## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:47:33.1600000"

NOTE recognizability:0.913

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705985

00:00:02.880 --> 00:00:06.040 No, no, we'll talk. Got it, got it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:00:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.320$  And the the very generous introductions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

 $00:00:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.640$  sorry for the 18 issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

 $00:00:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.850$  Look at this, Alexa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

 $00:00:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.320$  Nicole might be able to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

00:00:23.320 --> 00:00:25.328 You try, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

00:00:25.328 --> 00:00:27.183 that don't gloat this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

 $00:00:27.183 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.927$  You hear a lot of disasters out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950316928

 $00:00:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.286$  Golden Gate Park is still fine

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:00:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.818$  and this is a view of Golden

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00{:}00{:}36.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.074$  Gate Park for the hill were at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:00:40.074 \dashrightarrow 00:00:44.368$  UCSF Mount Parnassus campuses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:00:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.302$  So I'll be telling you about a

 $00:00:46.302 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.648$  transcription factor called TV R1 which

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:00:48.648 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.684$  is really important and early portable

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:00:51.684 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.569$  development in self based specification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00{:}00{:}54.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.481$  But as you'll see it has several roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

00:00:57.481 --> 00:01:00.103 as time moves on in development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.086$  Roles that I think are perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00{:}01{:}02.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.400$  may be even more remain to cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.625$  disorders and then psychiatric disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00{:}01{:}10.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.198$  in particular to autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

00:01:12.198 --> 00:01:16.329 But I think it could be and could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00{:}01{:}16.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18.870$  thinking about other neuropsychiatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.150$  illnesses as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.278$  Well, give a little historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:23.278 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.304$  perspective to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

00:01:24.310 --> 00:01:27.424 To those of you who've known me this long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:27.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.910$  it's about 36 years ago.

 $00:01:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.786$  Began a screen for genes that are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.835$  We were hoping to find genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:34.835 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.625$  are expressed much more during

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

00:01:36.625 --> 00:01:37.845 development of the forebrain

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:37.845 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.670$  than in the adult forebrain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.791$  with a simple idea that if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

00:01:41.791 --> 00:01:43.750 expressed really highly during development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.630$  maybe they're important for development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.814$  And we use a technique oldfashioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00{:}01{:}48.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.150$  technique called subtractive vibration

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.943$  with directional CD and A libraries we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

 $00:01:53.943 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.282$  compared in the mouse and embryonic day 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93320922

00:01:57.282 --> 00:01:59.397 Forebrain 2 an adult forebrain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00:02:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.835$  and using that technique we found a

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

00:02:05.835 --> 00:02:08.480 gene which we called healing Cell

00:02:08.480 --> 00:02:10.880 Phone Embryonic Subtraction #1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00{:}02{:}10.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.204$  or Test one, which unfortunately had

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00:02:14.204 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.476$  to have its name change in DL X2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00:02:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.440$  I'm not it's not so bad about

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00:02:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.679$  losing the test one for that reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00:02:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.766$  but you'll see in a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.926289139090909

 $00:02:20.766 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.119$  why it was sad to lose that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.635$  Test 1 encodes A homeo

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00{:}02{:}30.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.510$  domain transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

00:02:32.510 --> 00:02:34.210 They're probably the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00{:}02{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.570$  kinds of transcription factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:35.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.267$  that are important in self fate

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.626$  regulation during development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:38.626 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.790$  And this is a picture of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.520$  embryonic mouse brain and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.592$  white stuff in the embryonic mouse

 $00:02:45.592 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.552$  brain shows where the RNA for test

NOTE Confidence: 0.951574845

 $00:02:47.552 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.548$  one is very strongly expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

00:02:51.790 --> 00:02:54.334 here in the. Ganglia, primordia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:54.334 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.626$  this big lump of cells called

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:56.626 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.050$  the ganglionic eminences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.290$  which is below the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:02:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.974$  which is not labeled,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:00.974 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.079$  and it's also very strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:03.079 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.474$  expressed in the Pantera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93019015

 $00:03:04.474 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.330$  diencephalan and hypothalamus. Of

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

00:03:08.610 --> 00:03:10.206 course, when we did this first experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00:03:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.042$  we had no idea what we were looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00{:}03{:}12.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.639$  at because we had in medical school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

00:03:13.639 --> 00:03:15.480 you don't have any training in what

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00:03:15.536 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.046$  the embryonic brain looks like.

 $00:03:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.720$  At least we didn't have poshco

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00:03:19.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.126$  at standards we didn't Poshco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00:03:21.126 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.846$  I might have known what this is, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

00:03:22.846 --> 00:03:25.576 No, nobody who I working with knew

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00:03:25.576 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.908$  what any of these things were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:03:30.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.290$  And then I visited jail in 1990

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:03:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.230$  when I met for the first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:03:36.230 --> 00:03:38.267 At least let's say 10 of you who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:03:38.267 --> 00:03:39.724 in this room and I've been friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:03:39.724 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.902$  with you for that long and I gave my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}03{:}42.902 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.715$  first talk on Test 1 / D L X2 and maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:03:45.715 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.868$  one of you or two remember that talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}03{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.306$  But. It was a huge experience for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00{:}03{:}51.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54.256$  me to to come and meet you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:03:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.308$  I almost took a job here and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:03:58.308 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.180$  was sad not to be able to do that.

 $00:04:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.508$  It was gratifying that I have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

00:04:02.508 --> 00:04:03.788 life not lifelong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:03.788 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.896$  but half my lifelong friends that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.095$  who I met that day and have continued to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352219

 $00:04:10.095 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.860$  see over the years and in many venues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

00:04:16.870 --> 00:04:18.544 We we went through our everyonic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:18.544 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.660$  subtraction looking for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:19.708 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.508$  interesting genes and found many others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.660$  The the second most interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

00:04:23.660 --> 00:04:26.093 in my opinion was test 56.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:26.093 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.760$  So that's the 56 gene that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:28.853 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.625$  looked at and we named it a TB R1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.508$  Where T encephalon or A2 on cephalon

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00{:}04{:}37.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.219$ express brain gene one or T box

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:40.219 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.689$  brain gene one has many reasons

 $00:04:42.689 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.570$  for its name and you'll see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9281954225

 $00:04:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.870$  its real reason is in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

00:04:48.680 --> 00:04:51.718 So unlike the DLX gene which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

00:04:51.718 --> 00:04:54.360 expressed in the basal ganglia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

 $00:04:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.168$  tea bear one not expressed in the basal

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

00:04:57.168 --> 00:05:00.090 ganglia it's it surrounds the basal

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

 $00:05:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.257$  ganglia expressed in the cerebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

 $00{:}05{:}02.257 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.531$  cortex and then a little domain

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

 $00:05:04.531 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.992$  in the that was called eminential

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

 $00:05:06.992 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.776$  palamide and then part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932612641666667

 $00{:}05{:}09.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.960$  hypothallus and as it turned out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.798$  The DLX gene is expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00{:}05{:}18.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.900$  progenitors and in Gabourgic

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.550$  neurons and in Gabourgic neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.584$  So DLX expression is pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:25.584 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.030$  the same as it as a gene like

 $00:05:28.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.805$  flutamic acid D carboxylase or

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00{:}05{:}30.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.025$  the vesicular GABA transporter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.030$  They're in the same cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:34.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.480$  In fact, DLX regulates these

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.950$  fundamental Gabourgic properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.746$  wherever you are in the forebrain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.066$  but the rest of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:43.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.033$  it's not expressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:44.033 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.638$  so it's a forebrain specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00{:}05{:}45.640 \to 00{:}05{:}47.878$  Transcription factor that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

00:05:47.878 --> 00:05:51.880 involved with gabiergic cell fate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.520$  differentiation and function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:54.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.720$  and TV R1, by contrast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00{:}05{:}56.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.800$  is only in glutamateurgic neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:05:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.776$  And so we began to get the idea

 $00:06:01.776 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.682$  that you can have transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00{:}06{:}03.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.932$  pathways that separate out these

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

00:06:05.932 --> 00:06:07.848 two fundamental cell types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:06:07.848 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.368$  excitatory cells and inhibitory cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:06:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.914$  And by differentially controlling

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

00:06:11.914 --> 00:06:14.689 the activity of the DLX or TV R1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:06:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.006$  you can change the balance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:06:17.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.906$  of the function of excitatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00:06:19.906 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.088$  or inhibitory neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.335$  And these two genes were became the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00{:}06{:}30.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.372$  foundation of much of the work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:32.372 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.330$  I've done the last 30 years at UCSL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.376$  So the real reason we named

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:38.376 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.180$  the genes what they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

00:06:40.180 --> 00:06:43.575 Is because my daughter's name is Tess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.135$  That's Test 1. My my son's name is Thomas,

 $00:06:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.140$  that's Thomas Braden Rubenstein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.256$  That's why they have their names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.254$  That's why I was upset that

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:53.254 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.858$  the test turned into DL X2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.384$  She answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910602264761905

 $00:06:56.384 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.694$  She answers the both now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.889$  So I'm going to start by telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:03.889 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.492$  you I'm only going to be telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:05.492 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.100$  you about TB R1 in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.028$  I'm going to tell you about TBR one's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

00:07:10.030 --> 00:07:13.478 Function from the very beginning

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00{:}07{:}13.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.988$  of making the cerebral cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

00:07:15.990 --> 00:07:17.738 into into an adultery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:17.738 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.486$  even through something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:19.486 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.589$  the grandpa mouse experiment.

 $00:07:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.616$  So kind of a life lifelong function of

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00{:}07{:}24.616 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}07{:}26.947$  T ver one in controlling many parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

00:07:26.947 --> 00:07:30.390 of how you make cortical neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

00:07:30.390 --> 00:07:32.494 They're giving their identity

NOTE Confidence: 0.935461504761904

 $00:07:32.494 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.630$  and connections. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

00:07:38.390 --> 00:07:39.965 going to start by showing you something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00:07:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.420$  Pictures of where T bear ones expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

00:07:42.420 --> 00:07:44.170 because although it's kind of boring

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

 $00{:}07{:}44.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.258$  their anatomy, it sets the stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.947441742857143

00:07:46.258 --> 00:07:48.290 for thinking about what it does. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00{:}07{:}51.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.832$  here's the In teaching hybridization done

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:07:53.832 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.047$  by the Allenbrae Institute of T bear one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00{:}07{:}56.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.227$  the brown black cells are T bear one

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:08:00.227 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.370$  expressing cells, and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:08:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.290$  it's only in the cerebral cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:08:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.832$  And in this part of the hypothalamus,

 $00{:}08{:}06.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.960$  it's essentially nowhere else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00{:}08{:}09.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.960$  In the central nervous system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:08:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.758$  except for some deep cerebellar nuclei.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

00:08:14.760 --> 00:08:18.358 So it's highly highly specific for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:08:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.421$  for the forebrain, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90471682

 $00:08:21.421 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.880$  it's only in citatory neurons in the cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9235980875

00:08:27.800 --> 00:08:29.760 and it's asking you to sign into Dropbox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}08{:}33.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.740$  And within the developing cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:08:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.640$  it's not in the progenitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:08:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.100$  so it's not in dividing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}08{:}40.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.868$  It turns on once the cells become post

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}08{:}42.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.752$  mitotic and are migrating and we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}08{:}45.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.672$  the intermediate zone and then it those

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:08:48.672 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.150$  cells coalesce and form the developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:08:51.227 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.670$  cortical plate and it's expressing

 $00:08:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.020$  the earliest born cortical neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}08{:}56.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.220$  I'll ask posture what those are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:08:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.671$  Now earliest born critical neurons are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:00.671 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.780$  Cahabretzia cells, the subplate and layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:04.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.126$  So what you're looking at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:07.126 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.460$  this stage is probably 15 or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.980$  Primarily layer 6 and subplate and cahabats.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}09{:}11.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.910$  Your cells all coalesced in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00{:}09{:}13.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.339$  this early cortical plate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.496$  You look at the specialty bear one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.020$  In the neonatal mouse cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.016$  expression is concentrated in layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.804$  If you look carefully in the rosel parts

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:29.804 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.820$  of layer five you can see scattered cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.058$  So at this stage it's primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.830$  layer 6 and layer 5.

 $00:09:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.430$  I don't think it's ever in layer 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.514$  I'm not sure about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:41.514 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.869$  Then I can correct me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.590$  But then it turns on in layer 2-3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93746961

 $00:09:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.270$  later on

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:09:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.508$  again, but only in excitatory neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:09:52.510 --> 00:09:54.268 And then in an adult Peter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:09:54.270 --> 00:09:56.126 one expression is maintained

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:09:56.126 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.910$  again most strongly in layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:09:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.870$  in about half the cells in layer 5:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:10:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.120$  and then in many cells in layers 2 and three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:10:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.380$  And I'll tell you mainly about

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}10{:}05.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.081$  what TB I one is doing in layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}10{:}07.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08.732$ 6 and a little bit about what TB

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:10:08.732 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.914$  I one's doing in layer 5,

 $00{:}10{:}09.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.145$  and I don't know what TB I

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}10{:}11.145 --> 00{:}10{:}12.320$  one's doing in layer 2-3 yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:10:16.960 --> 00:10:19.081 So our one of our first papers

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:19.081 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.510$  on TB I-1 function shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.000$  The title says everything about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:10:24.000 --> 00:10:24.945 It regulates differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:24.945 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.835$  of the preplate in layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.104$  The preplate is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:10:29.104 --> 00:10:31.320 the subplate and the car.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.980$  That's just cells and there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:34.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.900$  It's the several things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:10:38.900 --> 00:10:44.800 Within Chen, we showed that TB R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.296$  controls the identity of layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:48.296 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.641$  So ordinarily this transcription factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:50.641 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.300$  C tip 2 is in layer 5 and not layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:10:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.430$  But in the TB R1 constituent

00:10:56.430 --> 00:10:58.300 of loss of function unit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00{:}10{:}58.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}00.500$  this transcription factor C tip

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.449$  2 is now expressed in layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:11:03.450 --> 00:11:07.455 So TB R1 has a major role in depressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:11:07.455 --> 00:11:10.766 layer 5 identity in layer 6 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:11:10.770 --> 00:11:12.528 and if you start messing around

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:12.528 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.330$  with layer 6 in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.330$  a lot of bad things happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:17.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.849$  I'll just tell you one of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:18.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.382$  Ordinarily layer 6 is the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:21.382 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.010$  output for axons that grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.210$  and innervate the thalamus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00{:}11{:}24.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.810$  and that's what's shown in this picture here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.288$  And then the TB R1 mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.678$  The axons are made,

00:11:29.678 --> 00:11:32.450 but they stop in the basal ganglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.868$  Around the boundary with the hypothalamus

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00{:}11{:}34.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.301$  and they never innovate the thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

00:11:37.301 --> 00:11:40.727 So Tegre was really important in

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00:11:40.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.635$  the program for the connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679327272727

 $00{:}11{:}42.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.290$  of the cortex to the thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:11:48.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.058$  A very brief summary of what TR does

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:11:51.058 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.535$  does early at E 10.5 is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:11:53.535 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.010$  for generations of rexial cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:11:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.125$  These cells are fundamental for making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:11:59.125 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.749$  laminar organization of the frebal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.862$  That E 12.5, it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:03.862 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.586$  we think for the fate of layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:06.586 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.628$  and we begin to think that the fate switch

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:09.628 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.305$  for layer 5 May start as early as E 12.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:13.305 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.155$  And it's important for the connections

 $00:12:16.155 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.880$  of the cortex to the thalamus which

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00{:}12{:}18.880 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.274$  is takes place in this interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:21.274 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.710$  between 12.5 and let's say 16.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.222$  So this is that's what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:27.222 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.677$  with you have a T01.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:28.677 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.359$  Constitutive loss of function unit i.e.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.320$  There's no T row one protein at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.720$  All these bad things happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.933544666666667

 $00:12:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.840$  to to the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215666

 $00:12:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.080$  So the bottom line is T row one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215666

 $00:12:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.385$  In T row one, layer 6 neurons

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215666

 $00{:}12{:}44.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.094$  transformed into layer 5 type similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215666

 $00{:}12{:}48.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.432$  Not exactly, but pretty close to being

NOTE Confidence: 0.934215666

00:12:50.432 --> 00:12:52.680 a layer 5 type cortical neuron. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907215555555

 $00:12:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.434$  this is where I left it in the mid.

 $00:12:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.732$  Around 2005, 2010 or so and then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907215555555

00:13:04.732 --> 00:13:07.864 worked from Yale from Stefan Sanders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929072155555555

 $00:13:07.864 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.760$  Nenod and Matt State showed that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907215555555

00:13:11.760 --> 00:13:14.986 mutation of TB R1 was highly implicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.929072155555555

00:13:14.986 --> 00:13:17.758 in causing some forms of autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929072155555555

00:13:17.760 --> 00:13:21.070 And so when Matt came to UCSF he and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929072155555555

 $00{:}13{:}21.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.449$  then talked about this and he told me

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907215555555

 $00:13:23.449 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.951$  about the result ahead of time and let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.929072155555555

00:13:26.015 --> 00:13:28.479 get involved back into the TB R1 story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907215555555

 $00:13:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.496$  And that helped fund me getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.929072155555555

00:13:30.496 --> 00:13:32.280 back into TB R1 at work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:13:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.528$  So with that impetus I decided to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}13{:}39.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.272$  to see if I could understand how TB R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:13:43.272 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.800$  mutations might increase the risk for autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:13:46.800 --> 00:13:48.576 And part of that was to begin to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:13:48.576 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.327$  look at mice that are heterozygote

 $00:13:50.327 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.480$  for the loss of function tier one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00{:}13{:}52.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}55.938$  because the humans who have autism or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:13:55.938 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.128$  heterozygotes have loss of function alleles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

00:13:59.130 --> 00:14:02.982 And then the you know the Hail Mary was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:14:02.982 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.850$  maybe find some possible treatment so

NOTE Confidence: 0.94276945

 $00:14:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.890$  that but that was that was a pipe dream

NOTE Confidence: 0.938423890909091

 $00:14:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.800$  and this work was done primarily by

NOTE Confidence: 0.938423890909091

 $00{:}14{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.896$  a post doc named Sivash Darbondy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938423890909091

00:14:15.896 --> 00:14:18.434 And Sivash's first paper on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.938423890909091

 $00:14:18.434 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.046$  subject is this this one down here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.460$  OK, so for this experiment we

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.651$  decided to not use a constituent

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00{:}14{:}31.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.339$  loss of function allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.776$  We wanted to make a conditional mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:35.776 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.970$  because we wanted to begin to dissect

00:14:37.970 --> 00:14:40.379 what T bear was was doing at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00{:}14{:}40.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.044$  times and different cell types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:43.044 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.464$  So for that purpose we made what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:46.464 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.400$  no call the flopsed allele,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.093$  which just means that we're we now

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

00:14:51.093 --> 00:14:52.801 genetically can manipulate when

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:52.801 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.770$  we delete T bear one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.990$  And this was done with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

 $00:14:56.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.752$  collaboration Matt State and Ben

NOTE Confidence: 0.909655335625

00:14:58.752 --> 00:15:00.480 Chen and Ben's at Santa Cruz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84751668666667

 $00:15:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.248$  So having built a floss the wheel for

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:07.248 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.304$  TBO and then we wanted to find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84751668666667

 $00:15:10.304 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.855$  appropriate 3 recombinations so that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:12.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.280$  could delete it where and when we wanted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84751668666667

00:15:16.280 --> 00:15:18.920 And we chose two layer specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.680$  3 recombinations that turned

 $00:15:20.758 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.943$  on around every like day 18.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:22.943 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.130$  Which is about 8 days after TB R1 turns on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:27.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.056$  So these mice will be developing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

00:15:30.056 --> 00:15:33.408 normal TB R1 until just before birth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.181$  So all the things that I've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:35.181 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.802$  telling you about that go wrong with

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:36.802 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.938$  TB R1 in the null mutant should not

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:39.938 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.410$  or may not happen in this mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.730$  but we will. We'll find out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847516686666667

 $00:15:43.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.129$  But he goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

 $00:15:47.090 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.490$  So one tree that we use is called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

00:15:49.490 --> 00:15:50.330 Doesn't really matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

 $00:15:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.114$  it's called NTS R1 Creek.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

 $00:15:52.114 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.970$  And it's really good at deleting tibro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

 $00:15:55.058 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.280$  one in cortical layer 6 and again

 $00:15:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.530$  it turns around on around E 18.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.810$  The other three we use is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:04.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.842$  R BP4 Creed and it deletes tibro

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:07.842 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.730$  one in layer 5 and nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.050$  It also includes the prefrontal cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

00:16:15.050 --> 00:16:16.709 The layer 6 creed is not active

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

00:16:16.709 --> 00:16:18.718 in the in the prefrontal cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:18.718 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.370$  and we couldn't interrogate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.566$  Cortex function in the layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.604$  but we could do it in the layer 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900815864

 $00:16:24.610 \dashrightarrow 00:16:26.290$  That'll come into play later in the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

00:16:30.850 --> 00:16:34.298 So let me first tell you about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

00:16:34.298 --> 00:16:36.808 conditional deletion of TB R1 in layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:16:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.354$  and I'll probably just be calling

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:16:39.354 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.930$  these TBR one layer 6 mutants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:16:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.882$  So we started off doing an RNARNA

 $00:16:45.882 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.102$  sequencing and comparing the RNA levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00{:}16{:}49.102 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.204$  And neonal cortex of wild type versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:16:53.204 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.950$  the conditional layer 6 mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

00:16:55.950 --> 00:16:58.529 And this pretty picture so-called

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:16:58.529 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.043$  volcano plot shows genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00{:}17{:}01.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03.300$  up regulated in red and genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:03.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.430$  that are down regulated in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.470$  So let's first look at the blue ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.830$  Hebrew one is down regulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

00:17:08.830 --> 00:17:10.948 Thank God because we deleted it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.202$  It's RNA was normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:12.202 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.870$  That would look bad for our experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.910$  OK, so we're off to a good start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

00:17:17.910 --> 00:17:19.764 And one of the genes that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:19.764 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.310$  most downregulated is T LE4,

 $00:17:21.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.641$  which then I can tell you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

00:17:23.641 --> 00:17:25.270 super important for layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.748$  So that's that was really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.586$  And then a gene that's upregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:29.590 \dashrightarrow 00:17:32.983$  And I can also tell you this Fez F2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.032$  Fez F2 is a key regulator of layer 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:36.032 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.708$  identity and it's expressed in layer 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.804$  But this is telling us already

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00{:}17{:}40.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.553$  that something's wrong with layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:42.553 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.540$  6 and it's missing normal levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:44.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.445$  of T LE4 and has too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:47.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.410$  Layer 5 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937737685

 $00:17:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.050$  In it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:17:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.885$  We did a bunch of in C twos using

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

00:17:55.885 --> 00:17:57.535 probes based upon the genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:17:57.535 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.438$  were found in the RN A/C analysis,

 $00:17:59.438 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.286$  and here's just two of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.370$  Both of them are markers of layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.930$  so Fox B2 and TT LE4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

00:18:09.930 --> 00:18:12.006 They're strongly expressed in layer 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.977$  and very little in the case of

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:13.977 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.199$  Fox B2 and superficial layers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.872$  Killy 4 has this back when I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00{:}18{:}17.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.000$  know if that's kill expression or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.620$  but the strong expression is in

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:22.620 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.200$  layer six in the in the heterozygote

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.520$  there's not much of an effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.560$  You might think there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.160$  could be a slight decrease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.696$  particularly in this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:33.696 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.000$  but not a strong heterozygote effect.

 $00:18:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.030$  But in the homozygote 5B2

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00{:}18{:}40.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.070$  expression is greatly reduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

00:18:42.070 --> 00:18:43.828 Until E4 expression is greatly reduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:43.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.407$  although not eliminated in the well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:46.407 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.092$  the subplate and also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

00:18:49.092 --> 00:18:51.047 superficial layer 6 okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00{:}18{:}51.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.406$  So this is interesting because TV R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:53.406 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.783$  has been there for eight days now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:18:55.783 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.563$  we took it away for about 3 or 4 days and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

00:18:59.563 --> 00:19:02.942 you the layer 6 identity whittles away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00{:}19{:}02.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.347$  So TV R1 is not only required for initiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

00:19:06.347 --> 00:19:09.350 of state specification of layer six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:19:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.758$  you need to keep TV R1 there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:19:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.025$  New Natalie in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:19:14.025 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.837$  maintain layer 6 identity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:19:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.000$  We were surprised by this,

 $00:19:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.120$  but that's what that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.917542977142857

 $00:19:18.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.520$  that's the way it goes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128857142857

 $00{:}19{:}24.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.196$  Now let's look at layer 5 markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.856$  There's this B CL11B which is same

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00{:}19{:}30.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.839$  as C tip 2 which I showed earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

00:19:32.840 --> 00:19:35.580 Beautiful layer 5 expression lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.757$  in layer 6 and Fez F2 almost almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:39.757 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.536$  specific for layer five at this age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:42.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.660$  Almost nothing in layer 6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.900$  Now look at the heterozygote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8168597666666667

 $00:19:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.416$  This is really surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:47.416 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.579$  Let's just go straight to the Feds up two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00{:}19{:}50.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.820$  Here's the layer 5 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.844$  Now in the heterozygote there is Feds

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:19:54.844 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.660$  up two at reasonable levels in layer 6.

 $00:19:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.660$  So even as a heterozygote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00{:}20{:}00.660 --> 00{:}20{:}02.298$  your layer 5 is in trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:20:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.180$  I'm sorry, your layer 6 is in trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

00:20:04.180 --> 00:20:05.340 If you're a TBR 01,

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:20:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.328$  no new and one can think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00{:}20{:}07.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.533$  the meaning of that for a human

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

 $00:20:09.533 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.143$  who's heterozygote for TB 01.

NOTE Confidence: 0.816859766666667

00:20:11.150 --> 00:20:14.104 Having a mixed identity of layer 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.933849618181818

 $00:20:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.242$  and then this is the hobo

NOTE Confidence: 0.933849618181818

00:20:18.242 --> 00:20:19.670 Zygo and you get this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933849618181818

 $00{:}20{:}19.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.470$  It looks like almost equivalent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.933849618181818

 $00:20:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.220$  case of peasant 2 layer 5 and layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.933849618181818

 $00{:}20{:}25.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.550$ 6 expression and similar for P CL11B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268

00:20:30.710 --> 00:20:30.780 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.919242388888889

 $00:20:35.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.860$  I told you what early keyword

NOTE Confidence: 0.919242388888889

 $00:20:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.809$  one function does over here on

 $00:20:39.809 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.220$  the far on your far left when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.919242388888889

 $00:20:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.380$  delete keyword one around 18.5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

00:20:46.740 --> 00:20:49.060 Layer 6 takes on properties of layer five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:20:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.620$  We don't know how long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:20:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.820$  how far out that goes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:20:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.260$  but it'll be just don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:20:55.260 --> 00:20:59.119 But we know that during this period

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:20:59.119 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.060$  that they changes that molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:21:03.060 --> 00:21:05.340 possible fake changes prominent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930847241176471

 $00:21:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.425$  Okay. Now we wanted to look what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.930847241176471

 $00:21:12.425 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.474$  what's the ramification of these changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.930847241176471

 $00:21:14.474 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.954$  in transcription factor expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.930847241176471

 $00{:}21{:}15.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.588$  on the cellular properties of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.930847241176471

 $00{:}21{:}18.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.558$  of the layers mutant layer 6 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930847241176471

 $00:21:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.436$  So we've next looked at their dendrites.

 $00:21:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.520$  Normally layer 6 cells have

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00:21:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.720$  this fascinating property.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

00:21:30.720 --> 00:21:32.800 I don't know if you can see it very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00:21:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.800$  They're aprical dendrites wrote

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00:21:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.150$  to here in in the layer 5 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00:21:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.840$  they don't go into layer 6 much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00:21:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.410$  So there's. A very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

00:21:41.410 --> 00:21:44.615 regulation of dendrite properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

00:21:44.615 --> 00:21:48.290 In layer six they would have that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00:21:48.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.090$  their little forest of dendrites

NOTE Confidence: 0.95786455

 $00{:}21{:}50.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52.530$  only go up halfway up the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:21:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.378$  On the other hand, in the TB R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00{:}21{:}58.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.346$  mutant this looks straight down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324265111111111

 $00:22:00.346 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.470$  Here many of their axons grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.130$  all the way up to layer one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.098$  this low pass and even in the heterozygote.

00:22:09.100 --> 00:22:11.536 It's a it's a partially penetrate phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:11.540 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.816$  Some of these dendrites grow up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.482$  Ramification of that that now means

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:16.482 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.432$  that layer 6 cells are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

00:22:18.432 --> 00:22:20.004 get inputs from places that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:20.004 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.498$  never get inputs from before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

00:22:21.500 --> 00:22:23.292 So that's going to change the wiring

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00{:}22{:}23.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.220$  diagram of the cortex and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00:22:26.220 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.820$  confuse the circuit functions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932426511111111

 $00{:}22{:}29.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.356$  Obviously we can use the circuit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9324265111111111

 $00:22:31.356 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.380$  functions of the cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00:22:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.030$  So with these conditional mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00{:}22{:}38.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.550$  we can see that heroin has a persistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

00:22:41.550 --> 00:22:45.190 effect on repressing layer 5 identity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00:22:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.510$  which includes the layer 6 cells

00:22:49.510 --> 00:22:52.426 send their dendrites to layer one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00{:}22{:}52.430 \to 00{:}22{:}55.570$  which is a property of layer 5 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00:22:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.306$  So we have a molecular and cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00:22:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.858$  transformation of layer 6 towards layer 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00:23:01.858 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.554$  identity in these conditional mutants and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92641032

 $00:23:04.554 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.009$  Partial phenotypes in the heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.610693

 $00:23:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.557$  OK with Alex Nord we've looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.610693

 $00:23:17.557 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.610$  locations in the on the chromosomes where

NOTE Confidence: 0.610693

 $00{:}23{:}20.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.490$  the TB R1 transcription factor binds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.610693

00:23:23.490 --> 00:23:26.286 We've identified which promoters and which

NOTE Confidence: 0.610693

00:23:26.286 --> 00:23:28.530 candidate enhancers have TB R1 binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.850554245

 $00:23:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.398$  and we able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850554245

 $00:23:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.175$  Identify the canonical in vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.850554245

00:23:36.175 --> 00:23:38.592 T row and binding motif motifs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.850554245

 $00:23:38.592 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.568$  There's there's a lot of variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.850554245

 $00:23:41.568 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.605$  of this and there's a lot more

 $00:23:43.605 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.980$  to do with to understand what

NOTE Confidence: 0.850554245

 $00:23:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.116$  those different movies mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:23:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.360$  based upon where keeper ones binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:23:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.728$  on the chromosomes and the RNA changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:23:56.728 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.620$  that happened at Tiro on mutants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:23:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.540$  And through these genomic binding sites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.960$  we can identify the candidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.380$  enhancers for the genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.260$  whose expression has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.270$  We can develop enhancer transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.691$  assays to test whether these enhancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

00:24:13.691 --> 00:24:17.180 are in fact regulated by TBRO One.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

00:24:17.180 --> 00:24:18.340 Finding all of this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.890$  we've begun to define transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

00:24:20.890 --> 00:24:23.980 network regulated by TBRO One.

 $00:24:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.996$  And here's a here's a cartoon showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

00:24:25.996 --> 00:24:28.038 some of the things we've found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.839$  The T row one functions both as an activator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.198$  That's what these green arrows means,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.120$  as well as a repressor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00{:}24{:}35.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.436$  That's what the Red Arrows means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931778088888889

 $00:24:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.640$  We think that most of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:24:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.280$  this pathway shown here are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486397666666667

00:24:44.280 --> 00:24:45.838 direct T rowing functions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:24:45.838 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.154$  not it could be also indirect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:24:48.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.320$  but you think a lot of this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:24:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.230$  direct and the genes that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:24:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.740$  the double asterisks on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486397666666667

 $00{:}24{:}56.740 --> 00{:}24{:}59.220~PC11A,~OX~B2,~GRIN~2B,\\$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:24:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.092$  WIN 7B, Box P1 are also autism

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:25:06.092 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.420$  risk alleles risk genes,

 $00:25:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.228$  so perhaps TB R1 is critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:25:12.228 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.680$  in regulating many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948639766666667

 $00:25:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.095$  D chains that cause autism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:23.370 --> 00:25:26.718 So the summary of this first part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}25{:}26.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.030$  talk is that TB R1 is really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.710$  In cell identity, for excitatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.390$  neurons of the cerebral cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.190$  it's required to initiate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:37.190 --> 00:25:38.990 to maintain layer 6 identity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:25:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.234$  which then leads to the taking on of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:25:42.234 --> 00:25:43.786 layer 5 morphological properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934291062916666

 $00{:}25{:}48.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.446$  the and we think that the layer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934291062916666

 $00{:}25{:}50.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.849$  and obviously molecular properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.934291062916666

 $00{:}25{:}51.849 \longrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.397$  and many of these tea bear one

NOTE Confidence: 0.934291062916666

00:25:54.397 --> 00:25:56.190 targets are autism risk genes.

 $00:26:00.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.664$  Okay. So now we went into

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:26:02.664 --> 00:26:03.800 new territory for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.068$  We're very good at doing in C twos and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:06.068 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.877$  learning about the molecular changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.176$  Now we went to look at the synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:26:10.176 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.119$  in these mutant layer 6 cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168454545455

 $00:26:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.152$  and synaptogenesis is most,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168454545455

 $00:26:16.152 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.960$  you know in the mouse takes

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168454545455

 $00{:}26{:}19.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.986$  place postnatally being around

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168454545455

 $00:26:20.986 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.405$  postnatal layer 5 and accelerating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941168454545455

 $00{:}26{:}23.405 \to 00{:}26{:}26.240$  During the first week and second week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}26{:}30.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.075$  what Sivas did was look at synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

00:26:34.080 --> 00:26:36.959 primarily in layer 5 because

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:36.959 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.352$  in the wild type or layer six

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:38.352 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.796$  don't go up to any other layers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.236$  So we chose layer 5:00 during the

 $00:26:42.236 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.249$  wild type to the conditional unit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:45.249 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.094$  then we did immunofluorescence assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:47.094 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.719$  for pre and post synaptic markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.744$  excitatory and inhibitory synapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}26{:}51.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.278$  And then measure the densities of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:55.278 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.557$  synaptic process of these synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00:26:57.557 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.173$  elements as a function of length of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.941691228571429

 $00{:}27{:}02.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.220$  Axon and or dendrite that we were measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00{:}27{:}07.500 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.284$  And we saw a very easy relate very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:27:10.284 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.660$  simple relationship that the density

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

00:27:12.660 --> 00:27:14.900 of synaptic structures called

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:27:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.500$  but ans very wild type heterozygote

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00{:}27{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.600$  homozygote and we call it linear

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:27:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.520$  but it decreases as a function of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:27:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.342$  How much cheaper?

 $00:27:24.342 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.160$  Or when you have and this was true for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:27:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.880$  both excitatory and inhibitory synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

 $00:27:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.424$  both were greatly reduced and heterozygote

NOTE Confidence: 0.94226628

00:27:31.424 --> 00:27:33.120 had the intermediate phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

 $00:27:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.446$  Then with Victa Sohal and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

00:27:41.446 --> 00:27:43.430 graduate student Sarah Robinson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

 $00:27:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.270$  The electrophysiology of these mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

00:27:48.270 --> 00:27:49.782 and I won't show you a lot of squiggles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

00:27:49.790 --> 00:27:52.984 I'll just tell you wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

 $00:27:52.984 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.152$  And this was a normal squiggle and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

 $00{:}27{:}56.152 \to 00{:}27{:}58.889$  homozygote is very quiet and less activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917756116

 $00:27:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.690$  The heterozygote was somewhere in between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938705384615385

00:28:04.290 --> 00:28:07.154 So being a TV on mutant is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.938705384615385

 $00{:}28{:}07.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.170$  bad for your synaptic formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938705384615385

00:28:10.170 --> 00:28:11.370 and since you have less synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938705384615385

00:28:11.370 --> 00:28:12.345 there's less activity.

 $00:28:12.345 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.645$  That's not too surprised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333334

 $00:28:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.048$  So now we add to all these other problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333334

 $00:28:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.290$  They have reduced excitatory and inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333334

 $00:28:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.210$  synaptic densities. And activities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:28:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.202$  Now we're beginning to get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:28:28.202 --> 00:28:29.050 little bit more psychiatric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:28:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.274$  You can see these not only is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:28:31.274 --> 00:28:33.008 identity of layer 6 messed up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:28:33.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.746$  so the connectivity's bad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00:28:34.746 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.048$  that's not good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}28{:}36.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37.766$  But also the amount of input

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

00:28:37.766 --> 00:28:38.910 being processed through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9452853

 $00{:}28{:}38.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40.610$  mutant cells is greatly reduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915329276923077

 $00{:}28{:}44.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.939$  So post Natal ETR ones required for

NOTE Confidence: 0.915329276923077

00:28:46.939 --> 00:28:49.201 normal number of excitator inhibitory

00:28:49.201 --> 00:28:51.810 synapsis onto layer 6 pyramidal neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

00:28:54.760 --> 00:28:56.960 And then we wanted to know why is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

 $00:28:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.354$  why are the number of synapses reduced?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9327059925

 $00:28:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.880$  And we think we have a grasp of that answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.680$  So we concentrated on one of the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

00:29:07.680 --> 00:29:10.200 components at least of excitatory synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.792$  which are the spines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:11.792 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.161$  Is the structure on the dendrites of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:15.161 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.873$  excitatory dendrites center of the neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.359$  We use this fantastic conflict of microscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.878$  System that has a computer hooked

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:22.878 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.014$  up to it and what it does is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

00:29:25.014 --> 00:29:26.911 gives you a picture like this where

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:26.911 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.497$  the the dendrites are these white

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

00:29:29.497 --> 00:29:31.640 beautiful guys and the spines are

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.240$  colored blue by the computer.

00:29:33.240 --> 00:29:36.320 Like I can understand this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.280$  we can count blue blobs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.440$  That's what a control looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932705995

 $00:29:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.560$  And the tibra on mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:29:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.720$  many fewer blue blobs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:29:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.218$  Now the blue blobs are. Mature spines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

00:29:52.218 --> 00:29:55.629 The immature spines are these thin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:29:55.629 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.168$  thin, filamentous things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

00:29:57.170 --> 00:29:59.725 So the mutant has fewer mature spines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:29:59.730 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.930$  and I don't know if it has more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.330$  but I think it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.407$  filamentous immature spines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:04.407 \dashrightarrow 00:30:07.370$  So there's something wrong in the T barrel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.430$  1 dendrite to promote the maturation of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.610$  immature spines to become mature spines,

00:30:15.610 --> 00:30:18.074 and you need to have mature spines

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00{:}30{:}18.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19.680$  for excitatory synapses of form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.319$  I cannot say why the inhibitory synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.940253723333333

 $00:30:22.319 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.440$  are are having problems, but they

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:29.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.005$  they. This is a qualification at 2 pages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:33.005 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.850$  postnatal day five and postnatal 2021 of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:36.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.632$  of the measuring these blue mature spines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:40.632 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.960$  There's a wild type and here's a homozygote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00{:}30{:}43.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.966$  There might be fewer mature spines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.850$  And then the later stages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00{:}30{:}47.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.760$  we looked at wild type heterozygote

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00{:}30{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53.570$  homozygote and we again see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.658$  quantitative effect not only in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86162512

 $00:30:55.658 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.370$  homozygote but in the heterozygote as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.978$  Then we wanted to see if we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00{:}31{:}04.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.988$  understand what might be a molecular

 $00{:}31{:}06.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.440$  mechanism that underlies failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00{:}31{:}08.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.640$  and maturation of the spines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

00:31:10.640 --> 00:31:14.770 We went back to our RNAC

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:14.770 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.128$  and looked at some of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

00:31:17.130 --> 00:31:20.728 Genes that are up or down regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00{:}31{:}20.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.122$  and I just point out one of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:22.122 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.311$  because this is the one that turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:23.311 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.729$  out to be the most useful which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.090$  a protein called Win 7B to secreted

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:28.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.730$  which early in development is super

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00{:}31{:}30.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.490$  important in regional specification

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00{:}31{:}32.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}34.168$  and selfate specification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00{:}31{:}34.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.414$  But Patricia Salinas several many years

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:36.414 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.221$  ago showed how the wind proteins are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:39.221 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.463$  also important in synapse formation

 $00:31:41.463 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.450$  and in Axon growth and and targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.724$  Then there are a bunch of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:47.724 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.938$  blue dots that are interesting as

NOTE Confidence: 0.94780115

 $00:31:48.938 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.401$  well which I won't tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:31:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.370$  So when 7B is normally most strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:31:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.490$  expressive layer 6 and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.814$  either when heterozygote may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:03.814 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.629$  reduced and the homozygote greatly

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:06.629 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.423$  reduced and then for some reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:08.423 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.607$  top of the express in layer 2-3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.102$  I don't understand that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:12.102 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.676$  Let's just pay attention to the reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

00:32:14.676 --> 00:32:20.848 of T of Win 70 in in the in layer 6:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.880$  So we wanted to ask whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.634$  not we could restore synapses

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:25.634 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.302$  by destroying Win 70 expression.

 $00:32:28.302 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.170$  So we took a new Natal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00{:}32{:}31.170 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.986$  wild type or mutant and dissected it off

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:35.986 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.730$  and and dissociating and gruiting culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.082$  And then let those cultures mature for

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00{:}32{:}42.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}44.544$  about two weeks and then measure the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:44.544 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.632$  number of synapses in those culture

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:46.699 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.348$  on to the layer 6 cells which would

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:48.348 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.010$  be red because of the tea tomato,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.404$  which I didn't tell you about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:52.410 \dashrightarrow 00:32:54.090$  you can see which cells are mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.902414449

 $00:32:54.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.330$  that way we harvest days, day zero

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931214615385

 $00:32:58.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.594$  at day one we transpect with the DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.937931214615385

 $00{:}33{:}02.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}05.290$  expression vector for win 7B and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00{:}33{:}09.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.532$ 14 days later we count synapse numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:12.532 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.465$  by these immunosum rest and sassay and

 $00:33:15.465 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.161$  we did this with about 5 different genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:18.161 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.969$  that were down regulating the T year only.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.040$  And in this case only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.050$  of them showed big effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:25.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.625$  I'll show you one that did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:26.625 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.209$  have an effect and one that did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.474$  So this is the control experiment with no

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:32.474 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.450$  transfection a wild type and homozygote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.151$  This is just showing what we already

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:37.151 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.380$  knew that there's decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00{:}33{:}38.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.628$  Synapses we transpected with CAD

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

00:33:41.628 --> 00:33:43.920 here and eight which Josh Sainz

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

00:33:43.994 --> 00:33:45.964 had shown was down regulated in

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:45.964 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.980$  the retina of T VO1 mutants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.374$  We thought maybe that might be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.052$  it it didn't help and then when we use

 $00:33:54.052 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.058$  Win 7B it showed nearly complete rescue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:33:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.420$  That was our in vitro synapse assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00{:}34{:}01.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.956$  We followed up with an in vivo assay

NOTE Confidence: 0.951865215384615

 $00:34:03.956 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.420$  where we used a retrovirus vector.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838331262307692

 $00:34:09.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.622$  Let's factor that only rest of

NOTE Confidence: 0.838331262307692

00:34:11.622 --> 00:34:14.209 win 70 if the cells express free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838331262307692

 $00:34:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.318$  so we use the NTS. R1 mouse has the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838331262307692

 $00{:}34{:}17.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.210$  pre and layer 6 and infect those and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:34:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.690$  so we infect the babies are born P0,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:34:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.466$  infected the next day at P1 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:34:33.466 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.066$  then at P28 we sacrifice the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00{:}34{:}36.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.260$  animal and stain them. And this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93421549

 $00{:}34{:}42.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.020$  this is a similar but

NOTE Confidence: 0.76041103

 $00:34:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.260$  not a move, but sequential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76041103

 $00:34:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.290$  It's not allowing me to

 $00:34:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.860$  touch to make it sequential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

00:34:55.660 --> 00:34:57.137 Anyway, what you would have seen here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

 $00:34:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.617$  but I could have made that work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

 $00:34:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.224$  is that if we could restore the

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

00:35:01.224 --> 00:35:04.575 synapses win 7B of the TB R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

 $00{:}35{:}04.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.570$  heterozygote and homozygote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

 $00:35:06.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.706$  And in the wild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

 $00:35:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.410$  type adding when 7B didn't do

NOTE Confidence: 0.943128798571429

 $00:35:09.475 \dashrightarrow 00:35:11.410$  anything is the same synapse density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.910$  OK how much time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.221$  What time is it? So I have 1103.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:20.221 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.310$  We got fine time. OK yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.010$  I should be coming pretty quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

00:35:25.010 --> 00:35:27.970 So then we use the TVI one layer 5:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.578$  so we could begin to ask what

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00{:}35{:}30.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.488$  happens to the pretrial cortex,

 $00:35:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.543$  because as psychiatrists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:33.543 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.649$  we know that the pretrial cortex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.850$  Has to be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.234$  Pretty much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931448125

 $00:35:38.234 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.810$  That's what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.810$  and wonderful work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

00:35:42.810 --> 00:35:44.490 Patricia Goldman and Rakesh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.730$  and we aren't That and others In Pasco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.518$  I've shown that prefrontal cortex is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:49.518 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.520$  important and not now it's figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.593$  how you get a lot of prefrontal cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:53.593 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.250$  and Cartec About Tabby Raman, in fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00{:}35{:}56.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58.602$  Beautiful work showing how the thalamus has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:35:58.602 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.155$  an important role written with signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:36:01.155 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.700$  important prefrontal cortex

 $00:36:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.009$  maturation and identity in any case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

00:36:05.009 --> 00:36:06.780 So we wanted to do something in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:36:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.970$  pretrial CORTEX for all those reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:36:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.910$  and we use the layer 5 deletion to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:36:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.610$  do that and that paper was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553487

 $00:36:15.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.210$  to that's the reference down below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:36:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.130$  OK. And we wanted to see whether we

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00{:}36{:}26.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.768$  could make a difference in these mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00{:}36{:}28.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}32.386$  by restoring wind signaling to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:36:32.386 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.026$  behavior and pretrial CORTEX function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

00:36:35.030 --> 00:36:38.866 And so I learned from my next door neighbor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:36:38.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.900$  Ben Cheyat, that lithium is

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:36:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.550$  a agonist or wind signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

00:36:47.550 --> 00:36:50.670 Because years ago Ben's teacher

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

00:36:50.670 --> 00:36:52.590 and Randy Moon had shown during

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:36:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.936$  development of Zenith this it lithium

 $00:36:54.936 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.230$  functions of winds with agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:36:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.950$  And lithium of course is

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:37:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.760$  fairly safe drug for humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

00:37:03.760 --> 00:37:07.040 And then we also use a G SP3 beta inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:37:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.870$  which will also activate when signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

 $00:37:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.840$  to see whether we could change the

NOTE Confidence: 0.20159802

00:37:12.840 --> 00:37:14.760 synapse biology of these illumines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9157876

 $00{:}37{:}16.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.655$  Okay. So I'm just going to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9157876

 $00:37:18.655 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.640$  you about the lithium experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9157876

 $00:37:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.880$  And so Civash gave one intraperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9157876

 $00{:}37{:}24.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.920$  injection of lithium at post Natal 32

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:37:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.030$  and then just one day later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}37{:}34.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}36.400$  Harvest the brain as we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}37{:}36.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.058$  from Ben that you could increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:37:39.058 --> 00:37:41.860 synapses within 24 hours with lithium

 $00:37:41.937 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.307$  in his synapse assays in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:37:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.198$  And then we did our handy dandy blue assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:37:47.198 --> 00:37:49.841 So this is just a control showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:37:49.841 --> 00:37:52.025 you that normal Iran mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:37:52.025 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.350$  Iran mutant has less mature

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:37:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.388$  blue spines. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.480$  this is the controls well as it's the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.140$  without lithium control with lithium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:07.140 \dashrightarrow 00:38:09.555$  No big difference in the lithium didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:09.555 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.177$  do much to the wild type animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

00:38:12.180 --> 00:38:14.910 Here's the mutant that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.880$  less blue density and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.300$  we increase the blue density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00{:}38{:}18.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22.577$  If you compare this to this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00{:}38{:}22.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.940$  see if I modified the result

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427693975

 $00:38:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.460$  and showed that there was

 $00:38:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.692$  rescue. The difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00{:}38{:}31.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.360$  the wild type and the mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:33.416 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.740$  density was not significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.100$  Even the mutants didn't quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.860$  get all the way up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

 $00:38:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.696$  So amazingly, this is magic to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:38:41.700 --> 00:38:44.225 that lifting within one day would

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:38:44.225 --> 00:38:46.895 make mature synapses out of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.950317

00:38:46.895 --> 00:38:50.460 mutant immature synapses one day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

 $00:38:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.422$  and then the next thing we

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

 $00:38:59.422 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.268$  wanted to find out was, was it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

00:39:01.268 --> 00:39:03.466 Would this be true for an adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

 $00{:}39{:}03.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05.696$  or even grandpa mouse mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

 $00:39:05.696 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.474$  for his whole life had decreased synapses?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

 $00:39:09.474 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.464$  Could we restore the synapses

00:39:12.464 --> 00:39:15.372 in this situation? And so this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935679269090909

 $00:39:15.372 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.020$  that's what this paper is about. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.824439573333333

 $00:39:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.416$  just showing a couple of there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824439573333333

 $00:39:23.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.227$  So we gave one dose of postnatal 830 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824439573333333

 $00:39:27.227 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.340$  then analyzed six months later one we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

 $00:39:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.138$  And so this is the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

 $00:39:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.860$  of this grandpa mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

 $00:39:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.125$  It still has lower synapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

00:39:43.125 --> 00:39:46.820 density and windows. I don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

00:39:46.820 --> 00:39:47.680 It's hard to believe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

 $00:39:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.540$  so don't believe it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.827035943333333

 $00:39:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.776$  but this is what we found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94427896

 $00:39:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.260$  How could this be possible?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9449498533333333

00:39:55.460 --> 00:39:57.658 I'll just say quickly that one way

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

00:39:57.658 --> 00:40:00.262 to be possible is that those immature

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00{:}40{:}00.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.016$  synapses had a lot going for them.

00:40:02.020 --> 00:40:03.970 They had almost everything they

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:03.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.354$  needed to become mature synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:06.354 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.389$  but they needed the kick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.190$  And when signaling evidently was

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:12.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.730$  enough to give me that kick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:14.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.505$  another gene that we found,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

00:40:16.510 --> 00:40:19.107 rescue synapses in our in vitro assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333 00:40:19.110 --> 00:40:20.286 is a kinesin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:20.286 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.030$  which is a motor protein that moves

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.430$  presynaptic vesicles in the position.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.944$  And so a simple hypothesis would

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00{:}40{:}27.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.974$  be that the lithium somehow allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00{:}40{:}30.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.950$  the synaptic vesicles to move and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.430$  dock in posing the post precinct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.230$  Postnaptic membrane and fuse and

 $00:40:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.230$  bring in the necessary components

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.270$  for the for let's say NMDA receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:47.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.190$  maybe after receptors to then

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.110$  allow that synapse to form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

00:40:51.110 --> 00:40:52.496 I don't know how this would

NOTE Confidence: 0.944949853333333

 $00:40:52.496 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.910$  work for the average synapsis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:40:57.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.024$  Then with excellent neurophysiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

00:41:01.024 --> 00:41:04.783 at UCSF, Andrew Nelson and Kevin Bender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00{:}41{:}04.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07.376$  they looked at these old mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.740$  Indivo using slices and this is the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00{:}41{:}12.740 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.292$  showing that at this age they still have

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

00:41:16.292 --> 00:41:20.180 less frequency of miniature and EPSC's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.890$  Here's the here's the wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:21.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.585$  type and here's the null.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:23.585 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.510 \text{ I don't know that we showed that for the}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:26.586 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.260$  heterozygote in this experiment and then

 $00:41:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.011$  again with our single dose of lithium

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00{:}41{:}34.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.748$  post natalate 30 in this case testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.788$  Not as long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:37.788 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.210$  but one to two months later you

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00{:}41{:}40.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.470$  got restoration of the EMSEPSCS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00{:}41{:}43.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.414$  They're both by neuroanatomy or his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:47.414 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.674$  his Histology assays as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:49.674 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.030$  as electrophysiology assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.195$  We have convergent evidence that T PL-1

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:55.195 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.270$  mutants as adults have reduced synapses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:41:58.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.550$  but those can be rescued

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00:42:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.828$  morphologically and physiologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.94025356

 $00{:}42{:}01.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04.384$  with a single dose of lithium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522184

 $00:42:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.616$  So the summary of Part 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522184

 $00:42:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.820$  which is our synaptic phenotypes,

 $00:42:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.280$  either one promotes excitatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522184

 $00{:}42{:}12.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.105$  inhibitory synapses both on layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522184

 $00{:}42{:}14.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.016$  5 and layer six animal cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522184

00:42:16.020 --> 00:42:19.700 It does that in part by promoting

NOTE Confidence: 0.93522184

 $00:42:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.060$  Keep Win 7B expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955348624166667

00:42:23.260 --> 00:42:25.882 And these synapse, spine and synaptic

NOTE Confidence: 0.955348624166667

 $00:42:25.882 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.285$  defects are rapidly and stably

NOTE Confidence: 0.955348624166667

 $00:42:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.498$  corrected by a single dose of lithium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955348624166667

 $00{:}42{:}30.500 \to 00{:}42{:}32.660$  which per dures for several months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:42:34.940 --> 00:42:35.660 I didn't show you this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}42{:}35.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36.820$  but this is really fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:42:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.470$  They also have a very mild

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:42:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.295$  cortic thalamic defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:42:39.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.715$  The axons broke to the thalamus but

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

00:42:41.715 --> 00:42:43.900 don't enter the medial thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}42{:}43.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}45.760$  But giving lithium one day you

00:42:45.760 --> 00:42:47.699 get a teeny amount of growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00{:}42{:}47.700 --> 00{:}42{:}49.255$  but you don't need much

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:42:49.255 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.499$  get that extra growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:42:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.826$  We don't know whether that corrects

NOTE Confidence: 0.93622824

 $00:42:51.826 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.100$  any Physiology in the thalamus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

 $00{:}42{:}56.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01.768$  Okay. Now just three minutes. Finish up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

00:43:01.770 --> 00:43:03.570 Because so Hall is a graduate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

00:43:03.570 --> 00:43:07.248 Mark Turner had looked at social

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

00:43:07.250 --> 00:43:11.026 behavioral defects and associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

00:43:11.026 --> 00:43:13.182 physiological measures in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

00:43:13.182 --> 00:43:16.010 preparal cortex in the TV R1 mutants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8900445

 $00{:}43{:}16.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.564$  and the effect of lithium on those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8974628325

 $00:43:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.500$  So this is using a interaction acid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8974628325

 $00{:}43{:}23.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.510$  through index of socialization of mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8974628325

 $00:43:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.106$  Wild type and the tibro and mutants.

 $00:43:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.108$  So the tibro and layer 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8974628325

 $00{:}43{:}31.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33.474$  the guys had the preampron cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.8974628325

 $00:43:33.474 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.469$  problem, have less socialization

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.430$  and you give them lithium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

00:43:43.430 --> 00:43:45.370 So we gave them lithium, waited four weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:45.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.370$  did the social assay and we got some

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.550$  improvement on their socialization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:50.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.260$  I trust this because my lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}43{:}52.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54.350$  did not do the social assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.826$  We did. We gave the lithium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}43{:}55.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.217$  we gave them the mice and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:58.220 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.220$  I hope they were blinded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:43:59.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.816$  I can't remember they're better than blinded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:00.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.774$  But let's just assume they are blinded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.396$  Okay. Then they got fancier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:06.396 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.684$  They put a one of these endomicroscopes

 $00:44:08.684 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.748$  into the prefrontal cortex and measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}44{:}11.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.296$  calcium imaging as a as an indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:14.296 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.072$  of whether a given behavior was

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

00:44:17.072 --> 00:44:19.387 activating cohorts of prefrontal cortex

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

00:44:19.387 --> 00:44:21.618 neurons during a social behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:21.620 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.714$  And they this is their behavioral

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:24.714 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.680$  testing either the social assay before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}44{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.563$  The lithium and then after the lithium

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}44{:}29.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.434$  they also did elevate plus maze which

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:31.434 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.320$  I'm not going to tell you about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}44{:}33.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}35.930$  And their social acid basically is

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.680$  they introduce a mouse our mouse to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}44{:}39.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.032$  mouse one they rested for a while

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

00:44:42.032 --> 00:44:44.486 introduced to an object just into another

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00:44:44.486 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.418$  mouse and then they reintroduced to

00:44:46.418 --> 00:44:48.450 one of these familiar mice and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.913620208

 $00{:}44{:}48.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}49.680$  measure the interaction time as their

NOTE Confidence: 0.938760046

 $00:44:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.750$  index of socialization and while

NOTE Confidence: 0.938760046

 $00:44:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.220$  while they're doing that they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938760046

 $00:44:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.445$  They're measuring calcium imaging in

NOTE Confidence: 0.938760046

 $00:45:00.445 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.352$  the prefrontal cortex. This is right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938760046

 $00:45:03.352 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.296$  I get fuzzy on this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938760046

00:45:05.300 --> 00:45:07.460 They get these kinds of descriptions of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00{:}45{:}09.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}12.040$  well, this is the wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

00:45:12.040 --> 00:45:13.700 calcium imaging of cohorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00{:}45{:}13.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.820$  neurons and prefrontal cortex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.820$  and that's what the distribution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:17.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.977$  amplitude and number of cells looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.930$  And then that's what looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.519$  natiro mutant and the difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00{:}45{:}24.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.397$  Between this shape and this shape

00:45:26.397 --> 00:45:27.448 is statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:27.448 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.835$  with the P value of point O1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.760$  So Tibo and mutants have problems interacting

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.798$  with a new mouse or a familiar mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.866$  This is just a in vivo calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:40.866 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.092$  imaging correlation of the social

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:43.092 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.157$  thing that I showed you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.248$  Then they did it after lithium and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:48.248 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.990$  got indistinguishable P values for these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:53.990 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.721$  Indices showing that we could rescue in

NOTE Confidence: 0.944027375

 $00:45:57.721 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.947$  vivo Physiology with lithium as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201268 00:46:02.070 --> 00:46:02.160 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:46:06.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.940$  that's the end of that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00{:}46{:}08.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11.265$  Summary stories tells you is social

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:46:11.265 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.455$  deficits that are present in the

 $00:46:13.455 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.139$  layer 5 units are rescued by lithium

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

00:46:16.140 --> 00:46:18.933 and the in vivo activity of layer

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:46:18.933 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.050$  5 neurons in the medial prefrontal

NOTE Confidence: 0.936899133333333

 $00:46:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.900$  cortex are also rescued by lithium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.536$  So where, where does this take us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.880$  Or maybe suggest that one can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.090$  a therapy for at least humans who

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.820$  are heterozygote or the tiger one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.945$  no mutation with lithium or

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:42.945 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.020$  other kinds of wind agonists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

00:46:47.020 --> 00:46:48.660 And I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.500$  that's the pipe dream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

00:46:49.500 --> 00:46:51.457 And here's a little bit more of a pipe dream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:51.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.690$  maybe other forms of cognitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.937378467142857

 $00:46:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.180$  disorders of child and childhood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:46:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.010$  Have at least as a component

 $00:46:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.720$  of their phenotype this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:47:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.900$  problem with synapse formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:47:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.100$  that might be amenable to treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93773775

 $00:47:07.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.900$  with increasing wind signaling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:47:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.948$  that's it. I I tried to mention I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:47:15.948 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.580$  think I mentioned everybody here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:47:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.260$  they are again and those are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00{:}47{:}19.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20.904$  Blue Angels flying over the Golden

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402536

 $00:47:20.904 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.819$  Gate Bridge recommend coming to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778620428

 $00:47:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.160$  So we'll manage questions both.