WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:48:34"
- NOTE recognizability:0.916
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536
- 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:00.440 Wait,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00{:}00{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}05.080$ okay, do I need to?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00:00:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.240$ Yes, I need to click that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00{:}00{:}07{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}240$ But can you help because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00:00:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.640$ the mouse is over here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00{:}00{:}12.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.664$ So I will first thank Alicia and thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00{:}00{:}14.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.102$ Marina for that wonderful introduction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00:00:16.102 \rightarrow 00:00:18.235$ and to all of you for being here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00:00:18.235 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.116$ And it's really an honor to be here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00:00:20.116 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.616$ for the special lecture and just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- 00:00:21.616 --> 00:00:23.418 a pleasure to be here in general
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00{:}00{:}23.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.188$ because so many of my colleagues and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00:00:25.188 \rightarrow 00:00:25.992$ Friends are here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}25{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27{.}937$ and I've learned a ton already about

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:27.937 \dashrightarrow 00:00:29.629$ all the research that's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}29.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.630$ Like just makes me want to spend another

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.810$ week or more hanging out more because

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:33.810 \rightarrow 00:00:35.430$ this clearly wasn't enough time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}123$ But I am.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}36.123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.509$ I'm really excited to tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:37.509 - > 00:00:38.948 about some of our work today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}38{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}236$ And as you just heard a little bit from

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:41.236 \rightarrow 00:00:43.387$ the background in the introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:43.390 --> 00:00:44.106 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:44.106 --> 00:00:46.254 I think is increasing evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}46.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.814$ really suggests that brain development

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:47.814 \rightarrow 00:00:49.990$ is impaired in a lot of mental illnesses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}00{:}49{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51{.}850$ including schizophrenia and bipolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:00:51.850 --> 00:00:53.245 But you know,

 $00:00:53.250 \rightarrow 00:00:55.056$ we know this is potentially happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:55.056 \rightarrow 00:00:56.929$ long before the onset of symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.090$ but there are many questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:00:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.286$ and challenges for the field in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:01.286 \rightarrow 00:01:03.210$ particular when do things begin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:03.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.820$ This is a major question as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.784$ developmental neurobiologist and glial

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:05.784 \rightarrow 00:01:07.408$ biologist that I've been long interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}07{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09{.}186$ It's just going back in development

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:09.186 --> 00:01:10.370 and trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.450$ when and also which circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}12.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.178$ And ultimately the biggest question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:14.178 --> 00:01:16.778 course is what are the mechanisms and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}16.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.530$ emerging genetics of the last decade or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:19.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.364$ that's really.

00:01:20.364 --> 00:01:23.283 Exploded in the field and it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:23.283 \dashrightarrow 00:01:25.127$ illuminating a lot of potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:25.127 \dashrightarrow 00:01:27.167$ pathways that we never had before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.294$ It turns out genetics are pointing to a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:29.294 \rightarrow 00:01:31.684$ of a variance in genes that are implicating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:01:31.690 --> 00:01:32.428 not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:32.428 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.011$ the synops right the point of communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}35{.}011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36{.}990$ between neurons where of course if

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}36{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38{.}170$ synopses aren't working properly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}38{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}411$ that's going to have all kinds of impact on

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}40{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.650$ brain development and circuits and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.162$ But the other thing I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:44.162 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.170$ to highlight today is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.130$ you know with all of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:46.130 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.460$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:46.460 \dashrightarrow 00:01:48.770$ the define mapping and GWA studies in,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804
- $00{:}01{:}48.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.225$ in the context of schizophrenia

 $00:01:50.225 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.807$ in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.127$ where I'll focus a bit more today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}01{:}53{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55{.}338$ there's both common variants and rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:55.338 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.605$ variants that are implicating not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:57.605 \rightarrow 00:01:59.450$ generally synapses but specific genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:01:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.700$ not just variants but specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.610$ genes and which also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:03.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.730$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.145$ implicate another pathway or part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:07.145 \dashrightarrow 00:02:09.330$ the body which is the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09{.}610$ which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11{.}570$ At the beginning was a bit mysterious

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}11.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.809$ why these immune molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:12.809 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.675$ keep coming up in the genetics,

00:02:14.680 --> 00:02:16.556 but I hope to convince you today

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}16.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.368$ that some same genes that relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:18.368 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.636$ to the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.810$ like complement C4 and another

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}21.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.320$ gene called CSM D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:02:23.320 --> 00:02:23.960 Ironically enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.880$ my lab had been studying these

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:25.943 \rightarrow 00:02:27.628$ genes independent of knowing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}27.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.625$ genetics was going to point to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

00:02:29.625 --> 00:02:31.119 them in the context of risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.576$ and so it's been a really great example

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:33.576 \rightarrow 00:02:35.679$ where genetics and biology can converge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00:02:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.794$ But even still to try to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}37.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.402$ the mechanism requires a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81935804

 $00{:}02{:}39{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40{.}900$ work to try to develop new tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:02:40.952 \rightarrow 00:02:42.920$ and importantly new model systems because

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}02{:}42{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45{.}400$ even with the genetic leads and even with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}02{:}45{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}440$ some biological insight as Marina just said.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:02:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.240$ How do we translate that into new biomarkers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:02:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.155$ new mechanistic biomarkers that will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:02:52.155 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.637$ enable us to stratify patients and to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}02{:}54.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.653$ be able to identify those that are at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:02:56.710 \rightarrow 00:02:58.996$ more risk really in development ideally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:02:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.530$ but also new targets of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.754$ intervention like that is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:01.760 \rightarrow 00:03:04.478$ is you know I think it's a challenge but.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:04.480 \rightarrow 00:03:06.587$ I hope to convince you today through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:03:06.587 --> 00:03:08.652 collaborative efforts by many of me and my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:08.652 \rightarrow 00:03:10.479$ colleagues at the broad and the Stanley
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:10.479 \dashrightarrow 00:03:12.477$ Center and and many collaborators outside.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:12.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.352$ We are trying to work together to try
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:14.352 \rightarrow 00:03:16.513$ to to develop a pipeline to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:16.513 \rightarrow 00:03:18.644$ to take gene variant all the way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:18.644 \rightarrow 00:03:21.056$ pathway to function so that we can try

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:21.056 \rightarrow 00:03:23.396$ to develop new mechanistic understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.870$ So as a developmental neurobiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.412$ and I think back to when I was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}279$ graduate student start first became

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}30{.}638$ interested in neuroscience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:30.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.716$ It's this type of question that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:32.720 \rightarrow 00:03:34.068$ Brought me to neuroscience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}03{:}34.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.680$ which this idea of how it is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:37.680 --> 00:03:39.680 environment can sculpt developing circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:03:39.680 --> 00:03:39.988 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:39.988 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.144$ So we know early in development with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:42.144 \rightarrow 00:03:44.160$ during this process of brain wiring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:03:44.160 \rightarrow 00:03:46.278$ we start off with, you know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.165$ kind of a sparse immature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:03:48.165 --> 00:03:48.919 synaptic connections.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}03{:}48{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50{.}648$ And then over a course of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:50.648 \rightarrow 00:03:51.512$ different critical periods,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:03:51.520 --> 00:03:51.830 right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:51.830 \rightarrow 00:03:54.000$ we start to develop this process by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}03{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.293$ which some of those connections form
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:56.293 \rightarrow 00:03:58.308$ and get strengthened and maintained.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.660$ And other of these connections
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:03:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.470$ get permanently removed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}04{:}00{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.678$ This is a process called synaptic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:04:02.678 --> 00:04:04.150 refinement or synaptic pruning,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:04.150 \dashrightarrow 00:04:06.126$ and we know what happens all across the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:06.126 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.270$ brain in different critical periods now.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:04:08.270 --> 00:04:09.866 It's been best studied in sensory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:09.866 \rightarrow 00:04:11.813$ systems like the visual system and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:11.813 \rightarrow 00:04:13.469$ that matter many other sensory systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:13.469 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.122$ where these critical periods are

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:15.122 \rightarrow 00:04:16.782$ often happening early in development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}16.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.062$ but other parts of your brain like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:19.062 \rightarrow 00:04:20.799$ prefrontal cortex and cortical circuits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}20.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22.984$ These are the sort of last areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:22.984 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.386$ to refine and mature and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26{.}372$ incredibly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:04:26.372 --> 00:04:27.358 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}27.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.394$ there's much less known about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}29{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31{.}520$ mechanisms and and timelines that regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}04{:}31{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34{.}160$ those processes of synaptic refinement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.022$ So what we are interested in is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319673566666666

 $00:04:36.022 \rightarrow 00:04:37.882$ trying to better define not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:04:37.882 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.547$ the timing of different regions

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:04:39.547 -> 00:04:41.440 and circuits and their maturation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.610$ but we want to try to better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}04{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.374$ understand how perturbations of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46{.}926$ environment and particular genetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:04:46.926 --> 00:04:48.775 pathways influence and change
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}04{:}48.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}50.715$ circuits and ultimately behavior.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- 00:04:50.720 --> 00:04:51.784 Now it's long known,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:51.784 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.316$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}04{:}52{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54{.}000$ I should just mention another thing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:54.000 \rightarrow 00:04:55.320$ that plasticity is usually thought
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.640$ to be a good thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}04{:}56{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}58{.}544$ It's why my daughter can learn French
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:04:58.544 \rightarrow 00:05:00.519$ seamlessly and I am terrible at French,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00:05:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.842$ right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666
- $00{:}05{:}00{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}096$ My critical period for learning French is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:03.096 \rightarrow 00:05:05.354$ long closed and no matter how much I try,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06{.}336$ it is very challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:06.336 \rightarrow 00:05:08.131$ But my both my daughters are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:08.131 \dashrightarrow 00:05:09.536$ a French immersion program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:09.536 \rightarrow 00:05:11.044$ started learning that when they

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:11.044 \rightarrow 00:05:12.356$ were kindergarten first grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

00:05:12.360 --> 00:05:14.040 And it's amazing, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.024$ So that's just one of one of many

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:16.024 \rightarrow 00:05:17.438$ examples of why sort of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}05{:}17{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18{.}950$ idea of lose it or use it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00:05:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.380$ Lose it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931967356666666

 $00{:}05{:}19{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21{.}100$ use it or lose it and the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}21{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22{.}816$ that you know plasticity while

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:22.816 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.471$ enabling learning and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:24.532 \rightarrow 00:05:26.188$ adaptation to the environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.828$ it can also lead to vulnerability.

 $00:05:27.830 \rightarrow 00:05:30.357$ So plasticity opens the brain up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.116$ potential vulnerability because it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:05:32.116 --> 00:05:34.272 dynamic and plastic and I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}34{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35{.}596$ understanding these critical periods

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}35{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}829$ are going to be important to thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}37.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.212$ about why there is particular windows

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}40.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.313$ of vulnerability and diseases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:05:42.313 --> 00:05:44.538 disorders like schizophrenia for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}44.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.252$ Now schizophrenia, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:46.252 \rightarrow 00:05:48.216$ we know is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:48.216 \rightarrow 00:05:49.906$ there's evidence from both imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52{.}340$ studies and some an atomical studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:52.340 \dashrightarrow 00:05:55.220$ there is a loss of great Gray matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}220$ --> $00{:}05{:}56{.}912$ thinning of Gray matter and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:05:56.912 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.710$ even some evidence of loss of

 $00:05:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.558$ spines and synopses that have come

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}01{.}660$ from postmortem studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:01.660 --> 00:06:03.683 And, and I think more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:03.683 \rightarrow 00:06:05.632$ evidence is suggesting that at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}05{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07{.}337$ in some individuals of schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}07{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09{.}060$ there's evidence of synaptic defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:09.060 \rightarrow 00:06:10.660$ And this is not true just of schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}10.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.765$ This is true of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:12.765 \rightarrow 00:06:14.449$ neurodevelopmental disorders as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.298$ But I think the problem with

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:16.298 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.530$ these types of examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:17.530 \rightarrow 00:06:18.397$ even the imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}18.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.786$ it doesn't give you the resolution to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}20.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.616$ at synapses and the postmortem analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:22.616 \rightarrow 00:06:25.169$ where you can quantify synapses in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:25.170 \rightarrow 00:06:26.980$ By the time you get those brains, you know

 $00:06:26.980 \rightarrow 00:06:28.210$ there's many things that have happened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:28.210 --> 00:06:29.914 So you don't know whether that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:29.914 --> 00:06:30.990 cause or consequence, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:30.990 - > 00:06:32.310 There are many things that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.450$ have led to the loss of synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}34{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}730$ So a lot of this idea of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:36.730 --> 00:06:37.890 synaptic pruning defects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:37.890 \rightarrow 00:06:39.927$ those are all been, it's a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.150$ We don't know for sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:42.150 \dashrightarrow 00:06:44.050$ synapse pruning or synapse loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}44.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.896$ Is contributing to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:06:44.896 --> 00:06:45.178 to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}244$ to to some of these disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}47.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.814$ I think that's real obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:48.814 \rightarrow 00:06:50.290$ very challenging to study in,

 $00:06:50.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.288$ in people and for that matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:52.288 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.287$ even in animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.794$ And so we also know that synapse loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}804$ and dysfunction is a is a hallmark

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:57.804 \dashrightarrow 00:06:59.490$ of many other disorders as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:06:59.490 \dashrightarrow 00:07:01.605$ And my lab over the last many years has NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:01.605 \rightarrow 00:07:03.651$ been studying normally what regulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:03.651 \rightarrow 00:07:05.846$ synaptic pruning and synapse elimination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}05.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07{.}475$ hoping that by understanding basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}450$ mechanisms in the normal healthy brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.285$ in animal models and ideally

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:11.285 --> 00:07:12.386 in patient samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}12.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.040$ That that can then based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:14.040 \rightarrow 00:07:14.865$ that mechanistic understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.935$ we could then apply some of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}16.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.680$ to understand whether those same

00:07:18.680 --> 00:07:20.276 mechanisms become aberrantly activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:20.276 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.239$ to lead to pathological synapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}22.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.069$ loss in the context of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.939$ And we've also been studying this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:25.939 --> 00:07:27.122 other diseases including Alzheimer's

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}27.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.121$ and a ge-related neurodegenerative

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:28.121 \dashrightarrow 00:07:30.430$ diseases and in fact in normal aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.397$ And even though all of these disorders

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:32.397 \dashrightarrow 00:07:33.868$ are remarkably different in many ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:33.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.938$ as you all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}34{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36{.}540$ I believe there's still evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:36.596 \rightarrow 00:07:38.496$ a convergence that I'm particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}38{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40{.}016$ interested in because different

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}40.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41.738$ things can initiate the process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.219$ Genetics and environment,

00:07:43.219 --> 00:07:44.698 different different pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

00:07:44.700 --> 00:07:46.724 maybe even different circuits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}46.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.985$ but ultimately at least the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:48.985 \rightarrow 00:07:50.230$ we have suggests the possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:50.284 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.108$ that there could be some converging

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:52.108 \rightarrow 00:07:53.324$ mechanisms that then ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:53.373 \rightarrow 00:07:54.873$ leads to the synaptic vulnerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:54.873 \rightarrow 00:07:55.773$ and synapse loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}201$ And that's what I want to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:57.201 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.140$ to you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00{:}07{:}58{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59{.}412$ So why has progress been so

NOTE Confidence: 0.899246075

 $00:07:59.412 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.260$ slow on the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:00.308 \rightarrow 00:08:01.880$ side even though the genetics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:01.880 \rightarrow 00:08:03.380$ the genomic studies have exploded?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}03{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}728$ And that's wonderful because

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:04.728 --> 00:08:06.968 unbiased data is giving us, I know,

 $00:08:06.968 \dashrightarrow 00:08:08.612$ new leads, new candidates and new

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:08.612 \rightarrow 00:08:10.618$ ways of thinking about mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:10.620 \rightarrow 00:08:12.500$ But obviously this complex circuitry

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:12.500 - 00:08:14.380 inaccessibility of the human brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:14.380 \rightarrow 00:08:16.151$ as I mentioned and as I'll highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:16.151 --> 00:08:18.178 and I think many of you appreciate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:18.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.710$ there's been a lack of credible

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:19.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.220$ disease models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}20{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}100$ I mean, I don't think anyone model a mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:23.100 \rightarrow 00:08:25.580$ or monkey or other animal models can really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:25.580 \rightarrow 00:08:27.132$ truly recapitulate the complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:27.132 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.460$ of the human brain and cognition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:29.460 \rightarrow 00:08:31.469$ but with the thoughtful way of thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:31.469 \rightarrow 00:08:33.699$ about trying to model disease mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.000$ not model the disease.

00:08:35.000 --> 00:08:36.300 That's giving us new,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}08{:}36{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}155$ a new foothold into trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:38.155 \rightarrow 00:08:40.300$ the types of questions I raised before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:40.300 --> 00:08:42.058 And also we don't have biomarkers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:42.060 \rightarrow 00:08:42.431$ right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:42.431 \rightarrow 00:08:45.028$ We don't have really good mechanistic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:08:45.028 --> 00:08:46.939 predictive biomarkers given the complexity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:46.940 \rightarrow 00:08:48.440$ heterogeneity and polygenicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.940$ of these disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:49.940 \rightarrow 00:08:52.070$ how do you even know who to track and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:52.124 \rightarrow 00:08:54.098$ follow and stratify and we don't have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:54.100 \dashrightarrow 00:08:56.700$ we don't have that for for these disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.142$ So what I want to do today

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:58.142 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.769$ is kind of take you through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:08:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.324$ The thinking and the the way we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:01.324 \rightarrow 00:09:02.616$ been thinking about how to tackle

 $00:09:02.616 \rightarrow 00:09:03.967$ this and we meaning there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:04.016 \rightarrow 00:09:05.004$ of collaborators and colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:05.004 \rightarrow 00:09:06.803$ at the Stanley Center at the broad

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:06.803 \rightarrow 00:09:08.368$ and and within my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.735$ But just the idea that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:09.735 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.208$ that there are many open questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}11{.}210$ --> $00{:}09{:}12{.}939$ We still don't know even with all

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:12.939 \rightarrow 00:09:14.830$ of the genetics that are pointing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.468$ variance that we don't many cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:16.519 \rightarrow 00:09:18.430$ know what the genes are right they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:18.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.135$ they're close to in a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:20.135 --> 00:09:21.905 chromosome and a particular loci but

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}21.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.601$ which genes in some cases we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}23.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.567$ the genes and in many cases we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}25{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}27{.}355$ We don't know the mechanism by which

 $00:09:27.355 \rightarrow 00:09:29.250$ these genes actually alter cellular function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:29.250 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.248$ We don't know which pathways are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:31.248 \rightarrow 00:09:32.994$ relevant because these genes don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:32.994 \rightarrow 00:09:34.769$ necessarily tell us what pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:34.770 \dashrightarrow 00:09:36.989$ We don't know in many cases which

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:36.989 \rightarrow 00:09:39.087$ cell types are are most affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:39.087 \dashrightarrow 00:09:40.749$ There are loss of heterogeneity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:40.749 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.809$ cells in the brain, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}42.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.567$ even with an excitatory neuron populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:44.570 - > 00:09:46.265 inhibitory neuron populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}09{:}46.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}49.090$ and glial cells remarkable heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:49.090 --> 00:09:50.890 So even if you found a gene in a pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:09:50.890 --> 00:09:53.026 which cell type do you want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:53.026 \rightarrow 00:09:54.436$ studying right and what's which

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.520$ ones to focus on?

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.040$ And of course which synapse,

00:09:57.040 --> 00:09:58.280 right, it's not all synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:58.280 \rightarrow 00:09:59.468$ maybe the vulnerable synapse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:09:59.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.953$ which circuit in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:00.960 \rightarrow 00:10:02.200$ I mean obviously this is going to sound

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:02.200 \rightarrow 00:10:03.357$ like the most daunting thing ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.240$ how we ever going to figure this out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.508$ but ultimately all of these questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:07.508 \dashrightarrow 00:10:10.490$ are are open in many ways and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.155$ What we want to try to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:10:12.155 --> 00:10:14.347 do is think about ways to to step

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00:10:14.347 \rightarrow 00:10:15.810$ back and systematically go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}17{.}910$ And in some cases where the leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

00:10:17.910 --> 00:10:18.810 are more reasonable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}18.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.328$ we know something about the biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}890$ We're going deeper to try to

 $00:10:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.410$ understand mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}22{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23{.}855$ And in other cases we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.945524904761905

 $00{:}10{:}23.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.300$ letting the unbiased data come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:25.358 \rightarrow 00:10:27.284$ in to nominate pathways and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:27.284 \rightarrow 00:10:28.568$ we're developing new models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}28.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.990$ We wish to test that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:29.990 --> 00:10:31.878 So I'm going to give you an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:31.878 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.854$ in the first part of my talk that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}33.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.702$ going to focus on one gene and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}433$ pathway and mechanism that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}37{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}149$ worked on for the last decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41{.}383$ And it involves the complement cascade as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:41.383 \rightarrow 00:10:44.268$ you heard a little bit in the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:44.270 --> 00:10:45.881 But I just want to tell you a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:45.881 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.266$ bit about how this all started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:47.270 \rightarrow 00:10:49.166$ because we knew from the genetics.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}10{:}49{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51{.}466$ That by far the largest and most

 $00:10:51.466 \rightarrow 00:10:53.362$ mysterious genetic result has always been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:53.362 \rightarrow 00:10:55.570$ this huge man in the Manhattan plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:10:55.570 \rightarrow 00:10:58.286$ There's clear evidence of the MHC locust,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:10:58.290 --> 00:10:58.542 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}10{:}58{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00{.}306$ This is the tallest peak in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:00.306 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.386$ Manhattan plot, but yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:01.386 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.826$ and there's hundreds of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}02.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.690$ within that locust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}03.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.920$ But it's been a mystery in terms of what gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:05.974 \rightarrow 00:11:08.008$ or genes underlie this incredible signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:08.010 --> 00:11:08.670 And even now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:08.670 \dashrightarrow 00:11:10.210$ with more and more genetics coming in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}10{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12{.}289$ that peak is still the highest peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}12{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14{.}170$ And, and at the time,

 $00:11:14.170 \rightarrow 00:11:15.605$ I know geneticists were working on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:15.610 --> 00:11:17.224 And of course, more and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}17{.}224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19{.}090$ data means more and more insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:19.090 --> 00:11:22.186 But it turns out that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}11{:}22.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.870$ one of my very outstanding geneticists and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:25.870 --> 00:11:28.090 neurobiologists colleague Steve Mccarroll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:28.090 \rightarrow 00:11:30.090$ his lab was studying this locust more deeply,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:30.090 \rightarrow 00:11:31.764$ was fine mapping and starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:31.764 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.570$ look into the locust to see what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.345$ what's what could explain this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:11:35.345 - 00:11:36.410 huge genetic signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.058$ And for many reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:37.058 \dashrightarrow 00:11:38.410$ and of course this work is published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:38.410 \rightarrow 00:11:40.280$ but I just want to take you through the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:40.331 \rightarrow 00:11:42.203$ findings that sets up the rest of the stock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:11:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.184$ what he found and what Ashwin

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:11:44.184 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.830$ Sekhart found in his lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:11:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.230$ Was that in within that locus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:11:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.229$ are is a gene complement C4.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}11{:}51{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}038$ Now C4 in humans there are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:11:54.038 --> 00:11:56.154 two isoforms of C422 genes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:11:56.154 \rightarrow 00:11:58.910$ C4 big A and C4 big B.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}11{:}58{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}801$ And it turns out when you looked at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:12:01.801 --> 00:12:04.747 haplotype in terms of different individuals,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:12:04.750 --> 00:12:06.162 you can have different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:12:06.162 --> 00:12:07.767 combinations of C4A versus C4B.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:12:07.767 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.040$ So you can have multiple copies of a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:12:10.040 --> 00:12:12.640 Multiple copies of B both and vice versa.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}12{:}12{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}14{.}481$ And what Steve and Ashwin managed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:12:14.481 --> 00:12:16.486 do and they discovered that it's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}12{:}16{.}486{\:}{-}{>}00{:}12{:}18{.}141$ so much whether you have the gene or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:18.141 \rightarrow 00:12:19.520$ not have the gene or whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}19{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21{.}040$ a loss of function of that gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:21.040 \rightarrow 00:12:23.452$ How many copies of the particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:23.452 --> 00:12:25.719 structural form of C4 is what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27{.}320$ what, what linked to risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.480$ And there's a really all of the data NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

00:12:29.480 --> 00:12:31.158 was published number of years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:31.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.546$ but I just want to highlight the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}32{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}119$ take home of this important study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}34{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36{.}402$ One is that they went to correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}36{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}304$ and they've mapped us back on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:38.304 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.729$ to the genetics and found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.476$ Indeed it was the copy number

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00{:}12{:}41.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.382$ of C4A the conferred risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:43.382 \rightarrow 00:12:46.495$ So the more copies of you of C4A1

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535

 $00:12:46.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.275$ individual had it significantly

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- 00:12:48.275 --> 00:12:49.610 increased schizophrenia risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:12:49.610 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.080$ But they also measured the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:12:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.970$ expression of C4 on the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:12:52.970 \rightarrow 00:12:54.890$ This was the other really important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:12:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.062$ finding C4 in complement is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00:12:56.062 \rightarrow 00:12:57.127$ expressed in the whole body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}12{:}57{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}546$ But it was the brain expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9483042535
- $00{:}12{:}58.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.490$ that correlated with all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:12:59.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.630$ this and when they measured
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}00{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}690$ the RNA in the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:13:02.690 \rightarrow 00:13:04.990$ It was the higher expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}04{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}055$ of C4 was also related to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:07.055 --> 00:13:08.660 more copies of C4A you had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:08.660 --> 00:13:10.751 So you're making more of the C4A
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:10.751 -> 00:13:12.977 gene now around the time they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:12.980 --> 00:13:14.804 Steve and Ashwin and his lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:14.804 --> 00:13:16.529 started to uncover this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:16.529 --> 00:13:18.309 exciting and important finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19{.}950$ Steve and I actually didn't realize that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:19.950 --> 00:13:21.070 our labs are right across

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.190$ the street from each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:22.190 --> 00:13:24.278 And and so we started having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:24.278 --> 00:13:26.182 coffee and I started Ed Skulnik

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:26.182 --> 00:13:27.360 and Steve ***** started inviting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.590$ me over to the Stanley Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.190$ I'm like, why they want to hang out with me?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31{.}877$ Well, it turns out they wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}13{:}31{.}877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}803$ hang out with me because we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:33.803 --> 00:13:35.525 studying this very same pathway since

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:13:35.581 --> 00:13:37.429 I was a postdoc in Ben Barris's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:13:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.610$ not thinking anything about

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:13:38.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.790$ the genetics of schizophrenia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:39.790 --> 00:13:41.596 But we were really going deep into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:41.596 00:13:43.124 the biology of this complement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:13:43.124 \rightarrow 00:13:45.212$ pathway in the context of synaptic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:13:45.212 \rightarrow 00:13:47.070$ elimination and synaptic development.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.230$ So it's a great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:48.230 --> 00:13:49.970 Adipitous example of how a biology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}50{.}027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}847$ and genetics can come together
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}51{.}847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}667$ and lead to collaborative science
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}53{.}723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55{.}947$ that can then enable one to try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:13:55.947 \rightarrow 00:13:57.282$ understand the biology underlying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}13{:}57.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.826$ those emerging genetic findings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:13:58.830 --> 00:14:00.060 And I'm just going to highlight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}14{:}00{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}561$ some ongoing work and in no way have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:14:01.561 00:14:02.710 we figured this out by the way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:02.710 \rightarrow 00:14:04.780$ but I'm going to tell you what we've learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.476$ I just want to tell you if those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:06.476 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.099$ that are already thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}08{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}290$ when they learn about compliment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}09{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}164$ back in the day in Med school or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:11.164 \rightarrow 00:14:12.634$ in grad school and your head is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:12.688 --> 00:14:14.416 starting to spin thinking about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:14.420 --> 00:14:14.996 don't worry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:14.996 \rightarrow 00:14:17.300$ I'm not going to make you relearn compliment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}076$ The take home here is it's very complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:19.076 --> 00:14:20.869 and even the immunologist yesterday I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:20.869 \rightarrow 00:14:22.813$ was over in the Immunobiology department,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.368$ they said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

00:14:23.368 --> 00:14:23.916 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:23.916 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.954$ nobody really wants to talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

 $00:14:25.954 \rightarrow 00:14:28.000$ compliment cascade because it's so complex.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}14{:}28{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30{.}960$ And and and in fact even like these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:30.960 \rightarrow 00:14:32.759$ incredible immunologists try to avoid it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}14{:}32.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34.352$ So I I didn't feel so bad when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:34.352 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.264$ I when I didn't know much about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:14:36.264 --> 00:14:37.719 adaptive immunity or B cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:14:37.720 --> 00:14:39.580 But I do know something about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.571$ complement and that is these are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:14:41.571 --> 00:14:43.276 a group of complement secreted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}14{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.195$ proteins that exist throughout your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:45.195 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.110$ body and basically what complement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.969$ does is it helps it's our first
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:48.969 \rightarrow 00:14:50.840$ line of defense against a pathogen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.718$ So think about a pathogen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}14{:}52{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}893$ a bacterial infection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}14{:}53.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.457$ Before your slower adaptive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375

- 00:14:55.457 --> 00:14:56.860 immune system kicks in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:56.860 \rightarrow 00:14:59.152$ the complement system kicks in really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:14:59.152 \rightarrow 00:15:01.940$ fast and these start secreted and they,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.772$ if they're all there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:02.772 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.980$ present,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.096$ whether that be in the periphery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:04.096 \rightarrow 00:15:05.380$ and the blood or in the brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:05.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.192$ as I'll tell you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}15{:}06{.}192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}705$ That can then lead to a kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}15{:}07.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.657$ of a domino effect,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:08.660 \rightarrow 00:15:10.355$ a cascade that ultimately leads
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}15{:}10.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.769$ to the tagging of some of those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:12.769 \rightarrow 00:15:14.399$ complement components like C4 and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:14.399 \dashrightarrow 00:15:17.017$ C3 on the surface of that pathogen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00{:}15{:}17{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}102$ And that brings in macrophages the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:19.102 \rightarrow 00:15:21.380$ Pacman to then recognize and remove it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- $00:15:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.785$ And then that's a very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9446563375
- 00:15:22.785 --> 00:15:24.190 helpful process to get rid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00:15:24.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.840$ of infection or dead cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00:15:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.112$ or debris very rapidly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}27{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}037$ Well, it turns out when I was a postdoc
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}29{.}037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31{.}169$ in Ben Barris's lab through an unbiased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00:15:31.169 \rightarrow 00:15:33.277$ gene chip experiment way back in the day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}33{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}085$ we also uncovered an unexpected
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}35{.}085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}726$ role for C1Q during this process of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00:15:37.726 \rightarrow 00:15:39.656$ development and synapse pruning that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00:15:39.656 \rightarrow 00:15:42.239$ we also were very surprised about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}42.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43.240$ And what it turns out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}43{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45{.}100$ I'm going to tell you about is the work we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- 00:15:45.147 --> 00:15:46.915 uncovered when I was still in Ben's lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842
- $00{:}15{:}46{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}456$ And this is a figure from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:48.456 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.480$ that very first paper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.004$ What we realized is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:51.004 --> 00:15:51.766 And hypothesize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53{.}205$ and this is really Ben and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:53.205 \rightarrow 00:15:54.075$ brainstorming about what complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:54.075 \rightarrow 00:15:55.365$ might be doing in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.850$ the healthy brain, no infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}15{:}56.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.970$ no disease, no challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:15:57.970 --> 00:15:59.090 But it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:15:59.090 \rightarrow 00:16:00.650$ just like the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:00.650 --> 00:16:01.730 glial cells, neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}01{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03{.}530$ they all express these complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.769$ components all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.408$ And they're present in the brain now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:06.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.370$ They're not always there at the same levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.790$ In fact, during development,

00:16:09.790 --> 00:16:11.565 during critical periods of development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}11.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.728$ there's a lot more of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:12.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.848$ not just present, but tagging synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:14.850 \rightarrow 00:16:17.328$ So instead of tagging a bacterial cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}17{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}364$ what we discovered was that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:19.364 --> 00:16:20.970 complement components can similarly tag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:20.970 --> 00:16:23.088 Leg of Synapse and that microglia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:23.090 \rightarrow 00:16:23.952$ not macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}23.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.676$ have expressed the receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:25.676 \rightarrow 00:16:26.969$ that recognize complement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:26.970 --> 00:16:28.095 And through that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:28.095 \rightarrow 00:16:29.970$ that's one way that synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:29.970 --> 00:16:30.704 exuberant connections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}30{.}704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}906$ axons and synapses then can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}32{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34{.}928$ removed and engulfed by microglia.

 $00:16:34.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.490$ Now that was a hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:36.490 - 00:16:37.890 Then over the last decade or more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.605$ my lab has gone on to test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:39.610 --> 00:16:42.050 but I I want to tell you 2 important points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:42.050 \rightarrow 00:16:44.726$ One is that complement is there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:44.730 --> 00:16:46.566 you know, naturally in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

00:16:46.570 - 00:16:48.018 right under normal conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}48.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.190$ It tags subsets of immature synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:50.246 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.786$ during critical periods and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:51.786 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.760$ studied this in the visual system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00{:}16{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.615$ We also went on to show if

NOTE Confidence: 0.942901794736842

 $00:16:55.615 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.640$ you genetically knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:16:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.490$ C1QC3CR3 on the microglia through

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}885$ a number of studies over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:01.885 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.959$ years by my lab and others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:02.960 \rightarrow 00:17:06.124$ that does lead to defects in synapse

 $00:17:06.124 \rightarrow 00:17:07.780$ number and synaptic connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}07.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.580$ We really focused on the visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:09.580 \rightarrow 00:17:11.565$ system and we've gone deep into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:11.565 \rightarrow 00:17:13.107$ visual system because it's such an

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:13.156 \rightarrow 00:17:14.997$ elegant model to study the process of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}15{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16{.}245$ Synaptic elimination and I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}16.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.959$ to take you through some of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}436$ mechanisms now and I'm going to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}19{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21{.}079$ back out again and tell you how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:21.079 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.068$ might then lead to us thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:23.068 \rightarrow 00:17:25.150$ synapse and vulnerability in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}25{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27{.}198$ of of disorders like schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}27{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29{.}504$ So the question we had in early on

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}29{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31{.}321$ was could microglia be similarly

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:31.321 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.673$ playing this role in the brain?

00:17:33.680 --> 00:17:35.000 And as you just heard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:17:35.000 --> 00:17:36.600 you know, back then again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:36.600 \rightarrow 00:17:37.760$ while a long time ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:17:37.760 --> 00:17:38.711 15 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40{.}930$ microglia were really studied in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}40{.}987 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43{.}115$ of injury and disease or infection and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.478$ you know, other challenges in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}44{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46{.}440$ So we knew microglia are really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}46{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48{.}265$ But this observation made initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}48.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.500$ by my colleague at Stanford and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:50.500 \rightarrow 00:17:52.240$ others using two photon imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}52{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}417$ this is a movie by my own

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:17:54.417 --> 00:17:55.920 graduate student Janelle Wallace,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.820$ just shows this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:17:56.820 \rightarrow 00:17:58.020$ Really important observation that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}17{:}58.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.866$ if you were to put a microscope

 $00:17:59.866 \rightarrow 00:18:01.500$ in your head right now and watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:01.500 --> 00:18:03.236 microglia as you listen to my talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.277$ they might be doing something like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:05.280 \rightarrow 00:18:06.920$ They're always moving their processes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.742$ they're moving around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}07{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}112$ they're touching synopses and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10{.}839$ lot of other cells in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.268$ This is just a sparse example

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}12.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.092$ where you can see the interactions

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:14.092 \dashrightarrow 00:18:15.716$ between synopses and microglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.720$ But this led to all kinds of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}17.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.299$ this observation alone led me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}579$ wonder all kinds of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}20.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.645$ What are they sensing when

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:21.645 \rightarrow 00:18:22.497$ they're touching a synapse?

 $00:18:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.660$ What are the molecular cues

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.820$ that might be recruiting them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.590$ Find me signals to bring them

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:26.590 \rightarrow 00:18:28.220$ in when they get there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}28{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29{.}557$ some of them land and hang out

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}29.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.620$ with synapses longer than others,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.848$ and others pull back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:31.848 \rightarrow 00:18:33.690$ What are those molecules and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36{.}123$ are the the sort of bidirectional

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:36.123 \rightarrow 00:18:37.707$ signaling mechanisms between microgly

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:37.768 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.710$ and neurons that could be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:39.710 \rightarrow 00:18:41.180$ leading to this sort of interaction?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:41.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.224$ But most importantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:42.224 --> 00:18:43.860 You know, what's the functional consequence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:43.860 \rightarrow 00:18:45.035$ What might they be doing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:45.040 --> 00:18:46.600 And as a resident phagocyte,

 $00{:}18{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.656$ and based on the mechanisms I

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:47.656 --> 00:18:48.800 told you about with complement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:48.800 \rightarrow 00:18:51.374$ we hypothesize that maybe subsets of

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:51.374 \rightarrow 00:18:53.090$ those synapses during development

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:53.157 \rightarrow 00:18:55.253$ might actually be engulfed or phagocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:55.253 \rightarrow 00:18:57.359$ toast or pruned away by microglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:18:57.360 - 00:18:59.160 The weaker synapse is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:18:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.735$ hypothesize and indeed in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}00{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}315$ visual system and the retina

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:02.315 \rightarrow 00:19:03.970$ geniculate system that we study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.698$ We have a way thanks to the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:19:05.698 --> 00:19:07.304 of Carla Schatz and Shinfei Chen

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:07.304 \rightarrow 00:19:09.324$ and and many others that have used

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:19:09.324 --> 00:19:11.319 so Huebel and weasel the system to

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:11.319 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.678$ study activity dependent refinement.

 $00:19:12.678 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.830$ You can basically in a mouse or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}15{.}903 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18{.}106$ cat or a monkey, but we do mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

00:19:18.106 --> 00:19:20.889 You can put tracers in the eyes of both mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00:19:20.890 \rightarrow 00:19:23.650$ both eyes of a mouse in red and and blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.302$ and then that it leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941437529411765

 $00{:}19{:}25{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}010$ tracing of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:26.072 \rightarrow 00:19:28.007$ projection into the visual thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.809$ And a cartoon of the relay neuron

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}31{.}737$ on the thalamus might look something

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:31.737 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.205$ like this early development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.238$ There's a neurons innervated by both

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}19{:}35{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}329$ eyes and they're pretty weak inputs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:19:37.330 --> 00:19:38.640 But during the critical period

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:19:38.640 --> 00:19:39.688 in Post Natal development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.762$ some of those inputs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:19:40.762 \rightarrow 00:19:43.161$ the weak ones get pruned away and weakened

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:43.161 \rightarrow 00:19:45.369$ and others get strengthened and maintained.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.882$ This is the idea of use it or lose
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:46.882 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.369$ it and it's activity dependent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.528$ So based on all that work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.356$ we wondered a a micro engulfing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:52.356 \rightarrow 00:19:54.849$ or pruning synapses and if so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.370$ are they doing it in a selective way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:56.370 \rightarrow 00:19:57.794$ is it activity dependent?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}19{:}57{.}794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59{.}574$ The alternative less interesting hypothesis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:19:59.574 \rightarrow 00:20:01.769$ is they're just cleaning up the debris.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.490$ And a lot of data supports that's that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}20{:}03{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}04{.}862$ It's the first that they're not just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:04.862 \rightarrow 00:20:06.329$ cleaning up and picking up the debris,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}20{:}06{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07{.}746$ but they're having a more active
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:07.746 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.690$ role in this process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:08.690 \rightarrow 00:20:10.412$ Because work by Dorothy Schaefer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:10.412 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.622$ my lab showed that when you manipulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:12.622 \rightarrow 00:20:14.967$ activity in the two eyes drive competition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}20{:}14.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.185$ there was a selective elimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:17.185 --> 00:20:19.400 or removal or phagocytosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:19.471 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.367$ those presynaptic axons in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.987$ in a way that they were selectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:22.987 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.290$ engulfing the less active input.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.458$ So this is an important sort of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:26.458 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.817$ finding because it did tell us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:27.820 --> 00:20:29.084 That it's, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}20{:}29{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}664$ instructive cues must somehow be

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:30.664 \rightarrow 00:20:32.397$ regulating this process so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:32.397 \rightarrow 00:20:34.085$ less active inputs perhaps may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:20:34.085 \rightarrow 00:20:35.470$ molecular cues that are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:20:35.470 - > 00:20:37.399 than the than the red inputs and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:37.399 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.310$ then that might lead to microglia to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:39.369 \rightarrow 00:20:41.295$ then come and engulf those synapses.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:41.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.596$ And we have a quite a bit of data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:42.596 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.740$ to support that hypothesis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.616$ at least in the mouse visual system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}20{:}45.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.764$ So how does this relate to the complement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:20:47.764 --> 00:20:49.586 C4 story I told you in genetics?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:20:49.590 --> 00:20:49.865 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}20{:}49.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.240$ we started collaborating with Steve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}20{:}51{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}340$ Mccarroll and we collaborated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:52.386 \rightarrow 00:20:53.586$ with also with an immunologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:20:53.590 --> 00:20:56.110 a wonderful immunologist at Harvard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:20:56.110 --> 00:20:56.884 Michael Carroll,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}20{:}56.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.206$ who actually cloned C4 and have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:20:59.206 \rightarrow 00:21:01.426$ been studying C4 in the context of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:01.426 \rightarrow 00:21:03.185$ lupus and in many other contexts

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:03.185 \rightarrow 00:21:05.105$ in the immune system and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}21{:}05{.}105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}230$ a combination of of mouse models

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:07.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.670$ that Mike's lab generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:08.670 --> 00:21:10.536 C4 knockouts and other model I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:10.536 --> 00:21:13.043 tell you about in a moment and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:13.043 --> 00:21:14.837 human IPS neurons and human tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:14.902 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.778$ we showed that much like C1Q and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}21{:}16.778$ --> $00{:}21{:}19.794$ C3 we could also see C4 decorating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}21{:}19.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.549$ Operating synopses in in neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:22.550 \rightarrow 00:21:24.545$ And we also using the same kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00{:}21{:}24.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.614$ of model system that I told you

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:26.614 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.330$ about earlier when we looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:28.391 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.789$ pruning and refinement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

00:21:29.790 --> 00:21:33.306 C4 knock
out mice Pheno copy the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333

 $00:21:33.310 \rightarrow 00:21:35.488$ C1QC3CR3 mice again suggesting that the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:21:35.488 --> 00:21:38.297 C4 similarly at least in a mouse was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:38.297 \rightarrow 00:21:40.229$ was was mediating the synaptic pruning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}21{:}40{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41{.}714$ That's a loss of function but that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:41.714 \rightarrow 00:21:43.390$ not what the genetics is telling us.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.460$ Remember it's telling us too
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:45.460 \rightarrow 00:21:47.530$ much C4 too much complement.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.154$ And so too much C4 could mean
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:21:49.154 --> 00:21:50.370 more tagging of synapses,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:21:50.370 --> 00:21:52.098 but it could also mean more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}21{:}52.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.587$ activation of the classical comp
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:53.587 \rightarrow 00:21:55.279$ and cascade because C4 is necessary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00{:}21{:}55{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}129$ for the whole pathway to happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- $00:21:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.162$ So the question was?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.933551393333333
- 00:21:59.162 --> 00:22:00.686 Increase C4 levels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:00.690 \rightarrow 00:22:02.290$ it lead to excessive burning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.646$ So this is more recent work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}03.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.402$ And so this necessitated the need to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}06{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}655$ new models and in particular humanized

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}08.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.410$ mouse models that we didn't have before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}11{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13{.}650$ And so Mike Carroll's lab developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}13.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.541$ C4A humanized mouse model when he could NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:16.541 --> 00:22:18.698 introduce human C4A alleles into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:18.698 --> 00:22:20.690 mouse genome using back DNA transgenesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:20.690 --> 00:22:23.055 So you can basically introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}23.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.646$ human C4A or B in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:24.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.246$ I'm just telling you about a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

00:22:26.250 --> 00:22:29.346 Based on the you put it in AC4 knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}29{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31{.}322$ background and based on the the crosses

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00{:}22{:}31{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33{.}569$ that you do hets versus homozygous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:33.570 \rightarrow 00:22:35.250$ you can also control copy number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

 $00:22:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.414$ So it's pretty cool.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}22{:}36{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}373$ So as a proof of concept we wanted to know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}22{:}39{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42{.}370$ by making a mouse that has a lot of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:22:42.370 --> 00:22:44.694 genetic risk variant C4A over express.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:44.694 \rightarrow 00:22:45.630$ Versus C4B,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.910$ which I'm not showing you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.171$ Does that in fact lead to using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:22:49.171 --> 00:22:51.201 similar assays over pruning more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:51.201 \rightarrow 00:22:53.185$ microgly engulfment less synapses?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.496$ And in the first study that was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:55.496 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.014$ led by Mike Carroll's lab in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:57.014 \rightarrow 00:22:58.486$ collaboration with all of us showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:58.486 \rightarrow 00:22:59.866$ using many of the same mechanisms,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:22:59.870 \rightarrow 00:23:02.030$ I just told you in the assays that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.171$ indeed in the visual system and in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:04.171 \rightarrow 00:23:06.993$ the in the frontal cortex and in other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

- $00:23:06.993 \rightarrow 00:23:09.028$ regions they showed enhanced engulfment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:09.030 --> 00:23:09.704 And also,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:09.704 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.870$ they also went on to show a spine loss,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.108$ which,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:13.108 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.584$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:13.584 \rightarrow 00:23:14.774$ this was really interesting because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:14.774 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.376$ a lot of the work we had done
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:16.376 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.746$ in the visual system was a bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}23{:}17.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18.746$ more on the presynaptic side.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:18.750 \rightarrow 00:23:20.230$ So this is now looking in the cortex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.258$ getting closer to where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:21.258 --> 00:23:22.543 I was wanting to head,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:22.550 --> 00:23:23.850 but we weren't quite brave
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.150$ enough to go there yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.865$ So what is all this telling us?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:26.870 \rightarrow 00:23:28.148$ And there's a lot more I'm not telling you.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:28.150 00:23:30.982 This paper was just published in 2020 if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}23{:}30{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}510$ you want to learn more about this study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:33.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.710$ But the working model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:35.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.558$ and this is building on a lot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:37.558 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.059$ of ongoing work in the lab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:39.060 \rightarrow 00:23:40.660$ We're really interested in understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:40.660 00:23:42.260 the specificity of this process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.168$ So I remember giving this talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}23{:}44.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.364$ early on compliment work both to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:46.364 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.096$ neuroscientists and to immunologists.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}23{:}48.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.374$ And the question I always got was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}23{:}49{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50{.}572$ well, these are secreted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:50.572 --> 00:23:52.360 How do you get any specificity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}23{:}52{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}312$ in this process if they just are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:54.312 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.776$ around and binding things in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326

- $00:23:55.776 \rightarrow 00:23:57.256$ this sort of nonspecific way?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:23:57.260 --> 00:23:58.049 I said, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:58.049 \rightarrow 00:23:59.101$ I think they're probably
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:23:59.101 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.219$ not binding things in it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- 00:24:00.220 --> 00:24:01.860 They can be binding in a nonspecific way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}24{:}01{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}940$ but I think there could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.020$ be a specificity in this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.140$ in this process and indeed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.896$ The working mechanistic model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:07.896 \rightarrow 00:24:09.652$ especially bringing an activity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:09.652 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.004$ is perhaps it's it's the case
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:12.004 \rightarrow 00:24:13.416$ where there's some receptor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}24{:}13.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.542$ some molecular difference and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:16.542 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.147$ the surface of synapses that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:18.147 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.879$ recruiting or or enabling complement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:19.879 \rightarrow 00:24:21.654$ to bind to certain synapses,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:21.660 \rightarrow 00:24:24.340$ let's say the blue ones but not the red ones.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00{:}24{:}24{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}868$ And there may also be molecules that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:26.868 \rightarrow 00:24:29.058$ protecting the synapses you want to keep.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:29.060 \rightarrow 00:24:31.175$ Now this was a hypothesis that came out of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.714$ you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:31.714 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.782$ actually I remember giving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.844605326
- $00:24:32.782 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.850$ this talk to immunologists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- $00:24:33.894 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.960$ at Harvard. Early, early on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- $00{:}24{:}34{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}340$ where they said, well, could there be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- $00:24:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.800$ don't eat me signals in the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- 00:24:37.800 --> 00:24:39.319 And I didn't even know what those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- 00:24:39.319 --> 00:24:40.860 were because I was, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- $00:24:40.860 \rightarrow 00:24:42.840$ neuroscientist trying to be an immunologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- 00:24:42.840 --> 00:24:44.640 But it turned out I had a fantastic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- $00:24:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.060$ graduate student who wanted to learn
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:46.060 \rightarrow 00:24:47.440$ about these don't eat me signals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}416$ And it turns out there's a whole bunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}49{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51{.}076$ of inhibitors and don't eat me signals

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:51.076 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.720$ that when we looked in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:24:52.720 --> 00:24:53.908 immunologists didn't pay attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}53{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}799$ to the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}528$ But we had antibodies and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:24:56.528 \rightarrow 00:24:57.680$ looked by expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}57.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.111$ Well, there's a whole slew of them on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}24{:}59{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}358$ brain and we better have those there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:00.360 \rightarrow 00:25:02.656$ Otherwise the compliment system is going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:02.660 --> 00:25:04.276 Kind of will be on OverDrive and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:04.276 \rightarrow 00:25:06.178$ needs to be this tightly regulated system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.755$ So just as important as

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:07.755 --> 00:25:08.700 having compliment there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:08.700 - 00:25:10.098 there needs to be the regulators,

 $00:25:10.100 \rightarrow 00:25:12.536$ the brakes that keep it from activating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}12.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.988$ And so we started looking and I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:13.988 \rightarrow 00:25:15.499$ going to tell you about all of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:15.500 \rightarrow 00:25:18.068$ but intriguingly in addition to a bunch of NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:18.068 \rightarrow 00:25:20.819$ don't eat me signals that we've identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.165$ I want to show you the working

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}23.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25.050$ model we identified for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27{.}115$ CD47 which is also there's been some

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}27.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.230$ genetics that are not as powerful but

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}29{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}224$ there's some some hints that CD47 serve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:31.224 --> 00:25:33.093 Alpha could be playing a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}33.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.074$ some of these in some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:35.074 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.604$ GY studies still early days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}36{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}578$ But regardless of that we showed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}39{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42{.}095$ the brain that CD47 is a classic don't

 $00{:}25{:}42.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.471$ eat me signal in the immune system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:44.471 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.613$ it actually prevents a macrophage from

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:46.613 \rightarrow 00:25:48.583$ eating a self cell or healthy cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:48.583 \rightarrow 00:25:50.449$ In fact healthy cells and self

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}50{.}449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52{.}505$ cells have a whole shield of don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:25:52.505 - 00:25:53.930 eat me signals that say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}53{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}694$ You know what do not even come

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:55.694 \rightarrow 00:25:57.327$ near me because I need to stay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}143$ And the idea is when they're there

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:25:59.143 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.429$ there's apartosis or when it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:00.429 \rightarrow 00:26:01.803$ some kind of a damage signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}01{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03{.}886$ those get down regulated and relocalized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}03.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.594$ and it exposes parts of cells that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}05{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07{.}450$ then lead to the removal by a macrophage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:07.450 \rightarrow 00:26:09.154$ And what my graduate student went

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:09.154 \rightarrow 00:26:11.730$ on to show is CD47 is one of those

- NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925
- $00:26:11.730 \rightarrow 00:26:13.170$ signals highly expressed in neurons.

00:26:13.170 --> 00:26:14.454 Microglia have SERP Alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:14.454 \rightarrow 00:26:16.714$ which is the receptor that recognizes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:16.714 \rightarrow 00:26:18.646$ and essentially that says don't eat me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}18.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.470$ And one model is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}20{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}22{.}843$ The stronger synapses have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}22{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24{.}848$ those protective molecules so that even

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:24.848 \rightarrow 00:26:26.708$ if microglia are tagged by complement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}510$ synapses have complement on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.550$ They won't get removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}29{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31{.}110$ And that's again a working model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:31.110 --> 00:26:32.629 But we do have data to support

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}32{.}629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33{.}974$ that idea because when we knock

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}33{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35{.}270$ out the don't eat me signals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:35.270 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.734$ there's not only do we lose that

00:26:37.734 --> 00:26:38.790 activity dependent specificity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.110$ but you get an over pruning as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.954$ So that's one example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}41.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43.478$ We have others that I'm not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}43.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.768$ to tell you about today because

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}44.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.428$ that's what I talked about yesterday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00{:}26{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}907$ But I want to tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:47.907 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.830$ another one and some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

00:26:48.830 --> 00:26:50.050 if you guys are paying

NOTE Confidence: 0.900374925

 $00:26:50.050 \rightarrow 00:26:51.270$ attention to my second slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}618$ you might have remembered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}222$ another molecule called CSM D1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}26{:}54.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.865$ which is a gene that came up really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:26:56.865 --> 00:26:58.269 early in GWAS schizophrenia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}26{:}58{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}002$ That's been largely nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:00.002 \rightarrow 00:27:02.360$ known about CSM D1 in the brain.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:27:02.360 \rightarrow 00:27:04.340$ And so there was a I went to a meeting

 $00{:}27{:}04.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.309$ in this is like a small world that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:06.309 \rightarrow 00:27:08.080$ went to a compliment meeting in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:08.080 \rightarrow 00:27:10.280$ Greece would not a bad place for a meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}10{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11{.}904$ And I met this woman who scientists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}11{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14{.}046$ who came up to me and said I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:14.046 \rightarrow 00:27:15.605$ really wanting to meet you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}15.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17.945$ we've been studying the CSM D1 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}17{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20{.}482$ we have evidence that inhibits C4 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}20{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22{.}215$ not in the brain but in a in a test

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}22.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.983$ tube in the in the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}23.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.793$ and and it's a putative compliment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:25.793 --> 00:27:26.714 inhibitor I'm like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}26.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.884$ Well, this is a mazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}27{.}884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29{.}339$ So we started talking more

 $00:27:29.339 \rightarrow 00:27:30.838$ and and for many reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}160$ we started thinking that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.480$ would be an interesting molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.518$ not just because of the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.256$ but if it is involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}36{.}256$ --> $00{:}27{:}37{.}000$ classical complement cascade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:37.000 --> 00:27:38.078 we want to know more about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:38.078 \rightarrow 00:27:38.800$ it might be doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}27{:}38{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}840$ And this is ongoing work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.920$ The paper's on bio archive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.156$ but we're just revising it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.960$ So there's more to come,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.380$ but just want to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.156$ this work and again how, how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:27:46.156 --> 00:27:46.512 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:27:46.512 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.292$ two now common variants are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:27:48.292 \rightarrow 00:27:50.171$ coming together that suggests some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00{:}27{:}50{.}171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}036$ role in the complement system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00{:}27{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.734$ So CSM D1 is a well localized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:27:53.734 \rightarrow 00:27:55.645$ US signal as I mentioned with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:27:55.645 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.520$ schizophrenia risk on chromosome 8.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00{:}27{:}57{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59{.}277$ And although it's enriched in the brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:27:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.122$ in fact if you look expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:28:01.122 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.159$ by protein and gene and RNA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:28:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.985$ there's unlike C4,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- 00:28:03.985 00:28:05.910 it is in nowhere else except the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:28:05.971 \rightarrow 00:28:08.075$ testes like it is blazing in the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:28:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.887$ So therefore, wow,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:28:08.887 \rightarrow 00:28:11.080$ how can't we know what this thing does?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00{:}28{:}11.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11.490$ Okay.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00{:}28{:}11{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14{.}360$ And so we don't know about lost,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:14.360 \rightarrow 00:28:16.238$ we don't know directionality or anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:16.240 --> 00:28:17.422 There's a lot more genetics to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:17.422 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.878$ be done in terms of the mapping,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:18.880 \rightarrow 00:28:21.064$ but we wanted to look more closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}21.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}22.280$ at this and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:22.280 --> 00:28:23.220 A postdoc, Matt Johnson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:23.220 --> 00:28:24.932 now a group leader at the Stanley

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}24{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26{.}426$ Center who's been Co leading this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28{.}203$ with me and collaboration with Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}28{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}828$ Mccarroll and our graduate student

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}556$ Matt Baum is an MDPHD student who finished,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}32{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}970$ I'll tell you about what he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}34{.}675$ what he uncovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:34.680 --> 00:28:35.880 So it turns out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:35.880 --> 00:28:37.080 see as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:37.080 \rightarrow 00:28:39.138$ it's very highly expressed both at the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804
- $00:28:39.138 \rightarrow 00:28:41.119$ RNA and protein level in the brain.

 $00:28:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.280$ So that was, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:42.280 \rightarrow 00:28:44.380$ obviously something worth looking at no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:44.380 \rightarrow 00:28:47.080$ matter what but when we started looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:47.080 --> 00:28:48.880 Thanks to an amazing antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}48{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50{.}680$ that we had access to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}50{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}040$ we started staining and obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:53.040 --> 00:28:53.964 ACSM D1 knockout mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:28:53.964 --> 00:28:56.358 Just to ask just like we did with complement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.221$ you know what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:57.221 \rightarrow 00:28:58.656$ what's the phenotypes and where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}28{:}58.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.720$ is the protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:28:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.211$ So that was also a great tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02{.}521$ for our antibody because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00:29:02.521 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.757$ antibody is really specific,

 $00:29:03.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.279$ not only does it light up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

00:29:05.279 --> 00:29:06.508 brain in interesting regions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}29{:}06{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}833$ the brain especially you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}120$ see hippocampus and certain areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603804

 $00{:}29{:}09{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10{.}235$ of the brain at lomag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:10.240 \dashrightarrow 00:29:12.404$ But if you really is a man, I hard to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:12.404 \rightarrow 00:29:14.336$ We saw a lot of punctate staining

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}14.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.446$ and it colocalizes with subsets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:16.450 \rightarrow 00:29:18.890$ Of inhibitory and excitatory synopses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:18.890 --> 00:29:21.090 So it's at synopsis not only at synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:21.090 --> 00:29:22.637 but we have some data that's enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:22.637 \rightarrow 00:29:23.804$ in synopsis because we've done

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:23.804 \rightarrow 00:29:24.964$ some synapticome preps as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}24.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.930$ which I'm not going to tell you about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.906$ but it's in the paper actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:27.906 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.610$ This might be it.

00:29:28.610 --> 00:29:29.198 Yes, it is. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}29{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30{.}443$ So there is a little bit of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:30.443 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.409$ there to show that it's an,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:31.410 \rightarrow 00:29:33.210$ it's an enriched in the synapticomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}33{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34{.}350$ So again at the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:34.350 \rightarrow 00:29:35.490$ time and the right place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:35.490 \rightarrow 00:29:37.050$ nothing known really about its biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:37.050 \rightarrow 00:29:39.185$ but that one interaction at the compliment

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:39.185 \rightarrow 00:29:41.303$ meeting in Greece made me start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:41.303 \rightarrow 00:29:43.517$ about could the two be related in some way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:29:43.520 --> 00:29:45.320 And so the idea would be, okay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}45{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48{.}200$ we already know that C4 is high and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}48{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51{.}200$ leads to activation of the cobman cascade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}29{:}51{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53{.}657$ At least in animal models that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:53.657 \rightarrow 00:29:56.008$ lead to over pruning could C4C,

 $00:29:56.008 \rightarrow 00:29:56.584$ SM D1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:56.584 \rightarrow 00:29:58.312$ Which the other reason why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:29:58.312 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.517$ is really exciting is it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:00.517 -> 00:30:01.993 a huge extracellular domain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:02.000 \dashrightarrow 00:30:05.138$ it's giant and it has a the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:05.138 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.604$ why it's called CSM D1 is it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:07.604 \dashrightarrow 00:30:10.010$ it's got cub and sushi domains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}10.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.170$ And these are the domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:11.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.330$ that are expressed in many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:12.330 \rightarrow 00:30:13.116$ many complement inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:13.116 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.164$ in the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:14.170 -> 00:30:16.498 And that's why my my colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}16{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17{.}838$ was interested in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:17.838 --> 00:30:20.050 And so that led us to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:20.050 \dashrightarrow 00:30:22.906$ could could it be that what csmd one

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:22.906 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.128$ is normally doing is putting the

 $00:30:25.128 \rightarrow 00:30:28.020$ brakes on C4 and keeping it in check?

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:28.020 --> 00:30:28.526 Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:28.526 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.146$ if there was a genetic mutation or loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:32.146 \rightarrow 00:30:35.100$ of function or an ability to disrupt csmd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.640$ one's function could then that

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.180$ therefore that would lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:38.238 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.858$ again an over activation of C4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:39.860 --> 00:30:40.113 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:40.113 \rightarrow 00:30:41.884$ this is all hypothesis and I'll just

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:41.884 --> 00:30:43.713 tell you the way we've been testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:43.713 --> 00:30:45.280 it and it's still ongoing work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:30:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.760$ One way we can also look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}46.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.402$ to see whether there'd be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}48{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49{.}922$ complement activation or more tagging

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}49{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}759$ of complement was this experiment.

 $00:30:51.760 \rightarrow 00:30:54.037$ We not only do we have the knockout mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.881$ so we can look at whether there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:55.881 - > 00:30:57.045 too much complement tagging

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:30:57.045 - 00:30:58.317 and removal of synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}30{:}58{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00{.}258$ We also took advantage of IPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:00.258 --> 00:31:02.242 stem cell models where we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:02.242 --> 00:31:04.360 use isogenic controls in a CSMD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:04.360 --> 00:31:06.040 knockout neuronal differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00{:}31{:}06{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}840$ these N G2 neuron protocols.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:08.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.820$ And basically did a very classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:10.820 \rightarrow 00:31:12.526$ immunology experiment where we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:12.526 \rightarrow 00:31:14.476$ sensitize with an anti surface antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

00:31:14.476 --> 00:31:16.558 which would then bind to the surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:16.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.468$ And then we wanted to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:18.468 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.359$ if we then add tag C3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429

 $00:31:20.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.803$ do we get more tagging or the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00:31:22.803 \rightarrow 00:31:24.488$ localization of synopses with C3
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- 00:31:24.488 --> 00:31:26.304 in mice that don't have CSM D1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- 00:31:26.304 --> 00:31:26.880 not sorry mice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00:31:26.880 \rightarrow 00:31:28.680$ but yes mice but in this case cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00:31:28.680 \dashrightarrow 00:31:28.972$ OK.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00{:}31{:}28{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31{.}016$ So this is just an example of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00:31:31.016 \rightarrow 00:31:33.068$ the type of assay and indeed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00{:}31{:}33.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.510$ Much more data on this than I'm showing you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00:31:34.510 \dashrightarrow 00:31:36.406$ but just to illustrate what what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00{:}31{:}36{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}569$ Matt and others found was there was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912455328571429
- $00:31:38.569 \rightarrow 00:31:40.529$ a sort of a selective and enhanced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:31:40.596 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.963$ tagging of C3 in the neurites even in a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:31:42.963 \rightarrow 00:31:46.266$ mixed culture that didn't have CSCSM D1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:31:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.788$ So that was proof, not proof,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00{:}31{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}49.795$ but certainly evidence that suggests
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}31{:}49.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.800$ that it's somehow regulating complement

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}31{:}51{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}54{.}040$ deposition and we think activation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}31{:}54.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.710$ other experiments we're working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:55.710 \rightarrow 00:31:58.190$ And then in the in the mouse model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:31:58.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.718$ we I'm not going to show all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}147$ data in the interest of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}01{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}02{.}974$ But we went on and did the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:02.974 \dashrightarrow 00:32:05.050$ kind of experiments by looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:05.050 \dashrightarrow 00:32:06.733$ complement and localization at synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}06{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09{.}199$ And we wanted to know do we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}09{.}199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}704$ more complement tagging of synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:10.704 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.990$ in the in the CSM D1 knockout mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}12{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15{.}111$ and in the visual system at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}15{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16{.}867$ has increased complement tagging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:16.870 \rightarrow 00:32:18.870$ We see an enhanced refinement by a retina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:18.870 - 00:32:19.802 geniculate experiments,

 $00{:}32{:}19{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22{.}132$ decrease in synapses and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}22{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24{.}269$ electrophysiology work that's in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:24.270 \rightarrow 00:32:26.170$ So together suggesting that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:26.170 \rightarrow 00:32:28.844$ interesting phenotypes and that may be 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:28.844 \rightarrow 00:32:30.639$ mechanisms to the complement inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32{.}989$ And so that and we also have some in

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:32.989 \rightarrow 00:32:35.668$ vitro and in vivo work ongoing that also

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}35{.}668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}722$ show that microglia can overprune or

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}37{.}722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40{.}256$ engulf much like the C4A mice we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:40.256 \rightarrow 00:32:42.705$ So that's sort of the working model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:42.705 - 00:32:44.945 in no way does this explain that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:45.011 \rightarrow 00:32:47.398$ is the mechanism that the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:47.400 \rightarrow 00:32:49.353$ this is the only way this might be working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}49{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}52{.}376$ But I think it is together suggest a

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:32:52.376 \dashrightarrow 00:32:54.640$ mechanistic model that we're going to

 $00:32:54.640 \rightarrow 00:32:56.736$ continue to test in in various ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}989$ So this is a lot of the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:32:58.989 --> 00:33:01.313 science that I wanted to start with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}01{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02{.}625$ but now I want to zoom out and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:02.625 \rightarrow 00:33:04.065$ want to talk more about the timing

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:04.065 --> 00:33:05.483 part because that's the part that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:05.483 --> 00:33:06.559 I'm particularly interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:06.560 \rightarrow 00:33:09.176$ is understanding why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:09.176 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.920$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:10.920 \dashrightarrow 00:33:15.143$ adolescence is a is a time where we know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}33{:}15{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18{.}230$ an onset for a lot of these mental illnesses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:18.230 --> 00:33:19.376 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:19.376 --> 00:33:19.949 schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:19.949 \rightarrow 00:33:22.016$ even even though potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:22.016 \rightarrow 00:33:23.746$ there could be issues earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:23.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.080$ but the emergence tends to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- 00:33:25.080 --> 00:33:26.144 happen in laid adolescence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:33:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.255$ early adulthood and this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00{:}33{:}27.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.630$ true of other things as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:33:28.630 \rightarrow 00:33:30.373$ So that raised all kind of questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:33:30.373 \rightarrow 00:33:32.029$ as a developmental neurobiologist was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:33:32.030 \rightarrow 00:33:33.806$ could there have always been issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00{:}33{:}33{.}806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}371$ but then something kind of opens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00{:}33{:}35{.}371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36{.}918$ up and and it emerges at this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00{:}33{:}36{.}918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38{.}982$ time in a dolescence or is there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- 00:33:38.982 --> 00:33:40.546 actually something happening during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:33:40.546 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.108$ adolescence that leads to that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00{:}33{:}42{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43{.}104$ And so this is now in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- 00:33:43.104 --> 00:33:43.870 last part of my talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- 00:33:43.870 --> 00:33:45.350 I want to switch down to this part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:33:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.610$ the harder part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:46.610 \rightarrow 00:33:48.710$ understanding which circuits are relevant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:48.710 \rightarrow 00:33:51.350$ which brain regions and the timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.177$ And this is really trying to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:53.177 \rightarrow 00:33:55.416$ us to now get into the identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:55.416 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.146$ the circus in the timing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:33:57.150 --> 00:33:58.886 So then we can start doing our

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:33:58.886 \rightarrow 00:34:00.210$ perturbations of our mechanisms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.314$ then start to know what see what things

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:02.364 \rightarrow 00:34:04.065$ to read out in terms of phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.030$ So it's around that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00{:}34{:}06{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07{.}386$ When we realized we can't just

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:07.386 \rightarrow 00:34:08.670$ study the visual system forever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.270$ even though I love it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

 $00:34:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.926$ I started collaborating with

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:34:11.926 --> 00:34:13.996 auto sabertini's lab and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333

00:34:13.996 --> 00:34:15.463 recruited a terrific pH,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- 00:34:15.463 --> 00:34:16.169 now postdoc,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- 00:34:16.169 --> 00:34:18.214 who was a postdoc called Kevin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928229943333333
- $00:34:18.214 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.510$ Mastro to join our labs to work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.160$ together on this project.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00{:}34{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.488$ And in particular we wanted to try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00{:}34{:}24{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26{.}550$ better understand and define the normal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:26.550 \rightarrow 00:34:28.325$ developmental refinement and changes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:28.325 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.327$ that are going on during adolescence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:30.327 \dashrightarrow 00:34:32.345$ in particular in the frontal cortex,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:32.345 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.470$ the prefrontal cortex advice and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.214$ ultimately in human and and non human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00:34:37.214 \rightarrow 00:34:39.960$ primates which which is not an easy thing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00{:}34{:}39{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}689$ And and I talked to Amy and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00{:}34{:}41.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.760$ many others about this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714
- $00{:}34{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.440$ but I'm going to tell you about some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}44{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}297$ of the the ways we're going about it

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}46{.}297 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}210$ today and this is all unpublished and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.376$ ongoing work and I'm happy to to get NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:50.376 \rightarrow 00:34:52.098$ feedback from this group but I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53{.}652$ You know, we've done a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:53.652 \dashrightarrow 00:34:55.230$ work over here in early development

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}940$ and of course that's super important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:34:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.697$ But we wanted to better understand sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}34{:}58{.}697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}898$ of this next stage and we wanted to again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:00.900 \rightarrow 00:35:03.420$ after the sensory systems develop and refine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:03.420 \rightarrow 00:35:05.220$ now we want to get to the prefrontal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}05{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06{.}788$ which began as the last area of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}06{.}788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08{.}609$ brain to myelinate and mature and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:08.609 \dashrightarrow 00:35:10.310$ those connections are still being built.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:10.310 \rightarrow 00:35:11.950$ So we wanted to zone in on adolescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.598$ but to do that we need to define

 $00{:}35{:}13.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.324$ what do we mean by a dolescence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}15{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17{.}427$ start to do what we've done in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}17{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}18{.}999$ visual system in the prefrontal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:18.999 \rightarrow 00:35:20.990$ and so then we can start to ask, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:20.990 \rightarrow 00:35:22.270$ how does environmental stressors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:22.270 \rightarrow 00:35:25.138$ how do genetic stressors at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:25.138 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.050$ times impact circuit maturation

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:27.125 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.790$ and ultimately behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:35:28.790 - 00:35:30.414 So this is before we could do any

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}30{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32{.}274$ of that we needed to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:32.274 \rightarrow 00:35:33.678$ the neurotypical development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}33.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.175$ understand some of those milestones

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:35.175 \dashrightarrow 00:35:37.286$ and we're doing it not only in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}37{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38{.}790$ But through collaborations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.670$ work at the Stanley Center,

 $00:35:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.122$ we have a marmoset colony and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:42.122 \rightarrow 00:35:43.390$ we've been trying to have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:35:43.390 - > 00:35:45.334 we have a smaller colony devoted

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:45.334 \rightarrow 00:35:46.630$ to these developmental studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}46{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48{.}151$ Go Ping Feng and many others at the road

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}48{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49{.}829$ are leading the charge with the marmosets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}49{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}237$ But we've been very fortunate to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}51{.}237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}868$ able to start to do some of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.158$ And this is all work led by Kevin

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:54.158 \dashrightarrow 00:35:55.309$ Mastro that I'm telling you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}55{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}766$ And there's Kevin who's a mazing and he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:35:56.766 \rightarrow 00:35:58.546$ on the job market and you guys should

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}35{:}58{.}546{\:}-{:}{>}00{:}36{:}00{.}409$ recruit him and Bernardo Sabatini,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:00.409 --> 00:36:01.828 who's amazing collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.154$ electrophysiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:02.154 \rightarrow 00:36:05.070$ And So what Kevin really wanted to know is,

00:36:05.070 - 00:36:07.110 you know, let's try to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:07.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.470$ understand again the match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}08{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11{.}190$ But also we want to link this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:11.190 \rightarrow 00:36:13.189$ behavioral readouts of decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:13.190 --> 00:36:13.860 cognitive flexibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:13.860 --> 00:36:14.530 risk taking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:14.530 \rightarrow 00:36:16.540$ things that we know are relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:16.589 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.869$ to this adolescent window.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}17{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19{.}952$ And so that's going to require

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:19.952 \rightarrow 00:36:21.340$ developing and identifying behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}21{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23{.}312$ readouts that we can use in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}23{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25{.}710$ different time points and models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}25{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}048$ So Kevin wanted to know you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:28.048 --> 00:36:29.435 what circuit mechanisms support

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}696$ these changes and with a sort of

00:36:31.696 --> 00:36:33.028 focus on cognitive flexibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:33.030 \rightarrow 00:36:36.540$ readouts and decision making and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}36{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38{.}616$ The questions he's asking over what

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:38.616 \rightarrow 00:36:40.680$ time skills do does behavior change

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:40.680 \rightarrow 00:36:42.380$ and what circuits are changing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}42{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43{.}899$ And can we link changes in circuits

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00:36:43.899 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.100$ to these changes in behavior?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

 $00{:}36{:}45.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.275$ Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892000614285714

00:36:45.275 --> 00:36:46.500 Many people are trying to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.467$ but we really want to do this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}36{:}48{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49{.}740$ over this developmental window.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}36{:}49{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51{.}980$ And then if we identify circuit changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:51.980 \rightarrow 00:36:53.540$ do they drive the behavioral changes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:53.540 \rightarrow 00:36:55.124$ So then you want to go in and start

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:55.124 \rightarrow 00:36:56.926$ to manipulate aspects of the circuit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:36:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.463$ And so we started thinking a lot

- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:36:58.463 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.783$ about what time points are we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:36:59.783 --> 00:37:01.218 do we talking about here and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:37:01.269 --> 00:37:02.727 of course did our due diligence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.137$ looked into the literature of course lots
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:04.137 \rightarrow 00:37:05.610$ of work's been done in the prefrontal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.120$ So. So that's that's amazing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.970$ because we could build on that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}37{:}08{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10{.}834$ But what we were a little bit surprised
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}37{:}10{.}834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13{.}047$ to find is most times when we read these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:37:13.047 --> 00:37:15.166 papers but the sort of end point of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:15.166 \rightarrow 00:37:17.008$ development of the prefrontal was like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:37:17.008 --> 00:37:19.283 P60 or something and we're like okay,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.173$ is that really the end because it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:21.173 \rightarrow 00:37:23.094$ seems pretty early to me and Kevin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:23.094 \rightarrow 00:37:25.506$ and so we started thinking about.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:25.510 --> 00:37:27.030 Expanding this for this window,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:27.030 --> 00:37:29.718 so not just stopping at PP60 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:29.718 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.510$ but to to broaden this out into

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:31.569 \rightarrow 00:37:33.569$ early adulthood and to do it sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:33.569 \rightarrow 00:37:35.468$ go after the second phase of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:35.470 \rightarrow 00:37:37.695$ using a combination of approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:37:37.695 --> 00:37:38.585 from electrophysiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.278$ slice Physiology and vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}37{:}40{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}41{.}544$ Physiology and behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:41.550 \dashrightarrow 00:37:44.134$ And as you'll see in a bit overlaying

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}37{:}44{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46{.}308$ things like single cell multi omic

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.935$ sort of characterization on top of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:48.935 \rightarrow 00:37:50.990$ of the characterization by Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:50.990 \rightarrow 00:37:52.190$ We were naive enough to think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.435$ oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:37:52.435 \rightarrow 00:37:53.905$ we'll just the first year we'll

- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:53.905 \rightarrow 00:37:54.877$ do neurotypical development and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:37:54.877 -> 00:37:56.041 then we'll just start doing all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:56.041 \rightarrow 00:37:57.509$ the cool stuff three years later.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:37:57.509 00:37:58.388 Four years later,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:37:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.265$ we're just wrapping up the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:38:00.265 --> 00:38:01.390 first neurotypical paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}01{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}972$ But it was required because if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}02{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04{.}383$ don't have robust readouts and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}04{.}383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05{.}747$ really understand the development,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:38:05.750 --> 00:38:06.905 then you don't know what you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.558$ what's your readout.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}07{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}070$ And I think that was the goal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:38:09.070 00:38:11.950 So is the brain done developing at P60?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}11{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14{.}390$ How many people think? The answer is yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:14.390 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.900$ Oh, good, cause it's not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:16.900 --> 00:38:17.068 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:17.068 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.244$ So what kinds of now this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:18.244 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.208$ all new to me because I'm not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

00:38:20.208 --> 00:38:20.734 behavioral neuroscientist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}38{:}20.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.840$ So thank God for Kevin and Bernardo's

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}38{:}22{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24{.}388$ lab because they've developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:24.388 \dashrightarrow 00:38:26.453$ lot of really great tools and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:26.453 \rightarrow 00:38:28.546$ behavioral tasks and obviously all

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:28.546 \rightarrow 00:38:29.854$ the electrophysiological readouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:29.860 \dashrightarrow 00:38:31.892$ So what Kevin decided to do first is

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:31.892 \dashrightarrow 00:38:33.700$ start with a simple reversal task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.478$ And so mice were placed in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:35.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.899$ box with three nose port,

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00{:}38{:}36{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}240$ they had to learn to initiate by poking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.754$ the center and then deciding right or left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:38:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.280$ And over the course of these trial errors,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.744$ they would learn that one side
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:44.744 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.359$ is rewarded and the other's not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}46{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47{.}560$ So after 20 tile,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.160$ 20 trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:48.160 \rightarrow 00:38:48.964$ then everything's reversed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:48.964 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.572$ And So what you're going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:50.572 \rightarrow 00:38:51.959$ be measuring is switching.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:51.960 \rightarrow 00:38:53.720$ And we want to know how switching happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00{:}38{:}53{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55{.}400$ That's plasticity and flexibility,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:38:55.400 \rightarrow 00:38:57.500$ how that changes with age.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- 00:38:57.500 --> 00:39:00.328 And what Kevin found is first of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:39:00.328 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.236$ all just to say that the it's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:39:03.236 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.972$ really due to the number of trials.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:39:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.375$ So he did a lot of controls on this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909
- $00:39:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.558$ But what's really cool is in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.942083309090909

 $00:39:08.558 \rightarrow 00:39:10.010$ assessing the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39{:}10.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12.658$ the start and the end of the of the plateau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.724$ What he's finding, oops,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:13.724 --> 00:39:15.416 I got, I clicked too fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:15.416 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.881$ is that the young animals were much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}39{:}17.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.226$ at adapting their behavior than when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:20.226 \rightarrow 00:39:22.416$ rules shifted than the older animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:22.420 --> 00:39:23.414 And when I say young and old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:23.420 \rightarrow 00:39:26.336$ we're talking about between this P60 up to P,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:26.340 --> 00:39:27.426 right? Like. Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:27.426 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.236$ So, so there's a big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:39:29.240 --> 00:39:32.184 there's a lot happening up to P120 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:32.184 \rightarrow 00:39:33.936$ right, and beyond just the simple

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:33.936 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.261$ sort of deterministic reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:35.261 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.313$ task where this is now showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:39:37.313 \rightarrow 00:39:39.240$ the two ages in blue and red.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:39:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.160$ And that's really, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- 00:39:40.160 --> 00:39:41.623 the first important point I just showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:39:41.623 \rightarrow 00:39:43.080$ you just graphed slightly differently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:39:43.080 \rightarrow 00:39:44.512$ What's more interesting is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:39:44.512 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.302$ when he started bringing in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- 00:39:46.310 --> 00:39:47.660 Probabilistic 2 armed bandit task
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:39:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.657$ which is now going to start to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:39{:}49.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.132$ get at behavioral strategies the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}39{:}51{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}52{.}710$ animals use during this process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}39{:}52{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54{.}447$ So the two armed bandit task is a task
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}39{:}54{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}977$ used by many groups and Bernardo's lab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}39{:}55{.}977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}58{.}259$ has done a lot on this but never really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}39{:}58.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}59.629$ looked during development and aging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}39{:}59{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01{.}345$ So that's what Kevin wanted to do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- 00:40:01.350 --> 00:40:03.048 And essentially it's it's a different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:03.048 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.840$ task because you can adjust the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:04.840 \rightarrow 00:40:06.670$ probability that each port is rewarded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}06.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.598$ You can kind of switch between

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:08.598 --> 00:40:10.070 90 and 10 probabilities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:10.070 \rightarrow 00:40:12.262$ high versus low reward and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}12.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.470$ start to introduce sort of this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:40:14.470 --> 00:40:14.936 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:14.936 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.334$ you know this sort of variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}16.334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.981$ in the tasks and the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:17.981 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.386$ have to adjust their strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.122$ It's harder, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:20.122 \rightarrow 00:40:22.350$ So what does the results show on the right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.614$ You can see again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}40{:}23.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.194$ the younger animals are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:25.194 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.934$ better at dealing with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:26.934 \rightarrow 00:40:28.629$ and switching versus the older.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.580$ So they they switch and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}40{:}30{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}590$ they're much more flexible and they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.540$ switch to the reward report faster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.932$ So then the question is what's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:35.932 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.818$ going on in the brain during this?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}40{:}37.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.480$ And so Kevin started to record the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:40.480 \rightarrow 00:40:42.685$ activity using fiber photometry using
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}40{:}42.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.125$ the calcium indicator G Camp 6 and he
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}40{:}45{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47{.}580$ can record activity during the task.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:47.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.602$ And what's really cool about these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:49.602 \rightarrow 00:40:52.311$ experiments is what he found is that nice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}40{:}52{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54{.}620$ essentially during the two on bandit task,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.367$ it turns out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00{:}40{:}55{.}367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}939$ as you can see here that you can actually,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:40:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.956$ you know you can align to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:40:59.956 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.779$ initiation choice and out the outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:01.780 --> 00:41:03.313 You can see there's a huge difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}03{.}313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04{.}842$ in the calcium activity during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}04.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06.498$ rewarded but not the unrewarded trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.000$ And interestingly there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}08.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.500$ major age-related differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:09.500 \rightarrow 00:41:11.740$ It's actually showing that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:11.740 \rightarrow 00:41:12.864$ essentially a decrease, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:12.864 --> 00:41:14.550 You can see there's a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:14.604 \rightarrow 00:41:15.874$ in activity during the switching

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}15.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17.700$ which was a little bit unexpected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.254$ but actually makes sense with other

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:19.254 \rightarrow 00:41:20.898$ data that you'll see in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:20.900 \rightarrow 00:41:22.900$ So there's age-related differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:22.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.400$ in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:24.400 \rightarrow 00:41:26.458$ Of of how the activity patterns

- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568
- $00:41:26.458 \rightarrow 00:41:28.419$ are aligning with these behavioral

- $00:41:28.419 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.437$ switching behavior.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.656$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:29.656 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.952$ So now the question is what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:30.952 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.392$ happening at the circuit level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:32.392 \rightarrow 00:41:33.874$ this is where slice Physiology comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00:41:33.874 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.638$ in and he's been doing a lot on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

00:41:35.640 --> 00:41:36.918 I'm only going to highlight the

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}36{.}918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}557$ key results here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888517568

 $00{:}41{:}37{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39{.}317$ He's been looking at the input changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:39.320 --> 00:41:41.510 sort of looking at refinement and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:41.510 --> 00:41:43.348 connectivity, also local local circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}41{:}43{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45{.}998$ changes in the in the different ages

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}41{:}45{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48{.}658$ and what he found by doing slice

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:41:48.658 \rightarrow 00:41:50.930$ electrophysiology in the prefrontal cortex.

 $00{:}41{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53{.}486$ Is in the punchline of this given the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:53.486 --> 00:41:55.676 interest of time is it's a systematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:55.676 --> 00:41:57.610 shift from excitation to inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:41:57.610 --> 00:42:00.490 they become more inhibition dominant during NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:00.490 --> 00:42:03.530 this window of P60P90 to 120 exactly when

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}03{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}05{.}337$ we're seeing the behavioral changes, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:05.337 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.200$ So you can see in the in on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:07.259 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.170$ bottom the way the data is graphed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:09.170 --> 00:42:12.090 he plots E / e E plus I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:12.090 \rightarrow 00:42:13.810$ It's really enabling us to look at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}13.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.875$ the, the inputs that are more excitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}15.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.210$ dominant versus inhibition dominant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.698$ Hopefully we could see as this big shift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.980$ Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:19.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.500$ And so this is a lot of slice Physiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:22.568 \rightarrow 00:42:24.656$ is down over many different animals

 $00{:}42{:}24{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26{.}478$ and then he can go on and and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:26.478 --> 00:42:28.153 more because he can then start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}28.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.158$ look at the PV inner neurons and NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:30.158 \rightarrow 00:42:31.828$ using viral strategies where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}31.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.060$ can target and label the PV cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}34.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.034$ he could then also record from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}36{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37{.}698$ PV neurons during the same task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.300$ And what's cool about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}39{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}41.055$ This is just some of the the viral tools

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:42:41.055 --> 00:42:42.950 we can use in mice and marmosets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}984$ were developed by by Gord for Shell's

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}44{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47{.}268$ group and others and Ben Deverman's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}47{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49{.}847$ We can essentially he could find the opposite NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:49.847 \rightarrow 00:42:52.600$ in terms of activity changes in the PV cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}42{:}52{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}120$ So in the excitatory neurons when he recorded NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:55.120 \rightarrow 00:42:57.557$ from those right it's a shift from E to I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.352$ but in the PV cells they shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:42:59.352 \rightarrow 00:43:01.159$ up right so is the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.576$ So it's really cool and so it now

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:02.576 --> 00:43:04.230 it all makes sense but at the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.560$ we didn't we didn't know this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}05{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}07{.}126$ So now this is really suggesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:07.126 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.571$ that the shift from excitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:08.571 \rightarrow 00:43:10.326$ inhibition might be underlying the NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}10{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}12{.}268$ behavioral shift that he saw with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}12.268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.254$ the two armed bandit and in very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:14.254 \rightarrow 00:43:16.282$ very like very new data now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.815$ He's starting to test that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:17.815 --> 00:43:18.730 hypothesis more directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:18.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.404$ So the real killer experiment then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:20.404 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.188$ is to block inhibition in the older

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- 00:43:22.188 --> 00:43:23.623 mice and see if you can shift
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00{:}43{:}23.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.247$ them back to be coming a younger,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- 00:43:25.250 --> 00:43:27.730 more plastic, more flexible mouse.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.714$ That could be interesting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:28.714 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.944$ So we did that experiment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:29.944 \rightarrow 00:43:31.206$ and this is very new data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.088$ So basically he used the dreads,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:33.090 \rightarrow 00:43:35.204$ the chemogenetic way to do with this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.250$ So if you inhibit the PV
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.270$ activity using dreads.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.760$ The older animals P120 were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00{:}43{:}39{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41{.}710$ trained on the two armed band it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.628$ All the animals received the drug treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00{:}43{:}43{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}124$ half had M cherry and orange
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627
- $00:43:45.124 \rightarrow 00:43:46.761$ and the other had the inhibitory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

00:43:46.761 -> 00:43:48.784 dread and the PV neurons in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}48.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.100$ And he found that upon acquiring the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}409$ two armed bandit task the adult mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}53{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}706$ With less inhibition performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}43{:}54{.}706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56{.}650$ better than the control as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:56.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.050$ by their increased reward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:58.050 \rightarrow 00:43:59.694$ So they essentially the animals are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:43:59.694 \rightarrow 00:44:01.290$ better at tracking reward over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:44:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.346$ And then, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}44{:}02{.}346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04{.}200$ the same animals were then placed on

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00{:}44{:}04{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05{.}928$ saline and SEM CNO and this is cool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86784627

 $00:44:05.930 \rightarrow 00:44:08.126$ the effect went away so you can reverse it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}08{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}370$ So there's a lot more to do here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:09.370 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.450$ But the data suggesting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:11.450 --> 00:44:13.340 inhibition of PV network improves

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:13.340 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.230$ performance in the older mice.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.830$ Now, there's a lot more to do here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}44{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.349$ in terms of asking how how long,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- 00:44:18.350 --> 00:44:20.350 how old you are to switch him back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.142$ But I think this is pretty cool because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:22.142 \rightarrow 00:44:23.709$ he's doing it in a very select way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- 00:44:23.710 --> 00:44:24.510 And now of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:24.510 \rightarrow 00:44:26.252$ we're broadening out even more to say, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:26.252 \rightarrow 00:44:28.304$ what else is happening mechanistically here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:28.310 \rightarrow 00:44:31.030$ not just in mice but also in marmosets?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- 00:44:31.030 --> 00:44:32.580 Because we're basically Kevin's training
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}44{:}32{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}669$ the marmosets to do the same task.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- 00:44:34.670 --> 00:44:36.170 So we can track marmosets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:44:36.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.110$ from six months to two years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- 00:44:38.110 --> 00:44:38.890 and he's already taught them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}44{:}38{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}150$ how to do the two armed bandit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.402$ They learn really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:41.402 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.280$ And we're also starting to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}43.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.156$ the brains of mice and marmoset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}232$ And start to look in a more unbiased

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}47{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49{.}042$ way using on the genetics using

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:44:49.042 --> 00:44:50.896 cellular and single cell and Moxy NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:50.959 \rightarrow 00:44:52.783$ omics to look at which synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}52{.}783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}432$ and cells are changing over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}54{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56{.}160$ And again I just showed you some of NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}44{:}56{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}587$ the behavior and and the Physiology NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:57.587 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.398$ to try to zip all this together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:44:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.728$ But the hope is at the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}00{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02{.}064$ the day probably many years from

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:02.064 \rightarrow 00:45:03.680$ now when all this data comes in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.776$ it might give us more of a mechanistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:05.776 \rightarrow 00:45:07.719$ handle on which cells are changing,

 $00{:}45{:}07.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.506$ which circuits are changing and then how

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}10.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.760$ those mechanisms relate to the behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:12.760 --> 00:45:14.184 And just to say real quick you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:14.184 \rightarrow 00:45:15.770$ the single cell is starting to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.718$ out super interesting already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:16.720 --> 00:45:18.035 It's starting to highlight cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:18.035 \rightarrow 00:45:19.666$ types like astrocytes and all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:19.666 \rightarrow 00:45:20.996$ dendrocytes which is pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:21.000 - 00:45:23.051 So it's pretty early days I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:23.051 --> 00:45:24.294 mentioning these marmosets if

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}24{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26{.}142$ you've never seen a marmoset they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:26.142 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.629$ they're really pretty cool they

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:27.629 \dashrightarrow 00:45:29.400$ can actually learn to do this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30{.}895$ They can touch the touch screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}30{.}895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}949$ instead of licking like a mouse they

 $00{:}45{:}32{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34{.}706$ can Kevin's trained them how to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}34.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36.879$ to do this sort of sort of reversal

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}36{.}879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}620$ learning and and to to on bandit task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:39.620 \rightarrow 00:45:41.124$ They do quite well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:41.124 --> 00:45:41.500 They,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}41{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43{.}327$ they they like to do the task and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}43.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.980$ stay tuned for some of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:44.980 --> 00:45:47.260 But already he's starting to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}47.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48.976$ in parallel some of these animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:48.976 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.033$ were starting to be able to then do NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:45:51.033 --> 00:45:52.677 some of the work knowing what we NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:52.677 \rightarrow 00:45:54.490$ know in mouse and applying this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}54{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56{.}195$ the to the marmosets and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}57{.}630$ ultimately this is sort of a summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:45:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.580$ of where we're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}45{:}58{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01{.}332$ We can start to also look at an atomical

- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:01.332 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.020$ tracing experiments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}46{:}02{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}06{.}150$ And we can also start to then manipulate C4C,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- 00:46:06.150 --> 00:46:06.650 SM D1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}46{:}06{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}400$ some of the schema mutants like Rin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.484$ 2A and then we can then pair that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}46{:}10.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.336$ with environmental challenges.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:11.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.314$ So first we look at genetics and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:13.314 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.060$ see what these genetic leads,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.424$ knockouts,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:15.424 \rightarrow 00:46:17.608$ wild types are doing to circuits
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:17.608 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.336$ and behavior.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}46{:}18{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19{.}432$ And then we can,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}46{:}19{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21{.}070$ based on the adolescent period do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00{:}46{:}21.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.472$ a second hit and see how environmental
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125
- $00:46:23.472 \rightarrow 00:46:24.900$ challenges like social isolation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:24.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.007$ or social stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}46{:}26.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.685$ Pairs with and combines with

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:46:27.685 \rightarrow 00:46:29.360$ these genetic challenges and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:29.415 \rightarrow 00:46:31.257$ ultimately that leads to changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}31.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.370$ these behaviors as a starting point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}33{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}35{.}323$ And so we hope ultimately that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}35{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}888$ the end you might learn more and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:37.888 \rightarrow 00:46:39.770$ more systematic way as we get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.090$ on the genetics and the biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:46:41.090 --> 00:46:42.954 we we we can then apply what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}42{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}45{.}328$ learn to these other sort of circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46{.}804$ and cognitive level readouts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:46.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.406$ And then ultimately the goal is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}48{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}50{.}024$ Marina said in her introduction is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:50.024 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.866$ to try to translate some of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:46:51.866 \rightarrow 00:46:53.539$ work to the clinic to the patients.

 $00:46:53.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.040$ And and one example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57{.}819$ C4 happens to be secreted and it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}57.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.212$ come out in CSF and we can measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}717$ it and Steve Mccarroll has done that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:00.717 \rightarrow 00:47:02.300$ and some of that works published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}02{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04{.}106$ C4 can be not only read out

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:04.106 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.739$ and relates to copy numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:05.740 \rightarrow 00:47:07.735$ So that's a good proof of concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}504$ but we can read out other molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:09.504 \rightarrow 00:47:11.194$ that we're studying in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:11.194 \rightarrow 00:47:12.659$ of other disorders like Alzheimer's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}12.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.820$ a lot of neuroimmune molecules and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:14.820 \rightarrow 00:47:17.406$ synaptic markers we can read out in CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}17{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19{.}078$ And thanks to a really a mazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:19.078 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.874$ collaborative effort by many here that

 $00:47:20.874 \rightarrow 00:47:22.770$ are also involved in the schizophrenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:22.770 --> 00:47:23.970 Spectrum biomarker consortium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.524$ The idea is what if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:25.524 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.063$ start to bank and collect CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:27.063 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.689$ not just from the later stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:28.690 \rightarrow 00:47:30.342$ but from this early stage of individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:30.342 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.250$ that are at risk for developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:32.250 \rightarrow 00:47:33.405$ schizophrenia or schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}33{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34{.}946$ bipolar and control and start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:34.946 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.537$ measure some of the leads that

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}085$ are coming out of the genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}38{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39{.}644$ And then that would hopefully enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:39.644 \rightarrow 00:47:41.649$ us to start to stratify patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:41.650 --> 00:47:43.006 And as we get more information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:43.010 - 00:47:44.690 we'll have better ways of reading

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.873$ out these different markers

 $00:47:45.873 \rightarrow 00:47:47.378$ in the samples of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:47:47.380 --> 00:47:49.390 And relate this to the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.460$ and ultimately to to cognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:47:51.460 \rightarrow 00:47:53.596$ So longterm goal that I think C4 is

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}53.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}55.857$ a good example of a genetic lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}55{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}57{.}869$ We understand a little bit about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}47{:}57{.}869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}269$ biology and we can in fact read out it

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:00.269 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.173$ on the genetic level and in the CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:02.173 \rightarrow 00:48:04.096$ and and I think this is the first start,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:04.096 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.179$ but I think we have a long ways to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:06.180 --> 00:48:08.097 But it really is going to require a village,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}08{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10{.}020$ a lot of collaboration and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:10.020 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:48:11.706 feedback from folks like you to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}11.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.414$ about how we could then you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}13{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15{.}164$ expand some of this work into other

 $00{:}48{:}15.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.000$ models or into other disease areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:17.000 --> 00:48:17.892 I focused on schizophrenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}17.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19.520$ but I think this is highly relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.755$ to other disorders as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.769$ So I'll end by thanking an amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}22.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.211$ group of collaborators and support

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:24.211 -> 00:48:25.795 the human microglia in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:25.800 \rightarrow 00:48:27.171$ They're pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

00:48:27.171 --> 00:48:28.999 I'm the nucleus there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00:48:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.274$ and this is the rest of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}30{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31{.}880$ Be being goofy at one of our retreats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317113181818

 $00{:}48{:}31{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}000$ So thanks very much.