125$ the harder it's going to be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:12:27.125 --> 00:12:28.570 implement kind of like like CBT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.930$ So some thoughts I have about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:12:31.930 --> 00:12:34.410 you know, we you need to be thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.367$ About these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}12{:}35.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.600$ early on it how will the proposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:12:37.664 --> 00:12:39.739 treatment fit into the existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:39.739 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.399$ healthcare scheme and setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.444$ The other thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667 00:12:42.444 --> 00:12:43.227 is you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.615$ this was not news to me as we went

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:45.615 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.399$ through this as ketamine thing.

00:12:47.400 --> 00:12:49.576 You have to create CPT codes if they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.016$ if they're going to be new procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.898$ CPT code needs to be created

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

00:12:53.898 --> 00:12:54.837 that involves lobbying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.384$ it involves the APA and the AMA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:57.384 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.980$ it takes a while and then where

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:12:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.030$ you can can you create where where

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}13{:}03.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.734$ you need specialized the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:13:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.750$ Can you have digital therapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:13:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.975$ or or in some way you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}13{:}09.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.235$ standardize this with computerized

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:13:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.360$ therapies or trainings or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:13:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.144$ So these are a few of my thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:13:17.144 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.001$ from the the rollout of

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00:13:20.001 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.073$ both Brexanolone and Esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.819408550666667

 $00{:}13{:}22.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.397$ and I think you know we could,

00:13:24.400 --> 00:13:26.288 I don't know Jerry if you want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815742994285714

00:13:27.480 --> 00:13:30.000 Sam, can you since this is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815742994285714

 $00:13:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.568$ I think this is a group with

NOTE Confidence: 0.815742994285714

00:13:31.568 --> 00:13:32.240 very mixed backgrounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815742994285714

00:13:32.240 --> 00:13:35.550 Can you unpack CBT, CPT codes? Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:13:35.560 --> 00:13:38.444 I, I'm sorry. So CPT codes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:13:38.444 --> 00:13:40.412 I think it stands for current

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00{:}13{:}40.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.736$ procedural terminology that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:13:41.736 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.867$ that's how everything gets, gets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:13:43.867 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.736$ gets paid for in the healthcare system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:13:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.590$ So unfortunately they are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:13:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.896$ really well adapted to psychiatry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00{:}13{:}50.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.500$ They were created for mostly for

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:13:53.579 --> 00:13:55.679 like surgical and procedures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:13:55.680 --> 00:13:57.678 but every time you do something

00:13:57.678 --> 00:13:59.010 in the healthcare setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:13:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.620$ See a patient for 1520

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.708$ minutes you administer ECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:03.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.146$ You do psychotherapy for 40 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:06.150 --> 00:14:09.022 You you or your I mean usually it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:09.022 --> 00:14:11.935 a clerical person will submit a code

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:11.935 --> 00:14:14.525 to the insurance company and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:14.525 --> 00:14:17.325 you will get paid for that hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.426$ sometime you know one to six months later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.495$ So when these new procedures come out

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:23.495 --> 00:14:25.362 like as ketamine for instance again

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:25.362 --> 00:14:27.504 the the the issue with Esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:27.567 --> 00:14:29.317 was that you have this two hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.660$ Running time and there wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.579$ a way to get paid for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:32.580 --> 00:14:33.366 It's you know,

 $00:14:33.366 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.200$ it's a huge it's it's that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:35.256 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.960$ a lot of time to have a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.576$ in your office or your clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:38.576 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.280$ and and to be monitoring them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:40.280 --> 00:14:42.240 If you can't get paid for that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.080$ your nurse is spending time or you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.156$ your doc is spending time watching them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00{:}14{:}48.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50.576$ It's going to be hard to to convince

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00{:}14{:}50.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.629$ people to to take up this treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:52.629 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.058$ and use it in in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:14:55.060 --> 00:14:57.832 So I know a lot of silo syben studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:14:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.656$ It's like an 8 hour deal right and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00{:}15{:}01.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02.997$ Some of the some of the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:15:02.997 --> 00:15:04.000 I talk to say ohh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.256$ it's just once they're good for six months.

 $00:15:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.718$ I'm a little skeptical of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.776$ We'll, we'll see if if that pans out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.194$ if if it does, that'd be great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.632$ But but these are things that are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:13.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.985$ to be real barriers to implementing

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:15.985 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.499$ the treatment in the real world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667 00:15:18.500 --> 00:15:18.768 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:18.768 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.180$ if it's shown to be effective in it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

00:15:21.240 --> 00:15:23.628 achieve some sort of regulatory approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.970$ does that explain a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.732320036666667

 $00:15:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.042$ bit about CPT codes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00{:}15{:}26.280 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}27.704$ Yeah. So if you have a new treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:27.704 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.407$ like a new drug but it can be prescribed

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00{:}15{:}29.407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.797$ in the same way as an old drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.766$ then you don't need a new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.498$ CPT code because it fits within

 $00:15:33.498 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.688$ the existing model, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00{:}15{:}34.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.596$ But if you have something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:36.596 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.327$ requires a fundamentally new mode of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:38.327 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.014$ delivering care or use of time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

00:15:40.067 --> 00:15:41.707 can't fit within existing codes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.054$ then that's when you would need to build.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:44.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.229$ To to to build a new one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

00:15:45.230 --> 00:15:46.640 And that's a lengthy process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856035576

 $00:15:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.416$ Is that the correct, the correct

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:15:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.257$ and and most of our treatments is

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:15:50.257 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.199$ everyone knows are just pills and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00{:}15{:}52.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.855$ aren't really CPT codes for those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00{:}15{:}53.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}58.117$ They're just CPT codes for a 20 minute time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:15:58.120 --> 00:15:59.278 To sit down with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:15:59.280 --> 00:16:00.858 figure out what their problems are,

00:16:00.860 --> 00:16:02.056 what you know their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00{:}16{:}02.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.226$ their goals are and then OK Prozac

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:04.226 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.714$ and send it to the pharmacy that's in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.585$ But obviously with these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:16:08.585 --> 00:16:10.077 like Esketamine or silybin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:16:10.080 --> 00:16:11.780 it's a very much involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:16:11.780 --> 00:16:13.544 there's observation the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:13.544 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.885$ has to be in your office or your

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:16.885 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.034$ clinic and it's kind of a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:19.034 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.547$ new world in terms of CPT code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.662$ That that space there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643 00:16:23.662 --> 00:16:24.190 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.248$ So these are just things that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:26.248 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.395$ should be thought about even at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:28.395 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.195$ early stage because again like like

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:30.256 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.744$ brexanolone if they would have just said OK,

 $00:16:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.726$ let's instead of a 60

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:16:33.726 --> 00:16:34.594 hour continuous infusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:16:34.594 --> 00:16:37.330 let's try an hour infusion for you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:37.387 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.610$ four or five days a week for two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:39.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.494$ Is that does that have a comparable

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

00:16:42.494 --> 00:16:44.710 clinical outcome we you know it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703897643

 $00:16:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.865$ it could be a different ball game.

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:16:48.460 --> 00:16:50.470 And Sam with the ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00{:}16{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.297$ so you focused on S ketamine which

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00{:}16{:}52.297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.739$ is the FDA approved intranasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:16:53.739 --> 00:16:56.107 form of the S and anomer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:16:56.172 --> 00:16:57.972 contrast to Ivy received Academy

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00{:}16{:}57.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59.772$ which came around earlier but

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00{:}16{:}59.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01.355$ doesn't have the FDA approvals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:01.355 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.972$ It's always off label, right.

 $00:17:02.972 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.988$ And you showed us how there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00{:}17{:}04.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.440$ a growth of clinics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.240$ continued growth of clinics that

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.468$ are giving the racemic the IV

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:10.468 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.298$ ketamine that's not FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:12.300 --> 00:17:14.092 How much of that is being paid for

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:14.092 --> 00:17:15.271 by insurance within the system

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:15.271 --> 00:17:17.130 with CPT codes and how much of it

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:17.130 --> 00:17:18.586 is being paid for out of pocket?

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.054$ But people because that's this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:20.054 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.642$ another thing that's become going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:21.642 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.786$ become relevant with psychedelics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00{:}17{:}22.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.454$ You know if it goes out under an

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:24.454 --> 00:17:26.201 8 hour model and it costs \$7000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:26.201 --> 00:17:28.689 well then some people are going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:28.689 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.570$ that independent of the insurance industry.

 $00:17:30.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.418$ Then we come into the issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:32.418 --> 00:17:34.085 equity and and you know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:34.085 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.975$ what's tiny sliver of the of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:36.039 --> 00:17:37.744 population that might benefit is

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:37.744 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.162$ are we getting because it was so

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:40.162 --> 00:17:42.298 but how's that playing out with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:42.300 --> 00:17:44.532 With ketamine at this point is that is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.715$ is the receiving ketamine being

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

00:17:45.715 --> 00:17:46.364 covered by insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.623414026666667

 $00:17:46.364 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.120$ is that mostly private pay out

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:17:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.962$ of pocket. I mean we don't have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:17:50.962 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.700$ great high quality epidemiologic data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00{:}17{:}53.700 --> 00{:}17{:}55.824$ My sense, my strong sense is

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:17:55.824 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.039$ that it's mostly out of pocket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:17:58.040 --> 00:17:59.930 You know, I mean at Yale we've

00:17:59.930 --> 00:18:01.895 fortunately been able to kind of get

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:01.895 --> 00:18:03.545 some insurance carriers to come along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.853$ but definitely not all of them by any means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.716$ So by far most, most insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:09.720 --> 00:18:11.275 it's much more likely you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:11.275 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.519$ going to insurance cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.890$ Coverage for esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:14.890 --> 00:18:18.840 than for IV racemic Academy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:18.840 --> 00:18:20.916 Again, we don't have great high

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:20.916 --> 00:18:21.954 quality epidemiology data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.080$ Sometimes the the clinics will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:25.080 --> 00:18:26.544 they'll, they'll give you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:26.544 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.197$ give you codes that they use that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:29.197 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.137$ they kind of hobbled together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85820508769230800:18:31.140 --> 00:18:31.724 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:31.724 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.060$ one of these codes that is sometimes uses,

 $00:18:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.900$ it's like a narcosynthesis code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:35.900 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.434$ which is an old code that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:38.434 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.669$ think used to be used for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:40.670 --> 00:18:43.748 Was it sodium amatol you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:43.748 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.019$ some total psychotherapy so I you

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:46.019 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.720$ know and then and then the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:47.784 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.527$ will then take that code and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00{:}18{:}49.527 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.581$ try and get reimbursed from their

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:51.581 --> 00:18:52.826 own insurance company.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:18:52.830 --> 00:18:54.370 So that's you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:54.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.434$ it's it's kind of the Wild West in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:18:57.434 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.106$ terms of what what goes on in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

00:19:00.199 --> 00:19:03.127 IV ketamine because it's mostly not

NOTE Confidence: 0.858205087692308

 $00:19:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.830$ under the umbrella of insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702601986

 $00:19:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.770$ So I have questions then.

00:19:07.770 --> 00:19:10.956 Would you have a different CBD

NOTE Confidence: 0.702601986

00:19:10.956 --> 00:19:13.080 code for intranasal Esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.702601986

00:19:13.174 --> 00:19:16.360 versus IV ketamine? Yes. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.422$ so there's not really a CPT

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.176$ code for IV, IV ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:20.176 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.486$ I mean what what you had to do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:23.486 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.982$ the in the first in the first year

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}19{:}25.982 --> 00{:}19{:}28.797$ or so there there is now a CPT

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}19{:}28.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.629$ code for Esketamine observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.674$ You know that it's it's been sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}19{:}32.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.709$ time but in the first you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}19{:}34.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.662$ year or so basically what you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

00:19:36.662 --> 00:19:38.630 to do is you had to negotiate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}19{:}38.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.545$ each individual insurance company

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:40.545 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.820$ and and you can use some kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.280$ You know, other CPD code,

 $00{:}19{:}47.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.080$ but that requires a lot of man power

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}19{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52.192$ and and psychiatrists are not used

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

00:19:52.192 --> 00:19:55.036 to doing that or or or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:55.036 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.926$ we we typically don't have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:19:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.324$ Personnel in our clinics that do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}00.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.703$ you know surgeons and and surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

00:20:02.703 --> 00:20:04.530 centers and they have someone who you

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}04.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.469$ know OK this new drugs coming online

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}06.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.229$ let's start the negotiation process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}08.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.541$ There's kind of a a process in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}11.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.250$ place this was kind of all new

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}14.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.210$ to us as as this was implemented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:20:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.514$ So sorry the answer your question

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}19.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.427$ there are different speech codes

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:20:21.427 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.931$ there isn't really a CPT code for ID

00:20:23.931 --> 00:20:25.850 cademy people kind of hobbled together

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00{:}20{:}25.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.050$ different CPT codes and and negotiate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:20:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.994$ Kind of a one to one basis with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77880516

 $00:20:29.994 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.110$ a given insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:20:31.120 --> 00:20:34.016 company. Get Simon if if I could add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:34.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.484$ So what people have tried to do if

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:36.484 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.672$ you use existing CPT codes like

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:20:38.672 --> 00:20:41.360 Ivy Infusion which is a CPT code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:20:41.360 --> 00:20:44.013 you get about \$25 for Ivy infusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:44.013 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.089$ and that has to cover the two hours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.794$ So it it it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:48.794 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.924$ Impossible to financially cover it

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:50.924 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.755$ using the existing CPT codes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:20:53.755 --> 00:20:56.080 that's something I think actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:20:56.158 --> 00:20:58.558 blindsided Johnson and Johnson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:20:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.786$ They, they worked so hard making sure

00:21:00.786 --> 00:21:02.828 that their drug would be covered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00{:}21{:}02.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.690$ but they didn't realize how were

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.635$ they going to cover the professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:06.635 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.889$ fees of the and and the facility

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:08.889 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.191$ fees of the facility and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:21:11.191 --> 00:21:12.727 professional that's delivering it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:21:12.730 --> 00:21:14.800 It's just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00{:}21{:}14.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.292$ Not covered I I think Sam you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:18.292 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.812$ what the last Medicare coverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:21:19.812 --> 00:21:21.964 is like \$27.00 or something I

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:21.964 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.280$ heard for for the treatment you

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:24.362 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.861$ know they'll pay for the drug but

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:26.861 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.179$ the actual time that covers the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00{:}21{:}29.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31.030$ Facility fee and the professional

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

00:21:31.030 --> 00:21:33.857 fee is just such a minimal amount

 $00:21:33.857 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.575$ that nobody can actually provide it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65648107625

 $00:21:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.550$ Not nobody. It's very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6666954

00:21:41.000 --> 00:21:41.300 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:21:44.350 --> 00:21:45.590 I wonder if maybe maybe we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:21:45.590 --> 00:21:47.478 come back to the, you know, Sam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00{:}21{:}47.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.170$ your ideas on how we could do this better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00{:}21{:}49.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.879$ Maybe we could come back to those

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:21:51.879 --> 00:21:54.000 after talking about the compass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00{:}21{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.410$ I've been stopping it because the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:21:55.410 --> 00:21:56.857 I mean, for this group, I think the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:21:56.857 --> 00:21:58.850 the the interest is what as you framed it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00:21:58.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.590$ what can we learn from this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00{:}22{:}00.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.662$ what can the field learn from this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00:22:02.662 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.946$ try to avoid some of these pitfalls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00:22:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.281$ For an anticipated rule out of psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:22:07.281 --> 00:22:10.614 or or or MDMA therapy in years to come,

 $00:22:10.614 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.634$ where the challenges may be even greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00:22:12.634 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.510$ you know, if you've got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:22:15.510 --> 00:22:18.320 So, so, so does that, does that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:22:18.320 --> 00:22:19.820 yeah, no, sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

 $00:22:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.419$ I'm saying isn't the monitoring time for

NOTE Confidence: 0.843864685

00:22:24.419 --> 00:22:26.868 ketamine shorter than for Esketamine?

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:22:27.530 --> 00:22:29.490 Well, there is no monitoring time right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:22:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.250$ There's no rims you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:22:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.010$ it's it's the the REMS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:22:33.010 --> 00:22:35.738 So the FDA you know they can only

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00{:}22{:}35.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37.819$ really regulate through REMS programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:22:37.819 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.465$ those things that are FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00{:}22{:}40.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.172$ So the FDA is not really regulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00{:}22{:}43.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.769$ off label stuff which is ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:22:45.770 --> 00:22:47.234 ID ketamine, racemic ketamine.

 $00:22:47.234 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.397$ All they can do is if they get word

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00{:}22{:}50.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.135$ of like some one's doing this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:22:52.135 --> 00:22:53.280 community and not responsible way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:22:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.338$ they can send them a letter and

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:22:55.338 --> 00:22:57.599 say please don't do this but but

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00{:}22{:}57.599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.234$ there's no rems associated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:22:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.340$ The the individual clinics or hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:23:01.340 --> 00:23:04.184 they kind of make up their own policy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:23:04.184 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.456$ you know what as to what they think

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

 $00:23:06.456 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.895$ is appropriate and safe and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826735302857143

00:23:08.900 --> 00:23:09.690 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

00:23:09.740 --> 00:23:12.230 yeah, unfortunately in the US

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

 $00:23:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.510$ the only way to really police

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

 $00:23:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.270$ this is plaintiffs attorneys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

 $00:23:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.146$ That there isn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

 $00:23:19.146 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.090$ you know, the there is no,

 $00:23:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.629$ that's not the business the FDA is in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

 $00{:}23{:}23.630 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.396$ That's not their jurisdiction to make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779428372

 $00:23:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.409$ Rims for things that are off label

NOTE Confidence: 0.859724194285714

 $00:23:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.982$ and and the reason for this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.859724194285714

 $00{:}23{:}30.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32.127$ because ketamine was already

NOTE Confidence: 0.859724194285714

00:23:32.127 --> 00:23:34.330 approved decades ago, right. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.660294656

00:23:34.680 --> 00:23:37.010 as an anesthesia medicine, yeah, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:23:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.020$ approved as an anesthetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

00:23:39.020 --> 00:23:42.308 Exactly. So, you know really

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00{:}23{:}42.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45.843$ the FDA regulates promotion of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:23:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.650$ Of a of a drug or a device

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:23:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.090$ for a specific use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00{:}23{:}49.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.850$ That's what the FDA regulates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:23:50.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.525$ They they don't regulate medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:23:53.525 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.190$ practice except the REMS except

00:23:56.190 --> 00:23:57.846 for R.E.M is when when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

00:23:57.846 --> 00:23:59.246 doing it they'll they'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

00:23:59.246 --> 00:24:00.710 guidance and and have a REMS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.522$ but they don't on off label

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:02.522 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.730$ stuff or other uses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.274$ They don't regulate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:05.274 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.204$ So Esketamine has that regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.810$ ketamine doesn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.610$ I'm the only one that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

00:24:09.610 --> 00:24:11.320 police that is you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.588275326

 $00:24:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.635$ there's a lawsuit or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.845801601818182

 $00:24:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.800$ or or a complaint to a state

NOTE Confidence: 0.845801601818182

00:24:14.800 --> 00:24:16.030 medical board about someone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845801601818182

00:24:16.030 --> 00:24:19.548 Or exactly. But, but unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845801601818182

 $00:24:19.548 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.711$ those really only come up when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.845801601818182

 $00{:}24{:}21.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.718$ like a horribly Sentinel event that's,

00:24:23.720 --> 00:24:26.036 you know, something really bad happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.358$ So is there any I guess?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

00:24:31.360 --> 00:24:34.260 I don't know hope is the word of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

00:24:34.260 --> 00:24:39.090 can I mean FDA approved for depression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.630$ Option. Treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

00:24:41.630 --> 00:24:44.750 You mean I recement category?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.250$ Yeah, Ivy can. I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:48.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.380$ Or is it because it feels

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.800$ like it's just been?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.515$ Some drug company would have to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00{:}24{:}53.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.259$ up some 10s of millions of dollars

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

00:24:55.259 --> 00:24:57.380 to do the study and the FDA process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.260$ and since they don't own

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.140$ the patent on the drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89579136

 $00:24:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.466$ they'd never make that money back.

00:25:00.840 --> 00:25:03.780 And hundreds of, not 10s and

NOTE Confidence: 0.708821475

 $00:25:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.810$ hundreds and hundreds of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7188305

 $00:25:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.398$ Yeah, so probably not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784466940714286

 $00{:}25{:}11.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.380$ Because of the way the finances

NOTE Confidence: 0.784466940714286

 $00:25:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.115$ me incentive structure in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.784466940714286

 $00:25:15.115 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.770$ medical system work. Great system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72439826

 $00:25:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.950$ Federalism. Kind of well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

00:25:23.460 --> 00:25:25.368 but, but hopefully the next part

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

 $00{:}25{:}25.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.580$ won't even be more dishear tening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

 $00:25:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.160$ But let's move a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

00:25:31.160 --> 00:25:33.080 to if it's OK with you guys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

 $00:25:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.256$ I'll go to the next part of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

 $00:25:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.473$ Let me see if I can share my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836028940909091

 $00:25:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.410$ It should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.44884031

00:25:44.360 --> 00:25:47.587 And what are, what are you seeing right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.75987886375

 $00:25:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.550$ we're seeing the price SBP 2020

 $00:25:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.269$ oral abstract 64 read only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859937533333333

 $00:25:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.156$ No, that is not what you that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.859937533333333

00:25:55.156 --> 00:25:57.389 not what I'm hoping you would say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859937533333333

00:25:57.390 --> 00:25:59.770 OK, one second, confidential quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788965166666667 00:26:02.410 --> 00:26:02.860 I say I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

00:26:02.870 --> 00:26:04.858 not sure why that's what you're seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

 $00:26:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.420$ You should be seeing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

 $00:26:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.786$ You're still seeing the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

00:26:08.786 --> 00:26:10.098 now we're seeing PowerPoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

 $00:26:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.060$ Are you seeing the disclosure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

00:26:13.060 --> 00:26:14.800 OK, let me actually just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93448717

 $00:26:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.540$ get that hooked up then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.16618475

 $00:26:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.920$ OK, let me do slide show. And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:26:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.339$ All right. Hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:26:31.339 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.854$ hopefully you're seeing the right

 $00:26:32.854 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.460$ view now or again you seeing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:34.460 --> 00:26:37.225 OK, good, good. So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:26:37.225 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.910$ I always do you like to include that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:40.910 --> 00:26:43.959 Several disclosures but the you

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:26:43.959 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.912$ obviously you can all ask him about

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:45.912 --> 00:26:48.231 it or see it if you want this the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:48.231 --> 00:26:49.894 same as Sam regarding that but

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:49.894 --> 00:26:52.294 very quickly I do want to my goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:26:52.294 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.126$ here I Sam did a great job of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:55.130 --> 00:26:57.248 Highlighting you know the the hurdles

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}26{:}57.248 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}\:} > 00{:}26{:}59.364$ and implementing this and what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:26:59.364 --> 00:27:01.308 actually putting a a workshop together

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:01.308 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.437$ from the National Academy of Sciences

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}03.437 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.845$ right now on psychedelic drugs and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:27:05.845 --> 00:27:07.986 it was something we debated whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:07.986 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.242$ to even talk about implementation is

 $00:27:10.242 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.490$ putting the cart before the horse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.182$ You know we don't know they even work so

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:14.182 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.087$ why are we talking about implementing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.790$ But I think we all agreed that it's almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:27:18.790 --> 00:27:21.405 not worth figuring out if they work if

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:21.405 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.810$ there's no way of implementing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.918$ So I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}24.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.834$ It is an important part and and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}27.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.418$ actually discussing the implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:29.418 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.158$ is is really good idea and no matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:27:32.158 --> 00:27:34.008 what you're going to be developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:27:34.008 --> 00:27:36.216 before you start to develop it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}36.220 --> 00{:}27{:}36.423 \ \mathrm{So},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}36.423 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38.250$ but let me give you the rest of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.864$ we've learned from the Academy story

 $00:27:39.864 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.224$ just to put in perspective where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}27{:}42.224 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.120$ with psychedelics and then talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.250$ where we are with the psychedelics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.060$ So it's actually interesting remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.870$ the earliest report academies potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:51.948 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.328$ antidepressant effects actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:27:53.328 --> 00:27:56.548 came from a study done in Iran.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:27:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.720$ Back in 1973,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:27:57.720 --> 00:28:00.450 it was published and they were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}00.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.905$ thinking of it as a means of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}03.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.910$ psychological effect on a breaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.646$ I had no idea what Abreaction was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:07.650 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.686$ I had to go look it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}08.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.050$ It's a psychodynamic term really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.760$ sort of leading to catharsis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.056$ And that was that.

 $00:28:15.056 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.000$ That was the original study published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:28:17.000 --> 00:28:17.632 And remarkably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:17.632 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.528$ they tried a few different doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:19.528 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.908$ and they came up with the dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}21.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.392$.5 milligrams per kilogram that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:23.392 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.150$ they found to be most effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:28:25.150 --> 00:28:26.830 And they were just treating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.009$ not only depressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}28.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.974$ Questions any body that was hospitalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}29.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.587$ and had really pretty a mazing results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.350$ Obviously that wasn't the I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:28:35.350 --> 00:28:37.401 I I don't think anybody that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}37.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.898$ ran the first study here at the VA was

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:39.898 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.029$ really aware of that study at the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.326$ That wasn't really what drove the study here.

 $00:28:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.028$ And this is actually a quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}28{:}46.028 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}28{:}48.214$ right from John on what really was

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:28:48.214 --> 00:28:50.182 driving the use of ketamine here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:28:50.190 --> 00:28:53.490 not related to the more psychedelic

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572 $00:28:53.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.590$ like effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.146$ but really based more on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:57.146 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.574$ neurobiology and the effects on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:28:59.574 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.474$ Cortex as opposed to the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}29{:}01.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02.984$ on cortex and the glutamatergic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:29:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.038$ System as opposed to the raffay or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:29:07.038 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.737$ other systems more related to serotonin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:29:10.740 --> 00:29:12.980 but really getting much more to the point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

00:29:12.980 --> 00:29:16.100 this is what an FDA package?

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572 $00:29:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.980$ Looks like. NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:29:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.060$ So this is what Janssen presented to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00:29:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.720$ the FDA to get approval for Esketamine.

 $00:29:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.835$ You can see the size of these studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817508106428572

 $00{:}29{:}24.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}27.118$ you can see the duration of these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.510$ So these are ends of 300 and 46200

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.060$ and 23138 in a geriatric population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

00:29:35.060 --> 00:29:38.618 705 people in a long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.849$ maintenance with drawal randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:40.849 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.989$ withdrawal study in 802 people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:43.989 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.560$ a long term follow up for a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.499$ But that was actually turned into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:48.499 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.530$ follow up for another three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.466$ So all total if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:29:53.466 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.998$ dollar cost here you're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

00:29:55.998 --> 00:29:58.041 several \$100 million probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

00:29:58.041 --> 00:30:00.689 my son would be going on about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:30:00.689 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.635$ billion dollars for this package

 $00:30:02.635 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.945$ if you include the internal cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286

 $00:30:05.021 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.385$ to Johnson and Johnson and Janssen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872361545714286 00:30:07.390 --> 00:30:09.340 So Nora and NOTE Confidence: 0.711527566153846

 $00:30:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.025$ Jerry that's starting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.711527566153846

00:30:11.025 --> 00:30:13.395 a drug like you already got the

NOTE Confidence: 0.711527566153846

00:30:13.395 --> 00:30:15.144 molecule exactly that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.711527566153846

 $00:30:15.144 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.746$ not that's without all of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.711527566153846

00:30:16.750 --> 00:30:18.622 Early you know development stuff that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.711527566153846

 $00{:}30{:}18.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.330$ when you get you already have the molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.810$ and this is this is at you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.521$ this is from the time you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:24.521 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.565$ the molecule moving forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.802$ So you can see now this is this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:27.802 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.514$ a little bit more than some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:30.514 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.575$ other trials would take just because

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}30{:}32.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.990$ it was so novel that Jansen had to

 $00:30:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.893$ really jump through a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:36.893 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.879$ more hurdles than you may expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.099$ But Silybin is going to face this

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:30:41.099 --> 00:30:42.963 probably plus more in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:42.963 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.649$ what they're going to have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}30{:}44.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.457$ show for efficacy and safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.804$ So this is just an idea and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:30:47.810 --> 00:30:49.258 And just to quickly go through what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:49.258 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.818$ results of that Sam didn't go through that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.380$ just do it really quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:30:52.380 --> 00:30:54.888 This first study actually didn't show

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}30{:}54.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.560$ an effect statistically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:30:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.480$ This was the fixed dose with IV ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:30:59.480 --> 00:31:01.556 with IV S ketamine, I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.695$ this is the fixed dose

00:31:03.695 --> 00:31:04.976 with intranasal esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.564$ This is the first study they did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:07.570 --> 00:31:08.498 But if you this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.890$ this is where these studies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:09.939 --> 00:31:11.591 different and it's good for this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:11.591 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.476$ as some of you aren't so familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:13.476 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.156$ with this data because they'll look

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.826$ and say well it doesn't look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:16.826 --> 00:31:18.310 it's really beating placebo by that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:18.310 --> 00:31:19.622 Much first of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}31{:}19.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.006$ the important thing to realize is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:22.006 --> 00:31:24.435 there really was no placebo group here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.952$ but the group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:25.952 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.472$ everybody got a brand new

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:28.472 --> 00:31:29.480 antidepressant treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}31{:}29.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31.904$ So every body was started on a

 $00:31:31.904 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.520$ brand new oral antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}31{:}33.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.226$ And then there were either randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:36.226 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.816$ to receive a placebo intranasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:38.816 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.620$ device or esketamine intranasally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:41.620 --> 00:31:43.348 So you were really going up ahead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}31{:}43.348 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}31{:}44.827$ somebody from a drug company and say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631 00:31:44.830 --> 00:31:45.284 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:45.284 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.100$ I'm really amazed that didn't work that well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631 00:31:47.100 --> 00:31:47.690 It didn't, NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:47.690 --> 00:31:49.755 it didn't seem to to really beat

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.741$ placebo by that much and I remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:51.741 --> 00:31:53.479 them though it didn't beat placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:53.479 --> 00:31:55.255 beat your drug by that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:55.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.593$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:31:55.593 --> 00:31:57.851 So it's a, you know, you have to

 $00:31:57.851 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.230$ remember this when you look at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:31:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.576$ So you look at this response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.560$ the blue line here is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:32:03.560 --> 00:32:05.630 The, The What's considered the placebo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:05.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.952$ it's the standard of care plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:32:07.952 --> 00:32:10.269 placebo people did pretty darn well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:32:10.270 --> 00:32:11.458 Remember they're coming in

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:11.458 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.349$ for frequent treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}32{:}12.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}13.350$ It was high expectation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.150$ So you can see people did well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:15.150 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.554$ but the two doses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:16.554 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.660$ either the 56 or the 84

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:32:18.748 --> 00:32:20.839 milligrams of Esketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

00:32:20.840 --> 00:32:22.940 both did pretty well but statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.870$ did not have the separation they needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:25.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.250$ The way that the analysis

 $00:32:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.070$ was designed and laid out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:30.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.080$ you can look at the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:32.153 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.258$ and remission rates really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.384$ More than more than 50% response rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:38.384 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.300$ about almost the 3rd 35 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.440 40\%$ remission rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.372$ But you can see they really

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:44.372 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.441$ were pretty good for for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}32{:}46.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}48.559$ standard of care plus place bo too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.468$ This the the second big study

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:50.468 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.536$ this was the flexible dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:52.536 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.696$ study where they had the ability

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}32{:}54.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}57.218$ to go from 56 to 84 milligrams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}32{:}57.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.182$ You can see that again almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:32:59.182 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.523$ exactly if you go back and just

 $00:33:01.523 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.443$ look the the the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00{:}33{:}03.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.159$ are almost overlapping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838568911052631

 $00:33:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.110$ Which is what you want to say when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:07.159 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.247$ large numbers like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.126$ this is what you you do start

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

00:33:10.126 --> 00:33:11.824 to say this was statistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

00:33:11.824 --> 00:33:13.884 significant between the two and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}13.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.630$ really it was more of a statistical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

00:33:16.630 --> 00:33:18.652 Yeah, it's how you design the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

00:33:18.652 --> 00:33:19.663 the statistical analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}19.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}21.814$ If you have 3 three groups to compare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.086$ it's harder to show a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:23.086 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.930$ in with two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.688$ So here with the two groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:25.688 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.550$ you clearly had the separation

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.741$ and then if you look at the

 $00{:}33{:}29.741 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.120$ response and remission rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34.664$ It was actually almost 70% response

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}34.664 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.632$ rate with the active with the active

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

00:33:37.632 --> 00:33:39.659 esketamine plus the standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}39.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.867$ care where standard of care alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:41.867 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.306$ was about a 52% response rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:44.306 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.318$ And then the actual looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:47.318 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.541$ remission about 50% to about 30%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}49.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.550$ Again really good at Star D would

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

00:33:51.620 --> 00:33:53.408 suggest that these treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:53.408 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.196$ resistant depressed patients would

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}55.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.484$ have less than a 15% remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00{:}33{:}57.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.894$ rate with the new antidepressant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:33:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.212$ So obviously this doubled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919404826428571

 $00:34:01.212 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.632$ What you would expect for just the

 $00:34:03.632 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.597$ new antidepressant and clinical care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844743367894737

 $00{:}34{:}06.710 --> 00{:}34{:}08.299$ Jerry, what do you make of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.844743367894737

 $00:34:08.299 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.854$ of that double response rate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.844743367894737

 $00:34:09.854 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.498$ the standard of care plus placebo?

NOTE Confidence: 0.844743367894737

 $00:34:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.019$ You think that's because of the intensity

NOTE Confidence: 0.844743367894737

 $00:34:13.019 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.558$ of monitoring and the expectation effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00{:}34{:}14.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.246$ I think it's the nonspecific effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.774$ All of that isn't captured in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

00:34:18.774 --> 00:34:19.790 the nonspecific they're being,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.945$ they're still coming in even

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

00:34:20.945 --> 00:34:21.869 if they're getting the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

00:34:21.870 --> 00:34:24.114 they're coming in eight times over

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:24.114 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.449$ that period of the four weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:26.449 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.729$ to to get the intransal device,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.458$ even if it is placebo just

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:30.458 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.050$ being in a clinical trial,

 $00:34:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.906$ we know that just being in a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00{:}34{:}33.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}35.469$ trial because you get more attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:35.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.730$ people do so much better than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.041$ Standard clinical care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:38.041 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.663$ So it's all of those factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:40.663 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.448$ I think that contribute to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825061485

 $00:34:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.170$ Jerry yeah. Does

NOTE Confidence: 0.92711837125

00:34:45.180 --> 00:34:46.728 it matter what antidepressant

NOTE Confidence: 0.92711837125

00:34:46.728 --> 00:34:48.632 it is coupled with? Like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92711837125

 $00:34:48.632 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.096$ were these people on the same class

NOTE Confidence: 0.81579186625

 $00:34:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.016$ for these studies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81579186625

00:34:52.016 --> 00:34:53.550 There was a choice of four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81579186625

 $00:34:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.276$ It was either citalopram, sertraline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81579186625

 $00:34:57.276 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.816$ then the vaccine or duloxetine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81579186625

 $00:35:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.985$ It didn't seem to matter

 $00:35:01.985 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.740$ out of those four. Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826020615

 $00{:}35{:}04.750 --> 00{:}35{:}06.510$ thank you. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87768639

 $00:35:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.490$ And then this is the sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791953656666667

 $00:35:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.606$ Yeah, question about the scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.791953656666667

 $00:35:12.610 \dashrightarrow 00:35:14.600$ because I'm not too familiar with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791953656666667

 $00:35:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.100$ What are you guess?

NOTE Confidence: 0.791953656666667

 $00:35:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.960$ What is it covering it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.791953656666667

 $00:35:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.668$ Is it covering it like what

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:23.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.578$ factors of depression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.946$ These are all done with the Madras

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00{:}35{:}28.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30.719$ Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.940$ which is at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.830$ I think what's considered sort of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.747$ gold standard by the FDA in the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.510$ it's a 10 item it covers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.430$ it's very much geared

 $00:35:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.350$ towards the melancholic side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:44.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.910$ That's when it was developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

00:35:45.910 --> 00:35:49.410 So it, it looks at appetite loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:49.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.742$ sleep loss, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:50.742 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.407$ but then there's a cognitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.674$ there's anxiety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:53.674 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.938$ there's suicide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784072943333333

 $00:35:54.938 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.834$ there's a guilt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:35:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.736$ Concentration. So kind of the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:02.736 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.289$ standard anhedonia is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:05.289 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.690$ one item from each of those. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.148$ But so this is the long term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.170$ this is the 3004,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.474$ this is the one that had 800 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:16.474 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.239$ that were followed until there

00:36:18.239 --> 00:36:20.363 was a sufficient number of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00{:}36{:}20.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.033$ reaching six months of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:22.033 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.160$ and a year of treatment when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.765$ were able to terminate the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:25.765 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.124$ And you can just see how well people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:28.124 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.182$ doing with open label with repeated dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.450$ Now you can see the error

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:31.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.710$ bars here are pretty minimal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:36:32.710 --> 00:36:34.870 People got better intended to stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.707$ better with either weekly treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00{:}36{:}36.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.066$ or biweekly or or every other week.

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.834692771428571 \\ & 00:36:39.070 --> 00:36:39.418 \text{ Treatment,} \end{aligned}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:36:39.418 --> 00:36:41.506 depending on how they were doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:41.506 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.759$ it can look at the responders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.762$ 78% responders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00{:}36{:}44.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.269$ 47% remitters and that was at the

 $00:36:48.269 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.595$ beginning of the initial period

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:50.595 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.193$ at the at the final endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.500$ 76% responses in almost 60% remitters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.580$ The error bars are so small and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:36:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.618$ happens when you put 800 people in a study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.522$ you can really narrow those rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:03.522 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.232$ It doesn't mean that everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:37:05.232 --> 00:37:06.960 got well and stayed well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00{:}37{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08.600$ In fact if you look at individual data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:08.600 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.336$ people are bouncing all over the place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.396$ So when you average it over these large

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:12.396 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.160$ numbers, you get a figure like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00{:}37{:}14.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.500$ This is really more for safety

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:37:16.500 --> 00:37:18.689 looking at how safe it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.515$ And this was the randomized

 $00:37:20.515 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.245$ with drawal studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00{:}37{:}21.250 --> 00{:}37{:}23.212$ So that this is a this is a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:23.212 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.147$ thing for the FDA's consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.710$ They could show that people that got better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.302$ especially those that had a response

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:37:31.302 --> 00:37:34.130 but not a remission, if you stopped it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.370$ they relapsed pretty quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:37:35.370 --> 00:37:37.848 People that were remitters, they still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.346$ if you stopped it, they remit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.126$ So this is good and bad and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:42.126 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.799$ it helped get the FDA approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

00:37:43.800 --> 00:37:45.915 but it also shows that a drug like ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.282$ the majority of people are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.834692771428571

 $00:37:47.282 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.088$ to need to stay on it in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:37:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.240$ So now I I just wanted to present all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:37:55.307 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.899$ data really just to put it in perspective

 $00:37:57.899 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.340$ of like what it would take to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00{:}38{:}00.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02.596$ And the FDA wanted all of that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:02.596 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.720$ their package before granting approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

00:38:04.720 --> 00:38:06.036 And when they did grant the approval,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:06.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.280$ they gave it with the REMS that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.270$ Sam spoke about. So that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.800$ an idea of where we have to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.304$ So this is the data so far with

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:15.304 \dashrightarrow 00:38:17.368$ psilocybin that I was able to get off

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00{:}38{:}17.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}18.968$ of clinical trials.gov 12 studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:18.968 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.092$ There's actually more, I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

00:38:21.092 --> 00:38:23.348 They all didn't make it into

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00{:}38{:}23.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24.822$ clinical trials.gov with the search,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:24.822 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.294$ which was simply psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:26.294 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.089$ and major depressive disorder.

 $00:38:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.565$ But you can see most of the ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00{:}38{:}30.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.476$ said this one up here is this is Johns

NOTE Confidence: 0.888670006538462

 $00:38:33.476 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.299$ Hopkins studies with Cyril study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.242$ This is another Hopkins study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:40.242 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.595$ that that was completed and I'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}38{:}42.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45.135$ you a little bit about this is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:45.135 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.061$ one specific for alcohol that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:47.061 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.408$ is a long term follow-up to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:49.408 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.440$ the USONA study that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:38:51.440 --> 00:38:52.520 So Imperial College,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:52.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.934$ I'll show you that this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:53.934 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.119$ big usona study we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.620$ but I'll go through a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:56.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.620$ bit what we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:38:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.188$ So this is probably the earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:00.188 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.900$ report specifically in depression.

 $00:39:01.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.720$ This was an open label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.404$ really a feasibility trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:05.404 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.260$ that was done over in the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:08.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.930$ David Nutt and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:39:10.930 --> 00:39:16.202 And Robin cart Harris Small study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:39:16.202 --> 00:39:19.606 12 patients look promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.050$ The set on the guids you could see

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.719$ a nice difference from baseline to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:24.719 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.199$ over weeks after two treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:39:27.200 --> 00:39:29.590 You can see individual data

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.502$ presented over there also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.180$ Promising data enough to move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:34.180 \dashrightarrow 00:39:36.772$ This was the study came out of Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.320$ This was Roland Griffiths study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.775$ Where they looked at another

00:39:43.775 --> 00:39:46.230 relatively small group of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:46.230 \dashrightarrow 00:39:50.288$ This was done with basically a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:50.288 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.003$ weight group comparison, a wait list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:39:53.003 --> 00:39:54.550 which if you want to design A

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:54.603 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.337$ clinical trial to show an effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.356$ use a wait list because it works

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:58.356 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.932$ for everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.252$ Nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:39:59.252 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.812$ nothing works better than telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:40:00.812 --> 00:40:02.576 somebody you're going to be randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:02.576 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.720$ to get treated now where you have to wait,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.771$ and the group that they tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}40{:}06.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.149$ have to wait will never get better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:09.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.278$ And and they, they showed that very clearly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.200$ Here you can see the results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.540$ This was again 2 doses.

 $00:40:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.876$ One dose was they did 20 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:18.876 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.140$ 30 milligrams of of psilocybin

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.804$ separated and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:23.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.117$ by by a period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.269$ by weeks they they had all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:40:28.269 --> 00:40:30.371 standard set and setting where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:30.371 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.603$ had the prep sessions then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:32.603 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.078$ session and they had some follow up

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:35.078 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.092$ sessions after they were using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:37.092 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.048$ handy here which is just another

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:40:39.048 --> 00:40:41.145 measure but you can see very nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:41.145 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.956$ that the the participants that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:42.956 \dashrightarrow 00:40:45.927$ told they were going to be on the wait list.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}40{:}45.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.794$ You have to wait did not get better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:40:47.800 --> 00:40:49.575 The group that were randomized

 $00:40:49.575 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.350$ to immediate treatment did quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}40{:}51.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.375$ well after the two treatments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:53.375 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.296$ the the delayed measures were at

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:55.296 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.952$ week five and week eight showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:40:56.952 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.540$ very good effects on the ham D.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.636$ And then if you just looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}41{:}02.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.204$ change within the individuals and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:04.204 --> 00:41:06.172 here they treated the other people

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:06.172 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.867$ later on the way group people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:07.870 --> 00:41:09.991 And if you just add them all

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:09.991 --> 00:41:12.219 compared to their own initial period,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.020$ you can see that there was a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.997$ reduction in and it seemed to be sustained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}41{:}16.000 --> 00{:}41{:}17.308$ So again, very promising,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:17.308 --> 00:41:19.646 but you have to remember on wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:19.646 --> 00:41:21.500 list studies I could show you,

 $00:41:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.560$ you know, water is beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00{:}41{:}23.560 \to 00{:}41{:}26.432$ It doesn't you know that that's a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:26.432 --> 00:41:29.440 bar to hit but but it's promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.628$ The next study that came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:31.628 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.216$ was a relatively recent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.851$ it came out just in April and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:34.851 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.599$ this got a lot of attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

 $00:41:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.400$ It was New England Journal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858834445

00:41:38.400 --> 00:41:40.556 This again was out of the UK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:41:40.560 --> 00:41:40.968 Interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}41{:}40.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42.896$ if you look on clinical trials.gov,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:41:42.896 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.672$ the primary outcome measure in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:41:44.672 --> 00:41:46.089 study was actually the imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:41:46.090 --> 00:41:48.130 So this was not really a clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:41:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.223$ this was an imaging study that had

00:41:50.223 --> 00:41:52.080 some clinical data collected in it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}41{:}52.080 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}41{:}54.752$ but it was presented as a clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:41:54.752 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.700$ in the New England Journal paper here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:41:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.988$ But you could see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:41:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.755$ This was meant to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.014$ Silybin versus escitalopram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.687$ In looking at the treatment of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:08.687 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.815$ and it was done with a fairly unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}42{:}11.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.865$ design but a very clever design where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:14.865 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.063$ patients were randomized to either receive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}42{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.422$ Escitalopram at normal dosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:21.422 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.612$ or 1 milligram of psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.840$ For a single you period were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.950$ actually 2 periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.686$ 2 dosing periods or to receive actual dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:32.686 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.957$ of psilocybin which I think they used 25.

 $00:42:35.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.430$ Trying to remember the exact dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.630$ I think they used 25.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.510$ I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.390$ I think that's right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.610$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.180$ I think there's 25 milligrams and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:42:46.180 --> 00:42:48.334 placebo escitalopram and looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:48.334 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.682$ out over time and this did not hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:52.682 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.258$ their specified primary outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:42:55.258 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.446$ for the clinical part of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}42{:}58.446 \to 00{:}43{:}01.310$ So it did not separate from US citalopram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:01.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.230$ although you can see it looks pretty good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:03.230 --> 00:43:06.275 And again, you have to take this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.060$ Yeah, .0, you know P = .05 a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.872$ With some caution here,

 $00:43:13.872 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.086$ but it did not separate on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:17.086 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.451$ primary measure which was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:19.451 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.099$ change in the quaids SR16.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:22.100 --> 00:43:22.717 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:22.717 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.036$ if you look at the secondary measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.245$ It was a very consistent trend for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:29.245 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.741$ the Silo Sybian group to be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:31.741 --> 00:43:33.596 better than the escitalopram group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}43{:}33.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.896$ which is in my mind very encouraging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:35.900 --> 00:43:37.517 I mean I think that was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}43{:}37.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.040$ probably more so than that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:39.040 --> 00:43:39.624 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:39.624 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.376$ they missed their primary clinical endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.958$ but all the secondaries look good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.904$ And I remember this wasn't a study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:44.904 --> 00:43:46.780 was primarily designed as a clinical trial,

 $00:43:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.453$ it was designed as an imaging study

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00{:}43{:}48.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}50.398$ that had some clinical measures in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

00:43:50.400 --> 00:43:50.742 I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7931688

 $00:43:50.742 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.426$ is there a question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680613020909091

 $00:43:51.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.216$ was this intended to be or

NOTE Confidence: 0.680613020909091

 $00:43:53.216 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.800$ presented as a superiority trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.680613020909091

 $00:43:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.318$ were they trying to say silicon

NOTE Confidence: 0.680613020909091

 $00{:}43{:}56.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}57.330$ is better than escital opram?

NOTE Confidence: 0.680613020909091

 $00{:}43{:}57.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.860$ That was in the non inferiority

NOTE Confidence: 0.77863778

 $00{:}43{:}58.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00.711$ trial, so it was designed as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.77863778

 $00{:}44{:}00.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02.560$ imaging trial that had some claims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:44:04.730 --> 00:44:07.716 It really wasn't. I mean in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}44{:}07.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.208$ of clinical trial methodology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:44:09.210 --> 00:44:10.890 it wouldn't have met criteria for

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.110$ that and and when the New

00:44:13.110 --> 00:44:14.490 England Journal accepted this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:14.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.530$ they really held them to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:16.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.310$ fire and really made them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.221$ you know this had to be stated

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:20.221 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.669$ pretty clearly in the paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:21.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.099$ They also had to state that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:24.099 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.101$ majority of people clearly expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:44:26.101 --> 00:44:28.321 a strong preference to being

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:44:28.321 --> 00:44:30.838 randomized to the solar cybin arm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.700$ not to to the 25 milligram

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.760$ as opposed to 1 milligram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}44{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.916$ So I mean it's encouraging but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:36.916 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.235$ have to consider the weaknesses and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}44{:}39.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41.660$ limitations of the study to this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:44:41.660 --> 00:44:45.396 But overall I think encouraging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:45.396 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.084$ the last data that has come out was

 $00:44:48.084 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.742$ the the compass study and all I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:50.742 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.900$ to go by by this is a press release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.114$ So that's this study has not been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:44:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.368$ As far as I'm aware of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}44{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.006$ there's no accepted publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:01.006 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.460$ from this or any other peer

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:03.534 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.239$ reviewed data to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:05.240 --> 00:45:07.570 just press release that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.730$ came out about a month ago now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:10.730 --> 00:45:13.388 And this is the trial design

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:13.388 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.126$ and interestingly I had a hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:16.126 --> 00:45:18.316 time finding this specific in

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}45{:}18.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.964$ clinical trials.gov to actually sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:19.964 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.740$ of go back and check everything but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.460$ So this this design.

00:45:26.460 --> 00:45:28.146 Was considered a Phase 2B trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:28.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.584$ It's a pretty large trial of 233 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:31.584 --> 00:45:34.866 across 10 countries including North America,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:34.870 --> 00:45:37.360 Europe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.488$ And as they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:38.488 --> 00:45:40.180 the primary objective of this study

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:40.238 --> 00:45:41.996 which is consistent with the phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:41.996 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.891$ two is really safety and to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:43.891 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.046$ get a better sense of how to design

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:45:46.046 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.976$ A larger phase three program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}45{:}48.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.268$ So they ended up having data

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}45{:}52.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.800$ on 233 from 233 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}45{:}54.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}58.357$ The the way it was designed was

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:45:58.357 --> 00:46:01.250 looking at 2 active doses that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:46:01.250 --> 00:46:03.180 believe were potentially active doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}46{:}03.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.451$ 10 milligrams and 25 milligrams of

 $00:46:05.451 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.050$ silybin versus what they were considering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.376$ An inactive dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:09.376 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.458$ which would be 1 milligram, so three arms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.364$ The way to studies design again we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.535$ if I had more time than going to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.486$ but I think most of us agree

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:46:20.486 --> 00:46:21.880 sort of standard setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.619$ setting type approach for for this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}46{:}26.620 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}46{:}28.205$ Here's the outcome that's reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:46:28.205 --> 00:46:30.190 and these I I've taken this

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.885$ directly from the press release

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:31.885 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.640$ because that that's what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}46{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.630$ The 25 milligram group versus the 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:46:37.630 --> 00:46:40.519 milligram group showed a 6.6 point

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.626$ difference on the Madras Depression Scale,

 $00:46:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.400$ which is a good separation

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:46:45.400 --> 00:46:47.616 of really good separation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:46:47.620 --> 00:46:49.660 highly significant at week three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.458$ which was their primary outcome measure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.815$ The 25 milligram group demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:55.815 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.170$ statistical significance in the Madras

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:46:58.233 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.267$ efficacy point at the day after.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:47:00.270 --> 00:47:03.446 So the very short, you know quick onset,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}47{:}03.450 --> 00{:}47{:}05.892$ the 10 milligram dose did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:47:05.892 --> 00:47:07.113 show statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:47:07.113 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.390$ difference at week three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:47:08.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.730$ although there was a trend and

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}47{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.070$ then the Madras was assessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:47:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.114$ They did what they could to

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:47:17.114 --> 00:47:19.074 prevent functional what they did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:47:19.074 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.387$ what they could do to prevent unblinding

 $00:47:21.387 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.634$ from the sites at least they had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00{:}47{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.135$ Independent raters that now it

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

 $00:47:25.135 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.526$ doesn't do much to what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.915551472

00:47:27.526 --> 00:47:28.358 functional unblinding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:47:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.384$ which is the actual patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:47:31.384 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.720$ knowing what they got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:47:33.720 --> 00:47:37.448 A little bit more looking at the response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:47:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.328$ at least twice the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}47{:}39.328 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}47{:}41.478$ patients in the 25 milligram group

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}47{:}41.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.543$ showed response in remission at

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:47:43.543 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.988$ week three and seemed to have it

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}47{:}45.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.952$ contained to sustain to week 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}47{:}47.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.350$ compared to the one milligram group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:47:50.350 --> 00:47:52.849 Again very promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:47:52.849 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.004$ The protocol defined sustained response

 $00:47:56.004 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.070$ up to 12 weeks and you can see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}47{:}59.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.578$ these results were here to about 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}48{:}01.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.930$ of the patients in the 25 milligram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:48:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.946$ Group versus 10% to 1 milligram

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:48:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.900$ group had that sustained response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:48:07.900 --> 00:48:10.756 I think this was a little disappointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:48:10.756 --> 00:48:13.539 to some people that you know only

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:48:13.539 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.259$ one out of five people sustain their

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00{:}48{:}16.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.779$ response for the for the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:48:18.779 --> 00:48:21.040 three months but still I I look

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:48:21.040 --> 00:48:22.789 at this is pretty promising but

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:48:22.789 --> 00:48:24.877 it may get at that Sam's thing is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:48:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.968$ is is it once and done maybe not

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

00:48:26.968 --> 00:48:29.093 yeah you may need you may need

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:48:29.093 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.076$ for the majority of people may

NOTE Confidence: 0.928443025

 $00:48:31.076 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.608$ need some repeated dosing.

 $00:48:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.526$ Let's see if we go into the next this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00{:}48{:}38.526 \to 00{:}48{:}40.574$ this I think is the more concerning part

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:48:40.574 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.878$ of this study and this is what we get at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:48:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.630$ And and again going back to lessons

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

00:48:44.630 --> 00:48:46.160 learned from the ketamine study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:48:46.160 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.776$ they had to do that study with 800

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

00:48:48.776 --> 00:48:50.672 people treating them over a period

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00{:}48{:}50.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.919$ of the year before the FDA would

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:48:52.919 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.989$ really grant approval with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:48:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.350$ And because they're what they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:48:56.350 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.710$ really concerned about is safety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

00:48:57.710 --> 00:48:59.230 You know, people that report

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00{:}48{:}59.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01.260$ safety with ends of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:49:01.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.690$ 10s or dozens or or even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:49:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.228$ you know, a few dozen people,

 $00:49:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.588$ it's really hard even with a few 100 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:49:08.590 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.243$ You know, if it's a relatively low

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

00:49:11.243 --> 00:49:13.768 safety signal that's hard to pick it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:49:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.270$ especially with the single dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

00:49:15.270 --> 00:49:18.826 But with multiple dosing over 800 people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00{:}49{:}18.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22.166$ the FDA felt OK with esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:49:22.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.220$ So here with the psilocybin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91422898

 $00:49:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.920$ Here the comp 360.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.410$ You know, you can read this as well as I can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.174$ Most of the trees were the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:35.174 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.189$ treatment for for those of you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:37.189 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.689$ aren't so familiar with clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:38.689 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.414$ trials TA's or treatment emergent

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:41.414 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.070$ adverse events and you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:45.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.300$ The ones that reached over 10% were headache,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:47.300 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.440$ nausea, fatigue and something.

00:49:48.440 --> 00:49:50.528 You get that in almost any clinical trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931969381818182

 $00:49:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.898$ it's really common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:49:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.410$ But the more concerning part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:49:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.540$ treatment emergent serious adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:01.540 --> 00:50:03.764 So those of you that do clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00{:}50{:}03.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08.817$ a serious adverse event is either death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:08.820 --> 00:50:10.900 Something that requires hospitalization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.522$ something that has a permanent effect or

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:14.522 --> 00:50:18.036 or another thing that requires a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:18.036 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.499$ level of care or sustained hospitalization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:22.500 --> 00:50:24.552 So it's a pretty serious thing to have a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.660$ a, a serious adverse event,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00{:}50{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.310$ which is different than an

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.960$ adverse event that is severe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.319$ So we can talk about that because

 $00:50:33.319 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.699$ that comes up a little bit here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.164$ But here you started to see something now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:38.170 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.725$ now that we're starting to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:40.725 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.434$ separation and it's specifically the

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:42.434 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.450$ ones they saw are pretty concerning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.652$ So they saw are treatment emergent

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00{:}50{:}46.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.710$ adverse events with suicidal behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.014$ intentional self harm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:50:51.014 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.696$ suicidal ideation which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:53.696 --> 00:50:55.988 regularly observed in patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:55.990 --> 00:50:58.293 but which occurred at a higher frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

00:50:58.293 --> 00:51:00.833 in the groups that got 10 and 25

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:51:00.833 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.000$ milligrams compared to the one milligram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:51:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.662$ And that that that's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.899160835

 $00:51:04.662 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.770$ bit of a concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908418083333333

 $00:51:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.475$ But again, just to wrap up the key findings.

00:51:13.010 --> 00:51:14.680 They met their primary outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.292$ as defined. It looked good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:16.292 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.548$ It the the in my mind the response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:19.548 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.998$ remission rates were pretty impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:21.998 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.078$ and this and they were sustained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.536$ For a relatively long time for a relative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

00:51:31.540 --> 00:51:33.096 Representative portion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:33.096 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.041$ population there were people continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00{:}51{:}35.041 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}37.590$ to have a good response going out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.438$ definitely going out for three weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.834126412857143

 $00:51:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.088$ but even going out for a few months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888304452

 $00:51:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.070$ I think the bigger issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888304452

 $00{:}51{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47.127$ and this is the issue we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.888304452

 $00:51:47.127 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.069$ to think about in the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888304452

 $00:51:48.070 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.450$ is the safety of this,

 $00:51:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.320$ especially with the repeated dosing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00{:}51{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.038$ I I made a big point of this a few times and

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:51:56.038 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.054$ actually there was some press coverages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:51:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.500$ I actually discussed this a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.900$ little bit in these key findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.042$ If you look at the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:06.042 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.113$ emergent adverse events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

00:52:07.120 --> 00:52:11.136 you can see overall not a big difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284725033333333

 $00:52:11.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.164$ but if you look at the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

00:52:14.170 --> 00:52:16.138 And this should actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:16.138 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.614$ be treatment emergent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.868$ As far as I could tell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.908$ serious adverse events,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8284725033333333

 $00:52:19.908 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.638 \text{ I don't know what treatment}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:21.638 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.289$ emergency serious adverse events is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:52:25.030$ I think it's a typo.

 $00:52:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.126$ But this is what's in the press release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.332$ You start to see some pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

00:52:29.332 --> 00:52:30.614 big differences, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:30.614 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.246$ between the 10 and 25 group

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:32.246 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.190$ compared to the one milligram group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:34.190 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.878$ And that becomes especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:35.878 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.988$ important if they are things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00{:}52{:}37.990 \longrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.238$ And I can't tell by the press release

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:40.238 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.604$ if there are increases in suicidal

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00{:}52{:}42.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44.344$ ideation and suicidal behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

00:52:44.350 --> 00:52:46.210 So we're really going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00:52:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.840$ to hear more about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828472503333333

 $00{:}52{:}47.840 --> 00{:}52{:}48.340~\mathrm{Um}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:52:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.390$ And then lastly is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:52:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.780$ the trial that we're currently

00:52:54.780 --> 00:52:57.170 participating in is the USONA

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:52:57.252 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.828$ trial and this is a trial now

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:52:59.828 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.169$ aiming for 100 patients and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:02.169 --> 00:53:04.581 really a trial of silybin versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:04.581 --> 00:53:07.074 niacin and it's 25 milligrams of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:07.074 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.486$ of psilocybin and it's again a

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:09.490 --> 00:53:11.374 randomized placebo-controlled trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:11.374 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.514$ one to one allocation following

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00{:}53{:}14.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17.365$ the standard set and setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:17.365 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.394$ procedures and we're about 60%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:20.394 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.530$ Done with that trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.630$ So we should have the data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:23.630 --> 00:53:25.103 that hopefully in the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00{:}53{:}25.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.867$ six to six months to a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.474$ So I think that captures sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:29.474 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.669$ where we are with the clinical trials

00:53:32.670 --> 00:53:35.190 to date as Sam did a great idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00{:}53{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37.444$ a great job of sort of showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:37.444 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.902$ the hurdles of implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:38.902 --> 00:53:41.786 if if it does get FDA approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:41.790 --> 00:53:44.072 I was just trying to show sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:44.072 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.568$ of where the hurdle will be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:46.568 --> 00:53:49.108 get FDA approval and my take is

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:49.108 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.278$ the data is very encouraging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:53:51.278 --> 00:53:53.944 To this point, in terms of efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00{:}53{:}53.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.540$ I think there are some real

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:55.597 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.619$ concerns in terms of safety and

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:53:57.619 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.967$ implementation and that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00{:}53{:}59.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00.757$ we're going to have to focus on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

00:54:00.760 --> 00:54:03.208 So I think I can wrap it up

NOTE Confidence: 0.935837466

 $00:54:03.208 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.480$ at there at that point.

 $00:54:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.353$ Any questions, Jerry?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89262223

 $00:54:09.353 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.591$ I have a question when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89262223

00:54:11.591 --> 00:54:13.030 patient inclusion criteria are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696682850769231

 $00:54:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.901$ were they the same for the Esketamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.696682850769231

 $00:54:16.901 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.239$ studies and for the Silo cybin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.696682850769231

 $00:54:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.780$ The patient inclusion criteria for

NOTE Confidence: 0.696682850769231

00:54:22.780 --> 00:54:26.940 the clinical trials so there's no, no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00.54:26.950 \longrightarrow 00.54:30.770$ no they they were not similar the and

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:54:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.760$ for the esketamine trials there was there

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:54:34.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:38.955$ were two main ones there is the TRD ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

00:54:38.960 --> 00:54:41.972 In fact Esketamine was approved by

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:54:41.972 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.888$ by the FDA for TRD and for the EMA

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:54:45.888 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.120$ in Europe which meant that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}54{:}48.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50.629$ had to have failed two previous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:54:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.298$ Antidepressants have adequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:54:52.298 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.966$ dose and duration.

 $00:54:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.719$ Those are not the criteria the TRD for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}55{:}00.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}02.552$ For you and and so far these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

00:55:02.552 --> 00:55:04.469 would so Sabin are are you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

00:55:04.469 --> 00:55:06.071 that there are many different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:06.071 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.596$ there are more heterogeneous so

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:07.596 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.744$ but that has not been the standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:09.744 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.170$ The second one for us ketamine was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.290$ what we call now it's called MSI major

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:14.356 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.320$ depression with suicidal ideation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.170$ So those studies were extremely unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.555$ because the inclusion criteria was

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:21.555 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.033$ patients had to have active suicidal

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}55{:}24.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}26.240$ ideation and intent to act on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.180$ That is an exclusion for any other you

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:29.180 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.840$ know you that's the inclusion criteria

 $00:55:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.850$ for those studies where that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}55{:}32.907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.632$ exclusion criteria for almost any

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:34.632 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.694$ of the study including including the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:36.694 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.619$ studies with solar cabin to this date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

00:55:38.620 --> 00:55:41.418 And the other big difference was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}55{:}41.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.784$ the zest ketamine studies there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}55{:}43.784 \to 00{:}55{:}45.515$ new antidepressant started alongside

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

00.55.45.515 --> 00.55.49.680 and for these for the sybian studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.698$ very clearly it they there has to be no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.240$ Active antidepressant at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00{:}55{:}56.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.652$ so you have to wash out prior to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:55:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.690$ So those are the main differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.800372188

 $00:56:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.108$ them I think, for inclusion criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4847194

 $00:56:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.210$ Jerry, I had a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4847194

00:56:09.210 --> 00:56:13.168 I was wondering if you could,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4847194

 $00:56:13.170 \dashrightarrow 00:56:14.892$ you know I granted that the data don't

 $00:56:14.892 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.137$ really allow for a straightforward

NOTE Confidence: 0.4847194

00:56:16.137 --> 00:56:17.717 head-to-head comparison at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.850948608333333

 $00:56:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.010$ point between the sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.850948608333333

 $00:56:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.959$ risks of the silybin versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

 $00{:}56{:}22.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.766$ the continued dose of of Esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

 $00:56:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.006$ But I wonder if you just based

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

 $00:56:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.890$ on like the limited information

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

 $00:56:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.390$ that we have at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

 $00:56:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.785$ how you would weigh the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

00:56:31.785 --> 00:56:33.723 risks of repeated dosing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758026753333333

 $00{:}56{:}33.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35.440$ ketamine versus either repeated

NOTE Confidence: 0.772560642

 $00:56:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.640$ or single dosing of psilocybin, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.90591081

 $00:56:37.650 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.238$ know at this point?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:56:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.580$ Sorry, I can't turn that phone off. I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

00:56:41.580 --> 00:56:44.730 Yeah, I think what you said it's really hard.

 $00:56:44.730 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.450$ It's it's apples and oranges a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00{:}56{:}47.450 --> 00{:}56{:}53.190$ I think you know the, the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

00:56:53.190 --> 00:56:55.572 Obviously a single dose compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:56:55.572 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.309$ repeated doses is going to be difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:56:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.524$ Ketamine for the most part didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:00.524 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.090$ show a really bad adverse event.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:03.090 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.358$ Even with the dosing of either

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:05.358 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.550$ once a week or or every two weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.410$ they didn't really have a really bad profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.918$ In fact, they were no effects on cognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:15.920 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.680$ that were really reliably shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.540$ There was even the bladder concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00{:}57{:}20.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}22.246$ that people are were concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00{:}57{:}22.246 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}57{:}24.276$ about didn't really show anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.460$ Of real interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842973624285714

 $00:57:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.140$ So it's hard to compare them.

 $00:57:29.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.940$ I think the big thing is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

00:57:32.990 --> 00:57:35.186 You know how well the sobin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00.57:35.190 \longrightarrow 00.57:37.158$ you know that six hour period

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

00:57:37.158 --> 00:57:38.470 during that initial dosing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00:57:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.216$ how well that could be tolerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00:57:40.216 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.678$ by people and if it can be a single

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00:57:42.678 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.305$ dosing or even if it's dosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00:57:44.305 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.130$ every three months or four months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00{:}57{:}46.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}48.540$ I I think that can be done as long as

NOTE Confidence: 0.792813921666667

 $00:57:48.612 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.156$ you figure out a way of financing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797665116

 $00{:}57{:}53.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56.940$ Jerry, I have a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797665116

 $00{:}57{:}56.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58.550$ I was actually quite surprised

NOTE Confidence: 0.867610035

 $00:57:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.788$ by the press release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867610035

 $00:58:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.006$ Specifically regarding the lack of

NOTE Confidence: 0.867610035

 $00:58:06.006 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.011$ emphasis on the psychotherapy that

 $00:58:09.011 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.630$ has actually gone in this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867610035

00:58:10.630 --> 00:58:12.950 you and I both know that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867610035

 $00:58:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.440$ probably out of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81097161

 $00:58:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:58:16.165$ psychedelic clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81097161

00:58:16.165 --> 00:58:20.140 had the most. Psychotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918204417692308

00:58:20.150 --> 00:58:21.542 which is preparation, integration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918204417692308

 $00:58:21.542 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.348$ and that was not included in the press

NOTE Confidence: 0.918204417692308

00:58:24.348 --> 00:58:26.680 release, which uh really surprised

NOTE Confidence: 0.813929325

 $00:58:26.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.418$ me. And the facilitators

NOTE Confidence: 0.93090713

 $00:58:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.618$ have actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8448903125

00:58:30.630 --> 00:58:33.370 to go the most intense training

NOTE Confidence: 0.8448903125

00:58:33.370 --> 00:58:36.418 available right now in psychedelic space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8448903125

 $00:58:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.315$ like four months of training, and I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8448903125

00:58:38.315 --> 00:58:43.170 that training intimately. Well, and. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95258151

 $00:58:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.360$ have not seen anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.8193494

 $00:58:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.728$ that the reason for or against of not, you

00:58:49.728 --> 00:58:52.770 know, not including that and so and do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.974684795

 $00:58:52.780 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.548$ have any information about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.974684795

00:58:55.550 --> 00:58:57.482 Because I know there was a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.974684795

00:58:57.482 --> 00:58:59.840 of psychotherapy involved, so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00{:}59{:}00.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}04.804$ yes, I I have. So I think I think this

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:04.804 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.219$ really comes down to and I was part of a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

00:59:07.220 --> 00:59:11.480 Work group with the ISTM, the international,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:16.576$ so I have clinical trials and management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:16.580 \dashrightarrow 00:59:18.788$ Where you know the agencies were there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.552$ the FDA and EMA discussing it and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:22.552 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.170$ this is a really difficult point because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

00:59:27.170 --> 00:59:30.145 If you're saying that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00{:}59{:}30.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}32.525$ concomitant treatment with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

00:59:32.525 --> 00:59:34.890 psychotherapy and the pharmacology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.290$ the agencies in some way have

 $00:59:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.610$ to regulate both in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:40.610 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.762$ If you just say that if you minimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:42.762 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.830$ and say what's there for safety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.070$ which is actually what they did mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:59:49.122$ is that there was some intensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.4930017425

 $00:59:49.122 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.174$ care for the safety of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908165416

 $00:59:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.070$ Then then it's not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.908165416

00:59:56.070 --> 00:59:59.174 going to come under the jersey. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908165416

 $00:59:59.174 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.460$ So if they're trying to walk a fine line

NOTE Confidence: 0.84940000625

01:00:02.470 --> 01:00:04.612 and you don't send people to intensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.84940000625

 $01{:}00{:}04.612 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06.796$ training for four months so they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84940000625

01:00:06.796 --> 01:00:09.419 ensure safety, that's right. So yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:09.430 --> 01:00:12.004 so exactly. So it is trying to walk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:12.004 \longrightarrow 01:00:14.927$ fine line there and and it is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:14.927 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.226$ that the regulatory agencies are trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:17.226 \dashrightarrow 01:00:19.844$ to really get the companies to say.

 $01:00:19.850 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.128$ Well, if there is a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:21.128 --> 01:00:22.160 training you need to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:22.160 --> 01:00:24.360 you have to include it and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:24.360 \longrightarrow 01:00:26.602$ to codify it in some way that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:26.602 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.957$ say this is part of the package insert

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:28.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:31.688$ because that's all the FDA we we think of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:31.688 --> 01:00:34.559 the FDA is sort of doing a lot of things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:34.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.456$ but really they regulate package inserts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:36.460 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.236$ They they tell companies what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:38.236 --> 01:00:40.179 can say and what and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01{:}00{:}40.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}41.657$ and how they can promote a drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:41.660 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.080$ That's really their main thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01{:}00{:}43.080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}45.096$ And I always say you know when

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:45.096 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.532$ they do this they want us to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:47.532 --> 01:00:49.037 so Doctor Jones in Topeka,

 $01:00:49.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.540$ KS can give this drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01{:}00{:}50.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}52.240$ Without ever having this training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:52.240 \longrightarrow 01:00:54.165$ they they have to know what what

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:54.165 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.424$ I need to know about this before

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:00:56.424 --> 01:00:58.470 I prescribe it to my patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:00:58.470 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.170$ That's really the FDA's job.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:01:00.170 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.375$ And so that if if they're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:01:02.375 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.101$ to say that there's a specialty

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01{:}01{:}05.101 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}07.365$ sycho the rapeutic training needed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:01:07.370 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.938$ then they have to codify it in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01{:}01{:}08.938 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}10.934$ way that it would make it into their

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

01:01:10.934 --> 01:01:12.782 package insert. So it's it's tricky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871607312666667

 $01:01:12.782 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.114$ It really is tricky.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:17.000 --> 01:01:18.782 One question, some of those studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:18.782 --> 01:01:20.787 had an active comparator like the New

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01{:}01{:}20.787 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}22.558$ England that David Nutt study and some

 $01:01:22.609 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.187$ didn't like you mentioned the Hopkins

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:24.187 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.612$ that had a wait list as a comparator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:26.612 --> 01:01:29.508 Could you comment on how much that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:29.508 --> 01:01:31.806 you know influence the FDA's decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:31.806 --> 01:01:34.100 when when you know analogous

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:34.100 \longrightarrow 01:01:36.806$ table is being reviewed by them and

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:36.806 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.936$ then also to the scamming table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:38.940 \dashrightarrow 01:01:41.556$ So I think I mean I think they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:41.556 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.645$ only going to review things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:43.645 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.707$ have some form of a comparative

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:45.707 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.808$ could be placebo-controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:46.808 \longrightarrow 01:01:49.696$ Or an active compared to I don't think

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01{:}01{:}49.696 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}51.880$ they're going to allow a wait list

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:51.880 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.396$ comparison but that wasn't intended

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:01:53.396 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.678$ to be a registered trial in any way

 $01:01:55.678 \longrightarrow 01:01:57.666$ that was that was really a feasibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:57.666 --> 01:01:59.318 trial which I think was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:01:59.320 --> 01:02:00.664 Johns Hopkins had the right idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:02:00.664 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.336$ in doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:02:01.340 --> 01:02:03.508 It's first let's give it to few people

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:02:03.508 --> 01:02:05.466 learn from it and then design the

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:02:05.466 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.878$ clinical trial at you know after you do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:02:07.880 --> 01:02:09.931 No, I think it was the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:02:09.931 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.420$ way to initiate a study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:02:11.420 --> 01:02:14.308 But, but that wouldn't be I I can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01{:}02{:}14.308 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17.336$ imagine the FDA would consider that as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01{:}02{:}17.340 --> 01{:}02{:}19.015$ As anything that would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:02:19.015 --> 01:02:21.300 presented as part of the package.

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

 $01:02:21.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.674$ I don't know if anybody else

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082

01:02:22.674 --> 01:02:23.880 has any thoughts on that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.748216082 01:02:23.880 --> 01:02:24.110 but.

 $01:02:26.060 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.817$ Gave you a lot of these early

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

01:02:27.817 --> 01:02:29.240 trials as proof of concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

 $01:02:29.240 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.022$ scientific interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

 $01:02:30.022 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.368$ single site see what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

01:02:32.370 --> 01:02:33.250 They're not, they're never going

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

01:02:33.250 --> 01:02:34.590 to make it into an FDA package,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

 $01:02:34.590 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.466$ the compass, usona,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

 $01:02:35.466 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.128$ the first trials in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.856307469166667

 $01:02:39.128 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.410$ that are going to be the first part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7926483

 $01:02:42.820 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.526$ Those are of phase two trials so

NOTE Confidence: 0.775913386666667

01:02:44.530 --> 01:02:47.525 that they wouldn't even put it as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.775913386666667

 $01:02:47.525 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.923$ phase three pivotal trials have been then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.775913386666667

 $01{:}02{:}49.923 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}51.680$ I mean presumably they'll have if if

NOTE Confidence: 0.775913386666667

 $01{:}02{:}51.734 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}53.329$ you include an active comparator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.775913386666667

 $01:02:53.330 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.465$ you might have a different effect size

 $01:02:55.465 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.730$ if you can get a placebo. Yeah. So how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:01.160 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.260$ Yeah, how, how so? I think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:03:03.260 --> 01:03:05.258 I think that's what actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:05.258 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.992$ really helped Esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:06.992 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.304$ So because Esketamine sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:09.304 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.739$ of the unwritten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:11.740 \longrightarrow 01:03:15.196$ You know, standard in the FDA field was

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:15.196 \longrightarrow 01:03:18.469$ usually two registered trials showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01{:}03{:}18.469 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}20.785$ statistically significant significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:20.785 \longrightarrow 01:03:24.470$ separation from the control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:24.470 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.684$ In a phase 3IN phase three trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:03:28.690 --> 01:03:30.740 Ask you know, Esketamine actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:30.740 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.380$ didn't show that Esketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:32.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.361$ Remember I showed you that first trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:34.361 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.549$ did not show statistical separation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:36.550 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.572$ Admit it was like .056 or .058

 $01{:}03{:}40.572 --> 01{:}03{:}43.776$ or I mean it just missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:43.780 \longrightarrow 01:03:45.380$ It just missed separation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:45.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:48.283$ The second one did hit the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:03:48.283 --> 01:03:50.809 geriatric study did not hit either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:50.810 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.858$ So out of there three phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143 01:03:53.860 --> 01:03:55.380 Three trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:03:55.380 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.940$ only one of them hit statistical

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:03:59.940 --> 01:04:01.460 significance separation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:04:01.460 --> 01:04:03.326 but I think the FDA considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:03.326 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.729$ the fact that since you were going

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:04:05.729 --> 01:04:07.554 up against a new antidepressant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:07.560 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.536$ they they gave them a little bit of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01{:}04{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}15.337$ a little bit of a past there I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:15.340 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.836$ This is just all reading into the leaves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:17.840 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.334$ I wasn't part of the discussions

01:04:19.334 --> 01:04:21.318 with the FDA or anything that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:21.320 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.136$ but they did get a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:23.136 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.253$ a pass where they didn't hit sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:25.253 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.422$ of the standard accepted two phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:27.422 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.232$ three FDA registered trials that

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

01:04:30.232 --> 01:04:32.480 that hit statistical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:32.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.960$ Did that get to the question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.737518452857143

 $01:04:35.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.788$ Yes, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01{:}04{:}40.180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}41.825$ So Jerry, I really want to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

01:04:41.825 --> 01:04:43.740 both you and Sam for this overview.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01{:}04{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}45.540$ This is I think for some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:04:45.540 \longrightarrow 01:04:46.986$ attendees here, this is unfamiliar

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

01:04:46.986 --> 01:04:48.646 territory is thinking about the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:04:48.650 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.686$ you know that how do we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:04:49.686 \longrightarrow 01:04:50.708$ this out into the real world,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

01:04:50.710 --> 01:04:52.854 what does the FDA need to do what,

 $01:04:52.860 \longrightarrow 01:04:55.544$ what considerations do we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:04:55.544 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.938$ Do we need, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

01:04:57.938 --> 01:04:59.450 to actually get this out of the world?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:04:59.450 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.223$ But I agree with Sam that if we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:05:01.223 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.900$ think about these things early ish in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:05:02.900 \longrightarrow 01:05:04.549$ the development of a new treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:05:04.550 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.862$ then we're potentially setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:05:05.862 \longrightarrow 01:05:07.430$ ourselves up to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

01:05:07.430 --> 01:05:08.300 invest, invest, invest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01:05:08.300 \longrightarrow 01:05:09.608$ get lots of exciting science and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818139815714286

 $01{:}05{:}09.608 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}10.800$ then not actually help anyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:11.210 \longrightarrow 01:05:12.570$ I could tell you there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:12.570 \longrightarrow 01:05:13.386$ nothing more painful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:13.390 \dashrightarrow 01:05:14.699$ I'm sure Sam will say the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:14.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.505$ Thing is when we get

 $01:05:16.505 \longrightarrow 01:05:17.949$ requests from people saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

01:05:17.950 --> 01:05:19.170 you know, I need ketamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:19.170 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.144$ my doctor tells me I need ketamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

01:05:21.150 --> 01:05:22.950 This, you know, how do I get it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:22.950 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.194$ And when we have to tell them, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:25.194 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.378$ There's no easy way to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

01:05:27.378 --> 01:05:29.359 it right now that that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

 $01:05:29.360 \longrightarrow 01:05:31.335$ that's that's not a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.75127279125

01:05:31.335 --> 01:05:32.125 satisfying feeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01:05:34.390 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.604$ Great. So thank you so much to you both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01{:}05{:}36.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}38.409$ We are we're overtime so I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01{:}05{:}38.409 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}40.544$ to I'm going to draw this to a close

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01:05:40.544 \longrightarrow 01:05:42.317$ but so where you where we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

01:05:42.317 --> 01:05:44.270 meet again I think it's the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01:05:44.270 \longrightarrow 01:05:46.356$ Friday send out a reminder and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01:05:46.356 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.405$ going to have for a complete change

01:05:48.405 --> 01:05:50.706 of of topic next time we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

01:05:50.706 --> 01:05:52.792 to hear from Alex Kwan and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01:05:52.792 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.465$ people in this lab about mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

01:05:54.465 --> 01:05:56.594 what is what is silybin and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01{:}05{:}56.594 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}57.990$ psychedelics doing mechanistically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01{:}05{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00.499$ the brain and then I hope in future

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01:06:00.499 \longrightarrow 01:06:02.083$ months we'll begin to explore the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86438482

 $01{:}06{:}02.090 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}03.810$ space between those two extremes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891372918

 $01:06:06.010 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.650$ Thank you all so much. Have a good weekend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79593432

 $01:06:09.770 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.660$ Thank you. Bye, bye.